



Published in final edited form as:

*Curr Epidemiol Rep.* 2017 June ; 4(2): 174–185. doi:10.1007/s40471-017-0108-x.

## Hepatitis C: Review of the Epidemiology, Clinical Care, and Continued Challenges in the Direct Acting Antiviral Era

Alexander J. Millman, MD<sup>1</sup>, Noele P. Nelson, MD PhD<sup>1</sup>, and Claudia Vellozzi, MD MPH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Viral Hepatitis, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

### Abstract

**Purpose of Review**—This review highlights key studies and recently published data, policies, and recommendations related to hepatitis C virus (HCV) epidemiology, transmission, and treatment.

**Recent Findings**—HCV is a leading cause of liver-related deaths, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Since 2011 and accelerating since 2013, new, safe, tolerable, and curative therapies have considerably altered clinical and public health frameworks related to the prevention, control and clinical management of HCV. Nevertheless, there are several populations in the United States that are important to consider because of disparities in HCV prevalence and transmission risk. Adults born during 1945–1965 have an estimated anti-HCV antibody prevalence of ~3%, which is six times higher than among other adults, are often unaware of their infections, and are at increased risk of having HCV-associated morbidity and mortality from decades of chronic infection. Since the early 2000s, increasing incidence of acute HCV infections among young, white, non-urban people who inject drugs have been reported. Despite promising therapeutic advances, significant challenges remain for reducing HCV-associated morbidity and mortality.

**Summary**—The high burden of HCV and significant health consequences associated with chronic infection make HCV a critical public health priority. Advances in HCV treatment have created new opportunities for reducing HCV-associated morbidity and mortality. These treatments are safe, well-tolerated, and highly effective; however, benefits cannot be realized without a significant increase in the number of persons tested for HCV so that all chronically infected individuals can be aware of their diagnosis and linked to appropriate clinical care.

### Keywords

Hepatitis C; Injection Drug Use; Baby Boomer; Direct Acting Antiviral

---

Corresponding Author: Alexander J Millman, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1600 Clifton Road, Mailstop G-37, Atlanta, GA 30329; Telephone 404-639-6108; amillman@cdc.gov.

**Disclaimer:** The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

## Introduction

In the United States, hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a leading cause of liver-related deaths, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [1•, 2•]. HCV was discovered in 1989, but it was not until 1992 that the blood supply was screened for HCV; therefore, prior to 1992, contaminated blood products had been a primary cause of infection [3–5•]. Currently, HCV infection is primarily acquired through percutaneous blood exposure, most commonly through injection drug use. In the past, HCV treatments were used infrequently because of side effects and modest efficacy; however, since 2011 and then accelerating since 2013, safe, tolerable, and curative therapies have considerably altered clinical and public health frameworks related to the prevention, control and clinical management of HCV [3•]. Despite promising advances, significant challenges remain for reducing HCV-associated morbidity and mortality.

## Virology, pathogenesis, and clinical course

HCV is an enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus of the *Flaviviridae* family [6–8]. There are 7 major genotypes (6 major genotypes and the recent addition of genotype 7 found in only a few cases), and as many as 100 subtypes identified by lower-case letters [9]. The HCV replication process is error prone, which results in variant viruses known as quasispecies [10, 11]. These virologic characteristics and other host genetic factors can affect an individual's disease progression, ability to clear the virus spontaneously, and response to treatment [3•].

Acute infection with HCV is frequently asymptomatic; however, 25–30% of those acutely infected may have symptoms including fever, jaundice, and abdominal pain [12]. Of adults newly infected with HCV, ~15–25% have spontaneous resolution of their infections [13]. However, the likelihood of resolution varies by age and virologic and host genetic factors [14]. Those who do not resolve their infection develop chronic HCV infection defined as the presence of detectable HCV RNA at least six months following the acute infection [13]. Those with chronic HCV infection may develop liver fibrosis of varying severity over time, and approximately 15–20% of those with chronic infection will develop the most advanced form of fibrosis known as cirrhosis [15]. Rates of liver fibrosis progression are influenced by host genetic factors, age of infection, co-morbid conditions such as diabetes and certain environmental factors such as concurrent alcohol use, but cirrhosis typically develops over a couple decades [15]. Those with cirrhosis can become decompensated at rates of 2–4% per year or develop hepatocellular carcinoma at rates of 1–7% per year [13]. Additionally, HCV can result in multiple manifestations of extrahepatic diseases including diabetes mellitus, cryoglobulinemia, non-Hodgkin's B cell lymphoma, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, lichen planus, and porphyria cutanea tarda [16]. Finally, persons infected with HCV have reported reduced quality of life from symptoms such as fatigue [17].

## Modes of transmission

Bloodborne transmission is the primary mode of HCV transmission [5•]. Unsafe injection practices in healthcare settings and recreational injection drug use are particularly important for HCV transmission worldwide [5•]. Prior to the screening of blood products for HCV beginning in 1992 in the United States, healthcare-associated transmission of HCV occurred more frequently [5•]; however, 33 healthcare outbreaks involving more than 239 outbreak-associated cases were reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] from 2008–2015 [18]. Vertical transmission can occur in ~6% of infants born to HCV-infected mothers and transmission may be twice as likely to occur in infants born to HCV/HIV co-infected mothers or HCV mono-infected mothers with high viral loads [19–21]. Sexual transmission is generally inefficient [22]; however, an increasing number of cases of sexually transmitted infection have been reported among HIV-infected men who have sex with men [MSM] [23, 24]. Finally, HCV transmission has also been reported in the setting of non-injection drug use as well as in the setting of unregulated tattoos [25].

## Testing and diagnosis

Laboratory diagnosis of chronic HCV infection in the United States currently requires the use of two types of tests: immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibody enzyme immunoassays (anti-HCV) and nucleic acid tests (NAT) [26•]. HCV testing should be initiated with an anti-HCV antibody test. Persons without risk factors for HCV and a non-reactive anti-HCV antibody require no further evaluation for HCV infection [26•]. Additional testing may be appropriate for certain populations with severely compromised immune systems or current risks for HCV exposure such as injection drug use or hemodialysis [26•]. A reactive anti-HCV antibody requires confirmation with a HCV NAT to determine the presence of HCV RNA and current HCV infection [26•]. Persons with a reactive anti-HCV antibody and a positive HCV NAT are infected with HCV and should be linked to appropriate HCV medical care and treatment [26•]. A person with a reactive anti-HCV antibody and a negative HCV NAT does not have HCV infection; this may represent: 1) spontaneous resolution of a previous infection, 2) sustained virologic response (SVR) following HCV treatment, or 3) a false positive anti-HCV antibody result (although this is rare in populations with risk factors for HCV infection) [26•]. If there is a need to distinguish between true or false positivity of the anti-HCV antibody result, testing may be done with a second FDA-approved HCV antibody assay that is different from the assay used for initial antibody testing [26•].

## HCV Testing Recommendations in the United States

HCV testing recommendations in the United States are issued by CDC and the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) [3–5•, 27•]. The American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and Infectious Disease Society of America (AASLD-IDSA) have also issued testing recommendations as part of guidance for HCV care and treatment. Table 1 highlights similarities and differences in the populations currently recommended for HCV testing by each organization. Recommendations are based on the presence of known HCV transmission risk factors as well as estimated prevalence in certain populations [3–5•, 27•]. USPSTF and CDC recommend one time testing with anti-HCV antibody for adults born

during 1945–1965 regardless of the presence of known HCV risk factors because prevalence is six times higher in that population than among adults born in other years and ~45% of people in this birth cohort report no known exposure risk [see Special Populations and Disparities Section] [3–5•, 27•, 28•]. This population has been recommended for testing by CDC since August 2012 and USPTF (Grade B recommendation) since June 2013 [3–5•, 27•]. AASLD-IDSA incorporated CDC recommendations into guidance for HCV care and treatment [3–5•, 27•]. Finally, all organizations recommend testing for persons of any age with the following HCV-related risk factors: current or past injection drug use, receipt of blood transfusions prior to 1992, receipt of long-term hemodialysis, and children born to HCV-infected mothers [3–5•, 27].

