

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Cell Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 11.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell Rep. 2017 June 27; 19(13): 2743-2755. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.093.

Lanosterol modulates TLR4 mediated innate immune responses in macrophages

Elisa Araldi^{1,2}, Marta Fernández-Fuertes¹, Alberto Canfrán-Duque^{1,3}, Wenwen Tang⁴, Gary W Cline⁵, Julio Madrigal-Matute², Jordan S. Pober⁶, Miguel A. Lasunción³, Dianging Wu⁴, Carlos Fernández-Hernando^{1,*}, and Yajaira Suárez^{1,7,*}

¹Departmen of Comparative Medicine, Department of Pathology, Program in Integrative Cell Signaling and Neurobiology of Metabolism and Vascular Biology and Therapeutics Program, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, 06520, USA

²Departments of Medicine and Cell Biology, Leon H. Charney Division of Cardiology and Cell Biology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, 10016, USA

³Servicio de Bioquímica-Investigación, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, IRyCIS, Madrid y CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), ISCIII, Madrid, Spain

⁴Department of Pharmacology and Vascular Biology and Therapeutics Program, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, 06520, USA

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

⁶Department of Immunobiology and Vascular Biology and Therapeutics Program, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

SUMMARY

Macrophages perform critical functions in both innate immunity and in cholesterol metabolism. Here we report that activation of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) in macrophages causes lanosterol, the first sterol intermediate in the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway, to accumulate. This effect is due to type I interferon (IFN)-dependent histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC-1) transcriptional repression of lanosterol-14a-demethylase, the gene product of Cyp51A1. Lanosterol accumulation in macrophages, either due to treatment with ketoconazole or induced conditional disruption of

ACCESSION CODES

^{*}Correspondence: carlos.fernandez@yale.edu (C.F-H), yajaira.suarez@yale.edu (Y.S.). ⁷Lead contact: Yajaira Suárez, PhD, 10 Amistad Street, Room 301, New Haven, CT 06520, Tel: 203.737.8858, Fax: 203.737.2290, yajaira.suarez@yale.edu

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EA, CF-H and YS conceived and designed the study. EA, MF-F, AC-D, WT, JM-M, GWC and YS performed experiments and analyzed data. JSP, GC, MAL, DW, CF-H, and YS assisted with experimental design and data interpretation. EA and YS wrote the manuscript, which was commented on by all authors.

Accession number for microarray data GEO: GSE89559. Other relevant data were obtained from GSE38379, GSE38379 and GSE21910.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Cyp51A1 in mouse MΦs *in vitro*, decreases IFNβ-mediated STAT1-STAT2 activation and IFNβstimulated gene expression. These effects translate into increased survival to endotoxemic shock by reducing cytokine secretion. Additionally, lanosterol accumulation increases membrane fluidity and ROS production thus potentiating phagocytosis and the ability to kill bacteria. This improves resistance of mice to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection by increasing bacterial clearance in the spleen and liver. Overall our data indicate that lanosterol is an endogenous selective regulator of macrophage immunity.

Keywords

Lanosterol; innate immunity; TLR-4; macrophage; Cyp51A1

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages (M Φ s) are effector cells of innate immunity that phagocytose bacteria and secrete both pro-inflammatory and antimicrobial mediators. They are able to sense a wide variety of inflammatory and immune stimuli and respond by adapting their gene expression profile to provide protection against microbial infections and maintain tissue homeostasis (Mosser and Edwards, 2008). In response to TLR4 activation, M Φ s activate molecular mechanisms that both positively and negatively regulate inflammatory responses (Iyer et al., 2010; Medzhitov and Horng, 2009). TLR4 signaling induces immediate/early gene expression through activation of transcription factors such as NF κ B, AP-1and IRF3 (Glass and Natoli, 2016; Medzhitov and Horng, 2009). Mediators induced by these, such as type I interferons (IFNs) can then induce secondary response genes (e.g. IFN-stimulated gene products) (Ivashkiv and Donlin, 2014) that both support innate immunity and set the stage for adaptive immunity. TLR4 signaling also results in downregulation of a broad program of gene expression, although molecular mechanisms responsible for this are less well characterized.

The crosstalk between innate immune responses and cholesterol homeostasis is instrumental for proper MΦ function (Castrillo et al., 2003; Im et al., 2011). Indeed, both Sterol-Responsive-Element-Binding-Proteins (SREBPs), which activate cholesterol synthesis and uptake (Jeon and Osborne, 2012) and Liver-X-Receptors (LXRs), which control of cholesterol efflux (Hong and Tontonoz, 2014), participate in regulating several immune functions (Castrillo et al., 2003; Im et al., 2011; Spann et al., 2012; York et al., 2015). Moreover, recent observations indicate that post-cholesterol oxysterols, including 25hydroxycholesterol (25-HC), participate in the immune activation of M Φ s in response to different inflammatory stimulus (e.g. TLR4 or type I IFN) therefore emerging as important regulators of immune functions elicited by M Φ s(Bauman et al., 2009; Blanc et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013; Reboldi et al., 2014; Shibata et al., 2013). Moreover, type I IFN-induced responses produce perturbations in the intracellular homeostasis of cholesterol, which in turn can regulate these processes (York et al., 2015). Although downregulation of cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes and the concomitant accumulation of sterol intermediates has been reported in response to TLR4 agonists in a MΦ cell line (Dennis et al., 2010) the role of these intermediates in regulating host responses to pathogens has not been elucidated.

Intriguingly, while in lymphoid cells, several precursors of cholesterol biosynthesis are essential for their development and differentiation (Hu et al., 2015; Santori et al., 2015), it remains unclear how sterol intermediates of the cholesterol biosynthesis influence $M\Phi$ s physiology.

In the present study we show that transcription of lanosterol-14 α -demethylase (Cyp51A1) is down-regulated in TLR4-activated M Φ s due to a secondary repressive response that is dependent on type I IFN production and on the activation of histone deacetylases. Consequently, CYP51A1 protein levels were reduced, and lanosterol, first sterol of cholesterol biosynthesis, accumulated intracellularly. Strikingly, both pharmacological accumulation of lanosterol through administration of ketoconazole (KT), a competitive inhibitor of CYP51A1, or inducible conditional deletion of *Cyp51A1* in M Φ s increased the survival of mice subjected to endotoxemic shock, which was associated with diminished pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion. Mechanistically, LPS/IFN β -triggered STAT1-STAT2 activation in M Φ s that accumulated lanosterol was attenuated, resulting in reduced expression of IFN type I-mediated cytokines. Additionally, we found that lanosterol accumulation increased membrane fluidity and ROS production, thus potentiating phagocytosis and the ability to kill bacteria. As such, mice treated with KT exhibited a survival advantage to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection and increased bacteria clearance in spleen and liver.

Our data indicate that innate immune transcriptional downregulation of CYP51A1 induces lanosterol accumulation in M Φ s promoting antimicrobial activity and favoring antiinflammatory response in M Φ s. We further identify lanosterol as an endogenous mediator of innate immune responses of M Φ s.

RESULTS

CYP51A1 downregulation in LPS/IFN γ -treated macrophages promotes the accumulation of lanosterol

To better understand the role of non-immune related genes on innate immune responses, we performed an unbiased whole genome expression analysis on LPS/IFN γ -activated bone marrow derived M Φ s (BMDM) (Figure 1A). Ingenuity pathway analysis on significantly downregulated genes (Figure S1A) revealed that the expression of key enzymes of the cholesterol synthesis, such as the lanosterol-utilizing enzymes lanosterol-14 α -demethylase (*Cyp51A1*) and 24-dehydrocholesterol reductase (*Dhcr24*) was reduced in LPS/IFN γ -treated M Φ s (Figure 1B) and in agreement with a previous report (Dennis et al., 2010). This effect was translated to protein levels, which decreased over time after LPS/IFN γ stimulation (Figure 1C). CYP51A1 catalyzes the de-methylation of the 14 α -methyl group from lanosterol, an obligatory step of cholesterol synthesis, while DHCR24 converts all the sterols from the Bloch to the Kandutsch-Russell pathway and desmosterol into cholesterol (Sharpe and Brown, 2013) (Figure S1B). Importantly, the downregulation of CYP51A1 and DHCR24 was also observed in human peripheral blood monocyte-derived M Φ s (hM Φ s), suggesting that this process is also relevant in humans (Figure 1D).

