

HHS Public Access

Occup Environ Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 28.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Occup Environ Med. 2017 July ; 74(7): 502-510. doi:10.1136/oemed-2016-103931.

Occupational Exposure to Pesticides and Other Biocides and Risk of Thyroid Cancer

Fanhua Zeng^{1,2,*}, Catherine Lerro^{2,*}, Jérôme Lavoué³, Huang Huang⁴, Jack Siemiatycki³, Nan Zhao², Shuangge Ma⁵, Nicole C. Deziel², Melissa C. Friesen⁶, Robert Udelsman⁷, and Yawei Zhang^{4,2}

¹Chongqing Safety Engineering Institute, Chongqing University of Science and Technology, Chongqing, China

²Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT

³Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada

⁴Section of Surgical Outcomes and Epidemiology, Department of Surgery, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT

⁵Department of Biostatistics, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT

⁶Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD

⁷Department of Surgery and Yale Cancer Center, Yale School of Medicine, Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT

Abstract

Objectives—To assess the associations between occupational exposure to biocides and pesticides and risk of thyroid cancer.

Methods—Using data from a population-based case-control study involving 462 incident thyroid cancer cases and 498 controls in Connecticut collected in 2010–2011, we examined the association with occupational exposure to biocides and pesticides through a job-exposure matrix. We used unconditional logistic regression models to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), adjusting for potential confounders.

Results—Individuals who were occupationally ever exposed to biocides had an increased risk of thyroid cancer (OR=1.65, 95% CI: 1.16, 2.35), and the highest risk was observed for the high cumulative probability of exposure (OR=2.18, 95% CI: 1.28–3.73). The observed associations were similar when we restricted to papillary thyroid cancer and well-differentiated thyroid cancer.

Correspondence to Dr. Yawei Zhang, Section of Surgical Outcomes and Epidemiology, Department of Surgery, Yale School of Medicine, Yale School of Public Health, Yale Cancer Center, 60 College Street, LEPH 440, New Haven, CT 06520. yawei.zhang@yale.edu; Phone: 203-7856210; Fax: 203-7376023.

Conflicts of interest: none declared

Contributorship: RU and YZ designed the research; JL, JS designed the CANJEM; FZ and HH performed statistical analysis; MF, JL, and JS contributed to the JEM study results interpretation, FZ, CL, and YZ wrote the first draft; HH, NZ, MS, ND, and YZ revised the manuscript and submitted the study. All authors contributed to the final draft and approved the manuscript.

Stronger associations were observed for thyroid microcarcinomas (tumor size 1cm). No significant association was observed for occupational exposure to pesticides.

Conclusions—Our study provides the first evidence linking occupational exposure to biocides and risk of thyroid cancer. The results warrant further investigation.

Keywords

thyroid cancer; occupational exposure; job-exposure matrix

BACKGROUND

Over the past decades, thyroid cancer incidence has increased considerably worldwide.^{1–3} In the United States, the average annual increase in thyroid cancer incidence rate was 5% over the last 10 years.⁴ It is now the fifth most commonly diagnosed cancer in women in the United States. The reasons for growing thyroid cancer incidence have been highly debated. Recent evidence suggests that "over-diagnosis" may be responsible for about half of the increase.⁵⁶ Nevertheless, increased thyroid cancer incidence across all tumor sizes suggests that increased diagnostic scrutiny is not the sole explanation, and other explanations, including environmental exposures, should be investigated.³⁷ According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer, carcinogenic agents for thyroid cancer with sufficient evidence in humans are radioiodine (including Iodine-131), X-radiation, and gamma-radiation.⁸ However, many thyroid cancers cannot be explained by ionizing radiation exposure.⁵⁹