## Treatment

Historically, the mainstay of HCV therapy had been interferon and ribavirin-based regimens, which were used sparingly because of poorly tolerated side effects and modest efficacy with sustained virologic response (SVR) rates of ~50% [29–31]. In 2011, the introduction of two NS3/4A protease inhibitors used in combination with interferon-based regimens for chronic HCV treatment marked the start of the direct-acting antiviral (DAA) era [29]. Since then additional drugs comprising interferon-free DAA regimens with cure rates >90% have become available. These drugs target other viral components such as the NS5A protein inhibitors and NS5B polymerase inhibitors. These new DAA regimens are all oral, highly effective, well-tolerated and typically require only 8–12 weeks of therapy for the majority of HCV-infected patients including those with history of previous HCV treatment, decompensated cirrhosis, end stage renal disease, HIV/HCV co-infection, and recurrent HCV infection post-liver transplantation [32–40•]. Additionally, in June 2016, a new treatment regimen effective against all HCV genotypes became available, which has the potential to further simplify HCV treatment protocols [41]. In the United States, treatment is currently recommended for the vast majority of HCV-infected patients, and the latest information on currently available HCV treatment regimens can be accessed through AASLD-IDSA HCV Guidance: Recommendations for Testing, Managing and Treating Hepatitis C [3•].

## Special Populations and Disparities

There are several populations in the United States that are important to consider because of disparities in HCV prevalence, transmission risk, and clinical management.

### Adults born during 1945–1965

Adults born from 1945–1965, often referred to as “baby boomers,” have an estimated anti-HCV antibody prevalence of ~3%, which is six times higher than among other adults [4•, 28•]. Baby boomers are estimated to account for approximately 75–81% of all HCV infections in the United States [4•, 28•]. These differences in prevalence are related to historical factors such as a higher incidence of HCV infections in the 1970s and 1980s and changes in healthcare infection control practices such as injection practices and use of universal precautions [4•, 42–44]. Since most baby boomers were likely infected more than 30 years ago, they are at increased risk of having advanced liver fibrosis (estimated at 27%

at time of first diagnosis) and other morbidity and mortality associated with chronic HCV infection [45•]. This has been demonstrated by an average annual increase in the HCV mortality rate of 0.18 deaths per 100,000 population per year during 1999–2007 and an average annual increase in the HCV mortality rate of 0.14 deaths per 100,000 population per year during 2003–2013 [1•, 44]. In addition to rising HCV-associated mortality rates, in the absence of diagnosis and treatment, disease models project rising morbidity rates estimating 1.76 million persons with untreated HCV infection will develop cirrhosis, with a peak prevalence of 1 million cases occurring from the mid-2020s through the mid-2030s (46).

Although the overall prevalence of HCV infection is higher in adults born during 1945–1965 than in adults born in other years, prevalence within this population varies by sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. For example, the highest prevalence was found in non-Hispanic black males (8.1 to 13.9%) [4•, 42], and overall, males had twice the prevalence compared with females in the birth cohort [4•, 42]. Additionally, approximately ~30% of adults born during 1945–1965 were found to lack health insurance prior to Medicaid expansion [4•]. In fact, studies evaluating HCV testing of adults born during 1945–1965 in urban emergency departments found HCV antibody positivity rates ranging from 7.3–14.7% primarily in socioeconomically disadvantaged populations. [47•, 48].

Disparities, which disproportionately affect people of lower socioeconomic status, create challenges for scaling up HCV testing and treatment because of underlying health inequities such as differing health care access between groups, and health plan and payer imposed restrictions on HCV treatment to control drug cost expenditures [49–53•]. Addressing these disparities is critical, because persons born during 1945–1965 are more likely to have developed or will develop preventable complications of HCV such as decompensated cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma due to many years of chronic HCV infection [46].

### People who inject drugs

People who inject drugs (PWIDs) are at increased risk for HCV infection, and the incidence of reported acute HCV infections among young people who inject drugs has been increasing since the early 2000s [18, 54•, 55•]. The incidence of reported acute HCV in young persons observed from 2006–2012 was notable for an annual increase in incidence more than 2 times greater in nonurban compared to urban jurisdictions [54•]. Additionally, reported cases were predominantly of white race, as likely to be female as male, and to have reported previous prescription opioid or powder cocaine use [54•]. Multiple HCV outbreaks among PWIDs in nonurban settings including in Appalachia, southern and western states, upstate New York, Massachusetts, Wisconsin, Virginia, and Indiana have been reported [54–58]. Notably, in 2014–2015, Scott County Indiana was the site of a large HIV outbreak fueled by injection drug use of extended-release oxycodone; there were 181 outbreak-related HIV infections of whom 167 (92.3%) were co-infected with HCV (58). Furthermore, among the 183 HIV negative contacts of case patients tested for HCV in Scott County, 116 (63.4%) were HCV positive, which highlights the high HCV prevalence in this population independent of the HIV outbreak [58•].

The sociodemographic characteristics of PWIDs, and stigma associated with injection drug use, create barriers that impede identifying this population and ensuring access to HCV

treatment services. Younger PWIDs, particularly those under the age of 30, may not be connected to a “usual source of health care,” which can create challenges for identifying this population and linking them to care if infected with HCV [59, 60]. Additionally, although current guidelines recommend testing PWIDs for HCV, the stigma associated with this behavior may lead some individuals to deny the behavior and therefore miss an opportunity for testing [61, 62]. Strategies to increase HCV testing and linkage to care in this population have included point-of-care HCV testing at syringe service program sites or other harm reduction sites, co-location of addiction treatment and medical services, community outreach programs, case management and peer navigators [60, 63]. Of note, one study at an urban safety net hospital found PWIDs under 30 years of age were more likely to become engaged with HCV-related care if they were tested in established outpatient primary care settings compared with testing in inpatient or emergency departments [60]. Finally, one major barrier to treatment access for this population is health plan and payer imposed restrictions on HCV treatment. Most Medicaid programs have instituted various substance abuse criteria requiring counseling or documented periods of abstinence from drug or alcohol use as a prerequisite to authorizing HCV treatment [64, 65]. Although concurrent drug and alcohol use are not contraindications to HCV treatment on the drug label, the health plan and payer restrictions effectively limit access to curative HCV therapy for PWID, a population with ongoing transmission risk behaviors [49, 66, 67].

Reinfection is an important consideration for PWIDs who are still injecting drugs. Data on reinfection rates following HCV treatment in PWIDs are limited but have been reported to range from 1.7 per 100 person-years to 28.8 per 100 person-years [68–72]. Importantly, the risk of reinfection after HCV treatment may vary depending on the local prevalence among PWID populations such that low prevalence settings have lower rates of reinfection [68]. This concept is important because it underpins hypothetical HCV treatment as prevention strategies in models used to reduce the HCV burden and transmission risk in PWIDs [73–75]. Theoretical dynamic models have shown that scaling up DAA treatment to PWIDs in combination with other interventions such as network-based treatment strategies of treating injection partners or combining DAA regimens with opioid substitution therapy and high coverage needle and syringe programs could significantly reduce HCV prevalence and transmission risk [73–75]. For example, one transmission model determined that if opioid substitution therapy and high coverage needle and syringe program covered 40% of PWIDs, then annually treating 42 per 1000 PWID over 10 years would halve the prevalence for a population with a 60% baseline chronic HCV infection prevalence [73]. These models provide useful insight into the efficacy of scaling up HCV treatment in PWIDs in combination with other harm reduction services; however, additional empirical evidence is needed to validate an HCV treatment as prevention strategy [74].

