

HHS Public Access

J Alzheimers Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Alzheimers Dis. 2013 ; 34(3): 609–620. doi:10.3233/JAD-121792.

Treatment with D3 Removes Amyloid Deposits, Reduces Inflammation, and Improves Cognition in Old Aβ**PP/PS1 Double Transgenic Mice**

Thomas van Groena,b,* , **Inga Kadish**a, **Susanne Aileen Funke**^c , **Dirk Bartnik**^c , and **Dieter** Willbold^{c,d}

^aDepartment of Cell, Developmental and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

bDepartment of Neurobiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

^cForschungszentrum Jülich, ICS-6, Jülich, Germany

^dHeinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Institut für Physikalische Biologie and BMFZ, Düsseldorf, **Germany**

Abstract

One of the characteristic pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is neuritic plaques. The sequence of events leading to deposition of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides in plaques is not clear. Here we investigate the effects of D3, an Aβ oligomer directed D-enantiomeric peptide that was obtained from a mirror image phage display selection against monomeric or small oligomeric forms of Aβ42, on Aβ deposition in aged AβPP/PS1 double transgenic AD-model mice. Using Alzet minipumps, we infused the brains of these AD model mice for 8 weeks with FITC-labeled D3, and examined the subsequent changes in pathology and cognitive deficits. Initial cognitive deficits are similar comparing control and D3-FITC-treated mice, but the treated mice show a significant improvement on the last day of testing. Further, we show that there is a substantial reduction in the amount of amyloid deposits in the animals treated with D3-FITC, compared to the control mice. Finally, the amount of activated microglia and astrocytes surrounding Aβ deposits is dramatically reduced in the D3-FITC-treated mice. Our findings demonstrate that treatments with the high affinity $A\beta_{42}$ oligomer binding D-enantiomeric peptide D3 significantly decrease $A\beta$ deposits and the associated inflammatory response, and improve cognition even when applied only at late stages and high age. Together, this suggests that the treatment reduces the level of $A\beta$ peptide in the brains of AβPP/PS1 mice, possibly by increasing Aβ outflow from the brain. In conclusion, treatments with this D-peptide have great potential to be successful in AD patients.

Keywords

Age; Alzheimer's disease; amyloid; limbic system; mouse; plaques; treatment

^{*}Correspondence to: Thomas van Groen, Department of Cell Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1900 University Blvd. THT 912, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA. Tel.: +1 205 9345940; vangroen@uab.edu.

INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD), a progressive neurode-generative disorder, is associated with several risk factors, including age and inheritance, i.e., genes and epigenetics. The majority of AD cases are late onset, lack an obvious genetic etiology, and are characterized as sporadic, whereas only a very small percentage of the cases are early in onset and segregates strongly within families. Most of the early-onset cases of AD are inherited as autosomal dominant disorders caused by mutations in either the presenilin 1 (PS1) gene on chromosome 14, the presenilin 2 (PS2) gene on chromosome 1, or the amyloid-β protein precursor (AβPP) gene on chromosome 21 (e.g., [1]). The pathology of AD is characterized by extracellular amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide containing plaques, and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles consisting of aggregated, hyperphosphorylated tau protein [2].

Plaques are primarily made up of $A\beta_{42}$ [3, 4]. A β is a 39–43 amino acid [5] peptide derived from the proteolytic processing of the AβPP (e.g., [5, 6]). When AβPP is sequentially cleaved by the β-secretase and the γ -secretase, the resulting cleavage product is Aβ; in contrast, cleavage by α-secretase does not lead to Aβ production [6]. Most mutations in AβPP and PS1 (and PS2) alter AβPP metabolism such that there is an increased production of Aβ (especially the longer, fibrillogenic Aβ₄₂ [1, 6, 7]). Furthermore, it has been shown that duplication of the AβPP gene leads to early onset AD [8–10]. Finally, it has also been shown that variations in the promoter region of the AβPP gene affect the risk of AD [11]. Together this implies a central role for aberrant AβPP processing in the series of pathological changes occurring during AD, characterized by the appearance of the typical neuritic plaques of AD.

Transgenic (Tg) mice expressing mutated human AD genes offer a powerful model to study the role of Aβin the development of AD pathology (e.g., [12]). The present study employs Tg mice expressing both the human AβPPswe and PS1 E9 mutations [13]. These mice develop elevated levels of $A\beta_{42}$ at about four months of age, and around 5 months of age, they show Aβ plaques [13, 14]. Furthermore, it has been shown that these mice develop cognitive deficits at the age that Aβpathology appears, i.e., at 6 months of age, with increasing memory deficits at later ages [15, 16].

Most likely, amyloid deposition in the brain is caused by an imbalance between $A\beta$ production and Aβ clearance. Even a small imbalance will lead, over the years, to a significantly increased \overrightarrow{AB} load in the brain, especially in animals that have a long lifespan and humans. Several mechanisms have been proposed for the clearance of Aβ, receptormediated A transport across the blood-brain barrier and enzyme- mediated degradation of the peptide [17, 18]. A possible therapeutic invention that has been proposed is interference in the accumulation and deposition of the $\mathsf{A}\beta$ protein in aggregates [19, 20]. We have developed a series of D-enantiomeric peptides, using mirror-image phage display techniques, that bind Aβ, with a preference for $\mathsf{A}\beta_{42}$ [21–26]. We have demonstrated that the small D-enantiomeric peptide D3 (D3-FITC) that specifically precipitates oligomers in a mixture containing monomers and oligomers of $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ [27] reduces amyloid deposition and decrease cognitive deficits in young Tg AD model mice when they are infused intracerebrally or treated by oral administration [26, 28, 29]. In this study we used Alzet

minipumps to infuse the hippocampus of old Tg AD model mice for 8 weeks with the FITClabeled version of D3. We examined cognitive deficits in the last two weeks of treatment, and Aβ deposits in hippocampus and cortex following sacrifice after the final behavioral testing (i.e., at the end of the eighth week of treatment). The data demonstrate that infusion with the D3-FITC peptide leads to a reduction in the size and number of Aβ deposits in the hippocampus (and nearby neocortex), whereas the infusion with the vehicle solution does not change Aβ deposits. Similarly, the amount of inflammation (i.e., activated astrocytes and microglia) was significantly reduced in the D3-FITC treated mice. The eight week infusion of the peptide also leads to a small, but significant, improvement in one cognitive measure in

MATERIALS AND METHODS

these old Tg AD model mice.

