# Structural and functional characterization of the  $\gamma_1$ subunit of  $GABA_\Delta/b$ enzodiazepine receptors

# Sanie Ymer<sup>2</sup>, Andreas Draguhn<sup>1</sup>, William Wisden, Pia Werner, Kari Keinänen, Peter R.Schofield<sup>3</sup>, Rolf Sprengel, Dolan B.Pritchett and Peter H.Seeburg

Laboratory of Molecular Neuroendocrinology, University of Heidelberg, Center for Molecular Biology, Im Neuenheimer Feld 282 and <sup>1</sup> Abteilung Zellphysiologie, Max-Planck-Institut für Medizinische Forschung, Jahnstr. 29, D-6900 Heidelberg, FRG

2Present address: Division of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, John Curtin School of Medical Research, The Australian National University, PO Box 334, Canberra City, ACT 2601, Australia

 $3$ Present address: Pacific Biotechnology Ltd,  $72-76$  McLachlan Avenue, Rushcutters Bay, 2011, Australia

Communicated by P.H.Seeburg

The GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\gamma_1$  subunit of human, rat and bovine origin was molecularly cloned and compared with the  $\gamma_2$  subunit in structure and function. Both  $\gamma$  subunit variants share 74% sequence similarity and are prominently synthesized in often distinct areas of the central nervous system as documented by in situ hybridization. When co-expressed with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits in Xenopus oocytes and mammalian cells, the  $\gamma$  variants mediate the potentiation of GABA evoked currents by benzodiazepines and help generate high-affinity binding sites for these drugs. However, these sites show disparate pharmacological properties which, for receptors assembled from  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  subunits, are characterized by the conspicuous loss in affinty for neutral antagonists (e.g. flumazenil) and negative modulators (e.g. DMCM). These findings reveal a pronounced effect of  $\gamma$  subunit variants on  $GABA_A/benzodiazepine$  receptor pharmacology.

Key words: ion channels /  $\gamma$  subunit variants / receptor subtypes / benzodiazepine

## Introduction

 $GABA_A$  ( $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A) receptors belong to the superfamily of ligand-gated ion channels (Unwin, 1989) and assemble from several homologous subunits to form a GABA-gated chloride conducting pore. Channel activity mediates synaptic inhibition in the central nervous system (CNS) and can be modulated by a number of psychoactive drugs, notably barbiturates and benzodiazepines (Olsen and Venter, 1986). GABA $_A$  receptors occur in virtually every neuron in the brain, are found on glia (Bormann and Kettenmann, 1988) and also exist in the periphery (Bormann and Clapham, 1985; Rorsman et al., 1989).

Molecular biological studies have uncovered the existence of an array of subunits, indicating a considerable structural heterogeneity of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Subunits of related structure and belonging to four different sequence classes  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ and } \delta)$  have been characterized with the  $\alpha$  class comprising the largest number of variants (Schofield et al., 1987; Levitan et al., 1988; Ymer et al., 1989a,b; Lolait et al., 1989; Pritchett et al., 1989a; Shivers et al., 1989; Pritchett and Seeburg, 1990). This molecular diversity confirms and extends the observed pharmacological heterogeneity of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Squires et al., 1979; Braestrup and Nielsen, 1981; Unnerstall et al., 1981; Cooper et al., 1987).

Recombinant expression of diverse subunit combinations has reproduced many properties of natural GABAA receptors. Importantly, receptors having a high affinity benzodiazepine recognition site can be built from three different subunits, members of both the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  class and a  $\gamma_2$  subunit. In these receptors, the particular  $\alpha$  subunit variant determines the affinity of benzodiazepines (Pritchett et al., 1989b; Pritchett and Seeburg, 1990) while the  $\gamma_2$ subunit is necessary for both the manifestation of the benzodiazepine binding site and the robust potentiation of GABA evoked chloride currents by these drugs (Pritchett et al., 1989a). Since, in the receptor complex, the  $\alpha$  subunit can be photoaffinity labelled by tritiated benzodiazepines (Sieghart et al., 1987; Fuchs et al., 1990), the  $\gamma_2$  subunit seems to induce a conformation in the  $\alpha$  subunit necessary for benzodiazepine recognition or may indeed form part of this site.

Most of our work on the properties of recombinantly expressed GABA<sub>A</sub>/benzodiazepine receptors was performed using the  $\gamma_2$  variant (Pritchett et al., 1989a,b; Pritchett and Seeburg, 1990) although cDNAs encoding two variants of the  $\gamma$  subunit class had been isolated by us (Pritchett *et al.*, 1989a). We now present the primary structure of the  $\gamma_1$ subunit from rat, bovine and man. We show that this  $\gamma$ variant is widely synthesized in rat brain and, when assembled with  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  subunits, participates in the formation of  $GABA_A/benzodiazepine$  receptors whose pharmacological properties differ in important aspects from receptors containing the  $\gamma_2$  subunit.