Research on occupational risk factors for thyroid cancer has been limited for exposures other than radiation and has predominantly occurred in population-based studies (e.g., case-control studies, registry-based cohorts) to obtain sufficient thyroid cancer cases. In a recent review of these studies, suggestive, but inconsistent, associations with thyroid cancer risk were observed in studies of pesticide-exposed workers, agricultural workers, and agricultural occupations, but no consistent associations were observed for other chemical exposures.¹⁰ Our recent study suggested an increased risk of thyroid cancer associated with working as building cleaners and pest control workers.¹¹ These studies have been hampered by too few exposed cases and evaluations have been based primarily on occupational group. Only one study used a job-exposure matrix (JEM) to assess exposure.¹² A JEM links information from both job titles and industry types with specific exposures, providing a mechanism to evaluate exposures that may occur in multiple occupations or industries. This can significantly increase the statistical power compared with use of job or industrial titles.¹³

While currently no chemical substance has been consistently associated with thyroid cancer in humans, accidental or occupational exposures to high levels of some chemicals may cause mild changes in the thyroid.¹⁰¹⁴ Thyroid gland anomalies have been associated with many chemicals and solvents found in the workplace such as organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hexachlorobenzene, phthalates, polyhydroxyphenols/phenol derivatives, dioxins, and anions including perchlorate and nitrate^{1014–18}. In addition, emerging evidence from animal studies has demonstrated that drinking water disinfectants (i.e., chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and monochloramine) decreases

thyroid hormone levels in pigeons and rabbits.¹⁹²⁰ Experimental studies have also documented that triclosan, a chlorinated phenolic antibacterial compound agent used in a variety of personal care and industrial products, decreases thyroid hormones in various laboratory animals.^{21–24}

To fill the knowledge gap between occupational risk factors for thyroid cancer, we analyzed data from a population-based case-control study in Connecticut using exposure estimates for pesticides and biocides obtained from CANJEM.²⁵ CANJEM is a JEM developed for North American populations (www.canjem.ca). Pesticides refer to a diverse group of chemicals used in agricultural, commercial, and home settings to control insects, fungi, and weeds,²⁶ which have had mixed results in previous studies of thyroid cancer risk.^{27–34} Biocides are chemicals used to disinfect, deodorize, sterilize, and sanitize, and have not been previously evaluated in thyroid cancer studies. Biocides includes bactericides, algicides, fungicides, germicides, and preservatives.²⁵

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

A population-based case-control study was conducted in Connecticut in 2010-2011.9 Eligible patients were aged more than 20 years old at diagnosis, had no previous diagnosis of cancer, with the exception of non-melanoma skin cancer, and were alive at the time of interview. Cases were identified through the Yale Cancer Center's Rapid Case Ascertainment Shared Resource (RCA), which functions as a field arm of the Connecticut Tumor Registry. Cases were histologically confirmed, incident thyroid cancer patients from Connecticut, diagnosed in 2010 and 2011. Population-based controls with Connecticut addresses were recruited using a random digit dialing method. Controls were frequency matched to cases within 5-year age groups. All procedures were performed in accordance with a protocol approved by the Human Investigations Committee at Yale and the Connecticut Department of Public Health. After approval by the hospitals and by each participant's physician (cancer cases), or after selection through random sampling (control population), potential participants were approached by letter and then over the phone. Those who agreed were interviewed by trained study interviewers, either at their homes or at a convenient location. A total of 701 eligible thyroid cancer cases were identified during the study period, with 462 (65.9%) completing in-person interviews. A total of 498 control individuals participated in the study, with a participation rate of 61.5%. After obtaining written consent, a standardized, structured questionnaire was used to collect information on demographics, medical history, smoking and alcohol consumption, physical activity, lifetime occupational history, and diet.

Occupational Exposure Assessment

Participants were asked to report all jobs held for one year or longer during their lifetime. For each reported type of occupation, detailed information was elicited on job title, activities or duties, company name, type of industry, year began, and year ended. Occupations were coded according to the 2010 Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) Manual by incorporating the information about job title, industry type, and specific duties.³⁵ Exposures

to biocides or pesticides were each assessed separately by linking each 6-digit SOC code to the CANJEM. If a 6-digit SOC code could not be linked to the CANJEM, the next most detailed level (e.g., 5-digit or 3-digit) was used for exposure estimates.

