



Annual mass drownings of the Serengeti wildebeest migration influence nutrient cycling and storage in the Mara River

Amanda L. Subalusky^{a,b,1}, Christopher L. Dutton^{a,b}, Emma J. Rosi^b, and David M. Post^a

^aDepartment of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511; and ^bCary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, NY 12545

Edited by James A. Estes, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, and approved May 16, 2017 (received for review September 8, 2016)

The annual migration of ~1.2 million wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*) through the Serengeti Mara Ecosystem is the largest remaining overland migration in the world. One of the most iconic portions of their migration is crossing of the Mara River, during which thousands drown annually. These mass drownings have been noted, but their frequency, size, and impact on aquatic ecosystems have not been quantified. Here, we estimate the frequency and size of mass drownings in the Mara River and model the fate of carcass nutrients through the river ecosystem. Mass drownings (>100 individuals) occurred in at least 13 of the past 15 y; on average, 6,250 carcasses and 1,100 tons of biomass enter the river each year. Half of a wildebeest carcass dry mass is bone, which takes 7 y to decompose, thus acting as a long-term source of nutrients to the Mara River. Carcass soft tissue decomposes in 2–10 wk, and these nutrients are mineralized by consumers, assimilated by biofilms, transported downstream, or moved back into the terrestrial ecosystem by scavengers. These inputs comprise 34–50% of the assimilated diet of fish when carcasses are present and 7–24% via biofilm on bones after soft tissue decomposition. Our results show a terrestrial animal migration can have large impacts on a river ecosystem, which may influence nutrient cycling and river food webs at decadal time scales. Similar mass drownings may have played an important role in rivers throughout the world when large migration herds were more common features of the landscape.

animal migration | mass drowning | nutrient cycling | Serengeti wildebeest | stable isotope

Large animal migrations can have important impacts on ecosystem structure and function (1), but, globally, most large animal migrations have declined or been lost all together (2). The Serengeti wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*) migration is the largest remaining terrestrial migration (3), and a great deal of research has been done on the migration's role in facilitating terrestrial nutrient cycling and promoting vegetation, prey, and predator biomass in the savanna grasslands of the Serengeti Mara Ecosystem (4–6). This migration also may impact the ecology of aquatic ecosystems in the region, particularly through annual mass drownings that occur during river crossings when the migration is in the northern portion of its route (7) (Fig. 1A). Although wildebeest mass drownings during the migration have been documented in the region (8–10), previous studies have not investigated the size and frequency of these mass drownings or the fate of the carcasses and associated nutrients.

Catastrophic mortality by drowning has been documented as animal herds cross large bodies of water in a range of ecosystems (9, 11), and has been implicated in the occurrence of large dinosaur bone beds (12). Mass drownings move large amounts of resources from terrestrial to aquatic systems in the form of carcasses, which are complex, high-quality resources that can alter nutrient cycling, productivity, species diversity, and succession patterns on time scales of years to decades (13–15). Mass drownings likely played a large role historically when large migrations and unregulated rivers were more common features of the landscape. For example, there are numerous accounts of hundreds to thousands of bison drowning

in rivers of the western United States in the late 18th and early 19th centuries when large bison herds were still intact (16, 17). River basins that no longer contain terrestrial migrations may have lost the annual input of resources from mass drownings, which may fundamentally alter how those river ecosystems function now compared with the past. The more well-studied annual migrations of anadromous fishes and subsequent carcass inputs have been shown to be important in rivers (18, 19), but equivalent examination of the influence of terrestrial migrations is lacking.

Here, we present an estimation of annual mass drownings of one of the world's largest remaining intact mammal migrations and investigate the input rate and fates of these carcasses in a river ecosystem. We used historical reports from 2001 to 2010 and field surveys from 2011 to 2015 to quantify the frequency and size of wildebeest mass drownings in the Kenyan portion of the Mara River. We used field measurements, modeling approaches, and stable isotope analysis to estimate the total loading of carbon (C) and nutrients from carcasses and to provide an approximation of the fate of carcass material in the ecosystem.