Animals

Old male AβPP and PS1 double Tg mice (AβPPswe/PS1 E9 mice, $n = 11$) [13] were used in the present study. The mice were a kind gift of Dr. S. Handattu; until the treatments, the animals were housed 4/cage in our facility, in a controlled environment (temperature 22°C, humidity 50–60%, light from 07 : 00–19 : 00), food and water were available *ad libitum*. Following the implantation of the Alzet minipump, the animals were housed individually. The experiments were conducted according with the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines.

Peptides

The identification of D3 is described elsewhere [24–27]. We chose to use D3-FITC (i.e., D3 conjugated with a FITC moiety via an additional C-terminal lysine; D3 sequence: rprtrlhthrnr) because we wanted to follow its fate in the animal and the FITC-label does, if at all, positively affect the D3 Aβ binding properties [30].

Hippocampal infusion

In two groups of twenty-one months old mice, we unilaterally infused the hippocampus for eight weeks using Alzet osmotic minipumps, one group (control group; $n = 5$) with saline, one group with the D3-FITC dissolved in saline (D3-FITC group; $n = 6$). The peptide concentration was 0.25 mg/pump, i.e., 0.25 mg in 250 μl. The Alzet minipump (model #2004; delivery rate: 0.25 μl/h; duration 4 weeks: Alzet) was soaked in sterile saline for 24 h prior to implantation; the next day the pump, the connecting tube, and cannula (Alzet Brain Infusion Kit 3; Alzet) were filled with the appropriate solution, and were connected, such that no air bubbles were present. Finally, the cannula were implanted in the brain (right dorsal hippocampus); in short, mice were anesthetized, placed in a stereotaxic frame, a hole was drilled above the right dorsal hippocampus, and the cannula was lowered into the hippocampus (coordinates: A-2, L-1.5, V-2.2; [31]). After four weeks the pumps were replaced by new pumps filled with the appropriate solution. Six and a half weeks after the start of the infusion the animals were tested in the water maze; seven and a half weeks after the implantations the animals were tested in the Barnes maze, and then sacrificed for histopathological analysis (see below).

Behavior

The animals were tested for one week in an open field water maze. Our version of the maze consists of a blue circular tank of clear water $(23 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$. The mice are placed in the water at the edge of the pool and allowed to swim in order to locate a hidden, but fixed escape platform, using extramaze cues. On day 1, the mice are placed in the pool and allowed to swim freely for 90 s to find the hidden platform (or until they find the hidden platform); each animal is tested for four trials per day. A maximum swim time per trial of 90 s is allowed; if the animal does not locate the platform in that time, it is placed upon it by the experimenter and left there for 10 s. The inter-trial interval is 120 s.

Each start position (east, north, south, and west) is used equally in a pseudo random order and the animals are always placed in the water facing the wall. All four possible quadrant positions for the platform locations are equally used among all of the animals. The platform is placed in the middle of one of the quadrants of the pool (i.e., northwest, southwest, northeast, or southeast; approximately 30 cm from the side of the pool). The mouse's task throughout the experiment is to find, and escape on to, the platform. Once the mouse has learned the task (day 5, trial 20), a probe trial is given immediately following the last trial of acquisition on day 5. In the probe trial (i.e., trial 21), the platform is removed from the pool and animals are allowed to swim for 60 s.

The Barnes maze that is used has a design similar to the one developed by Barnes et al. [32] for rats and that has been adapted to the mouse. The raised (120 cm above the floor), round platform has a diameter of 140 cm, the escape holes are distributed along the rim of the platform (Columbus Instruments), and the maze is positioned in a brightly lit room. Only one of them, the correct escape hole, has the escape cage attached under it. The mice are trained to escape from the open platform (the start position is in the middle of the platform) to the escape cage. An entry into an incorrect hole is deemed a reference memory error, and an entry into a hole where it was before is a working memory error. The mice are removed from the maze after escaping or after 4 min has passed, whichever comes first. An entry is defined as the head entering the escape hole. Learning of the task is evaluated by recording the latency to find the correct escape hole, the path length, and percentage of trials each animal finds the correct hole. Four trials are run per day; the learning of the maze takes an average of 5 days. After each testing day, the apparatus is wiped down with chlorhexidine and 70% ethanol and allowed to air-dry.

Histopathology

In short, mice were anesthetized and transcardially perfused. Then the brains were removed and cut in half, and the right hemisphere was dropped in 4% paraformaldehyde. The left hemisphere was divided into four parts (rostral and caudal cortex, hippocampus, and noncortex) and frozen and stored at −80°C for western and ELISA analysis. Following postfixation (overnight in the fixative) and cryoprotection (30% sucrose for 24 h followed by antifreeze [15% sucrose and 30% ethylene glycol in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4), six series (1 in 6) of coronal sections were cut through the brain. The first series of sections was mounted unstained; the second and third series were stained immunohistochemically according to published protocols [14]; and the other three series were stored in at –20°C in

antifreeze for future analysis. One half of the second series was stained for human Aβ using the W0-2 antibody (mouse anti-human $\mathbf{A}\beta_{4-9}$: 1 : 5000; [33]), while the other half of the second series was stained for mouse Aβ (rabbit anti-rodent Aβ;1 : 5000; Covance) [14]. One half of the third series was stained for GFAP (mouse anti-GFAP; 1 : 1000; Sigma), whereas the other half was stained for CD11b (rat anti-mouse CD11b; 1 : 10000; Serotec), a marker of microglia. Some of these stained sections were double stained with either Congo red, thioflavine S, or thiazine red. A few sections were stained for cathepsin D (goat anticathepsin D; 1 : 1000; Santa Cruz), a marker of lysosomes. The sections destined for $A\beta$ staining were pretreated for 30 min with hot (85°C) citrate buffer. The series of sections were transferred to a solution containing the primary antibody; this solution consists of TBS with 0.5% Triton X-100 added (TBS-T). Following incubation in this solution for 18 h on a shaker table at room temperature $(20^{\circ}C)$ in the dark, the sections were rinsed three times in TBS-T and transferred to the solution containing the secondary antibody (goat antimouse*biotin, Sigma or sheep anti-rat Ig*biotin, Serotec). After 2 h, the sections were rinsed three times with TBS-T and transferred to a solution containing mouse ExtrAvidin peroxidase (Sigma), following rinsing the sections were incubated for approximately 3 min with Ni-enhanced DAB [34]. In a small number of sections, the Aβ deposits were double labeled for $\mathsf{A}\beta_{40}$, $\mathsf{A}\beta_{42}$, GFAP, or CD11b using fluorescent secondary antibodies. All stained and unstained sections (of series 1–3) were mounted on slides and coverslipped.