## Results

## Isolation and structure of  $\gamma_1$  subunit cDNAs

The  $\gamma_1$  subunit cDNA was isolated as one of several  $cDNAs$  encoding new  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits by screening human, bovine and rat brain libraries with a degenerate oligonucleotide probe. This oligonucleotide encoded the octapeptide TTVLTMTT present within the second transmembrane region of all GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor and ligand binding glycine receptor subunits (Grenningloh et al., 1987; Schofield et al., 1987; Shivers et al., 1989). Novel subunit cDNAs were classified as  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  and  $\delta$  subunit cDNAs on the basis of their encoded polypeptide sequences (Pritchett et al., 1989a; Shivers et al., 1989). Figure <sup>1</sup> presents the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of the rat  $\gamma_1$  subunit cDNA. The human, bovine and rat  $\gamma_1$ 

-99 CTA TGC ACA TTC CTT GTA CTC ACT GTG CTG CTG CTG AGG TGT GAG GCA AAC TCC GCT TGG -39 GGA CTG AGC TAG TGG AGA AAG GAT CTT AAA AGC GAC CTG ATG GGT TCT GGG AAA GTC TTC -35 Met Gly Ser Gly Lys Val Phe 22 CTT TTC TCT CCT TCC CTC CTG TGG AGT CAA ACT AGA GGA GTG AGG TTG ATA TTC TTG TTA -28 Leu Phe Ser Pro Ser Leu Leu Trp Ser Gln Thr Arg Gly Val Arg Leu Ile Phe Leu Leu 82 CTA ACC CTG CAT CTG GGA AAC TGC ATT GAT AAA GCA GAT GAT GAA GAT GAA GAT TTA -8 Leu Thr Leu His Leu Gly Asn Cys<sub>pel</sub>le Asp Lys Ala Asp Asp Glu Asp Asp Glu Asp Leu 142 ACT ATG<u>AAC</u> AAA ACA TGG GTC TTG GGA CCT AAA ATT CAT GAA GGA GAT ATC ACA CAG ATT 13 Thr Met|Asn|Lys Thr Trp Val Leu Ala Pro Lys Ile His Glu Gly Asp Ile Thr Gln Ile 202 CTC AAC TCT TTA CTT CAA GGC TAT GAT AAC AAA CTT CGT CCA GAT ATA GGC GTG AGA CCC 33 Leu Asn Ser Leu Leu Gln Gly Tyr Asp Asn Lys Leu Arg Pro Asp Ile Gly Val Arg Pro 262 ACA GTA ATT GAA ACT GAT GTT TAT GTA AAC AGC ATT GGA CCT GTT GAT CCC ATA AAC ATG 53 Thr Val Ile Glu Thr Asp Val Tyr Val Asn Ser Ile Gly Pro Val Asp Pro Ile Asn Met 322 GAG TAC ACG ATA GAC ATC ATT TTT GCC CAG ACT TGG TTC GAT AGC CGT TTA AAG TTC <u>AAC</u> 73 Glu Tyr Thr Ile Asp Ile Ile Phe Ala Gln Thr Trp Phe Asp Ser Arg Leu Lys Phe Asm 382 AGC ACC ATG AAA GTC CTT ATG CTT AAC AGC AAC ATG GTG GGA AAA ATT TGG ATC CCT GAC 93Ser Thr Met Lys Val Leu Met Leu Asn Ser Asn Met Val Gly Lys Ile Trp Ile Pro Asp 442 ACG TTC TTC AGG AAC TCA AGG AAA TCT GAT GCG CAC TGG ATA ACA ACG CCC AAT CGC CTG 13 Thr Phe Phe Arg Leu Thr Phe Phe Arg Asn Ser Arg Lys Ser Asp Ala His Trp Ile Thr Thr Pro Asn Arg Leu 502 CTG CGG ATA TGG AGT GAT GGA AGG GTT CTC TAC ACT CTG AGA TTA ACA ATT AAT GCA GAA<br>133 Leu Arg Ile Trp Ser Asp Gly Arg Val Leu Tyr Thr Leu Arg Leu Thr Ile Asp Ala Glu 133 Leu Arg Ile Trp Ser Asp Gly Arg Val Leu Tyr Thr Leu Arg Leu Thr Ile Asn Ala Glu 562 TGC TAC CTT CAA CTC CAT AAC TTT CCT ATG GAT GAA CAT TCC TGT CCA CTG GAA TTT TCA 153 Cys Tyr Leu Gln Leu His Asn Phe Pro Met Asp Glu His Ser Cys Pro Leu Glu Phe Ser 622 AGC TAT GGA TAC CCT AAA AAT GAA ATT GAG TAC AAG TGG AAA AAG CCC TCA GTG GAA GTG<br>173 Ser Tyr Gly Tyr Pro Lys Aso Glu Ile Glu Tyr Lys Trp Lys Lys Pro Ser Val Glu Val Ser Tyr Gly Tyr Pro Lys Asn Glu Ile Glu Tyr Lys Trp Lys Lys Pro Ser Val Glu Val 682 GCT GAT CCT AAG TAC TGG AGA TTG TAT CAG TTT GCC TTT GTA GGG TTA AGA AAT TCA ACC<br>193 Ala Asp Pro Lys Tyr Trp Arg Leu Tyr Gln Phe Ala Phe Val Gly Leu Arg Asp Ser Thr 682 GCT GAT CCT AAG TAC TGG AGA TTG TAT CAG TTT GCC TTT GTA GGG TTA<br>213 