

MYCN-non-amplified metastatic neuroblastoma with good prognosis and spontaneous regression: A molecular portrait of stage 4S

Jean Bénard^{a,c,*}, Gilda Raguénez^{a,1}, Audrey Kauffmann^{b,1}, Alexander Valent^{b,c,1}, Hugues Ripoche^b, Virginie Joulin^b, Bastien Job^b, Gisèle Danglot^b, Sabrina Cantais^a, Thomas Robert^e, Marie-José Terrier-Lacombe^c, Agnès Chassevent^h, Serge Koscielny^d, Matthias Fischerⁱ, Frank Bertholdⁱ, Marc Lipinski^a, Thomas Tursz^f, Philippe Dessen^b, Vladimir Lazar^{e,**}, Dominique Valteau-Couanet^g

^aMolecular Interactions in Cancer CNRS-UMR 8126, IFR54, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France ^bCNRS-FRE, 2939 IFR 54, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France

^cMedical Pathology and Biology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France

^dBiostatistiqcs & Epidemiology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France

^eFuntional Genomics Section, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France

^fGeneral Director, Institut Gustave Roussy, rue C. Desmoulins, 94805 Cedex, France

^gDepartment of Pediatric Oncology, Institut Gustave Roussy, rue C. Desmoulins, 94805 Cedex, France

^hCytometry Section, Centre Paul Papin, rue Moll, Angers, France

ⁱDepartment of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, Children's Hospital, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 July 2008 Accepted 16 July 2008 Available online 23 July 2008

Keywords: Metastatic neuroblastoma Stage 4S Molecular signature

ABSTRACT

Stage 4 neuroblastoma (NB) are heterogeneous regarding their clinical presentations and behavior. Indeed infants (stage 4S and non-stage 4S of age <365 days at diagnosis) show regression contrasting with progression in children (>365 days). Our study aimed at: (i) identifying agebased genomic and gene expression profiles of stage 4 NB supporting this clinical stratification; and (ii) finding a stage 4S NB signature. Differential genome and transcriptome analyses of a learning set of MYCN-non amplified stage 4 NB tumors at diagnosis (n = 29 tumors including 12 stage 4S) were performed using 1Mb BAC microarrays and *Agilent* 22K probes oligo-microarrays. mRNA chips data following filtering yielded informative genes before supervised hierarchical clustering to identify relationship among tumor samples. After confirmation by quantitative RT-PCR, a stage 4S NB's gene cluster was obtained and submitted to a validation set (n = 22 tumors). Genomic abnormalities of infant's tumors (whole chromosomes gains or loss) differ radically from that of children (intra-chromosomal rearrangements) but could not discriminate infants with 4S from those without this presentation. In contrast, differential gene expression by looking at both individual genes and whole biological pathways leads to

¹ Equal contributors.

* Corresponding author. Medical Pathology and Biology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, 39, rue C. Desmoulins, Villejuif 94805 Cedex, France. Tel.: +33 01 4211 4818.

** Corresponding author. Functional Genomics Section, Institut Gustave Roussy, rue C. Desmoulins 94805 Cedex, France. E-mail addresses: benard@igr.fr (J. Bénard), lazar@igr.fr (V. Lazar).

1574-7891/\$ – see front matter © 2008 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2008.07.002

Abbreviations: NB, neuroblastoma; infants, patients <365 days of age at diagnosis of NB; children, patients >365 days of age at diagnosis; stage 4S NB, metastatic NB of neonate or infant with a very special clinical presentation (small primary and hepatic, skin nodules, bone marrow involvement without bone metastasis; [1 yr⁻] stage 4 NB, metastatic NB of infant without stage 4S NB clinical criteria;; [1 yr⁺] stage 4 NB, metastatic NB of children; Q-RT PCR, quantitative reverse transcribed PCR; S.B.I.M.E., Searching Biological Interpretation of Microarrays Experiments' software; DI, DNA index.

a molecular stage 4S NB portrait which provides new biological clues about this fascinating entity.

© 2008 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Neuroblastoma (NB), the most frequent solid tumor of very early childhood (for reviews Schwab et al., 2003; Brodeur, 2003) is diagnosed as a disseminated disease (stage 4) in about 60% of the cases. Unlike stage 4 in the other malignant diseases of childhood or the adult, stage 4 NB at diagnosis elicit three distinct clinical patterns, based on disease distribution and the age of the patients (Evans et al., 1971). On the one hand, there are the infants (<365 days of age) with stage 4S (2-5% of all NB) and the similarly young stage 4 without 4S features hereafter termed "[1 yr⁻] stage 4" who make up 5–10% of the NB population. On the other hand there are the stage 4 children (≥365 days of age) comprising 45% of all NBs who will hereafter be termed "[1 yr⁺] stage 4". These three clinical aspects of stage 4 NB differ in their respective malignant behaviors and associated-prognosis: good for stage 4S and $[1 \text{ yr}^{-}]$ stage 4 (5 years-EFS >80%), and dismal for $[1 \text{ yr}^{+}]$ stage 4 (5 years-EFS of around 30%) despite intensive treatment including high-dose chemotherapy and hematopoietic stemcell transplantation (Matthay et al., 1999; Valteau-Couanet et al., 2005). Noteworthy is the fact that 12 months of age or older had been the criterion for making management decisions for stage 4 NB. Recently, however, an age cutoff of 18 months has been proposed, but so far no molecular genetic criteria are available to uphold this empirical age-based stratification (London et al., 2005).

The so-called 4S stage (for special) is a very rare clinical entity first described by D'Angio et al. (1971). This form of disseminated NB usually affects neonates and infants in the first few months of life. There can be rapid tumor growth often followed by a spontaneous slower tumor regression. Stage 4S is usually diagnosed by an adrenal tumor of small size, associated with widespread disease in the skin and liver with modest bone marrow involvement, or any combination of these features. In stage 4 patients, extensive bone marrow involvement is common and associated more frequently in older patients with bone lesions.

As originally formulated by Brodeur (1995), and further refined by others (Lastowska et al., 2001; Vandesompele et al., 2005), a genetic classification of NB tumors – localized and metastatic as a whole – has been proposed. Type 1 tumors are hyperdiploid due to a whole chromosome set gains and losses resulting from mitotic disjunction, and have a good prognosis. By contrast, tumors of types 2, 3 and 4 display a spectrum of progressively more dismal outcome. They are mainly diploid (except a few tetraploid), and manifest genomic instability with specific structural chromosomal alterations. These include 17q gain and 11q loss without 1p deletion for type 2; 17q gain (Meddeb et al., 1996) and 11q loss with 1p deletion for type 3; and 1p deletion, 17q gain and MYCN amplification (Schwab et al., 1983; Seeger et al., 1985) and a few other alterations for type 4. DNA index and MYCN genomic content are routinely used as criteria for determining prognosis and treatment for infants with stage 4 NB (Bourhis et al., 1991a,b; Ambros et al., 2003). Regarding MYCN-non-amplified [1 yr⁺] stage 4, hyperdiploidy (George et al., 2005) as well as the combination of 1p and 11q deletions (Attiyeh et al., 2005) have been recently demonstrated to be helpful for prognosis.

If DNA microarray technologies (Sturn et al., 2002) may improve prediction of NB outcome (Oberthuer et al., 2006), they may also help to approach the issues raised by the clinical and biological stage 4 NB heterogeneity and, in particular, the spontaneous NB regression of stage 4S. This study was designed, first, to gather and catalog stage 4 NB, age-based genomic and gene expression profiles aiming towards a possible biological rationale for clinical stratification according to the patient's age at diagnosis. Second it aims at obtaining a stage 4S NB molecular portrait that could lead to new biological insights on this intriguing clinical presentation.

2. Results

2.1. Genomic features of children and infants with nonamplified-MYCN stage 4 NB

DNA index analysis of 25 tumor patients (Table 1) yielded mostly two DI peaks in infants and one DI peak in children. Infants stage 4 showed a wide distribution of DI values (over 18 cases, nine hyperdiploid, seven diploid and two tetraploid) while $[1 \text{ yr}^+]$ stage 4 NB were all diploid (6/7) or tetraploid (Table 2). Interestingly, the three stage 4S regressing tumors showed a DI of 1.00.

Out of the 29 tumors that composed the training set, gDNA of 28 was suitable for a BAC-CGH microarrays analysis. Among stage 4S tumors, one diploid (# 10) showed a normal profile without any detectable abnormalities (hereafter designated as "flat") while the 11 others elicited significant genomic abnormalities. Our stage 4 NB series clearly displays an increasing and gradual accumulation of genetic alterations (including whole and intra-chromosomal alterations) from infants to children. Importantly, chromosomes that showed whole loss or gain in hyperdiploid tumors were those eliciting intra-chromosomal loss or gain in near-diploid tumors, as already reported (Tomioka et al., 2003). Infant stage 4 tumors showed an excess of whole chromosomes changes (loss or gain) contrasting with a significant accumulation of intrachromosomal alterations chromosomal abnormalities in children (p < 0.0003; Mann–Whitney test). Gains of 1q, 6p25– 21, and 17q as well as loss of 3p, 8p23 and 11q13.3-25 significantly characterize the [1 yr⁺] stage 4 tumors (Supplementary Table 1). Similar data were obtained using Agilent-oligo 244karray (data not shown).