Results and Discussion

Historical reports from a tourist lodge and the Mara Conservancy and field surveys demonstrate that mass drownings occurred in the Kenyan portion of the Mara River in at least 13 of 15 y from 2001 to 2015. During those years, the wildebeest migration arrived in the Mara River Region (Fig. 1A) between early June and late July and stayed until November or December, for 172 ± 45 (mean \pm 1 SD) d. River crossings occurred on 52 ± 16 d

Significance

Much research has focused on the influence of animal migrations on terrestrial ecosystems. Mass drownings are an understudied phenomenon associated with migrations that may have substantial impacts on aquatic ecosystems. Here, we show that mass drownings of wildebeest occur nearly annually during the Serengeti wildebeest migration, and these mass drownings contribute the equivalent biomass of 10 blue whale carcasses per year to this moderately sized river. Soft tissues of the carcass decompose within several weeks and are assimilated by both in-stream and terrestrial consumers. Bones decompose over years, which may influence nutrient cycling and food webs in the river on decadal time scales. The loss of migrations and associated mass drownings may fundamentally alter river ecosystems in ways previously unrecognized.

Author contributions: A.L.S., C.L.D., E.J.R., and D.M.P. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

See Commentary on page 7489.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: asubalusky@gmail.com.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1614778114/-DCSupplemental.




Fig. 1. Map showing the Mara River Basin, Kenya, and its relationship to the Maasai Mara National Reserve (MMNR) and Serengeti National Park (SNP) (A), the distribution of wildebeest crossing sites along the Mara River coded by the number of mass drownings confirmed to have occurred at each site (for 21 of the 23 drownings) (B), and the distribution of 3,380 wildebeest carcasses over 5 km of river after a mass drowning in July 2011 at the crossing site coded red in B (C).

per year. From 2001 to 2010, mass drownings were noted in eight of 10 years, although reports did not contain sufficient detail to quantify the size of mass drownings. For the 5-y period from 2011 to 2015, when we conducted detailed surveys of mass drownings, we observed 23 mass drownings, with 4.6 ± 1.8 mass drownings and $6,250 \pm 3,000$ wildebeest carcasses per year. The frequency and size of mass drownings have likely increased as the herd size has grown from $\sim 200,000$ in the 1950s to the fairly stable size of 1.2 million in the 1970s to the present (3, 20). Although an estimated 175,000 zebra also migrate with the wildebeest (3), and often fall prey to crocodile attacks during crossings of the Mara River, we have counted fewer than five zebra carcasses throughout all of our surveys.

There are 12 major river-crossing sites in the Kenyan portion of the Mara River, and all of the mass drownings we documented occurred at four of those sites (Fig. 1B). These data suggest crossing site geomorphology may be a factor in determining drowning occurrence. Discharge also plays a role in the occurrence of mass drownings, because mass drownings do not typically occur at very low discharge. At higher discharge, mass drownings appear to result from a complex interaction between river bank geomorphology, discharge, herd size, and tourist behavior, and we are conducting ongoing research to quantify these relationships.

Using the mean number of individuals drowning in each year from 2011 to 2015 and the mean body mass of an individual wildebeest (175 kg) (21) (*SI Materials and Methods*), we estimate mass drownings contribute $1,096 \pm 526$ tons of biomass to the Mara River each year (301 ± 145 tons of dry mass). The Mara River is incised several meters beneath the upper river bank; therefore, carcasses from mass drownings are contained within the wetted width of the river channel. We measured the elemental composition of wildebeest carcasses [36% C, 8% nitrogen (N), and 4% phosphorus (P)] (Fig. 2A) and estimate that these mass drownings contribute 107 ± 51 tons of C, 25 ± 12 tons of N, and 13 ± 6 tons of P to the Mara River each year (Table S1). These nutrients are distributed along several kilometers of the Mara River in small carcass aggregations at bends and rock

outcroppings that form potential hot spots of biogeochemical cycling (22–24) (Fig. 1C). Carcasses from each mass drowning persist in the Mara River for ~ 28 d before carcasses are no longer visible and background nutrient levels return to normal. During this 28-d period, inputs from carcasses contributed 18–191% of the dissolved organic carbon (DOC), 6–78% of the total nitrogen (TN), and 31–451% of the total phosphorus (TP) being transported from upstream reaches of the Mara River ($n = 3$ drowning events). These carcass inputs are remarkably high, even compared with other ecosystems in which animal carcass inputs have been documented (18, 19). Total carcass inputs into this medium-sized river system (mean discharge = $12.5 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) are equivalent to the input of 10 blue whale carcasses per year (assuming a mean mass of 105 tons) (25), and mean carcass biomass ($56 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$) is nearly fourfold higher than the mean spawning biomass of Pacific Salmon runs in British Columbia (in which $10 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ was identified as a conservation goal) (26).