Ala Asp Pro Lys Tyr Trp Arg Leu Tyr Gln Phe Ala Phe Val Gly Leu<br>213 Glu Ile Ser His Thr Ile Ser Gly Asp Tyr Ile Ile Met Thr Ile Phe<br>223 Arg Arg Met Gl Ala Asp Pro Lys Tyr Trp Arg Leu Tyr Gln Phe Ala Phe Val Gly Leu Arg Asn Ser Thr TAR GAT AND THE REAL AST CONSIDER THE REAL AND THE REAL AND THE REAL AST CHARGES AND LOW CONSIDER THE REAL AST ARE CONSIDERED AND ASSESS AND ARRANGEMENT WAS ASSESSED AND A SAME CONSIDER THE REAL AST CONSIDER THE REAL AND T 742 GAA ATC TCT CAC ACA ATC TCC GGA GAT TAT ATT ATC ATG ACT ATC TTT TTT GAC CTG AGC 213 Glu Ile Ser His Thr Ile Ser Gly Asp Tyr Ile Ile Met Thr Ile Phe Phe Asp Leu Ser 802 AGA CGG ATG GGC TAT TIL MARA RIT CAN ACC TAN ATT COOLER ATT STAND RIT GAT STANDARD TO A LATE CAN BE A LATE CAN ATT CAN ALL AND REAL PART OF THE CAN ALL AND REAL PART OF THE CAN ALL AND REAL PART OF THE CAN ALL AND REA ı 962 SEE THE WAT WE THE THE TWO AND CAN GAT GOT CONSIDER ALL AND THE BEST AGO AND CONSIDER AT A TABLE TO A THE<br>922 GATE AGE AGE ATT THE ACT ATE AGA AGC CTC AGE AGA ATC GOT AGA AAA TCT TTA CCT AAG GTT<br>273 The Shift Was land 862 STORE AND THE TIT TOG ATC AAC AAA GAT GOT UTA COT ACT AND NOT TOE CIG GOT ATC<br>253 September 1981 September 1989 1986 Asn Lys Asp Ala Mail Pro als any the September 1989 1988 T 313 OC CO ACA CAR AND THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THREE CARDS THE THREE CARDS THE THREE CARD AND THE T<br>313 SEP AND THE THREE CARDS THREE CARD OF THE THR ARE ARD AND ARR AND ASC ACA ASH ACC ACA<br>313 SEPARA SER AND CA 982 TCT TAC GTG ACA GGGA AND GAT CTG TIT GTG TGT GTG TGT TAC ANT TTU GTG<br>293 Ser Tyr Val Thr Ala Met Aap Leu Phe Val Ser Val Cys Phe Ile Phe Val Ш 1042 CAT TAT GAG TAT GOA ACT THE CAT TAT TIT ACT AGT AAC AAT AAA GGA AAA ACC ACC AGA<br>313 WAR MAT GIN TAT GAA THE LOW HIS TYP Phe The See Asn Asn Lys Gly Lys The The Arg 1102 GAC AGG AAG CTG AAA AGC AAA ACT TCG GTA TCC CCA GGT CTC CAT GCT GGA TCT ACT CTG<br>333 Asp Arg Lys Leu Lys Ser Lys Thr Ser Val Ser Pro Gly Leu His Ala Gly Ser Thr Leu Asp Arg Lys Leu Lys Ser Lys Thr Ser Val Ser Pro Gly Leu His Ala Gly Ser Thr Leu 1162 ATT CCC ATG MAC MAC ATT TCT ATG CCT CMA GGG GMA GAT GAT TAT GGT TAC CAG TGC TTG 353 Ile Pro Met Asn Asn Ile Ser Met Pro Gln Gly Glu Asp Asp Tyr Gly Tyr Gln Cys Leu 1222 GAG GGC AAA GAC TGT GCT ACC TTT TTC TGT TGC TTT GAA GAC TGC AGA ACT GGG TCC TGG<br>373 Glu Gly Lys Asp Cys Ala Thr Phe Phe Cys Cys Phe Glu Asp Cys Arg Thr Gly Ser Tro Glu Gly Lys Asp Cys Ala Thr Phe Phe Cys Cys Phe Glu Asp Cys Arg Thr Gly Ser Trp 1282 AGA GAA GGG CGA ATA CAC ATA CGC ATT GCC AAA ATC GAC TCC TAC TCC AGG ATC TTT THE STATE THAT THE 1913 ATT GULGUM ATT THE HIS THE ATT THE ALL THAT IS A LOCAL THAT THE THE STATE THAT THE THE THE STATE THAT THE THE STATE Arg Glu Gly Arg Ile His Ile Arg Ile Ala Lys Ile Asp Ser Tyr Ser Arg... IV 1342 TOO ACA GOT TIT GOO TTO TTO ANT CRO STITULE TOO STITUCE TAN TAN CHA TAA GIT<br>1332 TAA TAA TAA TAA TAA AAA ATT CAT AAA AAT CTG GCT TAG TCC AAT ATA ATC ACT TCG ATT<br>1462 TCA TAA CAG GAA GIT AGA ATT TAA AAT GAA AGT CTA CCA CTG CCA ATA GAT AAA AAA ATT CAT AAA AAT CTG GCT TAG TCC AAT ATA ATC ACT TCG ATT 1462 TCA TAA CAG GAA GTT AGA ATT TAA AAT GAA AGT CTA CCA GTT AAA ATC TGA ATC ATT TGG 522 TCA TAT GAT TAA GGC TTT CAT AAA <mark>TAA ATA AGA AAG AAT TAT TCA GGT TAA TTT</mark> ACC TAA 1522 TCA TAT GAT TAA GGC TTT CAT AAA TAA ATA AGA AAG AAT TAT TCA GGT TAA TTT ACC TA.<br>1582 CTA AAC ATG AAA TTC ATG TCC AAT ATA GCA CAT TTA AAY CAG TAA ACT TAA TAA TTT TT

