

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Gastroenterology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Gastroenterology. 2017 October ; 153(4): 1120–1132.e15. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.12.036.

## *MAN2A1–FER* **Fusion Gene is Expressed by Human Liver and Other Tumor Types and has Oncogenic Activity in Mice**

**Zhang-Hui Chen**, **Yan P. Yu**, **Junyan Tao**, **Silvia Liu**1, **George Tseng**1, **Michael Nalesnik**, **Ronald Hamilton**, **Rohit Bhargava**, **Joel B. Nelson**2, **Arjun Pennathur**3, **Satdarshan P Monga**, **James D. Luketich**3, **George K. Michalopoulos**, and **Jian-Hua Luo**\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Biostatistics, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Urology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

## **Abstract**

**Background & Aims—**Human tumors and liver cancer cell lines express the product of a fusion between the first 13 exons in the mannosidase alpha class 2A member 1 gene (MAN2A1) and the last 6 exons in the FER tyrosine kinase gene (*FER*), called *MAN2A1–FER*. We investigated whether MAN2A1–FER is expressed by human liver tumors and its role in liver carcinogenesis.

**Methods—**We performed reverse transcription PCR analyses of 102 non-small cell lung tumors, 61 ovarian tumors, 70 liver tumors, 156 glioblastoma multiform samples, 27 esophageal adenocarcinomas, and 269 prostate cancer samples, as well as 10 non-tumor liver tissues and 20 non-tumor prostate tissues, collected at the University of Pittsburgh. We also measured expression by 15 human cancer cell lines. We expressed a tagged form of  $MAN2A1-FER$  in NIH3T3 and HEP3B (liver cancer) cells; Golgi were isolated for analysis. MAN2A1–FER was also overexpressed in PC3 or DU145 (prostate cancer), NIH3T3 (fibroblast), H23 (lung cancer) and A-172 (glioblastoma multiforme) cell lines and knocked out in HUH7 (liver cancer) cells. Cells were analyzed for proliferation and in invasion assays, and/or injected into flanks of SCID mice; xenograft tumor growth and metastasis were assessed. Mice with hepatic deletion of PTEN were given tail-vein injections of MAN2A1–FER.

<sup>\*</sup> -To whom correspondence should be addressed: Jian-Hua Luo, Department of Pathology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 3550 Terrace Street, Scaife S-728, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

All authors declare no conflict of interest related to this study.

**Contribution:** ZHC and JYT conducted experiments. YY and JHL conceived the idea and guided the experiments. GKM, SM and JBN provided expertise consultation. SL and GT conducted statistical analyses. RH, MN, RB JDL and AP selected and provided the specimens.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Results—**We detected *MAN2A1–FER* mRNA and fusion protein (114 kD) in the hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HUH7, as well as in liver tumors, esophageal adenocarcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, prostate tumors, non-small cell lung tumors, and ovarian tumors, but not non-tumor prostate or liver tissues. MAN2A1–FER protein retained the signal peptide for Golgi localization from MAN2A1 and translocated from the cytoplasm to Golgi in cancer cell lines. MAN2A1–FER had tyrosine kinase activity almost 4-fold higher than that of wild-type FER, and phosphorylated the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) at tyrosine 88 in its N-terminus. Expression of MAN2A1–FER in 4 cell lines led to EGFR activation of BRAF, MEK, and AKT; HUH7 cells with MAN2A1–FER knockout had significant decreases in phosphorylation of these proteins. Cell lines that expressed MAN2A1–FER had increased proliferation, colony formation, and invasiveness and formed larger (more than 2-fold) xenograft tumors in mice, with more metastases, than cells not expressing the fusion protein. HUH7 cells with  $MAN2A1-FER$  knockout formed smaller xenograft tumors, with fewer metastases, than control HUH7 cells. HUH7, A-172, and PC3 cells that expressed MAN2A1–FER were about 2-fold more sensitive to the FER kinase inhibitor crizotinib and the EGFR kinase inhibitor canertinib; these drugs slowed growth of xenograft tumors from MAN2A1–FER cells and prevented their metastasis in mice. Hydrodynamic tail-vein injection of MAN2A1–FER resulted in rapid development of liver cancer in mice with hepatic disruption of Pten.

**Conclusion—**Many human tumor types and cancer cell lines express the MAN2A1–FER fusion, which increases proliferation and invasiveness of cancer cell lines and has liver oncogenic activity in mice.

#### **Keywords**

fusion gene; driver; biomarker; ectopic activation

## **Introduction**

Cancer is one of the most lethal diseases for human. In the US, cancer related death reached 595,690 in  $2015<sup>1</sup>$ . A mortality rate is only second to that of cardiovascular diseases. Liver cancer is one of leading causes of cancer related death for both men and women worldwide<sup>2</sup>. Even though significant progress has been made in the past several decades, much remains to be understood regarding the mechanisms of cancer development and progression. In our previous study, we discovered a panel of fusion genes that are expressed in highly aggressive prostate cancer<sup>3</sup>. One of these fusion genes is mannosidase alpha class 2A member 1 - FER tyrosine kinase gene (MAN2A1-FER). Recent screening of liver cancer cell lines indicates that HUH7, a highly aggressive liver cancer cell line, expresses high level of MAN2A1- FER. This suggests that MAN2A1-FER fusion may play a significant role in liver cancer development.

MAN2A1 is a Golgi enzyme required for conversion of high mannose to complex type structure of N-glycan for mature glycosylation of a membrane protein<sup>4, 5</sup>. Little is known about its relation with human malignancies. On the other hand, FER, a tyrosine kinase, is a well-documented oncogene<sup>6</sup>. MAN2A1-FER fusion occurs between the first 13 exons in the 5′end of mannosidase alpha class 2A member 1 (MAN2A1) and the last 6 exons in the 3′ end of FER tyrosine kinase (FER). The chimera MAN2A1-FER protein contains 703 amino

acids from the N-terminus of MAN2A1 and 251 amino acids from the C-terminus of FER. The resulting chimera protein lost glycoside-hydrolase domain in the C-terminus of MAN2A1 and SH2 domain from the N-terminus of FER, while leaving the tyrosine kinase domain in FER largely intact. Previous analysis showed that about 80% patients with MAN2A1-FER positive prostate cancer experienced poor clinical outcomes. However, it is unclear whether MAN2A1-FER is the driver of the aggressive behavior of human cancers. In this report, we found that MAN2A1-FER fusion occurred in significant number of liver cancers and 5 other types of human malignancies. The expression MAN2A1-FER protein led to carcinogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Treatment of cancers positive for *MAN2A1-FER* with tyrosine kinase inhibitors resulted in dramatic improvement of survival of animals xenografted with cancers positive for the fusion gene.