### **HIV-infected MSM**

Although sexual transmission of HCV is generally inefficient [22], outbreaks of HCV related to sexual transmission have been reported in HIV-infected MSM in Europe, Australia, Asia, and the United States [23, 24, 76–78]. Factors associated with incident HCV infection among HIV-infected MSM primarily include unprotected receptive anal intercourse with multiple partners, antecedent syphilis, gonorrhea or chlamydial infection,

history of injection drug use, having sex with concurrent methamphetamine use, and douching prior to anal intercourse [24, 79, 80]. In the United States, two studies with national sampling estimated an HCV incidence rate of 0.21–0.51 per 100 person years among HIV-infected MSM [79, 81]. These incidence rates; however, may underestimate the true extent of transmission in this population. For example, using mandatory HIV and HCV laboratory reporting data from 2000–2010, one study in New York City identified 2,016 incident cases of HCV among 41,303 HIV-infected MSM without a history of injection drug [82]. Hispanics and non-Hispanic blacks had higher HCV diagnosis rates than non-Hispanic whites (rate ratio (RR), 1.4 and 1.6 respectively), and MSM diagnosed with syphilis had higher HCV diagnosis rates than those without syphilis (RR 2.5) [82]. These findings suggest a high risk of sexual transmission of HCV in HIV-infected MSM and underscore the importance of testing HIV-infected MSM with ongoing risk factors for HCV at least annually to ensure early linkage to HCV care and treatment services [3].

Similar to PWIDs, reinfection with HCV after curative therapy or spontaneous resolution is a concern for this population. Studies evaluating HCV reinfection in HIV-infected MSM following HCV treatment and SVR have found reinfection rates ranging from 7.3–15.2 per 100 persons-years and 2-year cumulative rates of reinfection ranging from 25–33% [83–85]. This high reinfection risk highlights the limitations of applying a treatment as prevention strategy for HCV in this population without concurrently addressing ongoing sexual or drug use risk behaviors.

### Infants and Children

Annually, an estimated 40,000 children are born to HCV-infected mothers resulting in up to 4,000 new perinatally infected children [44, 86, 87]. HCV infection in infants and children is often asymptomatic, but pediatric HCV infection can affect overall health status, cognitive and behavioral functioning, may result in cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, or liver failure, and results in a 26-fold increased risk of liver-related death [88–92]. Despite the risk of worse health outcomes following vertical transmission of HCV, ~25–40% of infants infected perinatally resolve their infections spontaneously by 24 months, and spontaneous resolution has occurred as late as 7 years after perinatal infection [14]. Approximately 6–12% of infected older children spontaneously resolve their HCV infections, but some studies report rates of spontaneous resolution of ~30% [14, 93]. Infants born to HCV-positive mothers should be tested for HCV [3, 5, 27]; however, diagnosing HCV infection in infants differs from adults because of the presence of maternal anti-HCV IgG, which affects the reliability of anti-HCV antibody results in the first 18 months of life [14]. Therefore, testing for anti-HCV antibody should only be performed in children older than 18 months of age; those with a reactive anti-HCV antibody test, should then have a serum RNA test to diagnose chronic infection [14]. Testing for HCV RNA can occur prior to 18 months of age; however, it should not be performed before 2 months of age due to decreased sensitivity of HCV RNA PCR tests in the first few weeks of life [14, 94]. Additionally, HCV RNA detected early in infancy should be rechecked after 12 months to diagnose chronic infection [14]. These diagnostic challenges necessitate close follow up to ensure that HCV infection status is determined accurately and appropriate linkage to care can be established.

In recent years, there have been increases in HCV detection among women of childbearing age in the United States, which suggest increased risk for mother-to-child transmission of HCV [95•, 96•]. This finding is largely attributable to increases in injection drug use in the United States; for example, from 2011–2014, of the pregnant women with anti-HCV positivity reported to the Kentucky Department of Health, 38% reported past or current injection drug use [95•]. This increased risk for HCV infection among young women, and in turn, for mother-to-child transmission of HCV is particularly important because of the limited HCV treatment options for infants and children. The recommended FDA-approved regimen for children age 3–17 years is PEGylated-interferon-[alpha] with ribavirin, which is associated with potential adverse side effects [14]. DAAs are not currently approved for use in infants, children younger than 12 years or pregnant women because of lack of evidence evaluating safety and efficacy in these populations [3•, 97]. Thus, avoiding adverse outcomes due to vertical transmission of HCV necessitates preventing maternal infection or treating HCV-infected women of child-bearing-age before pregnancy.

Although children born to HCV-infected mothers should be tested for HCV, there are no recommendations to test pregnant women for HCV unless there is a known HCV-risk factor [3•, 5•, 27•]. Despite the recommendations for testing these children, a study linking surveillance and birth-certificate data found that in a major US city, 84% of children born to HCV-positive mothers were not adequately tested for HCV infection [96•]. Similar findings of inadequate case-ascertainment of children with HCV infection have also been reported in Florida and the United States as a whole [98]. Changes in HCV reporting requirements may help to improve identification of HCV-infected infants [95•]; however, identifying and testing at-risk mothers is the first necessary step for assessing possible risk of vertical transmission and ensuring follow-up of infected newborns. In the absence of a universal testing recommendation for pregnant women, it is essential that HCV-risk factors be ascertained in prenatal care. Improved communication between primary care providers, obstetricians, pediatricians, and patients could improve awareness of risk factors and test results to ensure continuity of care for both mother and infant and address substance abuse issues [14, 96•, 99]. Finally, providing HCV treatment to HCV-infected mothers, especially in the postpartum period, could prevent HCV transmission from mother-to-child in subsequent pregnancies [99].

## Continued Challenges

### Improving Testing, Linkage to Care, and Treatment Access

HCV prevention efforts are important at multiple points of clinical care as patients proceed from diagnosis to cure (care cascade). An HCV care cascade can serve as a model for identifying opportunities and barriers to improving testing, linkage to care, and treatment access [100•, 101•]. This model can also be beneficial because it can be used to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions used to improve progression along the cascade.

Testing for HCV is the first and most important step in the care cascade. Despite expansion of populations eligible for testing and increased harmonization of testing recommendations [3–5•, 27•], an estimated 50% of those with chronic HCV infection have not been tested and are unaware of their infection [28•, 100•, 102]. Successful interventions to improve receipt



of HCV antibody and RNA confirmatory testing have included prompts in electronic medical records for identifying eligible patients, immediate phlebotomy and expedited HCV RNA testing for anti-HCV antibody positive patients, and use of laboratory services that perform reflex testing on anti-HCV antibody positive specimens [102–106]. A combination of these interventions can be particularly effective. For example, settings with interventions such as reflex RNA testing have increased confirmatory RNA testing among persons with positive anti-HCV antibody results to >85% [102, 106].

The next step in the cascade is linking HCV-infected persons to a healthcare provider capable of providing HCV-specific care and treatment. This can represent a significant barrier on the care cascade. A study of 104 healthcare sites in 21 US municipalities from 2012–2014 found that only 41.4% of anti-HCV antibody positive baby boomers were referred to care and that 32.3% attended the first appointment [107]. In addition to “drop off” associated with failure to attend a primary care provider (PCP) appointment, referral to specialists is another area where patients may fail to progress along the care cascade [102]. Interventions such as case management programs and patient navigators have been shown to be particularly effective for retaining vulnerable patients in care [63, 102]. Additionally, in the era of DAAs and pangenotypic regimens, there are increasing opportunities for PCPs to provide HCV-specific care and treatment. Video conferencing to train PCPs in the management of HCV-infected persons has been used to successfully scale up HCV treatment in underserved settings [108]. Similar success has been found in non-academic, non-specialist, community health centers in which a training program led by experienced non-specialist PCPs resulted in an increase in the number of PCPs successfully providing HCV treatment to patients with reported SVR rates of 96% [109].

HCV treatment access is the final critical step for completion of the care cascade. Although HCV treatment regimens are well tolerated, of short duration, and highly efficacious, the high cost of treatment and pre-authorization requirements from third party payers represent significant barriers to treatment access [49, 64, 65, 110]. Although treatment of HCV-infected persons is cost-effective from a societal perspective at the initial list price of \$83,000–\$153,000 per treatment course [110, 111•], even as prices continue to decrease, the cost of HCV treatments can create budgetary issues for payers such as Medicaid programs on 1–2 year budget cycles [110, 112]. For example, in 2014, as much as 6.7% of state Medicaid prescription drug spending was attributable to HCV treatment [96•]. Although guidelines for HCV treatment, allowing PCPs to treat HCV, utilization of services such as patient navigators and case management can improve HCV treatment access [3•, 102, 108], addressing drug pricing and payer restrictions to increase treatment access broadly requires health system level intervention [49].