Fig. 1. Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence of rat GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\gamma_1$  subunit. The amino acid sequence is shown below the nucleotide sequence. Numbers on the left denote nucleotides and amino acid residues. Negative numbers specify residues in the signal sequence and nucleotides in the <sup>5</sup>' untranslated sequence. The proposed end of the signal sequence (von Heijne, 1986) is indicated by an arrow. Putative N-linked glycosylation sites are boxed, the invariant extracellular cysteine-flanked loop structure is underlined and the four transmembrane regions are stippled and numbered on the side.

polypeptides are compared with each other and with the bovine  $\gamma_2$  subunit in Figure 2. The  $\gamma_1$  cDNAs of all three species encode polypeptides of 465 amino acids including

a putative 35 residue signal peptide (von Heijne, 1986) and share  $\sim$  93% sequence identity. A similarly high degree of sequence conservation is seen for other  $GABA_A$  receptor



Fig. 2. Comparison of primary structures of human, bovine and rat  $\gamma_1$  subunits and of the bovine  $\gamma_2$  subunit. Amino acid sequences are given in the single letter code and are numbered for each polypeptide on the left. Negative numbers indicate signal peptides. The continuous sequence is that of the rat  $\gamma_1$  subunit. Only substitutions in the other subunits are shown. For best alignment, dashes were introduced in several positions. The arrow marks the predicted mature N-termini. Filled circles denote N-linked glycosylation sites, the disulphide-bonded 15 residue  $\beta$ -structural loop is bracketed by a thin line and the four transmembrane regions  $TM1 - TM4$  are stippled and boxed.

subunits of different species (Schofield et al., 1989; Ymer *et al.*, 1989b). The predicted mature  $\gamma_1$  polypeptides  $(M_r \approx 54$  kd) contain four putative membrane spanning regions and, in the extracellular domain, a 15 residue, cysteine-flanked  $\beta$ -structural loop, characteristic of subunits of ligand-gated ion channels (Criado et al., 1986; Schofield et al., 1987) as well as three N-linked glycosylation sites.

There is a 74% sequence identity between the two  $\gamma$ variants,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , reflecting a similar level of identity to that seen in variants of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit classes (Levitan et al., 1988; Ymer et al., 1989b). Regions best conserved are the membrane spanning domains and the large extracellular part including the positions of the three putative glycosylation sites. Low sequence similarity is observed in the signal peptide and the N-terminal half of the domain located between transmembrane regions three and four. Curiously, the C-terminal half of this domain is well conserved in the  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  variants, suggesting that the underlying sequence may serve an important receptor function. This segment begins with acidic residues and a tyrosine (residues RDEEY in  $\gamma_2$ ) which may constitute the target of tyrosine-specific protein glycosylation and, hence, cellular control of channel expression by tyrosine kinases (Hopfield et al., 1988).

#### Distribution of  $\gamma_1$  mRNA in rat brain

Oligonucleotide-mediated in situ hybridization (Young et al., 1986; Wisden et al., 1988) was performed on sections of rat brain to evaluate the expression of  $\gamma_1$  mRNA and to compare this expression with that of  $\gamma_2$  mRNA. A survey of these mRNAs in whole brain horizontal and coronal sections is presented in Figures 3 and 4. Importantly, none of the probes employed labelled white matter tracts, indicating their specificity at even low signal strength. Hence, the pictures document that both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  subunits are widely and often prominently expressed. They indicate that the  $\gamma_1$ subunit should be regarded as a major player in modulating the structural and functional aspects of  $GABA_A$  receptors. Furthermore the pictures reveal distinct differences in expression patterns of the two  $\gamma$  mRNAs. The  $\gamma_1$  mRNA (Figure 3A) is expressed uniformly throughout the cortex caudate putamen, colliculi and in the hippocampal complex. In contrast to  $\gamma_2$  mRNA expression (Figure 3B),  $\gamma_1$  mRNA



Fig. 3. Distribution of mRNAs encoding  $\gamma$  subunit variants  $\gamma_1$  (A) and  $\gamma_2$  (B) in horizontal sections of rat brain as visualized by in situ hybridization. Cb, cerebellum; CPu, caudate putamen; Cx, cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; IC, inferior colliculus; S, septal nuclei. Bar, 4 mm.

expression in cortex appears non-laminated. In addition,  $\gamma_1$ mRNA is expressed prominently in the septal-forebrain nuclei, a region where  $\gamma_2$  mRNA expression is relatively low (Figure 3A and B).

The most striking expression of the  $\gamma_1$  mRNA occurs in certain amygdaloid and hypothalamic nuclei (Figure 4). In



Fig. 4. Differential distribution of mRNAs encoding  $\gamma$  subunit variants  $\gamma_1$  (A and C) and  $\gamma_2$  (B and D) in the amygdala and hypothalamic region of the rat brain as observed in coronal sections. Arc, arcuate hypothalamic nucleus; DG, dentate gyrus; Hb, habenular nucleus; La, lateral amygdaloid nucleus; Me, medial amygdaloid nucleus; mt, mammillothalamic tract; Vm, ventromedial thalamic nucleus. Bar: A and B, <sup>2</sup> mm; C and D, 1.4 mm.

areas such as the medial amygdaloid nuclei, and in hypothalamic nuclei such as the dorsomedial, ventromedial and arcuate nuclei,  $\gamma_1$  mRNA appears to be more abundant than  $\gamma_2$  mRNA (Figure 4A -D). This suggests a prominent

role of the  $\gamma_1$  subunit in the functional make-up of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors involved in amygdaloid/hypothalamic circuitry. In fact, the disparities in the relative amounts of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ mRNA in hypothalamic and amygdaloid nuclei (Figure 4) highlight the receptor heterogeneity in this region.