Table I –	Sum	unmary of patients and tumor specimens characteristics												
	N°	Stage	Sex	Age (days)	Tumor tissue	Tissue origin	DNA index	DNA- Ploidy	Major S phase (%)	SPF class	Evolutive Phase at diagnosis	Chemo-therapy post-diagnosis	Follow-up (months/post- diagnosis)	Present Status (point May 2008)
	1	4s	F	36	PT	Abdominal	1.2	HD	11.9	3	Stable	No	120	А
	2	4s	М	37	MN	Hepatic	1.45, 1.00	HD	nd	nd	Stable	No	57	А
	3	4s	М	46	MN	Hepatic	1.18, 1.00	HD	5.8	2	Progression	No	43	А
	4	4s	М	47	MN	Skin	1.97	Т	10.2	3	Progression	CT	1	Deceased
	5	4s	F	47	MN	Hepatic	1.00, 1.67	D	nd	nd	Unknown	CT	107	А
	6	4s	М	85	PT	Abdominal	1.55, 1.00	HD	2.0	1	Stable	No	18	А
	7	4s	F	99	MN	Skin	1.00, 1.79	D	nd	nd	Stable	CT	67	Deceased
	8	4s	М	108	MN	Hepatic	1.00	D	11.0	3	Stable	CT	44	Deceased
	9	4s	Μ	187	MN	Skin	<u>1.46</u> , 1.00	HD	11.0	3	Progression	CT	60	А
	10	4s	F	277	MN	Hepatic	1.00, 1.41	D	0.6	1	Regression	No	36	А
Infants	11	4s	Μ	285	MN	Hepatic	1.00, 1.16	D	6.5	2	Regression	No	132	А
	12	4s	F	289	PT	Abdominal	1.00	D	0.4	1	Regression	No	194	А
	13	4	F	39	MN	Hepatic	<u>1.53</u> , 1.00	HD	3.0	1	Progression	CT	74	А
	14	4	Μ	58	PT	Paravertebral	<u>1.31</u> , 1.00	HD	16.0	3	Progression	CT	204	А
	15	4	Μ	80	PT	Thoracic	<u>1.57</u> , 1.00	HD	nd	nd	Progression	CT	102	А
	16	4	F	141	PT	Abdominal	nd	nd	nd	nd	Progression	CT	60	А
	17	4	Μ	166	PT	Abdominal	nd	nd	nd	nd	Stable	CT	156	А
	18	4	Μ	302	PT	Abdominal	<u>1.98</u> , 1.00	Т	11.0	3	Progression	CT	72	А
	19	4	F	305	PT	Abdominal	<u>1.33</u> ,1.00	HD	9.0	3	Progression	CT	6	Deceased*
	20	4	Μ	353	MN	Parotidian	<u>1.00</u> , 2.16	D	nd	nd	Progression	CT	96	А
	21	4	Μ	369	MN	Mediastinal	nd	nd	nd	nd	Progression	CT	120	А
	22	4	F	375	PT	Abdominal	1.00	D	nd	nd	Progression	CT	48	А
	23	4	F	488	PT	Abdominal	nd	nd	nd	nd	Progression	CT	180	А
	24	4	М	843	PT	Abdominal	1.00	D	nd	nd	Progression	CT	204	А
Children	25	4	М	881	PT	Abdominal	1.00	D	10.0	3	Progression	CT	2	Deceased
	26	4	Μ	1226	PT	Abdominal	<u>1.90</u> , 1.00	Т	15.0	3	Stable	CT	8	Deceased**
	27	4	М	1433	PT	Abdominal	1.09	D	15.0	3	Progression	CT	8	Deceased
	28	4	F	1978	PT	Abdominal	1.10	D	14.2	3	Progression	CT	48	Deceased
	29	4	F	5063	MN	Clavicular	1.16 + 1.00	D	12.2	3	Progression	CT	78	Deceased

F: female; M: male; PT: primary tumor; MN: metastatic nodule; D: diploid or near-diploid, HD: hyperdiploid, T: tetraploid or near tetraploid; nd: not determined; A: alive. DNA flow cytometry (Chassevent et al., 2001) led to DNA Index (DI) tumor values classified as diploid (1.00 < DI < 1.17), hyperdiploid (1.18 < DI < 1.85) and tetraploid range (DI > 1.86) (Bourhis et al., 1995a). In the DNA index column, when two peaks are measured, the major peak (>50% of area under the two G1 peaks) is underscored.

 $^{\ast}\,$ Not CT responding, renal stenosis at necroscopy.

** Not DOD.



Tumors which harbored a few unclassifiable genomic anomalies in the Lastowska's classification consisted in genotype "flat" or "atypical", in contrast to those fitting with genotypes 1, 2 and 3 criteria. Symbols are related to DNA index value (DI): \odot , hyperdiploid; \bullet , diploid; \bullet , tetraploid. \times means that DI value was not determined. nd: not done. Tumors #: 1–12, 4S; 13–20, [1 yr⁻]; 21–29, [1 yr⁺].

According to the current genetic classification (Lastowska et al., 2001; Vandesompele et al., 2005), 25 tumors were of types 1, 2 and 3. Three infant tumors, however, displayed either no genomic alteration ("flat", n = 1), or abnormalities that did not fit any of the three already proposed genetic types (hereafter designated as "atypical"; n = 2) (Table 2). Remarkably, "flat", "atypical" and type 1 were significantly found in stage 4 infants (15/19 vs 1/9, p = 0.001); conversely, there was a great excess of types 2 and 3 in [1 yr⁺] stage 4 tumors (8/9 vs 4/19).

Finally, in considering results of genomic analysis in either the stage 4S or [1 yr⁻] stage 4 cases whatsoever the array CGH technology used and the DI value, no specific genomic abnormalities discriminated these two clinical infantile types. Thus we searched for differential gene expression by looking at both individual genes and whole biological pathways in using a new software, *Searching Biological Interpretation of Microarrays Experiments*, or S.B.I.M.E (Kauffmann et al., 2008).

2.2. Children stage 4 NB transcript profiling

The 29 tumors of the learning set included the three clinical classes of stage 4 tumors: stage 4S, n = 12, $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4,

n = 8; and children ([1 yr⁺] stage 4, n = 9). Transcriptome analysis was performed using the Agilent 22K long-oligo chips with the pooled tumors signals as reference values. A classification of stage 4 NB was obtained with a set of 144 genes that were differentially regulated across the 29 tumors (Supplementary Figures 1a,b). The [1 yr⁺] stage 4 NB gene classifier (Supplementary Table 2) shows a significant differential upregulation of a set of transcription factors, cell adhesion molecules and several melanoma antigens.

2.3. Stage 4S NB transcripts profiling

A class prediction analysis (BRB software) could discriminate 4S from the non-4S' cohort in a set of 124 genes (Supplementary Table 3) that are differentially regulated across the 29 tumors with a 76-97% correct classification (not shown). A hierarchical clustering with this set of genes shows the tight homogeneity of the 12 stage 4S (Figure 1a, Supplementary Figure 2). Transcript levels of 54 top-ranked (differentially up or down-regulated) genes of this 4S cluster were assessed by Q-RTPCR, 70% of them showing Pearson's correlation coefficients >0.6 (not shown). Combination of gene cluster with the S.B.I.M.E. software - designed to pick up, by statistical testes, the functions, pathways or interaction networks of interest, directly from all the information provided by microarray experiments (Kauffmann et al., 2008) revealed evidence of differentially regulated biological activities in stage 4S NB (Supplementary Tables 4a,b). Among genes related to neuroectodermal functions the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor rho 2 was up-regulated (Figure 2a). Stage 4S tumors also elicited a differential transcript up-regulation of genes (Supplementary Table 3) involved in inflammatory, and immune response: SERPIN5 (Zou et al., 1994), COLEC11 (Stuart et al., 2006) and RAG1 (Gellert, 2002). Similarly, there were found to be differentially activated (Figures 2b,c) the stress-inducible ULBP3 (Eleme et al., 2004) as well as the pro-inflammatory cytokine TSLP1 (Soumelis and Liu, 2004). Three transcription factors were also found to be differentially up-regulated (Figures 2d-f): NFYA (Jin et al., 2001), HMGB1 or HBP1 (Berasi et al., 2004; Scaffidi et al., 2002) and PBX3 (Monica et al., 1991). Strikingly, HBP1 appears to be differentially induced in the three regressing stage 4S tumors (Figure 2f).

Stage 4S tumors also displayed a transcript level up-regulation of genes linked to mitochondrial matrix enzymes required for long chain fatty acids degradation: thiolases (HADHB) (Figure 2g), hydratases, and dehydrogenases (acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, ACADM) (not shown). The differential up-regulation of both cytoplasmic (MGST1, CDO1) and active mitochondrial detoxication processes (PRDX3, ALDH3A2, ALDH2, CLU) also support an intense mitochondrial activity (Supplementary Figure 3).

