A Genomic Study of the Bipolar Bud Site Selection Pattern in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Li Ni and Michael Snyder*

Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103

Submitted March 7, 2001; Revised April 17, 2001; Accepted April 26, 2001 Monitoring Editor: David Drubin

> A genome-wide screen of 4168 homozygous diploid yeast deletion strains has been performed to identify nonessential genes that participate in the bipolar budding pattern. By examining bud scar patterns representing the sites of previous cell divisions, 127 mutants representing three different phenotypes were found: unipolar, axial-like, and random. From this screen, 11 functional classes of known genes were identified, including those involved in actin-cytoskeleton organization, general bud site selection, cell polarity, vesicular transport, cell wall synthesis, protein modification, transcription, nuclear function, translation, and other functions. Four characterized genes that were not known previously to participate in bud site selection were also found to be important for the haploid axial budding pattern. In addition to known genes, we found 22 novel genes (20 are designated BUD13-BUD32) important for bud site selection. Deletion of one resulted in unipolar budding exclusively from the proximal pole, suggesting that this gene plays an important role in diploid distal budding. Mutations in 20 other novel BUD genes produced a random budding phenotype and one produced an axial-like budding defect. Several of the novel Bud proteins were fused to green fluorescence protein; two proteins were found to localize to sites of polarized cell growth (i.e., the bud tip in small budded cells and the neck in cells undergoing cytokinesis), similar to that postulated for the bipolar signals and proteins that target cell division site tags to their proper location in the cell. Four others localized to the nucleus, suggesting that they play a role in gene expression. The bipolar distal marker Bud8 was localized in a number of mutants; many showed an altered Bud8-green fluorescence protein localization pattern. Through the genome-wide identification and analysis of different mutants involved in bipolar bud site selection, an integrated pathway for this process is presented in which proximal and distal bud site selection tags are synthesized and localized at their appropriate poles, thereby directing growth at those sites. Genome-wide screens of defined collections of mutants hold significant promise for dissecting many biological processes in yeast.

INTRODUCTION

Polarized cell division is a fundamental process in which cells divide along specific cleavage planes; this process is essential for the development of both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Polarized cell divisions can mediate appropriate cell-cell contacts and partition cytoplasmic components asymmetrically between daughter cells. Polarized cell divisions occur during the life cycle of many organisms, including early embryogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Hyman and White, 1987), neurogenesis in *Drosophila* and mammals (Kraut *et al.*, 1996), spore development in *Bacillus subtilus* (Shapiro, 1993), and development of the snail body plan (Freeman and Lundelius, 1982). The mechanisms for selecting sites for polarized growth and division as well as for directing growth toward these sites are only beginning to be understood.

The budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is an excellent model for studying polarized cell division. *S. cerevisiae* exists in different forms, each with a specific cell morphology and division pattern (Roemer *et al.*, 1996b; Costigan and Snyder, 1998; Madden and Snyder, 1998). During growth in rich media, both haploid and diploid yeast cells are ellipsoid and select bud sites according to their mating locus (*MAT*) and pedigree (Freifelder, 1960; Snyder, 1989; Chant and Pringle, 1995). Haploid *MATa* and *MATa* cells use an axial budding pattern in which cells bud adjacent to the preceding site of cytokinesis (i.e., the proximal poles). Diploid *MATa/MATa* cells exhibit a more complex bipolar budding pattern: daughter cells bud at distal poles (180° from the birth site), whereas mother cells bud either distal or proximal to the birth site, with new mothers exhibiting a bias for budding at

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: michael.snyder@yale.edu.

the distal site (i.e., near the previous site of cytokinesis). The different budding patterns are thought to fulfill different purposes. The diploid bipolar pattern is thought to maximize exposure of a growing microcolony to nutrients (Gimeno and Fink, 1992; Gimeno *et al.*, 1992; Madden *et al.*, 1992); in contrast, the haploid pattern is thought to position cells in a microcolony derived from a homothallic cell that switches its mating type close to one another to facilitate mating and diploid formation (Nasmyth, 1982). It is thought that cortical tags mark future bud sites and serve as spatial cues for the initiation of new buds (Chant *et al.*, 1991; Snyder *et al.*, 1991; Flescher *et al.*, 1993; Chant and Pringle, 1995).

Three distinct classes of proteins important for bud site selection have been identified (Snyder, 1989; Chant and Herskowitz, 1991). The first class is specifically required for the axial pattern. Mutations in genes encoding any of these proteins result in bipolar budding in haploid cells, but they do not disrupt the diploid budding pattern. It is thought that these genes are important for tagging the axial pole and/or recognizing the axial tag (Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Flescher et al., 1993; Fugita et al., 1994; Chant et al., 1995; Halme et al., 1996; Roemer et al., 1996a; Sanders and Herskowitz, 1996). The second class is specifically required for the bipolar pattern. It is likely that these proteins are involved in marking the poles of diploid cells at sites for budding or helping direct those tags to their appropriate location (Snyder, 1989; Bauer et al., 1993; Zahner et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2000; Sheu et al., 2000). The third class is required for both the axial and bipolar patterns. These gene products are believed to recognize the axial or bipolar tags provided by the first two classes of gene products and convey this information to the machinery involved in establishing cell growth (Bender and Pringle, 1989; Chant and Herskowitz, 1991; Chant et al., 1991; Park et al., 1993). Although much is known about the molecular mechanisms that help mediate the axial pattern of budding, much less is known concerning the mechanisms of the bipolar pattern.

A number of components important for bipolar bud site selection have been identified and characterized (Herskowitz et al., 1995; Pringle et al., 1995; Drubin and Nelson, 1996; Costigan and Snyder, 1998;). Three genes, BUD8, BUD9, and STE20, when mutated, cause diploid cells to form buds at one pole (Zahner *et al.*, 1996; Sheu *et al.*, 2000). $bud8\Delta/bud8\Delta$ and $ste20\Delta/ste20\Delta$ cells bud at the proximal pole of the daughter cell, whereas $bud9\Delta/bud9\Delta$ cells bud at the distal pole. Bud8 and Bud9 have been proposed to act as bipolar landmarks or tags that recruit components involved in bud formation (Zahner et al., 1996). Consistent with this hypothesis, Bud8 localizes at the distal pole; two different localizations of Bud9 have been reported, one at the distal pole (where it would most likely be a repressor of bud formation) and the other at the proximal poles (where it might serve as a tag) (Taheri et al., 2000; Harkins et al., 2001). Ste20 is a PAK protein kinase homologue that has been shown to lie in the same genetic pathway as Bud8 (Sheu et al., 2000). How tags are produced, processed, and localized to the poles has not been investigated.

The actin cytoskeleton is essential for both polarized growth and bipolar bud site selection and is thought to mediate directional transport of secretory vesicles (Adams and Pringle, 1984; Kilmartin and Adams, 1984; Novick and Botstein, 1985; Mulholland *et al.*, 1994; Drubin and Nelson, 1996; Pruyne *et al.*, 1998). Both cortical actin patches and components of the secretory apparatus localize to sites of growth (Adams and Pringle, 1984; Kilmartin and Adams, 1984; Finger and Novick, 1998). Mutations in genes encoding actin, several actin-associated proteins, or proteins involved in actin organization cause defects in bipolar budding similar to those of *act1* cells (Drubin *et al.*, 1990; Adams *et al.*, 1991; Crouzet *et al.*, 1991; Vojtek *et al.*, 1991; Bauer *et al.*, 1993; Holtzman *et al.*, 1993; Sivadon *et al.*, 1995; Zahner *et al.*, 1996).

A variety of other nonessential polarity proteins are also required for bipolar bud site selection. Deletion of SPA2, PEA2, BUD6, or BNI1 cause a random budding defect. Spa2, Pea2, Bud6, and Bni1 form a complex, the 12S polarisome, that localizes to sites of polarized growth (Fujiwara et al., 1998; Sheu et al., 1998); these proteins are present as a patch at the incipient bud site, at the tip of the growing bud, and at the mother-bud neck region before cytokinesis (Snyder, 1989; Gehrung and Snyder, 1990; Snyder et al., 1991; Kohno et al., 1996; Valtz and Herskowitz, 1996; Zahner et al., 1996; Amberg et al., 1997; Evangelista et al., 1997). Spa2p, Pea2p, Bud6p, and Bni1p are each required for apical growth (Sheu et al., 2000), which is the initial phase of bud growth in which cells grow at the bud tip (Lew and Reed, 1993). It has been suggested that these proteins are required for the polarized deposition of the distal tag during this period (Sheu et al., 2000).

Understanding bipolar bud site selection and identifying the molecules that participate in this process will provide insight into the molecular mechanisms of how this process is carried out and coordinated with other cellular events. Recently, a set of diploid strains containing homozygous deletions of nonessential yeast open reading frames (ORFs) was generated; this set allows a genome-wide investigation into the bipolar bud site selection (Winzeler et al., 1999). Here we report a genome-wide systematic screening of 4168 homozygous diploid mutants (affecting 84% of the total nonessential annotated ORFs) for those defective in the bipolar budding pattern. These studies led to the identification of 127 genes involved in this process, of which only 16 have been reported to affect bipolar budding previously. Based on the classes of mutants identified and the subsequent analysis of these mutants, we have identified a variety of cellular processes involved in the bipolar pattern and present a global model of how this process occurs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast Strains and Media

All strains used in this study are congenic derivatives of FY2, which is itself a direct descendant of S288C (Brachmann *et al.*, 1998). Most of the strains contain precise deletions in which the entire ORF after the ATG is replaced with a kanMX4 marker. Two independent transformants were prepared and *MATa* and *MATa* segregants were recovered from each transformant and mated to form the homozygous diploid strains (Winzeler *et al.*, 1999). The different strains are available from Research Genetics (Huntsville, AL). Growth media and genetic manipulation have been described previously (Sherman *et al.*, 1986; Guthrie and Fink, 1991).

Budding Pattern Analysis

Mutant strains were grown individually in 0.8 ml of liquid YPAD in 96-well format boxes (Dot Scientific, Burton, MI) and incubated at

30°C with shaking. Each well of the box contained one 3.5-mm glass bead to facilitate mixing. Cells were first grown to stationary phase and then diluted into another 96-well box with glass beads; cells were grown for at least six generations until mid-log-phase. Midlog-phase cells were then fixed by the addition of formaldehyde to a final concentration of 3.7%. Cells were subsequently incubated for 1 h at 30°C, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline. Calcofluor White (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was added to a final concentration of 2 μ g/ml, bud scars and birth scars were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Birth scars are chitin-depleted structures that mark the region where mother cells separated from their daughter cells. A bud site is defined as proximal, distal, or medial depending on whether the bud or bud scar resides in the one-third portion of the cell closest to the birth scar, the one-third of the cell opposite the birth scar, or the middle-third of the cell, respectively (Flescher et al., 1993). Bud site selection mutants were identified and retested. All of the unipolar and axial-like mutants were tested for mating type and appropriate auxotropic markers and examined for cell size to ascertain whether they are diploid or haploid. Twenty-eight strains were found to be haploids. Only mutants that reproducibly exhibited a budding pattern defect and were not haploids were chosen for further analysis.

To quantify the fidelity of bipolar bud site selection, only cells that had experienced at least three budding events were examined. Cells with bud scars exclusively at one pole were classified as unipolar; cells with medial bud scars were scored as random budding. The percentage of random budding cells was then determined for each sample. For each sample, 100 cells were counted from at least four independent fields. For some mutant strains, bud site selection was scored for the first, second, and third bud divisions with the use of the criteria described (Flescher *et al.*, 1993; Zahner *et al.*, 1996). For these analyses a total of 200–600 cells from each sample were scored for each division type.

To determine whether the genes required for the bipolar pattern are also important for the haploid axial pattern, 104 MATa deletion strains were examined by Calcofluor staining. For the genes whose loss in diploids caused a unipolar pattern, both MATa and MATa strains were examined.

Examination of Cell Morphology

The cells used for morphological analysis were grown as described above. Cells were examined by phase-contrast and differential interference contrast microscopy, and mutants were classified as described in RESULTS.

Construction of GFP-tagged Yeast Strain

GFP-tagged fusion proteins were constructed in MATa and MATa haploid wild-type yeast strains with the use of the strategy described by Schneider et al. (1995). Primers were designed with the use of the N-terminal coding sequence of the gene of interest and used to amplify a region of the plasmid pMPY-GFP (a generous gift from Jun-Yi Leu). The resulting ~2.0-kb polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product contains the complete URA3 gene flanked by direct repeats encoding the GFP gene; the entire cassette is flanked by 45 bp of the gene sequence. These DNA fragments were transformed into haploid yeast, and transformants that had integrated the fragment correctly at the chromosomal locus were identified by PCR analysis. The integrants were allowed to grow overnight in YPAD and plated onto medium containing 5-fluorolorotic acid; resistant colonies that had lost the URA3 gene through homologous recombination between the two GFP-coding sequences were identified by PCR. This recombination event leaves a single in-frame GFP-coding sequence after the ATG codon. MATa and $MAT\alpha$ strains containing the in-frame GFP cassette were then mated, and homozygous tagged diploid strains were analyzed to determined whether the GFP allele was functional. Homozygous ORF-GFP/ORF-GFP diploid strains were examined for growth rate and bipolar budding pattern defects.

GFP-Fluorescence Microscopy

Yeast strains harboring the GFP-Bud8 plasmid or strains with GFPtagged Bud proteins were grown in synthetic complete medium lacking particular nutrients or synthetic complete medium to midlog-phase. Cells from 3-ml cultures were harvested and immediately viewed with the use of a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Deerfield, IL) equipped with a GFP filter set (41017, Endow GFP, Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT). For similar experiments, all images were processed identically with the use of Photoshop 5.0 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA).

RESULTS

Identification of Genes Important for Bipolar Budding in Yeast

To identify mutants that specifically affect the bipolar budding pattern, we examined 4168 homozygous diploid deletion strains disrupted in different nonessential ORFs by staining with Calcofluor White. Calcofluor binds the chitinrich bud scars that remain on the cell surface after cytokinesis and thus serve as markers of sites of previous cell divisions (Hayashibe and Katohda, 1973). Yeast cells were grown to mid-log phase, fixed, and stained with Calcofluor, and the budding pattern and cell morphology of each strain were examined. Wild-type diploid yeast cells undergo bipolar budding and bud scars are usually found at both poles of the cell (Figure 1). We identified 127 mutants that reproducibly displayed altered budding patterns; these mutants fell into three categories: unipolar, axial-like, and random (Table 1). In the unipolar mutants, bud scars lay at either the proximal or the distal pole of the cell. In the axial-like mutants, cells displayed chains of adjacent bud scars reminiscent of those observed for haploid cells; the bud scars in these classes usually initiated at the proximal pole but sometimes occurred in the equatorial region or at the distal pole. In the random mutants, bud scars appeared distributed over the entire surface of the cells. Of the 127 mutants identified, 105 are disrupted for genes that have been previously characterized; 22 affected uncharacterized ORFs. Twenty of the new genes were named BUD13-BUD32 (Tables 2-4).

