

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cancer Causes Control.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Causes Control. 2017 July ; 28(7): 801-807. doi:10.1007/s10552-017-0901-3.

Circulating levels of obesity-related markers and risk of renal cell carcinoma in the PLCO Cancer Screening Trial

Linda M. Liao^{1,*}, Jonathan N. Hofmann¹, Eunyoung Cho^{2,3,4}, Michael N. Pollak⁵, Wong-Ho Chow⁶, and Mark P. Purdue¹

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda MD

²Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

³Department of Dermatology, The Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

⁴Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA

⁵Oncology Department, McGill University and Segal Cancer Centre, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁶MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston TX

Abstract

Purpose—Obesity is an established risk factor for renal cell carcinoma (RCC). It is unclear what biologic mechanisms underlie this association, although recent evidence suggests that the effects of circulating hormones such as insulin-like growth factors (IGF) and adipokines may play a role.

Methods—To address this question we conducted a nested case-control study of RCC (252 cases, 252 controls) within the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial investigating associations with pre-diagnostic serum levels of total adiponectin, high-molecular-weight (HMW) adiponectin, IGF-1, IGF binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3), and C-peptide. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) were estimated using conditional logistic regression.

Results—After adjustment for potential confounders, non-significant associations with RCC were observed for total adiponectin (OR for highest vs. lowest quartile = 0.65, 95% CI 0.37–1.14; $P_{trend}=0.35$), HMW adiponectin (0.67, 0.38–1.17; $P_{trend}=0.36$), IGF-1 (1.35, 0.77–2.39; $P_{trend}=0.17$), IGFBP-3 (1.47, 0.83–2.62; $P_{trend}=0.53$), and C-peptide (1.52, 0.86–2.70; $P_{trend}=0.15$). In a joint analysis with body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), obese individuals (BMI 30) with above- median levels of IGFBP-3 had a significantly higher risk vs. those with BMI <25 and below- median IGFBP-3 (OR 2.42, 1.11–5.26), whereas obese individuals with low IGFBP-3 did not (1.18–0.53–2.64) ($P_{interaction}=0.35$).

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed: Linda M. Liao, Ph.D., MPH, Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Drive, Rm 6-E424, Bethesda, MD, 20892; Linda.Liao@nih.gov; tel: 240-276-7288; fax: 301-402-1819.

Conclusions—The results of this study, while not clearly supporting associations with these obesity-related hormones, suggest that the association between obesity and RCC may be partially modified through mechanisms related to elevated IGFBP-3.

Keywords

obesity; kidney cancer; biomarker; cohort

Introduction

Kidney cancer is among the most commonly diagnosed cancers in men and women in the United States (U.S.), and the incidence of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), the most common form of kidney cancer, has risen in the U.S. over the past few decades [1]. Obesity is an established risk factor for RCC, but the specific biological mechanisms through which obesity acts to increase the risk of this malignancy are unclear [2]. Hypothesized mechanisms of obesity-related carcinogenesis include: altered expression of adipokines, hormones secreted by adipose tissue [3,4]; increased insulin resistance and chronic hyperinsulinemia; and alterations in circulating levels of hormones and related binding proteins in the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) pathway. Investigations of these obesityrelated markers may provide insight into the mechanism underlying the association between obesity and RCC. To date, only one prospective study has been conducted; in an investigation within the Alpha-Tocopherol and Beta-Carotene (ATBC) Cancer Prevention Study, RCC cases had significantly lower pre-diagnosis serum concentrations of adiponectin and IGF-1 compared to controls, and near-significant elevated levels of IGFB-3 [5,6]. As the study participants consisted entirely of male Finnish smokers, the generalizability of these findings is unclear.

To better understand the relationships between these obesity-related biomarkers and RCC risk in a population of predominantly non-smoking men and women, we conducted a nested case-control study in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial. In this study we also investigated serum levels of C-peptide, a widely measured surrogate of insulin secretion.