Carcasses are complex and heterogeneous resources, and different portions of the carcass have different fates in the ecosystem. Carcasses are composed of $44 \pm 4\%$ bone and $56 \pm 4\%$ soft tissue (muscle, organs, and skin). Bone contributes, on average, 132 ± 63 tons of dry mass annually to the Mara River. Bones are very high in P (24% C, 5% N, and 9% P) and take a mean of 7.4 y to 95% decay (Fig. 2B and Table S2). Relative to other ecological and biogeochemical rates in the Mara River, bones act as long-term storage of 32 ± 15 tons of C (29% of total inputs), 6 ± 3 tons of N (25%), and 12 ± 6 tons of P (95%) each year. The slow leaching of nutrients from bones likely provides a constant source of nutrients, particularly P, to the river ecosystem until bones are buried, washed downstream, or completely decomposed. The soft tissue contributes, on average, 169 ± 81 tons of dry mass to the Mara River that is composed of mostly C and N (45% C, 11% N, and 0.4% P). Soft tissue contributes 75 ± 36 tons of C, 18 ± 9 tons of N, and 0.6 ± 0.3 tons of P annually that decays rapidly, with 95% of mass lost in 16–70 d (Fig. 2B and Table S2).

Using multiple approaches, we traced the fate of soft tissue nutrients (because bone nutrients are largely unavailable over




Fig. 2. Input and fate of wildebeest carcasses from mass drownings in the Mara River. (A) Percentage of dry mass composition of an average wildebeest carcass, with stoichiometric ratios by mass shown as C/N/P. (B) Microbial decomposition rates for wildebeest bone, skin, intestines, and muscle in the Mara River (mean \pm SEM). (C) Daily estimations of total nitrogen (TN) vs. total phosphorus (TP) flux downstream of three carcass aggregations ranging from 1,000–3,400 carcasses. (D) Declines in NH_4^+ -N concentration downstream of wildebeest carcasses and estimations of nutrient uptake length (S_w) on days 8, 16, and 26 after the drowning. (E) Total dry mass of wildebeest carcasses consumed by avian scavengers on aggregations of 16 and 40 carcasses. (F) Assimilation of wildebeest tissue (in November 2013, 1 mo after carcasses were present) and bone biofilm (in February 2014, 4 mo after carcasses were present) by three common fish taxa (mean \pm 95% credibility intervals).

the short term) to estimate how the river ecosystem responds to large pulses of carcasses. The decomposing carcasses in the river represent a large nutrient source, and we used the declines in ammonium (NH_4^+) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration downstream to estimate nutrient uptake length (the mean distance a solute travels before being removed by biological assimilation or uptake), uptake velocity (the speed at which a nutrient molecule moves from the water column to an uptake compartment), and total aerial uptake (total flux of nutrients from the water column to the stream bottom) at three time points from 1 to 4 wk after the drowning occurred (27, 28). Total aerial uptake over this time accounted for between 149 and 879 kg of N (based on minimum and maximum estimations, as described in *Materials and Methods*), or 1–6% of soft tissue N loading, and between 109 and 136 kg of P, or 24–30% of soft tissue P loading. Nutrient uptake length increased from 34 to 70 km for NH_4^+ and from 36 to 103 km for SRP between 8 and 26 d after the mass drowning (Fig. 2D and Table S3). Increasing uptake lengths after the drowning suggest the river reached saturation with respect to these nutrients (i.e., these nutrients were no longer limiting to production). We were only able to estimate nutrient uptake for one drowning, because carcasses needed to be located sufficiently upstream of the Kenya-Tanzania border to enable the necessary measurements. However, these uptake lengths are on the order of the uptake lengths documented for other rivers of similar size with high background nutrient concentrations (27–29).