Unipolar Mutants

Ten mutants were found that exhibited a unipolar budding pattern (Table 2). Seven of the mutants have a strong phenotype in which >70% of the cells exhibited a unipolar budding pattern when cells with more than three bud scars were scored. Three exhibit a partial phenotype in which 50-70% of the cells exhibit the defect (Table 2).

BUD8, **BUD9**, and **STE20**. Of the 10 mutants three, bud8 Δ /bud8 Δ , bud9 Δ /bud9 Δ , and ste20 Δ /ste20 Δ , were known previously to exhibit a unipolar budding pattern (Zahner *et al.*, 1996; Sheu *et al.*, 2000; see INTRODUCTION). bud8 Δ / bud8 Δ and ste20 Δ /ste20 Δ cells bud at the proximal pole, whereas bud9 Δ /bud9 Δ mutants bud at the distal pole.

IST3 and **BUD13/YGL174w**. *IST3* is a gene that has been described to have a role in mediating sodium tolerance;

Ni and Snyder



however, its molecular role is not known (Entian et al., 1999). YGL174w is an unknown gene that we named BUD13. These two proteins were recently reported to interact in vivo by the yeast two-hybrid assay (Uetz et al., 2000). Mutants lacking these genes display a unipolar budding pattern, with bud scars clustered adjacent to the birth scar at the proximal pole (Figure 1). In these mutants, individual bud sites can be observed adjacent to, overlapping, or within the birth scar and in the vicinity of other bud scars; however, these bud scars are not always immediately adjacent to the bud site of the previous cell cycle and are more loosely distributed in the polar region. This distribution is typical of the bipolar pattern at the proximal pole and is distinct from the axial budding pattern used by wild-type haploid cells. In addition, $ist3\Delta/ist3\Delta$ and $ygl174w\Delta/ygl174w\Delta$ exhibit elongated cell shapes; this phenotype is distinct from $bud8\Delta/bud8\Delta$ and $ste20\Delta/ste20\Delta$ mutants, which are round and exhibit an apical growth defect (Sheu et al., 2000).

ALG5, ALG6, ALG8, and ALG10. ALG5, ALG6, ALG8, and ALG10 are a group of genes whose products function early in the yeast dolichol pathway that synthesizes the dolichol-linked oligosaccharide precursor for N-linked protein glycosylation (Heesen et al., 1994; Stagljar et al., 1994; Reiss et al., 1996; Burda and Aebi, 1998). ALG5 encodes a dolichol-P-glucose synthetase, and ALG6, ALG8, and ALG10 are genes encoding glucosyltransferases that, respectively, transfer the first, second, and third α -1,3-linked glucose to Dol-PP-GlcNac2Man9 in the final modification of outer chain elongation of N-linked oligosaccharides. Mutations of these genes block the addition of glucose residues to the lipid-linked oligosaccharide, but the nonglucosylated oligosaccharides are still transferred to proteins (Huffaker and Robbins, 1983). Homozygous deletion strains lacking ALG5, ALG6, ALG8, or ALG10 have a round cell shape and a partial unipolar distal budding pattern (74, 85, 56, and 53%, respectively) (Table 2; Figure 1). These results indicate that Nlinked glycosylation is required for proper bud site selection.

LSM6. Lsm6 is a member of the Sm-like group of proteins and has been implicated in mRNA decay and the function of the U6 snRNP (small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles), which is involved in mRNA splicing (Tharun *et al.*, 2000). Deletion of *LSM6* causes most cells to undergo unipolar distal budding (69.5% distal budding; 23.4% bipolar budding; 7.1% random budding; Figure 1). Interestingly, deletion of *LSM1*, which only affects mRNA decay and not U6 function (Tharun *et al.*, 2000), causes a random budding phenotype (see below). Presumably, Lsm6 regulates the stability or splicing of an RNA transcript important for mediating the bipolar budding pattern, such as Bud8.

Axial-like Mutants

Five mutants were identified that exhibit an axial-like budding pattern (Table 3). This pattern differs from the unipolar pattern in that the cells had long chains of bud scars, very similar to those seen on axial budding cells (Chant and Pringle, 1995), indicating that new buds formed next to the previous site, rather than simply clustering at one end of the cell. However, in contrast to normal axially budding cells, these mutants produced chains of bud sites starting at either the distal pole or the proximal pole, as well as in the equatorial region, although there was a strong bias in most cases for one end of the chain to originate from the proximal pole. The bud scar chains can be very long and sometimes extend from one pole to another.

BUD7. *BUD7* was previously identified in a visual screen for mutants with a defect in the bipolar budding pattern; it was described as having a heterogeneous budding pattern phenotype (Zahner *et al.*, 1996). We found that $bud7\Delta/bud7\Delta$ cells exhibit an axial-like phenotype and that 75% of the deletion mutants use the proximal pole for the first two divisions (Figure 2A).

RAX2. Chen *et al.* (2000) recently reported that *RAX2* is required for the maintenance of the bipolar budding pattern,

Table 1. Budding pattern mutants detected during this screen				
Phenotype	No.	%		
Unipolar	10	0.24		
Axial-like	5	0.14		
Random				
Strong	74	1.78		
Mild	38	0.91		
Total mutants	127			
Total strains	4168	3.07		

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Table 3.}}$ Homozygous diploid deletion strains with axial-like budding pattern

Gene (ORF)	First bud proximal (%)	Morphological phenotype	MAT a budding pattern
BUD7	93	WT	WT
RAX2	87	WT	WT
RAX1	80	WT	WT
ISY1	81	WT	WT
YOR300w ^a	97	WT	WT

and their published data suggest a random budding pattern. We independently found that $rax2\Delta/rax2\Delta$ mutants are defective in bipolar budding, but the divisions are usually in an axial-like budding pattern (Figure 2A). Furthermore, we find that 78% of the first three budding events occurred at the proximal pole (Ni and Snyder, unpublished result). Further analysis of $rax2\Delta$ mutants is described below.

RAX1. Previous researchers have found that mutation of *RAX1* converts the bipolar budding pattern of *axl1* Δ haploids into an axial budding pattern (Fujita *et al.*, 1994), but the phenotype of *rax1* Δ /*rax1* Δ cells has not been described. We found that homozygous *rax1* Δ /*rax1* Δ cells bud in an axial-like pattern (Figure 2A); in their first two divisions they exhibit a strong bias to use the proximal pole.

ISY1 and YOR300*w*. Two other proteins were identified that exhibit an axial budding pattern (Figure 2A). Isy1p is a pre-mRNA–splicing factor, required for optimal splicing in vivo (Dix *et al.*, 1999). *YOR300w* is an unknown gene. Quantitative analysis of the first three budding events in these mutants reveals that each possesses a bias to use the proximal pole during the first several cell cycles. *yor300w*Δ/*yor300w*Δ cells exhibit the most severe defect of all of the axial-like mutants; the cells often contain bud scars that span from one pole to the other (Figure 2). The analysis of *YOR300w* is complicated by the fact that the ORF overlaps *BUD7* by 100 bp and lies immediately upstream of *RAX1* (within 100 bp). Thus, the deletion removes carboxy-termi-

nal coding sequence from *BUD7* and might affect the expression of *RAX1*, thereby affecting two genes involved in the bipolar pattern. This combined defect might account for a phenotype that is more severe than either the *bud7* Δ /*bud7* Δ or *rax1* Δ /*rax1* Δ single mutants.

Overexpression of Bud8p in each of the axial budding mutants, $rax1\Delta/rax1\Delta$, $rax2\Delta/rax2\Delta$, $bud7\Delta/bud7\Delta$, $isy1\Delta/isy1\Delta$, and $yor300w\Delta/yor300w\Delta$, induces many of the first buds to form distally, similarly to wild-type cells (Figure 2B). However, the degree of suppression varies with the individual mutants, and Bud8p overexpression usually causes subsequent budding events to occur more randomly, indicating that Bud8p cannot completely compensate for the bipolar budding defect of these mutant strains. Bud8p-GFP localization appears normal in these mutants.

Random Budding Mutants

Seventy-four mutants were found to display a strong phenotype in which >50% of the cells budded randomly. An additional 38 mutants were found to exhibit a mild defect in which <50% of the cells budded randomly or sometimes random plus unipolar. The genes mutated in these strains were grouped into 11 functional categories (Table 4).

Ribosomal Proteins. The first category is ribosomal proteins. The yeast genome is predicted to encode 32 different small subunit proteins and 46 large subunit proteins. Genes

Gene (ORF)	Budding pattern	Morphological phenotype ^a	Haploid budding pattern	Bud8p localization
BUD8	Proximal	Round 2	WT	WT
BUD9	Distal	WT	WT	WT
STE20	Proximal	WT	WT	WT
IST3	Proximal	Elongated 3	WT	Slightly reduced
BUD13/YGL174w	Proximal	Elongated 4	WT	Slightly reduced
ALG5	74% distal	Round 3	WT	More neck
ALG6	85% distal	Round 3	WT	More neck
ALG8	56% distal	Round 3	WT	More neck
ALG10	53% distal	Round 2	WT	More neck
LSM6	69.5% distal	WT	WT	Not tested



Figure 2. Budding pattern of axial-like diploid mutant strains. (A) Bud scar (Calcofluor) staining of wild-type (*WT*), *bud7* Δ / *bud7* Δ , *rax2* Δ /*rax2* Δ , *rax1* Δ /*rax1* Δ , *isy1* Δ / *isy1* Δ , and *yor300w* Δ /*yor300w* Δ cells. (B) Quantitative evaluation of first bud position in wild-type (WT) strains, axial-like mutants, and strains overexpressing Bud8. P,XXX; M, XXX; D, XXX. In all panels, >200 cells were counted.

for 59 of the ribosomal proteins are duplicated, resulting in 137 total ribosomal protein genes (Planta and Mager, 1998). We found that a number of the duplicated ribosomal protein genes are important for bud site selection (Table 4). *rps0b* Δ /*rps0b* Δ , *rps7a* Δ /*rps7a* Δ , *rps27b* Δ /*rps27b* Δ , *rp17a* Δ /*rp17a* Δ , and *rp122a* Δ /*rp122a* Δ null mutants displayed a random budding pattern and reduced growth rate (Figure 3A). *rp12b* Δ /*rps1b* Δ , *rps28b* Δ /*rps28b* Δ , *rps29a* Δ /*rps29a* Δ , *rps30a* Δ /*rps30a* Δ , *rps1b* Δ /*rps1b* Δ , *and rps18b* Δ /*rps18b* Δ strains exhibit a random budding pattern but grow similarly to wild-type cells; the percentage of cells exhibiting a random budding defect is reduced growth rate and also exhibits a weaker random

budding defect similar to the latter group of mutants. Interestingly, strains containing deletion mutations in the homologues of the affected genes ($rps0a\Delta/rps0a\Delta$, $rps7b\Delta/rps7b\Delta$, $rps27a\Delta/rps27a\Delta$, $rpl17b\Delta/rpl17b\Delta$, $rpl22b\Delta/rpl22b\Delta$, $rpl12a\Delta/$ $rpl12a\Delta$, $rps30b\Delta/rps30b\Delta$, $rps1a\Delta/rps1a\Delta$, $rps28a\Delta/rps28a\Delta$) have no obvious phenotype (i.e., budding pattern or growth rate) even though the affected proteins are often >90% identical. Thus, the duplicated copies of the ribosomal proteins are not equivalent; the copy affected in the first group is more important for the bud site selection than the copy mutated the latter group. These results suggest that inefficient translation of particular genes involved in bipolar budding affects bud site selection. Alternatively, because many of the strains have a reduced growth rate, perhaps impaired growth of these particular strains results in a loss of bipolar budding.

Vesicular Transport Proteins. Mutants for 15 proteins involved in vesicular transport exhibited a random budding pattern (Table 4). Four proteins have been implicated in exocytosis. Ypt31 is a small GTPase that belongs to the Ypt/rab family that is involved in trans-Golgi vesicle formation and localizes to sites of polarized cell growth (Jedd et al., 1997; Gerst, 1999). Bst1 was identified as a suppressor of the COPII gene SEC13, which is involved in transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi (Elrod-Erickson and Kaiser, 1996). Sec22 and Snc2 are v-SNARE homologues involved in different steps of the secretory pathway; Sec22 is important for transport to the Golgi from the endoplasmic reticulum and Snc2 is important for late secretory steps (Woodman et al., 1996; Spang and Schekman, 1998; Gerst, 1999). Loss of SEC22 and SNC2 in homozygous diploid deletion strains result in modest bud site selection defects. Yeast haploid strains deleted for any of these four genes are not affected in the axial pattern. These results indicate that Ypt31p and Bst1p are important for the transport of membrane-associated bipolar budding components. Possible candidates are Bud8, Bud9, and/or Rax2, which are predicted transmembrane proteins.

The End3 protein, which is required for endocytosis and actin organization, has been described previously to be involved in bipolar budding (Benedetti *et al.*, 1994). We also found that *end3* Δ /*end3* Δ mutants exhibit a random budding pattern. In addition, we found that Clc1 (Chu *et al.*, 1996), which is the clathrin light chain, is also important for bipolar budding: *clc1* Δ /*clc1* Δ mutants exhibit a random pattern (Figure 3B). These results indicate that endocytosis may play an important role in bud site selection. As described below, we speculate that endocytosis is important for maintaining the localization of bud site selection tags at the bud tip.

Finally, we found that mutations in a variety of other proteins involved in vacuolar targeting or function are also defective in bud site selection. These include the proteins Vps34, Vac7, Vam3, Vam8, Vma5, Luv1, Cos16, Vps45, and Cup5. Vam3 and Vam8 are t-SNAREs (Preston et al., 1991; Rieder and Emr, 1997; Ungermann et al., 1999); Vma5 and Cup5 are vacuolar ATPases (Nelson et al., 1989; Bauerle et al., 1993). Cos16 is a vacuolar associated protein that affects ion homeostasis (Paidhungat and Garrett, 1998). Vps45 is a protein of the Sec1p family essential for vacuolar protein sorting (Bryant et al., 1998), and Vps34 is a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase required for vacuolar protein sorting (De Camilli et al., 1996). These proteins might affect late trans-Golgi network sorting and thereby affect bud site tag targeting. Consistent with this possibility, many of these mutants have been described previously to be defective in endocytosis and/or exocytosis (Gammie et al., 1995; Rieder and Emr, 1997; Bryant et al., 1998; Conboy and Cyert, 2000).