## Expression of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  subunits in Xenopus oocytes

To determine the functional properties of  $GABA_A$  receptors containing the  $\gamma_1$  subunit, in vitro synthesized RNA encoding either bovine  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  or  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$  subunits was expressed in Xenopus oocytes (Barnard et al., 1982; Schofield et al., 1987). Dose-dependent inward currents were observed in response to GABA and the reversal potential was close to the  $Cl^-$  equilibrium potential  $(E_r \approx -20 \text{ mV})$  (36), indicating that both subunit combinations form GABA-gated Cl<sup>-</sup> channels. At 10  $\mu$ M GABA, the  $\alpha_1\beta_1$  receptors displayed 60-70% of their maximal current response while the  $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1$  combination operated at only 20% of maximal response, in keeping with the higher cooperativity of channel activation seen for  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors (Verdoorn et al., 1990). The specificity of the GABA-induced current response was shown by blockage using the GABA antagonist bicuculline and the channel blocker picrotoxin (not shown). For both subunit combinations the lowest effective dose of GABA was between 0.01 and 1  $\mu$ M, probably due to variability in receptor expression. Desensitization became apparent at GABA concentrations  $>$  20  $\mu$ M, suggesting that the current amplitudes were underestimated at higher GABA concentrations since both channel activation and desensitization are contributing factors.

Potentiation of activation by barbiturates and benzodiazepines is a key feature of native  $GABA_A$  receptors (Olsen and Venter, 1986). Oocyte responses were effectively potentiated by pentobarbital (Figure 5). At 10  $\mu$ M GABA, the potentiation by 25  $\mu$ M pentobarbital was 148  $\pm$  95%  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1; n = 10)$  and 430  $\pm$  320%  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; n = 10)$ . In ten independent experiments, no BZ potentiation was observed with the  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  subunit combination, using 10  $\mu$ M midazolam (n = 3; Figure 5), 10  $\mu$ M diazepam or 20  $\mu$ M flurazepam at 0.5  $\mu$ M GABA (n = 8) and 10-20  $\mu$ M diazepam or 20  $\mu$ M clorazepam at 10  $\mu$ M GABA (n = 33). In one experiment,  $5-40\%$  increase in current amplitude was observed in four of seven oocytes tested. However, channels reconstituted from  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  subunits routinely showed potentiation by these agonists (Figure 5). At 10  $\mu$ M GABA, 10  $\mu$ M midazolam potentiated by 57  $\pm$  9% ( $n = 5$ ). At 0.5  $\mu$ M GABA, 20  $\mu$ M flurazepam increased the current amplitude by  $36 \pm 12\%$  ( $n = 3$ , not shown) and 20  $\mu$ M clorazepam by 85  $\pm$  11% (not shown). Potentiation was also dose dependent. At 0.5  $\mu$ M GABA the current was potentiated in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M diazepam by 4  $\pm$  3%  $(n = 4)$ , at 5  $\mu$ M by 19  $\pm$  10%  $(n = 4)$ , at 10  $\mu$ M by  $25 \pm 10\%$ ,  $(n = 5)$  and at 20  $\mu$ M by 33  $\pm 18\%$   $(n = 5)$ . At 2  $\mu$ M GABA, 5  $\mu$ M diazepam increased the current response by 25  $\pm$  9% (n = 14), at 10  $\mu$ M GABA, 5  $\mu$ M diazepam potentiated by  $40 \pm 12\%$  ( $n = 6$ ).

The BZ antagonist flumazenil (Ro 15-1788) was also tested (Figure 5). With the  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  combination, 10  $\mu$ M flumazenil showed marked potentiation of 71%  $\pm$  8% (n = 5) at 0.5  $\mu$ M GABA. A reduced potentiation of 30  $\pm$  19.5% ( $n = 5$ ) was observed with receptors composed of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ and  $\gamma_1$  subunits. With both subunit combinations, a potentiation was sometimes observed with 10  $\mu$ M DMCM (not shown). This unusual profile contrasts with that seen in natural  $GABA_A$  receptors and in receptors containing the  $\gamma_2$  subunit in place of  $\gamma_1$  (Pritchett et al., 1989a) although a weak agonistic activity of flumazenil has been reported (Hunkeler et al., 1981; Haefely, 1988).

## Benzodiazepine pharmacology

The pharmacological properties of human recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and either  $\gamma_1$  or  $\gamma_2$ subunits were analysed. Membranes of cultured mammalian cells transiently expressing the appropriate subunit combinations (Pritchett et al., 1988) were used for ligand binding and ligand competition studies of several benzodiazepines including flunitrazepam, flumazenil and of the  $\beta$ -carboline, DMCM (Table I). For both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  subunit containing receptors, binding sites for [3H]flunitrazepam were observed, not seen with receptors lacking a  $\gamma$  subunit (Pritchett et al., 1989a). Although  $B_{max}$  values varied among transfections, the ratio of these values for  $\gamma_2$  to  $\gamma_1$ subunit containing receptors was  $\sim$  5, indicating a higher efficiency of assembly for receptors formed from  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ and  $\gamma_2$  subunits.

Distinct differences were observed in the pharmacological properties of receptors based on which  $\gamma$  variant was  $co-expressed.$  Most ligands displayed  $> 10$ -fold reduced affinities when the  $\gamma_1$  subunit was co-expressed. Most notably, the affinities for flumazenil and DMCM dropped by more than four orders of magnitude, indicating that  $\gamma_1$ and  $\gamma_2$  subunits participate in pharmacologically distinct GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. The finding that the  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$  receptors possess an allosteric site for agonists but not for antagonists



Fig. 5. Expression of cloned  $GABA_A$  receptors in oocytes injected with  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  (top row) and  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  (bottom row) subunit RNAs. Oocytes were voltage clamped at  $-70$  mV. Downward deflections indicate inward currents. Agonists and antagonists were MID, midazolam; FLU, flumazenil (Ro 15-1788); Pb, pentobarbital. GABA applications in the absence and presence of these drugs were for  $\sim$  20 s until a current plateau was reached. The current traces in each row were from the same oocyte with the washout not shown.

and inverse agonists suggests that agonist and antagonist sites in  $GABA_A$  receptors may not be isosteric.