## Vaccination

Despite advances in HCV treatments, development of an effective HCV vaccine has proven elusive [113, 114]. Vaccine development for HCV has been challenging because of viral characteristics including high sequence variability within protein coding regions, active evasion of the immune system, and evolution of quasispecies from error-prone replication [10, 11, 115]. HCV encodes two surface exposed glycoproteins, E1 and E2 which mediate

viral entry [116]. E2 in particular has been a target eliciting a neutralizing antibody response; however, HCV's immune evasion strategies have limited the effectiveness of this target [116]. Other HCV envelope vaccine candidates tested in humans include those targeting the E1 protein, core-E1E2 DNA, and E1E2 protein [114, 117–120]. A primary T-cell-based vaccine candidate has also been tested, but failed to induce sufficient response in chronically infected patients in a therapeutic vaccination trial [121]. Despite the lack of a candidate vaccine, modeling strategies have found that even a low efficacy HCV vaccine could halve HCV prevalence and incidence among PWIDs assuming coverage levels comparable to that of HBV vaccine (model based on 72% HBV vaccine coverage among PWIDs in the United Kingdom in 2013) [122]. Additionally, DAAs do not offer protective immunity, which may limit the use of DAA therapy as a treatment as prevention strategy [110•]. If DAAs and an effective vaccine could be used concurrently for treatment and prevention, re-infection risk would be expected to drop significantly as the number of individuals susceptible to HCV infection decreases [110•]. However, until a vaccine is developed, prevention strategies aimed at averting incident cases is essential.

### Elimination

In April 2016, the National Academy of Sciences released a Phase One Report on Eliminating the Public Health Problem of Hepatitis B and C in the United States [123•]. Based on the analysis, the committee concluded that control of HCV is feasible in the relatively short term, and that while eliminating HCV is feasible, it will require time and considerable public will, attention, and resources [123•]. Achieving HCV elimination will require sustained efforts and overcoming multiple barriers such as improving public health surveillance, increasing the number of persons who are aware of their infection and linked to care, and improving access to treatment [123•]. The Cherokee Nation of Eastern Oklahoma is the first community in the United States to develop an HCV elimination program [124]. The lessons learned from this program will be critical for identifying effective strategies and methods for reducing prevalence and incidence in communities throughout the United States.

### Conclusion

The high burden of HCV and significant health consequences associated with chronic infection make HCV a critical public health priority. Advances in HCV treatment have created new opportunities for reducing HCV-associated morbidity and mortality. These treatments are safe, well-tolerated, and highly effective; however, the benefits cannot be realized without a significant increase in the number of persons tested for HCV so that all chronically infected individuals can be aware of their diagnosis and linked to appropriate clinical care. Prevention and outreach efforts to focus on baby boomers and PWIDs in particular will be critical to decreasing prevalence, reducing incidence, and achieving HCV elimination.

### References

- 1•. Ly KN, Hughes EM, Jiles RB, Holmberg SD. Rising Mortality Associated With Hepatitis C Virus in the United States, 2003–2013. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016; 62(10):1287–8. Describes rising HCV-

associated mortality in the United States from 2003–2013. During that time period, HCV-associated deaths surpassed 60 other nationally notifiable infectious conditions combined. [PubMed: 26936668]

- 2\*. Allison RD, Tong X, Moorman AC, Ly KN, Rupp L, Xu F, et al. Increased incidence of cancer and cancer-related mortality among persons with chronic hepatitis C infection, 2006–2010. *J Hepatol.* 2015; 63(4):822–8. Analysis of a cohort of HCV-infected persons that found the the incidence of liver cancer and many types of non-liver cancers were higher, and age at diagnosis and death younger, in patients with chronic HCV infection compared to the general population. [PubMed: 25937437]
- 3\*. AASLD-IDSA. HCV Guidance: Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C 2016. [Available from: [http://hcvguidelines.org/sites/default/files/HCV-Guidance\\_October\\_2016\\_a.pdf](http://hcvguidelines.org/sites/default/files/HCV-Guidance_October_2016_a.pdf). Guidance produced by the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and the Infectious Disease Society of America (AASLD-IDSA) covering recommendations for hepatitis C testing, clinical management and treatment.
- 4\*. Smith BD, Morgan RL, Beckett GA, Falck-Ytter Y, Holtzman D, Teo CG, et al. Recommendations for the identification of chronic hepatitis C virus infection among persons born during 1945–1965. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2012; 61(Rr-4):1–32. Recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention describing the rationale for one-time testing without prior ascertainment of HCV risk for persons born during 1945–1965.
- 5\*. Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 1998; 47(Rr-19):1–39. Recommendations from the Centers for the Disease Control and Prevention defining populations for risk-based testing of hepatitis C.
6. Lindenbach BD, Rice CM. Unravelling hepatitis C virus replication from genome to function. *Nature.* 2005; 436(7053):933–8. [PubMed: 16107832]
7. Alter MJ, Kruszon-Moran D, Nainan OV, McQuillan GM, Gao F, Moyer LA, et al. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1988 through 1994. *N Engl J Med.* 1999; 341(8): 556–62. [PubMed: 10451460]
8. Kato N. Genome of human hepatitis C virus (HCV): gene organization, sequence diversity, and variation. *Microb Comp Genomics.* 2000; 5(3):129–51. [PubMed: 11252351]
9. Bukh J. The history of hepatitis C virus (HCV): Basic research reveals unique features in phylogeny, evolution and the viral life cycle with new perspectives for epidemic control. *J Hepatol.* 2016; 65(1 Suppl):S2–s21. [PubMed: 27641985]
10. Gomez J, Martell M, Quer J, Cabot B, Esteban JI. Hepatitis C viral quasispecies. *J Viral Hepat.* 1999; 6(1):3–16. [PubMed: 10847126]
11. Duffy S, Shackelton LA, Holmes EC. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: patterns and determinants. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2008; 9(4):267–76. [PubMed: 18319742]
12. Kamal SM. Acute hepatitis C: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2008; 103(5):1283–97. quiz 98. [PubMed: 18477352]
13. Thomas DL, Seeff LB. Natural history of hepatitis C. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2005; 9(3):383–98. vi. [PubMed: 16023972]
14. Mack CL, Gonzalez-Peralta RP, Gupta N, Leung D, Narkewicz MR, Roberts EA, et al. NASPGHAN practice guidelines: Diagnosis and management of hepatitis C infection in infants, children, and adolescents. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2012; 54(6):838–55. [PubMed: 22487950]
15. Thein HH, Yi Q, Dore GJ, Krahn MD. Estimation of stage-specific fibrosis progression rates in chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Hepatology.* 2008; 48(2): 418–31. [PubMed: 18563841]
16. Lee MH, Yang HI, Lu SN, Jen CL, You SL, Wang LY, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection increases mortality from hepatic and extrahepatic diseases: a community-based long-term prospective study. *J Infect Dis.* 2012; 206(4):469–77. [PubMed: 22811301]
17. Kallman J, O'Neil MM, Larive B, Boparai N, Calabrese L, Younossi ZM. Fatigue and health-related quality of life (HRQL) in chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2007; 52(10): 2531–9. [PubMed: 17406828]