This saturation of uptake by the river ecosystem suggests that a large proportion of dissolved nutrients from carcasses were transported downstream in the water column. We measured net nutrient flux downstream of carcasses during three mass drownings (the only ones for which the carcasses were present for at least 25 d). We

collected water samples upstream and downstream of carcasses every 0.5–7 d for a period of 25–29 d after each drowning, and found carcass aggregations acted as both a net sink and source of nutrients throughout the 4 wk after the drowning (Fig. 2C). There was a large amount of variability in fluxes across the drowning events, and further research is needed to understand the drivers of this variability. Downstream transport accounted for –3 to 43% of the C and –6 to 47% of the N from soft tissue, mostly in the form of dissolved inorganic N (Table S4). We measured less TP downstream of carcasses than upstream (–134 to 17% of soft tissue loading), but a –2 to 80% increase in SRP, suggesting carcass aggregations may be a source for soluble inorganic P but a sink for organic and sediment-bound P via the physical accumulation of suspended sediments through deposition within carcass aggregations.

Carcass aggregations also provide important resource pulses for scavengers, which can facilitate carcass decomposition and transport (30, 31). Many of the scavengers in this ecosystem are birds, which feed on partially submerged carcasses within the river and can transport C and nutrients out of the river into the nearby terrestrial ecosystem. We used two photographic time series of scavengers on carcass aggregations and a metabolic model to estimate the proportion of carcasses consumed by scavengers (Table S5). Marabou storks (*Leptoptilos crumenifer*), white-backed vultures (*Gyps africanus*), Rüppell's vultures (*Gyps rueppellii*), and hooded vultures (*Necrosyrtes monachus*) were the most common avian species. We conservatively estimated that avian scavengers consumed 6–9% of C, N, and P from soft tissue loading (Fig. 2E). Some proportion of nutrients consumed is likely released back into or near the river; however, digestion time can exceed 24 h and vultures may hold food in their crop to transport back to fledglings, suggesting most

However, crocodiles have a relatively low metabolic rate, and they become easily satiated, leading to intermittent feeding. We used a literature estimation of crocodile consumption rate (37) and limited survey data we collected to estimate that crocodiles in the river reach where the majority of mass drownings occur would consume 150 wildebeest throughout the entire period the migration is in the Mara region, or 2% of total carcass input to the river. This consumption includes both animals killed during crossings and carcasses available after mass drownings. More detailed crocodile surveys are needed to estimate the total number of wildebeest that may be consumed by crocodiles in the Mara River during the migration period. These data suggest that consumption by fish and other aquatic animals may be an important pathway for wildebeest carcasses, although further analysis of secondary production is needed to quantify this pathway (38). Moreover, pulsed inputs of wildebeest carcasses may influence the river food web at decadal time scales through the contribution of bone to basal resources.

Using these approaches, we accounted for the fate of a mean of 51% of C, 47% of N, and 94% of P from wildebeest carcasses after mass drownings (Fig. 3). For C, a mean of 29% is held in bones, 16% is transported downstream in the water column, and 5% is consumed by avian scavengers. A large portion of C that is unaccounted for is likely either consumed by aquatic insects and fish or lost to the atmosphere through respiration during microbial breakdown of the carcasses (Fig. 3F). The largest proportion of N for which we could account is stored in bones (mean = 25%). A mean of 3% of total loading is taken up by the river near the carcass aggregations, 13% is transported downstream in the water column, and 5% is consumed by avian scavengers. Much of the N that is unaccounted for may be consumed by aquatic animals or lost to the atmosphere via denitrification by microbial activity near the carcasses (24) (Fig. 3F). We have measured zones of hypoxia near carcass aggregations, which can increase rates of denitrification. In addition, a large amount of N might be lost via volatilization of ammonia gas from the decaying carcasses (39). Almost all of the P from carcasses (mean = 95%) is held in bones that slowly decompose in the ecosystem over multiple years. Some inorganic P is quickly mineralized and transported downstream, but carcass aggregations are a short-term sink for total P and likely a long-term source of P due to the slow decomposition of bones. Indeed, we have observed large numbers of bones in the river below the main wildebeest crossing sites. Our estimations of carcass fate are based on opportunistic sampling of stochastic events, resulting in limited data for several pathways. These estimations present a first step at quantifying the fate of carcass inputs, but the range of variability inherent in these processes will necessitate more research on each pathway.