Classical activation of M Φ s is mediated by a combined effect of type II IFNs (IFN γ) and LPS (Schroder et al., 2004). The effect of the LPS + IFN γ on CYP51A1 or DHCR24 protein expression did not differ to that observed with LPS alone, while the stimulation with IFN γ alone did not produce any effect on the expression of these enzymes (Figure S2A&B). Therefore, co-stimulation with IFN γ is not necessary to promote the downregulation of CYP51A1 or DHCR24 and indicates an IFN γ -independent mechanism is responsible for the changes in the expression of these enzymes. Thereafter, experiments were performed in the absence IFN γ .

We next determined if the effect of LPS on the downregulation of these enzymes is a physiological response that also occurs *in vivo*. Thus, MΦs were elicited via intraperitoneal injection of thyoglicollate. After 3 days mice were injected with LPS and 24h later MΦs were isolated and the protein levels of CYP51A1 and DHCR24 were analyzed. Thioglycollate-recruited MΦs of mice injected with LPS showed reduced expression of CYP51A1 and DHCR24 than their vehicle (PBS) injected counterparts (Figure 1E).

We then evaluated whether the reduced levels of CYP51A1 and DHCR24 were associated with alterations in the de novo synthesis of cholesterol. Previous studies in RAW 264.7 cells treated with the TLR4 ligand Kdo2-Lipid A (KLA) reported a decrease in Cyp51A1 and Dhcr24 expression and lanosterol accumulation over 24h of stimulation (Andreyev et al., 2010; Dennis et al., 2010). However, cholesterol content analyzed by mass spectrometry was increased. This latter effect was attributed to increased lipoprotein uptake from the cholesterol-containing media. We first tested whether the uptake of exogenous cholesterol through lipoproteins present in the culture media was involved in the downregulation of these enzymes. As shown in Figure 1F, incubation of MΦs in media containing lipoprotein deficient serum (LPDS) did not alter the TLR4-mediated downregulation of CYP51A1 or DHCR24 at either mRNA or protein levels (Figure 1F & Figure S2C) and indicating that the effect is not due to a negative cholesterol-mediated feedback regulation, but mediated by the inflammatory stimulus per se. We next analyzed the incorporation of radioactive acetate into non-saponifiable lipids after TLR4 stimulation. As shown in Figure 1G, LPS treatment produced a slight reduction of [1-2-14C]-acetate incorporation into non-saponifiable lipids (corresponding mainly to cholesterol, 7-dehydrocholesterol, dehydrodesmosterol and desmosterol) and to a significant increase of radioactivity incorporation into lanosterol (Figure 1G). General reduction of the flux of carbon into sterols is also supported by the accumulation of non-sterol isoprenoids in LPS treated M Φ s (Figure 1G). Mass spectrometry analysis confirmed the accumulation of lanosterol (~4-fold increase) while total cellular cholesterol content was not significantly altered after 24h of stimulation (Figure 1H). Since dehydrolanosterol, the product of DHCR24-mediated conversion of lanosterol, was not significantly affected upon TLR4 stimulation (Figure 1H), we concluded that the accumulation of lanosterol after TLR4 stimulation is mainly a consequence of the LPSmediated downregulation of CYP51A1 expression.

CYP51A1 is transcriptionally downregulated independently of newly synthesized 25-HC and of SREBP activation

To elucidate the mechanism responsible for in the downregulation of CYP51A1 we first tested whether TLR-4 activation induces *Cyp51A1* mRNA instability by inhibiting transcription with actinomycin D. *Cyp51A1* mRNA levels in LPS-treated MΦs were similar to those observed in presence of actinomycin D independently of the stimulation (Figure 2A). mRNA transcription requires promoter recruitment of RNA polymerase 2 (Pol2) followed by phosphorylation at Ser5 at the CT domain of Pol2 (Pol2S5) for transcriptional initiation and subsequently at Ser2 on actively elongating Pol2 (Pol2S2) (Phatnani and Greenleaf, 2006). Pol2 and Pol2S5 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) from LPDS-cultured BMDM showed that Pol2 and Pol2S5 occupancy on the promoter of *Cyp51A1* is decreased upon LPS treatment which was accompanied by reduction of Pol2S2 recruitment (Figure 2B & Figure S2D). ChIP-sequencing analysis of LPS-stimulated BMDMs also showed the decreased of Pol2 occupancy on the *Cyp51A1* promoter (Figure S2E).

In M Φ s, both type I or II IFNs stimulate the expression of cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (*Ch25h*) (Blanc et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Park and Scott, 2010; Reboldi et al., 2014; Shibata et al., 2013), the enzyme responsible for the conversion of cholesterol to 25-HC (Lund et al., 1998) which inhibits cholesterol synthesis via SREBP inactivation (Adams et al., 2004). Therefore, we examined if LPS-mediated accumulation of this oxysterol could be responsible for the observed downregulation of CYP51A1 expression. To do so, we analyzed CYP51A1 expression over time after LPS stimulation in BMDMs isolated from wild-type (WT) and *Ch25h^{-/-}* mice. As expected, LPS induced the expression of *Ch25h* in WT BMDMs (Figure 2C, right panel) while downregulating CYP51A1 over time (Figure 2C left & 2D). *Ch25h* mRNA and 25-HC were undetectable in *Ch25h^{-/-}* BMDMs (Figures 2C right & 2E right). LPS-mediated decrease of CYP51A1 was comparable in WT or *Ch25h^{-/-}* BMDMs (Figure 2C left & 2D) and leading to the accumulation of lanosterol (Figure 2E left). Thus, 25-HC does not play a major role in the early LPS-mediated transcriptional downregulation of Cyp51A1.

SREBP2 activity is tightly regulated by cellular sterol levels, and when intracellular cholesterol levels are decreased, it promotes the transcriptional activation of genes responsible for cholesterol synthesis and uptake (Jeon and Osborne, 2012). We next assessed the contribution of SREBPs in the TLR4-mediated downregulation of CYP51A1 in hMΦs after testing SREBP2 antibody on human hepatic cells (Figure S2F). As expected, hMΦs cultured under conditions of cholesterol deprivation showed the expected increase in SREPB2 processing when compared to cells incubated in media containing FBS with lipoproteins (Figure 2F). In this scenario, the increase in SREBP2 processing correlated with the expected increase in CYP51A1 protein expression (Figure 2F). When hMΦs were stimulated with LPS in cholesterol-free media we did not observe a decrease in the mature form of SREBP2 (Figure 2F) that could account for the decrease of mRNA and protein levels of CYP51A1. To further explore the role of SREBP2 we knocked down its expression in BMDMs using siRNA (Figure S2G). Protein levels of CYP51A1 were decreased upon either LPS stimulation or *Srebp2* silencing (Figure 2G). Interestingly, LPS stimulation in *Srebp2*-silencing conditions caused a further decrease in the protein levels of CYP51A1

when compared to LPS stimulation in non-silencing (NS) control conditions or to Srebp2 silencing in the absence of LPS stimulation (Figure 2G). We then blocked SREBP processing with a serine protease inhibitor that is selective for SREBP site 1 protease (S1P), PF-429242 (Hawkins et al., 2008). As expected, PF429243 treatment efficiently inhibited the simvastatin-induced cleavage of SREBP2 (Figure 2F). In non-LPS stimulated conditions, PF-429242 treated MΦs showed the expected gradual decrease of Cyp51A1 expression, but stimulation with LPS decreased CYP51A1 protein levels regardless of the presence of PF429242 (Figure 2H). To summarize, inhibiting SREBP2 expression or its activation does not alter LPS-mediated CYP51A1 downregulation. On the other hand, when SREBP activation was induced by inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis via incubation in the presence of either simvastatin or zaragozic acid (Figure S1B) the expression of CYP51A1 was induced (Figure 2I). In these conditions, treatment with LPS stimulation was still able to promote the downregulation of CYP51A1 independently of previous SREBP activation (Figure 2I). Therefore, induction of SREBP activation does not prevent LPS-mediated downregulation of CYP51A1. Cumulatively, SREBP does not activation is not directly involved in the early TLR4-mediated repression of CYP51A1.