18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surveillance for Viral Hepatitis--United States, 2014. (revised 2016). [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/statistics/2014surveillance/index.htm>]
19. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014; 59(6):765–73. [PubMed: 24928290]
20. Kanninen TT, Dieterich D, Ascutti S. HCV vertical transmission in pregnancy: New horizons in the era of DAAs. *Hepatology*. 2015; 62(6):1656–8. [PubMed: 26238474]
21. Dunkelberg JC, Berkley EM, Thiel KW, Leslie KK. Hepatitis B and C in pregnancy: a review and recommendations for care. *J Perinatol*. 2014; 34(12):882–91. [PubMed: 25233195]
22. Terrault NA, Dodge JL, Murphy EL, Tavis JE, Kiss A, Levin TR, et al. Sexual transmission of hepatitis C virus among monogamous heterosexual couples: the HCV partners study. *Hepatology*. 2013; 57(3):881–9. [PubMed: 23175457]
23. Hagan H, Jordan AE, Neurer J, Cleland CM. Incidence of sexually transmitted hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2015; 29(17):2335–45. [PubMed: 26258525]
24. Sexual transmission of hepatitis C virus among HIV-infected men who have sex with men--New York City, 2005–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011; 60(28):945–50. [PubMed: 21775948]
25. Hellard ME, Hocking JS, Crofts N. The prevalence and the risk behaviours associated with the transmission of hepatitis C virus in Australian correctional facilities. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2004; 132(3):409–15.
26. Testing for HCV infection: an update of guidance for clinicians and laboratorians. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2013; 62(18):362–5. Updated guidance for clinicians and laboratorians from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention on diagnostic testing procedures for hepatitis C. [PubMed: 23657112]
27. Moyer VA. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013; 159(5):349–57. Hepatitis C testing recommendations for adults from the United States Preventive Services Task Force including risk-based testing and testing for adults born from 1945–1965. [PubMed: 23798026]
28. Denniston MM, Jiles RB, Drobeniuc J, Klevens RM, Ward JW, McQuillan GM, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003 to 2010. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014; 160(5):293–300. Analysis of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey participants, which found that the estimated prevalence of HCV infection in noninstitutionalized civilians in the United States was 1.0% corresponding to 2.2–3.2 million chronically infected persons. Notably, the prevalence of HCV infection in the baby boomer birth cohort was 6 times higher than in other age cohorts. [PubMed: 24737271]
29. Conteduca V, Sansonno D, Russi S, Pavone F, Dammacco F. Therapy of chronic hepatitis C virus infection in the era of direct-acting and host-targeting antiviral agents. *J Infect*. 2014; 68(1):1–20. [PubMed: 24012819]
30. McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Schiff ER, Shiffman ML, Lee WM, Rustgi VK, et al. Interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with ribavirin as initial treatment for chronic hepatitis C. Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 339(21):1485–92. [PubMed: 9819446]
31. Poynard T, Marcellin P, Lee SS, Niederau C, Minuk GS, Ideo G, et al. Randomised trial of interferon alpha2b plus ribavirin for 48 weeks or for 24 weeks versus interferon alpha2b plus placebo for 48 weeks for treatment of chronic infection with hepatitis C virus. International Hepatitis Interventional Therapy Group (IHIT). *Lancet*. 1998; 352(9138):1426–32. [PubMed: 9807989]
32. Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, Rossaro L, Bernstein DE, Lawitz E, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370(20):1879–88. Phase 3, open label study evaluating sustained virologic response of HCV-infected patients with genotype 1 without cirrhosis treated with 8 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir, 8 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir and ribavirin, or 12 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir. [PubMed: 24720702]
33. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, Chojkier M, Gitlin N, Puoti M, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370(20):1889–98. Phase 3, open label

study evaluating sustained virologic response of previously untreated HCV-infected patients with genotype 1 treated with 12 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir, 12 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir and ribavirin, 24 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir, and 24 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir and ribavirin. [PubMed: 24725239]

- 34•. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, Lawitz E, Gordon SC, Schiff E, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2014; 370(16):1483–93. Phase 3, randomized, open-label study evaluating sustained virologic response of HCV-infected patients with genotype 1 who were previously treated with interferon-based therapy treated with ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 12 weeks, ledipasvir and sofosbuvir and ribavirin for 12 weeks, ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 24 weeks or ledipasvir and sofosbuvir and ribavirin for 24 weeks. [PubMed: 24725238]
- 35•. Feld JJ, Kowdley KV, Coakley E, Sigal S, Nelson DR, Crawford D, et al. Treatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. *N Engl J Med.* 2014; 370(17):1594–603. Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating sustained virologic responses in previously untreated patients with HCV genotype 1 infection treated with 450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin or matching placebos. [PubMed: 24720703]
- 36•. Roth D, Nelson DR, Bruchfeld A, Liapakis A, Silva M, Monsour H Jr, et al. Grazoprevir plus elbasvir in treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection and stage 4–5 chronic kidney disease (the C-SURFER study): a combination phase 3 study. *Lancet.* 2015; 386(10003):1537–45. Phase 3 study of safety and observational study of efficacy of patients with HCV genotype 1 infection and chronic kidney disease (stage 4–5 with or without hemodialysis dependence) randomized to treatment with grazoprevir plus elbasvir (immediate treatment group) or placebo (deferred treatment group). [PubMed: 26456905]
- 37•. Foster GR, Irving WL, Cheung MC, Walker AJ, Hudson BE, Verma S, et al. Impact of direct acting antiviral therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C and decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol.* 2016; 64(6):1224–31. Evaluation sustained virologic response of patients infected with HCV and decompensated cirrhosis treated in an expanded access program by clinician choice with sofosbuvir, ledipasvir or daclatasvir, with or without ribavirin. [PubMed: 26829205]
- 38•. Naggie S, Cooper C, Saag M, Workowski K, Ruane P, Towner WJ, et al. Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir for HCV in Patients Coinfected with HIV-1. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 373(8):705–13. Multicenter, single-group, open-label study involving patients coinfecting with HIV-1 and genotype 1 or 4 HCV receiving HIV treatment treated with 12 weeks of ledipasvir and sofosbuvir to evaluate sustained virologic response. [PubMed: 26196665]
- 39•. Wyles DL, Ruane PJ, Sulkowski MS, Dieterich D, Luetkemeyer A, Morgan TR, et al. Daclatasvir plus Sofosbuvir for HCV in Patients Coinfected with HIV-1. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 373(8):714–25. Open-label study evaluating sustained virologic response in treatment naïve and treatment experienced HCV-infected who were co-infected with HIV-1 using 12 or 8 weeks of daclatasvir and sofosbuvir. [PubMed: 26196502]
- 40•. Fontana RJ, Brown RS Jr, Moreno-Zamora A, Prieto M, Joshi S, Londono MC, et al. Daclatasvir combined with sofosbuvir or simeprevir in liver transplant recipients with severe recurrent hepatitis C infection. *Liver Transpl.* 2016; 22(4):446–58. Evaluation of sustained virologic response in recipients of liver transplantation with severe recurrent HCV infection treated with daclatasvir-based regimens. [PubMed: 26890629]
41. Feld, JJ. Pangenotypic Regimen: A Step Forward in HCV Treatment. [Available from: <http://www.healio.com/hepatology/hepatitis-c/news/print/hcv-next/%7B2e43db74-bbbe-4f41-9e74-04f44891eb6f%7D/pangenotypic-regimen-a-step-forward-in-hcv-treatment?dul;=&page=1>]
42. Patel RC, Vellozzi C, Smith BD. Results of Hepatitis C Birth-Cohort Testing and Linkage to Care in Selected U.S. Sites, 2012-2014. *Public Health Rep.* 2016; 131(Suppl 2):12–9.
43. Klevens RM, Hu DJ, Jiles R, Holmberg SD. Evolving epidemiology of hepatitis C virus in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012; 55(Suppl 1):S3–9. [PubMed: 22715211]
44. Armstrong GL, Wasley A, Simard EP, McQuillan GM, Kuhnert WL, Alter MJ. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1999 through 2002. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006; 144(10):705–14. [PubMed: 16702586]