Materials and Methods

Study population

The PLCO study is a population-based multi-center randomized screening trial of approximately 155,000 predominantly white men and women aged 55–74 years from ten U.S. cities, recruited between 1993 and 2001 [7]. The methods for enrollment and specimen collection have been described in detail previously [8]. Half of the participants were randomized to the screening arm of the trial; these participants provided non-fasting blood samples at six annual medical examinations, including at study entry. Samples were processed and frozen within two hours of collection and stored at -70° C. The trial was approved by institutional review boards at the National Cancer Institute and the ten study centers, and all participants provided written informed consent.

After excluding study participants with a history of cancer at baseline and those with a prevalent RCC (diagnosed within one year of follow-up), we identified 252 incident RCC cases and 252 matched controls that provided a blood sample at the baseline visit. Incident RCC cases (ICD-0–3 C64.9) were ascertained by medical record review of suspected cancers reported through mailed annual study update questionnaires, reports from physicians and relatives, and linkage to the National Death Index (NDI) or local cancer registries. All cases were histopathologically confirmed RCC cases. Controls were selected from among PLCO cohort members and individually matched to cases (1:1 ratio) by age at baseline (5-year categories), sex, race, date of phlebotomy (3-month categories), and study year of specimen collection. Based on a two-sided test with alpha=0.05, we had sufficient statistical power (80%) to detect a dose-response trend if the OR comparing the highest and lowest quartiles for a given marker was <0.52 for an inverse association (or 1.95 for a positive association).

Laboratory methods

Serum concentrations of total adiponectin, HMW adiponectin, IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and C-peptide were measured in duplicate by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with reagents purchased from Millipore (St. Charles, MO). Samples were run in duplicate, with cases and their matched controls analyzed in the same batch. Sample concentrations are the average of duplicate measurements. The overall coefficients of variation for total adiponectin, HMW adiponectin, IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and C-peptide were 6.1%, 7.0%, 2.7%, 3.3% and 4.6%, respectively.

Statistical Analyses

Differences between paired cases and controls were tested for statistical significance using the McNemar's or Bowker's test for categorical variables or the Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for continuous variables. Circulating levels of obesity-related markers were categorized into quartiles by cutpoints determined by the distributions among controls. We computed odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association between total adiponectin, HMW adiponectin, IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and C-peptide and RCC using conditional logistic regression models with adjustment for the following potential confounders: age at baseline, education level, cigarette smoking status, and history of hypertension. We also performed analyses with additional adjustment for history of diabetes and categories of body mass index (BMI; <25 kg/m², 25–29 kg/m², 30 kg/m²). Tests for trend were calculated by modeling the median value within each category. In addition, we conducted a joint analysis with BMI, analyses stratified by sex and median follow-up time (7.5 years, > 7.5 years), and analyses restricted to clear cell RCC (ICD-O-2 8310 and 8312; n= 236 cases) and ever smokers. All statistical tests in the analysis were two-sided and all analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). A meta-analysis combining the total adiponectin, IGF-1 and IGFBP3 results from this study and the previously conducted prospective studies was also conducted using a random effects model. The amount of total variation among studies due to heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic. The metaanalysis was conducted using STATA version 13.0 (Stat Corp., College Station, TX).

Results

Some selected characteristics of study cases and controls are summarized in Table 1. Cases and controls had similar distributions of matching factors (Table 1). Compared to controls, cases were more likely to have a history of hypertension (p=0.01) and a higher BMI (p=0.004). Cases had lower levels of total and HMW adiponectin and higher levels of Cpeptide, IGF-1, and IGFBP-3 than controls, but none of these were statistically significant (p>0.05). The correlations between analytes and with BMI are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Among controls, BMI was inversely correlated with adiponectin levels and positively correlated with C-peptide. Levels of total and HMW adiponectin were almost perfectly correlated, and a strong correlation between IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 was also observed. Correlations of analytes were mostly similar and in the same direction among females vs. males (Supplementary Table 1). The more notable differences were between BMI and Cpeptide: 0.03 vs 0.39, BMI and IGF1: -0.18 vs -0.01, and BMI and IGFBP-3: -0.12 vs 0.02 (females vs males, respectively).