CYP51A1 downregulation in macrophages is caused by a type I IFN response and is mediated by HDAC1 activation

LPS-mediated TLR4 signaling elicits two parallel signaling pathways: the MyD88 pathway, which triggers NF- κ B and AP-1 activation, TLR-stimulated genes (TSGs) and related inflammatory cytokine production, and the TRIF pathway, which activates the interferon regulatory factor-3 (IRF3) transcription factor that mediates the subsequent up-regulation of genes encoding type I IFNs and co-stimulatory molecules (Takeda and Akira, 2004). Secreted type I IFNs signal through their heterodimeric receptors, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2, to form a heterotrimeric transcription factor formed by STAT1, STAT2 and IRF9, promoting an autocrine loop that induces IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) (Ivashkiv and Donlin, 2014). We thus tested if the stimulation of BMDMs with type I IFNs (e.g. IFNβ, Figure S3A positive control of treatment) reduced the expression of CYP51A1. As shown in Figure 3A, both mRNA and protein levels of CYP51A1 were reduced and lanosterol levels were increased in response to IFN_β stimulation (Figure 3B). In order to better characterize the signaling pathway accounting for CYP51A1 downregulation, we tested the effect LPS or IFNβ on Cyp51A1 expression in BMDMs isolated from WT, myD88^{-/-} or ifnar1^{-/-} mice. The downregulation of CYP51A1 at mRNA and protein levels was observed in response to LPS or IFNβ in both WT and *myD88*^{-/-} BMDMs (Figure 3C & D). However, neither LPS nor IFNβ reduced CYP51A1 expression in *ifnar1^{-/-}* BMDMs (Figure 3C & D). These results are consistent with RNAseq data of *ifnar1^{-/-}* BMDMs treated with LPS over time where Cyp51A1 expression was not downregulated as opposed to WT BMDMs (Figure S3B). In agreement with those data, lanosterol accumulation after LPS or IFNB stimulation is observed in WT or *mvD88*^{-/-} BMDMs but prevented in *Ifnar1*^{-/-} BMDMs (Figure 3E). These data indicates that autocrine secretion of type I IFNs by TLR-4 activation promotes the downregulation of CYP51A1 after LPS stimulation and the type I IFNs, but not type II IFNs (Figure S2A), is the underlying stimulus responsible for the LPS-mediated downregulation of Cyp51A1 expression.

To further understand the basis of LPS-mediated *Cyp51A1* transcriptional downregulation, we investigated the chromatin landscape of the *Cyp51A1* locus. ChIP-sequencing analysis of the Cyp51A1 locus of LPS-stimulated BMDMs (Ostuni et al., 2013), showed a diminish occupancy of transcription factor PU.1 (master regulator and pioneer transcription factor of the myeloid lineage), a marked decrease in the active enhancer markers histone 4 acetylation (H4ac) and of histone 3 lysine 4 mono-methylation (H3K4me1), as well as a reduction in the reduced active promoter marker H3K4me3 (Figure 3F). Taken together, these data suggest that LPS alters enhancer and promoter maintenance and represses the *Cyp51A1* locus.

The observed decrease in histone acetylation in the *Cyp51A1* locus after LPS treatment led us to investigate whether histone deacetylases (HDACs) were participating in the downregulation of CYP51A1 expression. As shown in Figure 3G, pre-treatment with a pan-HDAC inhibitor, prevented either LPS or IFN β mediated downregulation of CYP51A1 expression. More specifically, while inhibition of HDAC1 produced similar effect to that observed with the pan-HDAC inhibitor (Figure 3G and Figure S3C), the HDAC3-specific and/or HDAC4-specific inhibitors did not reduce the LPS or IFN β -mediated downregulation of CYP51A1 (Figure 3G). Taken together our data indicate that upon LPS or IFN β stimulation the *Cyp51A1* locus is repressed through a mechanism that involves HDAC1-mediated deacetylation.

Lanosterol accumulation in mice improves survival to endotoxemic shock via reduced STAT1/STAT2-mediated expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines

To determine if there is a functional role of lanosterol in TLR4-induced immune responses in MΦs, we first investigated the effect of its accumulation on the expression of several proinflammatory cytokines. To this end, we exploited the CYP51A1 inhibitor ketoconazole (KT), which blocks the demethylation of lanosterol thus promoting its accumulation(Iglesias and Gibbons, 1989). This azole drug is commonly used as an antimycotic agent, as it inhibits the conversion of lanosterol to ergosterol (Van Den Bossche et al., 1979). As expected, treatment of BMDMs with KT resulted in increased lanosterol content (Figure S4A). Interestingly, we found that, in response to LPS, KT-treated MΦs showed reduced expression of several inflammatory mediators of TLR4 and/or IFNβ activation in MΦs (Thomas et al., 2006) such as of IL-6, Tnfa, Ccl2, InfB, Cxcl9, Mx1 or Mx2 (Figure 4A). KT, as well as other azoles, has been described to have pleotropic anti-inflammatory effects in different cell types(Kanda and Watanabe, 2006; Tsuji et al., 2012). Thus, to avoid potential unspecific effects of KT not related with the accumulation of lanosterol, we silenced the expression Cyp51A1 in M Φ s (Figure S4B). Importantly, and similar to KTtreated M Φ s, LPS-induced expression of pro-inflammatory mediators was diminished in BMDMs silenced for Cyp51A1 (Figure S4C).

We then investigated the mechanism behind the diminished expression of pro-inflammatory mediators in response to TLR4 activation. KT treatment of BMDMs did not produce a significant difference in the activation of IKKa/ β , IxBa or TBK in response to LPS stimulation (Figure S4D). Thus, it appears that the alteration in TLR-4 mediated responses caused by lanosterol accumulation does not affect either MyD88 or TRIF signaling, but instead acts upon the autocrine/paracrine loop after IFN- β induction. LPS strongly induce

IFN β expression through the TRIF pathway and IFN β signaling in turn triggers STAT1-STAT2 phosphorylation and activation(Ivashkiv and Donlin, 2014). Interestingly, in KTtreated BMDMs phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2 in response to IFN β or LPS stimulation was considerably reduced when compared to vehicle treated M Φ s (Figure 4B). Similar effects on the phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2 in response IFN β or LPS were obtained when *Cyp51A1* was knocked-down by siRNA (Figure S4E).

We then analyzed the effect of lanosterol accumulation in endotoxin shock *in vivo*. We injected KT treated mice with a lethal dose of LPS and monitored their survival. KT treated mice had a survival advantage over control DMSO injected mice (Figure 4C). Furthermore, plasma concentrations of IL-6 or CCL2 were reduced compared to control injected mice (Figure 4D). Although it is well established that LPS-induced lethality is caused by additional factors other than overproduction of cytokines, these data show the importance of lanosterol in preventing death from endotoxemia.

To further explore how CYP51A1 downregulation might participate in the regulation LPSmediated responses in M Φ s, we generated a transgenic mouse expressing a tamoxifen (TMX)-inducible Mer-iCre fusion protein driven by the Csf1r promoter (Qian et al., 2011), crossed with Cyp51A1^{fl/fl} mice (Keber et al., 2011) to ablate Cyp51A1 postnatally in the monocyte/MΦ compartment (i.e. Cyp51A1^{fl/fl};Csf1r-Mer-iCre-Mer referred as Cyp51A1iMΦKO) and thus avoiding possible developmental effects of early embryonic conditional deletion (Gomez Perdiguero et al., 2015). 4-hydroxyTMX (OH-TMX)- induced ablation of Cyp51A1 in cultured BMDMs obtained from Cyp51A1iMΦKO mice (Figure S4F) had increased lanosterol levels compared to BMDMs from *Cyp51A1^{f1/f1}* control mice (Figure 4E). We then evaluated the effect on the expression of pro-inflammatory mediators in OH-TMX- vs. ethanol-treated Cyp51A1iMΦKO BMDMs. In line with our previous results, in response to LPS stimulation, OH-TMX-treated Cyp51A1iMΦKO BMDMs showed reduced expression of IL-6, Tnfa, Ccl2, InfB, Cxcl9, Mx1 or Mx2 when compared to ethanol-treated (Figure 4F). Furthermore, STAT1-STAT2 phosphorylation was also attenuated in response to IFNB or LPS (Figure 4G). Importantly, treatment with OH-TMX of control Cyp51A1fl/fl BMDMs did not affect expression of inflammatory genes, CYP51A1 protein levels nor STAT1-STAT2 activation in response to inflammatory stimulation (Figure S4 G&H). Consistently, when challenged with a lethal dose of LPS, TMX-treated Cyp51A1iMΦKO mice were more resistant to LPS-induced lethality than TMX treated control Cyp51A1 fl/fl mice (Figure 4G) and plasma levels of IFNB and CCL2 were reduced when compared to that of control mice (Figure 4I).