- 45•. Klevens RM, Canary L, Huang X, Denniston MM, Yeo AE, Pesano RL, et al. The Burden of Hepatitis C Infection-Related Liver Fibrosis in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016; 63(8): 1049–55. Analysis of commercial laboratory data finding that of those currently infected with HCV, 23% overall and 27% among the cohort born during 1945–1965 had advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis at first diagnosis. [PubMed: 27506688]
46. Rein DB, Wittenborn JS, Weinbaum CM, Sabin M, Smith BD, Lesesne SB. Forecasting the morbidity and mortality associated with prevalent cases of pre-cirrhotic chronic hepatitis C in the United States. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2011; 43(1):66–72. [PubMed: 20739252]
- 47•. Galbraith JW, Franco RA, Donnelly JP, Rodgers JB, Morgan JM, Viles AF, et al. Unrecognized chronic hepatitis C virus infection among baby boomers in the emergency department. *Hepatology*. 2015; 61(3):776–82. Evaluation of data from an urban academic emergency room that started op-out HCV antibody testing of all persons born between 1945–196. Of those tested, 11.1% had reactive HCV antibody tests. [PubMed: 25179527]
48. Allison WE, Chiang W, Rubin A, O'Donnell L, Saldivar MA, Maurantonio M, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection in the 1945–1965 birth cohort (baby boomers) in a large urban ED. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2016; 34(4):697–701. [PubMed: 26809931]
49. Edlin BR. Access to treatment for hepatitis C virus infection: time to put patients first. *The Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016; 16(9):e196–201. [PubMed: 27421993]
50. Bourgi K, Brar I, Baker-Genaw K. Health Disparities in Hepatitis C Screening and Linkage to Care at an Integrated Health System in Southeast Michigan. *PloS One*. 2016; 11(8):e0161241. [PubMed: 27525983]
- 51•. Geboy AG, Mahajan S, Daly AP, Sewell CF, Fleming IC, Cha HA, et al. High Hepatitis C Infection Rate Among Baby Boomers in an Urban Primary Care Clinic: Results from the HepTLC Initiative. *Public Health Rep*. 2016; 131(Suppl 2):49–56. Description of hepatitis C antibody testing among baby boomers in an urban primary care clinic which found that 8.8% of those tested were HCV-antibody positive, and that prevalence was significantly higher among black/African American men (15.5%). [PubMed: 27168662]
- 52•. Vutien P, Hoang J, Brooks L Jr, Nguyen NH, Nguyen MH. Racial Disparities in Treatment Rates for Chronic Hepatitis C: Analysis of a Population-Based Cohort of 73,665 Patients in the United States. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016; 95(22):e3719. Population-based cohort study evaluating differences in HCV treatment by race/ethnicity, which found that racial minorities had lower treatment rates than Caucasians even when controlling for other factors such as medical and psychiatric comorbidities, income, and educational level. [PubMed: 27258498]
- 53•. Saab S, Jimenez M, Fong T, Wu C, Bau S, Jamal Z, et al. Accessibility to Oral Antiviral Therapy for Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C in the United States. *J Clin Transl Hepatol*. 2016; 4(2):76–82. A study evaluating predictors of insurance approvals for direct acting antiviral agents, which found that patients with advanced liver disease, Medicare, and lacking other comorbidities are most likely to be insurance approved for treatment. [PubMed: 27350937]
- 54•. Suryaprasad AG, White JZ, Xu F, Eichler BA, Hamilton J, Patel A, et al. Emerging epidemic of hepatitis C virus infections among young nonurban persons who inject drugs in the United States, 2006–2012. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014; 59(10):1411–9. Analysis of trends in incidence of acute hepatitis C among young persons finding evidence of significantly rising incidence of acute HCV infection among young nonurban persons of predominantly white race with a history of prescription opioid abuse. [PubMed: 25114031]
- 55•. Zibbell JE, Iqbal K, Patel RC, Suryaprasad A, Sanders KJ, Moore-Moravian L, et al. Increases in hepatitis C virus infection related to injection drug use among persons aged 30 years - Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, 2006–2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2015; 64(17):453–8. Analysis identifying an association among opioid abuse, injection drug use, and rising incidence of acute HCV infection among young persons in central Appalachia. [PubMed: 25950251]
56. Notes from the field : hepatitis C virus infections among young adults--rural Wisconsin, 2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2012; 61(19):358. [PubMed: 22592276]
57. Use of enhanced surveillance for hepatitis C virus infection to detect a cluster among young injection-drug users--new York, November 2004–April 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008; 57(19):517–21. [PubMed: 18480744]

- 58•. Peters PJ, Pontones P, Hoover KW, Patel MR, Galang RR, Shields J, et al. HIV Infection Linked to Injection Use of Oxymorphone in Indiana, 2014–2015. *N Engl J Med*. 2016; 375(3):229–39. Description of an outbreak of 181 cases of HIV infection in Indiana among a network of persons reporting injection drug use with extended-release oxymorphone. [PubMed: 27468059]
59. Strathee SA, Latka M, Campbell J, O'Driscoll PT, Golub ET, Kapadia F, et al. Factors associated with interest in initiating treatment for hepatitis C Virus (HCV) infection among young HCV-infected injection drug users. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005; 40(Suppl 5):S304–12. [PubMed: 15768339]
60. Young KL, Huang W, Horsburgh CR, Linas BP, Assoumou SA. Eighteen- to 30-year-olds more likely to link to hepatitis C virus care: an opportunity to decrease transmission. *J Viral Hepat*. 2016; 23(4):274–81. [PubMed: 26572798]
61. Jordan AE, Masson CL, Mateu-Gelabert P, McKnight C, Pepper N, Bouche K, et al. Perceptions of drug users regarding hepatitis C screening and care: a qualitative study. *Harm Reduct J*. 2013; 10:10. [PubMed: 23786800]
62. Swan D, Long J, Carr O, Flanagan J, Irish H, Keating S, et al. Barriers to and facilitators of hepatitis C testing, management, and treatment among current and former injecting drug users: a qualitative exploration. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 2010; 24(12):753–62. [PubMed: 21138381]
- 63•. Meyer JP, Moghimi Y, Marcus R, Lim JK, Litwin AH, Altice FL. Evidence-based interventions to enhance assessment, treatment, and adherence in the chronic Hepatitis C care continuum. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2015; 26(10):922–35. Systematic review describing evidence-based interventions that can be used to improve care and treatment outcomes related to hepatitis C care involving diagnosis or case-finding, linkage to care, pre-therapeutic evaluation or treatment initiation and treatment adherence. [PubMed: 26077144]
- 64•. Canary LA, Klevens RM, Holmberg SD. Limited Access to New Hepatitis C Virus Treatment Under State Medicaid Programs. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015; 163(3):226–8. Description of prior authorization criteria for sofosbuvir prescription under State Medicaid Fee-for-Service Programs. [PubMed: 26121095]
- 65•. Barua S, Greenwald R, Grebely J, Dore GJ, Swan T, Taylor LE. Restrictions for Medicaid Reimbursement of Sofosbuvir for the Treatment of Hepatitis C Virus Infection in the United States. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015; 163(3):215–23. Study systematically evaluating state Medicaid policies for the treatment of HCV infection with sofosbuvir finding that the restrictions do not conform with recommendations from professional organizations or U.S. Food and Drug Administration labels. [PubMed: 26120969]
66. Grebely J, Robaey G, Bruggmann P, Aghemo A, Backmund M, Bruneau J, et al. Recommendations for the management of hepatitis C virus infection among people who inject drugs. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2015; 26(10):1028–38. [PubMed: 26282715]
67. Dore GJ, Altice F, Litwin AH, Dalgard O, Gane EJ, Shibolet O, et al. Elbasvir-Grazoprevir to Treat Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Persons Receiving Opioid Agonist Therapy: A Randomized Trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016; 165(9):625–34. [PubMed: 27537841]
68. Grady BP, Schinkel J, Thomas XV, Dalgard O. Hepatitis C virus reinfection following treatment among people who use drugs. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57(Suppl 2):S105–10. [PubMed: 23884057]
69. Weir A, McLeod A, Innes H, Valerio H, Aspinall EJ, Goldberg DJ, et al. Hepatitis C reinfection following treatment induced viral clearance among people who have injected drugs. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016; 165:53–60. [PubMed: 27268294]
70. Midgard H, Bjoro B, Maeland A, Konopski Z, Kileng H, Damas JK, et al. Hepatitis C reinfection after sustained virological response. *J Hepatol*. 2016; 64(5):1020–6. [PubMed: 26780289]
71. Aspinall EJ, Corson S, Doyle JS, Grebely J, Hutchinson SJ, Dore GJ, et al. Treatment of hepatitis C virus infection among people who are actively injecting drugs: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57(Suppl 2):S80–9. [PubMed: 23884071]
72. Sacks-Davis R, Aitken CK, Higgs P, Spelman T, Pedrana AE, Bowden S, et al. High rates of hepatitis C virus reinfection and spontaneous clearance of reinfection in people who inject drugs: a prospective cohort study. *PloS One*. 2013; 8(11):e80216. [PubMed: 24244654]
73. Martin NK, Hickman M, Hutchinson SJ, Goldberg DJ, Vickerman P. Combination interventions to prevent HCV transmission among people who inject drugs: modeling the impact of antiviral treatment, needle and syringe programs, and opiate substitution therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013; 57(Suppl 2):S39–45. [PubMed: 23884064]