#### **Discussion**

The present study describes a second member of the  $\gamma$  class of  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits and, hence, provides a basis for better understanding the structural and functional features of these receptor constituents. The distinctive sequence features of the  $\gamma$  variants include an extended signal sequence, an acidic residue rich mature N-terminus and a well-conserved 40 amino acid region preceding the fourth putative transmembrane segment and possibly containing phosphorylation sites. As judged from a comparison with other GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit classes, the  $\gamma$  subunits show highest sequence similarity ( $\sim$  40%) to  $\alpha$  subunits and seem to cooperate with these in modelling the benzodiazepine recognition site of  $GABA_A$  receptors.

Several fuctional properties of natural  $GABA_A$  receptors can be traced to the signature of  $\gamma$  variants by our previous and present analyses of recombinantly expressed subunits. Thus, receptors containing a  $\gamma$  subunit show larger channel conductances than those found in receptors assembled from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits alone (Verdoorn *et al.*, 1990). Perhaps related to this property, the potentiation of GABA evoked currents by benzodiazepines is a consistent characteristic of  $\gamma$  subunit containing receptors and is rarely seen in receptors consisting only of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits (Levitan et al., 1988; Pritchett et al., 1989a; Malherbe et al., 1990).

The most striking trait imparted by  $\gamma$  variants is the manifestation of a high-affinity binding site for benzodiazepines. Notably, this site is formed by co-expression of members of three subunit classes, indicating subunit interactions in its formation. The influence of different  $\alpha$  variants on the pharmacology of the benzodiazepine binding site is well established (Pritchett et al., 1989b, 1990). However, the pronounced alteration in this site generated by substituting the two  $\gamma$  variants highlights the effect of the  $\gamma$  subunits on the modelling of this clinically important allosteric effector site.

Using  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  subunits as partners for the  $\gamma$  variants we observed a striking loss in affinity for the benzodiazepine antagonist Ro 15-1788 and the inverse agonist DMCM when the  $\gamma_1$  subunit was substituted for  $\gamma_2$ . The loss in affinity for these compounds may be functionally related to the increase in GABA evoked current produced by these drugs in Xenopus oocytes expressing  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  subunits. Although potentiating effects of Ro 15-1788 have been reported (Haefely, 1988), the unusual pharmacological profile of receptors assembled from  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$  subunits may indicate that such receptors are not formed in vivo.





Data represent  $K_i$  or  $+K_d$  values  $\pm$  SEM. Two columns list values obtained from ligand binding to crude membranes of 293 cells, transiently expressing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  or  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ .

<sup>a</sup>The third column lists values converted to  $K_i$  from IC<sub>50</sub> values of rat cerebellum reported in Sieghart and Schuster (1984).

Due to the large number of  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits many experiments with both natural and recombinant receptors are needed to determine the subunit composition of the diverse GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes in the brain. However, the abundant expression of both  $\gamma$  variants in different brain areas, the *in vitro* requirement for these subunits in the formation of benzodiazepine binding sites and the pronounced effect of the  $\gamma$  variants on the pharmacology of these sites serve as clear indicators that members of the  $\gamma$  subunit class participate in natural GABA<sub>A</sub>/benzodiazepine receptors. It may be of considerable interest that particular hypothalamic nuclei seem rich in GABAA receptors containing the  $\gamma_1$  variant. A GABA-ergic component in hypothalamic hypophysiotropic physiology is well known (Gudelsky et al., 1983; Lamberts et al., 1984; Adler and Crowley, 1986). Recombinant modelling of the receptors involved in this regulation may lead to the development of tailored drugs to better target their activity.

## Materials and methods

#### Isolation and sequencing of cDNA clones

A bovine brain  $\lambda$ gt10 cDNA library (Schofield *et al.*, 1987) (2 × 10<sup>6</sup>) recombinant phage) was screened with a 96-fold degenerate 32P end-labeled 23mer oligonucleotide (Shivers et al., 1989) (specific activity  $10^6$ c.p.m./pmol) encoding the conserved octameric peptide sequence in TM2 of  $GABA_A$  and glycine receptor subunits (Grenningloh et al., 1987). Hybridization was at room temperature overnight in 20% formamide/0.9 M NaCl/0.09 M sodium citrate/5  $\times$  Denhardt's solution/100  $\mu$ g per ml yeast RNA/0.025% sodium pyrophosphate. Washing was at 40°C in 0.15 M NaCl/0.015 M sodium citrate (1  $\times$  SSC). Known subunits were identified using  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit specific oligonucleotides (Levitan et al., 1988; Ymer et al., 1989b). Clones only hybridizing to the 23mer were sequenced either in XgtlO (at 55°C) or after subcloning into M13 vectors (Vieira and Messing, 1987) by the chain termination method (Sanger et al., 1977), using 0.5  $\mu\text{M}$ of the degenerate 23mer as primer. To obtain full length  $\gamma_1$  cDNAs, the library was rescreened with two specific probes: an oligonucleotide homologous to the most 5' known sequence (5'-TTATATCTCCTTCGT-GAATC-3') and an  $EcoRI-EcoRV$  fragment covering the first 479 known base pairs (bp). A 60mer (5'-CTGCAGTCTTCAAAGCAACAGAAGAA-GCTGGCACAATCTTTGCCCTCCAAACACTGGTAG-3') encoding <sup>a</sup> portion of the intracellular loop domain was used to screen cDNA libraries constructed from rat forebrain and human fetal brain mRNAs for  $\gamma_1$ homologs. A bovine  $\gamma_2$  cDNA clone was isolated after screening an adrenal medulla cDNA library (Ymer et al., 1989b) with the degenerate 23mer. The initial clone did not encode the entire subunit and the bovine brain cDNA library was subsequently screened with an oligonucleotide (5'-GTTCCGGAGGGTGATGTCAC-3') specific for the missing <sup>5</sup>' sequences.