Cumulatively, these data indicate that lanosterol accumulation through enzymatic inhibition or inducible genetic ablation of *Cyp51A1* reduces LPS/IFN β triggered STAT1-STAT2 activation in M Φ s, which results in reduced expression of interferon type I-mediated cytokines and increased survival to endotoxemic shock.

Lanosterol improves phagocytosis by increasing membrane fluidity, bacteria clearance and confers survival advantage to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection

Besides their important role in immunomodulation through the secretion of cytokines, $M\Phi$ phagocytic activity is key to their microbicidal function (Mosser and Edwards, 2008). KT-

treated M Φ s exhibited improved phagocytosis of pHrodo-conjugated *E.coli* bacterial particles (Figure 5A). KT might also inhibit CYP3A4 (Svecova et al., 2008), therefore to exclude off-targets effects of we used mifepristone, a non-azole CYP3A4 inhibitor and did not observe any significant effect on pHrodo particle uptake (Figure S5A). Importantly, when *Cyp51A1* was silenced improved phagocytosis was also observed (Figure S5B).

Plasma membrane composition affects lateral mobility of lipids and membrane-associated proteins, as well as phagocytosis. Previous reports suggest that lanosterol, due to its less planar structure compared to cholesterol, increases membrane fluidity (Miao et al., 2002) therefore favoring phagocytosis (Berlin and Fera, 1977). Incubation of MΦs with LPS or KT produced an increase in membrane fluidity as indicated by the decrease in generalized polarization assessed by the shift of laurdan emission spectrum (Figure 5B) and consistent with the accumulation of lanosterol observed in these conditions. Although additional mechanisms might be in play, accumulation of lanosterol treatment (Figure S5C) is sufficient to cause an increase in membrane fluidity (Figure S5D). Interestingly, upon KT treatment MΦs displayed improved ability to kill bacteria (Figure 5C), which was associated with increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (Figure 5D). This effect was also observed in MΦs isolated from Cyp51A1iMΦKO mice (Figure S5E).

We then assessed the role of lanosterol accumulation in a model of bacterial infection *in vivo*. After assessing that KT did not affect the growth of Listeria (Figure S6F), we treated mice with KT and tested their survival upon infection. KT treated mice were resistant to death following Listeria infection (Figure 5E). This effect was explained by significantly lower bacteria burden in spleen and liver (Figure 5F). M Φ s also play a major role in the early innate defense against Listeria. Increased production of I IFN β increases susceptibility to Listeria (Solodova et al., 2011) while *ifnar1*^{-/-} mice are resistant (Auerbuch et al., 2004). Consistently, we found that plasma levels of IFN β were significantly reduced in KT treated mice (Figure 5G).

Our results indicate that innate immune transcriptional downregulation of *Cyp51A1* induces lanosterol accumulation in M Φ s promoting antimicrobial activity and favoring negative feedback of type I IFN mediated responses.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we identified lanosterol as an endogenous modulator of $M\Phi$ innate immune responses, expanding the connection between the roles of $M\Phi$ s in host defense and in cholesterol metabolism (Castrillo et al., 2003; Im et al., 2011). The key findings of the present study are that host responses to pathogens mediated by TLR4, through production of type I IFN reprogram lipid metabolism by genetically downregulating the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway, specifically affecting *Cyp51A1* expression and resulting in the accumulation of lanosterol. In particular, we show that lanosterol reduces the capacity of $M\Phi$ s to secrete inflammatory cytokines, but enhances their phagocytic activity. Consequently, lanosterol accumulation reduces mortality to endotoxemia while increasing protection from infection by Listeria.

Previous studies have shown that M Φ respond to cholesterol loading by accumulating desmosterol which suppresses inflammatory cytokine secretion (Spann et al., 2012). Conversely, in response to viral infections, which is integral to the protective immune response requiring a type I IFN, reduction of cholesterol biosynthesis has been is linked to activation of innate immunity (York et al., 2015), while geranylgeraniol, a non-sterol intermediate of the mevalonate pathway, reduces the antiviral effects of IFNs (Blanc et al., 2011). Here we provide evidence that accumulation of the first sterol of the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway, lanosterol, is a physiological response to TLR4 activation through a mechanism that requires the repression of the Cyp51A1 gene and that is dependent on type I IFN production. In agreement with previous studies, we observed decreased expression of several enzymes of the synthesis of cholesterol after TLR4 stimulation of MΦs (Dennis et al., 2010), a phenomenon that is also observed upon type I IFN activation (Blanc et al., 2011), and this leads to a slight reduction of flux through the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway (Dennis et al., 2010; York et al., 2015) and the accumulation of lanosterol (Dennis et al., 2010). Lanosterol is the substrate of both lanosterol-14 α -demethylase (CYP51A1) in the Bloch pathway and DHCR24 in the Kandutsch-Russell pathway. The expression of both genes is reduced after TLR4 activation in vitro in hMΦs and, both in vitro and in vivo in murine M Φ s. In most tissues DHCR24 preferentially reduces more distal sterols (Mitsche et al., 2015) together with the fact that lanosterol is the least preferred substrate for DHCR24(Bae and Paik, 1997), indicates that the accumulation of lanosterol observed upon TLR4 stimulation is primarily a consequence of a transcriptional downregulation of Cyp51A1.

In agreement with previous reports, we show that in addition to the downregulation of Cyp51A1, TLR4 agonists and type I IFN stimulate the expression Ch25h and production of 25-HC in MΦs (Blanc et al., 2013; Dennis et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Lund et al., 1998; Reboldi et al., 2014; Shibata et al., 2013), which is a well recognized type I IFN effector response (Blanc et al., 2013; Blanc et al., 2011; Reboldi et al., 2014) and a negative regulator cholesterol biosynthesis enzymes through the repression of SREBP processing in vitro (Jeon and Osborne, 2012; Stromstedt et al., 1996). 25-HC mediated modulation of the type I IFN inflammatory responses of BMDMs have been linked to 25-HC-mediated decreased nuclear localization and expression of SREBP2 (Blanc et al., 2011). In line with this finding, RNAseq analysis on LPS-treated BMDMs obtained from *Ch25h^{-/-}* showed elevated expression of SREBP targets when compared to LPS-treated WT BMDMs (Reboldi et al., 2014). However, *Ch25h*^{-/-} mice exhibit intact cholesterol metabolism (Diczfalusy, 2013; Russell, 2003). In the absence of endogenous 25-HC synthesis we found that the kinetics of the downregulation of CYP51A1 in response to LPS stimulation are indistinguishable from the one observed in WT M Φ s and lanosterol levels, in response to LPS stimulation, are increased in both in *Ch25h*^{-/-} or WT BMDMs. These observations suggest that newly synthesized 25-HC is not responsible for the early transcriptional downregulation of *Cyp51A1* expression and subsequent lanosterol accumulation. Notably, TLR4 activation Polymerase 2 occupancy in the Cyp51A1 promoter was observed at 1h after LPS stimulation, which is consistent with a 25-HC-independent mechanism.

SREBP1 expression is induced in M Φ s upon inflammatory stimulation, which both promotes acute inflammatory responses (Im et al., 2011; Reboldi et al., 2014) and

contributes to the resolution of the pro-inflammatory TLR4 signaling(Oishi et al., 2017). However, the reduction of CYP51A1 expression in response to LPS was observed in the absence of SREBP processing or SREBP2 expression, indicating that additional mechanisms must account for the early decreased expression of *Cyp51A1* observed upon inflammatory stimulation. The reported 25-HC-mediated decrease of SREBP2 processing and expression (Blanc et al., 2011) could indeed be responsible for the maintenance of CYP51A1 downregulation or for the modulation of other enzymes of the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway after inflammatory stimulation.

Several studies have highlighted an important role for chromatin remodeling in the control of inflammatory gene expression and M Φ function(Medzhitov and Horng, 2009). In M Φ s the myeloid lineage transcription factor PU.1 establishes cell-type specific chromatin architecture and it maintains the accessibility of the genomic cis-regulatory information for constitutive and stimulus-inducible transcriptional regulation (Mancino et al., 2015). PU.1 occupancy of the *Cyp51A1* locus is reduced after LPS stimulation and this is accompanied by a marked decrease in the active enhancer markers H4ac and H3K4me1, as well as in active promoter marker, H3K4me3. Notably, this phenomenon was not observed in other cholesterol homeostasis genes, e.i. LSS, LDLR or Dhcr7 (Ostuni et al., 2013). In both TLR and IFN signaling, different HDACs regulate innate immune responses, and mostly have a repressive role (Aung et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2012). Our data, taken together, show that LPS, acting through type-I IFN-recruited HDAC1 diminished histone acetylation of the *Cyp51A1* locus and reduces Pol2-mediated transcription of *Cyp51A1*.