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Tissue samples**

Ten liver donor samples and 20 organ donor prostates, 102 non-small cell lung cancers, 61 ovarian cancers, 70 liver cancers, 156 glioblastoma multiforme, 27 esophageal adenocarcinoma and 269 prostate cancer samples were obtained through Institution Review Board (IRB) of University of Pittsburgh approved protocols in compliance with institutional regulatory guidelines (Supplemental Tables 1 through 6).

#### **RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and Taqman RT-PCR**

microdissection was performed on slides of FFPE samples to obtain at least 50% cancer cells. Total RNA was extracted from epithelial cells with the Trizol method (InVitrogen, CA). The extraction procedure was performed according to manufacturer's recommendation. Random hexamer was used in the first strand cDNA synthesis with 1 ug of total RNA and Superscript II TM (InVitrogen, inc, CA). This was followed by Taqman PCR (94°C for 2 min, then 94°C for 30 seconds, 61°C for 30 second, 72°C for 30 second for 50 cycles) in Eppendorf Realplex™ cycler using primers TGGAAGTTCAAGTCAGCGCAG/ GAAGTTTTATCCTTTAATGTGCCC and Taqman probe 5′-/56-FAM/TCA GAA ACA/ZEN/GCC TAT GAG GGA AAT T/3IABkFQ/-3′. β-actin Taqman RT-PCR was used quantity and quality controls using primers GCATGGGTCAGAAGGATTCCT/ GTGCTCGATGGGGTACTTCAG and Taqman probe 5′-/56-FAM/CGA CGA GGC /ZEN/CCA GAG CAA GAG/3IABkFQ/-3′. Samples with Ct less than 36 were called positive for  $MAN2A1$ -FER. When samples showed β-actin Ct higher than 35, nested Taqman RT-PCR for *MAN2A1-FER* was performed under this condition: 94<sup>o</sup>C for 2 min, then 94°C for 30 seconds, 61°C for 30 second, 72°C for 30 second for 25 cycles using primers CTGCTTCAGGAAAACCTGTGG/TACATGTTTTAACAGCAACAGAAG. This was followed with a nested PCR using primers TGGAAGTTCAAGTCAGCGCAG/ GAAGTTTTATCCTTTAATGTGCCC and Taqman probe: 5′-/56-FAM/TCA GAA ACA/ZEN/GCC TAT GAG GGA AAT T/3IABkFQ/-3′ under the this condition: 94°C for 2 min, then 94°C for 30 seconds, 61°C for 30 second, 72°C for 30 second for 40 cycles. Ct threshold of MAN2A1-FER detection: 30 cycles for formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues, 25 cycles for frozen tissues. No template negative control and  $MAN2A1-FER$  cDNA templates were used as negative and positive controls in each batch, respectively.

#### **Sucrose gradient centrifugation to isolate Golgi apparatus**

HEP3B cells  $(2\times10^8)$  induced to express *MAN2A1-FER-FLAG* were re-suspended in 3 ml HM buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM Tris Cl, pH7.4), and homogenized in Dounce homogenizer. This is followed by addition of 6 ml of 2.0 M sucrose gradient solution (0.8, 1.2, 1.6, 2.0 M). The sample was then overlaid with 6 ml of 1.2 M sucrose gradient solution and 3 ml of 0.8 M sucrose solution. The tube was subsequently underlaid with 2 ml of 1.6 M sucrose gradient solution by a syringe and metal cannula. The sample was then centrifuged at  $110,000\times g$  for 2 hours at 4°C. The factions of sample solution were then collected from the bottom of the tube. For Golgi isolation experiment, a Golgi isolation kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louise, MO) was used. The procedure followed the manual provided by the manufacturer.

#### **Tumor Growth and Spontaneous Metastasis**

The xenografting procedure was described previously<sup>7-13</sup>. Briefly, Approximately  $5 \times 10^6$ viable PMF, DMF, HEPMF, GMF, HMF and HUH7 and HUH7/ko cells suspended in 0.2 mL of Hanks' balanced salt solution (Krackeler Scientific, Inc., Albany, NY) were subcutaneously implanted in the abdominal flanks of 71 SCID mice to generate one tumor per mouse. The breakdown of the treated groups is the following: 12 for PMF cells; 12 for DMF cells; 11 for HEPMF cells; 12 for GMF cells, 12 for HMF cells, 6 for HUH7 cells; And 6 for HUH7/ko cells. Six mice from each of PMF, DMF, HEPMF, GMF and HMF groups were fed with 5 μg/ml tetracycline water daily. Mice were observed daily, and their body weight and tumor size were recorded weekly. Tumor sizes were measured on weekly until the end of sixth week after the xenografting. Mortality and metastases were recorded.

For details of cell lines and tissue microdissection, vector construction, colony formation and matrigel traverse assays, cell cycle analysis, in vitro kinase assays, cell cycle analysis, immunoblotting, treatment of mice xenografted with tumor cells and mouse tail vein hydrodynamic injection, please see Supplemental Materials and Methods.

#### **Results**

#### **MAN2A1-FER fusion expression in human malignancies**

Fusion between MAN2A1 and FER resulted in loss of 441 amino acids from the C-terminus of MAN2A1 and 571 amino acids from the N-terminus of FER. This produces major structural alterations in the protein (figure 1A). To examine whether MAN2A1-FER fusion gene occurs in human cancer cell line, we screened 15 human cancer cell lines derived from several different types of cancers (data not shown). HUH7, a hepatocellular carcinoma cell line, was found to express significant level of MAN2A1-FER transcript. A MAN2A1-FER chromosome breakpoint was found located between intron 13 of MAN2A1 and intron 14 of FER (figure 1B). Separately, through whole genome sequencing of a prostate cancer sample positive for MAN2A1-FER (PRCA159T), another chromosome breakpoint was found (figure 1B). Only 32 bps separate this breakpoint from that found in HUH7 cell line. To investigate what type of human cancers harbors MAN2A1-FER fusion, we examined glioblastoma multiforme, liver cancer, prostate cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, ovarian cancer and esophagus adenocarcinoma, using Taqman quantitative RT-PCR. All 6 types of