Actin Cytoskeleton. The actin cytoskeleton is essential for both polarized growth and bud site selection (Drubin, 1990; Adams and Pringle, 1991; Adams *et al.*, 1991; Crouzet *et al.*, 1991; Vojtek *et al.*, 1991; Bauer *et al.*, 1993; Holtzman *et al.*, 1993; Zahner *et al.*, 1996). We identified three mutants with defects in the actin cytoskleton, *rvs167*Δ/*rvs167*Δ, *sla1*Δ/ *sla1* Δ , and *rvs161* Δ */rvs161* Δ , that have defects in bipolar budding similar to several *act1* mutants; these had been known previously to be important for bud site selection. In addition to the cytoskeletal components that were previously shown to be important for bud site selection, we found that yeast strains mutant for two additional proteins involved in actin organization, Cap1 and Yke2, are defective in bud site selection in diploid cells (Figure 3C). Cap1 is the α subunit of actin-capping protein. It colocalizes with cortical actin patches at the site of bud emergence and at the tips of growing buds and shmoos; it does not colocalize with actin cables or with actin rings at the site of cytokinesis (Amatruda and Cooper, 1992). Careful analysis of budding patterns revealed that diploid $cap1\Delta/cap1\Delta$ mutants bud randomly both in the first division and in subsequent divisions (first bud: distal 29.7%; media 32.4%; proximal 29.7%; n = 200), similar to yeast *bni1* mutants. Thus, in addition to its role in bipolar budding of mother cells, Cap1 might play an important role in the establishment of the distal bud tag in the daughter cell. Yke2 encodes prefoldin subunit 6, a component of the Gim protein complex that promotes formation of functional α -tubulin, γ -tubulin, and actin (Geissler *et al.*, 1998; Siegers *et al.*, 1999); mutation of YKE2 causes random budding in diploids.

We also found that $mdm20\Delta/dec1\Delta$ mutants exhibit a random budding defect. $mdm20\Delta$ mutants lack actin cables (Hermann *et al.*, 1997). They also are defective in mitochondrial movement and exhibit a reduced transfer of mitochondria into buds, which are actin-dependent processes (Boldogh *et al.*, 1998). Thus, the random budding defect of $mdm20\Delta/mdm20\Delta$ cells is likely due to a defect in actin organization.

The random budding defect of the different mutants that affect bud site selection and actin organization indicates that the actin cytoskeleton plays an important role in this process. The actin cytoskeleton at the incipient bud site and at the neck might help to localize cortical bud site selection tags to proximal and distal sites and/or maintain them properly at those sites; alternatively, actin filaments might be important for transport of bud site selection or polarity establishment components to these tags.

Bud Site Selection and Cell Polarity Proteins. Seven deletion mutants affect bud site selection and cell polarity components (Table 4; Figure 3D). Five strains lack genes known to regulate bipolar budding pattern: RSR1, BUD2, SPA2, BUD6, BNI1; mutation of these genes cause random budding either in all cell divisions or after the first division (Snyder, 1989; Ruggieri et al., 1992; Park et al., 1993; Kohno et al., 1996; Zahner et al., 1996). ROM2 and BEM4 had not been reported previously to be involved in bipolar budding. Rom2 is a GDP-GTP exchange factor for Rho1p that is activated by cell wall defects; null mutations of rom2 impair the redistribution of actin patches in response to cell wall stress (Ozaki et al., 1996; Manning et al., 1997). Similarly, Bem4 interacts with Rho-type GTPases that regulate actin cytoskeletal reorganization (Mack et al., 1996; Hirano et al., 1997). Thus, these results indicate that Rho protein regulators and interacting proteins are important for bud site selection in yeast.

Category	Gene (ORF)	Budding pattern ^a	Morphological phenotype	Mat a budding	Bud8p localization
	(010)	Puttern	prierotype	puttern	TOCULEUTON
Strong phenotype	RPS27B	>50% R	Round 2	WT	
buoing pricitotype	RPL14A	>50% R	WT	WT	
	RPS0B	>50% R	Round 2	WT	
	RPL22A	>50% R	Round 3, clumpy 2	WT	
	RPS17A	>50% R	Small 2	WT	
	RPS7A	>50% R	Round 2+	WT	
	RPL7A	>50% R	WT	WT	
TA7 1 1 .	RPL39	>50% R	Round 2, small 2	NT	
weak phenotype	RPLIZB RDI 27 A	< 30% K < 40% R	Small 2 Round 2	VV I W/T	
	RPS28B	<50% R	Round 2	WT	
	RPS30A	<30% R	WT	WT	
	RPS29A	<30% R	WT	WT	
	RPS1B	<50% R	WT	WT	
	RPS18B	<30% R	Small 3	WT	
Vesicular transport proteins	D 0774	B 00/ B		X 4 700	
Strong phenotype	BST1	>50% R	Round 4	WT	WT
	CLC1	>50% R	Round 3, clumpy 3	WT	Weak or ND
	YPI3I VMA5	>50% >50% P	Round 3	VV I M/T	More patch
	VAMS	>50% R	Large 2	WT	Diffuse at hud and more at neck
	VPS34	>50% R	Round 3. large 3	WT	WT
	VAM3	>50% R	Round 3	WT	Most or all of the bud periphery
	VAC7	>50% R	Large 2	NT	WT
	END3	>50% R	Small 3, round 2	NT	More concentrated at the tip
	COS16	>50% R	Rounds 2+	NT	NT
Weak phenotype	LUV1	<50% R	Round 2	WT	
	CUP5	<50% R	Round 3	WT	
	VPS45	<20% K, <20% U	Elongate 3, branch		
	SEC22 SNC2	15% K 50% R	Large 2 Round 3	WT	
Actin-Cytoskeleton	51102	5070 K	Koulia 5	VV 1	
Strong phenotype	SLA1	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
strong pricincity pe	RVS167	>50% R	Round 2, clumpy 3	WT	
	CAP1	>50% R	Large 3, round 3	WT	Diffuse
	YKE2	>50% R	Round 2	WT	
	MDM20	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
	<i>RVS161</i>	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
Bud site selection and cell					
polarity proteins		> E00/ D	C 11.0 1.0	D 1	
Strong phenotype	RSKI	>50% K >50% P	Small 2, round 2	Random	
	SPA2	>50% R	Round 3	W/T	Diffuse
	BUD6	>50% R	Round 3	WT	Diffuse
	ROM2	>50% R	Large 3, round 3	WT	Diffute
	BEM4	>50% R	Round 3	R; U; B	WT
	BNI1	>50% R	Round 3	WT	ND
Cell wall proteins					
Strong phenotype	CWH8	>50% R	Round 3, clumpy 2	Random	ND
	FKSI	>50% R	Small/round 3–	WT	
Week phonetype	GASI SLC1	~30% R	Florgate 2	WT	17 % of the cens
weak phenotype	KRF6	<50% R	Round 2 clumpy 2	WT	
	CCW12	40% R	Round 2, crunipy 2	NT	
Lipid metabolism	00//12	10,011			
Strong phenotype	GUP1	>50% R	Round 2+, small 2+	WT	
	SUR4	>50% R	Round 2	B; U; R	
Weak phenotype	FEN1	23% U, 7% R	WT	NT	
	ERG4	30% R, 20% U	Round 3	WT	
	EKG3	40% U	Kound 2	WT	
r rotein modification	D1 (772	> E00/D	Small 2 round 2	1A 7 T	
Strong phenotype	$D \Lambda \Lambda^{-1}$	22012/- 18			
Strong phenotype	PM12 MAP1	>50% R	Round 3	WT	

(Continued)

Table 4. Continued

Category	Gene (ORF)	Budding pattern ^a	Morphological phenotype	Mat a budding pattern	Bud8p localization
	I A \$21	>50% R	Round 4	WT	
	NAT3	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
	RAD6	>50% R	Large 3 or large/round 3	WT	
Weak phenotype	MNIN2	30% R	Small 3 round 3	NT	
Transcriptional proteins	10110102	5070 K	Sinan 5, Tourie 5	111	
Strong phenotype	CCR4	>50% R	Round 3	WT	Weak or ND
Strong phenotype	NOT5	>50% R	Round 2 clumpy 2	WT	Weak or ND
	POP2	>50% R	Round 3	NT	Weak or ND
	RIR1	>50% R	Flongate 2	NT	Weak of IND
	CTK1	>50% R	Round 3	NT	
	CINI CONIG	>50% R	Round 2 dumpy 2	NT	Weak or ND
	551N0 TLID1	>50% R	Round 2, clumpy 3		Weak or ND
	IUFI UCP1	>50% R	Small 2	VV I NT	Weak of IND
Week phonetype	CINIA	>30 % K	C_{1}		
weak phenotype	511N4 CTV2	30% U, 30% D	WT		
	CINS	20% K, IEW U		VV I IA/T	
	GCK3	43% U 200/ U (D	VV I	VV I NIT	
Nu al anna tairea	KPB4	50% U, IEW K	Elongate 2	IN I	
Strong phonotype	CDO7	> E00/ D	Pound 2 James 2	1 47 T	
Strong phenotype	SPU/	>50% R	Nound 5, large 2		
	NPL3 NCD1	> 50% R		VV I	
	NSKI CED1	>50% K	Football 2	VV I	
	SFP1	>50% K	Small 2	VV I	
	NEMI	>50% R	Round 2	VV I	
	HMOI	>50% R		NI	
	KAII	>50% K	Kound 2	IN I	
	LSMI	>50% R	Small 3, round 3	VV I	
X47 1 1 .	LOCI	>50% R	Round 3	VV I	
Weak phenotype	TOP3	40% K	Large 2, elongate 2	VV I	
	TRF4	20% U or R	Large 3	WT	
	2001	<50% U	Round 2	NI	
Other proteins		5 E00/ D	D 10	T 4 700	
Strong phenotype	HEM14	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
	ATP14	>50% R	Small 2	WT	
	PRS3	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
	RIB4	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
Weak phenotype	YPS/	30% R	Small 3/round 3	WT	
	PRO1	50% R	Large 3, football 3	WT	
	ILM1	50% R	Round 3	NT	
Unknown protein					
Strong phenotype	BUD14/YAR014c	>50% R	Elongate 3	WT	
	BUD15/YBL047c	>50% R	WT	WT	
	BUD16/YEL029c	>50% R	Large 2, round 3	WT	
	BUD17/YNR027w	>50% R	Round 3	NT	
	BUD18/YER044c	>50% R	Small/round 3, clumpy 2	WT	
	YGR151c ^a	>50% R	Round 3	R	
	BUD19/YJL188c	>50% R	Round 3	WT	
	BUD20/YLR074c	>50% R	Small/round 3	WT	
	BUD21/YOR078w	>50% R	WT	WT	
	BUD22/YMR014w	>50% R	Small 2	NT	
	BUD23/YCR047c	>50% R	NT	NT	
Weak phenotype	BUD24/YDR320c	50% R	Small/round 2+	WT	
	BUD25/YER014c-a	<50% R	WT	WT	
	BUD26/YDR241w	40% R	Small 2	WT	
	BUD27/YFL023w	40% R	Football 3	WT	
	BUD28/YLR062c	<50% R, 15% U	Round 3	WT	
	BUD29/YOL072w	<40% R	Round 2	WT	
	BUD30/YDL151c	45% R, 10% U	Round 2	NT	
	BUD31/YCR063w	50% R, few U	Large 3, round 2	NT	
	BUD32/YGR262c	50% R	Large 2	NT	

WT, wild-type; ND, not detected; NT, not tested; R, random; U, unipolar; B, bipolar. ^a Overlaps with BUD1.



Figure 3. Micrographs of random budding mutants stained with Calcofluor. A–J are examples of 10 different functional classes. (A) Ribosomal protein mutants. (B) Vesicular transport mutants. (C) Actin-cytoskeleton mutants. (D) Bud site selection and cell polarity mutants. (E) Cell wall mutants. (F) Lipid metabolism mutants. (G) Protein modification mutants. (H) Transcription factor mutants. (J) Nuclear proteins mutants. (J) Uncharacterized proteins mutants.

Cell Wall Proteins. Six cell wall proteins were found to affect bipolar budding (Table 4). Three, $fks1\Delta/fks1\Delta$, $gas1\Delta/gas1\Delta$, and $cwh8\Delta/cwh8\Delta$, have a strong defect in which >50% of the cells bud randomly (Figure 3E). Fks1 is a component of β -1,3-glucan synthase; β -1,3-glucan is a major constituent of the yeast cell wall (Douglas *et al.*, 1994). Gas1 is a putative glycosidase important for cell wall maintenance; it is thought to direct β -1,6-glucans to cross-link to β -1,3-glucan rather than to chitin (Vai *et al.*, 1991). Cwh8 is a protein involved in the generation of the mannoprotein layer of the cell wall. Secreted proteins of *cwh8* mutants have abnormally low levels of *N*-glycosylation (van Berkel *et al.*,

1999). Interestingly, we found that $fks1\Delta$ and $gas1\Delta$ haploid cells do not have a defect in axial budding, whereas the *cwh8*\Delta haploid strain exhibits a random budding defect.

We also found that mutations in three other components affecting cell wall integrity, *KRE6* (a glucan synthase subunit; Roemer and Bussey, 1991), *SLG1*, and *CCW12* (which are important for cell wall integrity) (Verna *et al.*, 1997; Mrsa *et al.*, 1999) exhibit a mild budding pattern defect in which <50% of the cells bud randomly. These results suggest that a proper cell wall is important for bud site selection in diploid cells. The cell wall might help anchor the tags and/or, in the case of Cwh8, might help produce a properly glycosylated tag.