In response to TLR4 stimulation, activation of MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent pathways of MΦ results in the release a variety of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF, IL-1 and IL-6 (MyD88-dependent) and IFN-B (MyD88-independent/TRIF-dependent)(Mahieu and Libert, 2007; McNab et al., 2015). Several lines studies have indicated that type I IFNs are important mediators in endotoxemia (Mahieu and Libert, 2007) and in synergy with other cytokines and inflammatory products, can lead to organ damage (Mahieu and Libert, 2007). Thus, to avoid excess inflammation and tissue damage it is important that the production of proinflammatory cytokines is kept under control (Serhan et al., 2007). In line with this, mice ubiquitously deficient for $Ifn\beta$, Ifnar1, Tyk2 or Stat1, genes involved in type I IFN signaling, show decreased expression of several proinflammatory cytokines and ISGs in response to LPS and exhibit a better survival to endotoxemia (Dejager et al., 2014; Karaghiosoff et al., 2003; Mahieu and Libert, 2007). One of the most intriguing results of our present work is that accumulation of lanosterol, either via inhibition or knockdown of *Cyp51A1* in MΦs, results in reduced STAT1-STAT2 activation in response to autocrine secretion of type I IFNs after TLR-4 activation. This might, at least in part, contribute to the attenuated expression of InfB and other ISGs including Ccl2, Cxcl9, Mx1 and Mx2, as well as *II6* or *Tnfa* in LPS-mediated autocrine IFN β regulation in *Ifn\beta^{-/-}* M Φ s (Thomas et al., 2006). Consistently, mice treated with KT and Cyp51A1iMΦKO are less sensitive to LPSinduced mortality and have lower plasma levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Thus, type I IFNs, by acting as the underlying stimulus responsible for the LPS-mediated downregulation of CYP51A1, promote lanosterol accumulation, which in turn provides a negative feedback regulation for inflammatory activation.

One of the main physiological roles of $M\Phi s$ is related to its phagocytic activity. Changes in phagocytosis correlate with changes in membrane composition and fluidity (Avery et al., 1995). Accumulation of lanosterol in M Φ s via pharmacological inhibition of Cyp51A1, LPS-mediated Cyp51A1 downregulation or direct lanosterol loading, increased membrane fluidity. As such, we also observed an increased phagocytic activity. Lanosterol structural conformation is less planar compared to cholesterol, therefore increased lanosterol content in membranes increases their fluidity and favors phagocytosis (Miao et al., 2002). Additionally, we observe an increase in ROS production, which explains the enhanced bacterial killing and clearance thus enhancing protection form Listeria infection. We also found that KT-treated mice exhibit decrease secretion of IFNB which may also account for increased survival to Listeria infection. Indeed, the production of type I IFN is associated with suppression of the innate response and increased susceptibility to Listeria infection (Solodova et al., 2011). In line with these findings, *Ifnra1*^{-/-} are resistant to endotoxemia</sup>and to Listeria infection (Auerbuch et al., 2004; Dejager et al., 2014). Thus, lanosterol via decreased activation of STAT1-STAT2 is an essential part of the negative-feedback regulation mechanism regulating cytokine production during inflammatory conditions involving type I IFNs and conferring survival advantage to endotoxemia and resistance to Listeria infection.

KT has been described to have pleotropic anti-inflammatory effects(Kanda and Watanabe, 2006; Tsuji et al., 2012). However, the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly understood (Friccius et al., 1992; Hau et al., 2014), and none of these studies have evaluated the contribution KT-mediated inhibition of CYP51A1 and accumulation of lanosterol to the reported anti-inflammatory effects. Our findings suggest that KT, which is currently only used exclusively to treat mycotic infections in immunocompromised patients (Finkel et al., 2009), could be of benefit in additional clinical settings by ameliorating $M\Phi$ microbicidal activity or blocking harmful cytokine overproduction.

In summary, our findings indicate that TLR4-mediated transcriptional downregulation of Cyp51A1 induces lanosterol accumulation in M Φ s promoting antimicrobial activity and favoring negative feedback of type I IFN mediated responses. It will therefore be of interest to investigate the role lanosterol in other disease contexts in which inflammation plays a pathogenic role.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Further details and an outline of resources used in this work can be found in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Briefly, raw data from microarray experiments were normalized and analyzed by GeneSpring GX software version 11.5 (Agilent Technologies). Data are deposited in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE89559). Animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee of Yale University School of Medicine. WT C57BL/6 and *Ch25h^{-/-}* 6–12 weeks old mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). *Ifna1r^{-/-}* and *Myd88^{-/-}* animals were a kind gift from Dr. A. Iwasaki and Dr. D. Goldstein. *Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}~ Csf1r-Mer-iCre-Mer* (Cyp51A1iMΦKO) mice were generated by crossing B6.129P2-Cyp51tm1Bfro/J (i.e. *Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}*) female animals with FVB-Tgm(Csf1r-cre/Esr1*)1Jwp/J (i.e.Csf1r-Mer-iCre-Mer) males.

Endotoxemic shock experiments were performed with littermates from breeding $Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}$ females with Cyp51A1iM Φ KO male mice were used for experiments treated with TMX for 5 days prior LPS injection or; with WT mice treated with KT every other day for 14 days prior LPS. WT mice treated with KT were also used for *Listeria monocytogenes* infection, bacteria burden and survival experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t test, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons or logrank test when appropriate. Normality was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A nonparametric test (Mann-Whitney) was used when data did not pass the normality test. *p* 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank, Dr. R. Medzhitov, Dr. T. Hoon Kim, Dr. C. Rothlin and Dr. E. Esplugues for helpful comments; Dr. S. Halene, Dr. A. Xiao, Dr. W. Min and for providing instruments and reagents; Dr. T. Manes for human PBMCs. Dr. A. Iwasaki and Dr. D. Goldstein for *Ifna1r^{-/-}* and *Myd88^{-/-}* mice, respectively. Dr. O. Pastor, Dr. I. Barozzi and Dr. G. D'Amico for suggestions and assistance on GC/MS, ChIP-seq and statistical analysis data analysis, respectively. D. Gonzalez for multi-photon microscopy at Imaging Facility at Yale School of Medicine, supported by the Rheumatic Diseases Research Core Centers grant 5 P30 AR053495-07. This work was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01HL105945 to YS, R01HL107953, R01HL107953-04S1, and R01HL106063 to CF-H), pilot funding from the Yale Cancer Center (P30 CA16359), the Howard Hughes Medical Institute International Student Research Fellowship (to EA), the American Heart association (14SDG20490020 to WT) the Foundation Leducq Transatlantic Network of Excellence in Cardiovascular Research (to CFH) and the Ministerio de Economía y Comercio, VI Plan Estatal de Investigación Científica y Técnica y de Innovación 2013-2016 (SAF2015-70747-R to MAL).

