·Research Highlight·

The medial preoptic area and the regulation of parental behavior

Kumi O. Kuroda¹, Michael Numan²

¹Research Unit for Affiliative Social Behavior, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

Corresponding author: Kumi O. Kuroda. E-mail: oyako@brain.riken.jp

© Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, CAS and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

The preoptic area (POA) is located in the most anterior part of the hypothalamus and is bordered dorsally by the anterior commissure and anteroventrally by the nucleus of the diagonal band of Broca^[1]. Accumulating evidence from developmental neurobiology suggests, however, that the POA may be a separate entity from hypothalamus, and may actually be part of the basal telencephalon^[2, 3]. Both the hypothalamus and POA are highly complex and heterogeneous areas, containing multiple nuclei, each of which has specific fundamental functions for survival. Among these, the POA contains nuclei involved in the regulation of blood osmolality and temperature (the median preoptic nucleus), sleep (the ventrolateral preoptic and suprachiasmatic nuclei), ovulation (gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons scattered mainly in the ventral part of the POA), male sexual behavior (the medial preoptic nucleus), and parental behavior (the central part of the medial POA, cMPOA).

Parental behavior in mammals is typically a uniparental maternal care system, while paternal and alloparental behaviors (parental responses toward infants that are not one's biological offspring) are not common. However, paternal and alloparental behaviors do occur in those species where such behaviors have adaptive significance^[4, 5].

A critical role of the medial POA (MPOA) in maternal behavior was initially suggested by Fisher^[6], and has been established in a series of studies by Numan^[7,8] in laboratory rats. Then it was confirmed in other rodents, such as hamsters^[9], California mice^[10], and laboratory mice^[11], as well as for paternal and alloparental behaviors^[10–13]. The MPOA is also involved in the parental behavior of sheep^[14] and presumably most other mammals. Severing the lateral, in particular the dorsolateral, connections of the MPOA

disrupts maternal behavior most strongly and specifically, compared to cutting the anterior, posterior, or dorsal connections^[15, 16]. These findings are consistent with the fact that the major afferent and efferent connections of the rat medial preoptic nucleus, the largest and central nucleus of the MPOA, enter and leave laterally^[17].

While postpartum maternal behavior is similar in laboratory rats and mice, alloparental behavior in virgin animals differs quite impressively. Virgin female rats initially avoid, and may even attack, young pups, and they require several days of continuous pup exposure (sensitization) before their behavior switches toward displaying parental responses^[18]. Virgin male rats behave similarly^[18]. In contrast, the majority of virgin female mice start retrieving pups and showing other parental responses within 30 to 60 min after their first exposure to pups[19]. In other words, nulliparous female laboratory mice, unlike most female mammals, do not require pregnancy hormones or extensive pup sensitization to induce immediate maternal care. In contrast to their female counterparts, virgin male laboratory mice behave more like virgin female and male rats, and are more avoidant or even infanticidal on their first exposure to pups^[20]. Significantly, once these male mice become fathers by mating and cohabitation with their pregnant mates, they show extensive paternal care toward their offspring as well as non-offspring pups^[21]. The underlying mechanism for this behavioral switch induced by social experience with the female mate is unknown, although it has been shown that surgical removal of the vomeronasal organ abolishes the infanticidal response and turns virgin male mice toward parental responsiveness^[22]. Interestingly, vomeronasal organ removal also facilitates maternal behavior in virgin female rats^[23], and decreases infanticide in male rats^[24].

²Rio Rancho, NM 87144, USA

It appears that dual neural mechanisms regulating behavioral responses toward infants exist in the brains of most male and female rodents: typical virgin females and males initially avoid pups, while postpartum females, and males of certain species that have mated and cohabitated with females, care for the young. In comparison to most mammals, the spontaneous maternal behavior of virgin female laboratory mice is atypical; indeed, feral female virgin mice are infanticidal^[8] (see ^[4, 5] for a broader analysis of these issues).

Recently. Wu and colleagues reported on the role of galanin neurons in the MPOA for parental behavior in both male and female mice^[25]. They showed that (1) virgin male mice lacking the Trpc2 gene, which encodes a vomeronasal-organ-specific ion channel, show paternal behavior rather than infanticide; (2) Galanin, a neuropeptide widely expressed in the brain, spinal cord, and gut, is co-expressed with c-Fos induced in MPOA neurons by parental behavior (38.3% of MPOA c-Fos-positive neurons co-express galanin, and 24.8% of MPOA galanin-positive neurons co-express c-Fos in virgin females displaying parental behavior), consistent with a previous publication (47.7% and 29.6%, respectively, in the cMPOA)^[11]; (3) ablation of the galanin neurons within the MPOA causes impairments in parental behavior and male mating behavior; and (4) optogenetic stimulation of MPOA galanin neurons attenuates infanticide and inter-male aggression in virgin males, and facilitates pup grooming (sniffing and licking) as well as general locomotion at the expense of crouching behavior. The strength of this study is the specific manipulation of galanin neurons using a galanin-cre mouse line in combination with sophisticated virus-vector-mediated gene-transfer techniques. Such approaches will become indispensable tools for elucidation of the neuronal circuits of the mammalian parent-infant relationship.