Conclusion

We have presented a systematic quantification of resource movement from a terrestrial to aquatic ecosystem through mass drownings within a large, intact ungulate migration. These mass drownings have little impact on the wildebeest herd, comprising only 0.5% of the total herd size, but they provide huge short-term and long-term sources of nutrients to the Mara River that form hot spots of biogeochemical cycling, stimulate nutrient uptake, and transport nutrients downstream and back to the terrestrial ecosystem. Large migrations of terrestrial vertebrates have declined or been lost from many natural ecosystems (2, 40), with an associated loss in ecosystem functions they maintained (1, 41). Many river ecosystems likely experienced mass drownings in the past, although their occurrence has likely declined in concert with loss of migrations and increased human presence along rivers. The widespread loss of mass drownings may have fundamentally altered river ecosystem structure and function in ways hitherto unrecognized. For example, decreasing P loading

through bone deposits may contribute to P limitation in many freshwater ecosystems (42), although this effect may be offset in some ecosystems by anthropogenic nutrient inputs (43). This study provides an examination of the potential importance that large migrations may have had on rivers throughout much of the world.

Materials and Methods

We documented wildebeest crossings and mass drownings in the Kenyan portion of the Mara River from 2001 to 2014 from two sets of historical reports and from 2011 to 2015 from field surveys (Table S1). Carcass composition was measured by collecting freshly drowned wildebeest carcasses from the river ($n = 3$), dissecting them into their primary components, and measuring wet and dry mass and C, N, and P composition for each component (Table S2). We measured the decomposition of carcass components using fine-mesh litterbags (Table S2), and calculated the decay rate (k) using a single exponential decay function, with a fixed intercept of 100% at time step 0 (44, 45).

We measured river discharge from 2011 to 2014 at the lower reach of our study region using a depth transducer at a rated cross-section of the river (46). We measured nutrient uptake length (S_w), uptake velocity (v_f), and aerial uptake (U) for NH_4^+ and SRP after a mass drowning of 5,000 wildebeest in 2011, using the carcasses as a high-input nutrient source and declines in concentration downstream as an indication of nutrient uptake in the river (27, 28, 47, 48) (Table S3). We calculated minimum U (U_{min} ; based on upstream nutrient concentrations) and maximum U (U_{max} ; based on the highest nutrient concentrations during the sampling period) for NH_4^+ and SRP on days 8, 16, and 26 after the drowning. We calculated total aerial uptake over this time period for the 6.5-km reach in which the majority of carcasses were located and compared these amounts with the total loading of N and P by the carcasses within this reach. We measured net flux of total and dissolved inorganic nutrient levels and DOC from carcasses for three drownings for which we could collect data for at least 25 d (Table S4). Upstream flux was calculated over this time period to compare with carcass loading. Flux values were compared with total loading of C, N, and P by the carcasses between upstream and downstream sampling points (Table S2).

We used game cameras and metabolic models to estimate the use of carcasses by avian scavengers for two different carcass aggregations. We developed a metabolic model for vulture consumption of carcasses (Table S5) based on estimations of daily energy expenditure [kilojoules per day ($\text{kJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$)] (49), average mass estimations (50), vulture mean energy assimilation efficiency, and carcass energy content (49) to estimate the total dry mass of carcass consumed daily. We multiplied this mass by the mean percentage of C, N, and P of wildebeest soft tissue (excluding bone) to estimate total C, N, and P consumed. We multiplied individual consumption rates by the maximum number of vultures documented daily at each carcass aggregation. We summed the daily consumption of C, N, and P by vultures and compared it with the total amount of C, N, and P loaded by the number of carcasses present in the photographs.