References

- Adams CM, Reitz J, De Brabander JK, Feramisco JD, Li L, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Cholesterol and 25-hydroxycholesterol inhibit activation of SREBPs by different mechanisms, both involving SCAP and Insigs. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:52772–52780. [PubMed: 15452130]
- Andreyev AY, Fahy E, Guan Z, Kelly S, Li X, McDonald JG, Milne S, Myers D, Park H, Ryan A, et al. Subcellular organelle lipidomics in TLR-4-activated macrophages. The Journal of Lipid Research. 2010; 51:2785–2797. [PubMed: 20574076]
- Auerbuch V, Brockstedt DG, Meyer-Morse N, O'Riordan M, Portnoy DA. Mice lacking the type I interferon receptor are resistant to Listeria monocytogenes. J Exp Med. 2004; 200:527–533. [PubMed: 15302899]
- Aung HT, Schroder K, Himes SR, Brion K, van Zuylen W, Trieu A, Suzuki H, Hayashizaki Y, Hume DA, Sweet MJ, et al. LPS regulates proinflammatory gene expression in macrophages by altering histone deacetylase expression. FASEB J. 2006; 20:1315–1327. [PubMed: 16816106]
- Avery SV, Lloyd D, Harwood JL. Temperature-dependent changes in plasma-membrane lipid order and the phagocytotic activity of the amoeba Acanthamoeba castellanii are closely correlated. Biochem J. 1995; 312(Pt 3):811–816. [PubMed: 8554525]
- Bae SH, Paik YK. Cholesterol biosynthesis from lanosterol: development of a novel assay method and characterization of rat liver microsomal lanosterol delta 24-reductase. Biochemical Journal. 1997; 326(Pt 2):609–616. [PubMed: 9291139]
- Bauman DR, Bitmansour AD, McDonald JG, Thompson BM, Liang G, Russell DW. 25-Hydroxycholesterol secreted by macrophages in response to Toll-like receptor activation suppresses immunoglobulin A production. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:16764–16769. [PubMed: 19805370]

- Berlin RD, Fera JP. Changes in membrane microviscosity associated with phagocytosis: effects of colchicine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1977; 74:1072–1076. [PubMed: 265552]
- Blanc M, Hsieh WY, Robertson KA, Kropp KA, Forster T, Shui G, Lacaze P, Watterson S, Griffiths SJ, Spann NJ, et al. The Transcription Factor STAT-1 Couples Macrophage Synthesis of 25-Hydroxycholesterol to the Interferon Antiviral Response. Immunity. 2013; 38:106–118. [PubMed: 23273843]
- Blanc M, Hsieh WY, Robertson KA, Watterson S, Shui G, Lacaze P, Khondoker M, Dickinson P, Sing G, Rodríguez-Martín S, et al. Host Defense against Viral Infection Involves Interferon Mediated Down-Regulation of Sterol Biosynthesis. PLoS biology. 2011; 9:e1000598. [PubMed: 21408089]
- Castrillo A, Joseph SB, Marathe C, Mangelsdorf DJ, Tontonoz P. Liver X receptor-dependent repression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression in macrophages. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2003; 278:10443–10449. [PubMed: 12531895]
- Chen X, Barozzi I, Termanini A, Prosperini E, Recchiuti A, Dalli J, Mietton F, Matteoli G, Hiebert S, Natoli G. Requirement for the histone deacetylase Hdac3 for the inflammatory gene expression program in macrophages. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:E2865–2874. [PubMed: 22802645]
- Dejager L, Vandevyver S, Ballegeer M, Van Wonterghem E, An LL, Riggs J, Kolbeck R, Libert C. Pharmacological inhibition of type I interferon signaling protects mice against lethal sepsis. J Infect Dis. 2014; 209:960–970. [PubMed: 24218508]
- Dennis EA, Deems RA, Harkewicz R, Quehenberger O, Brown HA, Milne SB, Myers DS, Glass CK, Hardiman G, Reichart D, et al. A mouse macrophage lipidome. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2010; 285:39976–39985. [PubMed: 20923771]
- Diczfalusy U. On the formation and possible biological role of 25-hydroxycholesterol. Biochimie. 2013; 95:455–460. [PubMed: 22732193]
- Friccius H, Pohla H, Adibzadeh M, Siegels-Hubenthal P, Schenk A, Pawelec G. The effects of the antifungal azoles itraconazole, fluconazole, ketoconazole and miconazole on cytokine gene expression in human lymphoid cells. Int J Immunopharmacol. 1992; 14:791–799. [PubMed: 1380951]
- Glass CK, Natoli G. Molecular control of activation and priming in macrophages. Nat Immunol. 2016; 17:26–33. [PubMed: 26681459]
- Gomez Perdiguero E, Klapproth K, Schulz C, Busch K, Azzoni E, Crozet L, Garner H, Trouillet C, de Bruijn MF, Geissmann F, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors. Nature. 2015; 518:547–551. [PubMed: 25470051]
- Hau CS, Kanda N, Makimura K, Watanabe S. Antimycotics suppress the Malassezia extract-induced production of CXC chemokine ligand 10 in human keratinocytes. J Dermatol. 2014; 41:124–134. [PubMed: 24471457]
- Hawkins JL, Robbins MD, Warren LC, Xia D, Petras SF, Valentine JJ, Varghese AH, Wang IK, Subashi TA, Shelly LD, et al. Pharmacologic inhibition of site 1 protease activity inhibits sterol regulatory element-binding protein processing and reduces lipogenic enzyme gene expression and lipid synthesis in cultured cells and experimental animals. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2008; 326:801– 808. [PubMed: 18577702]
- Hong C, Tontonoz P. Liver X receptors in lipid metabolism: opportunities for drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2014; 13:433–444. [PubMed: 24833295]
- Hu X, Wang Y, Hao LY, Liu X, Lesch CA, Sanchez BM, Wendling JM, Morgan RW, Aicher TD, Carter LL, et al. Sterol metabolism controls T(H)17 differentiation by generating endogenous RORγ agonists. Nature chemical biology. 2015; 11:141–147. [PubMed: 25558972]
- Iglesias J, Gibbons GF. Oxidative metabolism of cholesterol precursors: sensitivity to ketoconazole, an inhibitor of cytochrome P-450. Steroids. 1989; 53:311–328. [PubMed: 2799848]
- Im SS, Yousef L, Blaschitz C, Liu JZ, Edwards RA, Young SG, Raffatellu M, Osborne TF. Linking lipid metabolism to the innate immune response in macrophages through sterol regulatory element binding protein-1a. Cell metabolism. 2011; 13:540–549. [PubMed: 21531336]
- Ivashkiv LB, Donlin LT. Regulation of type I interferon responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014; 14:36–49. [PubMed: 24362405]

- Iyer SS, Ghaffari AA, Cheng G. Lipopolysaccharide-mediated IL-10 transcriptional regulation requires sequential induction of type I IFNs and IL-27 in macrophages. J Immunol. 2010; 185:6599–6607. [PubMed: 21041726]
- Jeon TI, Osborne TF. SREBPs: metabolic integrators in physiology and metabolism. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2012; 23:65–72. [PubMed: 22154484]
- Kanda N, Watanabe S. Suppressive effects of antimycotics on tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced CCL27, CCL2, and CCL5 production in human keratinocytes. Biochem Pharmacol. 2006; 72:463– 473. [PubMed: 16784723]
- Karaghiosoff M, Steinborn R, Kovarik P, Kriegshauser G, Baccarini M, Donabauer B, Reichart U, Kolbe T, Bogdan C, Leanderson T, et al. Central role for type I interferons and Tyk2 in lipopolysaccharide-induced endotoxin shock. Nat Immunol. 2003; 4:471–477. [PubMed: 12679810]
- Keber R, Motaln H, Wagner KD, Debeljak N, Rassoulzadegan M, Acimovic J, Rozman D, Horvat S. Mouse knockout of the cholesterogenic cytochrome P450 lanosterol 14alpha-demethylase (Cyp51) resembles Antley-Bixler syndrome. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286:29086–29097. [PubMed: 21705796]
- Liu SY, Aliyari R, Chikere K, Li G, Marsden MD, Smith JK, Pernet O, Guo H, Nusbaum R, Zack JA, et al. Interferon-inducible cholesterol-25-hydroxylase broadly inhibits viral entry by production of 25-hydroxycholesterol. Immunity. 2013; 38:92–105. [PubMed: 23273844]
- Lund EG, Kerr TA, Sakai J, Li WP, Russell DW. cDNA cloning of mouse and human cholesterol 25hydroxylases, polytopic membrane proteins that synthesize a potent oxysterol regulator of lipid metabolism. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273:34316–34327. [PubMed: 9852097]
- Mahieu T, Libert C. Should we inhibit type I interferons in sepsis? Infect Immun. 2007; 75:22–29. [PubMed: 17000722]
- Mancino A, Termanini A, Barozzi I, Ghisletti S, Ostuni R, Prosperini E, Ozato K, Natoli G. A dual cisregulatory code links IRF8 to constitutive and inducible gene expression in macrophages. Genes Dev. 2015; 29:394–408. [PubMed: 25637355]
- McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A. Type I interferons in infectious disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2015; 15:87–103. [PubMed: 25614319]
- Medzhitov R, Horng T. Transcriptional control of the inflammatory response. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009; 9:692–703. [PubMed: 19859064]
- Miao L, Nielsen M, Thewalt J, Ipsen JH, Bloom M, Zuckermann MJ, Mouritsen OG. From lanosterol to cholesterol: structural evolution and differential effects on lipid bilayers. Biophysical journal. 2002; 82:1429–1444. [PubMed: 11867458]
- Mitsche MA, McDonald JG, Hobbs HH, Cohen JC. Flux analysis of cholesterol biosynthesis in vivo reveals multiple tissue and cell-type specific pathways. eLife. 2015; 4:1–50.
- Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008; 8:958–969. [PubMed: 19029990]
- Oishi Y, Spann NJ, Link VM, Muse ED, Strid T, Edillor C, Kolar MJ, Matsuzaka T, Hayakawa S, Tao J, et al. SREBP1 Contributes to Resolution of Pro-inflammatory TLR4 Signaling by Reprogramming Fatty Acid Metabolism. Cell Metab. 2017; 25:412–427. [PubMed: 28041958]
- Ostuni R, Piccolo V, Barozzi I, Polletti S, Termanini A, Bonifacio S, Curina A, Prosperini E, Ghisletti S, Natoli G. Latent enhancers activated by stimulation in differentiated cells. Cell. 2013; 152:157–171. [PubMed: 23332752]
- Park K, Scott AL. Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase production by dendritic cells and macrophages is regulated by type I interferons. Journal of leukocyte biology. 2010; 88:1081–1087. [PubMed: 20699362]
- Phatnani HP, Greenleaf AL. Phosphorylation and functions of the RNA polymerase II CTD. Genes Dev. 2006; 20:2922–2936. [PubMed: 17079683]
- Qian BZ, Li J, Zhang H, Kitamura T, Zhang J, Campion LR, Kaiser EA, Snyder LA, Pollard JW. CCL2 recruits inflammatory monocytes to facilitate breast-tumour metastasis. Nature. 2011; 475:222–225. [PubMed: 21654748]
- Reboldi A, Dang EV, McDonald JG, Liang G, Russell DW, Cyster JG. Inflammation. 25-Hydroxycholesterol suppresses interleukin-1-driven inflammation downstream of type I interferon. Science (New York, NY). 2014; 345:679–684.