Overall, the measured analytes were weakly associated with RCC risk, although not at a level of statistical significance; total adiponectin and HMW adiponectin were suggestive of an inverse association with RCC, while C-peptide, IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 were suggestive of a positive association with RCC (Table 2). Additional adjustment for history of diabetes and BMI did not change effect estimates appreciably. In sensitivity analyses, mutual adjustment of IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 in the same model did not change effect estimates for IGFBP-3 substantially, while effect estimates for IGF-1 attenuated (data not shown). The associations for the obesity-related markers varied somewhat between men and women, although tests of interaction by sex were not statistically significant. Analyses restricted to clear cell RCC (n=236 cases) were not substantially different (Supplementary Table 2).

When the obesity-related markers and BMI were considered jointly, we observed suggestive evidence of effect modification for serum IGFBP-3 (Table 3); an increased risk of RCC was observed among obese individuals (BMI 30) with high levels of IGFBP-3 (OR = 2.42, 95% CI: 1.11-5.26), but not among obese individuals with low IGFBP-3 (OR = 1.18, 95% CI 0.53-2.64). A test of interaction between BMI and IGFBP-3 however was not statistically significant (P=0.35). We did not observe evidence of effect modification with BMI for other biomarkers (Supplementary Table 3).

We conducted analyses of BMI with adjustment for each biomarker separately to evaluate whether they may modify the established relationship between obesity and RCC. Adjustment for each biomarker did not seem to have a noticeable effect on the BMI association. A modest attenuation of the BMI association was observed when adjusting for either adiponectin measure, with the OR per 5 kg/m² increase changing from 1.19 (95% CI: 0.97-1.47) to 1.14 (95% CI: 0.91-1.43) (Supplementary Table 4). Sex-stratified results of these BMI models were comparable (data not shown). Stratified analyses by median follow-up time (7.5 years, > 7.5 years), and analyses restricted to ever smokers did not reveal any evidence of differences in RCC risk across strata for any marker (data not shown).

Liao et al.

We also conducted a meta-analysis combining our risk estimates for adiponectin, IGF-1 and IGFBP3 with those from the previous ATBC study [5,6]. In the meta-analysis, adiponectin levels were inversely associated with RCC at near statistical significance (p-value=0.06), with summary ORs for the quartiles of adiponectin from lowest to highest of 0.70 (95% CI: 0.48–1.00), 0.81 (95% CI: 0.57–1.16) and 0.58 (95% CI: 0.39–0.85), respectively (Supplementary Table 5). Increasing quartiles of IGFBP-3 levels were associated with an increased risk of RCC with summary ORs of 1.40 (95% CI:0.87–2.24), 2.08 (1.24–3.48) and 1.78 (0.97–3.25), but did not demonstrate a significant trend (p-value=0.58). Evidence of significant heterogeneity in the IGF-1 estimates (I²>50%) made those summary estimates difficult to interpret.

Discussion

In this prospective study, we did not observe clear evidence of a relationship between circulating obesity-related biomarkers and RCC risk, although the weak associations we observed for adiponectin and IGFBP-3 are in the same direction as those from the previous ATBC investigation. We also observed suggestive evidence of effect modification, with a statistically significant association with RCC observed for obese individuals with high levels of IGFBP-3, but not for obese individuals with low IGFBP-3.