these cancers were found positive for *MAN2A1-FER* fusion, ranging from 2-25.9% (figure 1C and supplemental tables 1-6). This includes 15.7% (11/70) liver cancer (supplemental figure 1), 16.8% (17/101) non-small cell lung cancer, 7.1% (11/156) GBM, 25.9% (7/27) esophagus adenocarcinoma, 5.2% (14/269) prostate cancer, and 1.7% (1/60) ovarian cancer (figure 1C). All 20 organ donor prostate samples and 10 liver donor samples were negative for MAN2A1-FER fusion. The chimeric MAN2A1-FER protein contains 954 amino acids, while *MAN2A1* has 1144 and *FER* 822 amino acids, respectively. Thus, the protein molecular weight of MAN2A1-FER chimera protein is projected to be 114 kd, while those of MAN2A1 and FER are 137 kd and 99 kd, respectively. When immunoblots using antibodies specific for MAN2A1 or FER were performed, a protein band with a molecular weight 114 kd was recognized by both antibodies specific for *MAN2A1* and *FER* in HUH7 cells. This protein band is absent in MAN2A1-FER transcript negative HCC cell line HEP3B (figure 1D). This suggests that *MAN2A1-FER* fusion gene produces a stable chimeric protein. To test whether MAN2A1-FER protein was also expressed in primary cancer samples, similar immunoblotting was performed on prostate cancer positive for MAN2A1-FER fusion. As shown in figure 1D, samples (PRCA159T, PRCA23T, HCC#1, HCC#2 and HCC#5) that were positive for MAN2A1-FER transcript contained an extra protein band of molecular weight 114 kd recognized by antibodies specific for MAN2A1 and FER, while samples (PRCA25T, PRCA20T PRCA119T, HCC#10, HCC#37, Normalliver#71 and Normalliver#72) that were negative for MAN2A1-FER contained no such protein. These experiments indicate that MAN2A1-FER protein is stably expressed when fusion transcript is present.

#### **MAN2A1-FER is located in Golgi apparatus**

FER protein contains FCH domain, which is the binding site for microtubule for FER protein. In MAN2A1-FER fusion, this domain is lost. Instead, MAN2A1-FER retains the signal peptide for Golgi localization from  $MAN2A1$ . As a result, the kinase domain of FER is likely translocated to Golgi apparatus. To test this hypothesis, NIH3T3 and HEP3B cells were transfected with pCDNA4-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG/pCDNA6. Stable tetracycline inducible *MAN2A1-FER-FLAG* expression clones were selected (NMF and HEPMF, figure 2A). Co-immunostaining using antibodies specific for FLAG and resident Golgi protein Nacetylgalactosaminyltransferase showed that MAN2A1-FER-FLAG and Nacetylgalactosaminyltransferase were co-localized in Golgi apparatus (figure 2B). Sucrose gradient centrifugation separation of factions of HEP3B cells transformed with MAN2A1- FER-FLAG showed that MAN2A1-FER-FLAG was located in the Golgi faction, distinctly different from the wild type *FER* protein, which was found in the cytoplasm faction (figure 2C). Finally, Golgi localization of MAN2A1-FER from HUH7 and NMF cells were also confirmed by Golgi isolation method (figure 2D).

## *MAN2A1-FER* **kinase activates** *EGFR*

FER is a tyrosine kinase playing significant role in signal transduction. To test whether MAN2A1-FER retains its tyrosine kinase activity, *MAN2A1-FER* was ligated into pGEX-5×-3 vector to create pGST-MAN2A1-FER so that the fusion protein was expressed as GST-MAN2A1-FER chimera protein in E.coli. As shown in figure 3A, GST-MAN2A1- FER showed high level of tyrosine kinase activity when poly (EY 4:1), an essay substrate for

FER kinase, was used as a substrate. Interestingly, GST-MAN2A1-FER showed 3.75 fold higher kinase activities than GST-FER, suggesting that removal of SH2 domain from FER increased the tyrosine kinase activity of the fusion protein. In addition, the kinase activity of MAN2A1-FER is sensitive to crizotinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor originally developed for  $ALK$  and  $FEZ^{14}$ , with an IC50 ~29 nM (figure 3B).

Since MAN2A1-FER fusion results in translocation of FER kinase to Golgi apparatus, the targets of FER kinase may be altered as a result. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling activation has been shown to be one of the major mechanisms that drive the progression of human cancers<sup>15-17</sup>, and only the N-terminus of *EGFR* is exposed in the lumens of Golgi apparatus. We hypothesized that EGFR N-terminus is the substrate of  $MAN2A1-FER$  kinase. To test this hypothesis, we used the N-terminus of  $EGFR$  (HisTAG-EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup>) as the substrate in our kinase assay. As shown in figure 3C,  $GST-MAN2A1$ -FER phosphorylated the recombinant HisTAG-EGFRaa1-650 in an ATP dosage-dependent manner. To investigate which tyrosine residue was phosphorylated by GST-MAN2A1-FER, synthetic peptides corresponding to each tyrosine residue in the N-terminus of EGFR was chemically synthesized, and assayed for the phosphorylation by GST-MAN2A1-FER. The results showed that only the sequence corresponding to FLKTIQEVAGYVLIALNTVER (peptide 3) in EGFR was phosphorylated by  $GST-MAN2A1-FER$ . This peptide contains a tyrosine residue at aa88 of EGFR. To validate whether  $Y^{88}$  in EGFR is indeed phosphorylated by GST-MAN2A1-FER, a peptide containing a mutation of this tyrosine (Y88A) was assayed for the protein kinase activity of GST-MAN2A1-FER. The result indicated that mutant peptide was not phosphorylated by the fusion protein. To verify whether the phosphorylation of HisTAG-EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup> by *GST-MAN2A1-FER* fusion is the result of Y88 phosphorylation, HisTAG-EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup> phosphorylation was performed by excessive amount of peptide 3. As shown in figure 3C (lanes 7-8), peptide 3, but not the mutant counterpart, partially blocked the phosphorylation of EGFR N-terminus by GST- $MAN2A1-FER$ . No phosphorylation was found when Y88 was mutated to alanine in  $EGFR$ N-terminus (figure 3C). Thus, Y88 is the only GST-MAN3A1-FER phosphorylation site in the EGFR N-terminus. MAN2A1-FER bound EGFR N-terminus in vitro and in vivo (supplemental figure 2).

To investigate whether phosphorylation of the N-terminus of EGFR by MAN2A1-FER kinase occurs in vivo, HEPMF cells were induced with tetracycline to express MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. EGFR was partially fragmented with thrombin or hydroxylamine. The digested peptides were then immunoprecipitated with antibodies specific for the N-terminus of EGFR. The results showed that MAN2A1-FER expression resulted in phosphorylation of EGFR N-terminus, while uninduced controls were negative for the N-terminus EGFR phosphorylation (figure 3D). Expression of MAN2A1-FER led to activation of tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR, as evidenced by dramatic increase of autophosphorylation of  $Y^{1068}$ and  $Y^{848}$  in the kinase domain of *EGFR* when *MAN2A1-FER* was present (figure 3E). To investigate whether N-terminus phosphorylation of EGFR is essential for MAN2A1-FER mediated EGFR activation, a mutant with a point mutation in amino acid 88 (Y88A) of EGFR was created. This mutant was transfected into HEPMF cells, and these cells were subsequently induced to express MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. As shown in figure 3F, mutation of tyrosine 88 of EGFR abrogated the tyrosine kinase activation of EGFR as evidenced by

negative autophosphorylation and dimerization when MAN2A1-FER was induced to express. The activation of EGFR was also identified in prostate cancer sample that was found positive for MAN2A1-FER fusion (figure 3G), but not in sample that was negative, suggesting a significant clinical relevance for the activation of EGFR induced by MAN2A1-FER.