ELISA

In short, diluted brain samples (i.e., the hippocampus) were assayed for $\mathsf{A}\beta$ in triplicate using the Beta- Mark x-40 and BetaMark x-42 ELISA kits (Covance), according to the manufacturer's protocol. For the measurement of soluble and insoluble Aβ, the mouse brain tissue (the hippocampus) was prepared according to our modification of the manufacturer's protocol [35]. Briefly, the brain tissues were homogenized in an Aβ extraction buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2% SDS, and protease inhibitors (complete protease inhibitor cock tail, Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), and centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 h. Supernatant was collected (SDS soluble) and stored at –80°C until analysis or analyzed immediately. Pellets were dissolved in 70% formic acid (FA), incubated at room temperature with gentle shaking for 2 h, and then centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 h. The supernatants were collected (SDS insoluble). The FA extraction solution was neutralized and diluted 20 times with a neutralizing buffer containing 1 M Tris, 0.5 M Na₂HPO₄, and 0.05% NaN₃ before analysis by ELISA. In vivo administered D3 was confirmed not to interfere with the ELISA kit for the analyses of Aβ in the samples from this study (the caudal brain samples were used for this analysis).

Quantification

The appropriate areas (dorsal hippocampus and midline dorsal and frontal cortices) of the brain were digitized using a Olympus DP70 digital camera [34]. To avoid changes in lighting, which might affect measurements, all images were acquired in one session with a fixed setting for the light level. Further, to avoid differences in staining density between sections, the measurements were performed on sections that were stained simultaneously, i.e., in the same staining tray. The percentage of area covered by the reaction product to $\Delta\beta$ was measured in the hippocampus (and frontal cortex) using the ScionImage (NIH) program

[34, 35]. The threshold for the measurements was set at the appropriate level to avoid measuring background staining, and used for all images. Using a similar procedure, using digital images able to overlay the defined measurement area, plaques were counted in the same brain area on the adjacent sections that were stained with Congo red. The density of GFAP or CD11b staining was measured by placing a standard sized circle (200 μm diameter) around the plaque core (stained with Congo red), and measuring the optical density of the staining in the circle using the ScionImage (NIH) program. All density measurements were done in triplicate, i.e., measuring the standardized area around three plaques at three different levels of the dorsal hippocampus and the frontal, midline cortex. These measurements were done in triplicate in sections (that had been stained simultaneously) by an observer blinded to the treatment of the animal. All data were analyzed by ANOVA (Systat 11; between groups), and post-hoc tests (Tukey and Scheffe) were carried out to determine the source of a significant main effect or interaction. All data are shown with as the average \pm SEM.

RESULTS

The implantation of the Alzet minipumps and brain cannulas did not change any obvious physiological parameters (e.g., body weight or general health, i.e., the look of fur, posture, and motor activity) in the implanted mice, or cause any noticeable discomfort. None of the animals lost the brain cannula or developed any health or motor problems. One animal turned out to be negative for human AβPP expression and Aβ deposits, most likely because it had been incorrectly genotyped.

After six and a half weeks of infusion, the two groups (i.e., control and D3-FITC treated) of AβPP/PS1 mice were tested in the water maze. There was no significant difference in the swimming speed between the groups of mice $(14.9 \pm 2.4 \text{ m/s}$ and $15.3 \pm 2.4 \text{ cm/s}$, respectively). At the end of the week of training, there was no difference in escape latency between the two groups of mice in water maze performance (Fig. 1A); furthermore, neither of the two groups of mice showed any significant improvement of learning during the week of testing. Moreover, as expected, none of the groups showed a preference for the correct quadrant in the probe trial which followed the last training trial (Fig. 1B). The week following the water maze test, the animals were trained on the Barnes maze. At the end of the week of training, there was a small, but significant, difference in escape latency between the two groups of mice (Fig. 1C), with the D3-FITC group of mice showing a significant improvement of learning at the last day of testing. There was also a significant difference in the number of correct responses on that day, 14/20 (D3-FITC) versus 2/20 (control). There was no significant difference in the average distance the animals moved $(212 \pm 35 \text{ cm})$ and 193 ± 34 cm, D3-FITC and control, respectively; Fig. 1), but the D3-FITC treated mice had a slightly but not significantly faster average speed of moving $(1.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ versus } 0.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ m})$ cm/s). It should be noted that the difference in average speed was caused by longer periods of non- moving in the control mice (corrected average speeds: 1.3 ± 0.3 and 1.2 ± 0.4 cm/s, respectively). Similarly, for both groups, the walking speed in the Barnes maze is much slower than the swimming speed in the water maze. After completion of the behavioral testing, the animals were sacrificed and the brains were assessed for Aβ levels, Aβ load, and AD pathology-related inflammation.

The implantation of the cannula was in the dorsal hippocampus in all animals (Fig. 2). The extent of the distribution of the infused peptide in the brain could be analyzed in the unstained sections of the brain since the infused D-peptide was conjugated to a FITC molecule. Inspection of brain sections with an epifluorescent microscope revealed that all dense Aβ deposits in the whole brain were FITC-labeled, with a decrease in brightness further from the infusion site (Fig. 3). It should be noted that all plaques, i.e., Aβ deposits with a Congo red positive core and some Aβ deposits in blood vessel walls were labeled, but diffuse Aβ deposits were not labeled by the D3-FITC peptide. As expected, none of the control infused mice showed any D3-FITC-labeled Aβ deposits (Fig. 2A).

It is notable that near the infusion site, but not else-where in the brain, many neurons showed signs of having taken up D3-FITC, i.e., they showed intracellular FITC labeling (Fig. 2H, I). Most of the D3-FITC labeling is present on the $A\beta_{42}$ surrounding the dense core of $A\beta$ plaques (Fig. 3), but some labeling is present in the dystrophic axons surrounding the plaque (Fig. 3). Furthermore, in the D3-FITC infused mice a small number of layer II neurons in the entorhinal cortex (and a few cells in the cholinergic basal forebrain; not illustrated) showed intracellular labeling with D3-FITC, likely due to D3-FITC that was retrogradely transported from the infusion site, i.e., the dorsal hippocampus (not illustrated). It is of interest to note that these neurons showed D3-FITC labeling in lysosomes (confirmed with double labeling with lysosomal marker, cathepsin D). Further, some perivascular macrophages throughout the brain showed labeling with D3-FITC (Figs. 2G and 5A), and it should be noted that these were mainly present on penetrating arterioles. Finally, the D3-FITC peptide had also been taken up by a few microglial cells (Figs. 2H and 5D), and these microglial cells were mainly present surrounding Aβ deposits near the infusion site, but a few microglial cells near the infusion site also showed intracellular labeling with D3-FITC (Fig. 5D). Double- labeling with GFAP demonstrated that no D3-FITC labeled astrocytes were present anywhere in the brain (not illustrated).