#### In situ hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed as described (Wisden et al., 1988) using as probes oligonucleotides extended at their  $3'$  termini by  $[35S]dAMP$ . Hybridization of probe (1 pg/ $\mu$ l; 1000 d.p.m./ $\mu$ l) was overnight at 42°C in a buffer containing 50% formamide/4  $\times$  SSC/10% dextran sulphate. Sections were washed at 60°C in  $1 \times$  SSC. Exposure time was 1 week on Kodak XAR-5 film. Brain structures were identified using the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986). Parallel sections hybridized with 20-fold excess of unlabelled oligonucleotides in addition to the labelled probe resulted in the absence of signal (not shown). The  $\gamma_1$  signal was reproduced independently using two unique oligonucleotides constructed to different parts of the  $\gamma_1$  cDNA sequence. The oligonucleotide sequences were 5'-GGGAATCAGAGTAGATCCAGCATGGAGACCTGGGGATACCG-3' (complementary to  $\gamma_1$  subunit residues 341-354), 5'-CCTTGAGGCAT-AGAAATGTTGTTCATGGGAATCAGAGTAGATCC-3' (complementary to rat  $\gamma_1$  subunit residues 357-371), and 5'-CATTTGGATCGTTGC-TGATCTGGGACGGATATCAATGGTAGGGGC-3' (complementary to rat  $\gamma_2$  subunit residues 338-352 and kindly supplied by British Bio-Technology, Oxford, UK).

#### Expression in Xenopus oocytes

A 4.0 kb EcoRI fragment encoding the entire bovine  $\gamma_1$  subunit sequence was subcloned into pBluescript  $SK^-$  (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and the resulting construct linearized with HindIII. Similar vectors (Bluescript, SP65) were constructed carrying  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  subunit cDNAs and capped bovine  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  (Schofield *et al.*, 1987) and  $\gamma_1$  RNA transcripts were synthesized using Sp6 or T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of  $m<sup>7</sup>G(5')PPPc5'G$  according to Promega, Madison, WI. The in vitro synthesized RNAs were mixed in equimolar ratios prior to injection to yield the co-expression of bovine  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  or  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  subunits. About 50 nl RNA (1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l in H<sub>2</sub>O) were injected into Xenopus oocytes and the oocytes were incubated for <sup>24</sup> <sup>h</sup> at 19°C in modified Barth's solution [88 mM NaCl, <sup>1</sup> mM KCI, 2.4 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.82 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.33 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 0.41 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 7.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4] containing 100 U/ml each of streptomycin and penicillin. The oocytes were then treated with <sup>1</sup> mg/ml collagenase (Type I Sigma) for 1 h and the follicle cell layer was mechanically removed. Electrophysiological recordings were performed 2-6 days after injection, using a conventional two microelectrode voltage clamp amplifier (Methfessel et al., 1986). The oocytes were clamped at  $-70$  mV and superfused  $(5-7 \text{ ml/min})$  with normal frog Ringer solution (115 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl,  $1.8$  mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>,  $10$  mM HEPES pH 7.4). The amplitude of inward currents following superfusion with frog Ringer containing various pharmacological compounds was measured.

#### Ligand binding studies

Supercoiled recombinant vector DNAs constructed for the expression of the human  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$  encoding cDNAs, subcloned individually into the expression vector pCIS2 (Gorman et al., 1990), were used to transform human embryonic kidney 293 cells (10 plates;  $4 \times 10^6$  cells and 20  $\mu$ g DNA per plate). Cells washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and scraped into 10 ml PBS. The cell pellet (500 mg) was homogenized in <sup>a</sup> Polytron tissue homogenizer (Brinkmann) in <sup>10</sup> ml of <sup>10</sup> mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, and centrifuged  $(50 000 g, 20 min)$ . This procedure was repeated three times and the final pellet was resuspended in potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing <sup>100</sup> mM KCI. For each concentration of displacing ligand, duplicate samples, each equivalent to  $10^6$  cells (100  $\mu$ g protein), were incubated (4°C, 60 min) in <sup>1</sup> ml containing 4 pmol of [<sup>3</sup>H]flunitrazepam (75 Ci/mmol). Non-specific binding was determined by competition in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M clonazepam. Filtered samples were washed twice with 5 ml homogenization buffer and filter-retained radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting.

# Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Professor Bert Sakmann for his interest and active support. We thank Anne Herb for expert DNA sequence analysis, Gillian Muncke for skillful preparation of rat brain sections, Jutta Rami for excellent secretarial help and Ina Baro for careful photography. W.W. and K.K. gratefully acknowledge the support of long-term fellowships from the European Molecular Biology Organization. This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 317 grant B9 to P.H.S. and by the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft to A.D.