Activation of the kinase activity of EGFR by MAN2A1-FER may lead to cascade of progrowth signaling in cancer cells. To examine whether expression of MAN2A1-FER activates EGFR signaling pathways, EGFR-B-raf-MEK and EGFR-Akt pathways were examined. As shown in figure 4, expression of MAN2A1-FER in 4 cell lines of different origins (PC3 prostate cancer, HEP3B-liver cancer, NIH3T3-mouse immortalized fibroblast and A-172 glioblastoma multiforme) led to activation B-raf, MEK and Akt kinases. To examine whether EGFR is the main activator of these kinases, a dominant negative mutant of EGFR  $(\text{EGFR}^{aa1-650})$  that has its kinase domain deleted was transfected into these cell lines. The results indicated that the presence of EGFRaa1-650 largely eliminated the activations of these kinases (figure 4B. The kinase negative mutant of MAN2A1-FER failed to activate any of these kinases (figure 4C). Using CRISPR system, genome of MAN2A1-FER in HUH7 cells was interrupted such that no  $MAN2A1-FER$  expression is present in the cell line (figure 4, lanes 25-26). HUH7 cells with knockout of  $MAN2A1-FER$  showed significant decrease of phosphorylation of EGFR, B-raf, MEK, and Akt, suggesting that hyperactivation of these kinases in HUH7 cells are largely dependent on the MAN2A1-FER fusion.

#### **MAN2A1-FER accelerates cell growth and invasion**

To examine the consequence of MAN2A1-FER induced activation of pro-growth signaling pathways, cell cycle analysis was performed on cell lines of 4 different origins (HEP3B-liver cancer, PC3 and DU145-prostate cancer, A-172-glioblastoma multiforme, H23-lung cancer) and NIH3T3 cells that were transformed with MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. As shown in figure 5A, induction of expression of MAN2A1-FER by tetracycline increased the S-phase of these cell lines dramatically: 42 fold for HEP3B, 2.1 fold for PC3, 52% for DU145, 40 fold for H23, 3.9 fold for A-172 and 2 fold for NIH3T3 cells. However, these pro-growth impacts completely disappeared when kinase negative mutant of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG was introduced into these cells (figure 5A). Expression of dominant negative mutant EGFRaa<sup>1-650</sup> also significantly attenuated the increase of S-phase induced by  $MAN2A1$ -FER-FLAG in HEP3B cells. Genomic interruption of MAN2A1-FER in HUH7 cells resulted in significant reduction of S-phase and M-phase cells.

Parallel to these cell cycle analyses, colony formation analysis of cells transformed with MAN2A1-FER-FLAG showed 90% to 2.7 fold increase of colonies when MAN2A1-FER-FLAG was induced (figure 5B). The impact of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG on colony formation disappeared when MAN2A1-FER kinase mutant or dominant negative EGFR mutant was used. Interruption of *MAN2A1-FER* in the genome HUH7 cells resulted in 55% decrease in colony formation of these cells. Expression of *MAN2A1-FER* also resulted in higher levels of invasiveness (figure 5C). To examine the impact of MAN2A1-FER expression in vivo, HEP3B, PC3, DU145, A-172, H23 cells transformed with MAN2A1-FER were xenografted

into subcutaneous tissues of severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice. MAN2A1- FER-FLAG expression was induced through tetracycline water (5 μg/ml). As shown in figure 5D-F, expression of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG produced 2.3 fold larger volume of tumors for PC3 and DU145, 2.6 fold for HEP3B, and 2.4 fold for A-172 tumors. There was a 55% drop in tumor volume when MAN2A1-FER was interrupted in HUH7 cells. Higher level of metastases was also seen in tumor with MAN2A1-FER expression: 3/36 for tumor negative for MAN2A1-FER versus 19/35 for MAN2A1-FER positive (p<0.0001, supplemental table 7 and supplemental figure 3). Eighty-three percent (30/36) of SCID mice without MAN2A1- FER survived through 6 weeks while only 28.6% (10/35) mice with MAN2A1-FER did so  $(p=4.5\times10^{-7})$ .

#### *MAN2A1-FER* **positive cancers are sensitive to crizotinib and canertinib**

Since the kinase activities of MAN2A1-FER and EGFR activation are the drivers of MAN2A1-FER oncogenic activity, it is of interest to examine whether inhibition of the kinase activity of MAN2A1-FER or EGFR interrupts the oncogenic activity of MAN2A1-FER, and thus the growth of the tumors. To investigate this hypothesis, crizotinib, a MAN2A1-FER kinase inhibitor, and canertinib, an EGFR kinase inhibitor, were chosen to treat HUH7, A-172 or PC3 with or without MAN2A1-FER. Our results indicate that the presence of MAN2A1-FER increased the sensitivity of cancer cells to crizotinib, ranging from 1.8 to 2.1 fold, depending on the cell lines (supplemental figure 4 and supplemental table 8). Interestingly, these cancer cell lines appear more sensitive to canertinib: All showed IC50s below 15 nM. The presence of MAN2A1-FER increased the sensitivity by 2.1 to 2.5 fold. To investigate whether the increased sensitivity of malignant cell lines with MAN2A1- FER to these kinase inhibitors translates into better outcomes for the cancers treated with these drugs, HUH7, PC3 and A-172 cells with or without MAN2A1-FER were xenografted into the subcutaneous region of SCID mice. The tumors were allowed to grow for 2 weeks to an average size of 183 mm<sup>3</sup>. Then, these mice were treated with crizotinib (12.5 mg/kg), canertinib (10 mg/kg) or the combination of these 2 drugs, 3 times a week through peritoneal injection. When MAN2A1-FER was present, treatment of crizotinib reduced the final tumor sizes by an average of 80.7% ( $p<0.001$ ) in comparison with DMSO controls for HUH7 cells, 67.6% (p<0.001) for A-172 and 78.6% (p<0.001) for PC3 cells. When MAN2A1-FER was not present, crizotinib was much less effective: An average 27.6% reduction of tumor sizes for HUH7/ko, 4% increase for A-172 and 27.1% reduction for PC3 cells. When SCID mice were treated with canertinib, similar results were obtained: 85% (p<0.001) reduction of tumor size for PC3 cells, 73.2% (p<0.001) for A-172 and 81.7%  $(p<0.001)$  for HUH7, when  $MAN2A1$ -FER was present (figure 6A). This compared favorably against cells without MAN2A1-FER: 32.0% reduction for PC3, 19.2% for A-172 and 40.2% for HUH7 cells. The best results came from the combination of crizotinib and canertinib:  $92.2\%$  (p<0.001) reduction of tumor size of PC3, 89.0% (p<0.001) for A-172 and 86.0% (p<0.001) for HUH7 cells, when MAN2A1-FER was present. Without MAN2A1-FER, the effectiveness was reduced to 46.5% for PC3 and 43.2% for HUH7 cells. The combination of crizotinib and canertinib was ineffective for A-172 tumor if MAN2A1-FER was not present (19.4% increase of tumor size). Treatment of either crizotinib or canertinib or the combination of these 2 drugs completely eliminated the metastasis of all tumors (PC3, A-172 and HUH7, 0%, 0/18) versus controls  $(61\%, 11/18)$  (p<0.001), when  $MAN2A1-FER$ 