In the sections that were stained for human $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\beta}_{4-10}$, the $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\beta}$ load was measured in the hippocampus and dorsal, midline cortex and frontal cortex. In the group infused with D3- FITC there was significant reduction in the $\mathsf{A}\beta$ load in the hippocampus and in the dorsal midline cortex, compared to the control-infused mice (Figs. 2C, D; Table 1). In contrast, there was no difference in the Aβ load between the control and the D3-FITC infused mice in brain areas more than 3 mm away from the infusion site, i.e., in the frontal cortex (Figs. 2E, F; Table 1). The analysis of labeling for mouse $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ showed a small but significant decrease in mouse Aβ load in the hippocampus in the D3-FITC group compared to the control group.

The Aβlevels were measured in the contralateral (non-infused) hippocampus, and both the levels of the soluble and insoluble $\text{A}\beta_{40}$ and $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ were significantly reduced in the D3-FITC treated mice compared to the untreated control mice (Fig. 4).

Analysis of the sections that were stained for GFAP or microglia revealed that the implantation of the cannula and the infusions did not cause any significant inflammation (Fig. 6). The only infusion- related inflammation that was present was around the implanted infusion cannula (not illustrated), and was similar among the two groups of mice. A more detailed analysis of the magnitude of inflammation surrounding Aβ deposits, however,

revealed that the D3-FITC treatment significantly reduced the amount of plaque- related inflammation (measured in both GFAP and CD11b staining) compared to the control brains in the brain area near the infusion site (Fig. 6, Table 1). Double staining for microglia and GFAP confirmed that at D3-FITC labeled plaques both glial cell types were significantly less activated compared to control-infused mice.

DISCUSSION

In this study we infused the hippocampus of Tg AD model mice for eight weeks with the small D-enantiomeric peptide D3 conjugated to FITC (D3- FITC) that has a high affinity for oligomeric $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ [23, 24, 26], and examined cognitive performance after 6.5 weeks, and the Aβ load after the end of the cognitive testing.

One of the two characteristic pathological hallmarks of AD is the presence of neuritic plaques [36]. These plaques have a dense core of aggregated Aβ peptides (e.g., [3]), which stains with thioflavine-S and Congo red. However, diffuse amyloid deposits have also been shown to be present in AD brains, and they have been shown to be present in AD model mice [14]. In our experiments, all dense plaques were labeled by D3- FITC; in contrast, diffuse deposits, i.e., Aβ deposits labeling with the peptide. The diffuse deposits did not show much, if any, labeling with $A\beta_{42}$ specific anti bodies [14], which is likely why the D3-FITC did not bind to these deposits. In contrast, some $A\beta$ in blood vessel walls (cerebral amyloid angiopathy) is labeled by D3-FITC. Similarly, D3 did not bind Aβ deposits in blood vessel walls in young mice when they predominantly consist of $A\beta_{40}$ [37], but it should be noted that at the ages when $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ is present in these deposits the D3-FITC does label them [28], as D3-FITC also does in these old mice. In agreement with these findings, in earlier in *vitro* experiments, we have shown that at high ages $(>15$ months of age) these deposits are labeled by $A\beta_{42}$ antibodies and with both D1 and D3 [30].

The data from our experiments show that the D3- FITC infused mice have a much lower $A\beta$ load in the hippocampus, demonstrating that binding of D3-FITC to oligomeric $A\beta_{42}$ helps in reducing Aβ deposition in the hippocampus and the adjacent neocortex. However, not only is amyloid deposition decreased, existing deposits are also significantly cleared as the typical plaque load of mice at the age, when the treatment started (21 months) is much higher than observed after D3-FITC treatment. This, most likely, is partially brought about by changes in the aggregation properties of the $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ -D3-FITC complex, compared to the high propensity of Aβ₄₂ to aggregate [38, 39]. This would then lead to a decrease in the Aβ deposition rate, and thus a lesser number and/or smaller plaques [27]. Several studies have shown that the use of -sheet breakers [40–42] can reduce Aβ aggregation and Aβ deposition, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Our data confirm that even treatment with an $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\beta}_{42}$ binding peptide alone (i.e., without attached -sheet breaker) will decrease amyloid deposition. Insoluble $A\beta_{1-40}$ is even more reduced after D3-FITC treatment than insoluble $A\beta_{1-42}$, most probably because insoluble Aβ aggregates consist of mixtures of $A\beta_{1-40}$ and $A\beta_{1-42}$ and the higher the $A\beta_{1-40}$ content is, the less stable the aggregates are and the more amenable they are to D3-FITC, even if D3 was reported to bind preferentially $A\beta_{1-42}$. On the other hand, it is also possible that the clearance of the D3-A β_{42} complex from the brain has improved compared to the clearance of AB_{42} [18, 43, 44]. Several mechanisms have been proposed for the

clearance of Aβ, receptor-mediated Aβ transport across the blood- brain barrier and enzymemediated degradation of the peptide [18, 43]. It is more likely that $\mathbf{A}\beta$ transport out of the brain is improved than that Aβ degradation has increased, since the properties of the $Aβ₄₂$ -D3-FITC complex are possibly not improving enzymatic degradation [45].

All plaques in our AD-model mice are accompanied by activated glial cells, both astrocytes and microglia (e.g., [46–48]). The role of the activated glial cells is unclear: on the one hand they could protect the brain by removing Aβ, on the other hand they can secrete inflammatory cytokines and generate nitric oxide, and can thus damage and kill bystander neurons [49]. It should be noted that in this mouse model, no dead or dying neurons are present, even near plaques [47]. The role of activated microglia cells in the uptake of Aβ is disputed, with some groups showing clearance of $\text{A}\beta$ by microglia (e.g., [50, 51], whereas others have shown that microglia do not take up Aβ [52]. Similarly, the plaques in all our animals are surrounded by activated glial cells; however, in the D3-FITC infused mice, only microglial cells show fluorescence intracellularly, whereas no D3-FITC is present in any activated astrocyte surrounding the plaque. This indicates that microglial cells do contribute to the reduced Aβload in the treated mice.