74. Hickman M, De Angelis D, Vickerman P, Hutchinson S, Martin NK. Hepatitis C virus treatment as prevention in people who inject drugs: testing the evidence. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2015; 28(6): 576–82. [PubMed: 26524330]
75. Hellard M, McBryde E, Sacks Davis R, Rolls DA, Higgs P, Aitken C, et al. Hepatitis C transmission and treatment as prevention - The role of the injecting network. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2015; 26(10):958–62. Analysis using an HCV transmission model to simulate the spread of HCV through a network of people who inject drugs to examine the feasibility of implementing a treatment as prevention strategy for direct acting antiviral agents. [PubMed: 26072105]
76. Fierer DS, Uriel AJ, Carriero DC, Klepper A, Dieterich DT, Mullen MP, et al. Liver fibrosis during an outbreak of acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected men: a prospective cohort study. *J Infect Dis.* 2008; 198(5):683–6. [PubMed: 18627270]
77. van de Laar TJ, Matthews GV, Prins M, Danta M. Acute hepatitis C in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: an emerging sexually transmitted infection. *AIDS.* 2010; 24(12):1799–812. [PubMed: 20601854]
78. Kaplan-Lewis E, Fierer DS. Acute HCV in HIV-infected MSM: modes of acquisition, liver fibrosis, and treatment. *Current HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2015; 12(3):317–25.
79. Witt MD, Seaberg EC, Darilay A, Young S, Badri S, Rinaldo CR, et al. Incident hepatitis C virus infection in men who have sex with men: a prospective cohort analysis, 1984–2011. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013; 57(1):77–84. Prospective cohort analysis describing trends and risk factors of the spread of HCV among HIV-infected and uninfected men who have sex with men in the United States since early HIV epidemic. [PubMed: 23532480]
80. Apers L, Vanden Berghe W, De Wit S, Kabeya K, Callens S, Buyze J, et al. Risk factors for HCV acquisition among HIV-positive MSM in Belgium. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2015; 68(5): 585–93. [PubMed: 25763786]
81. Taylor LE, Holubar M, Wu K, Bosch RJ, Wyles DL, Davis JA, et al. Incident hepatitis C virus infection among US HIV-infected men enrolled in clinical trials. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011; 52(6):812–8. [PubMed: 21282184]
82. Breskin A, Drobnik A, Pathela P, Chan C, Braunstein S, Bornschlegel K, et al. Factors Associated With Hepatitis C Infection Among HIV-Infected Men Who Have Sex With Men With No Reported Injection Drug Use in New York City, 2000–2010. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2015; 42(7):382–6. [PubMed: 26222751]
83. Lambers FA, Prins M, Thomas X, Molenkamp R, Kwa D, Brinkman K, et al. Alarming incidence of hepatitis C virus re-infection after treatment of sexually acquired acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected MSM. *AIDS.* 2011; 25(17):F21–7. [PubMed: 21857492]
84. Martin TC, Martin NK, Hickman M, Vickerman P, Page EE, Everett R, et al. Hepatitis C virus reinfection incidence and treatment outcome among HIV-positive MSM. *AIDS (London, England).* 2013; 27(16):2551–7.
85. Ingiliz P, Martin TC, Rodger A, Stellbrink HJ, Mauss S, Boesecke C, et al. HCV reinfection incidence and spontaneous clearance rates in HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Western Europe. *J Hepatol.* 2016
86. Cottrell EB, Chou R, Wasson N, Rahman B, Guise JM. Reducing risk for mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis C virus: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013; 158(2):109–13. [PubMed: 23437438]
87. Prasad MR, Honegger JR. Hepatitis C virus in pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol.* 2013; 30(2):149–59. [PubMed: 23389935]
88. Rodrigue JR, Balistreri W, Haber B, Jonas MM, Mohan P, Molleston JP, et al. Impact of hepatitis C virus infection on children and their caregivers: quality of life, cognitive, and emotional outcomes. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2009; 48(3):341–7. [PubMed: 19242286]
89. Nydegger A, Srivastava A, Wake M, Smith AL, Hardikar W. Health-related quality of life in children with hepatitis C acquired in the first year of life. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008; 23(2): 226–30. [PubMed: 18289357]
90. Robinson JL, Doucette K. The natural history of hepatitis C virus infection acquired during childhood. *Liver Int.* 2012; 32(2):258–70. [PubMed: 22098487]



91. Rumbo C, Fawaz RL, Emre SH, Suchy FJ, Kerkar N, Morotti RA, et al. Hepatitis C in children: a quaternary referral center perspective. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2006; 43(2):209–16. [PubMed: 16877987]
92. Omland LH, Krarup H, Jepsen P, Georgsen J, Harritshoj LH, Riisom K, et al. Mortality in patients with chronic and cleared hepatitis C viral infection: a nationwide cohort study. *J Hepatol.* 2010; 53(1):36–42. [PubMed: 20400197]
93. Yeung LT, To T, King SM, Roberts EA. Spontaneous clearance of childhood hepatitis C virus infection. *J Viral Hepat.* 2007; 14(11):797–805. [PubMed: 17927616]
94. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J Med Virol.* 2006; 78(2):305–10. [PubMed: 16372293]
95. Koneru A, Nelson N, Hariri S, Canary L, Sanders KJ, Maxwell JF, et al. Increased Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Detection in Women of Childbearing Age and Potential Risk for Vertical Transmission - United States and Kentucky, 2011–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016; 65(28):705–10. Analysis finding that the proportion of infants born to HCV-infected mothers increased 68% nationally and 124% in Kentucky. [PubMed: 27442053]
96. Kuncio DE, Newbern EC, Johnson CC, Viner KM. Failure to Test and Identify Perinatally Infected Children Born to Hepatitis C Virus-Infected Women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016; 62(8):980–5. Analysis of surveillance data from the Philadelphia Department of Public Health finding a significant number of women giving birth in Philadelphia tested positive for HCV but that most of their children were not tested for HCV. [PubMed: 26797211]
97. Lee CK, Jonas MM. Hepatitis C: Issues in Children. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 2015; 44(4):901–9. [PubMed: 26600227]
98. Delgado-Borrego A, Smith L, Jonas MM, Hall CA, Negre B, Jordan SH, et al. Expected and actual case ascertainment and treatment rates for children infected with hepatitis C in Florida and the United States: epidemiologic evidence from statewide and nationwide surveys. *J Pediatr.* 2012; 161(5):915–21. [PubMed: 22765955]
99. Krans EE, Zickmund SL, Rustgi VK, Park SY, Dunn SL, Schwarz EB. Screening and evaluation of hepatitis C virus infection in pregnant women on opioid maintenance therapy: A retrospective cohort study. *Subst Abus.* 2016; 37(1):88–95. [PubMed: 26569631]
100. Holmberg SD, Spradling PR, Moorman AC, Denniston MM. Hepatitis C in the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 368(20):1859–61. Description of a care cascade integrating data from the Chronic Hepatitis Cohort Study and the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. [PubMed: 23675657]
101. Viner K, Kuncio D, Newbern EC, Johnson CC. The continuum of hepatitis C testing and care. *Hepatology.* 2015; 61(3):783–9. Description of an HCV continuum of care in a US urban center highlighting important areas where patients become lost at each stage. [PubMed: 25348499]
102. Trooskin SB, Poceta J, Towey CM, Yolken A, Rose JS, Luqman NL, et al. Results from a Geographically Focused, Community-Based HCV Screening, Linkage-to-Care and Patient Navigation Program. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2015; 30(7):950–7. [PubMed: 25680353]
103. Litwin AH, Smith BD, Drainoni ML, McKee D, Gifford AL, Koppelman E, et al. Primary care-based interventions are associated with increases in hepatitis C virus testing for patients at risk. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2012; 44(6):497–503. [PubMed: 22342471]
104. Blackburn NA, Patel RC, Zibbell JE. Improving Screening Methods for Hepatitis C Among People Who Inject Drugs: Findings from the HepTLC Initiative, 2012–2014. *Public Health Rep.* 2016; 131(Suppl 2):91–7. [PubMed: 27168667]
105. Howes N, Lattimore S, Irving WL, Thomson BJ. Clinical Care Pathways for Patients With Hepatitis C: Reducing Critical Barriers to Effective Treatment. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016; 3(1):ofv218. [PubMed: 26900576]
106. Coyle C, Kwakwa H, Viner K. Integrating Routine HCV Testing in Primary Care: Lessons Learned from Five Federally Qualified Health Centers in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 2012–2014. *Public Health Rep.* 2016; 131(Suppl 2):65–73. [PubMed: 27168664]
107. Patel RC, Vellozzi C, Smith BD. Results of Hepatitis C Birth-Cohort Testing and Linkage to Care in Selected U.S. Sites, 2012–2014. *Public Health Rep.* 2016; 131(Suppl 2):12–9.