In conjunction with insulin, the IGF system is a complex network that regulates metabolism through the promotion and inhibition of cell growth. IGF-1 is a polypeptide hormone that stimulates growth and cell proliferation [3]. IGFBP-3 is the primary carrier of circulating IGF-1 [9]. IGFBP-3 influences the amount of free IGF-1 in circulation and regulates the activity of IGF-1 at target tissues [3]. Independent of IGF-1 bioavailability, IGFBP-3 is also thought to have pro-apoptotic properties through its interactions with several signaling pathways [9]. In relation to RCC, IGF-1 has been associated with increased normal kidney growth in both animal and human models, and may contribute to glomerular sclerosis[10]. Experimental studies have also demonstrated that IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 expression is increased among both clear cell renal tumors and renal cancer cell lines.[11,12] IGF-1 has been associated with an increased risk of several cancers, including colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, and pre- menopausal breast cancer [13]. Higher concentrations of IGFBP-3 have been positively associated with pre-menopausal breast cancer only [13]. Risk of RCC in relation to pre- diagnostic serum levels of IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 was previously evaluated in a nested study within the ATBC cohort [6]. Contrary to expectations, IGF-1 was inversely associated with RCC risk; subjects with IGF-1 levels >113 ng/mL were 59% less likely to develop RCC than those who had levels 113 ng/mL. The reason for this inverse association is unclear, but is inconsistent with experimental evidence [12]. They did not observe a clear trend with IGFBP-3 levels and RCC risk. In our study, higher levels of both IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 were suggestively associated with RCC risk, but we did not see evidence of a trend in risk across quartiles. When evaluated jointly with BMI, high levels of IGFBP-3 with high BMI was associated with a statistically significant two-fold increased risk of RCC compared to those with low levels of both. A similar pattern was observed in a joint-analysis between IGF-1 and BMI, but findings did not reach statistical significance. An overall trend of increasing risk of RCC with higher levels of IGFBP-3 was observed in our meta-analysis of

Liao et al.

two studies. Taken together, our results suggest that elevated IGFBP-3 may play a role in RCC development, particularly among obese individuals.

Adiponectin is an insulin-sensitizing hormone produced exclusively by adipoctyes with potentially anti-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic and anti-angiogenic properties [4]. Adiponectin exists in several oligometric forms. HMW-adiponectin has been suggested to be the most biologically active form of adiponectin and evaluation of these specific multimers could provide a better indicator of effect than adiponectin [14,4]. Circulating levels of adiponectin are reduced among obese individuals [15] and were inversely associated with BMI in our controls (r=-0.33). Experimental studies have demonstrated that both adiponectin receptors, AdipoR1 and R2, are expressed in normal and renal tumor tissue but appear to be downregulated in tumor tissue compared to normal tissue [16,17]. Previous epidemiologic case-control studies evaluating adiponectin levels and RCC have yielded mixed results, but interpretation of these associations is limited by the use of post-diagnostic samples [18,19]. In the prior prospective investigation within the ATBC cohort, high total adiponectin levels were associated with a statistically significant reduced RCC risk (Q4 vs Q1: OR=0.52, 95% CI=0.30–0.88) [5]. In our study, higher concentrations of adiponectin and HMW adiponectin were also suggestive of inverse associations with RCC, although the ORs were weaker with wide confidence limits. In our meta-analysis of the two studies, the summary association for adiponectin reached statistical significance with no between-study heterogeneity detected. Thus, the collective cohort evidence to date supports an association between low circulating adiponectin and increased risk of RCC. Additional prospective investigations are needed to further delineate this relationship.

A recent analysis of metastatic RCC patients demonstrated a positive association between excess body weight and a longer overall survival, which may be due to a decreased expression of the fatty acid synthase (*FASN*) gene in obese patients [20]. As adipose tissue is composed of fatty acids, the decreased expression of *FASN* is likely to influence the production of adipokines and other obesity-related markers in RCC patients and could be one of the mechanisms through which carcinogenesis occurs.

Our study has several strengths, including its prospective study design, use of pre- diagnostic serum samples, and inclusion of non-smokers and women. The prospective design reduces the potential impact of reverse causality on our observed associations. As is typical of most nested case-control studies, we were limited to measurements from a single banked specimen from each participant, which may not accurately capture adipokine or IGF levels over time. Although our study participants provided non-fasting samples, adiponectin levels have been shown to be generally stable over time and remain similar across fasting status [21]. Waist-hip ratio and other anthropometric measurements were not available in our study, so we were limited in our evaluation of obesity to the BMI measure.

In conclusion, the results of this study, while not clearly supporting associations between these obesity-related biomarkers and RCC risk, are consistent with previously reported findings for adiponectin, and suggest an association with elevated IGFBP-3 among obese individuals. Confirmation of these findings in other prospective studies is needed.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Nation Institutes of Health (NIH), Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services.

