MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE

Transcriptional Regulator TetR21 Controls the Expression of the Staphylococcus aureus LmrS Efflux Pump

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY **and Chemotherapy**[®]

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

Q. C. Truong-Bolduc, Y. Wang, C. Chen, D. C. Hooper

Infectious Diseases Division and Medical Services, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT TetR21 controls the expression of Tet38 and LmrS efflux pumps. A tetR21 mutant, QT21, exhibited a 4-fold increase in the transcription level of lmrS. Staphylococcus aureus lmrS overexpressor showed increases of 4-fold and 2-fold, respectively, in the MICs of chloramphenicol and erythromycin, while the MICs of lmrS mutant QT18 and lmrS-tetR21 mutant QT1821 remained similar to those of parental strain RN6390. TetR21 does not bind to the promoter of lmrS, suggesting indirect regulation of lmrS.

KEYWORDS S. aureus, transporter, TetR21, LmrS, antibiotics

TetR21, a member of the TetR family, represses the expression of the Tet38 efflux pump [\(1\)](#page-3-0). The tetR21 mutant QT21 shows resistance to chloramphenicol and erythromycin that is not attributable to increased expression of Tet38 [\(1\)](#page-3-0). These additional phenotypes suggested that TetR21 also controlled expression of other efflux pumps. Several staphylococcal efflux pumps, such as NorA, NorB, NorC, Tet38, MdeA, SepA, LmrS, and SdrM, contribute to multiple drug resistance (MDR) [\(2](#page-3-1)[–](#page-3-2)[9\)](#page-3-3). LmrS, a major facilitator superfamily (MFS) efflux pump that showed homology with the lincomycin resistance efflux pump of Bacillus and Lactobacillus species, was found to confer resistance to chloramphenicol and erythromycin [\(2,](#page-3-1) [10\)](#page-3-4). In this study, we selected and evaluated seven open reading frames (ORFs) with similarity to staphylococcal MDR pumps from the Staphylococcus aureus strain NCTC8325 genome for their transcription and drug resistance levels in mutant QT21.

We report here the role of LmrS as an additional TetR21-regulated transporter contributing to the chloramphenicol and erythromycin resistance phenotypes in mutant QT21.

All strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) S. aureus was cultivated in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (Difco, Sparks, MD) at 37°C unless otherwise stated. All antibiotics and compounds were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Each MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of antibiotic in a series of 2-fold dilutions that yielded no visible growth after incubation at 37°C for 24 h [\(8\)](#page-3-2). All primers in this study were synthesized at Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) by the MGH Core Facility (Boston, MA).

Construction of mutant strains QT18 (*lmrS***) and QT1821 (***lmrS***,** *tetR21***).** The procedure was carried out as previously described [\(1\)](#page-3-0) using the following *lmrS* primers designed from regions 1 kb upstream and downstream of SAOUHSC_02418 of S. aureus NCTC8325: 5'-TTAATGCATTGGTACCAACAACAGCCATCT-3' (18AF/Kpnl) (Kpnl is underlined), 5'-GAAGGAAATTTTAAAATAATTATAGTAGTT-3' (18BR), 5'-AACTACTATAATTATTT TAAAATTTCCTTCATCATAATTCCTCCTTTTT-3' (18CF) (the complementary sequence of 18BR is underlined), and 5'-ATATCTGAAGTTGAGCTCGGCACATATGTG-3' (18DR/Sacl) (SacI is underlined). The in-frame deletion mutant was named QT18. The lmrS-tetR21 double mutant was created using the same technique as described above with the

Received 29 March 2017 **Returned for modification** 12 May 2017 **Accepted** 30 May 2017

Accepted manuscript posted online 5 June 2017

Citation Truong-Bolduc QC, Wang Y, Chen C, Hooper DC. 2017. Transcriptional regulator TetR21 controls the expression of the Staphylococcus aureus LmrS efflux pump. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e00649-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00649-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00649-17)

Copyright © 2017 American Society for Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv1)

Address correspondence to D. C. Hooper, [dhooper@mgh.harvard.edu.](mailto:dhooper@mgh.harvard.edu)

^aStr^r, streptomycin resistant.

tetR21 mutant strain QT21 as the recipient strain and the same set of *lmrS* primers. The double mutant lmrS-tetR21 was named QT1821.