We used analysis of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ stable isotopes to quantify assimilation of wildebeest carcass by fish. We collected basal resources [biofilm from rocks and bones, coarse particulate organic matter, and wildebeest tissue] and fish samples in November 2013 (1 mo after a series of large wildebeest mass drownings) and February 2014 (4 mo after the last drowning). In November 2013, when carcasses were present in the river, the isotopic signature of bone biofilm was very similar to wildebeest tissue, so we only included wildebeest tissue as a potential source. In February 2014, wildebeest tissue had not been present in the river for 4 mo, so we replaced wildebeest tissue with bone biofilm as a potential source. We analyzed muscle tissue (which typically has a turnover rate of weeks to months) for three common taxa of fish: *B. docmak* ($n = 3$ in November, $n = 3$ in February), *L. altianalis* ($n = 10$ in November, $n = 5$ in February), and *L. victorinus* ($n = 9$ in November, $n = 8$ in February). We estimated the contribution of basal resources to fish tissue assimilation using Bayesian mixing models in MixSIAR (51, 52). For trophic enrichment factors, we used 0.4 ± 1.3 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (53) and 4.3 ± 1.5 for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (54), which incorporate variability in trophic structure for these omnivorous fish. We estimated total consumption of carcasses by Nile crocodiles (*C. niloticus*) throughout the entire 172-d period when the migration is the Mara region using literature values on consumption to satiation (37) and field estimations of crocodile abundance in the 3.5-km of river in which most mass drownings occurred.

All data used in these analyses are available on the Dryad Digital Repository. Further details regarding methods are available in *SI Materials and Methods*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Brian Heath, the Mara Conservancy, Patrick Reynolds, Paul Geemi, Laban Njoroge, Edward Njagi, Joseph Gathua, and Katherine Handler for their assistance with this research. Our research was conducted in affiliation with the National Museums of Kenya and permit NCST/RCD/12B/012/36 from the Kenya National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation. Fish were sampled in accordance

with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Animal Use Protocol (AUP) 2012-10734 and AUP 2015-10734. Funding for this research was provided by the Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies, the Robert and Patricia Switzer Foundation, the National Geographic Society Committee for Research and Exploration, and National Science Foundation Grants DEB 1354053 and DEB 1354062.