Lipid Metabolism. We also identified a number of genes involved in lipid biosynthesis or metabolism, including *SUR4*, *FEN1*, *ERG3*, *ERG4*, and *GUP1* (Figure 3F). Sur4 and Fen1 are implicated in fatty acid synthesis and post-Golgi transport; mutations in these genes allow for the bypass of V-SNARE requirement in exocytosis (Oh *et al.*, 1997; David *et al.*, 1998). Erg3 and Erg4 are involved in ergosterol biosynthesis (Daum *et al.*, 1998). Gup1 is a diacylglycerol *O*-acyltransferase-related protein; *gup1* Δ mutants have reduced lipid synthesis and increased glycerol synthesis (Oelkers *et al.*, 2000). Mutants lacking these genes may have altered lipid compositions that might affect the secretion or function of bipolar markers and/or cell wall components important for the bipolar pattern.

Protein Modification. We identified seven bud site selection genes whose proteins participate in protein modification (Table 4; Figure 3G). Three are involved in glycosylation. Mnn2 and Pmt2p are mannosyltransferases involved in *N*-linked and *O*-linked glycosylation, respectively (Lussier *et al.*, 1995; Rayner and Munro, 1998). Ost3p is an oligosaccharyltransferase γ subunit, which is part of a complex that transfers core oligosaccharide from dolichol carrier to Asn-X-Ser/Thr motif, and helps position the OTase (oligosaccharyltransferase) complex for efficient *N*-glycosylation of secretory proteins (Knauer and Lehle, 1999). These results indicate that *N*-linked and *O*-linked glycosylation play a role in bipolar budding. It is likely that these proteins affect the modification of tags or cell wall components that anchor the tags.

Three other genes important for the bipolar pattern are involved in protein modification. Las21 is a protein required for addition of a side chain to the glycosylphospatidylinositol core structure (Hong *et al.*, 1999). Map1p is a methionine aminopeptidase that cleaves the N-terminal methionine if the second residue has a small side chain (Gly, Pro, Ser, Thr, Val; Walker and Bradshaw, 1999). Nat3p is a protein *N*acetyltransferase (Polevoda *et al.*, 1999). Rad6 is a ubiquitinconjugating enzyme involved in protein degradation (Jentsch *et al.*, 1987). These proteins presumably modify proteins (or for Rad6 affect the turnover of proteins) involved in the bipolar pattern.

Transcription Factors and Chromosomal Proteins. In this screen we identified 12 mutants lacking transcriptional factors that displayed a random budding pattern phenotype (Table 4; Figure 3H). Eight, $ccr4\Delta/ccr4\Delta$, $not5\Delta/not5\Delta$, $pop2\Delta/$ $pop2\Delta$, $rlr1\Delta/rlr1\Delta$, $ctk1\Delta/ctk1\Delta$, $ssn6\Delta/ssn6\Delta$, $tup1\Delta/tup1\Delta$, and $hcr1\Delta/hcr1\Delta$, exhibit a strong defect, and four others, $sin4\Delta/sin4\Delta$, $ctk3\Delta/ctk3\Delta$, $gcr3\Delta/gcr3\Delta$, and $rpb4\Delta/rpb4\Delta$, displayed a weak defect. Ccr4, Not5, and Pop2 are components of the CCR4 transcriptional complex, which has positive and negative effects on transcription (Draper et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1998; Oberholzer and Collart, 1998). The CCR4 complex regulates transcription during late mitosis. It may function downstream of the Pkc1p-Mpk1p cascade to regulate the expression of a subset of yeast genes (Chang et al., 1999). Ssn6 and Tup1 form a complex and are general repressors of RNA polymerase II transcription (Williams et al., 1991). The Ssn6-Tup1 repressor has several distinguishing features, including its exceedingly efficient repression (>1000-fold for some target genes), the large number of genes repressed (as

many as 3% of the *S. cerevisiae* genes), and its versatility with respect to the nature and number of activators it can prevail against (reviewed by Smith and Johnson, 2000). Because Tup1p-Ssn6 is required for the repression of many genes (including haploid-specific genes; Fujita et al., 1992; Keleher et al., 1992), deletion of Tup1-Ssn6 may induce expression of genes that affect the budding pattern. These results suggest that these transcription factors and chromosomal proteins regulate the expression of genes involved in the bipolar pattern. Ctk1 and Ctk3 are subunits of a protein kinase that phosphorylates the C-terminal domain of the large subunit of RNA polymerase II (Lee and Greenleaf, 1997). Mutation of CTK1 causes defects in both transcriptional repression and activation (Kuchin and Carlson, 1998). This kinase, which affects lexA-Tup1, might exert its activity by regulating gene expression (perhaps through Tup1) or by directly acting on components important for bud site selection. Altogether, our results indicate that gene regulation plays a very important role in bipolar bud site selection.

Nuclear Proteins. A variety of other genes involved in nuclear function or whose encoded proteins localize to the nucleus have been identified (Table 4; Figure 3I). These include SPO7, NPL3, NSR1, SFP1, NEM1, HMO1, RAI1, LSM1, TOP3, ZUO1, and TRF4. These gene products are involved in nuclear transport (Npl3, Sfp1; Blumberg and Silver, 1991; Bossie et al., 1992), have RNA-binding motifs, are involved in RNA processing/modification (Rai1, Npl3, Lsm1, Nsr1, Zuo1, and Loc1; Kondo and Inouye, 1992; Kadowaki et al., 1994; Yan et al., 1998; Costanzo et al., 2000; Tharun et al., 2000; Xue et al., 2000), are required for nuclear morphology and/or meiosis (Spo7, Nem1; Siniossoglou et al., 1998), or are DNA-binding proteins and topoisomerase (or related) homologues (Top3, Trf4, Hom1; Kim and Wang, 1992; Castano et al., 1996; Lu et al., 1996). These gene products may be involved in the expression, processing, or transport of RNA transcripts for genes involved in bipolar budding. In addition, because some of these affect processing of rRNA (Npl3, Nsr1, Rai1; Kondo and Inouye, 1992; Russell and Tollervey, 1992; Xue et al., 2000), they might function in bud site selection through ribosome biogenesis or translation.

Other Genes and Uncharacterized Genes. Other genes important for bipolar budding were also identified (Table 4; Figure 3J). These include seven known genes and 20 genes that have not been characterized previously. Deletion of 11 of the uncharacterized genes cause a strong random budding pattern, whereas the rest cause a weaker budding defect. We have named these genes *BUD13* through *BUD32*. Further characterization of some of these genes is described below.

Many Bud Site Selection Mutants Exhibit a Defect in Cell Morphology

In addition to screening for bud site selection defects, we also examined the diploid collection for morphological defects. Wild-type diploid cells are normally ellipsoid. Previous work has demonstrated that mutants defective in apical growth, which is critical for an elongated cell shape, exhibit random budding, presumably through defective localization of tags at the poles of the cell (Sheu *et al.*, 2000). By visually screening 3394 homozygous diploid deletion strains with the use of differential contrast interference microscopy of



Figure 4. Budding patterns of haploid deletion mutants. Left, bud scar staining is presented for each sample. Right, cells with more than three bud scars were scored and classified as unipolar (U) if all bud scars were concentrated at one pole, bipolar (B) if one or more scars were at each pole, and random (R) if one of more scars were in the midsection of the cell (Flescher *et al.*, 1993). In all panels, >200 cells were counted.

fixed cells, we identified 459 strains exhibiting slight to strong morphological alterations. Details of the results will be published elsewhere. Some of these shapes are described in Tables 2–4. Of particular interest, >40% of unipolar *ist*3 Δ /*ist*3 Δ and *yg*174*w* Δ /*yg*174*w* Δ mutants exhibited enhanced growth at the end of the cell where budding occurred. These results suggest that both growth sites and division sites reside at one end of the cell in these strains.

We also noticed that 70% of our random budding pattern mutants display a round phenotype suggestive of an apical growth defect. This observation supports the hypothesis that apical growth is important for bipolar bud site selection, as suggested previously (Sheu *et al.*, 2000).

Several Genes Affect the Budding Pattern of Haploids

We expected that mutations affecting the diploid budding pattern would be of two types. The first type would be defective in both haploid and diploid budding patterns, such as *rsr1* or *bud2*; these mutants are thought to be defective in the general bud site selection machinery (Chant *et al.*, 1991; Ruggieri *et al.*, 1992; Park *et al.*, 1993). The second would be defective in functions specifically required for the bipolar budding pattern. To determine whether any of the mutations that affected the bipolar pattern also affected the axial pattern, we analyzed the budding patterns of 103 haploid *MATa* deletion strains with the use of Calcofluor staining.

Of the 103 mutants, 98 appeared to display normal or near normal axial budding, suggesting that these defects were indeed specific to the bipolar budding pattern. These include genes involved actin cytoskeleton organization, vesicular trafficking, ribosomal synthesis, transcriptional factors, and most cell polarity components (Tables 2–4). However, six haploid deletion mutants bud randomly; these are *rsr*1 Δ , *bud*2 Δ , *bem*4 Δ , *cwh*8 Δ , *sur*4 Δ , and *ygr*151c Δ (Figure 4). Rsr1 and Bud2 were previously known to be important for the budding patterns of both haploid and diploid strains (Ruggieri *et al.*, 1992; Park *et al.*, 1993, 1999). ORF *YGR151c* partially overlaps Rsr1, and thus deletion of this ORF might affect Rsr1 function, thereby accounting for the random budding phenotype. *cwh8* Δ , *sur4* Δ , and *bem4* Δ have not been described previously to have a bud site selection defect in haploid cells. The Sur4, Cwh8, and Bem4 proteins might therefore function with either Rsr1, Bud2, or Bud5 to help select bud sites or with the polarity establishment proteins that are thought to be targeted to bud sites by the Rsr1 machinery.

Bud8-GFP Subcellular Localization in Diploid Wild-Type and Budding Mutant Strains

To further understand how the different bipolar bud site selection mutants function, we analyzed the localization of Bud8 in wild-type and many of the mutant strains. Bud8 has been proposed to act as a distal tag for bipolar bud site selection; it localizes to the bud tip (Taheri *et al.*, 2000; Harkins, *et al.*, 2001). As noted above, $bud8\Delta/bud8\Delta$ mutants are unable to bud at the distal pole and instead produce a unipolar proximal budding pattern in diploids (Zahner *et al.*, 1996).

A high copy plasmid construct of the GFP:Bud8 gene (Harkins, *et al.*, 2001) was transformed in a *bud8* Δ /*bud8* Δ deletion strain and found to complement the *bud8* Δ /*bud8* Δ mutations (Ni and Snyder, unpublished results). When transformed into a wild-type diploid strain, the cells budded in a bipolar pattern; however, there is an increase in use of distal bud sites. This bias is especially apparent in the later budding events. For example, we found that in vegetatively growing wild-type strains containing Bud8-GFP, 95% of the second buds, and 91% of third buds, formed at distal poles, compared with only 68% of the second buds and 40% of the third buds for wild-type cells with vector alone. These results indicate that Bud8 activity is increased when overex-pressed.

We next examined the localization of Bud8-GFP in the diploid wild-type strain. The Bud8-GFP was observed in \sim 30% of the cells. As shown in Figure 5A, Bud8-GFP localized to the presumptive bud sites in unbudded cells, the distal tips of growing buds, and the proximal pole of some daughter cells, in agreement with previous results (Harkins, *et al.*, 2001). In addition Bud8-GFP is also localized to the mother-daughter neck in some cells and was biased toward the mother side of the neck. Double staining with Calcofluor White revealed that neck staining was observed in only the daughter cells undergoing their first division (Figure 5A). As described in DISCUSSION, we propose that Bud8 at the distal tip helps serve as a tag for the distal site, and Bud8 at the neck in new mothers might also help select these sites.

Bud8-GFP Localization in alg Mutants. We next examined the Bud8-GFP localization in the *alg* mutants, which have reduced outer chain carbohydrate modification. In $alg5\Delta/alg5\Delta$, $alg6\Delta/alg6\Delta$, $alg8\Delta/alg8\Delta$, and $alg10\Delta/alg10\Delta$ mutants, Bud8p-GFP localized to the bud tip and the mother-daughter neck in many of the cells. However, detailed quantitative analysis of the localization of the mother-daughter neck showed an important feature: more cells contained Bud8-GFP localization at the neck in *alg* mutants than in wild-type cells. In cells that showed any detectable

GFP signal, 48.6% of wild type have Bud8-GFP staining at the neck (n = 200); in contrast, for $alg5\Delta/alg5\Delta$, $alg6\Delta/alg6\Delta$, $alg8\Delta/alg8\Delta$, and $alg10\Delta/alg10\Delta$ the percentage of cells with staining at the neck increases to 68.9, 65.8, 59.5, and 53.2% (n = 200), respectively. Thus, Bud8 outer chain modification might affect Bud8 targeting or degradation. For the latter possibility, in the absence of the outer chain modification Bud8 might be more stable and persist at the distal site longer. Alternatively, modification of other cell wall components might affect distal tag stability. Regardless, the increased presence of Bud8-GFP at the distal site (neck and bud tip) helps account for the partial unipolar budding phenotype of these cells; the cells might use the persistent Bud8 tag in multiple cell divisions.

Bud8-GFP Localization in Vesicular Transport Mutants. Nine random budding mutants in the vesicular transport category were examined for Bud8-GFP localization. $bst1\Delta/bst1\Delta$, $vma5\Delta/vma5\Delta$, $vps34\Delta/vps34\Delta$, $vac7\Delta/$ *vac7* Δ , and *end3* Δ */end3* Δ each localize Bud8-GFP at polarized sites (bud tip and neck) similar to wild-type cells. Four mutants were found to have abnormal Bud8-GFP localization patterns. Clc1, the clathrin light chain, plays a role in formation of clathrin coats and clathrin-coated vesicles (Chu et al., 1996). Unlike a wild-type strain, in which >30% of the cells exhibit a polarized localization of Bud8-GFP, in $clc1\Delta/$ *clc1* Δ mutants >90% of the mutant cells lacked detectable Bud8-GFP localization; most of the remainder have a very weak Bud8-GFP signal, often visible as a tiny spot at the bud tip (Figure 5B). This result indicates that Bud8 undergoes intracellular trafficking through a clathrin-coated vesicle pathway or that disruption of that pathway indirectly alters Bud8 localization. In another mutant, $ypt31\Delta/ypt31\Delta$, which lacks a small GTPase involved in trans-Golgi vesicle formation, Bud8-GFP localized in a broader patch at the tip or/ and neck (Figure 5B). Two other mutants that exhibit a defect in Bud8-GFP localization are in $vam3\Delta/vam3\Delta$ and $vam8\Delta/vam8\Delta$; Vam3 and Vam8 encode syntaxin homologues (t-SNARE) thought to be important for vesicle docking and fusion reactions with the vacuole (Preston et al., 1991; Rieder and Emr, 1997; Ungermann et al., 1999). Bud8-GFP is not concentrated at the bud tip in $vam3\Delta/vam3\Delta$ and $vam8\Delta/vam8\Delta$ mutants. Instead, in $vam3\Delta/vam3\Delta$ mutants it localizes throughout most or all of the bud periphery (Figure 5B). In *vam8* Δ /*vam8* Δ mutants, Bud8p is more diffuse at the tip and tends to form double rings at the neck (Figure 5B). These results indicate that Ypt 31, Vam3, and Vam8 may be involved in the targeting of Bud8p to the bud tip.