Construction of *lmrS* **overexpressor.** Primers with inserted EcoRI and BamHI sites (underlined) were 5'-GAGGAATTGAATTCATGATGGCTAAAGTTG-3' (18F-EcoRI) and 5'-A CTATAATTATTGGATCCTTAAAATTTCCT-3' (18R-BamHI). The procedure was done as previously described [\(7\)](#page-3-5). Transformants were selected on LB agar with tetracycline 5 μ g/ml and grown at 30°C. Plasmid pLZ113 was used to generate pLZ113-tetR21 and pLZ113-lmrS for complementation of mutants QT21, QT18, and QT1821.

Real-time reverse transcription-PCR assays. The procedure was performed as previously described with the primers listed in [Table 2](#page-1-1) and with the housekeeping gene gmk as an internal control [\(1\)](#page-3-0). Three independent biological samples were analyzed in triplicate and normalized against gmk gene expression. Statistical analyses were performed based on the Student t test to determine the significance in gene expression values.

TetR21 affects *lmrS* **expression.** tetR21 mutant QT21 showed increases of 4-fold and 2-fold, respectively, in the MICs of tetracycline and palmitoleic acid, both of which are Tet38 pump substrates, and a 2-fold increase in the MICs of chloramphenicol and erythromycin [\(11\)](#page-3-6). The tet38-tetR21 double mutant showed increases in the MICs of only chloramphenicol and erythromycin, suggesting the involvement of one or more

TABLE 2 Relevant real-time PCR primers used in this study

aNormalized values of the tetR21 mutant QT21 over values of the wild-type RN6390 strain. The housekeeping gene gmk was used as the internal control. Each assay was done in triplicate with three separate biological samples.

bThe differences in the gene expression levels between QT21 and RN6390 are statistically significant as determined by a Student's t test ($P < 0.05$).

c HP, hypothetical protein.

other transporters. We evaluated seven ORFs from S. aureus NCTC8325 with similarity to staphylococcal multidrug transporters.

Three adjacent ORFs, SAOUHSC_02418 (LmrS) (conferring resistance to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, and other compounds) [\(2\)](#page-3-1), SAOUHSC_02419 (SepA) (conferring resistance to acriflavine and ethidium bromide) [\(5\)](#page-3-10), and SAOUHSC_02420 (SdrM) (conferring resistance to norfloxacin, acriflavine, and ethidium bromide) [\(9\)](#page-3-3) were chosen together with SAOUHSC_02762 (NorD) [\(12\)](#page-3-11), SAOUHSC_02700 (MdeA) [\(3,](#page-3-12) [13\)](#page-3-13), and SAOUHSC_02740 and SAOUHSC_00246 (which showed 58% and 79% similarity with NorB, respectively).

The tet38 transcripts were 4-fold higher in QT21 than in RN6390. The *lmrS* and sdrM transcripts were, respectively, 4-fold and 3.2-fold higher at 2 h and 3.9-fold and 3.0-fold higher at 5 h of growth in mutant QT21 than in RN6390 [\(Table 3\)](#page-2-0). No significant differences were found for the expression of the other ORFs.

LmrS confers resistance to chloramphenicol and erythromycin. QT21 MICs showed a 2-fold increase for chloramphenicol, erythromycin, rhodamine, chlorhexidine, tetraphenylphosphonium chloride (TPP-Cl), and norfloxacin and an increase of 4-fold for tetracycline. All of these compounds are thought to be substrates of LmrS, except tetracycline (Tet38 substrate) [\(2,](#page-3-1) [10\)](#page-3-4) and norfloxacin (SdrM substrate) [\(9\)](#page-3-3) [\(Table 4\)](#page-3-14).