was present (figure 6B). The effects of these drugs on *MAN2A1-FER* negative cells, however, were largely insignificant: 2/18 for crizotinib and/or canertinib treated versus 1/18 for control. These results may reflect pervasive low metastasis rate of these malignant cells after MAN2A1-FER was removed. Treatment of crizotinib, cancertinib or the combination of these 2 drugs completely eliminated the mortality of the mice xenografted with tumors positive for *MAN2A1-FER* (figure 6C). The mortality improvement effect by these drugs, however, was not observed when they were applied to tumors negative for MAN2A1-FER. These results clearly indicate that MAN2A1-FER is the driver of cancer progression. The presence of MAN2A1-FER drives EGFR signaling that sensitizes the cancer cells to drugs specific for this pathway.

#### **MAN2A1-FER produces spontaneous liver cancer**

Among the 10 human liver cancer samples that were positive for MAN2A1-FER, 6 showed Pten deletions, suggesting a potential association between the 2 events for the cancer development. To investigate whether Pten deletion and MAN2A1-FER fusion are sufficient to generate cancers, a mouse somatic cancer model was developed to mimic somatic mutations in human cancers. In such model, Pten™1Hwu/J mice of which exon 5 of Pten gene was flanked by loxP sites was treated with adeno-associated virus-cre  $(1 \times 10^{10}$  PFU) through intra-peritoneal injection to create Pten deletion in most hepatocytes. This was followed by tail vein hydrodynamic injection<sup>18, 19</sup> of pT3-*MAN2A1-FER-FLAG* such that 1-2% hepatocytes were transfected with the vector (figure 7A). These mice was allowed to live up to 14 weeks or died from liver cancer naturally. All mice injected with pT3- MAN2A1-FER-FLAG developed hepatocellular carcinoma (figure 7B). These mice all had enlarged livers, with liver to body ratio more than 172% of the controls (figure 7C). Hyper activations of EGFR/Akt pathways were found in these mice (figure 7D). Clear morphological cancer cells were observed in as early as 4 weeks after introduction of pT3- MAN2A1-FER-FLAG through the tail vein (figure 7E). The cancer islets expanded rapidly in 8 weeks such that most hepatic parenchyma was replaced with cancer cells at the end of 12 weeks. These cancer cells contained large nuclei and nucleoli, were rich in fatty vacuoles and had significant higher number of  $Ki-67$  positive cells (supplemental figure 5). The glutamine synthetase staining pattern was lost in the cancers (supplemental figure 5). These features are characteristic of high grade liver cancer. Indeed, no mice survived through 14 weeks after introduction of pT3-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. In contrast, AAV-cre/pT3 treated mice displayed no detectable pathological phenotype in the same period. Taken together, these analyses suggest that MAN2A1-FER is one of the key drivers for human cancer progression.

## **Discussion**

Fusion gene is one of the key features in human malignancies. MAN2A1-FER fusion is the result of recombination in the long arm of chromosome 5. The significant presence of MAN2A1-FER in liver cancers, esophageal adenocarcinoma and 4 other types of human malignancies suggests that this fusion may play significant roles in the development of human cancers. Search of TCGA transcriptome sequencing data of 17 types of human cancers failed to detect MAN2A1-FER fusion. Such discrepancy may result from significant

less proportion of mapped read located at the 5′ ends of both MAN2A1 and FER genes in the TCGA data (supplemental figure 6), since high numbers of reads in the  $5'$  end of mRNA of MAN2A1 and FER are required for MAN2A1-FER detection. To our knowledge, MAN2A1-FER is the first example of translocation of a constitutively activated tyrosine kinase to Golgi apparatus in a fashion that leads to oncogenic activation of EGFR signaling pathways. The crucial link in this activation process is the phosphorylation of tyrosine residue 88 in the extracellular domain of EGFR. It appears that tyrosine 88 is the only tyrosine residue of EGFR phosphorylated by MAN2A1-FER, and phosphorylation of this residue is essential for MAN2A1-FER mediated activation and dimerization of EGFR. Tyrosine 88 is located in the interface of domain I/II of EGFR. This region presumably involves in initiation of EGFR dimerization. Mutations in this region had been reported and all shown to be oncogenic20, probably due to alteration in the domain conformation for dimerization<sup>21</sup>. It is possible that phosphorylation of tyrosine 88 similarly alters the conformation of EGFR dimerization domain and leads to oncogenic activation of the receptor. Despite the apparent enhancement of EGFR activation and dimerization, MAN2A1-FER does not appear to impact on the speed of EGFR transportation from Golgi apparatus to plasma membrane (Supplemental figure 7).

*FER*, a tyrosine kinase, is a well-documented oncogene<sup>6, 22</sup>. Several studies showed that *FER* activates androgen receptor  $(AR)$  by phosphorylating Tyr223 in  $AR^{23}$ . Some studies indicate that FER is an essential component of stem cell tyrosine kinase  $1 (STKI)^{24}$  and mast cell growth factor receptor  $(kit)^{25, 26}$  and c-met<sup>27</sup> signaling. Over-expression of *FER* is associated with poor clinical outcomes of breast cancer<sup>28</sup>, renal cell carcinoma<sup>29, 30</sup>, nonsmall cell lung cancer<sup>31, 32</sup> and hepatocellular carcinoma<sup>33</sup>. The kinase activity of *FER* is clearly maintained, and perhaps hyper-activated in MAN2A1-FER fusion due to removal SH2 domain in FER protein. The loss of FCH domain that is required for microtubule binding may make the MAN2A1-FER kinase unavailable for most of its physiological substrates. Thus, total alteration of substrate pattern may occur *in vivo*. It is possible that some of the over-expressions of FER detected in human cancers are in fact fusion gene associated with FER.