To identify reliably the genes expressed differentially between infants with stage 4S and [1 yr⁻] stage 4 disease, transcriptomes of these two clinical subtypes were compared. The BRB class prediction analysis led to a set of 45 genes (80–95%, correct classification rate) and a corresponding heatmap was obtained (Figure 1b, Supplementary Figure 4). This stage 4S NB classifier (Table 3), with fold change from -3.1 to 4.5, showed 19 genes that were common with the previous 4S vs non-stage 4S gene set (42%), including NFYA, PBX3 and GABRR2, all genes being significantly validated by QRT-PCR (not shown). A test set



Figure 1 – Supervised hierarchical clustering of stage 4 NB comparing: (a) stage 4S NB to non-stage 4S NB (124 significant genes), (b) stage 4S to $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4 NB (45 significant genes). Each row represents a single gene and each column a tumor. Tumors #: 1–12, 4S; 13–20, $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$; 21–29, $[1 \text{ yr}^+]$. As shown in the color bar, red and green indicate up and down expressions, respectively, black no change, and gray no data available. Red arrow points #19 $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4 NB tumor with a 4S' profile suggesting an atypical stage 4S clinical presentation.

of this stage 4S classifier was then analyzed by QRT-PCR using 22 distinct tumors obtained at diagnosis from infants MYCNnon-amplified stage 4 NB including stage 4S (n' = 12), [1 yr⁻] stage 4 (n'' = 10). This validation analysis yielded a significant correlation with four genes namely GABRR2, NFYA, DRHS8 and AP4E1 (p < 0.05, Student's test).

When applied to common biological databases, S.B.I.M.E tool indicates active lipid metabolism in infants stage 4 (not shown) and an active citric acid cycle in stage 4S tumors, as assessed by differential increases in transcript levels of HMGCoA lyase (HMGCL) and acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase (ACAT1), fumarase, FH and glutamate dehydrogenase GLUD1 and GLUD2 (Supplementary Table 4). Moreover, S.B.I.M.E data indicate that $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4 NB shows Ras-independent pathway in NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity and increase T cell response activity (Table 4).

3. Discussion

3.1. Genomic characteristics of non-amplified-MYCN stage 4 NB

Non-hyperdiploid stage 4 tumors in patients older than 12 months of age exhibit an excess of intra-chromosomal alterations, in contrast to the mostly hyperdiploid infantile stage 4

patients aged under 12 months whose tumors show whole chromosome changes (losses or gains). Strikingly, among the 20 tumors in infants, one showed a "flat" profile and two others were "atypical" regarding to the Brodeur-Lastowska-Vandesompele's classification (Brodeur, 1995; Lastowska et al., 2001; Vandesompele et al., 2005). Significantly, chromosomal types of infant tumors are type 1, "flat", or "atypical", while childhood tumors exclusively show types 2 or 3. The other 3 tetraploid tumors of the series fall into types 2 or 3, in agreement with a progressive disease as reported (Bourhis et al., 1991a,b). The combination of DI values for the four genotypes do not, however, improve discrimination between infants and children (Table 2). Noteworthy, two among three infants with tumors showing intra-chromosomal alterations followed a fatal course. One of these two tumors was type 3 (case # 4) and the other (case # 8) was of an atypical type very close to type 3 (-1p and +17qwithout containing -11q). This latter child died of disease 3 years after a marked regression of both the primary tumor and the hepatomegaly and despite careful monitoring over 2 years. This case indicates that intra-chromosomal alterations did not develop de novo with time but were present at diagnosis. Today, MYCN genomic content is the only criterion used to eliminate type 4, but is of no help for prognosis of infants with MYCNnon-amplified stage 4 disease. On the basis of the genomic data here presented, we propose a systematic genotyping to improve management of infants with stage 4 NB. Such a pangenomic CGH array stratification is feasible and easily generated from



Figure 2 – Expression profiling of top genes selected using gene classifier and *S.B.I.M.E.* analysis in each individual tumor of the patients cohort. For a given gene, the value of each individual bar of histogram represents the log ratio of the tumor expression signal as compared to that of the pool. Student's test yielded 2 *p* values, respectively 4s *vs* non-4s and 4s *vs* [1 yr⁻], as indicated in brackets. Tumors #: 1–12, 4S (blue bars); 13–20, [1 yr⁻] (yellow bars); 21–29, [1 yr⁺] (hatched bars). # 10, 11 & 12 tumors are in regression (*). Red arrow points #19 [1 yr⁻] stage 4 NB tumor. a: GABRR2 [7 10⁻⁴; 10⁻⁴], b: ULBP3 [4 10⁻⁴; 3 10⁻³]; c: TSLP1[3 10⁻⁴; 1.5 10⁻²]; d: NFYA [9 10⁻⁴; 3 10⁻⁴]; e: PBX3 [4 10⁻⁵; 6 10⁻⁴]; f: HBP1 [4 10⁻⁴; 7 10⁻³]; g: HADHB [9 10⁻³; 7 10⁻²]; h: HMGCL [4 10⁻³; 10⁻¹].

gDNA obtained from fine needle-biopsies at diagnosis. This would yield genotypes that would be used for clinical decision-making, as currently demonstrated by an European study carried out on a very large cohort of all NB patients on one hand (Janoueix et al., in press) as well as specifically in infants NB (Schleiermacher et al., 2007, submitted). A "flat" genotype or one showing whole chromosome changes (type 1) would call for careful observation alone or minimal therapy. Intrachromosomal rearrangements (types 2 and 3 and "atypical" with pejorative genetics markers, i.e., -1p, +17q) would demand active monitoring and a therapeutic strategy similar to that adopted for MYCN-amplified NB (type 4).