The overexpressor RN6390 (pSK950-lmrS) showed a 4-fold increase in the MICs of chloramphenicol and TPP-Cl, a 2-fold increase in the MICs of erythromycin, rhodamine, and chlorhexidine. RN6390 (pSK950-sdrM), however, showed no change in the MICs of norfloxacin [\(Table 4\)](#page-3-14) or ethidium bromide (data not shown).

The in-frame deletion mutant *lmrS* (QT18) showed an MIC profile similar to that of RN6390 regarding all substrates of LmrS [\(Table 4\)](#page-3-14). The in-frame deletion double mutant lmrS-tetR21 (QT1821) showed susceptibilities to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, rhodamine, chlorhexidine, and TPP-Cl similar to those of RN6390 and QT18 but retained a 4-fold increase in the tetracycline MIC similar to that of QT21. QT1821 showed a 2-fold increase in the norfloxacin MIC, as was observed with QT21, suggesting additional effects of TetR21 on other resistance determinants. Complementation of QT21, QT18, and QT1821 with cloned plasmids pLZ113-tetR21 and pLZ113-lmrS reversed their sensitivities to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and erythromycin to the parental levels.

Conclusion. TetR21 was initially identified for its ability to regulate tet38 expression, but a tetR21 mutant had additional resistances not attributable to Tet38. Thus, we tested the tetR21 mutant for effects on the expression of other known efflux pump genes and found increased expression of lmrS and sdrM. Overexpression of lmrS but not sdrM from a plasmid generated resistance to chloramphenicol and other LmrS substrates previously reported [\(2,](#page-3-1) [10\)](#page-3-4), and a tetR21-lmrS double mutant eliminated the non–tetracycline resistance phenotypes found in QT21 with the exception of the low-level norfloxacin resistance. Thus, tetR21 regulates tet38 and lmrS in S. aureus and

aNOR, norfloxacin; TET, tetracycline; CHL, chloramphenicol; ERY, erythromycin; Rh, rhodamine 6G; CHG, chlorhexidine; TPP-Cl, tetraphenylphosphonium chloride.

 b All strains harboring plasmid pSK950 were grown in the presence of tetracycline, 5 μ g/ml, and at 30°C.

contributes to multiple low-level resistances. As yet, the mechanism underlying the low-level resistance to norfloxacin has not been identified. TetR21, which bound directly to the putative tet38 promoter [\(1\)](#page-3-0), did not demonstrate binding to the putative promoter of lmrS (data not shown). TetR21 is an additional regulator, like MgrA, that modulates expression of multiple efflux pumps.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by U.S. Public Health Service grants P01-AI083214 (M. Gilmore, principal investigator; subproject to D.C.H.) and R37-AI23988 (to D.C.H.) from the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- 1. Truong-Bolduc QC, Bolduc GR, Medeiros H, Vyas J M, Wang Y, Hooper DC. 2015. Role of the Tet38 efflux pump in Staphylococcus aureus internalization and survival in epithelial cells. Infect Immun 83: 4362– 4372. [https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00723-15.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00723-15)
- 2. Floyd JL, Smith KP, Kumar SH, Floyd JT, Varela MF. 2010. LmrS is a multidrug efflux pump of the major facilitator superfamily from Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:5406 –5412. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00580-10) [doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00580-10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00580-10)
- 3. Huang J, O'Toole PW, Shen W, Amrine-Madsen H, Jiang X, Lobo N, Palmer LM, Voelker L, Fan F, Gwynn MN, McDevitt D. 2004. Novel chromosomally encoded multidrug efflux transporter MdeA in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:909 –917. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.3.909-917.2004) [.org/10.1128/AAC.48.3.909-917.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.3.909-917.2004)
- 4. Kwak YG, Truong-Bolduc QC, Bin KH, Song KH, Kim ES, Hooper DC. 2013. Association of norB overexpression and fluoroquinolone resistance in clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus from Korea. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:2766 –2772. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt286.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt286)
- 5. Narui K, Noguchi N, Wakasugi K, Sasatsu M. 2002. Cloning and characterization of a novel chromosomal drug efflux gene in S. aureus. Biol Pharm Bull 25:1533–1536. [https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.25.1533.](https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.25.1533)
- 6. Neyfakh AA, Borsch CM, Kaatz GW. 1993. Fluoroquinolone resistance protein NorA of Staphylococcus aureus is a multidrug efflux transporter. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 37:128 –129. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.37.1.128) [.37.1.128.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.37.1.128)
- 7. Truong-Bolduc QC, Dunman PM, Strahilevitz J, Projan SJ, Hooper DC. 2005. MgrA is a multiple regulator of two new efflux pumps in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 187:2395–2405. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.7.2395-2405.2005) [.187.7.2395-2405.2005.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.7.2395-2405.2005)
- 8. Truong-Bolduc QC, Strahilevitz J, Hooper DC. 2006. NorC, a new efflux pump regulated by MgrA of Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:1104 –1107. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.3.1104-1107](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.3.1104-1107.2006) [.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.3.1104-1107.2006)
- 9. Yamada Y, Hideka K, Shiota S, Kuroda T, Tsuchiya T. 2006. Gene cloning