108. Mitruka K, Thornton K, Cusick S, Orme C, Moore A, Manch RA, et al. Expanding primary care capacity to treat hepatitis C virus infection through an evidence-based care model--Arizona and Utah, 2012–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014; 63(18):393–8. [PubMed: 24807237]
109. Wong, S., Crowley, M., Diamond, J. AASLD Liver Meeting. Boston, MA: 2016. Primary care providers in community health centers can be rapidly trained by experienced primary care providers to treat hepatitis C at high levels of treatment efficacy and provider satisfaction.
110. Ward JW, Mermin JH. Simple, Effective, but Out of Reach? Public Health Implications of HCV Drugs. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 373(27):2678–80. [PubMed: 26575359]
111. Rein DB, Wittenborn JS, Smith BD, Liffmann DK, Ward JW. The cost-effectiveness, health benefits, and financial costs of new antiviral treatments for hepatitis C virus. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015; 61(2):157–68. Cost effectiveness analysis of the use of direct acting antivirals for hepatitis C treatment determining that even at initial list costs the direct acting antiviral agents are cost effective per persons. [PubMed: 25778747]
112. Liao JM, Fischer MA. Early Patterns of Sofosbuvir Utilization by State Medicaid Programs. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 373(13):1279–81.
113. Walker CM, Grakoui A. Hepatitis C virus: why do we need a vaccine to prevent a curable persistent infection? *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2015; 35:137–43. [PubMed: 26241306]
114. Fauvelle C, Colpitts CC, Keck ZY, Pierce BG, Fong SK, Baumert TF. Hepatitis C virus vaccine candidates inducing protective neutralizing antibodies. *Expert Rev Vaccines.* 2016; 15(12):1535–44. [PubMed: 27267297]
115. von Hahn T, Yoon JC, Alter H, Rice CM, Rehermann B, Balfe P, et al. Hepatitis C virus continuously escapes from neutralizing antibody and T-cell responses during chronic infection in vivo. *Gastroenterology.* 2007; 132(2):667–78. [PubMed: 17258731]
116. Drummer HE. Challenges to the development of vaccines to hepatitis C virus that elicit neutralizing antibodies. *Front Microbiol.* 2014; 5:329. [PubMed: 25071742]
117. Leroux-Roels G, Batens AH, Desombere I, Van Den Steen B, Vander Stichele C, Maertens G, et al. Immunogenicity and tolerability of intradermal administration of an HCV E1-based vaccine candidate in healthy volunteers and patients with resolved or ongoing chronic HCV infection. *Hum Vaccin.* 2005; 1(2):61–5. [PubMed: 17038823]
118. Alvarez-Lajonchere L, Shoukry NH, Gra B, Amador-Canizares Y, Helle F, Bedard N, et al. Immunogenicity of CIGB-230, a therapeutic DNA vaccine preparation, in HCV-chronically infected individuals in a Phase I clinical trial. *J Viral Hepat.* 2009; 16(3):156–67. [PubMed: 19017255]
119. Colombatto P, Brunetto MR, Maina AM, Romagnoli V, Almasio P, Rumi MG, et al. HCV E1E2-MF59 vaccine in chronic hepatitis C patients treated with PEG-IFNalpha2a and Ribavirin: a randomized controlled trial. *J Viral Hepat.* 2014; 21(7):458–65. [PubMed: 24750327]
120. Frey SE, Houghton M, Coates S, Abrignani S, Chien D, Rosa D, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of HCV E1E2 vaccine adjuvanted with MF59 administered to healthy adults. *Vaccine.* 2010; 28(38):6367–73. [PubMed: 20619382]
121. Kelly C, Swadling L, Capone S, Brown A, Richardson R, Halliday J, et al. Chronic hepatitis C viral infection subverts vaccine-induced T-cell immunity in humans. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md).* 2016; 63(5):1455–70.
122. Stone J, Martin NK, Hickman M, Hellard M, Scott N, McBryde E, et al. The Potential Impact of a Hepatitis C Vaccine for People Who Inject Drugs: Is a Vaccine Needed in the Age of Direct-Acting Antivirals? *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(5):e0156213. [PubMed: 27224423]
123. Washington DC: National Academies of Sciences and Medicine, editor *Eliminating the public health problem of hepatitis B and C in the United States: phase one report 2010.* [Available from: <http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2016/Eliminating-the-Public-Health-Problem-of-Hepatitis-B-and-C-in-the-US.aspx>] Report describing the feasibility and barriers to eliminating hepatitis B and C in the United States
124. Mera J, Vellozzi C, Hariri S, Carabin H, Drevets DA, Miller A, et al. Identification and Clinical Management of Persons with Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection - Cherokee Nation, 2012–2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016; 65(18):461–6. [PubMed: 27172175]

**Table 1**

Hepatitis C Screening Recommendations issued by the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and the Infectious Disease Society of America (AASLD-IDSA)

Risk factor	USPSTF	CDC	AASLD-IDSA
Adults born during 1945–1965	X	X	X
Current or past injection drug use	X	X	X
Receipt of blood transfusion before 1992	X	X	X
Receipt of clotting factor concentrates produced before 1987		X	X
Organ transplant before July 1992		X	X
Long-term hemodialysis	X	X	X
Children born to HCV-positive women	X	X	X
Incarceration	X		X
Intranasal drug use	X	*	X
Other non-injecting illegal drug use		*	
Receipt of an unregulated tattoo	X	*	X
Other percutaneous exposures	X		
Healthcare, emergency medical, and public safety workers after needle sticks, sharps, or mucosal exposures to HCV-positive blood		X	X
HIV-infected		X	X
Persistently abnormal alanine aminotransferase (ALT)		X	X
Unexplained chronic liver disease and chronic hepatitis			X
Solid organ donors (deceased and living)			X
Persons with a history of multiple sex partners or sexually transmitted diseases		*	
Long-term steady sex partners of HCV-positive persons		*	
Recipients of transplanted tissue (e.g. corneal, musculoskeletal, skin, ova, sperm)		*	

Adapted from Guidelines and Guidance from United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and the Infectious Disease Society of America (AASLD-IDSA)

Abbreviations: Hepatitis C Virus (HCV); United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and the Infectious Disease Society of America (AASLD-IDSA); Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)

\* Mentioned in guidelines but described as of uncertain need