SymbolTitleAccession numberJoc chromPolicy hangemImmune response <th>Table 3 – Different</th> <th>ially expressed genes ($n = 45$) between stage 4S and [1 yr</th> <th>r[–]] stage 4 neuroblastoma</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Table 3 – Different	ially expressed genes ($n = 45$) between stage 4S and [1 yr	r [–]] stage 4 neuroblastoma		
Immu responseviewviewviewIPNGInterferon gammaNML 00003612014-1.6SERDN1Serine protein 11NML 00033611p13-1.8SERDN1Se old receptor downstream signaling 1NML 0120104 q13.2-3.0BMDG1Sel receptor downstream signaling 1NML 00203667.13.32.5PRX3Pres-Scell luedwrini transcription factor 3NML 00205067.23.32.5SignalingViewView1.52.5CDC123722Hypothetical proteinNML 0020345741.62.0PTPAA1Pres-Scell luedwrini transcription factor 3NML 00369114q32-1.6CDC123722Hypothetical proteinNML 00369114q32-1.6CDC123722Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein2NML 00579114q32-1.6CAPACNML 00579Data 1.82.52.52.5CSC20Member of the endonuclease V familyA.21 PS243317q25.3-1.8CSC20Solut carrier family 36 member 2NML 01570Spc12.1-1.6CSC20Solut carrier family 36 member 2NML 015807Spc12.1-2.2CSC20Solut carrier family 36 member 2NML 015807Spc12.1-2.2CSC20 </th <th>Symbol</th> <th>Title</th> <th>Accession number</th> <th>Loc chrom</th> <th>Fold change</th>	Symbol	Title	Accession number	Loc chrom	Fold change
IPNGINL 0000191214-1.6SREPNINSerie protein 1NNL 0002363q.6.1-1.6RAG2Recombination activating geneNNL 00023611.91.3-1.8BCO39725Protein with an immunoglobulin (fg) domainsBCO39725BRDC1Benceptor downstream signaling 1NNL 01220841.32.2BRDC2Benceptor downstream signaling 1NNL 00250559.3.3.2.5-PR37Pre-8-cell leukemia transcription factor 3NML 0003636q.12.2.0DC139722Hypothetical proteinENST0000033457415.25.2.2.8PT74A1Pr. tyrosine phosphatse type IVA member1NML 0036316q.12.1.8APRE1Adaptor related p.r. complex 4 subunit reginitionCA74960415.621.2.1.8SLC56A2Solute carrier family 36 member 2NML 00373114.923.3.18SLC56A2Connemin 2NML 015259K241.2.2.2C662Connemin 42NML 015250K242.3.2.2PART1Protein-couplet dresptor 133NML 0156905q.12.1.2.2PLKSSDelydrogenas/reductase 2(ReISDR2)NML 0156914q.22.1.2.3PLKSContein - Couplet receptor 133NML 01523212.91.2.1.6PLKSDelydrogenas/reductase 2(ReISDR2)NML 01523212.91.2.1.6PLKSDelydrogenas/reductase 2(ReISDR2)NML 01523212.91.2.1.6PLKSDelydrogenas/reductase 2(ReISDR2)NML 015633<	Immune response				
SLRPINIASNL 0050253p26.11.6RAG2Recombination activating geneNAL 0053611p131.8BC03775Protein with an immunoglobulin (pl domainBC03775	IFNG	Interferon gamma	NM_000619	12q14	-1.6
RAG2 Recombination activating gene NM_000336 11p13 -1.8 BC039725 Protein with an immunopoloulin (d) domain BC039725 - <td>SERPINI1</td> <td>Serine protein 1</td> <td>NM_005025</td> <td>3q26.1</td> <td>-1.6</td>	SERPINI1	Serine protein 1	NM_005025	3q26.1	-1.6
BC039725 Protein with an immuno_globulin (bg domain BC030725 -	RAG2	Recombination activating gene	NM 000536	11p13	-1.8
BRDC1B.RCli receptor downstream signaling 1NM_0121084q13.2-3.0Transcription factor 3NM_0025056p21.32.5PRX3Pre-3-cell leukemia transcription factor 3NM_00051956p21.32.5PRX3Pre-3-cell leukemia transcription factor 3NM_00051956p21.32.5PRX4Pr. tyosine phosphatase type IVA member1NM_00052916q12.22.8TNFAPZTumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein2NM_00529114q321.6AV4811Adaptor -falted pr. complex 4 subuit repain1CR74960415q21.2-1.8RASGRP1RAS guaryi releasing proteinNM_00529115q151.8SLC36A2Solute carrier family 36 member 2NM_01550715q152.0PART1Postate androgen-regulated transcript 1NM_0162506q12.1-2.2EGTL6EGT-like-domain, multiple 6NM_015507NQ222.4PART1Forstate androgen-regulated transcript 1NM_01625312q12.4.331.7A,23,F612881.6BCCBrohr of CDOMM_0320612q12.4.32-2.0Muscle developmentINM_0152312q12.4.33-1.6CASQ2Caleguestri 2NM_001291414q121.6CASQ2Caleguestri 2NM_0020612q13.4-1.6CMSCCaleguestri 2NM_0020612q13.4-1.6CK74NM_0020612q13.4-1.6CK74NM_0020612q13.4-1.6C	BC039725	Protein with an immunoglobulin (Ig) domain	BC039725	-	-2.0
Transcription factors International and the second of the se	BRDG1	B cell receptor downstream signaling 1	NM 012108	4q13.2	-3.0
NFXA Nuclear transcription factor Y alpha NM_00205 6p21.3 2.5 PBX3 Pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor 3 NM_006195 9q33-q34 2.1 Signaling U ENST00000334574 15q25.2 2.8 PTPA1 Pr. tyrosine phosphatase type IVA member1 NM_006291 14q32 -1.6 AP4E1 Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 subunit espiolon CR749604 15q21.2 -1.8 RJS2200 Member of the endonuclease V family A.23, P34333 17q5.3 -1.8 RJS2201 RAS guany releasing protein NM_0037602 6q15-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostarier family 36 member 2 NM_015050 5q12.1 -2.2 CK52 Connexin 62 NM_015050 5q12.1 -2.2 PART1 Prostarier family 36 member 2 NM_016245 4q22.1 2.3 CK52 Connexin 62 NM_016245 14q2.2 -2.0 PART1 Prostarier family 36 NM_016245 4q22.1 2.3 CFR13 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM_018230	Transcription facto	rs		•	
PEX3 Pre-B-cell leukenia transcription factor 3 NM_006195 9q33-q34 2.1 Signaling Inclusion Inclusion <td>NFYA</td> <td>Nuclear transcription factor Y alpha</td> <td>NM_002505</td> <td>6p21.3</td> <td>2.5</td>	NFYA	Nuclear transcription factor Y alpha	NM_002505	6p21.3	2.5
Signaling Number of the constraint of the co	PBX3	Pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor 3	NM_006195	- 9q33–q34	2.1
L PTP4A1 Pr. tyrosine phosphatase tyre IVA member1 NM.0034674 15q25. 2.8 PTP4A1 Pr. tyrosine phosphatase tyre IVA member1 NM.003463 6q12 2.0 INFAH2 Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein2 NM.003663 16q211.6 AP4E1 Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 subunit epsilon1 CR749604 15q21.2 -1.8 FUJS520 Member of the endouclease V family A.2.2.P32433 17q25.3 -1.8 RASCRP1 RAS guaryl releasing protein NM.065739 15q15 -1.8 SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 NM.0187761.9 CK62 Connexin 62 NM.032602 6q15-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM.016590 5q12.1 -2.2 ECFL6 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM.015507 Xp22 -2.4 Metabolism ULL DHRS8 Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(ketSDR2) NM.016245 4q22.1 2.3 GPR133 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM.198827 12q24.33 1.7 A.23.P61288 A.2.3.P612881.6 BOC Brother of CD0 NM.03254 3q13.2 -1.8 KLRF1 Klller cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 NM.016523 12p12.3-1.3.2 -2.0 Muscle develoment ULL CFL2 Cofilin 2 NM.03254 3q13.2 -1.8 KLRF1 Klller cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 NM.016232 12p12.3-1.3.2 -2.0 Muscle develoment ULL CFL2 Cofilin 2 NM.02026 12q13 -1.8 CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM.02026 12q13 -1.8 CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM.02026 12q13 -1.8 CMSQ1 Integrin alpha 7 NM.00206 12q13 -1.6 CMRR2 Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM.02026 12q13 -1.6 CMRR2 Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM.00206 12q13 -1.5 Unknown function A.2.3.P15851 - C.5 STML8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM.024850 5q35.3 2.4 MCCS0721 Hypothetical protein NM.173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 VX102 protein 2 NM.02032 6q14-q21 4.5 KCNMB1 Simularity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM.024850 5q35.3 2.4 MCCS0721 Hypothetical protein NM.173801 11q12.1 -1.6 HJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM.173805 4q15.2 -1.6 FJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM.173805 4q24.3 -1.7 KL	Signaling	•		• •	
PTM1 Pr. yrosine phosphatase type IVA member1 NM. 003463 6q.12 2.0 TNFAIP2 Tumor necrosis factor ajha-induced protein2 NM. 006291 14q32 -16 APIE1 Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 submit epailon1 CR/4604 15q21.2 -18 FJJS5220 Member of the endonuclease V family A.22,P32433 17q25.3 -18 SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 NM. 03760 6q15-q16 -20 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM. 016590 5q12.1 -22 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM. 016590 5q12.1 -22 PART3 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM.198827 12q24.33 1.7 A.23.P6128 - - - - BOC Brother of CDO NM.01823 3q13.2 - - A23.P6128 - - - - - CASQ Calsequestrin 2 NM.001232 131.3 - - - Muscle developmeut -	LOC123722	Hypothetical protein	ENST00000334574	15g25.2	2.8
TNPAIP2 Tumor necrois factor alpha-induced protein2 NM. 006291 14322 -1.6 AP4E1 Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 subunit epsilon1 CR749604 15q21.2 -1.8 RJS520 Member of the endonuclease V family A.23.732433 17q25.3 -1.8 RASCRP1 RAS guanyl releasing protein NM. 005799 15q1.5 -1.9 CX52 Connexin 62 NM. 016590 5q12.1 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM. 016590 5q12.1 -2.2 EGR16 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM.016590 5q12.1 -2.2 DHRS8 Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(RetSDR2) NM.016245 4q22.1 2.3 GPR13 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM.038254 3q13.2 -1.6 BOC Brother of CDO NM.032554 3q13.2 -1.6 IGAG2 Caleguestrin 2 NM.001232 191.3-911.1 -1.6 IGAG3 Galeguestrin 2 NM.002206 12q13 -1.6 IGAG2 Caleguestrin 2 NM.002321 <t< td=""><td>PTP4A1</td><td>Pr. tyrosine phosphatase type IVA member1</td><td>NM_003463</td><td>6q12</td><td>2.0</td></t<>	PTP4A1	Pr. tyrosine phosphatase type IVA member1	NM_003463	6q12	2.0
AP4E1 Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 subunit epsilon1 CR749604 1521.2 -1.8 FU35200 Member of the endonuclease V family A.23_P32433 17q25.3 -1.8 RASGRP1 RAS gunyl releasing protein M.005739 15q15 -1.8 SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 MM.181776 - - -1.9 CX62 Connextin 62 M.0032602 6q15-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 M.016590 5q12.1 -2.2 EGF16 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM.015807 kq22.1 2.3 Metabolizm T - -1.6 -1.6 DERS3 G protein-coupled receptor 133 MM.018927 42q2.3 -1.8 RLRF1 KIBr Cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 MM.016523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muscle development ML01527 14g12 1.8 CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM.001232 1p33-p11 -1.6 CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM.002043 6q14-q	TNFAIP2	Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein2	NM 006291	14q32	-1.6
FLJ35220 Member of the endonuclease V family A.23_P32433 17q25.3 -1.8 RASGRP1 RAS guanyl releasing protein NM_005739 15q15 -1.8 SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 NM_018507 -	AP4E1	Adaptor-related pr. complex 4 subunit epsilon1	 CR749604	15q21.2	-1.8
RASGRP1 RAS guanyl releasing protein NM_005739 15q15 -1.8 SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 NM_181776 - - -1.9 CX562 Connexin 62 NM_032602 6q15-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM_015507 Xp22 -2.4 Metabolism - - - 1.6 DHNS8 Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(RetSDR2) NM_016523 12p12-313.2 1.6 BOC Brother of CDO NM_033254 3q13.2 1.8 1.6 BOC Brother of CDO NM_015233 12p12-3-13.2 2.0 Muscle development - 1.6	FLI35220	Member of the endonuclease V family	A 23 P32433	17a25.3	-1.8
SLC36A2 Solute carrier family 36 member 2 NM_181776 - - - - - - 1.9 CX62 Connexin 62 NM_032602 6915-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM_015507 Xp22 -2.4 Metabolism - 2.3 - - - - - - - - - - - - 1.6 -	RASGRP1	RAS guanyl releasing protein	NM 005739	15a15	-1.8
CX62 Connexin 62 NM_032602 6q15-q16 -2.0 PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM_015500 Sq12.1 -2.2 EGFL6 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM_0165500 Sq12.1 -2.2 Metabolism 1 NM_016245 4q22.1 2.3 CRN133 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM_198827 12q24.33 1.7 A_23_P61288 - - -1.6 BOC Brother of CDO NM_032524 3q13.2 -1.8 KLRF1 Killer cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 NM_0012523 1p13.3-p11 -1.6 GCASQ Calsequestrin 2 NM_001232 1p13.3-p11 -1.6 CTCA7 Integrin alpha 7 NM_002206 12q13 -1.8 MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_002206 12q34 -1.5 Unknown function A_23_P158513 - 2.5 Sq35.3 2.4 MGCS0721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0	SLC36A2	Solute carrier family 36 member 2	NM 181776	_	-1.9