Bud8-GFP Localization in Cell Wall Mutants. Bud8-GFP localization was also examined in three mutants that affect cell wall function. In $fks1\Delta/fks1\Delta$, Bud8-GFP localization appears normal. However, in $cwh8\Delta/cwh8\Delta$, Bud8-GFP cannot be detected, and in $gas1\Delta/gas1\Delta$, Bud8 localizes to polarized sites in only 17% of the cells and was not detectable in the remainder (n = 200; Figure 5C). These results indicate that Fks1 is not required for Bud8-GFP localization, whereas Cwh8 and Gas1 are required. Thus, specific components of the cell wall are important for Bud8 localization and/or Cwh8 is important for Bud8 modification.

Ni and Snyder



Figure 5. Localization of Bud8-GFP in diploid wild-type (*WT*) and mutant strains. Cells of the indicated strains carrying the plasmid Bud8-GFP were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. (A) Wild-type strains were viewed for both GFP and Calcofluor fluorescence (same cells). (B) Bud8-GFP in vesicular transport mutants. (C) Bud8-GFP in the $cwh8\Delta/cwh8\Delta$ cell wall mutant. (D) Bud8-GFP in cell polarity mutants. (E) Bud8-GFP in the $cap1\Delta/cap1\Delta$ actin-cytoskeleton mutant. (F) Bud8-GFP in transcription factor mutants.

Class	Gene name	ORF	GFP-protein localization	Budding pattern of the tagged strains
Unipolar	IST3	YIR005w	Nuclear	Bipolar
1	BUD13	YGL174w(C)	Nuclear	Bipolar
Random	BUD14	YAR014c	Small bud tip, large bud neck	Bipolar
	BUD15	YBL047c	Small bud tip, large bud neck	Bipolar
	LOC1	YFR001w	Nuclear	Most bipolar, few random
	BUD18	YJL188c	ND	Random
	BUD20	ÝLR074c	Nuclear	Bipolar
	BUD21	YOR078w	Nuclear	Bipolar
	BUD22	YMR014w	Nuclear	Bipolar
		YGR151c ^a	ND	Random
	BUD18	YJL188c	ND, slow growth	Random

Table 5. Localization	ation of GFP	fusion	protein ii	n tagged	strains
-----------------------	--------------	--------	------------	----------	---------

Bud8-GFP Localization in Budding, Cell Polarity Mutants. We also investigated Bud8-GFP localization in $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$, $bud6\Delta/bud6\Delta$, and $bni1\Delta/bni1\Delta$ mutants. Spa2, Bni1, and Pea2 are important for apical growth and have been suggested to help concentrate polarized growth and secretion at bud tips and mother-bud necks (Sheu et al., 2000). In $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$ and $bud6\Delta/bud6\Delta$ mutants, Bud8-GFP localization is more diffuse at the bud tip compared with wild-type cells (Figure 5D); the Bud8-GFP signal is weaker in $bud6\Delta/bud6\Delta$ cells than in $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$. In $bni1\Delta/bni1\Delta$, Bud8-GFP localization is not detected, indicating that Bni1 is required for Bud8 localization (Figure 5D). The absence of Bud8-GFP in any cells is consistent with the observation that $bni1\Delta/bni1\Delta$ mutants bud randomly both in the first division and in subsequent divisions. The diffuse localization of Bud8-GFP in $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$ cells is consistent with the observation that the first bud does not always occur at the apex of the distal tip of the cell (Sheu et al., 2000).

Bud8-GFP Localization in cap 1Δ /cap 1Δ Mutants.

Bud8-GFP localization was also investigated in $cap1\Delta/$ *cap1* Δ mutants, which lacks Cap1, an actin-capping protein α subunit. Bud8-GFP signal appeared more diffuse in this mutant, and the Bud8-GFP signal is diffuse not only in the bud but also in the mother, in contrast to the signal observed in the $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$ and $bud6\Delta/bud6\Delta$ mutants (Figure 5E). This result is consistent with the bud tip localization of Cap1 (Amatruda and Cooper, 1992) and implies that the actin cytoskeleton at the incipient bud site might help to localize cortical bud site selection tags to distal sites and/or maintain them properly at those sites.

Bud8-GFP Localization in Transcription Factor Mutants. Bud8-GFP localization was examined in five transcription factors mutants. Ccr4, Not5, and Pop2 are components of the CCR4 transcriptional complex, which has positive and negative effects on transcription (Draper et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1998). The localization signal of Bud8-GFP in these mutants is very faint; most cells lack a detectable signal and the remainder have only a weak signal at the bud tip (Figure 5F). We presume that these mutants may directly or indirectly affect Bud8p expression. We also analyzed Bud8GFP localization in $ssn6\Delta/ssn6\Delta$ and $tup1\Delta/tup1\Delta$ mutants. Tup1 and Ssn6 form a complex that functions as a transcriptional repressor (Williams et al., 1991). In both mutants, Bud8-GFP localizes to polarized sites in <10% of the cells, but the signal is very weak compared with wild-type cells (Figure 5 F). Thus, the Ssn6-Tup1 complex may also be involved in Bud8 regulation.

The altered localization and/or signal of Bud8 in many of these mutants demonstrate that the activity of Bud8 is influenced by many gene products and that Bud8 plays a critical role in bipolar budding. The mislocalization of Bud8 in many random budding mutants offers a clue as to why these mutants select nonpolar sites for bud formation; presumably other tags or localization components are also mislocalized, leading to further randomization of the budding pattern.

GFP Tagging of Uncharacterized Genes Required for **Bipolar Budding**

To further understand how the genes that were uncharacterized or had limited characterization function in bipolar bud site selection, subcellular localization studies were performed. We analyzed two proteins whose loss caused a unipolar budding phenotype (Ist3 and Bud13/Ygl174w) and seven uncharacterized proteins whose loss caused a random budding pattern (Bud14/Yar014c, Bud15/Ybl047c, Bud18/ Yjl188c, Bud20/Ylr074c, Bud21/Yor078w, Bud22/Ymr014c, Loc1/Yfr001w, and Ygr151c; Table 5).

A GFP cassette was precisely inserted in the chromosome at the second codon after the initiator ATG for each of the genes, except for BUD13/YGL174w, in which GFP was placed immediately upstream of the translational stop codon. Thus, the different constructs express the GFP-ORF fusion protein from the endogenous promoter (see MATE-RIALS AND METHODS). Three of the tagged constructs exhibited defects in bipolar budding, and hence, insertion of the GFP cassettes inactivated the target gene; GFP localization was not detected for any of these strains (Table 5). One tagged strain, Loc1p/Yfr001w-GFP, which is a protein predicted to bind double-stranded RNA and is involved in localization of mRNA (Costanzo et al., 2000), displayed a mild defect in bipolar budding and a slow growth phenoNi and Snyder

A Bud14/Yar014c-GFP



B Bud15/Ybl047c-GFP





Figure 6. Localization of Bud-GFP proteins. (A) Bud14/Yar014c-GFP, which is localized as a patch at the presumptive bud sites in unbudded cells, the distal tips of growing buds and the mother-daughter bud neck in large-budded cells. (B) Bud15/Ybl047c-GFP, which at the bud tip in small and large budded cells and at the mother-daughter neck in cells undergoing cytokinesis. (C) Six other GFP fusion proteins localized to nucleus.

type indicative of a partial defect in gene function; the Loc1p/Yfr001w-GFP localized to the nucleus (Figure 6C). Seven tagged strains appeared normal in growth and bipolar budding pattern, indicating that these fusion proteins were functional; these strains were studied further for protein localization.

BUD14/YAR014c is an unknown ORF, which encodes a predicted SH3 domain protein. Bud14p/Yar014c-GFP was found to be presented at the presumptive bud sites in unbudded cells, the distal tips of growing buds, and the mother-daughter bud neck in large-budded cells (Figure 6A). This polarized localization of Bud14p/Yar014c and the random budding phenotype of *bud14*\Delta/bud14\Delta (yar014c\Delta/yar014c\Delta) are consistent with a role for Bud14p/Yar014 in polarized growth.

Bud15p/Ybl047c is an unknown protein with similarity to the cytoskeletal proteins Uso1p and Pan1p and contains three Eps homology domains in the N-terminal region, which are often associated with regulation of protein transport, protein sorting, and membrane trafficking (Paoluzi *et al.*, 1998). Bud15p/Ybl047c-GFP localized as a patch concentrated in the developing bud tip in cells with small buds and at the mother-daughter neck in cells undergoing cytokinesis (Figure 6B). This cellular localization suggests that Bud15p/Ybl047c may affect bipolar budding through polarized secretion. During the preparation of this report, Bud15p/Ybl047c was reported to play a role in endocytosis and genetically interact with Rsp5, a ubiquitin ligase. These authors reported a localization pattern similar to the one that we describe (Gagny *et al.*, 2000).

GFP fusions for five other proteins, Ist3p, Bud13p/ Ygl174w, Bud20/Ylr074c, Bud21/Yor078w, and Bud22/ Ymr014w, localize to the nucleus. Three of these proteins, Ist3p, Bud13p/Ygl174w, and Bud20p/Ylr074c, localized throughout the nucleus (for Ist3p the signal was weak; Fig-



Figure 7. A model for bipolar bud site selection that integrates the results of this study. As described in the text the different bud site selection proteins are likely to act at the sites indicated in the diagram. The bud genes indicated with a asterisk might either participate in transcription or other nuclear processes. ER, endoplasmic reticulum. mRNP, mRNA-protein; Pr, proteins; PVC, prevacuolar compartment.

ure 6C). In contrast, two other proteins, Bud21p/Yor078w and Bud22p/Ymr014w, are highly concentrated in a single region of the nucleus (Figure 6C). In budded cells, the fluorescent signal was in the region of the nucleus closest to the bud. Fluorescence was observed in cells at all different phases of the cell cycle. These results suggest that these six proteins may function in the regulation of expression (transcription, processing, or transport, etc.) of bipolar budding components.

DISCUSSION

In this paper we report the screening of a large collection of homozygous diploid deletion mutants for defects in bipolar bud site selection. From a screen of 4168 mutant strains we found 127 bipolar budding mutants affecting both previously characterized genes as well as uncharacterized genes. The previously characterized genes include those involved in the actin cytoskeleton, bud site selection, cell polarity, exocytosis, and endocytosis, cell wall integrity, protein modification, lipid metabolism, translation, gene transcription, and nuclear functions. We also found a large number of new genes, including several whose encoded proteins are highly polarized in the cell. Analysis of these different mutants generated a comprehensive list of nonessential genes important for bipolar bud site selection and therefore provides a framework for this process in yeast.

An Integrated View of Bud Site Selection in Yeast

A large number of different types of genes involved in the bipolar pattern were identified. These include those involved in transcription, translation, polarity, bud site selection, lipid metabolism, protein modification, vesicular trafficking, cytoskeleton, and others. Based on our identification of their bud site selection phenotypes, their effect on Bud8-GFP localization, and the localization of their gene products, a model for our genome-wide characterization of this process is presented in Figure 7.

We propose that the actin cytoskeleton is important for apical growth and perhaps the transport of the tags to cortical sites and/or their maintenance at those sites. Polarity proteins such as Spa2, Bud6, and Bni1 are required for the proper localization or maintenance of bipolar tags at their proper site (Sheu *et al.*, 2000). Consistent with this interpretation, Bud8-GFP is not found at polarized sites in *bni1*Δ/ *bni1*Δ strains and is more diffuse in *spa2*Δ/*spa2*Δ and *bud6*Δ/ *bud6*Δ strains. These results account for the observations that *bni1*Δ/*bni1*Δ mutants bud randomly at each division, including the first one, whereas *spa2*Δ/*spa2*Δ and *bud6*Δ/*bud6*Δ mutants still bud at the distal pole at the first division (although not always immediately at the tip) but bud randomly in later divisions (Snyder, 1989; Zahner *et al.*, 1996; Sheu *et al.*, 2000).

One of the interesting classes of proteins is the cell wall components. Bud8 and Bud9 are predicted to be transmembrane proteins; anchoring in the cell wall may be important for forming permanent tags. Because Fks1 and Gas1 are important for only the bipolar pattern, they are likely to be important for anchoring the underlying cytoskeleton or for anchoring the cell wall tags (e.g., Bud8 and Bud9). In contrast, Cwh8 is important for both axial and bipolar budding; it may also serve to anchor Bud8 and Bud9, and perhaps Axl2, a transmembrane protein that has been proposed to be part of the axial tag (Halme *et al.*, 1996; Roemer *et al.*, 1996a).

Another interesting set of proteins is the membrane-trafficking components. Several of the proteins affecting the bipolar pattern are important for exocytosis, including Ypt31, Sec22, and Snc2 (Woodman *et al.*, 1996; Jedd *et al.*, 1997; Spang and Schekman, 1998; Gerst, 1999). We expect the putative membrane tags, such as Bud8, and components that might anchor the tag, such as cell wall components, would be delivered to the incipient bud site with the use of the secretory machinery. The finding that Bud8-GFP localizes diffusely (i.e., as a broader patch) in Ypt31 mutants suggests that Bud8 targeting is defective in this mutant.

Unexpectedly, several mutants that affect the bipolar pattern play a role in endocytosis, including the clathrin light chain and end3 (Benedetti et al., 1994; Chu et al., 1996). This raises the possibility that endocytosis might play an important role in bud site selection. Perhaps recycling distal tags from the surface, especially those that are diffuse, and then redirecting them to distal sites during apical growth helps focus tags at the distal tip. Alternatively, some of these proteins might also play a role in exocytosis. Consistent with this latter possibility for Clc1, little Bud8-GFP is detected at polarized sites or the cell periphery in $clc1\Delta/clc1\Delta$ mutants, suggesting that it does not get transported properly to the surface. Presumably, clathrin-mediated secretion is needed for targeting of bud site selection components. For end3 mutants, Bud8-GFP may be more concentrated at the tip (data not shown); this result is expected for an endocytosis mutant but does not explain the random budding defect observed in this mutant. Presumably, other components of the tag or those factors that interact with or affect the regulation of tags are defective in these cells.