In the D3-FITC infused mice, however, compared to the control infused mice, the dense Aβdeposits are associated with much lower numbers of activated microglia or activated astrocytes. This suggests that the binding of D3-FITC to deposited $A\beta_{42}$ changes the structure of $A\beta_{42}$ evoking less inflammatory responses. Furthermore, the size of the $A\beta$ deposits in the hippocampus is smaller in the D3-FITC treated mice. Together, this suggests that the $\mathbf{A}\beta$ in the plaques, upon binding to D3-FITC, has changed aggregation kinetics, for instance more amorphous (non-depositing) Aβ aggregates could be formed. Finally, it should be noted that the number of deposits that stain for thioflavine-S or Congo red has also been significantly reduced in the hippocampus. This indicates that either less new deposits were formed during the four weeks of treatment or deposits were removed by microglia. It is not likely that microglial cells have taken up all $\text{A}\beta_{42}$ -D3-FITC, since little intracellular fluorescence is observed in most microglial cells. On the other hand, clear FITCfluorescence is present in pericytes/perivascular macrophages [53, 54] indicating that these cells have taken up the D3-FITC-A β_{42} complex. These cells may have increased the clearance of the complex from the brain through the blood- brain barrier [44, 53, 54]. In our earlier studies, we have not observed uptake of D3-FITC in microglial cells, indicating that the properties of the microglial cells in these older animals are slightly different, most likely due to the increased age of these mice [55, 56]. Furthermore, we observed uptake of D3- FITC by neurons near the infusion site, most likely by uptake of the Aβ42-D3-FITC complex.

It has been suggested that the progression of the pathology in AD is related to the connections between areas displaying early deposits and the cortical regions showing later pathology, summarized by Hardy ([57]) in the "anatomical cascade hypothesis". Our data showing labeled neurons in layer II of the entorhinal cortex that are most likely due to the retrograde trans port of D3-FITC (or the $\text{A}\beta_{42}\text{-D3-FITC}$ complex), confirm that $\text{A}\beta$ is retrogradely transported through axons [30]. It should be noted that the neurons in the entorhinal cortex showing intracellular D3-FITC are healthy, and do not show signs of cell

death. Furthermore, there is no increased inflammation in the area of these intracellularly labeled neurons.

There was a significant improvement in the cognitive deficits between the control and D3- FITC treated mice in the Barnes maze task, but not in the water maze task. The performance of the two groups of mice in the water maze is quite similar to earlier reports [35, 58], i.e., these Tg AD model mice are significantly impaired in their water maze performance at 12 months of age, and even more impaired at this age (22 months of age). At the end of the first week of training, neither control nor D3-FITC infused groups of animals have improved their performance in the water maze. In contrast, at the end of the second week of training (i.e., in the Barnes maze), the D3-FITC groups of animals have slightly, but significantly, improved their performance whereas the control mice have not improved their performance. This, most likely, is related to the very large decrease in Aβ load and plaque number in the hippocampus of the treated group of mice compared to the control group. Finally, the data

suggest that no cognitive improvement is present after $6\frac{1}{2}$ weeks of treatment (i.e., water

maze testing), but that after $7\frac{1}{2}$ weeks of treatment, a slight improvement is present. Together, the data indicate that either a longer treatment or a higher dose treatment in Tg AD model mice at this age with D3 or D3-FITC likely might be more beneficial. This is supported by the observation that plaque reduction was dependent on the distance from the infusion site, suggesting that the amount of D3-FITC that was infused was a limiting factor. This clearly raises the possibility that the binding of D3-FITC to oligomeric $\mathbf{A}\beta_{42}$ significantly reduces synaptic pathology slowly, leading to a slow increase in cognitive abilities. D3- FITC diffused or was transported throughout the brain, as suggested by the observed FITC-labeling of all dense plaques. Dependent of the distance from the infusion site, D3-FITC exerted different effects on the Aβ load. It significantly reduced soluble and insoluble β β species, even in the contralateral brain hemisphere (Fig. 4). Dissolution of preexisting plaques, however, was observed predominantly near the injection site. This suggests that higher D3-FITC concentrations, as can be expected close to the injection site, are required to dissolve pre-existing plaques during the treatment period of 8 weeks. Lower D3- FITC concentrations are still able to reduce soluble and insoluble Aβ species throughout the brain. Because the plaque load reduction is restricted to the small area around the infusion site, the observed improvement of the cognitive behavior may be attributed much more to the reduction of soluble and insoluble Aβ species than to any plaque dissolution. But because plaques are a reservoir for $A\beta$ species, their disappearance may still be a valuable long term objective in any treatment. Treatment with D3 at higher concentrations and/or longer periods may well be suitable to achieve enhanced plaque clearance and even further reduced soluble and insoluble Aβ species.

This is a very important observation, because so far, most animal trials were designed to treat the Tg AD model animals at early stages, mostly before the appearance of deficits in cognitive behavior [12, 59]. Such trials might therefore be named more correctly prevention trials. This is currently heavily discussed as a major reason why substances that had been shown to be effective in such animal trials, later failed in clinical trials, which have yet been done with patients clearly diagnosed with AD, thus being at progressed stages of the disease.

Thus, after we had previously shown that D3 is efficient for treatment of young Tg AD mice by direct brain injection and oral application [26, 28], it was the main purpose of the present study to treat aged animals at progressed stages of pathology, when cognitive deficits had been present already for quite a while.

The data demonstrate that infusion with D3-FITC leads to a reduction in the density of Aβdeposits in the hippocampus, whereas the infusion with the vehicle solution does not. Cognitive deficits are not significantly different between control and D3-FITC infused mice in the water maze test, but the D3-FITC infused mice do show a significant improvement on the last day of cognitive testing. Furthermore, the D3-FITC infused mice have a significant reduction in the number of plaques in the hippocampus, and in the amount of activated astrocytes and microglial cells surrounding Aβ plaques compared to the control infused mice. Together, this demonstrates that this peptide has great potential as a future treatment in AD.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Egon von Schnier for his excellent comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. This study was partially supported by the Technology Transfer Fund of the Research Center Jülich and partially by NIH P30 NS47466.