References

- Chow WH, Dong LM, Devesa SS. Epidemiology and risk factors for kidney cancer. Nat Rev Urol. 2010; 7(5):245–257. doi:nrurol.2010.46 [pii]. [PubMed: 20448658]
- 2. Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Egger M. Adiposity and cancer risk: new mechanistic insights from epidemiology. Nature reviews Cancer. 2015; 15(8):484–498. [PubMed: 26205341]
- 3. Calle EE, Kaaks R. Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. Nature reviews Cancer. 2004; 4(8):579–591. [PubMed: 15286738]
- 4. Roberts DL, Dive C, Renehan AG. Biological mechanisms linking obesity and cancer risk: new perspectives. Annual review of medicine. 2010; 61:301–316.
- Liao LM, Weinstein SJ, Pollak M, Li Z, Virtamo J, Albanes D, Chow WH, Purdue MP. Prediagnostic circulating adipokine concentrations and risk of renal cell carcinoma in male smokers. Carcinogenesis. 2013; 34(1):109–112. [PubMed: 23042303]
- Major JM, Pollak MN, Snyder K, Virtamo J, Albanes D. Insulin-like growth factors and risk of kidney cancer in men. British journal of cancer. 2010; 103(1):132–135. [PubMed: 20517306]
- Prorok PC, Andriole GL, Bresalier RS, Buys SS, Chia D, Crawford ED, Fogel R, Gelmann EP, Gilbert F, Hasson MA, Hayes RB, Johnson CC, Mandel JS, Oberman A, O'Brien B, Oken MM, Rafla S, Reding D, Rutt W, Weissfeld JL, Yokochi L, Gohagan JK. Design of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial. Controlled clinical trials. 2000; 21(6 Suppl):273S–309S. [PubMed: 11189684]
- Hayes RB, Reding D, Kopp W, Subar AF, Bhat N, Rothman N, Caporaso N, Ziegler RG, Johnson CC, Weissfeld JL, Hoover RN, Hartge P, Palace C, Gohagan JK, Prostate LC, Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial Project T. Etiologic and early marker studies in the prostate, lung, colorectal and ovarian (PLCO) cancer screening trial. Controlled clinical trials. 2000; 21(6 Suppl):349S–355S. [PubMed: 11189687]
- 9. Firth SM, Baxter RC. Cellular actions of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins. Endocrine reviews. 2002; 23(6):824–854. [PubMed: 12466191]
- Hirschberg R, Adler S. Insulin-like growth factor system and the kidney: physiology, pathophysiology, and therapeutic implications. American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 1998; 31(6):901–919. [PubMed: 9631833]
- Chuang ST, Patton KT, Schafernak KT, Papavero V, Lin F, Baxter RC, Teh BT, Yang XJ. Over expression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 2008; 179(2):445–449. [PubMed: 18076934]
- Cheung CW, Vesey DA, Nicol DL, Johnson DW. The roles of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 in the regulation of proximal tubule, and renal cell carcinoma cell proliferation. Kidney international. 2004; 65(4): 1272–1279. [PubMed: 15086466]
- Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Minder C, O'Dwyer ST, Shalet SM, Egger M. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Lancet. 2004; 363(9418):1346–1353. [PubMed: 15110491]
- Barb D, Williams CJ, Neuwirth AK, Mantzoros CS. Adiponectin in relation to malignancies: a review of existing basic research and clinical evidence. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2007; 86(3):s858–866. [PubMed: 18265479]
- 15. Arita Y, Kihara S, Ouchi N, Takahashi M, Maeda K, Miyagawa J, Hotta K, Shimomura I, Nakamura T, Miyaoka K, Kuriyama H, Nishida M, Yamashita S, Okubo K, Matsubara K, Muraguchi M, Ohmoto Y, Funahashi T, Matsuzawa Y. Paradoxical decrease of an adipose-specific

protein, adiponectin, in obesity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999; 257(1):79-83. [PubMed: 10092513]