Our analysis suggest that constitutive activation and translocation of FER kinase to Golgi apparatus lead to hyper-activation of EGFR signaling. The consequence of such activation not only leads to hyper-growth of cancers but also makes cancer cells susceptible to kinase inhibitors along the *EGFR* signaling pathways. Indeed, by targeting at the kinases of *FER* and *EGFR*, we showed that this approach is consistently effective against different types of human cancers positive for MAN2A1-FER both in vitro and in vivo. The effectiveness of these inhibitors was largely abrogated if  $MAN2A1$ -FER protein is removed from the cancer cells, even though the native FER protein is still present. These findings clearly argue that MAN2A1-FER fusion, rather than the native FER, is the driver of human cancers. The effectiveness of crizotinib and canertinib on MAN2A1-FER positive human malignancies has significant clinical implications: The presence of  $MAN2A1-FER$  fusion can be screened in these human cancers. Patients with positive MAN2A1-FER fusion can be treated with these drugs to achieve better clinical outcomes, particularly for those patients with metastasis and who are not suitable for surgery or radiation. The current study only provides examples of drug treatment for *MAN2A1-FER* fusion gene positive cancers. Likely, other

kinase inhibitors targeting at *MAN2A1-FER* or *EGFR* signaling pathway are effective against human cancers positive for this fusion gene. Thus, MAN2A1-FER/EGFR targeting may hold promise as an effective treatment for significant subsets of liver cancer and other malignancies.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Songyang Zheng and Fenxia Li for technical support and Xin Chen of University of California in San Francisco for pT3 vector.

**Grant support:** National Cancer Institute (RO1 CA098249 to JHL and R01CA090665 to JDL), Department of Defense (W81XWH-16-1-0364 to JHL) and from University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute (JHL, JBN and GKM).

## **References**

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016; 66:7–30. [PubMed: 26742998]
- 2. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012
- 3. Yu YP, Ding Y, Chen Z, Liu S, Michalopoulos A, Chen R, Gulzar ZG, Yang B, Cieply KM, Luvison A, Ren BG, Brooks JD, Jarrard D, Nelson JB, Michalopoulos GK, Tseng GC, Luo JH. Novel fusion transcripts associate with progressive prostate cancer. Am J Pathol. 2014; 184:2840–9. [PubMed: 25238935]
- 4. Moremen KW, Robbins PW. Isolation, characterization, and expression of cDNAs encoding murine alpha-mannosidase II, a Golgi enzyme that controls conversion of high mannose to complex Nglycans. J Cell Biol. 1991; 115:1521–34. [PubMed: 1757461]
- 5. Misago M, Liao YF, Kudo S, Eto S, Mattei MG, Moremen KW, Fukuda MN. Molecular cloning and expression of cDNAs encoding human alpha-mannosidase II and a previously unrecognized alphamannosidase IIx isozyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995; 92:11766–70. [PubMed: 8524845]
- 6. Hao QL, Heisterkamp N, Groffen J. Isolation and sequence analysis of a novel human tyrosine kinase gene. Mol Cell Biol. 1989; 9:1587–93. [PubMed: 2725517]
- 7. Han YC, Zheng ZL, Zuo ZH, Yu YP, Chen R, Tseng GC, Nelson JB, Luo JH. Metallothionein 1 h tumour suppressor activity in prostate cancer is mediated by euchromatin methyltransferase 1. J Pathol. 2013; 230:184–93. [PubMed: 23355073]
- 8. Shi YK, Yu YP, Tseng GC, Luo JH. Inhibition of prostate cancer growth and metastasis using small interference RNA specific for minichromosome complex maintenance component 7. Cancer Gene Ther. 2010; 17:694–9. [PubMed: 20539323]
- 9. Yu YP, Yu G, Tseng G, Cieply K, Nelson J, Defrances M, Zarnegar R, Michalopoulos G, Luo JH. Glutathione peroxidase 3, deleted or methylated in prostate cancer, suppresses prostate cancer growth and metastasis. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:8043–50. [PubMed: 17804715]
- 10. Ren B, Yu YP, Tseng GC, Wu C, Chen K, Rao UN, Nelson J, Michalopoulos GK, Luo JH. Analysis of integrin alpha7 mutations in prostate cancer, liver cancer, glioblastoma multiforme, and leiomyosarcoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007; 99:868–80. [PubMed: 17551147]
- 11. Yu G, Tseng GC, Yu YP, Gavel T, Nelson J, Wells A, Michalopoulos G, Kokkinakis D, Luo JH. CSR1 suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of prostate cancer. American Journal of Pathology. 2006; 168:597–607. [PubMed: 16436673]
- 12. Ren B, Yu G, Tseng GC, Cieply K, Gavel T, Nelson J, Michalopoulos G, Yu YP, Luo JH. MCM7 amplification and overexpression are associated with prostate cancer progression. Oncogene. 2006; 25:1090–8. [PubMed: 16247466]