PART1 Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1 NM_016590 Sq12.1 -2.2 EGFL6 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM_015507 Xp22 -2.4 Metabolism -	CX62	Connexin 62	NM 032602	6a15-a16	-2.0
EGFL6 EGF-like-domain, multiple 6 NM_015507 Xp22 -2.4 Metabolism	PART1	Prostate androgen-regulated transcript 1	NM 016590	5a12.1	-2.2
Metabolism International procession International procession International procession DHRS8 Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(RetSDR2) NM_016245 4q22.1 2.3 GPR133 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM_198827 12q24.33 1.7 A_23_P61288 - -1.6 -1.6 DC Brother of CDO NM_016523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muscle development CFI2 Coflin 2 NM_010523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muscle development CFI2 Coflin 2 NM_010232 1p13.3-p11 -1.6 TGA7 Integrin alpha 7 NM_00206 12q13 -1.8 MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_079422 2q33-q34 -2.0 Synaptic transmission Gama-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM_004137 5q34 -1.5 Unknown function A_23_P158513 - 2.5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 1.4 CGorf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_173801 16[12.1 -1.6	EGFL6	EGF-like-domain, multiple 6	NM 015507	Xp22	-2.4
DHRS8 Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(RetSDR2) NM_016245 4q22.1 2.3 GPR133 G protein-coupled receptor 133 NM_198827 12q24.33 1.7 A_23_P61288 - - 1.6 500 Sq13.2 -1.6 BOC Brother of CDO NM_03254 3q13.2 -1.8 KLRF1 Killer cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 NM_016523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muccle development - - 1.6 -	Metabolism				
GPR133 Carbon function Harmonic for the second of the sec	DHRS8	Dehydrogenase/reductase 2(RetSDR2)	NM 016245	4022.1	2.3
A. 23. Pf1288 - <	GPR133	G protein-coupled receptor 133	NM 198827	12024 33	1.7
BOC Brother of CDO NM_033254 3q13.2 -1.8 KLRF1 Killer cell lectin-like receptor F member 1 NM_016523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muscle development -	A 23 P61288	-	A 23 P61288	-	-16
KLRF1 Killer cell letin-like receptor F member 1 NM_016523 12p12.3-13.2 -2.0 Muscle development	BOC	Brother of CDO	NM 033254	3013.2	-1.8
Muscle development Importance Importance <th< td=""><td>KLRF1</td><td>Killer cell lectin-like receptor F member 1</td><td>NM 016523</td><td>12p12.3–13.2</td><td>-2.0</td></th<>	KLRF1	Killer cell lectin-like receptor F member 1	NM 016523	12p12.3–13.2	-2.0
CFL2 Cofilin 2 NM_021914 14q12 1.8 CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM_001232 1p13.3-p11 -1.6 ITGA7 Integrin alpha 7 NM_002206 12q13 -1.8 MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_079422 2q33-q34 -2.0 Synaptic transmission Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM_002043 6q14-q21 4.5 KCNMB1 b1subunit calcium-activated K+ channel NM_004137 5q34 -1.5 Unknown function A_23_P158513 - 2.5 5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST00000327992 15q11.2 1.4 GGorf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000882 20q13.33 -1.6 GSorf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3	Muscle developmer	nt			
CASQ2 Calsequestrin 2 NM_001232 1p13.3-p11 -1.6 ITGA7 Integrin alpha 7 NM_002206 12q13 -1.8 MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_0079422 2q33-q34 -2.0 Synaptic transmission	CFL2	Cofilin 2	NM 021914	14a12	1.8
ITGA7 Integrin alpha 7 NM_002066 12q13 -1.8 MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_079422 2q33-q34 -2.0 Synaptic transmission	CASO2	Calsequestrin 2	NM 001232	1p13.3-p11	-1.6
MYL1 Myosin, light polypeptide 1 NM_079422 2q33-q34 -2.0 Synaptic transmission	ITGA7	Integrin alpha 7	NM 002206	12013	-1.8
Synaptic transmission IPP 1 GABRR2 Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM_002043 6q14-q21 4.5 KCNMB1 b1subunit calcium-activated K+ channel NM_004137 5q34 -1.5 Unknown function - 2.3 2.5 5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST00000327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 GYBP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BK640900 - - - KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BK640900 - - - - <td>MYL1</td> <td>Myosin, light polypeptide 1</td> <td>NM 079422</td> <td>2a33–a34</td> <td>-2.0</td>	MYL1	Myosin, light polypeptide 1	NM 079422	2a33–a34	-2.0
Optimized State Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2 NM_002043 6q14-q21 4.5 KCNMB1 b1subunit calcium-activated K+ channel NM_004137 5q34 -1.5 Unknown function A_23_P158513 - 2.5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST00009327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178801 11q12.1 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ2825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 GYBP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 PR01257 Hypothetical protein AF116629 1q24.1 -1.7 CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AI833291 2q24.3	Synaptic transmiss	ion		-1 1	
KCNMB1 b1subunit calcium-activated K+ channel NM_004137 5q34 -1.5 Unknown function A_23_P15851 Unknown function A_23_P158513 - 2.5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST0000327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 C9orf52 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 C9orf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein	GABRR2	Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor rho 2	NM 002043	6a14-a21	4.5
Unknown function A_23_P15851 - 2.5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST0000327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 CSorf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 RIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 RIAA0125 Hypothetical protein AL1833291 2q24.3 -1.7 RYBA Gardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7	KCNMB1	b1subunit calcium-activated K+ channel	NM 004137	5034	-1.5
A.23_P15851 Unknown function A.23_P158513 - 2.5 BTNL8 Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1 NM_024850 5q35.3 2.4 MGC50721 Hypothetical protein NM_173806 16p12.1 2.0 X102 X102 protein ENST0000327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 CSorf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 RVA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 RVA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.	Unknown function			1	
BTNL8Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1NM_0248505q35.32.4MGC50721Hypothetical proteinNM_17380616p12.12.0X102X102 proteinENST000032799215q11.21.4C6orf165Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165NM_1788236q15-1.6FLJ36198Hypothetical proteinNM_17380111q12.1-1.6MGC26816Hypothetical proteinNM_15261322q13.2-1.6FLJ0825Unknown functionAK00083220q13.33-1.6C9orf52Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52NM_1525749p22.3-1.7R7BPSimilarity with D13Bxg1146eBX6409001.7KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM_15245916p13.3-3.1	A 23 P15851	Unknown function	A 23 P158513	-	2.5
MGC50721Hypothetical proteinNM_17380616p12.12.0X102X102 proteinENST000032799215q11.21.4C6orf165Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165NM_1788236q15-1.6FLJ36198Hypothetical proteinNM_17380111q12.1-1.6MGC26816Hypothetical proteinNM_15261322q13.2-1.6FLJ20825Unknown functionAK00083220q13.33-1.6C9orf52Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52NM_1525749p22.3-1.7R7BPSimilarity with D13Bxg1146eBX6409001.7KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916p13.3-3.1	BTNL8	Similarity with butyrophylin Btn1a1	NM 024850	5035.3	2.4
X102 X102 protein ENST0000327992 15q11.2 1.4 C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 C9orf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 K1AA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 PR01257 Hypothetical protein AF116629 1q24.1 -1.7 CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 FLJ23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.8 C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	MGC50721	Hypothetical protein	NM 173806	16p12.1	2.0
C6orf165 Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165 NM_178823 6q15 -1.6 FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 C9orf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 PR01257 Hypothetical protein AF116629 1q24.1 -1.7 CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 FLJ23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.8 C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	X102	X102 protein	ENST0000327992	15a11.2	1.4
FLJ36198 Hypothetical protein NM_173801 11q12.1 -1.6 MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 C9orf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 R7DP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 PR01257 Hypothetical protein AF116629 1q24.1 -1.7 CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 FLJ23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.8 C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	C6orf165	Chromosome 6 open reading frame 165	NM 178823	6a15	-1.6
MGC26816 Hypothetical protein NM_152613 22q13.2 -1.6 FLJ20825 Unknown function AK000832 20q13.33 -1.6 C9orf52 Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52 NM_152574 9p22.3 -1.7 R7BP Similarity with D13Bxg1146e BX640900 - -1.7 KIAA0125 Hypothetical protein NM_014792 14q32.33 -1.7 PR01257 Hypothetical protein AF116629 1q24.1 -1.7 CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 FLJ23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.8 C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	FLJ36198	Hypothetical protein	NM 173801	11a12.1	-1.6
FLJ20825Unknown functionAK00083220q13.33-1.6C9orf52Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52NM_1525749p22.3-1.7R7BPSimilarity with D13Bxg1146eBX6409001.7KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916m13.3-3.1	MGC26816	Hypothetical protein	NM 152613	22013.2	-16
C9orf52Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52NM_1525749p22.3-1.7R7BPSimilarity with D13Bxg1146eBX6409001.7KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916m13.3-3.1	FLI20825	Unknown function	AK000832	20a13.33	-1.6
R7BPSimilarity with D13Bxg1146eBX6409001.7KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916p13.3-3.1	C9orf52	Chromosome 9 open reading frame 52	NM 152574	9p22.3	-1.7
KIAA0125Hypothetical proteinNM_01479214q32.33-1.7PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916p13.3-3.1	R7BP	Similarity with D13Bxg1146e	BX640900	-	-1.7
PR01257Hypothetical proteinAF1166291q24.1-1.7CMYA3Cardiomyopathy associated A3AL8332912q24.3-1.7FLJ23550Hypothetical proteinNM_0250631q24.3-1.8C15orf25Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25A_23_P5815715q15.1-2.3MGC45438Unknown functionNM 15245916m13.3-3.1	KIA A0125	Hypothetical protein	NM 014792	14032 33	_1.7
CMYA3 Cardiomyopathy associated A3 AL833291 2q24.3 -1.7 FLJ23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.8 C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	PRO1257	Hypothetical protein	AF116629	1024.1	_17
FL/23550 Hypothetical protein NM_025063 1q24.3 -1.7 FL/23550 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -31	CMYA3	Cardiomyopathy associated A3	AL833291	2024 3	_1.7
C15orf25 Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25 A_23_P58157 15q15.1 -2.3 MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16p13.3 -3.1	FLI23550	Hypothetical protein	NM 025063	1024.3	_1.7
MGC45438 Unknown function NM 152459 16n13 3 -31	C15orf25	Chromosome 15 open reading frame 25	A 23 P58157	15015 1	-2.3
	MGC45438	Unknown function	NM 152459	16p13.3	-3.1