We also identified a number of components involved in lipid metabolism. These might function in one of several ways. As noted above, several of these mutants might affect the secretory pathway and thus affect the targeting of molecules important for bud site selection. Because deletion of *SUR4* affects both haploid and diploids, the Sur4 protein may be involved in delivery or anchoring of both axial and bipolar tags; Erg3 and Erg4 are involved in only the bipolar pattern and presumably only affect the bipolar tags. Interestingly, deletion of Fen1 (Oh *et al.*, 1997), which affects lipid elongation at an earlier step in the lipid elongation than Sur4, affects only the bipolar pattern. Thus, the different lipids have different effects on the distinct budding patterns.

We also identified a number of components important for protein modification, including those involved in *N*- and *O*-linked glycosylation (Alg, Mnn2, Pmt2, Ost3), lipid modification (Las2), acetylation (Nat3), initiator methionine processing (Map1), and protein turnover (Rad6). Presumably, these different components function on the tags or components that transport or anchor the tags. Consistent with this interpretation, we note that Axl2 (Roemer *et al.*, 1996a), a component involved in axial bud site selection that may be part of the axial tag, is modified by *O*-linked glycosylation; *pmt4* Δ mutants fail in the initial step of *O*-linked modification and are defective in Axl2 modification and localization (Sanders *et al.*, 1999). Thus, bipolar, as well as distal, tags might require *N*-linked and *O*-linked glycosylation.

We identified several transcription factors in our screen. We propose that they are required for the proper expression of either tags (e.g., Bud8) or components responsible for the proper transport or modification of the tag. Several of the RNA-processing components (e.g., Loc1, Rai1, Npl3, Lsm1, Nsr1, Lsm6, and Zuo1; Kondo and Inouye, 1992; Kadowaki *et al.*, 1994; Yan *et al.*, 1998; Costanzo *et al.*, 2000; Tharun *et al.*, 2000; Xue *et al.*, 2000) might be important for the proper expression or transport of RNAs of such components as well. Those nuclear factors whose loss results in random budding are likely to be involved in the expression of multiple tags or their localization; they might affect apical growth or the actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, many of the mutants that exhibit random budding have a round cell shape, consistent with an apical growth defect.

Finally, we identified a number of ribosomal proteins that are important for bud site selection. The random budding of ribosomal protein mutants may be due to the inefficient translation of components important for the bipolar pattern; alternatively, it may be due to an overall growth defect. Consistent with the latter possibility, many of the mutants that exhibit a strong budding pattern defect also exhibit a strong growth defect. Because significant growth control occurs during G1, the coupling of translational efficiency and the onset of budding might be linked.

Novel Components Important for the Bipolar Pattern

In addition to known proteins, we also found a number of previously uncharacterized proteins that participate in bud site selection. Bud13p/Ygl174w and Ist3 localize to the nucleus and have a slightly reduced Bud8-GFP signal, suggesting that they might be important for the proper expression of Bud8 or other components that help localize Bud8. Bud14p/Yar014c and Bud15p/Ybl047c localize to sites of polarized cell growth. For Bud15p/Ybl047c the localization is more diffuse. Recently, another group identified Bud15/ Ybl047c as an Eps homology-containing protein that is similar to Pan1 and End3, which are involved in endocytosis and actin organization (Gagny et al., 2000). Perhaps Bud15/ Ybl047c is important in localizing Bud8 and other proteins to their proper sites. Bud14p/Yar014c exhibits a highly polarized localization, similar to Spa2, Pea2, and Bni1. However, unlike $spa2\Delta/spa2\Delta$, $pea2\Delta/pea2\Delta$, and $bni1\Delta/bni1\Delta$, $bud14\Delta/$ *bud14* Δ cells are elongated, indicating that they do not have

an apical growth defect. We speculate that Bud14p/Yar014c may be important for the placement or recognition of proximal and distal bipolar tags.

The Unipolar, Random, and Axial-like Budding Patterns

The different mutants affecting the bipolar pattern generally exhibit three types of patterns: unipolar, random, or axiallike budding. As postulated previously, the unipolar patterns are most readily explained by a loss of a functional tag at the appropriate pole (Zahner *et al.*, 1996). Loss of functional Bud8, a component of the distal tag, yields a proximal budding pattern; loss of Bud9, a potential component of the proximal tag, produces a distal pattern. Random budding is most readily explained as either loss or mistargeting of functional tags for both poles.

The new category of mutants described in this study is the axial-like mutants. Previously this budding pattern has only been described for haploid cells (Fujita et al., 1994; Chant and Pringle, 1995; Chant et al., 1995; Halme et al., 1996; Roemer et al., 1996a; Sanders and Herskowitz, 1996). The fact that a significant number of our mutants exhibit this pattern indicates that the axial tags exist but are normally not recognized or are not functional in diploid cells. Consistent with this interpretation, most of the components required for axial budding (Bud3, Bud4, and Axl2/Bud10) are present in diploids (Chant et al., 1995; Halme et al., 1996; Sanders and Herskowitz, 1996). We speculate that in haploids these tags become the preferred site of budding either because the tags are modified to enhance their use or specific machinery that targets the axial sites is present in those cells. One candidate for an axial targeting or modification protein is Axl1 (Fujita et al., 1994); Axl1 is specifically expressed in haploids and can help convert the bipolar pattern to an axial pattern in diploids.

Why do several of the diploid mutants bud axially whereas others bud randomly? We speculate that the random budding mutants either fail to localize bipolar and axial tags or do not recognize these tags. In contrast, the axially budding diploid mutants are specifically defective in forming or recognizing the bipolar tags.

Several Genes Important for Bipolar Budding Are Also Involved in the Axial Pattern

Most mutants that we identified were specific to the bipolar pattern. This includes those affecting the cytoskeleton and polarity, which are important for apical growth. Others components such as transcription factors and cell wall components might be important for helping express, transport, or anchor bipolar tags at their proper sites.

However six of the mutants affect the haploid axial pattern. Bud1 and Bud2 were known previously and are likely to be involved in directing polarity establishment components to the chosen site. As noted above, the coding sequence of Ygr151c partially overlaps with Bud1, and thus mutations in this ORF are likely to affect Bud1. The identification of three new mutants important for bipolar and axial budding doubles the number of gene products thought to be generally important for bud site selection in yeast. Bem4 interacts with several of polarity establishment components (e.g., Rho1 proteins) and may be one of the earliest compo-



Figure 8. An improved model of Bud8 localization. Bud8, indicated by the gray-shaded areas, might help direct new growth sites at the distal tip during both the first and subsequent divisions. See DISCUSSION for more details. M, mother; D, daughter.

nents delivered to the tag; further characterization of this protein will be reported elsewhere. Cwh8 and Sur4, which have not been well characterized, might participate in anchoring, modifying, and/or transporting both axial and bipolar tags (see above).

It is interesting to note which mutants did not affect haploid axial budding. Several of the cell wall components, Fks1 and Gas1, and Erg3 and Erg4, which are involved in ergosterol biosynthesis, are imporant for only bipolar budding and not axial, suggesting that these constituents are specific for the bipolar tags. Likewise, the Pmt2 and Mnn2 proteins, which act later in the carbohydrate modification pathway, are involved in bipolar budding and not axial budding; whereas Pmt4, which acts at the initial step, does affect axial budding. These results indicate that early chain modification is required for the Axl2 tag and the axial pattern, whereas late chain modification is required for the bipolar pattern.

Bud8 as a Distal Tag in Both New Mother and Daughter Cells

The observation that Bud8 is found both at the tip of the bud and at the neck in new mother-daughter provides an improved model for bud site selection in diploids (Figure 8). As suggested previously (Zahner *et al.*, 1996; Taheri *et al.*, 2000), Bud8 at the tip might serve as part of a distal tag for marking bud formation at that site (alternatively, it might help establish the tag). The Bud8 that resides at the neck of the new mother might also serve as a labile tag and help mark the distal site for the first several divisions. This would account for the distal site bias of new mothers. Consistent with this hypothesis, an increase of Bud8p activity by overexpression of a Bud8-GFP construct results in an increase in distal budding through several generations. Thus, Bud8 may be part of the distal tag, as suggested previously (Zahner *et al.*, 1996; Taheri *et al.*, 2000).

Genome-wide Mutant Screens

This screen and that of Page, Beaulieu, Maynard, Azuma, Dijkgraaf, Li, Marcoux, Nguyen, Sdicu, and Bussey (unpublished results) are the first genome-wide screen of a defined null mutant collection. Previously, the largest collection of defined mutant alleles screened in yeast contained \sim 2000 genes mutated by transposon insertion; these transposonmutagenized strains were screened for disruption phenotypes with the use of 20 different growth conditions (Ross-Macdonald *et al.*, 1999). The advantage of screening a bank of deletion strains is that nearly every nonessential gene may be investigated for its role in a given cellular process. Our screen for genes involved in bipolar bud site selection identified both previously characterized and novel genes.

At the time we carried out this screen, deletion strains for 4168 genes were available, which accounts for mutations in most annotated genes. We identified nearly all known nonessential genes (16 of 19) affecting bipolar bud site selection, including RSR1/BUD1, BUD2, BUD6, BUD7, BUD8, BUD9, SPA2, BNI1, and SUR4 (Park et al., 1993; Michelitch and Chant, 1996; Zahner et al., 1996; Amberg et al., 1997; Evangelista et al., 1997; Sheu et al., 2000; Taheri et al., 2000). The only known mutants in nonessential genes that were not identified were $pea2\Delta/pea2\Delta$, which was not available at the time of the screen, and $bud5\Delta/bud5\Delta$, which is not in the deletion collection for technical reasons. In addition, a large number of new genes (113) important for the bipolar pattern were identified. Because many of these mutants (91) corresponded to previously characterized genes, it is possible to identify pathways important for the bipolar pattern. Such models have been valuable in dissecting the secretory pathway(Kaiser et al., 1996). Indeed, based on the types of mutants identified in our screen we can present broad models for bipolar budding in yeast. Thus, one important advantage of screening a defined bank of mutants for which the function of many of the mutated genes is known is that it is possible to obtain a picture of the types of cellular processes that participate in the process of interest.

It is important to note that this collection does not identify all genes involved in a biological process such as bipolar budding. Mutations in essential genes and those that have not been annotated (either because they are <100 codons in length or because they overlap known genes) have not been screened. In addition, redundant genes for bipolar budding would not be identified in this mutation collection, because only one copy has been mutated.

In addition to previously known genes, we found that 22 of our mutants correspond to previously uncharacterized genes. One powerful advantage of screening a large defined collection of strains is that one can determine with certainty which mutants have been screened; thus, in addition to identifying genes that are important for a process, one can determine with certainty what genes are not required for a particular process. In addition, by screening a complete collection of mutants it is possible in principle to achieve saturation (or near saturation) from such an approach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Greg Michuad, Yi-Jun Sheu and Anuj Kumar for critical comments on the manuscript. Laura Schenkman and John Pringle kindly provided the Bud8-GFP fusion, and Jun-Yi Leu provided the GFP construct for tagging the previously uncharacterized ORFs. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health grants GM-36494, CA-77808, and HG-01627.

REFERENCES

Adams, A., and Pringle, J. (1984). Relationship of actin and tubulin distribution to bud growth in wild-type and morphogenetic-mutant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. *98*, 934–945.

Adams, A.E., and Pringle, J.R. (1991). Staining of actin with fluorochrome-conjugated phalloidin. Methods Enzymol. *194*, 729–731.

Adams, A.E.M., Botstein, D., and Drubin, D.G. (1991). Requirement of yeast fimbrin for actin organization and morphogenesis *in vivo*. Nature *354*, 404–408.

Amatruda, J.F., and Cooper, J.A. (1992). Purification, characterization, and immunofluorescence localization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* capping protein. J. Cell Biol. 117, 1067–1076.

Amberg, D.C., Zahner, J.E., Mulholland, J.W., Pringle, J.R., and Botstein, D. (1997). Aip3p/Bud6p, a yeast actin-interacting protein that is involved in morphogenesis and the selection of bipolar budding sites. Mol. Biol. Cell *8*, 729–753.

Bauer, F., Urdaci, M., Aigle, M., and Crouzet, M. (1993). Alteration of a yeast SH3 protein leads to conditional viability with defects in cytoskeletal and budding patterns. Mol. Cell. Biol. *13*, 5070–5084.

Bauerle, C., Ho, M.N., Lindorfer, M.A., and Stevens, T.H. (1993). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* VMA6 gene encodes the 36-kDa subunit of the vacuolar H(+)-ATPase membrane sector. J. Biol. Chem. *268*, 12749–12757.

Bender, A., and Pringle, J.R. (1989). Multicopy suppression of the *cdc24* budding defect in yeast by *CDC42* and three newly identified genes including the *ras*-related gene, RSR1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *86*, 9976–9980.

Benedetti, H., Raths, S., Crausaz, F., and Riezman, H. (1994). The END3 gene encodes a protein that is required for the internalization step of endocytosis and for actin cytoskeleton organization in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell *5*, 1023–1037.

Blumberg, H., and Silver, P. (1991). A split zinc-finger protein is required for normal yeast growth. Gene 107, 101–110.

Boldogh, I., Vojtov, N., Karmon, S., and Pon, L.A. (1998). Interaction between mitochondria and the actin cytoskeleton in budding yeast requires two integral mitochondrial outer membrane proteins, Mmm1p and Mdm10p. J. Cell Biol. *141*, 1371–1381.

Bossie, M.A., DeHoratius, C., Barcelo, G., and Silver, P. (1992). A mutant nuclear protein with similarity to RNA binding proteins interferes with nuclear import in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell *3*, 875–893.

Brachmann, C.B., Davies, A., Cost, G.J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J.D. (1998). Designer deletion strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast 14, 115–132.

Bryant, N.J., Piper, R.C., Gerrard, S.R., and Stevens, T.H. (1998). Traffic into the prevacuolar/endosomal compartment of *Saccharo-myces cerevisiae*: a VPS45-dependent intracellular route and a VPS45-independent, endocytic route. Eur J. Cell Biol. *76*, 43–52.

Burda, P., and Aebi, M. (1998). The ALG10 locus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* encodes the alpha-1,2 glucosyltransferase of the endoplasmic reticulum: the terminal glucose of the lipid-linked oligosaccharide is required for efficient *N*-linked glycosylation. Glycobiology *8*, 455–462.