- Kadowaki T, Yamauchi T. Adiponectin and adiponectin receptors. Endocrine reviews. 2005; 26(3): 439–451. [PubMed: 15897298]
- 17. Pinthus JH, Kleinmann N, Tisdale B, Chatterjee S, Lu JP, Gillis A, Hamlet T, Singh G, Farrokhyar F, Kapoor A. Lower Plasma Adiponectin Levels Are Associated with Larger Tumor Size and Metastasis in Clear-Cell Carcinoma of the Kidney. Eur Urol. 2008
- Spyridopoulos TN, Petridou ET, Skalkidou A, Dessypris N, Chrousos GP, Mantzoros CS. Low adiponectin levels are associated with renal cell carcinoma: a case-control study. International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer. 2007; 120(7):1573–1578. [PubMed: 17205522]
- Liao LM, Schwartz K, Pollak M, Graubard BI, Li Z, Ruterbusch J, Rothman N, Davis F, Wacholder S, Colt J, Chow WH, Purdue MP. Serum leptin and adiponectin levels and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013; 21(7):1478–1485. [PubMed: 23666639]
- 20. Albiges L, Hakimi AA, Xie W, McKay RR, Simantov R, Lin X, Lee JL, Rini BI, Srinivas S, Bjarnason GA, Ernst S, Wood LA, Vaishamayan UN, Rha SY, Agarwal N, Yuasa T, Pal SK, Bamias A, Zabor EC, Skanderup AJ, Furberg H, Fay AP, de Velasco G, Preston MA, Wilson KM, Cho E, McDermott DF, Signoretti S, Heng DY, Choueiri TK. Body Mass Index and Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma: Clinical and Biological Correlations. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2016
- Merl V, Peters A, Oltmanns KM, Kern W, Born J, Fehm HL, Schultes B. Serum adiponectin concentrations during a 72-hour fast in over- and normal-weight humans. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005; 29(8):998–1001. [PubMed: 15917861]

Table 1

Selected Baseline Characteristics of RCC Cases and Controls in the PLCO Screening Trial

Characteristic	Cases (N=252)	Controls (N=252)
Age (years)		
55–59	70 (27.8)	70 (27.8)
60–64	90 (35.7)	92 (36.5)
65–69	59 (23.4)	58 (23.0)
70–74	33 (13.1)	32 (12.7)
Sex		
Female	84 (33.3)	84 (33.3)
Male	168 (66.7)	168 (66.7)
Race		
White, non-Hispanic	225 (89.3)	225 (89.3)
Black, non-Hispanic	13 (5.2)	13 (5.2)
Hispanic	4 (1.6)	4 (1.6)
Asian/Pacific Islander	9 (3.6)	9 (3.6)
American Indian	1 (0.4)	1 (0.4)
Level of education		
High school or less	84 (33.3)	69 (27.4)
Some college or other training after high school	88 (34.9)	85 (33.7)
College	80 (31.8)	98 (38.9)
History of Hypertension, yes	118 (45.0)	81 (32.1)
History of Diabetes, yes	29 (11.5)	20 (7.9)
BMI, kg/m2	28.0 (25.2–31.3)	26.7 (24.4–29.7)
Adiponectin concentration, ng/ml	7128.7 (4427.7–11223.8)	7744.1 (5177.0–11591.4)
HMW adiponectin concentration, ng/ml	4273.7 (2466.8–7108.3)	4694.3 (2925.9–7292.4)
C-peptide concentration, ng/ml	2.10 (1.38-3.52)	1.97 (1.20–3.05)
IGF-1 concentration, ng/ml	101.7 (72.4–136.1)	98.6 (70.6–124.6)
IGFBP-3 concentration, ng/ml	2922.3 (2380.0-3423.3)	2779.7 (2254.3–3359.3)

N (%) or median (interquartile range) are presented

\mathbf{r}
1
<u> </u>
#
2
0
-
_
<
5
<u>ש</u>
\supset
S
USC
uscr
uscri
uscrip

Table 2

Adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for the risk of RCC and obesity-related biomarkers