Top-ranked genes of the stage 4S NB cluster (up- and down-regulated). Genes listed are statistically significant (t-test *p*-value <0.001) as reported by BRB Array Tools after combination of the arrays within Rosetta Resolver® system for gene expression data analysis. Genes are classified by biological function, as reported in the 22K Agilent annotation. Functional annotation was performed using the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). Fold change is calculated as the $4S/[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4 ratio. Negative fold change means the $\log(Cy5$ labeled $[1 \text{ yr}^-]/Cy3$ labeled pool) ratio is higher than that of 4S. Underlined genes are common with those of the 4S vs non-4S genes' set.

3.2. Molecular features of stage 4S NB

Except Dein, a single novel gene with high expression in stage 4S NB (Voth et al., 2007), no genes set identifying this subtype of

disease has been reported so far. Our transcriptome analysis confirms the marked difference of genomic alterations within stage 4 NB between infants and children and thus validates the age-based clinical classification. However a small number

Ng Ngc Ns % Z P Genes BioCarta Ras-independent pathway in NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity 17 17 4 23.5 4.84 1.32E-06 PTPN6 ↓ SYK ↓ LAT ↓ KLRC1 ↓	Table 4 – Immune response fun	ction	al an	alys	is disc	rimina	ting stage 4	IS (n = 1)	12) from [1	l yr [–]] stag	e 4 ($n = 8$)	according to S	S.B.I.M.E. tool
BioCarta Ras-independent pathway in 17 17 4 23.5 4.84 1.32E-06 PTPN6 ↓ SYK ↓ LAT ↓ KLRC1 ↓ NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity		Ng	Ngc	Ns	%	Ζ	Р	Genes					
Ras-independent pathway in 17 17 4 23.5 4.84 1.32E-06 PTPN6 ↓ SYK ↓ LAT ↓ KLRC1 ↓ NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity	BioCarta												
NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity	Ras-independent pathway in	17	17	4	23.5	4.84	1.32E-06	PTPN6	↓ SYK	↓ LAT	↓ KLRC1	₽	
	NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity												
T cytotoxic cell surface 12 10 2 20 3.25 1.14E-03 CD28 ↓ THY1 ↓ molecules	T cytotoxic cell surface molecules	12	10	2	20	3.25	1.14E-03	CD28	↓ THY1	₽			
E2F1 destruction pathway 9 9 2 22.2 3.24 1.20E-03 SKP2 ↑ CUL1 ↑	E2F1 destruction pathway	9	9	2	22.2	3.24	1.20E-03	SKP2	↑ CUL1	↑			
T helper cell surface molecules 12 10 2 20 3.15 1.63E-03 CD28 ↓ THY1 ↓	T helper cell surface molecules	12	10	2	20	3.15	1.63E-03	CD28	↓ THY1	↓			
Role of Tob in T cell activation 15 12 2 16.7 3.1 1.91E-03 IFNG ↓ CD28 ↓	Role of Tob in T cell activation	15	12	2	16.7	3.1	1.91E-03	IFNG	↓ CD28	↓			
Gene ontology – biological process	Gene ontology – biological process												
Defense response 98 77 7 9.1 3.13 1.73E-03 IFNG ↓ MX2 ↓ IFNA21 FLJ10979 ↑ PTPRCAP ↓ CD84 ↓ SP140 ↓	Defense response	98	77	7	9.1	3.13	1.73E-03	IFNG	↓ MX2	↓ IFNA21	FLJ10979	↑ ↑ PTPRCAP ↓	↓ CD84 ↓ SP140 ↓
Humoral immune response 28 25 3 12 2.7 6.86E-03 CD28 ↓ BRDG1 ↓ LTF ↓	Humoral immune response	28	25	3	12	2.7	6.86E-03	CD28	↓ BRDG1	↓ LTF	↓		
Integrin-mediated 45 39 4 10.3 2.61 9.03E-03 ITGB7 ITGB3 \$YK ↓ signaling pathway	Integrin-mediated signaling pathway	45	39	4	10.3	2.61	9.03E-03	ITGB7	↓ ITGA7	↓ ITGB3	↓ SYK	Ų	
Gene ontology – molecular function	Gene ontology – molecular function												
MHC class I receptor activity 13 11 2 18.2 2.85 4.36E-03 KLRF1 ↓ ULBP3 ↑	MHC class I receptor activity	13	11	2	18.2	2.85	4.36E-03	KLRF1	↓ ULBP3	€			
$4s < [1 yr^{-}] stage 4 \qquad \downarrow$	$4s < [1 yr^{-}] stage 4$	↓											
$4s > [1 yr^{-}] stage 4$ \uparrow	$4s > [1 yr^{-}] stage 4$	↑											

Using ANOVA, only functional categories with a *p*-value <0.01 were selected according to the *Z*-score (comparison of the observed percentage to a randomized set of theoretical percentages). Each row corresponds to a category found by S.B.I.M.E. Kauffmann et al. (2008), ordered by increasing *p*-value. "Ng" = genes number in the functional category. "Ngc" = genes number present in the data set. "Ngc" > "Ng" if there are several oligonucleotides for the same gene on the array. "Ns" = number of significantly differentially expressed genes. "%" is the percentage of genes significantly expressed (Ns/Ngc * 100). "P" = *p*-value associated to the *Z*-score calculation, i.e., the probability to find this functional category significant by chance. Following this column, the S.B.I.M.E. output file normally presents all the genes (not shown).

of [1 yr⁺] stage 4 tumor specimens at diagnosis prevents us from validating the proposed 18-month cut-off age for discriminating between high risk and non-high risk NB (London et al., 2005). A recent study of MYCN-non-amplified stage 4 NB shows that tumors transcriptome profiling can identify subgroups with different outcomes (Asgharzadeh et al., 2006).

The combination of genes clusterings with the S.B.I.M.E. data provides a molecular stage 4S NB portrait in facets regarding neuro-ectodermal embryogenesis in agreement with recent findings (Fischer et al., 2006), mitochondrial and fatty acids metabolism activities, transcription factors expression and inflammatory/immune response.

Differential up-regulation of PBX3 and GABRHO2 receptor transcript levels were observed. The homeodomain transcription factor PBX3 is expressed at high levels in the developing nervous central system including the medulla oblongata involved in respiration control. PBX3-deficient mice die, within a few days of birth, from central respiratory hypoventilation syndrome (Rhee et al., 2004). Remaining to be elucidated is the role PBX3 plays relative to PHOX2B, the genetic determinant of the Ondine syndrome and proposed as being involved in NB oncogenesis (Trochet et al., 2004). Similarly, the channel GABRHO2 receptor, a Ca2+ current inhibitor via G-protein-coupled mechanisms in sympathetic neurons (Filippov et al., 2000), might represent a trophic factor involved in neuroblast development. These evidence suggest stage 4S not per se a "metastatic" disease, but rather multifocal dysregulated proliferations of embryonal neuroblasts.

A differential increase of acetyl-CoA carboxylase alpha transcript levels in infants compared to children likely indicates lipogenesis activation. Differential up-regulation of fatty acids catabolism with key enzymes activation, clearly pinpoints fatty acid β -oxidation (FA β 0) activation in stage 4S. Moreover transcriptome data point out the involvement of various metabolisms that might tolerate an excessive FA β 0 and subsequent acetyl-CoA overproduction as well as differential up-regulation of mitochondrial activity of both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial detoxication processes. Stage 4S tumor cells thus appear as very highly energy consuming cells, able to sustain an active growth with subsequent associated detoxification mechanisms. The active lipogenesis and fatty acid catabolism must be considered in the context of the milk diet of infants during the first months of life.

The transcriptional up-regulation of NFY-A would reflect a compensatory DNA repair process at work. As for the transcription regulator HBP1, known to be a repressor of p47 phox (Jin et al., 2001), it can also be an endogenous immune adjuvant (Rovere-Querini et al., 2004) able to trigger inflammation, when released by dead cells (Scaffidi et al., 2002). In this respect, we observed HBP1 differential overexpression in the three regressing stage 4S tumors showing a diploid DNA index, a low S phase fraction, and many necrotic foci (not shown).