- Russell DW. The enzymes, regulation, and genetics of bile acid synthesis. Annu Rev Biochem. 2003; 72:137–174. [PubMed: 12543708]
- Santori FR, Huang P, van de Pavert SA, Douglass EF Jr, Leaver DJ, Haubrich BA, Keber R, Lorbek G, Konijn T, Rosales BN, et al. Identification of natural RORgamma ligands that regulate the development of lymphoid cells. Cell Metab. 2015; 21:286–297. [PubMed: 25651181]
- Schroder K, Hertzog PJ, Ravasi T, Hume DA. Interferon-gamma: an overview of signals, mechanisms and functions. J Leukoc Biol. 2004; 75:163–189. [PubMed: 14525967]
- Serhan CN, Brain SD, Buckley CD, Gilroy DW, Haslett C, O'Neill LA, Perretti M, Rossi AG, Wallace JL. Resolution of inflammation: state of the art, definitions and terms. FASEB J. 2007; 21:325–332. [PubMed: 17267386]
- Sharpe LJ, Brown AJ. Controlling cholesterol synthesis beyond 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR). The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288:18707–18715. [PubMed: 23696639]
- Shibata N, Carlin AF, Spann NJ, Saijo K, Morello CS, McDonald JG, Romanoski CE, Maurya MR, Kaikkonen MU, Lam MT, et al. 25-Hydroxycholesterol activates the integrated stress response to reprogram transcription and translation in macrophages. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288:35812–35823. [PubMed: 24189069]
- Solodova E, Jablonska J, Weiss S, Lienenklaus S. Production of IFN-beta during Listeria monocytogenes infection is restricted to monocyte/macrophage lineage. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e18543. [PubMed: 21494554]
- Spann NJ, Garmire LX, McDonald JG, Myers DS, Milne SB, Shibata N, Reichart D, Fox JN, Shaked I, Heudobler D, et al. Regulated accumulation of desmosterol integrates macrophage lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses. Cell. 2012; 151:138–152. [PubMed: 23021221]
- Stromstedt M, Rozman D, Waterman MR. The ubiquitously expressed human CYP51 encodes lanosterol 14 alpha-demethylase, a cytochrome P450 whose expression is regulated by oxysterols. Arch Biochem Biophys. 1996; 329:73–81. [PubMed: 8619637]
- Svecova L, Vrzal R, Burysek L, Anzenbacherova E, Cerveny L, Grim J, Trejtnar F, Kunes J, Pour M, Staud F, et al. Azole antimycotics differentially affect rifampicin-induced pregnane X receptormediated CYP3A4 gene expression. Drug Metab Dispos. 2008; 36:339–348. [PubMed: 17998298]
- Takeda K, Akira S. TLR signaling pathways. Semin Immunol. 2004; 16:3–9. [PubMed: 14751757]
- Thomas KE, Galligan CL, Newman RD, Fish EN, Vogel SN. Contribution of interferon-beta to the murine macrophage response to the toll-like receptor 4 agonist, lipopolysaccharide. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:31119–31130. [PubMed: 16912041]
- Tsuji G, Takahara M, Uchi H, Matsuda T, Chiba T, Takeuchi S, Yasukawa F, Moroi Y, Furue M. Identification of ketoconazole as an AhR-Nrf2 activator in cultured human keratinocytes: the basis of its anti-inflammatory effect. J Invest Dermatol. 2012; 132:59–68. [PubMed: 21753779]
- Van Den Bossche H, Willemsens G, Cools W, Cornelissen F. Inhibition of ergosterol synthesis in Candida albicans by ketoconazole [proceedings]. Arch Int Physiol Biochim. 1979; 87:849–851. [PubMed: 93943]
- York AG, Williams KJ, Argus JP, Zhou QD, Brar G, Vergnes L, Gray EE, Zhen A, Wu NC, Yamada DH, et al. Limiting Cholesterol Biosynthetic Flux Spontaneously Engages Type I IFN Signaling. Cell. 2015; 163:1716–1729. [PubMed: 26686653]

Araldi et al.



Figure 1. CYP51A1 downregulation in LPS/IFN $\gamma\text{-treated}$ macrophages promotes the accumulation of lanosterol

(A) Heat map of differentially expressed cholesterol biosynthesis genes and SREBP2 targets in BMDMs stimulated with LPS (10ng/mL)/IFN γ (20ng/mL) for 8h.

(B) qPCR validation of microarray data. Relative mRNA expression levels of cholesterol trafficking (blue), efflux (yellow), biosynthesis (red), and positive controls of inflammatory stimulation (green), (n=3).

(C) CYP51A1 and DHCR24 protein levels of LPS/IFN γ treated BMDMs for the indicated times (n=3).

(D) Relative mRNA expression (left) and protein levels of CYP51A1 and DHCR24 (right) in $hM\Phi s$ treated with LPS/IFN γ for 8h (left) or 18h (right), (n=3).

(E) CYP51A1 and DHCR24 protein levels of elicited peritoneal macrophages collected 24h after intraperitoneal injection of LPS (20mg/kg) performed 3 days after initial 3% thioglycollate injection (n=2).

(F) Left: relative mRNA expression, Right: protein levels CYP51A1 and DHCR24 of BMDMs cultured for 24h in regular media containing 20% FBS or in media containing 20% LPDS and then treated with LPS (100ng/mL) for 8h (left) or 18h (Right), (n=3).

(G) Sterol intermediates analysis by HPLC and on-line radioactivity of BMDM treated LPS (100ng/mL) or PBS (Ctrl) for 24h. Left: representative plots of $[1-2^{14}C]$ -acetate incorporation into sterols. Right: quantification of total synthesized sterols, expressed as %

of total synthesized sterols vs. Ctrl, (n=3).

(H) Composition of sterols by GC/MS of BMDM as in G, (% of each sterol specie within total sterol), (n=3).

(C, D, E, F) COX2, iNOS or *TNFa* are positive controls of inflammatory activation. HSP90 is a loading control.

Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05 *vs.* Ctrl unless otherwise indicated. See also Figure S1.



Figure 2. CYP51A1 is transcriptionally downregulated independently of newly synthesized 25-HC and of SREBP activation

(A) Cyp51A1 mRNA analysis by qPCR of BMDMs treated with actinomycin-D (30 min) prior LPS (100ng/mL) stimulation for indicated times (n=3).