CANJEM is a general population job-exposure matrix created from a database of expert assessments performed during four community-based case-control studies of cancer (lung, breast, brain, and multisite) conducted in Montreal since the 1980s.²⁵ CANJEM is based on information derived from expert retrospective exposure assessments conducted on over 6000 men and 2500 women, totaling more than 30000 individual jobs held between 1931 and 2005, representing approximately 50 expert-years of exposure assessment. For the purpose of this analysis, a version of CANJEM based on the SOC system was used, with exposure estimates available for 3, 5 and 6-digit SOC codes. The JEM was produced for 2 agents "pesticides" and "biocides", defined by the experts as follows. Pesticides are substances capable of killing some form of organism that is deemed to be undesirable. Pesticides include insecticides, herbicides, rodenticides, fungicides, molluscicides and nematodicides. Farming is the main occupation in which pesticides are used in large quantities, and are likely to be handled in an unsafe manner. Biocides include all products used to disinfect, deodorize, sterilize and sanitize. This implies the capability of killing micro-organisms (algae, bacteria, viruses, etc.). This group therefore includes bactericides, algicides, fungicides, germicides and preservatives.

The CANJEM exposure metrics used in this study include probability of exposure and frequency weighted intensity (FWI) of exposure. Probability of exposure represents the proportion of jobs deemed exposed within a matrix-cell (i.e., an occupational code in this case, 6, 5, or 3 digits). FWI combines measures of intensity (low, medium, high) and frequency of exposure (hours per week) in the original studies into a quantitative metric representing time weighted average exposure. For the calculations, the experts considered that low, medium, and high levels of intensity corresponded approximately to relative absolute values of 1, 5, and 25. This varied from agent to agent but these weights were thought to represent the best overall estimate of the ratios for the three intensity categories across the 258 CANJEM agents. In a previous investigation comparing different JEMs, exposure estimates derived from using alternative weighting scales, including the 1-5-25scale and others (namely 1-2-3, 1-3-9, 1-10-100) were compared; the choice of scale within that range did not greatly influence the results.³⁶ Final FWI of exposure for a matrix cell was defined as the median FWI value across all exposed jobs within that matrix cell. As an illustration, a FWI value of 5 corresponds to exposure at the medium level for 40 hours per week, or at high level for 8 hours per week. A matrix cell was deemed informative if it was based on information from at least 10 jobs in the original Montreal studies.

Each job held by participants in our study was linked with CANJEM and assigned values of probability of exposure and FWI of exposure. Cumulative probability of exposure to each agent was calculated as the sum of (probability of exposure × correspondent job duration) for each reported job. Cumulative intensity of exposure to biocides and pesticides was the sum of (FWI of exposure × job duration) for each reported job. Average probability of exposure was calculated as cumulative probability of exposure divided by total duration of employment. Average intensity of exposure was calculated as cumulative of exposure was calculated as cumulative of exposure was calculated as cumulative probability of exposure divided by total duration of employment.

exposure divided by total duration of employment. Exposures occurring within one year of diagnosis/interview were excluded since they occurred after any plausible etiologic window of time.

To derive an "ever exposure" variable from the linkage with CANJEM, we need to select a cutpoint on the probability of exposure scale above which the subject would be considered exposed and below which the subject would be considered unexposed. If we chose a cutpoint as low as 5%, it would be very sensitive and most of the subjects labelled as exposed would have had a low probability of exposure. On the other hand, if we chose a cutpoint as high as 95%, it would be very specific and a large fraction of workers truly exposed would be labelled as unexposed. In order to give greater weight to sensitivity than specificity, but not to exaggerate this choice unduly, we used 30% as the *a priori* threshold probability. Thus, ever exposure to a given agent was defined as having held at least one job with a probability of exposure of less than 30% but greater than 0% were considered to be of possible but "uncertain" exposure status and were included as a separate category.