In humans, the NK cells cytotoxic receptor NKG2D may be activated after recognition by the ULBP3 ligand that is up-regulated in tumor cells (Pende et al., 2002). Not restricted to NK cells, NKG2D expression also concerns subsets of γ/δ T cells, particularly CD8⁺T cells. Moreover a down-regulation of KLRF1, the inhibitor of NK activation via MHC class I cells, is found (Table 4) favoring NK cells as instrumental in stage 4S. The up-regulation of TSLP1, a cytokine necessary for NK activation indicates an inflammatory process and subsequent cell "stress" (Gasser and Raulet, 2006). Such an immunity monogram reflects tumor-infiltrating NK cells.

Altogether, from our data one may speculate the following tumor model for stage 4S. Firstly residual undifferentiated neural crest progenitors would proliferate using various energy sources, in particular fatty acids, given the high milk diet of the neonate. Secondly subsequent active β -oxidation would generate oxygen-reactive species possibly leading, during cell division, to whole chromosome loss and gains. Thirdly, once all compensatory mechanisms of metabolism are overridden, such genome instability cannot be repaired. In this context, an inflammatory and NK-mediated response of the host, a kind of host "intolerance" against malignant neuroblasts, would then be triggered and lead to tumor regression. Molecular determinants of such a proliferation-regression oscillation remain to be found.

4. Experimental procedures

4.1. Patients, tumor tissues and procedures

Snap frozen tumors samples (tru-cut needle or open biopsy) were collected at diagnosis from these patients. These tumors were stringently selected as containing more than 70% of malignant tumor cells and MYCN-non-amplified (\leq 3 copies/haploid genome) (Ambros et al., 2003). Experiments were done from 35-µm thick tumor slices flanked by 5 µm histological controls showing immature malignant neuroblasts population higher than 70%; out of 81 tumor specimens of patients with MYCN-non-amplified stage 4 NB patients, 29 were available for genome and transcriptome analyses in a tumors set composed of stage 4S (n = 12), $[1 \text{ yr}^-]$ stage 4 (n = 8), $[1 \text{ yr}^+]$ stage 4 (n = 9). Pertinent clinical and biological features of these patients are presented in Table 1.

DNA flow cytometry (Chassevent et al., 2001) permitted measurement of DNA index (DI) tumor values. Both genomic DNA (gDNA) and total RNA were purified from the tumor slices in using appropriate Qiagen extraction kits (Qiagen S.A., France).

4.2. Genome and transcriptome analyses

Tumor and reference genomic DNAs (900 ng each), after differentially Cy3/Cy5-dCTP labeling, were hybridized to 1 Mb resolution pan-genomic DNA microarrays from Spectral Genomics (Inc., Houston, TX, USA). Scanning and images processing allowed fluorescent ratio normalization across all array elements in order to compensate for differences in the whole DNA genomic content between test and diploid reference DNAs, and for differences in labeling efficiencies.

Cy5-labeled purified cRNA from each sample (500 ng) was mixed with the same amount of the Cy3-labeled reference cRNA (a pool of the 29 cRNA tumor patients samples) and hybridized onto 22K oligonucleotide microarrays from Agilent (Inc, Palo Alto). Lack of human neonates and infants pertinent control tissue led to the use of a pool as reference that further allowed optimization of expression variations. Each microarray contains 20,172 distinct probes, corresponding to 16,300 unique genes. Raw data in the ResolverTM software (Weng et al., 2006) for all elements across all 29 samples were deposited at the

European Bioinformatics Institute (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ arrayexpress/), accession number E-TABM-119 (Brazma et al., 2003). Genes transcript levels of the top up- or down-regulated genes were measured by Quantitative RT-PCR (QRT-PCR) using the Applied Biosystems 7900 HT Microfluidic card.

4.3. Statistical analysis

Agilent Feature Extraction Software (version A.6.1.1) quantified the fluorescent image intensity and normalized data using the background subtraction method. Following log transformation and intensity-dependent (LOWESS) normalization, data were imported for management, quality control and analysis. The arrays corresponding to each patient (two or three replicates) were then combined using a weighted average method as defined in the *Resolver*[™] software; data were transferred to BrB Array Tools to perform the class prediction analyses (http://linus.nci.nih.gov/ BRB-ArrayTools.html). Minimum fold change filtering and missing values filtering finally selected 8553 genes.

The class prediction module uses six learning methods and a leave-one-out cross validation over the patients (not shown). Significantly regulated genes were those whose tumor expression was different in the two groups considered (p < 0.001, Student's t-test p-value). The statistical significance test of the cross-validated misclassification rate (based on 2000 random permutations) provides an additional p-value for each misclassification rate. The BrB selected genes were classified by biological function relative to the Gene Ontology annotations (Ashburner et al., 2000).

A new software, Searching Biological Interpretation of Microarrays Experiments (S.B.I.M.E.), was designed to pick up, by statistical testes, the functions, pathways or interaction networks of interest, directly from all the information provided by microarray experiments (Kauffmann et al., 2008).

Acknowledgements

Supported by Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique AO M0 2112 (French Health Minister), Ligue contre le Cancer, Comité de Montbéliard, Grant "Chromosomal Profiling, Use & Development" (Canceropole Ile-de-France,# 1 Axis, 2007).

We thank French surgeons and oncologists for providing the material and clinical reports, Dr Bernheim for discussions, Profs Zitvogel, Vassal and Lenoir for interest.

We are grateful to Prof D'Angio for his expertise and kind review of the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplemental material

Supplementary information for this manuscript can be down-loaded at doi: 10.1016/j.molonc.2008.07.002.

REFERENCES

- Ambros, I.M., Bénard, J., Boavida, M., Bown, N., Caron, H., Combaret, V., Couturier, J., Darnfors, C., Delattre, O., Freeman-Edward, J., et al., 2003. Quality assessment of genetic markers used for therapy stratification. J. Clin. Oncol. 21, 2077–2084.
- Asgharzadeh, S., Pique-Regi, R., Sposto, R., Wang, H., Yang, Y., Shimada, H., Matthay, K., Buckley, J., Ortega, A., Seeger, R.C., 2006. Prognostic significance of gene expression profiles of metastatic neuroblastoma lacking MYCN amplification. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 98, 1193–1201.
- Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis, A.P., Dolinski, J., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., et al., 2000. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat. Genet. 25, 25–29.
- Attiyeh, E.F., London, W.B., Mosse, Y.P., Wang, Q., Winter, C., Khazi, D., Mc Grady, P., Seeger, R.C., Look, A.T., Shimada, H., et al., 2005. Chromosome 1p and 11q deletions and outcome in neuroblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 353, 2243–2253.
- Berasi, S., Xiu, M., Yee, A., Paulson, K.E., 2004. HBP1 repression of p47phox gene: cell cycle regulation via the NADPH oxidase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 3011–3024.
- Bourhis, J., De Vathaire, F., Wilson, G.D., Hartmann, O., Terrier-Lacombe, M.J., Boccon-Gibod, L., McNally, N.J., Lemerle, J., Riou, G., Bénard, J., 1991a. Combined analysis of DNA ploidy index and N-myc genomic content in neuroblastoma. Cancer Res. 51, 33–36.
- Bourhis, J., Dominici, C., McDowell, H., Raschella, G., Wilson, G., Castello, M.A., Plouvier, E., Lemerle, J., Riou, G., Bénard, J., Hartmann, Q., 1991b. N-myc genomic content and DNA ploidy in stage IVS neuroblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 9, 1371–1375.
- Brazma, A., Parkinson, H., Sarkans, U., Shojatalab, M., Vilo, J., Abeygunawardena, N., Hollooway, E., Kapushesky, M., Kemmeren, P., Lara, G.G., et al., 2003. Array express, a public repository for microarray gene expression data at the EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 68–71.
- Brodeur, G.M., 1995. Molecular basis for heterogeneity in human neuroblastomas. Eur. J. Cancer 31, 505–510.
- Brodeur, G.M., 2003. Neuroblastoma: biological insights into a clinical enigma. Nat. Rev. Cancer 3, 203–216.
- Chassevent, A., Jourdan, M.L., Romain, S., Descotes, F.,
 Colonna, M., Martin, P.M., Bolla, M., Spyratos, F., 2001.
 S-phase fraction and DNA ploidy in 633 T1–T2 breast
 cancers: a standardized flow cytometric study. Clin.
 Cancer Res. 7, 909–917.
- D'Angio, G., Evans, A., Koop, C., 1971. Special pattern of widespread neuroblastoma with a favourable prognosis. Lancet 1, 1046–1049.
- Eleme, K., Taner, S.B., Onfelt, B., Collinson, L.M., Mc Cann, F.E., Chalupny, N.J., Cosman, D., Hopkins, C., Magee, A.I., Davis, D.M., 2004. Cell surface organization of stress-inducible proteins ULBP and MICA that stimulate human NK cells and T cells via NKG2D. J. Exp. Med. 199, 1005–1010.
- Evans, A.E., D'Angio, G.J., Randolph, J., 1971. A proposed staging for children with neuroblastoma. A Children's cancer study group. Cancer 2, 374–378.
- Filippov, A.K., Couve, A., Pangalos, M., Walsh, F.S., Brown, D.A., Moss, S.J., 2000. Heteromeric assembly of GABA_BR1 and GABA_BR2 receptor subunits inhibits Ca2+ current in sympathetic neurons. J. Neurosci. 20, 2867–2874.
- Fischer, M., Oberthuer, A., Brors, B., Kahleret, Y., Skowron, M., Voth, H., Warnat, P., Ernestus, K., Hero, B., Berthold, F., 2006. Differential expression of neuronal genes defines subtypes of disseminated neuroblastoma with favorable and unfavorable outcome. Clin. Cancer Res. 12, 5118–5128.