## **References**

- Adler, B. and Crowley, W. (1986) Endocrinology, 118, 91-97.
- Barnard,E.A., Miledi,R. and Sumikawa,K. (1982) Proc. R. Soci. Lond.,  $B, 215, 241 - 246.$
- Bormann, J. and Clapham, D.E. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 82, 2168-2172.
- Bormann, J. and Kettenmann, H. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 85, 9336-9340.
- Braestrup,C. and Nielsen,M.J. (1981) J. Neurochem., 37, 333-341.
- Cooper, S.J., Kirkham, T.C. and Estall, L.B. (1987) Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 8, 180-184.
- Criado, M., Sarin, V., Fox, J.L. and Lindstrom, J. (1986) Biochemistry, 25, 2839-2846.
- Fuchs,K., Adamiker,D. and Sieghart,W. (1990) FEBS Lett., 261, 52 -54. Gorman,C.M., Gies,D.R. and McCray,G. (1990) DNA Prot. Eng. Technol.,  $2, 3-10.$
- Grenningloh,G., Gundelfinger,E., Schmitt,B., Betz,H., Darlison,M.G., Barnard,E.A., Schofield,P.R. and Seeburg,P.H. (1987) Nature, 330,  $25 - 26$ .
- Gudelsky,G., Apud,J., Masotto,C., Locatelli,V., Cocchi,D., Racagni,G. and Muller,E.E. (1983) Neuroendocrinology, 37, 397-399.
- Haefely, W. (1988) Eur. J. Anaesthesiol., Suppl. 2, 25-36.
- Hopfield,J.F., Tank,D.W., Greengard,P. and Huganir,R.L. (1988) Nature,  $36, 677 - 680.$
- Hunkeler, W., Möhler, H., Pieri, L., Polc, P., Bonetti, E.P., Cumin, R., Schaffner, R. and Haefely, W. (1981) Nature, 290, 514-516.
- Imoto,K., Methfessel,C., Sakmann,B., Mishina,M., Mori,Y., Konno,T., Fukuda,K., Kurasaki,M., Bujo,H., Fujita,Y. and Numa,S. (1988) Nature, 324, 670-674.
- Lamberts,R., Mansky,T., Stack,K., Vijayan,E. and Wuttke,W. (1984) Brain Res., 52, 356-362.
- Levitan,E.S., Schofield,P.R., Burt,D.R., Rhee,L.M., Wisden,W., Kohler,M., Fujita,N., Rodriguez,H.F., Stephenson,A., Darlison,M.G., Barnard, E.A. and Seeburg, P.H. (1988) Nature, 335, 76-79.
- Lolait,S.J., O'Carroll,A., Kusano,K., Muller,J., Brownstein,M.J. and Mahan, L.C. (1989) FEBS Lett, 246, 145-148.
- Malherbe,P., Draguhn,A., Multhaup,G., Beyreuther,K. and Mohler,H. (1990) Mol. Brain Res., in press.
- Methfessel,C., Witzemann,V., Takahashi,T., Mishina,M., Numa,S. and Sakmann, B. (1986) Pflüger Arch., 407, 577-588.
- Olsen,R.W. and Venter,J.C. (1986) Benzodiazepine/GABA Receptors and Chloride Channels: Structural and Functional Properties. A.R.Liss, New York.
- Paxinos, I.G. and Watson, C. (1986) The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. 2nd edn, Academic Press, Sydney, Australia.
- Pritchett,D.B. and Seeburg,P.H. (1990) J. Neurochem., 54, 1802-1804.
- Pritchett,D.B., Sontheimer,H., Gorman,C.M., Kettenmann,H., Seeburg, P.H. and Schofield,P.R. (1988) Science, 242, 1306-1308.
- Pritchett,D.B., Sontheimer,H., Shivers,B.D., Ymer,S., Kettenmann,H., Schofield, P.R. and Seeburg, P.H. (1989a) Nature, 338, 582 - 585.
- Pritchett, D.B., Lüddens, H. and Seeburg, P.H. (1989b) Science, 245, 1389-1392.
- Rorsmann,P., Berggren,P.O., Bokvist,K., Ericson,H., Mohler,H., Ostenson.C.G. and Smith,P.A. (1989) Nature, 341, 233-236.
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 74, 5463-5467.
- Schofield, P.R. et al. (1987) Nature, 328, 221-227.
- Schofield,P.R., Pritchett,D.B., Sontheimer,H., Kettenmann,H. and Seeburg, P.H. (1989) FEBS Lett., 244, 361-364.
- Shivers,B.D., Killisch,I., Sprengel,R., Sontheimer.H., Kohler,M., Schofield,P.R. and Seeburg,P.H. (1989) Neuron, 3, 327-337.
- Sieghart, W. and Schuster, A. (1984) Biochem. Pharmacol., 24, 4033-4038.
- Sieghart, W., Eichinger, A., Richards, J.G. and Möhler, H. (1987) J. Neurochem., 48, 46-52.
- Squires,R.F., Benson,D.I., Braestrup,C., Coupet,J., Klepner,C.A., Myers,V. and Beer,B. (1979) Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav., 10, 825-830.
- Unnerstall,J.R., Kuhar,M.J., Niehoff,D.L. and Palacios,J.M. (1981) J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 218, 797-804.
- Unwin,N. (1989) Neuron, 3, 665-676.
- Verdoom,T.A., Draguhn,A., Ymer,S., Seeburg,P.H. and Sakmann,B. (1990) Neuron, 4, 919-928.
- Vieira, J. and Messing, J. (1987) Methods Enzymol., 153, 3-11.
- von Heijne,G. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res., 14, 4683-4690.
- Wisden,W., Morris,B.J., Darlison,M.G., Hunt,S.P. and Barnard,E.A. (1988) Neuron, 1, 937-947.
- Ymer,S., Draguhn,A., Kohler,M., Schofield,P.R. and Seeburg,P.H. (1989a) FEBS Lett., 258, 119-122.
- Ymer, S., Schofield, P.R., Draguhn, A., Werner, P., Köhler, M. and Seeburg, P.H. (1989b) *EMBO J.*, 8, 1665-1670.
- Young, W.S., III, Mezey, E. and Siegel, R.E. (1986) Neurosci. Lett., 70, 198-203.

Received on May 22, 1990; revised on July 5, 1990