Statistical Analyses

Unconditional logistic regression models were used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Models were adjusted for age (continuous), gender, race, education, body mass index (BMI), family history of thyroid cancer, previous diagnosis of benign thyroid disease, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity listed in Table 1. Adjustment for other variables, such as family income, diagnostic x-ray exposure, and radiation treatment did not result in material changes in the observed associations and therefore were not included in the final models. The cumulative and average exposure variables were divided into tertiles (low, medium, high) based on the distributions among controls, and were analyzed as categorical variables. Continuous variables were included in the logistic regression models for testing of linear trends among exposed participants. All tests of statistical significance were two-sided, with alpha of 0.05. Sub-analyses were performed for papillary thyroid cancer, well-differentiated thyroid cancer (including papillary and follicular thyroid cancers), and for papillary and well-differentiated thyroid cancer by tumor size (1cm, >1cm). Because of the small number of cases, we did not analyze the data separately for follicular, anaplastic and medullary thyroid cancers. We also conducted stratified analyses by gender (male, female), and statistically assessed potential multiplicative interaction between gender and occupational biocide and pesticide exposure. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Compared to controls, cases were more likely to be younger, female, and less educated (Table 1). The distributions of obesity, family history of thyroid cancer, and previous diagnosis of benign thyroid disease were higher among cases compared to controls. Cases

were also less likely to exercise and drink alcohol compared to controls. The distributions of race, family income, and smoking were similar between the cases and controls.

The 960 subjects in our study held 1,920 jobs. Out of the 1,920 jobs, 1,320 were linked to CANJEM with 6-digit codes, 291 with 5-digit codes, 274 with 3-digit codes, and 35 could not be linked to CANJEM. Jobs could not be linked were considered to have "unknown" exposure status and were treated as a separate category (not reported). Among the 318 jobs deemed exposed to biocides after linkage, the three most frequent were 1) health diagnosing and treating practitioners; 2) nursing, psychiatric, and home health aides, and 3) building cleaning workers. For the 20 jobs deemed exposed to pesticides after linkage, the three most frequent were 1) farmers, ranchers, and other agricultural managers, 2) postal service workers; and 3) first-line supervisors of landscaping, lawn service, and grounds keeping workers.

Ever being occupationally exposed to biocides was significantly associated with an increased risk of thyroid cancer (OR=1.65, 95% CI: 1.16, 2.35, Table 2). The highest risk was observed among people who had high cumulative probability of exposure (OR=2.18, 95% CI: 1.28–3.73) and medium cumulative intensity of exposure (OR=1.99, 95% CI: 1.14–2.47). Similar associations were observed for papillary thyroid cancer and well-differentiated thyroid cancers. Possible but uncertain biocide exposure status was not statistically significantly associated with thyroid cancer risk, though odds ratios were elevated compared to the reference group. No significant association was observed for ever being occupationally exposed to pesticides and risk of thyroid cancer (OR=0.95, 95% CI: 0.33–2.72). Because the number of pesticide-exposed cases was small (N=7), further detailed analyses by probability and intensity of exposure were not explored.

An increased risk of thyroid cancer was associated with ever occupational exposure to biocides among both women (OR=1.48, 95%CI: 1.00–2.19) and men (OR=3.11, 95%: 1.25–7.72, Table 3). For probability of exposure to biocides, while a significantly increased risk of thyroid cancer was associated with high average and cumulative probabilities of exposure among women (OR=1.77, 95%CI: 1.02–3.09 and OR=2.13, 95%CI: 1.20–3.79 respectively), such a risk was associated with medium average probability of exposure among men (OR=5.31, 95%CI: 1.39–20.29). For intensity of exposure to biocides, medium average and high cumulative intensities of exposure were associated with an increased risk of thyroid cancer among women (OR=1.75, 95%CI: 1.05–2.90 for medium average, OR=1.95, 95%CI: 1.08–3.52 for cumulative high exposure), while medium cumulative intensity of exposure was associated with an increased risk of thyroid cancer among men (OR=6.26, 95%CI: 1.35–28.93). No significantly increased risk of thyroid cancer was associated with occupational exposure to pesticides among men or women.