- Gasser, S., Raulet, D.H., 2006. The DNA damage response arouses the immune system. Cancer Res. 66, 3959–3962.
- Gellert, M., 2002. V(D)J recombination. RAG proteins, repair factors, and regulation. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 71, 101–132.
- George, R.E., London, W.B., Cohn, S.L., Maris, J.M., Kretschmar, C., Diller, L., Brodeur, G.M., Castleberry, R.P., Look, A.T., et al., 2005. Hyperdiploidy plus non amplified MYCN confers a favorable prognosis in children 12 to 18 months old with disseminated neuroblastoma: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 6466–6473.
- Jin, S., Fan, F., Fan, W., Zhao, H., Tong, T., Blanck, P., Alomo, I., Rajasekaran, B., Zhan, Q., 2001. Transcription factors Oct-1 and NF-YA regulate the p53-independent induction of the GADD45 following DNA damage. Oncogene 20, 2683–2690.
- Kauffmann, A., Rosselli, F., Lazar, V., Winnepenninckx, V., Mansuet-Lupo, A., Dessen, P., van den Oord, J.J., Spatz, A., Sarasin, A., 2008. High expression of DNA repair pathways is associated with metastatic melanoma patients. Oncogene 27, 565–573.
- Lastowska, M., Cullinane, C., Variend, S., Cotterill, S., Bown, N., O'Neill, S., Mazzocco, K., Roberts, P., Nicholson, J., Ellershaw, C., Pearson, A.D., Jackson, M.S., 2001. Comprehensive genetic and histopathologic study reveals three types of neuroblastoma tumors. J. Clin. Oncol. 19, 3080–3090.
- London, W.B., Castleberry, R.P., Matthay, K.K., Look, A.T., Seeger, R.C., Shimada, H., Thorner, P., Brodeur, G., Maris, J.M., Reynolds, C.P., Cohn, S.L., 2005. Evidence for an age cutoff greater than 365 days for neuroblastoma risk group stratificationin the Children's Oncology Group. J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 6459–6465.
- Matthay, K.K., Villablanca, J.G., Seeger, R.C., Stram, D.O., Harris, R.E., Ramsay, N.K., Swift, P., Shimada, H., Black, C.T., Brodeur, G.M., Gerbing, R.B., Reynolds, C.P., 1999. Treatment of high-risk neuroblastoma with intensive chemotherapy, radiotherapy, autologous bone marrow transplantation. N. Engl. J. Med. 341, 1165–1173.
- Meddeb, M., Danglot, G., Chudoba, I., Vénuat, A.M., Bénard, J., Avet-Loiseau, H., Vasseur, B., Le Paslier, D., Terrier-Lacombe, M.J., Hartmann, O., Bernheim, A., 1996.
 Additional copies of a 25 Mb chromosomal region originating from 17q23.1–17qter are present in 90% of highgrade neuroblastomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 17, 156–165.
- Monica, K., Galili, M., Nourse, J., et al., 1991. PBX2 and PBX3, new homeobox genes with extensive homology to the human proto-oncogene PBX1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 6149–6157.
- Oberthuer, A., Berthold, F., Warnat, P., Hero, B., Kahlert, Y., Spitz, R., Ernestus, K., König, R., Haas, S., Eils, R., Schwab, M., Brors, B., Westermann, F., Fischer, M., 2006. Customized oligonucleotide microarray gene expression-based classification of neuroblastoma patients outperforms current clinical risk stratification. J. Clin. Oncol. 24, 5070–5078.
- Pende, D., Rivera, P., Marcenaro, S., Chang, C.C., Biassoni, R., Conte, R., Kubin, M., Cosman, D., Ferrone, S., Moretta, L., Moretta, A., 2002. Major histocompatibility complex class Irelated chain A and UL16-binding protein expression on tumor cell lines of different histotypes: analysis of tumor susceptibility to NKG2D-dependent natural killer cell cytotoxicity. Cancer Res. 62, 6178–6186.
- Rhee, J., Arata, A., Selleri, L., Jacobs, Y., Arata, S., Onimaru, H., Cleary, M.L., 2004. Pbx3 deficiency results in central hypoventilation. Am. J. Pathol. 165, 1343–1350.
- Rovere-Querini, P., Capobianco, A., Scaffidi, P., Valentinis, B., Catalanotti, F., Giazzon, M., Dumitriu, I.E., Müller, S., Iannacone, M., Traversari, C., Bianchi, M.E., Manfredi, A.A., 2004. HMGB1 is an endogenous immune adjuvant released by necrotic cells. EMBO Rep. 5, 825–830.

- Scaffidi, P., Misteli, T., Bianchi, M., 2002. Release of chromatin protein HMGB1 by necrotic cell triggers inflammation. Nature 418, 191–194.
- Schleiermacher, G., Michon, J., Huon, I., d'Enghien, C.D., Klijanienko, J., Brisse, H., Ribeiro, A., Mosseri, V., Rubie, H., Munzer, C., Thomas, C., et al., 2007. Chromosomal CGH identifies patients with a higher risk of relapse in neuroblastoma without MYCN amplification. Br. J. Cancer 97, 238–246.
- Schwab, M., Alitalo, K., Klempnauer, K.H., Varmus, H., Bishop, J.M., Gilbert, F., Brodeur, G., Goldstein, M., Trent, J., 1983. Amplified DNA with limited homology to myc cellular oncogene is shared by human neuroblastoma cell line and a neuroblastoma tumor. Nature (Lond) 305, 245–248.
- Schwab, M., Westermann, F., Hero, B., Berthold, F., 2003. Neuroblastoma: biology and molecular and chromosomal pathology. Lancet Oncol. 4, 472–480.
- Seeger, R.C., Brodeur, G.M., Sather, H., Dalton, A., Siegel, S.E., Wong, K.Y., Hammond, D., 1985. Association of multiple copies of N-myc oncogene with rapid progression of neuroblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 313, 1111–1116.
- Soumelis, V., Liu, Y.-J., 2004. Human thymic stromal lymphopoietin: a novel epithelial cell-derived cytokine and a potential key player in the induction of allergic inflammation. Springer Semin. Immun. 25, 325–333.
- Stuart, L.M., Henson, P.M., Vandivier, R.W., 2006. Collectins: opsonins for apoptotic cells and regulators of inflammation. Curr. Dir. Autoimmun. 9, 143–161.
- Sturn, A., Quackenbush, J., Trajanoski, Z., 2002. Genesis: Cluster analysis of microarray data. Bioinformatics 18, 207–208.
- Tomioka, N., Kobayashi, H., Kageyama, H., Ohira, M., Nakamura, Y., Sasaki, F., Todo, S., Nakagawara, A., Kaneko, Y., 2003. Chromosomes that show segmental loss or gain in near-diploid tumors coincide with chromosomes that show whole loss or gain in near-triploid tumors: evidence suggesting the involvement of

the same genes in the tumorigenesis of high- and low-risk neuroblastomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 36, 139–150.

- Trochet, D., Bourdeaut, F., Janoueix-Lerosey II, , Deville, A., de Pontual, L., Schleiermacher, G., Coze, C., Philip, N., Frébourg, T., Munnich, A., Lyonnet, S., Delattre, O., Amiel, J., 2004. Germline mutations of the paired-like homeobox 2B (PHOX2B) gene in neuroblastoma. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 74, 761–764.
- Valteau-Couanet, D., Michon, J., Boneu, A., Rodary, C., Perel, Y., Bergeron, C., Rubie, H., Coze, C., Plantaz, D., Bernard, F., Chastagner, P., Bouzy, J., Hartmann, O., 2005. Results of induction chemotherapy in children older than 1 year with a stage 4 neuroblastoma treated with the NB 97 French Society of Pediatric Oncology (SFOP) protocol. J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 532–540.
- Vandesompele, J., Baudis, M., De Preter, K., Van Roy, N., Ambros, P., Bown, N., Brinkschmidt, C., Christiansen, H., Combaret, V., Lastowska, M., Nicholson, J., O'Meara, A., Plantaz, D., Stallings, R., Brichard, B., Van den Broecke, C., De Bie, S., De Paepe, A., Laureys, G., Speleman, F., 2005. Unequivocal delineation of clinicogenetic subgroups and development of a new model for improved outcome prediction in neuroblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 23, 2280–2299.
- Voth, H., Oberthuer, A., Simon, T., Kahlert, Y., Berthold, F., Fischer, M., 2007. Identification of DEIN, a novel gene with high expression levels in stage IVS neuroblastoma. Mol. Cancer Res. 5, 1276–1284.
- Weng, L., Dai, H., Zhan, Y., He, Y., Stepaniants, S.B., Bassett, D.E., 2006. Rosetta error model for gene expression analysis. Bioinformatics 22, 1111–1121.
- Zou, Z., Anisowicz, A., Hendrix, M.J., Thor, A., Neveu, M., Sheng, S., Rafidi, K., Seftor, E., Sager, R., 1994. Maspin, a serpin with tumor-suppressing activity in human mammary epithelial cells. Science 263, 526–529.