- 13. Jing L, Liu L, Yu YP, Dhir R, Acquafondada M, Landsittel D, Cieply K, Wells A, Luo JH. Expression of myopodin induces suppression of tumor growth and metastasis. Am J Pathol. 2004; 164:1799–806. [PubMed: 15111326]
- 14. Neal JW, Sequist LV. Exciting new targets in lung cancer therapy: ALK, IGF-1R, HDAC, and Hh. Curr Treat Options Oncol. 2010; 11:36–44. [PubMed: 20676809]
- 15. Luo SY, Lam DC. Oncogenic driver mutations in lung cancer. Transl Respir Med. 2013; 1:6. [PubMed: 27234388]
- 16. Gala K, Chandarlapaty S. Molecular pathways: HER3 targeted therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 20:1410– 6. [PubMed: 24520092]
- 17. Lee CC, Shiao HY, Wang WC, Hsieh HP. Small-molecule EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2014; 23:1333–48.
- 18. Liu F, Song Y, Liu D. Hydrodynamics-based transfection in animals by systemic administration of plasmid DNA. Gene Ther. 1999; 6:1258–66. [PubMed: 10455434]
- 19. Tao J, Xu E, Zhao Y, Singh S, Li X, Couchy G, Chen X, Zucman-Rossi J, Chikina M, Monga SP. Modeling a Human HCC Subset in Mice Through Co-Expression of Met and Point-Mutant beta-Catenin. Hepatology. 2016
- 20. Lee JC, Vivanco I, Beroukhim R, Huang JH, Feng WL, DeBiasi RM, Yoshimoto K, King JC, Nghiemphu P, Yuza Y, Xu Q, Greulich H, Thomas RK, Paez JG, Peck TC, Linhart DJ, Glatt KA, Getz G, Onofrio R, Ziaugra L, Levine RL, Gabriel S, Kawaguchi T, O'Neill K, Khan H, Liau LM, Nelson SF, Rao PN, Mischel P, Pieper RO, Cloughesy T, Leahy DJ, Sellers WR, Sawyers CL, Meyerson M, Mellinghoff IK. Epidermal growth factor receptor activation in glioblastoma through novel missense mutations in the extracellular domain. PLoS Med. 2006; 3:e485. [PubMed: 17177598]
- 21. Ferguson KM. Structure-based view of epidermal growth factor receptor regulation. Annu Rev Biophys. 2008; 37:353–73. [PubMed: 18573086]
- 22. Krolewski JJ, Lee R, Eddy R, Shows TB, Dalla-Favera R. Identification and chromosomal mapping of new human tyrosine kinase genes. Oncogene. 1990; 5:277–82. [PubMed: 2156206]
- 23. Rocha J, Zouanat FZ, Zoubeidi A, Hamel L, Benidir T, Scarlata E, Brimo F, Aprikian A, Chevalier S. The Fer tyrosine kinase acts as a downstream interleukin-6 effector of androgen receptor activation in prostate cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 381:140–9.
- 24. Guo C, Stark GR. FER tyrosine kinase (FER) overexpression mediates resistance to quinacrine through EGF-dependent activation of NF-kappaB. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108:7968–73.
- 25. Kwok E, Everingham S, Zhang S, Greer PA, Allingham JS, Craig AW. FES kinase promotes mast cell recruitment to mammary tumors via the stem cell factor/KIT receptor signaling axis. Mol Cancer Res. 10:881–91.
- 26. Voisset E, Lopez S, Dubreuil P, De Sepulveda P. The tyrosine kinase FES is an essential effector of KITD816V proliferation signal. Blood. 2007; 110:2593–9. [PubMed: 17595334]
- 27. Fan G, Zhang S, Gao Y, Greer PA, Tonks NK. HGF-independent regulation of MET and GAB1 by nonreceptor tyrosine kinase FER potentiates metastasis in ovarian cancer. Genes Dev. 2016; 30:1542–57. [PubMed: 27401557]
- 28. Ivanova IA, Vermeulen JF, Ercan C, Houthuijzen JM, Saig FA, Vlug EJ, van der Wall E, van Diest PJ, Vooijs M, Derksen PW. FER kinase promotes breast cancer metastasis by regulating alpha6 and beta1-integrin-dependent cell adhesion and anoikis resistance. Oncogene. 32:5582–92.
- 29. Miyata Y, Kanda S, Sakai H, Greer PA. Feline sarcoma-related protein expression correlates with malignant aggressiveness and poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci. 2013; 104:681– 6. [PubMed: 23445469]
- 30. Wei C, Wu S, Li X, Wang Y, Ren R, Lai Y, Ye J. High expression of FER tyrosine kinase predicts poor prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2013; 5:473–478. [PubMed: 23420638]
- 31. Ahn J, Truesdell P, Meens J, Kadish C, Yang X, Boag AH, Craig AW. Fer protein-tyrosine kinase promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell invasion and tumor metastasis. Mol Cancer Res. 2013; 11:952–63. [PubMed: 23699534]
- 32. Kawakami M, Morita S, Sunohara M, Amano Y, Ishikawa R, Watanabe K, Hamano E, Ohishi N, Nakajima J, Yatomi Y, Nagase T, Fukayama M, Takai D. FER overexpression is associated with

poor postoperative prognosis and cancer-cell survival in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 6:598–612. [PubMed: 23573306]

33. Li H, Ren Z, Kang X, Zhang L, Li X, Wang Y, Xue T, Shen Y, Liu Y. Identification of tyrosinephosphorylated proteins associated with metastasis and functional analysis of FER in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. BMC Cancer. 2009; 9:366. [PubMed: 19835603]

Chen et al. Page 14



#### **Figure 1.** *MAN2A1-FER* **in human malignancies**

(A) Schematic diagram of MAN2A1, FER and MAN2A1-FER proteins. FCH denotes FER-CP4 homologous region. PK denotes protein kinase. SH2 denotes Src Homology 2. (B) Chromosome breakpoints of MAN2A1-FER is located in intron 13 of MAN2A1 and intron 14 of FER. One breakpoint was identified in liver cancer cell line HUH7 through nested PCRs. Another breakpoint was identified in prostate cancer sample PRCa159T through whole genome sequencing. (C) Frequency of *MAN2A1-FER* fusion transcript in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), prostate cancer (PRCA), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), ovarian cancer (OV) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (ESCA). The numbers of samples examined are indicated. Inset: primer and probe positions in MAN2A1-FER fusion. (D) Expression of MAN2A1-FER protein in human cancers. Immunoblotting was performed on protein extracts from liver cancer cell lines HUH7 and HEP3B, prostate cancer samples positive (PRCA159T and PRCA23T) or negative (PRCA25T, PRCA20T and PRCA119T), liver cancer samples positive (HCC#1, HCC#2 and HCC#5) or negative (HCC#10 and HCC#37) samples for MAN2A1-FER transcript, using antibodies specific for MAN2A1 or FER. MAN2A1, FER and MAN2A1-FER proteins are indicated. Immunoblotting using antibodies for GAPDH was used as control. Two normal liver organ donor samples (#71 and #72) were also included as negative controls.

Chen et al. Page 15



#### **Figure 2. MAN2A1-FER fusion protein is located in Golgi apparatus**

(A) Expression of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG in pCDNA4-MA2A1-FER-FLAG/pCDNA6-TO transformed NIH3T3 (NMF) and HEP3B (HEPMF) cells. Immunoblotting using antibodies specific for FLAG or GAPDH was performed. (B) MAN2A1-FER-FLAG is colocalized with Golgi resident protein N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase. Immunofluorescence staining of FLAG and N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase. Pictures were taken with con-focal microscopy. (C) Sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation of HEPMF cells induced to express MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. Faction numbers are indicated at the top. Subcellular locations are indicated at the bottom. Immunoblotting was performed using the indicated antibodies (right). Receptor-binding cancer-associated surface antigen (RCAS1) was used as a marker for Golgi apparatus. (D) MAN2A1-FER in Golgi was confirmed by Golgi isolation method. Golgi factions of HUH7 (top) and NMF (bottom) cells expressing *MAN2A1-FER* were isolated, and immunoblotted with antibodies specific for FER (HUH7) or FLAG (NMF). Antibodies specific for RCAS1, GAPDH and Histone 3 were used as purity controls. Ggolgi; C-cytoplasm; N-nucleus.