After stratification by tumor size, we noted a stronger association with microcarcinomas (tumor size 1cm) for occupational exposure to biocides (Table 4). Statistically significant associations with microcarcinoma were mainly seen for ever occupational exposure to biocides (OR=1.89, 95%CI: 1.20–2.97 for papillary, OR=1.82, 95%CI: 1.18–2.79 for well-differentiated), and stronger associations were shown for high probability of exposure (papillary: OR=2.65, 95%CI: 1.43–4.91 for average and OR=2.88, 95%CI: 1.51–5.47 for

cumulative; well-differentiated: OR=2.40, 95%CI: 1.32–4.36 for average and OR=2.74, 95%CI: 1.48–5.04 for cumulative) and high cumulative intensity of exposure (OR=2.83, 95%CI: 1.48–5.39 for papillary, OR=2.58, 95%CI: 1.39–4.76). Significant associations with larger tumors were observed for occupational exposure to biocides at medium average probability (papillary: OR=2.09, 95%CI: 1.07–4.08; well-differentiated: OR=1.96, 95%CI: 1.01–3.78) and medium cumulative intensity (OR=2.26, 95%CI: 1.13–4.55 for papillary; OR=2.26, 95%CI: 1.15–4.44 for well-differentiated). No significant associations were observed for occupational exposure to pesticides and thyroid cancer by tumor size.

DISCUSSION

Our study found increased risk of thyroid cancer was associated with occupational exposure to biocides. Biocides are a diverse group of products used defend against harmful organisms, generally formulated using one or more active ingredients.³⁷ Major chemical classes used in biocides include halogenated organics, inorganics, nitrogen-based compounds, phenolics, organo-sulfur compounds, and organometallics.³⁷ Biocides are widely used in wood preservation, cosmetics, paints and coating, disinfectants, recreational water, papers, for hospital and medical uses, and more. In Western Europe, halogenated specialty biocides were the leading products and wood preservation was the leading end use application.³⁷ Because of the growing attention to health, safety, and sanitation, demand for disinfectant and antimicrobial chemicals in the US has increased significantly during the past decades with an estimated 6% annual increase to \$1.6 billion in 2017.³⁸ The global disinfectant market is projected to cross \$6 billion by 2020.³⁹ Given the parallel increase in thyroid cancer incidence and exposure to biocides, and potential thyroid hormone disrupting properties of certain biocides, it is necessary to explore whether exposure to biocides increases the risk of thyroid cancer.

While few studies have examined the direct association between biocides and thyroid cancer, several studies have reported a positive association with certain occupations that could involve exposure to biocides in addition to other exposures. Fincham et al.³³ reported that individuals employed in wood processing and pulp and papermaking experienced an increased risk of thyroid cancer. Lope et al.²⁸ found higher risk of thyroid cancer among men employed as construction carpenters/joiners, and among women in the prefabricated wooden-building industry. Carstensen et al.³² found that workers in the canning and preserving industry were at significantly elevated risk of thyroid cancer. Several studies reported an increased risk among healthcare professionals including dentists, pharmacists, physicians, and nurses.^{2840–45} In these studies, hospital workers, particularly operating room scrub technicians are exposed to significant and repetitive quantities of disinfectants. In our recent study, a significantly increased risk of thyroid cancer was found among healthcare practitioners and technical workers including health diagnosing and treating practitioners, as well as building, ground cleaning and maintenance workers, especially those working as building cleaners and pest control workers.¹¹ A stronger association was found among those with thyroid microcarcinomas. The two jobs most likely exposed to biocides were 1) health diagnosing and treating practitioners and 2) nursing, psychiatric, and home health aides. One might speculate that individuals who hold these jobs had easier access to medical care, thus their thyroid cancers were more likely to be diagnosed earlier or before a microcarcinoma