- Doughty CE, et al. (2016) Global nutrient transport in a world of giants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:868–873.
- Harris G, Thirgood S, Hopcraft JGC, Cromsigt JPGM, Berger J (2009) Global decline in aggregated migrations of large terrestrial mammals. *Endanger Species Res* 7:55–76.
- Hopcraft JGC, et al. (2013) Why are wildebeest the most abundant herbivore in the Serengeti ecosystem? *Serengeti IV: Sustaining Biodiversity in a Coupled Human-Natural System* (Univ of Chicago Press, Chicago).
- Sinclair ARE (2003) Mammal population regulation, keystone processes and ecosystem dynamics. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 358:1729–1740.
- McNaughton SJ (1976) Serengeti migratory wildebeest: Facilitation of energy flow by grazing. *Science* 191:92–94.
- Holdo RM, Holt RD, Coughenour MB, Ritchie ME (2007) Plant productivity and soil nitrogen as a function of grazing, migration and fire in an African savanna. *J Ecol* 95:115–128.
- Pennycook L (1975) Movements of the migratory wildebeest population in the Serengeti area between 1960 and 1973. *Afr J Ecol* 13:65–87.
- Capaldo SD, Peters CR (1996) Observations of wildebeest, *Connochaetes taurinus* (Artiodactyla, Bovidae), crossing Lake Masek (Serengeti ecosystem, Tanzania), including one small drowning. *Mammalia* 60:303–306.
- Capaldo SD, Peters CR (1995) Skeletal inventories from wildebeest drownings at Lakes Masek and Ndutu in the Serengeti Ecosystem of Tanzania. *J Archaeol Sci* 22:385–408.
- Dechant-Boaz D (1982) Modern riverine taphonomy: Its relevance to the interpretation of Plio-Pleistocene hominid paleoecology in the Omo Basin, Ethiopia. PhD dissertation (University of California, Berkeley).
- Hummel M, Ray JC (2008) *Caribou and the North: A Shared Future* (Dundurn, Toronto).
- Eberth DA (2015) Origins of dinosaur bonebeds in the Cretaceous of Alberta, Canada. *Can J Earth Sci* 52:655–681.
- Smith CR, Baco AR (2003) Ecology of whale falls at the deep-sea floor. *Oceanogr Mar Biol* 41:311–354.
- Bump JK, et al. (2009) Ungulate carcasses perforate ecological filters and create biogeochemical hotspots in forest herbaceous layers allowing trees a competitive advantage. *Ecosystems* 12:996–1007.
- Janetski DJ, Chaloner DT, Tiegs SD, Lamberti GA (2009) Pacific salmon effects on stream ecosystems: A quantitative synthesis. *Oecologia* 159:583–595.
- Saindon RA (2003) *Explorations into the World of Lewis and Clark* (Digital Scanning, Inc., Scituate, MA).
- Isenberg AC (2000) *The Destruction of the Bison* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, MA).
- Naiman RJ, Helfield JM, Bartz KK, Drake DC, Honea JM (2009) Pacific salmon, marine-derived nutrients, and the characteristics of aquatic and riparian ecosystems. *Challenges for Diadromous Fishes in a Dynamic Global Environment, American Fisheries Society Symposium*, eds Haro A, et al. (American Fisheries Society, Bethesda), Vol 69, pp 395–425.
- West DC, Walters AW, Gephard S, Post DM (2010) Nutrient loading by anadromous alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*): Contemporary patterns and predictions for restoration efforts. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 67:1211–1220.
- Mduma SAR, Sinclair ARE, Hilborn R (1999) Food regulates the Serengeti wildebeest: A 40-year record. *J Anim Ecol* 68:1101–1122.
- Talbot LM, Talbot MH (1963) The wildebeest in western Masailand, East Africa. *Wildl Monogr* 12:3–88.
- McIntyre PB, et al. (2008) Fish distributions and nutrient cycling in streams: Can fish create biogeochemical hotspots? *Ecology* 89:2335–2346.
- McClain ME, et al. (2003) Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 6:301–312.
- Levi PS, Tank JL, Tiegs SD, Chaloner DT, Lamberti GA (2013) Biogeochemical transformation of a nutrient subsidy: Salmon, streams, and nitrification. *Biogeochemistry* 113:643–655.
- Pershing AJ, Christensen LB, Record NR, Sherwood GD, Stetson PB (2010) The impact of whaling on the ocean carbon cycle: why bigger was better. *PLoS One* 5:e12444.
- Hocking MD, Reimchen TE (2009) Salmon species, density and watershed size predict magnitude of marine enrichment in riparian food webs. *Oikos* 118:1307–1318.
- Gibson CA, Meyer JL (2007) Nutrient uptake in a large urban river. *J Am Water Resour Assoc* 43:576–587.
- Marti E, Aumatell J, Godé L, Poch M, Sabater F (2004) Nutrient retention efficiency in streams receiving inputs from wastewater treatment plants. *J Environ Qual* 33:285–293.
- Tank JL, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Baker MA, Hall RO, Jr (2008) Are rivers just big streams? A pulse method to quantify nitrogen demand in a large river. *Ecology* 89:2935–2945.
- Hewson R (1995) Use of salmonid carcasses by vertebrate scavengers. *J Zool (Lond)* 235:53–65.
- Muhametsafina A, Midwood JD, Bliss SM, Stamplecoskie KM, Cooke SJ (2014) The fate of dead fish tagged with biotelemetry transmitters in an urban stream. *Aquat Ecol* 48: 23–33.
- Houston DC, Cooper JE (1975) The digestive tract of the whiteback griffon vulture and its role in disease transmission among wild ungulates. *J Wildl Dis* 11:306–313.