(B) ChIP analysis with Pol2 and Pol2S5 (left) and PolS2 (right) of BMDMs cultured in media containing 20% LPDS for 24h and treated with LPS for 1h. Quantification of promoter specific bound antibody by qPCR with primers proximal to the TSS of *Cyp51A1*. Data are fold change *vs.* Ctrl (PBS treated) and normalized to input chromatin (n=3).

(C) Relative mRNA expression of Cyp51A1 (left) or Ch25h (right) of WT of

Ch25h^{-/-}BMDMs incubated with LPS for the indicated times (n= 4).

(D) Left: CYP51A1 protein levels of LPS treated BMDMs for the indicated times. Right: quantification of CYP51A1 protein levels normalized by β ACTIN (n= 4)

(E) Quantification of Lanosterol (left) or 25-HC (right) by GC/MS normalized protein content of WT of $Ch25h^{-/-}$ BMDMs incubated with LPS for 24h, (n=3).

(F) CYP51A1 protein levels and precursor (p) and mature (m) forms of SREBP-2 of BMDMs incubated in regular media containing 20% FBS or 20% LPDS as indicated, treated with Simvastatin (5μ M) for 12h, PF429243 (10μ M) for 12h or LPS (100g/mL) for 4h, respectively (n=4).

(G) CYP51A1 protein levels of BMDMs transfected with 30nM of non silencing (NS) control siRNA or *Srebp2* siRNA and treated or not with LPS, as indicated, for 8h (n=3).
(H) CYP51A1 protein levels of BMDMs treated with PF429243 (10μM) or vehicle (DMSO) Ctrl stimulated with LPS for the indicated times.

(I) CYP51A1 protein levels of BMDM incubated with DMSO (Ctrl), zaragozic acid (10μ M) or simvastatin (5μ M) for 2h prior LPS stimulation for 8h (n=3).

(D, F, G, H, I) COX2 and iNOS are positive controls of activation. β ACTIN or HSP90 are loading controls.

Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05 *vs.* Ctrl unless otherwise indicated. Not significant (n.s.). Not detectable (n.d.)

See also Figure S1 and S2.

Araldi et al.



Figure 3. CYP51A1 downregulation in macrophages is caused by a type I IFN response and is mediated by HDAC1 activation

(A) Relative mRNA expression of *Cyp51A1* (left) and CYP51A1 protein levels (right) of BMDMs stimulated with IFN β (1000U/mL) for 4h (left) or with LPS (100ng/mL) or IFN β for 12h (right), (n=3).

(B) Quantification of lanosterol by GC/MS normalized protein content of BMDMs incubated with IFN β for 24h, (n=3).

(C–D) Relative mRNA expression (C) or protein levels (D) of CYP51A1 of WT, *Ifnar1^{-/-}* or $myD88^{-/-}$ BMDMs stimulated with LPS or INF β for 4h (C) or 12h (D), (n=3).

(E) Quantification of Lanosterol by GC/MS normalized protein content of BMDMs WT, *Ifnar1*^{-/-} or myD88^{-/-} BMDMs as in (B).</sup>

(F) ChIP sequencing analysis of PU.1, H4ac, H3K4me1 pull-down in BMDM treated with LPS for 4hours or control. Pioneer transcription factor of the myeloid lineage (PU.1), active enhancer markers histone 4 acetylation (H4ac), histone 3 lysine 4 mono-methylation (H3K4me1), active promoter marker H3K4me3. (Ostuni et al., 2013).

(G) CYP51A1 protein levels of BMDMs treated with different HDAC inhibitors. Pan-HDAC: panabinostat (50nM), HDAC1: CAY10398 (10µM), HDAC3: RGFP966 (5µM),

HDAC4: tasquinimod (10mM) for 1h prior LPS or IFN β stimulation for 12h (n=3). Dashed blue lines are for treatment group separation and do not indicate cropped blots. H3 and AcH3 are controls for HDAC inhibitor action.

(A, D, G) pSTAT1, iNOS, COX2 are controls of activation of inflammatory activation, respectively. HSP90 or β ACTIN are loading controls.

Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05 vs. Ctrl unless otherwise indicated. See also Figure S3.



Figure 4. Lanosterol decreases inflammatory cytokine secretion and improves survival to endotoxemic shock

(A) qPCR analysis of mRNA levels of indicated genes of BMDMs treated with KT (10 μ M) or DMSO 12h prior of LPS (100ng/ml) for 4h.

(B) pSTAT1/STAT1 and pSTAT2/STAT2 protein levels of BMDMs treated with KT 12h prior to IFN β (1000U/mL) (upper panels) or LPS (lower panels) for the indicated times (n=3).

(C) Survival of WT mice treated with (25mg/kg) of KT as in indicated and subjected to a lethal dose of LPS (60 mg/kg). KT-treated mice (n=18), DMSO Ctrl treated mice (n=25). (D) Plasma levels IL6 or CCL2 by ELISA 3h after LPS injection (60mg/kg) of mice injected with KT as in (C). Each dot represents the mean of triplicate sample of individual animal. (E) Quantification of Lanosterol by GC/MS normalized protein content of BMDMs isolated from *Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}* or *Cyp51A1iMF* Φ KO3 days after of TMX Cre-mediated induction as described in experimental procedures (n=3 per group).

(F) qPCR analysis of mRNA levels of indicated genes of $Cyp51A1iMF\Phi KO$ BMDMs and treated at day 5 of differentiation with OH-TMX (10µg/mL) or Ethanol for 2 days and then stimulated with LPS 100ng/mL for 4h.

(G) pSTAT1/STAT1 and pSTAT2/STAT2 protein levels of *Cyp51A1iMF* Φ KO BMDMs treated with OH-TMX or Ethanol as in (F) prior to IFN β (upper panels) or LPS (lower panels) for the indicated times (n=3). CYP51A1 protein levels are show as control of TMX induced deletion.

(H) Survival of $Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}$ or $Cyp51A1iMF\Phi KO$ treated as indicated and subjected to a lethal dose LPS (60 mg/kg). $Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}$ (n=11), $Cyp51A1iMF\Phi KO$ (n=6).

(I) Plasma levels IL6 or CCL2 determined by ELISA 3h after LPS injection (60mg/kg) of mice of $Cyp51A1^{fl/fl}$ or $Cyp51A1iMF\Phi KO$ mice injected with TMX as indicated in (H). Each dot represents the mean of triplicate sample of individual animal.

(A, G) βACTIN is a loading control.

(A, F) Data are mean of duplicate samples \pm SD of one representative experiment out of four with similar results.

(C, H) Kaplan–Meier survival curves compared by log-rank test. *p < 0.05 (C) or *p < 0.1 (H).

(D, E, I) Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05 vs. Ctrl. See also Figure S4.



Figure 5. Lanosterol improves phagocytosis and bacteria clearance and confers survival advantage to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection

(A) FACS analysis of uptake of opsonized *E.coli* pHrodo particles in CD11b+/Ly-6G- cells from thioglycollate elicited peritoneal cells from KT or DMSO treated mice as in Figure 4C. Data are % of pHrodo positive cells (n=3).

(B) Representative micrographs of BMDMs treated with DMSO vehicle control, LPS 100ng/mL plus DMSO or KT (10 μ M) for 12h, stained with laurdan (left) and analyzed as described in experimental procedures. Higher GP value indicates that membranes are more ordered and less dynamic or fluid (right). The GP value of each pixel was used to generate a pseudocolor GP image (left). Representative experiment out of 3 with similar results. (C) Bacteria killing assay of tdTomato-*E.coli* in cells obtained as in (A) and analyzed by

FACS. Data % of killed bacteria in CD11b+/Ly-6G- cells (n=3).

(D) ROS determination with CellRox by FACS in BMDMs treated with KT (10 μ M) for 12h. Data (geometric mean fluorescent intensity) expressed as fold change *vs.* DMSO Ctrl (n=3, by triplicate).

(E) Survival of WT mice treated 25mg/kg of KT as in indicated and infected by retro-orbital injection with 1×10^5 Listeria particles. KT-treated mice (n=10), DMSO treated Ctrl mice (n=15). Kaplan–Meier survival curves compared by log-rank test.

(G) Bacteria Burden in spleen and liver 48h post-infection with 1.5×10^4 Listeria particles. CFU were determined from spleen and liver. Each dot represents data obtained from individual animals.

(H) Plasma levels of IFN β by ELISA of mice treated with KT as indicated in (E) and then infected with 1.5×10^4 Listeria particles for 48h. Each dot represents the mean of triplicate sample of individual animal.

Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05 *vs.* Ctrl. See also Figure S5.