References

- 1. Duyckaerts C, Delatour B, Potier MC. Classification and basic pathology of Alzheimer disease. Acta Neuropathol. 2009; 118:5–36. [PubMed: 19381658]
- 2. Newell KL, Hyman BT, Growdon JH, Hedley-Whyte ET. Application of the National Institute on Aging (NIA)- Reagan Institute criteria for the neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1999; 58:1147–1155. [PubMed: 10560657]
- 3. Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's disease: Genes, proteins, and therapy. Physiol Rev. 2001; 81:741–766. [PubMed: 11274343]
- 4. Dickson TC, Vickers JC. The morphological phenotype of beta-amyloid plaques and associated neuritic changes in Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscience. 2001; 105:99–107. [PubMed: 11483304]
- 5. Selkoe DJ, Wolfe MS. Presenilin: Running with scissors in the membrane. Cell. 2007; 131:215–221. [PubMed: 17956719]
- 6. Van Broeck B, Van Broeckhoven C, Kumar-Singh S. Current insights into molecular mechanisms of Alzheimer disease and their implications for therapeutic approaches. Neurodegener Dis. 2007; 4:349–365. [PubMed: 17622778]
- 7. Haass C, De Strooper B. The presenilins in Alzheimer's disease–proteolysis holds the key. Science. 1999; 286:916–919. [PubMed: 10542139]
- 8. Kasuga K, Shimohata T, Nishimura A, Shiga A, Mizuguchi T, Tokunaga J, Ohno T, Miyashita A, Kuwano R, Matsumoto N, Onodera O, Nishizawa M, Ikeuchi T. Identification of independent APP locus duplication in Japanese patients with early-onset Alzheimer disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychia try. 2009; 80:1050–1052.
- 9. Cabrejo L, Guyant-Marechal L, Laquerriere A, Vercelletto M, De la Fourniere F, Thomas-Anterion C, Verny C, Letournel F, Pasquier F, Vital A, Checler F, Frebourg T, Campion D, Hannequin D. Phenotype associated with APP duplication in five families. Brain. 2006; 129:2966–2976. [PubMed: 16959815]
- 10. Rovelet-Lecrux A, Hannequin D, Raux G, Le Meur N, Laquerriere A, Vital A, Dumanchin C, Feuillette S, Brice A, Vercelletto M, Dubas F, Frebourg T, Campion D. APP locus duplication causes autosomal dominant early- onset Alzheimer disease with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:24–26. [PubMed: 16369530]

- 11. Guyant-Marechal L, Rovelet-Lecrux A, Goumidi L, Cousin E, Hannequin D, Raux G, Penet C, Ricard S, Mace S, Amouyel P, Deleuze JF, Frebourg T, Brice A, Lambert JC, Campion D. Variations in the APP gene promoter region and risk of Alzheimer disease. Neurology. 2007; 68:684–687. [PubMed: 17325276]
- 12. German DC, Eisch AJ. Mouse models of Alzheimer's disease: Insight into treatment. Rev Neurosci. 2004; 15:353–369. [PubMed: 15575491]
- 13. Jankowsky JL, Slunt HH, Ratovitski T, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Borchelt DR. Co-expression of multiple trans- genes in mouse CNS: A comparison of strategies. Biomol Eng. 2001; 17:157–165. [PubMed: 11337275]
- 14. van Groen T, Kiliaan AJ, Kadish I. Deposition of mouse amyloid beta in human APP/PS1 double and single AD model transgenic mice. Neurobiol Dis. 2006; 23:653–662. [PubMed: 16829076]
- 15. Jankowsky JL, Savonenko A, Schilling G, Wang J, Xu G, Borchelt DR. Transgenic mouse models of neurodegenerative disease: Opportunities for therapeutic development. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep. 2002; 2:457–464. [PubMed: 12169227]
- 16. Savonenko A, Xu GM, Melnikova T, Morton JL, Gonzales V, Wong MP, Price DL, Tang F, Markowska AL, Borchelt DR. Episodic-like memory deficits in the APPswe/PS1dE9 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease: Relationships to beta-amyloid deposition and neurotransmitter abnormalities. Neurobiol Dis. 2005; 18:602–617. [PubMed: 15755686]
- 17. Tanzi RE, Bertram L. Twenty years of the Alzheimer's disease amyloid hypothesis: A genetic perspective. Cell. 2005; 120:545–555. [PubMed: 15734686]
- 18. Wang YJ, Zhou HD, Zhou XF. Clearance of amyloid-beta in Alzheimer's disease: Progress, problems and perspectives. Drug Discov Today. 2006; 11:931–938. [PubMed: 16997144]
- 19. Masters CL, Beyreuther K. Alzheimer's centennial legacy: Prospects for rational therapeutic intervention targeting the Abeta amyloid pathway. Brain. 2006; 129:2823–2839. [PubMed: 17012295]
- 20. Monaco S, Zanusso G, Mazzucco S, Rizzuto N. Cerebral amyloidoses: Molecular pathways and therapeutic challenges. Curr Med Chem. 2006; 13:1903–1913. [PubMed: 16842201]
- 21. Jahan M, Nag S, Krasikova R, Weber U, Muhs A, Pfeifer A, Spenger C, Willbold D, Gulyas B, Halldin C. Fluorine-18 labeling of three novel D-peptides by conjugation with N-succinimidyl-4- [18F]fluorobenzoate and preliminary examination by postmortem whole-hemisphere human brain autoradiography. Nucl Med Biol. 2012; 39:315–323. [PubMed: 22136889]
- 22. Gulyas B, Spenger C, Beliczai Z, Gulya K, Kasa P, Jahan M, Jia Z, Weber U, Pfeifer A, Muhs A, Willbold D, Halldin C. Distribution and binding of 18F-labeled and 125I-labeled analogues of ACI-80, a prospective molecular imaging biomarker of disease: A whole hemisphere post mortem autoradiography study in human brains obtained from Alzheimer's disease patients. Neurochem Int. 2012; 60:153–162. [PubMed: 22100791]
- 23. Funke SA, Liu H, Sehl T, Bartnik D, Brener O, Nagel-Steger L, Wiesehan K, Willbold D. Identification and characterization of an abeta oligomer precipitating peptide that may be useful to explore gene therapeutic approaches to Alzheimer disease. Rejuvenation Res. 2012; 15:144–147. [PubMed: 22533419]
- 24. Funke SA, Bartnik D, Gluck JM, Piorkowska K, Wiesehan K, Weber U, Gulyas B, Halldin C, Pfeifer A, Spenger C, Muhs A, Willbold D. Development of a small D-enantiomeric Alzheimer's amyloid-beta binding peptide ligand for future in vivo imaging applications. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e41457. [PubMed: 22848501]
- 25. Funke SA, Willbold D. Peptides for therapy and diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Curr Pharm Des. 2012; 18:755–767. [PubMed: 22236121]
- 26. Funke SA, van Groen T, Kadish I, Bartnik D, Nagel-Steger L, Brener O, Sehl T, Batra-Safferling R, Moriscot C, Schoehn G, Horn AH, Muller-Schiffmann A, Korth C, Sticht H, Willbold D. Oral treatment with the D-enantiomeric peptide D3 improves the pathology and behavior of Alzheimer's disease transgenic mice. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2010; 1:639–648. [PubMed: 22778851]
- 27. Wiesehan K, Stohr J, Nagel-Steger L, van Groen T, Riesner D, Willbold D. Inhibition of cytotoxicity and amyloid fibril formation by a D-amino acid peptide that specifically binds to