		<u>All subjects</u>			Females			Males	
	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR^b	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR^{b}	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR ^b
Adipo	nectin ^c								
Q1	81/63	1.00	1.00	14/10	1.00	1.00	67/53	1.00	1.00
Q2	61/63	0.68 (0.38–1.19)	0.68 (0.38–1.22)	18/21	0.8 (0.25–2.53)	0.85 (0.25–2.94)	43/42	0.66 (0.34–1.29)	0.61 (0.3–1.23)
Q 3	52/63	0.67 (0.39–1.16)	0.72 (0.41–1.27)	21/16	1.27 (0.41–3.92)	1.57 (0.47–5.24)	31/47	0.53 (0.27–1.02)	0.52 (0.26–1.03)
Q4	58/63	0.65 (0.37–1.14)	0.75 (0.41–1.38)	31/37	0.73 (0.24–2.25)	1.11 (0.33–3.79)	27/26	0.72 (0.37–1.44)	0.73 (0.35–1.54)
P con	ıtinuous	0.35	0.79		0.92	0.21		0.22	0.29
OR P	er 1 SD increase	0.97 (0.80–1.18)	1.05 (0.85–1.29)		1.08 (0.78–1.47)	1.33 (0.91–1.93)		0.86 (0.65–1.13)	0.87 (0.64–1.17)
HMW	Adiponectin $^{\mathcal{C}}$								
Q1	81/63	1.00	1.00	18/11	1.00	1.00	63/52	1.00	1.00
Q2	61/63	0.73 (0.43–1.25)	0.78 (0.45–1.37)	14/19	0.53 (0.15–1.89)	0.78 (0.20–3.06)	47/44	0.87 (0.47–1.60)	0.85 (0.45–1.60)
Q 3	52/63	0.67 (0.39–1.15)	0.72 (0.41–1.26)	20/17	0.8 (0.25–2.55)	1.07 (0.32–3.61)	32/46	0.62 (0.33–1.16)	0.62 (0.32-1.20)
Q4	58/63	0.67 (0.38–1.17)	0.78 (0.42–1.45)	32/37	0.57 (0.18–1.77)	0.97 (0.28–3.4)	26/26	0.77 (0.39–1.52)	0.77 (0.36–1.63)
P con	ıtinuous	0.36	0.85		0.87	0.29		0.31	0.43
OR P	er 1 SD increase	0.98 (0.81–1.19)	1.06 (0.86–1.31)		1.06 (0.77–1.46)	1.32 (0.91–1.93)		$0.88\ (0.68{-}1.16)$	0.90 (0.67–1.21)
C-pept	tide ^c								
Q1	43/63	1.00	1.00	18/20	1.00	1.00	25/43	1.00	1.00
Q 2	70/63	1.38 (0.80–2.37)	1.35 (0.77–2.38)	23/23	0.96 (0.39–2.33)	0.93 (0.36–2.39)	47/40	1.91 (0.94–3.91)	2.09 (0.98-4.48)
03	61/63	1.08 (0.62–1.89)	0.88 (0.48–1.61)	20/25	0.70 (0.27–1.83)	0.51 (0.18–1.45)	41/38	1.52 (0.74–3.12)	1.39 (0.63–3.09)
Q4	78/63	1.52 (0.86–2.70)	1.24 (0.68–2.28)	23/16	1.59 (0.48–5.25)	1.06 (0.30–3.76)	55/47	2.01 (0.98-4.13)	1.91 (0.88-4.13)
P con	ıtinuous	0.15	0.45		0.62	0.86		0.08	0.14
ORP	er 1 SD increase	1.12 (0.93–1.34)	1.08 (0.89–1.30)		1.08 (0.74–1.56)	1.00 (0.70–1.43)		1.18 (0.94–1.48)	1.17 (0.92–1.48)
IGF-1	c								
Q1	56/63	1.00	1.00	36/41	1.00	1.00	20/22	1.00	1.00
Q 2	65/63	1.26 (0.74–2.14)	1.24 (0.72–2.15)	26/20	1.37 (0.61–3.07)	1.57 (0.65–3.78)	39/43	1.14 (0.54–2.41)	1.04 (0.48–2.26)
Q 3	57/63	1.08 (0.62–1.89)	1.00 (0.56–1.78)	12/13	0.98 (0.36–2.70)	1.19 (0.40–3.50)	45/50	1.02 (0.49–2.15)	$0.86\ (0.40{-}1.88)$
Q4	74/63	1.35 (0.77–2.39)	1.32 (0.73–2.36)	10/10	1.01 (0.32–3.18)	1.11 (0.32–3.83)	64/53	1.37 (0.66–2.82)	1.23 (0.58–2.62)