The functional role of galanin is largely unknown, however; it has been implicated in diverse biological processes including lactation *via* prolactin secretion, neural development, feeding, mood regulation, and osmoregulation (see ^[26] for review). Moreover, galanin expression is widely distributed in the MPOA. As such, it is reasonable to assume that the manipulation of MPOA galanin neurons affects not only pup-directed behaviors but also other behaviors and physiological functions. More anatomically-specific targeting of experimental

manipulations within subregions of the MPOA in future studies should provide more information on the neuronal basis of pup-directed behaviors in relation to other social behaviors, in particular the behavioral switch from infanticide to paternal care in male mice that is induced by social interactions with females. Perhaps one population of MPOA neurons is involved in suppressing an avoidance/ infanticide circuit, while another population is involved in stimulating a parental circuit^[4, 27]. Significantly, the facts that Wu et al.[25] found that stimulation of MPOA galanin neurons in virgin males reduces infanticide without stimulating parental behaviors, while ablation of these neurons in fathers and postpartum females suppresses parental behavior without inducing infanticide, support the view that there are two functionally distinct MPOA neuronal populations. Obviously, much more research needs to be done to determine, for example, which functional aspects of parental behavior are regulated by MPOA galanin neurons, and whether the critical MPOA-galanin neurons are localcircuit neurons, output projection neurons, or both.

Received date: 2014-06-26; Accepted date: 2014-07-22

REFERENCES

- [1] Simerly RB. Anatomical substrates of hypothalamic integration. In: Paxinos G (Ed). The Rat Nervous System. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press, 2004: 336–368.
- [2] Puelles L, Kuwana E, Puelles E, Bulfone A, Shimamura K, Keleher J, et al. Pallial and subpallial derivatives in the embryonic chick and mouse telencephalon, traced by the expression of the genes Dlx-2, Emx-1, Nkx-2.1, Pax-6, and Tbr-1. J Comp Neurol 2000, 424: 409–438.
- [3] Blackshaw S, Scholpp S, Placzek M, Ingraham H, Simerly R, Shimogori T. Molecular pathways controlling development of thalamus and hypothalamus: from neural specification to circuit formation. J Neurosci 2010, 30: 14925–14930.
- [4] Numan M. Parental behavior. In: Koob GE, Le Moal M, Thompson RF (Eds). Encyclopedia of Behavioral Neuroscience 2010, 3: 14–23.
- [5] Numan M. Neurobiology of Social Behavior: Toward an understanding of prosocial and antisocial brain. London: Elsevier, 2015.
- [6] Fisher AE. Maternal and sexual behavior induced by intracranial chemical stimulation. Science 1956, 124: 228– 229
- [7] Numan M. Medial preoptic area and maternal behavior in the female rat. J Comp Physiol Psychol 1974, 87: 746–759.

- [8] Numan M, Insel TR. The neurobiology of parental behavior. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [9] Miceli MO, Malsbury CW. Sagittal knife cuts in the near and far lateral preoptic area-hypothalamus disrupt maternal behaviour in female hamsters. Physiol Behav 1982, 28: 856– 867.
- [10] Lee AW, Brown RE. Medial preoptic lesions disrupt parental behavior in both male and female California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*). Behav Neurosci 2002, 116: 968– 975
- [11] Tsuneoka Y, Maruyama T, Yoshida S, Nishimori K, Kato T, Numan M, et al. Functional, anatomical, and neurochemical differentiation of medial preoptic area subregions in relation to maternal behavior in the mouse. J Comp Neurol 2013, 521: 1633–1663.
- [12] Sturgis JD, Bridges RS. N-methyl-DL-aspartic acid lesions of the medial preoptic area disrupt ongoing parental behavior in male rats. Physiol Behav 1997, 62: 305–310.
- [13] Kalinichev M, Rosenblatt JS, Morrell JI. The medial preoptic area, necessary for adult maternal behavior in rats, is only partially established as a component of the neural circuit that supports maternal behavior in juvenile rats. Behav Neurosci 2000, 114: 196–210.
- [14] Perrin G, Meurisse M, Levy F. Inactivation of the medial preoptic area or the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis differentially disrupts maternal behavior in sheep. Horm Behav 2007, 52: 461–473.
- [15] Terkel J, Bridges RS, Sawyer CH. Effects of transecting lateral neural connections of the medial preoptic area on maternal behavior in the rat: nest building, pup retrieval and prolactin secretion. Brain Res 1979, 169: 369–380.
- [16] Numan M, McSparren J, Numan MJ. Dorsolateral connections

- of the medial preoptic area and maternal behavior in rats. Behav Neurosci 1990, 104: 964–979.
- [17] Simerly RB, Swanson LW. Projections of the medial preoptic nucleus: a Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin anterograde tract-tracing study in the rat. J Comp Neurol 1988, 270: 209– 242
- [18] Rosenblatt JS. Nonhormonal basis of maternal behavior in the rat. Science 1967, 156: 1512–1514.
- [19] Leblond CP. Extra-hormonal factors in maternal behavior. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1940, 38: 66–70.
- [20] Parmigiani S, vom Saal FS. Infanticide and Parental Care. Chur, Switzerland: Haywood Academic Publishers GmnH, 1994
- [21] vom Saal FS, Howard LS. The regulation of infanticide and parental behavior: implications for reproductive success in male mice. Science 1982, 215: 1270–1272.
- [22] Tachikawa KS, Yoshihara Y, Kuroda KO. Behavioral transition from attack to parenting in male mice: a crucial role of the vomeronasal system. J Neurosci 2013, 33: 5120–5126.
- [23] Fleming A, Vaccarino F, Tambosso L, Chee P. Vomeronasal and olfactory system modulation of maternal behavior in the rat. Science 1979, 203: 372–374.
- [24] Mennella JA, Moltz H. Infanticide in the male rat: the role of the vomeronasal organ. Physiol Behav 1988, 42: 303–306.
- [25] Wu Z, Autry AE, Bergan JF, Watabe-Uchida M, Dulac CG. Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. Nature 2014, 509: 325–330.
- [26] Mechenthaler I. Galanin and the neuroendocrine axes. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008, 65: 1826–1835.
- [27] Numan M. Motivational systems and the neural circuitry of maternal behavior in the rat. Dev Psychobiol 2007, 49: 12– 21.