and characterization of SdrM, a chromosomally-encoded multidrug efflux pump, from Staphylococcus aureus. Biol Pharm Bull 29:554 –556. [https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.29.554.](https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.29.554)

- 10. Kakarla P, Floyd J, Mukherjee M, Devireddy AR, Inupakutika MA, Ranweera I, Kc R, U'Shrestha Cheeti UR, Willmon TM, Adams J, Bruns M, Gunda SK, Varela MF. 2017. Inhibition of the multidrug efflux pump LmrS from Staphylococcus aureus by cumin spice Cuminum cyminum. Arch Microbiol 199:465– 474. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-016-1314-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-016-1314-5)
- 11. Truong-Bolduc QC, Villet RA, Estabrooks ZA, Hooper DC. 2014. Native efflux pumps contribute resistance to antimicrobials of skin and the ability of Staphylococcus aureus to colonize skin. J Infect Dis 209: 1485–1493. [https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit660.](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jit660)
- 12. Ding Y, Fu Y, Lee JC, Hooper DC. 2012. Staphylococcus aureus NorD, a putative efflux pump coregulated with the Opp1 oligopeptide permease, contributes selectively to fitness in vivo. J Bacteriol 194: 6586 – 6593. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01414-12.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01414-12)
- 13. Mirza ZM, Kumar A, Kalia NP, Zargar A, Khan IA. 2011. Piperine as an inhibitor of the MdeA efflux pump of Staphylococcus aureus. J Med Microbiol 60:1472–1478. [https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.033167-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.033167-0)
- 14. Cassat J, Dunman PM, Murphy E, Projan SJ, Beenken KE, Palm KJ, Yang SJ, Rice KC, Bayles KW, Smeltzer MS. 2006. Transcriptional profiling of a Staphylococcus aureus clinical isolate and its isogenic agr and sarA mutants reveals global differences in comparison to the laboratory strain RN6390. Microbiology 152:3075–3090. [https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.29033-0) [.29033-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.29033-0)
- 15. Monk IR, Shah IM, Xu M, Tan MW, Foster TJ. 2012. Transforming the untransformable: application of direct transformation to manipulate genetically Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. mBio 3:e00277-11. [https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00277-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00277-11)
- 16. Truong-Bolduc QC, Zhang X, Hooper DC. 2003. Characterization of NorR protein, a multifunctional regulator of norA expression in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 185:3127–3138. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.10.3127-3138.2003) [.3127-3138.2003.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.10.3127-3138.2003)