Castano, I.B., Brzoska, P.M., Sadoff, B.U., Chen, H., and Christman, M.F. (1996). Mitotic chromosome condensation in the rDNA re-

quires TRF4 and DNA topoisomerase I in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 10, 2564–2576.

Chang, M., French-Cornay, D., Fan, H.Y., Klein, H., Denis, C.L., and Jaehning, J.A. (1999). A complex containing RNA polymerase II, Paf1p, Cdc73p, Hpr1p, and Ccr4p plays a role in protein kinase C signaling. Mol. Cell. Biol. *19*, 1056–1067.

Chant, J., Corrado, K., Pringle, J.R., and Herskowitz, I. (1991). Yeast BUD5, encoding a putative GDP-GTP exchange factor, is necessary for bud site selection and interacts with bud formation gene BEM1. Cell *65*, 1213–1224.

Chant, J., and Herskowitz, I. (1991). Genetic control of bud-site selection in yeast by a set of gene products that comprise a morphogenetic pathway. Cell *65*, 1203–1212.

Chant, J., Mischke, M., Mitchell, E., Herskowitz, I., and Pringle, J.R. (1995). Role of Bud3p in producing the axial budding pattern of yeast. J. Cell Biol. *129*, 767–778.

Chant, J., and Pringle, J.R. (1995). Patterns of bud site selection in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 129, 751–765.

Chen, T., Hiroko, T., Chaudhuri, A., Inose, F., Lord, M., Tanaka, S., Chant, J., and Fujita, A. (2000). Multigenerational cortical inheritance of the rax2 protein in orienting polarity and division in yeast [in process citation]. Science 290, 1975–1978.

Chu, D.S., Pishvaee, B., and Payne, G.S. (1996). The light chain subunit is required for clathrin function in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 33123–33130.

Conboy, M.J., and Cyert, M.S. (2000). Luv1p/Rki1p/Tcs3p/Vps54p, a yeast protein that localizes to the late Golgi and early endosome, is required for normal vacuolar morphology. Mol. Biol. Cell *11*, 2429–2443.

Costanzo, M.C., Hogan, J.D., Cusick, M.E., Davis, B.P., Fancher, A.M., Hodges, P.E., Kondu, P., Lengieza, C., Lew-Smith, J.E., Lingner, C., Roberg-Perez, K.J., Tillberg, M., Brooks, J.E., and Garrels, J.I. (2000). The yeast proteome database (YPD) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* proteome database (WormPD): comprehensive resources for the organization and comparison of model organism protein information. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 73–76.

Costigan, C., and Snyder, M. (1998). Cell polarity in the budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Ad. Mol. Cell Biol. 26, 1–66.

Crouzet, M., Urdaci, M., Dulau, L., and Aigle, M. (1991). Yeast mutant affected for viability upon nutrient starvation: characterization and cloning of the RVS161 gene. Yeast 7, 727–743.

Daum, G., Lees, N.D., Bard, M., and Dickson, R. (1998). Biochemistry, cell biology and molecular biology of lipids of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 14, 1471–1510.

David, D., Sundarababu, S., and Gerst, J.E. (1998). Involvement of long chain fatty acid elongation in the trafficking of secretory vesicles in yeast. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 1167–1182.

De Camilli, P., Emr, S.D., McPherson, P.S., and Novick, P. (1996). Phosphoinositides as regulators in membrane traffic, Science 271, 1533–1539.

Dix, I., Russell, C., Yehuda, S.B., Kupiec, M., and Beggs, J.D. (1999). The identification and characterization of a novel splicing protein, Isy1p, of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. RNA *5*, 360–368.

Douglas, C.M., Foor, F., Marrinan, J.A., Morin, N., Nielsen, J.B., Dahl, A.M., Mazur, P., Baginsky, W., Li, W., el-Sherbeini, M., *et al.* (1994). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* FKS1 (ETG1) gene encodes an integral membrane protein which is a subunit of 1,3-beta-D-glucan synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *91*, 12907–12911.

Draper, M.P., Salvadore, C., and Denis, C.L. (1995). Identification of a mouse protein whose homolog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is a component of the CCR4 transcriptional regulatory complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. *15*, 3487–3495.

Drubin, D.G. (1990). Actin and actin binding proteins in yeast. Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton 15, 7–11.

Drubin, D.G., Mulholland, J., Zhu, S., and Botstein, D. (1990). Homology of a yeast actin-binding protein to signal transduction proteins and myosin-I. Nature 343, 288–290.

Drubin, D.G., and Nelson, W.J. (1996). Origins of cell polarity. Cell 84, 335–344.

Elrod-Erickson, M.J., and Kaiser, C.A. (1996). Genes that control the fidelity of endoplasmic reticulum to Golgi transport identified as suppressors of vesicle budding mutations. Mol. Biol. Cell 7, 1043–1058.

Entian, K.D., Schuster, T., Hegemann, J.H., Becher, D., Feldmann, H., Guldener, U., Gotz, R., Hansen, M., Hollenberg, C.P., Jansen, G., Kramer, W., Klein, S., Kotter, P., Kricke, J., Launhardt, H., Mannhaupt, G., Maierl, A., Meyer, P., Mewes, W., Munder, T., Niedenthal, R.K., Ramezani Rad, M., Rohmer, A., Romer, A., Hinnen, A., *et al.* (1999). Functional analysis of 150 deletion mutants in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by a systematic approach. Mol. Gen. Genet. 262, 683–702.

Evangelista, M., Blundell, K., Longtine, M.S., Chow, C.J., Adames, N., Pringle, J.R., Peter, M., and Boone, C. (1997). Bni1p, a yeast formin linking Cdc42p and the actin cytoskeleton during polarized morphogenesis. Science 276, 118–122.

Finger, F.P., and Novick, P. (1998). Spatial regulation of exocytosis: lessons from yeast. J. Cell Biol. 142, 609-612.

Flescher, E.G., Madden, K., and Snyder, M. (1993). Components required for cytokinesis are important for bud site selection in yeast. J. Cell Biol. *122*, 373–386.

Freeman, G., and Lundelius, J.W. (1982). The developmental genetics of dextrality and sinistrality in the gastropod *Lymnaea peregra*. Wilhelm Rouxs Arch. *191*, 69–83.

Freifelder, D. (1960). Bud position in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. *124*, 511–523.

Fugita, A., Oka, C., Arikawa, Y., Katagal, T., Tonouchi, A., Kuhara, S., and Misumi, Y. (1994). A yeast gene necessary for bud-site selection encodes a protein similar to insulin-degrading enzymes. Nature *372*, 567–569.

Fujita, A., Misumi, Y., Ikehara, Y., and Kobayashi, H. (1992). The yeast SFL2 gene may be necessary for mating-type control. Gene *112*, 85–90.

Fujita, A., Oka, C., Arikawa, Y., Katagai, T., Tonouchi, A., Kuhara, S., and Misumi, Y. (1994). A yeast gene necessary for bud-site selection encodes a protein similar to insulin-degrading enzymes. Nature *372*, 567–570.

Fujiwara, T., Tanaka, K., Mino, A., Kikyo, M., Takahashi, K., Shimizu, K., and Takai, Y. (1998). Rho1p-Bni1p-Spa2p interactions: implication in localization of Bni1p at the bud site and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell *9*, 1221–1233.

Gagny, B., Wiederkehr, A., Dumoulin, P., Winsor, B., Riezman, H., and Haguenauer-Tsapis, R. (2000). A novel EH domain protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, ede1p, involved in endocytosis [in process citation]. J. Cell Sci. *113*, 3309–3319.

Gammie, A.E., Kurihara, L.J., Vallee, R.B., and Rose, M.D. (1995). DNM1, a dynamin-related gene, participates in endosomal trafficking in yeast. J. Cell Biol. *130*, 553–566.

Gehrung, S., and Snyder, M. (1990). The *SPA2* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is important for pheromone-induced morphogenesis and efficient mating. J. Cell Biol. *111*, 1451–1464.

Geissler, S., Siegers, K., and Schiebel, E. (1998). A novel protein complex promoting formation of functional alpha- and gammatubulin. EMBO J. 17, 952–966. Gerst, J.E. (1999). SNAREs and SNARE regulators in membrane fusion and exocytosis. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 55, 707–734.

Gimeno, C.J., and Fink, G.R. (1992). The logic of cell division in the life cycle of yeast. Science 257, 626.

Gimeno, C.J., Ljungdahl, P.O., Styles, C.A., and Fink, G.R. (1992). Unipolar cell divisions in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* lead to filamentous growth: regulation by starvation and RAS. Cell *68*, 1077–1090.

Guthrie, C., and Fink, G.R., eds. (1991). Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology, New York: Academic Press.

Halme, A., Michelitch, M., Mitchell, E.L., and Chant, J. (1996). Bud10p directs axial cell polarization in budding yeast and resembles a transmembrane receptor. Curr. Biol. *6*, 570–579.

Harkins, H.A., Page, N., Schenkman, L.R., Virgilio, C.D., Shaw, S., Bussey, H., and Pringle, J.R. (2001). Bud8p and Bud9p, proteins that may mark the sites for bipolar budding in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell, in press.

Hayashibe, M., and Katohda, S. (1973). Initiation of budding and chitin-ring. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. *19*, 23–39.

Heesen, S., Lehle, L., Weissmann, A., and Aebi, M. (1994). Isolation of the ALG5 locus encoding the UDP-glucose:dolichyl-phosphate glucosyltransferase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eur. J. Biochem. 224, 71–79.

Hermann, G.J., King, E.J., and Shaw, J.M. (1997). The yeast gene, MDM20, is necessary for mitochondrial inheritance and organization of the actin cytoskeleton. J. Cell Biol. *137*, 141–153.

Herskowitz, I., Park, H.-O., Sanders, S., Valtz, N., and Peter, M. (1995). Programming of cell polarity in budding yeast by endogenous and exogenous signals. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. *60*, 717–727.

Hirano, H., Tanaka, K., Ozaki, K., Imamura, H., Kohno, H., Hihara, T., Kameyama, T., Hotta, T., Arisawa, M., Watanabe, T., Qadota, H., Ohya, Y. (1997). ROM7/BEM4 encodes a novel protein that interacts with the Rho1p small GTP-binding protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *16*, 4396–4403.

Holtzman, D.A., Yang, S., and Drubin, D.G. (1993). Synthetic-lethal interactions identify two genes, *SLA1* and *SLA2*, that control membrane cytoskeleton assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. *122*, 635–644.

Hong, Y., Maeda, Y., Watanabe, R., Ohishi, K., Mishkind, M., Riezman, H., and Kinoshita, T. (1999). Pig-n, a mammalian homologue of yeast Mcd4p, is involved in transferring phosphoethanolamine to the first mannose of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 35099–35106.

Huffaker, T.C., and Robbins, P.W. (1983). Yeast mutants deficient in protein glycosylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *80*, 7466–7470.

Hyman, A.A., and White, J.G. (1987). Determination of cell division axes in the early embryogenesis of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Cell Biol. 105, 2123–2135.

Jedd, G., Mulholland, J., and Segev, N. (1997). Two new Ypt GT-Pases are required for exit from the yeast trans-Golgi compartment. J. Cell Biol. *137*, 563–580.

Jentsch, S., McGrath, J.P., and Varshavsky, A. (1987). The yeast DNA repair gene RAD6 encodes a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme. Nature 329, 131–134.

Kadowaki, T., Chen, S., Hitomi, M., Jacobs, E., Kumagai, C., Liang, S., Schneiter, R., Singleton, D., Wisniewska, J., and Tartakoff, A.M. (1994). Isolation and characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mRNA transport-defective (mtr) mutants [published erratum appears in J. Cell Biol. 1994 Sep;126(6):1627]. J. Cell Biol. *126*, 649–659.

Kaiser, C.A., Gimeno, R.E., and Shaywitz, D.A. (1996). Protein secretion, membrane biogenesis, and endocytosis. In: The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast *Saccharomyces*, ed. J. R. Pringle, J. R. Broach, and E. W. Jones, Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 91–228.

Keleher, C.A., Redd, M.J., Schultz, J., Carlson, M., and Johnson, A.D. (1992). Ssn6-Tup1 is a general repressor of transcription in yeast. Cell *68*, 709–719.

Kilmartin, J.V., and Adams, A.E.M. (1984). Structural rearrangements of tubulin and actin during the cell cycle of the yeast *Saccharomyces*. J. Cell Biol. *98*, 922–933.

Kim, R.A., and Wang, J.C. (1992). Identification of the yeast TOP3 gene product as a single strand-specific DNA topoisomerase. J. Biol. Chem. *267*, 17178–17185.

Knauer, R., and Lehle, L. (1999). The oligosaccharyltransferase complex from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: isolation of the OST6 gene, its synthetic interaction with OST3, and analysis of the native complex. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 17249–17256.

Kohno, H., Tanaka, K., Mino, A., Umikawa, M., Imamura, H, Fujiwara, T., Fujita, Y., Hotta, K., Qadota, H., Watanabe, T., Ohya, Y., and Takai, Y. (1996). Bni1p implicated in cytoskeletal control is a putative target of Rho1p small GTP binding protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 15, 6060–6068.

Kondo, K., and Inouye, M. (1992). Yeast NSR1 protein that has structural similarity to mammalian nucleolin is involved in prerRNA processing. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 16252–16258.

Kraut, R., Chia, W., Jan, L.Y., Jan, Y.N., and Knoblich, J.A. (1996). Role of *inscuteable* in orienting asymmetric cell divisions in *Drosophila*. Nature 383, 50–55.

Kuchin, S., and Carlson, M. (1998). Functional relationships of Srb10-Srb11 kinase, carboxy-terminal domain kinase CTDK-I, and transcriptional corepressor Ssn6-Tup1. Mol. Cell. Biol. *18*, 1163–1171.

Lee, J.M., and Greenleaf, A.L. (1997). Modulation of RNA polymerase II elongation efficiency by C-terminal heptapeptide repeat domain kinase I. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 10990–10993.

Lew, D.J., and Reed, S.I. (1993). Morphogenesis in the yeast cell cycle: regulation by Cdc28 and cyclins. J. Cell Biol. 120, 1305–1320.

Liu, H.Y., Badarinarayana, V., Audino, D.C., Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Denis, C.L. (1998). The NOT proteins are part of the CCR4 transcriptional complex and affect gene expression both positively and negatively. EMBO J. *17*, 1096–1106.