Alzheimer's disease amyloid peptide. Protein Eng Des Sel. 2008; 21:241–246. [PubMed: 18252750]

- 28. van Groen T, Wiesehan K, Funke SA, Kadish I, Nagel-Steger L, Willbold D. Reduction of Alzheimer's disease amyloid plaque load in transgenic mice by D3, a D-enantiomeric peptide identified by mirror image phage display. ChemMed-Chem. 2008; 3:1848–1852.
- 29. van Groen T, Kadish I, Funke A, Bartnik D, Willbold D. Treatment with Abeta42 binding d-amino acid peptides reduce amyloid deposition and inflammation in APP/PS1 double transgenic mice. Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol. 2012; 88:133–152. [PubMed: 22814708]
- 30. van Groen T, Kadish I, Wiesehan K, Funke SA, Willbold D. In vitro and in vivo staining characteristics of small, fluorescent, Abeta42-binding D-enantiomeric peptides in transgenic AD mouse models. ChemMedChem. 2009; 4:276–282. [PubMed: 19072935]
- 31. Franklin, KBJ., Paxinos, G. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Academic Press; New York: 1997.
- 32. Barnes CA. Memory deficits associated with senescence: A neurophysiological and behavioral study in the rat. J Comp Physiol Psychol. 1979; 93:74–104. [PubMed: 221551]
- 33. Ida N, Hartmann T, Pantel J, Schroder J, Zerfass R, Forstl H, Sandbrink R, Masters CL, Beyreuther K. Analysis of heterogeneous A4 peptides in human cerebrospinal fluid and blood by a newly developed sensitive Western blot assay. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:22908–22914. [PubMed: 8798471]
- 34. Kadish I, Van Groen T. Low levels of estrogen significantly diminish axonal sprouting after entorhinal cortex lesions in the mouse. J Neurosci. 2002; 22:4095–4102. [PubMed: 12019328]
- 35. Liu L, Ikonen S, Tapiola T, Tanila H, van Groen T. Fimbria-fornix lesion does not affect APP levels and amyloid deposition in the hippocampus of APP+PS1 double transgenic mice. Exp Neurol. 2002; 177:565–574. [PubMed: 12429202]
- 36. Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta Neuropathol. 1991; 82:239–259. [PubMed: 1759558]
- 37. Kumar-Singh S. Cerebral amyloid angiopathy: Pathogenetic mechanisms and link to dense amyloid plaques. Genes Brain Behav. 2008; 7(Suppl 1):67–82. [PubMed: 18184371]
- 38. Bartolini M, Bertucci C, Bolognesi ML, Cavalli A, Melchiorre C, Andrisano V. Insight into the kinetic of amyloid beta (1–42) peptide self-aggregation: Elucidation of inhibitors' mechanism of action. ChemBioChem. 2007; 8:2152–2161. [PubMed: 17939148]
- 39. Iijima K, Liu HP, Chiang AS, Hearn SA, Konsolaki M, Zhong Y. Dissecting the pathological effects of human Abeta40 and Abeta42 in Drosophila: A potential model for Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:6623–6628. [PubMed: 15069204]
- 40. Permanne B, Adessi C, Fraga S, Frossard MJ, Saborio GP, Soto C. Are beta-sheet breaker peptides dissolving the therapeutic problem of Alzheimer's disease? J Neural Transm Suppl. 2002:293– 301. [PubMed: 12456072]
- 41. Permanne B, Adessi C, Saborio GP, Fraga S, Frossard MJ, Van Dorpe J, Dewachter I, Banks WA, Van Leuven F, Soto C. Reduction of amyloid load and cerebral damage in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease by treatment with a beta-sheet breaker peptide. FASEB J. 2002; 16:860–862. [PubMed: 11967228]
- 42. Soto C, Kindy MS, Baumann M, Frangione B. Inhi bition of Alzheimer's amyloidosis by peptides that prevent beta-sheet conformation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1996; 226:672–680. [PubMed: 8831674]
- 43. Ji Y, Permanne B, Sigurdsson EM, Holtzman DM, Wisniewski T. Amyloid beta40/42 clearance across the blood-brain barrier following intra-ventricular injections in wild-type, apoE knock-out and human apoE3 or E4 expressing transgenic mice. J Alzheimers Dis. 2001; 3:23–30. [PubMed: 12214069]
- 44. Tanzi RE, Moir RD, Wagner SL. Clearance of Alzheimer's Abeta peptide: The many roads to perdition. Neuron. 2004; 43:605–608. [PubMed: 15339642]
- 45. Yoshiike Y, Chui DH, Akagi T, Tanaka N, Takashima A. Specific compositions of amyloid-beta peptides as the determinant of toxic beta-aggregation. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:23648–23655. [PubMed: 12716908]