- Houston DC (1976) Breeding of the white-backed and Ruppell's Griffon vultures, *Gyps africanus* and *G. rueppellii*. *Ibis* 118:14–40.
- Corbet PS (1961) The food of non-cichlid fishes in the Lake Victoria Basin, with remarks on their evolution and adaptation to lacustrine conditions. *Proc Zool Soc Lond* 136:1–101.
- Bailey RG (1994) Guide to the fishes of the River Nile in the Republic of the Sudan. *J Nat Hist* 28:937–970.
- Battin TJ, Besemer K, Bengtsson MM, Romani AM, Packmann AI (2016) The ecology and biogeochemistry of stream biofilms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 14:251–263.
- Whitfield AK, Stephen JMB (1979) Predation on striped mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) by *Crocodylus niloticus* at St. Lucia, South Africa. *Copeia* (2):266–269.
- Cross WF, et al. (2013) Food-web dynamics in a large river discontinuum. *Ecol Monogr* 83:311–337.
- Wheeler TA, Kavanagh KL, Daanen SA (2014) Terrestrial salmon carcass decomposition: Nutrient and isotopic dynamics in central Idaho. *Northwest Sci* 88: 106–119.
- Bolger DT, Newmark WD, Morrison TA, Doak DF (2008) The need for integrative approaches to understand and conserve migratory ungulates. *Ecol Lett* 11:63–77.
- Doughty CE, Wolf A, Malhi Y (2013) The legacy of the Pleistocene megafauna extinctions on nutrient availability in Amazonia. *Nat Geosci* 6:761–764.
- Hecky RE, Kilham P (1988) Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in freshwater and marine environments - a review of recent evidence on the effects of enrichment. *Limnol Oceanogr* 33:796–822.
- Twining CW, West DC, Post DM (2013) Historical changes in nutrient inputs from humans and anadromous fishes in New England's coastal watersheds. *Limnol Oceanogr* 58:1286–1300.
- R Core Team (2016) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna).
- Wider RK, Lang GE (1982) A critique of the analytical methods used in examining decomposition data obtained from litter bags. *Ecology* 63:1636–1642.
- Dutton C, Anisfeld S, Ernstberger H (2013) A novel sediment fingerprinting method using filtration: Application to the Mara River, East Africa. *J Soils Sediments* 13: 1708–1723.
- Newbold JD, Elwood JW, O'Neill RV, Winkle WV (1981) Measuring nutrient spiralling in streams. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 38:860–863.
- Mulholland PJ, et al. (2002) Can uptake length in streams be determined by nutrient addition experiments? Results from an interbiome comparison study. *J N Am Benthol Soc* 21:544–560.
- Komen J (1992) Energy requirements of adult cape vulture (*Gyps coprotheres*). *J Raptor Res* 26:213–218.
- Dunning JJB (2008) *CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses* (CRC, Boca Raton, FL), 2nd Ed.
- Moore JW, Semmens BX (2008) Incorporating uncertainty and prior information into stable isotope mixing models. *Ecol Lett* 11:470–480.
- Stock BC, Semmens BX (2016) MixSIAR GUI User Manual. Version 3.1. Available at <https://github.com/brianstock/MixSIAR>. Accessed June 29, 2016.
- Post DM (2002) Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology* 83:703–718.
- Bunn SE, Leigh C, Jardine TD (2013) Diet-tissue fractionation of $\delta^{15}N$ by consumers from streams and rivers. *Limnol Oceanogr* 58:765–773.
- Workshop SS (1990) Concepts and methods for assessing solute dynamics in stream ecosystems. *J N Am Benthol Soc* 9:95–119.
- Holmes RM, Aminot A, Kérouel R, Hooker BA, Peterson BJ (1999) A simple and precise method for measuring ammonium in marine and freshwater ecosystems. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 56:1801–1808.
- Taylor BW, et al. (2007) Improving the fluorometric ammonium method: Matrix effects, background fluorescence, and standard additions. *J N Am Benthol Soc* 26: 167–177.
- Gray SM, Ellis PS, Grace MR, McKelvie ID (2006) Spectrophotometric determination of ammonia in estuarine waters by hybrid reagent-injection gas-diffusion flow analysis. *Spectrosc Lett* 39:737–753.
- Ellis PS, Shabani AMH, Gentle BS, McKelvie ID (2011) Field measurement of nitrate in marine and estuarine waters with a flow analysis system utilizing on-line zinc reduction. *Talanta* 84:98–103.
- APHA (2006) *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC).
- Lyddy-Meaney AJ, Ellis PS, Worsfold PJ, Butler ECV, McKelvie ID (2002) A compact flow injection analysis system for surface mapping of phosphate in marine waters. *Talanta* 58:1043–1053.
- Subalusky AL, Dutton CL, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Post DM (2014) The hippopotamus conveyor belt: Vectors of carbon and nutrients from terrestrial grasslands to aquatic systems in sub-Saharan Africa. *Freshw Biol* 60:512–525.
- Vander Zanden MJ, Clayton MK, Moody EK, Solomon CT, Weidel BC (2015) Stable isotope turnover and half-life in animal tissues: A literature synthesis. *PLoS One* 10: e0116182.
- Phillips DL, et al. (2014) Best practices for use of stable isotope mixing models in food-web studies. *Can J Zool* 92:823–835.