#### **Figure 3. Tyrosine kinase activity of** *MAN2A1-FER*

(A) In vitro kinase assay using poly (EY 4:1) as substrate. GST-FER, GST-MAN2A1-FER and GST proteins were expressed in E.coli. BL21, extracted and purified through glutathione column. Kinase activity was quantified and normalized to pmol per protein. (B) Crizotinib inhibits kinase activity of GST-MAN2A1-FER. (C) GST-MAN2A1-FER phosphorylates tyrosine 88 of EGFR. Top panel: ATP dosage dependent phosphorylation of HisTAG-EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup> (lanes 1-9) or HisTAG-EGFR N-terminus<sup>Y88A</sup> (lane 10). Phosphorylation of HisTAG-EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup> by GST-MAN2A1-FER was performed with excessive peptide 3 (3, FLKTIQEVAGYVLIALNTVER) or mutant peptide 3 ( $3$ ,

FLKTIQEVAGAVLIALNTVER) (lanes 7-8). Bottom panel: Phosphorylation assay on synthetic peptide listed in supplemental table 9 by GST-MAN2A1-FER. (D) Immunoblot analyses of partial digested EGFR products from HEPMF cells treated with fetal bovine serum with or without MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. The protein extracts from HEPMF cells were exposed to thrombin or hydroxylamine. This was followed by immunoprecipitated by antibodies specific for the N-terminus of EGFR. The immunoprecipitates were resolved in 15% SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies specific phospho-tyrosine. Red arrowthrombin cutting site; Blue arrows-hydroxylamine cutting sites; Blue line-EGFR extracellular domain; Green line-EGFR transmembrane domain; Red line-EGFR intracellular domain. (E) MAN2A1-FER-FLAG activates EGFR. Serum starved PC3 with

inducible MAN2A21-FER-FLAG (PMF) or HEPMF cells were induced to express MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. Immunoblotting was performed using antibodies specific for  $pY1068$  or  $pY845$  (lanes 1-4). Separately, *EGFR* was immunoprecipitated with antibodies specific for *EGFR*, and then immunoblotted with antibodies specific for pY (lanes 5-8). EGFR and GAPDH were used as controls. (F) Y88 of EGFR is required for MAN2A1-FER-FLAG induced activation of EGFR. Top panel: Serum starved HEPMF cells were transfected with pCMV- $EGFR<sup>Y88A</sup>-c-myc$  (lanes 1-2) or pCMV-EGFR-c-myc (lanes 3-4). These cells were induced to express  $MAN2A1-FER-FLAG$  and immunoprecipitated with anti-c-myc antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were then blotted with anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies. Bottom panel: Induction of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG expression as the top panel. This is followed by cross-linking of EGFR-c-myc, and then by blotting with anti-c-myc antibodies. (G) Immunostaining of EGFR pY1068 in prostate cancer samples positive (right) or negative (middle) for MAN2A1-FER. Normal prostate (left) was used as negative control.

Chen et al. Page 18



#### **Figure 4. Activation of EGFR signaling pathways by** *MAN2A1-FER*

PC3 (PMF), HEP3B (HEPMF), NIH3T3 (NMF), A-172 (GMF) cells transformed with pCDNA4-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG/pCDNA6-TO were serum starved for 24 hours and treated with tetracycline to induce the expression of MAN2A1-FER-FLAG (lanes 1-8). EGFR pY1068, B-raf pS445, MEK1/2 pS221 and Akt pS473 were examined for their phosphorylation status by immunoblotting. GAPDH, EGFR, B-raf, MEK1/2 and Akt were also immunoblotted as normalization controls. (B) Dominant negative mutant EGFRaa1-650 was transfected into the cells of (A) (lanes 9-16). Similar immunoblotting was performed as (A). (C) PC3 (PMF $K$ ), HEP3B (HEPMF $K$ ), NIH3T3 (NMF $K$ ), A-172 (GMF $K$ ) cells transformed with pCDNA4- $MAN2A1-FER-FLAG<sup>K723A</sup>/pCDNA6-TO$  were treated with tetracycline to induce the expression of MAN2A1-FER<sup>K723A</sup>-FLAG (lanes 17-24). Similar immunoblotting was performed as (A). (D) Interruption of MAN2A1-FER in HUH7 cells decreased hyper-phosphorylation of EGFR, B-raf, MEK and Akt.

Chen et al. Page 19



#### **Figure 5. Pro-growth and invasion activity of MAN2A1-FER**

(A) Cell cycle analyses of cells transformed with pCDNA4- $MAN2A1-FER-FLAG^{K723A}/$ pCDNA6-TO or its wild type counterpart as indicated. Dominant negative mutant EGFR<sup>aa1-650</sup> was used in HEPMF cells to examine whether MAN2A1-FER pro-growth activity was dependent on EGFR activation. HUH7 and its MAN2A1-FER knockout counterpart (KO) were used to examine whether cell growth was dependent on MAN2A1- FER. \*-p<0.05. (B) Colony formation assays of the duplicates from (A). \*-p<0.05. (C) Matrigel traverse analysis of PMF, DMF, HEPMF GMF and HMF cells with or without  $MAN2A1-FER-FLAG.$  \*-p<0.05. (D)  $MAN2A1-FER$  increased tumor volumes of xenografted human cancers. \*-p<0.05. (E) MAN2A1-FER increased the rate of metastasis of xenografted human cancers. (F) MAN2A1-FER increased the mortality of mice xenografted with human cancers.

Chen et al. Page 20



#### **Figure 6.** *MAN2A1-FER* **increased cancer sensitivity to kinase inhibitors crizotinib and canertinib**

(A) Treatment of crizotinib and/or canertinib decreased the volumes of xenografted cancers positive for MAN2A1-FER. CR-crizotinib; CA-canertinib; DMSO-dimethylsulfoxide. (B) Treatment of crizotinib and/or canertinib decreased the metastasis incidents of xenografted cancers positive for MAN2A1-FER, but not those negative for MAN2A1-FER. CRcrizotinib; CA-canertinib; DMSO-dimethylsulfoxide. (C) Treatment of crizotinib and/or canertinib decreased the mortality of SCID mice xenografted with cancers positive for MAN2A1-FER, but not those negative for MAN2A1-FER. CR-crizotinib; CA-canertinib; DMSO-dimethylsulfoxide.

Chen et al. Page 21



#### **Figure 7.** *MAN2A1-FER-FLAG* **induced spontaneous liver cancer in mice with somatic Pten deletion**

(A) Schematic diagram of the procedure. (B) Representative pictures of mice with gross liver cancer (right) and negative control (left). AAV-CRE plus control vector pT3 were used as controls. (C) Tail vein hydrodynamic injection of pT3-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG increased liver size. AAV-CRE plus control vector pT3 were used as controls. (D) Tail vein hydrodynamic injection of pT3-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG induced activation of *EGFR* and *Akt*. AAV-CRE plus control vector pT3 were used as controls. (E) Progression of liver cancer produced by tail vein hydrodynamic injection of pT3-MAN2A1-FER-FLAG. AAV-CRE plus control vector pT3 were used as controls.