- 46. Bondolfi L, Calhoun M, Ermini F, Kuhn HG, Wiederhold KH, Walker L, Staufenbiel M, Jucker M. Amyloid- associated neuron loss and gliogenesis in the neocortex of amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice. J Neurosci. 2002; 22:515–522. [PubMed: 11784797]
- 47. van Groen T, Kadish I. Transgenic AD model mice, effects of potential anti-AD treatments on inflammation and pathology. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 2005; 48:370–378. [PubMed: 15850676]
- 48. van Groen T, Miettinen P, Kadish I. Transgenic AD model mice, effects of potential anti-AD treatments on inflam mation, and pathology. J Alzheimers Dis. 2011; 24:301–313. [PubMed: 21239852]
- 49. Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S, Bradt B, Bauer J, Cole GM, Cooper NR, Eikelenboom P, Emmerling M, Fiebich BL, Finch CE, Frautschy S, Griffin WS, Hampel H, Hull M, Landreth G, Lue L, Mrak R, Mackenzie IR, McGeer PL, O'Banion MK, Pachter J, Pasinetti G, Plata-Salaman C, Rogers J, Rydel R, Shen Y, Streit W, Strohmeyer R, Tooyoma I, Van Muiswinkel FL, Veerhuis R, Walker D, Webster S, Wegrzyniak B, Wenk G, Wyss-Coray T. Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2000; 21:383–421. [PubMed: 10858586]
- 50. Combs CK. Inflammation and microglia actions in Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2009; 4:380–388. [PubMed: 19669893]
- 51. Lee CY, Landreth GE. The role of microglia in amyloid clearance from the AD brain. J Neural Transm. 2010; 117:949–960. [PubMed: 20552234]
- 52. Garcia-Alloza M, Ferrara BJ, Dodwell SA, Hickey GA, Hyman BT, Bacskai BJ. A limited role for microglia in antibody mediated plaque clearance in APP mice. Neurobiol Dis. 2007; 28:286–292. [PubMed: 17822910]
- 53. Pluta R, Misicka A, Barcikowska M, Spisacka S, Lipkowski AW, Januszewski S. Possible reverse transport of beta-amyloid peptide across the blood-brain barrier. Acta Neurochir Suppl. 2000; 76:73–77. [PubMed: 11450095]
- 54. Thanopoulou K, Fragkouli A, Stylianopoulou F, Georgopoulos S. Scavenger receptor class B type I (SR-BI) regulates perivascular macrophages and modifies amyloid pathology in an Alzheimer mouse model. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:20816–20821. [PubMed: 21076037]
- 55. Conde JR, Streit WJ. Microglia in the aging brain. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2006; 65:199–203. [PubMed: 16651881]
- 56. Graeber MB, Streit WJ. Microglia: Biology and pathology. Acta Neuropathol. 2010; 119:89–105. [PubMed: 20012873]
- 57. Hardy J. An 'anatomical cascade hypothesis' for Alzheimer's disease. Trends in Neurosciences. 1992; 15:200–201. [PubMed: 1378661]
- 58. Malm TM, Iivonen H, Goldsteins G, Keksa-Goldsteine V, Ahtoniemi T, Kanninen K, Salminen A, Auriola S, Van Groen T, Tanila H, Koistinaho J. Pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate activates Akt and improves spatial learning in APP/PS1 mice without affecting beta-amyloid burden. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:3712–3721. [PubMed: 17409235]
- 59. Howlett DR. APP transgenic mice and their application to drug discovery. Histol Histopathol. 2011; 26:1611–1632. [PubMed: 21972099]

J Alzheimers Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 16.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

van Groen et al. Page 15

Fig. 1.

Three graphs showing the learning curves of the three groups of mice: A) the daily mean performance in the water maze; B) the probe trial performance of the two groups of mice (L) = left, C = correct, A = across, and R = right quadrant); C) the daily mean performance in the Barnes maze; and D) examples of tracks of a control and a D3-FITC mouse in the Barnes maze. *indicates significantly ($p < 0.05$) different on last day of testing.

Fig. 2.

Two sets of three photomicrographs (A–F) of coronal sections through the dorsal midline cortex and hippocampus of the two groups of AβPP/PS1 mice and three high power photmicrographs (G–I). Top row (A, B) unstained sections, lower two rows, sections stained for Aβ (with W0-2), middle row (C, D) hippocampus, and bottom row (E, F) rostral midline cortex. Three high power photomicrographs: G) parietal cortex showing D3-FITC labeled perivascular macrophages (red arrow); H) labeled microglia cells in area CA1; and I) labeled neurons in the dentate gyrus. Please note the difference in Aβ staining density in the hippocampus between the treated and non-treated mice.

Fig. 3.

Four high power photomicrographs (A–D) of coronal sections through the dorsal, midline cortex following long-term infusion of D3-FITC: A) image of labeled plaques >3 mm away from the infusion site; B) image of labeled plaques ∼1 mm from the infusion site; C) image showing labeled plaques near the infusion site; and D) labeled plaques at the injection site. Notice the increase in staining density in plaques near the infusion site. Red arrows in C indicate dystrophic neurites.

Fig. 4.

Two graphs showing the $A\beta_{40}$ and $A\beta_{42}$ levels in the hippocampus. Please note the significant decrease in both the insoluble and soluble $A\beta_{40}$ and $A\beta_{42}$ levels in the treated mice compared to the control mice.

Fig. 5.

High power photomicrographs demonstrating plaques in double-labeled coronal sections of the midline cortex, of mice that were control or D3-FITC infused. Top four images, GFAP (i.e., GFAP and Congo red); bottom four images, microglia (i.e., Iba-1 and Aβ or D3-FITC). Left column control-infused mice, and right column D3-FITC-infused mice. Please note the reduction in the level of inflammation between the two treatments.

Fig. 6.

Four series of photomicrographs of coronal sections through the dorsal midline cortex and hippocampus of the D3-FITC infused AβPP/PS1 mice: A_1) unstained section of a blood vessel, note the labeled perivascular macrophages; A_2) the same section stained with Congo red showing Aβdeposition; A₃) combined image; B₁) unstained section of midline cortex, showing D3-FITC labeling; B_2) the same section stained with Congo red showing Aβdeposition; B₃) combined image showing D3-FITC surrounding plaque cores; C_1) unstained section of area $CA1; C₂$) the same section double stained with Congo red showing Aβdeposition; C_3) combined image showing D3-FITC in labeled dystrophic neurites (blue arrow); D_1) unstained section of stratum radiatum of area CA1; D_2) the same section stained red for Iba-1 showing microglial cells; and D_3) combined image, note the three microglial cells that have taken up D3-FITC (red arrows).

Table 1

The number of animals per group, body weight, the Aβ load, the number of Congo Red positive plaques, and the density of GFAP and CD11b staining around plaques in the dorsal hippocampus, numbers are average \pm SEM

* indicates significantly different between control and D3-FITC treated, $p < 0.05$.