Author Manuscript

		<u>All subjects</u>			<u>Females</u>			<u>Males</u>	
J	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR ^b	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR ^b	Cases/controls	Adjusted OR ^a	Adjusted OR ^b
P contir	snonu	0.17	0.17		0.48	0.23		0.29	0.41
OR Per	1 SD increase	1.16 (0.94–1.43)	1.16(0.94 - 1.44)		1.14 (0.74–1.77)	1.26 (0.78–2.03)		1.16(0.91 - 1.48)	1.13 (0.88–1.45)
IGFBP-3	30								
Q1	48/63	1.00	1.00	18/26	1.00	1.00	30/37	1.00	1.00
Q2	59/63	1.33 (0.76–2.32)	1.35 (0.77–2.39)	21/22	1.82 (0.65–5.1)	2.63 (0.80-8.66)	38/41	1.14 (0.56–2.29)	1.13 (0.55–2.30)
Q 3	76/63	1.68 (0.96–2.93)	1.60 (0.91–2.81)	20/11	3.09 (0.97–9.89)	3.98 (1.07–14.81)	56/52	1.32 (0.68–2.56)	1.24 (0.63–2.42)
Q4	69/63	1.47 (0.83–2.62)	1.42 (0.79–2.57)	25/25	1.64 (0.54–5.02)	2.32 (0.66–8.16)	44/38	1.33 (0.65–2.72)	1.25 (0.60–2.61)
P contir	snonu	0.53	0.63		0.91	0.76		0.54	0.75
OR Per	1 SD increase	1.07 (0.89–1.30)	1.06 (0.87–1.29)		1.03 (0.74–1.43)	1.11 (0.78–1.57)		1.08 (0.84–1.38)	$1.04 \ (0.80 - 1.36)$

 a ddjusted for age, education, cigarette smoking status, and history of hypertension.

 b djusted model further adjusted for history of diabetes and body mass index (categorical)

^c Quartile cutpoints were assigned based on the distribution among all controls as follows: Adiponectin ng/ml, Q1 5177.0, Q2 >5177.0 – 7744.1, Q3 >7744.1– 11591.4, HWW adiponectin ng/ml Q1 2925.9, Q2 >2255.9 – 4694.3, Q3>4694.3 – 7292.4, Q4 >7292.4; C-peptide ng/ml Q1 1.20, Q2>1.20 – 1.97, Q3>1.97 – 3.05, Q4 >3.05, 1GF-1 ng/ml, Q1 70.6, Q2>70.6 – 98.6, Q3 >86.6 – 124.6, Q4 >124.6; 1GFBP-3 ng/ml, Q1 20254.3 – 2779.7, Q3 >2779.7 – 3359.3, Q4 >3359.3, Q4 >305, Q4 >1.06, Q2 >70.6 – 98.6, Q3 >86.6 – 124.6, Q4 >124.6; 1GFBP-3 ng/ml, Q1 20254.3 – 2779.7, Q3 >2779.7 – 3359.3, Q4 >3359.3.

Table 3

Joint analysis between IGFBP-3 and BMI and risk of RCC

BMI	IGFBP-3	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI) ^a
<25	Low	27	35	1.00
<25	High	32	37	1.02 (0.46–2.24)
25–29	Low	50	59	1.09 (0.54–2.19)
25–29	High	54	59	1.23 (0.61–2.47)
30+	Low	30	29	1.18 (0.53–2.64)
30+	High	59	28	2.42 (1.11-5.26)

^aAdjusted for age, education, cigarette smoking status, and history of hypertension.

Low indicates < median value and High indicates median value for IGFBP-3.