Lu, J., Kobayashi, R., and Brill, S.J. (1996). Characterization of a high mobility group 1/2 homolog in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 33678–33685.

Lussier, M., Gentzsch, M., Sdicu, A.M., Bussey, H., and Tanner, W. (1995). Protein *O*-glycosylation in yeast. The PMT2 gene specifies a second protein *O*-mannosyltransferase that functions in addition to the PMT1- encoded activity. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 2770–2775.

Mack, D., Nishimura, K., Dennehey, B.K., Arbogast, T., Parkinson, J., Toh, E.A., Pringle, J.R., Bender, A., and Matsui, Y. (1996). Identification of the bud emergence gene B.E.M4 and its interactions with rho-type G.T.Pases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *16*, 4387–4395.

Madden, K., Costigan, C., and Snyder, M. (1992). Cell polarity and morphogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Trends Cell Biol. 2, 22–29.

Madden, K., and Snyder, M. (1998). Cell polarity and moprhogenesis in budding yeast. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 52, 687–744.

Manning, B.D., Padmanabha, R., and Snyder, M. (1997). The Rho-GEF Rom2p localizes to sites of polarized cell growth and participates in cytoskeletal functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Biol. Cell *8*, 1829–1844.

Michelitch, M., and Chant, J. (1996). A mechanism of Bud1p GTPase action suggested by mutational analysis and immunolocalization. Curr. Biol. *6*, 446–454.

Mrsa, V., Ecker, M., Strahl-Bolsinger, S., Nimtz, M., Lehle, L., and Tanner, W. (1999). Deletion of new covalently linked cell wall glycoproteins alters the electrophoretic mobility of phosphorylated wall components of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. *181*, 3076–3086.

Mulholland, J., Preuss, D., Moon, A., Wong, A., Drubin, D., and Botstein, D. (1994). Ultrastructure of the yeast actin cytoskeleton and its association with the plasma membrane. J. Cell Biol. *125*, 381–391.

Nasmyth, K.A. (1982). Molecular genetics of yeast mating type. Annu. Rev. Genet. 16, 439–500.

Nelson, H., Mandiyan, S., and Nelson, N. (1989). A conserved gene encoding the 57-kDa subunit of the yeast vacuolar H+-ATPase [published erratum appears in J. Biol. Chem. 1989 Mar 25;264(9): 5313]. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 1775–1778.

Novick, P., and Botstein, D. (1985). Phenotypic analysis of temperature-sensitive yeast actin mutants. Cell 40, 405–416.

Oberholzer, U., and Collart, M.A. (1998). Characterization of NOT5 that encodes a new component of the Not protein complex. Gene 207, 61–69.

Oelkers, P., Tinkelenberg, A., Erdeniz, N., Cromley, D., Billheimer, J.T., and Sturley, S.L. (2000). A lecithin cholesterol acyltransferaselike gene mediates diacylglycerol esterification in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 15609–15612.

Oh, C.S., Toke, D.A., Mandala, S., and Martin, C.E. (1997). ELO2 and ELO3, homologues of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ELO1 gene, function in fatty acid elongation and are required for sphingolipid formation. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 17376–17384.

Ozaki, K., Tanaka, K., Imamura, H., Hihara, T., Kameyama, T., Nonaka, H., Hirano, H., Matsuura, Y., and Takai, Y. (1996). Rom1p and Rom2p are GDP/GTP exchange proteins (GEPs) for the Rho1p small GTP binding protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. *15*, 2196–2207.

Paidhungat, M., and Garrett, S. (1998). Cdc1 and the vacuole coordinately regulate Mn^{2+} homeostasis in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 148, 1787–1798.

Paoluzi, S., Castagnoli, L., Lauro, I., Salcini, A.E., Coda, L., Fre, S., Confalonieri, S., Pelicci, P.G., Di Fiore, P.P., and Cesareni, G. (1998). Recognition specificity of individual EH domains of mammals and yeast. EMBO J. *17*, 6541–6550.

Park, H.O., Chant, J., and Herskowitz, I. (1993). *BUD2* encodes a GTPase-activating protein for Bud1/Rsr1 necessary for proper budsite selection in yeast. Nature *365*, *269–274*.

Park, H.O., Sanson, A., and Herskowitz, I. (1999). Localization of bud2p, a GTPase-activating protein necessary for programming cell polarity in yeast to the presumptive bud site. Genes Dev. *13*, 1912–1917.

Planta, R.J., and Mager, W.H. (1998). The list of cytoplasmic ribosomal proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 14, 471–477.

Polevoda, B., Norbeck, J., Takakura, H., Blomberg, A., and Sherman, F. (1999). Identification and specificities of N-terminal acetyltransferases from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. *18*, 6155–6168.

Preston, R.A., Manolson, M.F., Becherer, K., Weidenhammer, E., Kirkpatrick, D., Wright, R., and Jones, E.W. (1991). Isolation and characterization of PEP3, a gene required for vacuolar biogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 5801–5812.

Pringle, J., Bi, E., Harkins, H., Zahner, J., Devirgilio, C., Chant, J., Corado, K., and Fares, H. (1995). Establishment of cell polarity in yeast. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. *60*, 729–744.

Pruyne, D.W., Schott, D.H., and Bretscher, A. (1998). Tropomyosincontaining actin cables direct the Myo2p-dependent polarized delivery of secretory vesicles in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 1931– 1945.

Rayner, J.C., and Munro, S. (1998). Identification of the MNN2 and MNN5 mannosyltransferases required for forming and extending the mannose branches of the outer chain mannans of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 26836–26843.

Reiss, G., te Heesen, S., Zimmerman, J., Robbins, P.W., and Aebi, M. (1996). Isolation of the ALG6 locus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* required for glucosylation in the *N*-linked glycosylation pathway. Glycobiology *6*, 493–498.

Rieder, S.E., and Emr, S.D. (1997). A novel RING finger protein complex essential for a late step in protein transport to the yeast vacuole. Mol. Biol. Cell *8*, 2307–2327.

Roemer, T., and Bussey, H. (1991). Yeast beta-glucan synthesis: KRE6 encodes a predicted type II membrane protein required for glucan synthesis in vivo and for glucan synthase activity in vitro. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *88*, 11295–11299.

Roemer, T., Madden, K., Chang, J., and Snyder, M. (1996a). Selection of axial growth sites in yeast requires Axl2p, a novel plasma membrane glycoprotein. Genes Dev. *10*, 777–793.

Roemer, T., Vallier, L., and Snyder, M. (1996b). Selection of polarized growth sites in yeast. Trends Cell Biol. *6*, 434–441.

Ross-Macdonald, P., Coelho, P.S., Roemer, T., Agarwal, S., Kumar, A., Jansen, R., Cheung, K.H., Sheehan, A., Symoniatis, D., Umansky, L., Heidtman, M., Nelson, F.K., Iwasaki, H., Hager, K., Gerstein, M., Miller, P., Roeder, G.S., and Snyder, M. (1999). Large-scale analysis of the yeast genome by transposon tagging and gene disruption [see comments]. Nature 402, 413–418.

Ruggieri, R., Bender, A., Matsui, Y., Powers, S., Takai, Y., Pringle, J.R., and Matsumoto, K. (1992). *RSR1*, a *ras*-like gene homologous to *Krev-1* (*smg21A*/*rap1A*): role in the development of cell polarity and interactions with the Ras pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *12*, 758–766.

Russell, I.D., and Tollervey, D. (1992). NOP3 is an essential yeast protein which is required for pre-rRNA processing. J. Cell Biol. *119*, 737–747.

Sanders, S.L., Gentzsch, M., Tanner, W., and Herskowitz, I. (1999). O-Glycosylation of Axl2/Bud10p by Pmt4p is required for its stability, localization, and function in daughter cells. J. Cell Biol. *145*, 1177–1188.

Sanders, S.L., and Herskowitz, I. (1996). The Bud4 protein of yeast, required for axial budding, is localized to the mother-bud neck in a cell cycle-dependent manner. J. Cell Biol. *134*, 413–427.

Schneider, B.L., Seufert, W., Steiner, B., Yang, Q.H., and Futcher, A.B. (1995). Use of PCR epitope tagging for protein tagging in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res. *11*, 1265–1274.

Shapiro, L. (1993). Protein localization and asymmetry in the bacterial cell. Cell 73, 841–855.

Sherman, F., Fink, G., and Hicks, J. (1986). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories: Methods in Yeast Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories.

Sheu, Y.J., Barral, Y., and Snyder, M. (2000). Polarized growth controls cell shape and bipolar bud site selection in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 5235–5247.

Sheu, Y.-J., Santos, B., Fortin, N., Costigan, C., and Snyder, M. (1998). Spa2p interacts with cell polarity proteins and signaling components involved in yeast cell morphogenesis. Mol. Cell. Biol. *18*, 4053–4069.

Siegers, K., Waldmann, T., Leroux, M.R., Grein, K., Shevchenko, A., Schiebel, E., and Hartl, F.U. (1999). Compartmentation of protein

folding in vivo: sequestration of non-native polypeptide by the chaperonin-GimC system. EMBO J. 18, 75–84.

Siniossoglou, S., Santos-Rosa, H., Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Hurt, E. (1998). A novel complex of membrane proteins required for formation of a spherical nucleus. EMBO J. 17, 6449–6464.

Sivadon, P., Bauer, F., Aigle, M., and Crouzet, M. (1995). Actin cytoskeleton and budding pattern are altered in the yeast *rvs161* mutant: the Rvs161 protein shares common domains with the brain protein amphiphysin. Mol. Gen. Genet. *246*, 485–495.

Smith, R.L., and Johnson, A.D. (2000). Turning genes off by Ssn6-Tup1: a conserved system of transcriptional repression in eukaryotes. Trends Biochem. Sci. *25*, 325–330.

Snyder, M. (1989). The SPA2 protein of yeast localizes to sites of cell growth. J. Cell Biol. *108*, 1419–1429.

Snyder, M., Gehrung, S., and Page, B.D. (1991). Studies concerning the temporal and genetic control of cell polarity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 114, 515–532.

Spang, A., and Schekman, R. (1998). Reconstitution of retrograde transport from the Golgi to the ER in vitro. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 589–599.

Stagljar, I., te Heesen, S., and Aebi, M. (1994). New phenotype of mutations deficient in glucosylation of the lipid- linked oligosaccharide: cloning of the ALG8 locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *91*, 5977–5981.

Taheri, N., Kohler, T., Braus, G.H., and Mosch, H.U. (2000). Asymmetrically localized Bud8p and Bud9p proteins control yeast cell polarity and development. EMBO J. *19*, 6686–6696.

Tharun, S., He, W., Mayes, A.E., Lennertz, P., Beggs, J.D., and Parker, R. (2000). Yeast Sm-like proteins function in mRNA decapping, and decay. Nature 404, 515–518.

Uetz, P., Giot, L., Cagney, G., Mansfield, T.A., Judson, R.S., Knight, J.R., Lockshon, D., Narayan, V., Srinivasan, M., Pochart, P., Qureshi-Emili, A., Li, Y., Godwin, B., Conover, D., Kalbfleisch, T., Vijayadamodar, G., Yang, M., Johnston, M., Fields, S., and Rothberg, J.M. (2000). A comprehensive analysis of protein-protein interactions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [see comments]. Nature 403, 623–627.

Ungermann, C., von Mollard, G.F., Jensen, O.N., Margolis, N., Stevens, T.H., and Wickner, W. (1999). Three v-SNAREs and two t-SNAREs, present in a pentameric cis-SNARE complex on isolated vacuoles, are essential for homotypic fusion. J. Cell Biol. *145*, 1435– 1442.

Vai, M., Gatti, E., Lacana, E., Popolo, L., and Alberghina, L. (1991). Isolation and deduced amino acid sequence of the gene encoding gp115, a yeast glycophospholipid-anchored protein containing a serine-rich region. J. Biol. Chem. *266*, 12242–12248.

Valtz, N., and Herskowitz, I. (1996). Pea2 protein of yeast is localized to sites of polarized growth and is required for efficient mating and bipolar budding. J. Cell Biol. *135*, 725–739.

van Berkel, M.A., Rieger, M., te Heesen, S., Ram, A. F., van den Ende, H., Aebi, M., and Klis, F. M. (1999). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CWH8 gene is required for full levels of dolichol-linked oligo-saccharides in the endoplasmic reticulum and for efficient *N*-glycosylation. Glycobiology *9*, 243–253.

Verna, J., Lodder, A., Lee, K., Vagts, A., and Ballester, R. (1997). A family of genes required for maintenance of cell wall integrity and for the stress response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *94*, 13804–13809.

Vojtek, A., Haarer, B., Field, J., Gerst, J., Pollard, T.D., Brown, S., and Wigler, M. (1991). Evidence for a functional link between profilin and CAP in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*. Cell *66*, 497–505.

Walker, K.W., and Bradshaw, R.A. (1999). Yeast methionine aminopeptidase I: alteration of substrate specificity by site-directed mutagenesis [published erratum appears in J. Biol. Chem. 1999 Sep 17;274(38):27338]. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 13403–13409.

Williams, F.E., Varanasi, U., and Trumbly, R.J. (1991). The CYC8 and TUP1 proteins involved in glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are associated in a protein complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 3307–3316.

Winzeler, E.A., Shoemaker, D.D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J.D., Bussey, H., Chu, A.M., Connelly, C., Davis, K., Dietrich, F., Dow, S.W., El Bakkoury, M., Foury, F., Friend, S.H., Gentalen, E., Giaever, G., Hegemann, J.H., Jones, T., Laub, M., Liao. H., Davis, R.W., *et al.* (1999). Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. Science 285, 901–906.

Woodman, P.G., Rodriguez, L., and Stirling, C.J. (1996). Functional conservation of cytosolic proteins required for endosomal vesicle fusion. Yeast *12*, 1251–1262.

Xue, Y., Bai, X., Lee, I., Kallstrom, G., Ho, J., Brown, J., Stevens, A., and Johnson, A.W. (2000). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RAI1 (YGL246c) is homologous to human DOM3Z and encodes a protein that binds the nuclear exoribonuclease Rat1p. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 4006–4015.

Yan, W., Schilke, B., Pfund, C., Walter, W., Kim, S., and Craig, E.A. (1998). Zuotin, a ribosome-associated DnaJ molecular chaperone. EMBO J. 17, 4809–4817.

Zahner, J.E., Harkins, H.A., and Pringle, J.R. (1996). Genetic analysis of the bipolar pattern of bud site selection in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. *16*, 1857–1870.