Although the underlying mechanisms linking biocides and risk of thyroid cancer is currently unclear, several experimental studies have found that biocides could alter thyroid hormones. Triclosan is widely used in cleaning products. Triclosan and its environmentally transformed derivative, methyl-triclosan, have been detected in sewage discharged from wastewater treatment plants. Studies have shown that triclosan decreases total serum thyroxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3) in animal models.^{21–24} Many drinking water disinfectants (i.e., chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and monochloramine) have been found to reduce T4 levels in pigeons and rabbits.¹⁹²⁰ Pentachlorophenol (PCP), a wood preservative, has been shown to bind transthyretin and reduce T4 levels in rats.^{46–48} While several studies reported no association between thyroid hormones and thyroid cancer, 49-53 two studies reported an increased risk of thyroid cancer associated with lower thyroid hormones.⁵⁴⁵⁵ Future prospective studies are warranted to investigate the association and identify potential causal agents. Additionally, biocides could alter the oral and/or gut microbiota, which has been linked to thyroid gland health.^{56–58} Approximately 20% of the conversion of inactive T4 to active T3 happens in the gastrointestinal tract. Animal studies have shown that gut microbes can either directly convert T4 into T3 sulfate, which can then be recovered as active T3 by intestinal sulfatase.⁵⁹ or indirectly through metabolize bile acids to increase activity of iodothyronine deiodinase, a main enzyme of converting T4 into T3.⁶⁰ Future investigation on the role of the microbiome on thyroid cancer will provide insights into the link between biocides and risk of thyroid cancer.

Our results showed an increased risk of thyroid cancer associated with exposure to pesticides among women but not among men, though the relationship was not monotonic and the observed difference was not statistically significant. Previous studies have also reported an elevated risk of thyroid cancer associated with exposure to pesticides and related occupations among women but not among men.²⁸²⁹ Goldner et al. found a positive association between self-reported pesticide use and hypothyroidism among both men and women.⁶¹⁶² We noted that the sample size of men was small in our study, which hampered the ability to detect small to moderate effect of pesticides among men. It is also possible that the differences in association between men and women due to differences in the specific pesticides to which they are each exposed. The observed association with pesticides was not among the highest exposure group, rather among the low exposure group. While a chance finding or potential exposure misclassification cannot be ruled out, the specific carcinogen may be specific to jobs seen in the low exposure group.

Several potential limitations should be considered in interpreting our study results. Although the gender distribution was different in cases and controls, we avoided potential confounding by either adjusting for gender in the analyses or presenting analyses stratified by gender. Although controls were frequency-matched (± 5 years) to cases, cases were significantly younger than controls. Though we adjusted for age as well as gender in all models, potential residual confounding by age may still exist, but it would probably be quite minimal in magnitude. Individuals with benign thyroid disease are more likely to have increased thyroid

surveillance and as such, may be more likely to be detected with thyroid cancer. This may contribute to the observed higher prevalence of benign thyroid diseases in the thyroid cancer cases compared to the population controls. We adjusted for history of benign thyroid disease in the final model. Regarding exposure assessment, one limitation of JEMs is that they do not capture within-job heterogeneity in exposure. Additionally, JEMs are typically best assigning exposure when people within an occupation are either all not exposed (0% probability) or when they are all exposed (100% probability). In our study, probability of exposure in the cells linked to our jobs varied from 0% to 95%, with a median of 3.56%. Therefore, misclassification was likely to have occurred to some extent although we used 30% as a cutoff to define ever exposure. Moreover, the JEM relies on linkage with selfreported occupational histories, and error associated with reported occupational histories might also introduce exposure misclassification. Biocides and pesticides represent diverse groups of chemicals with varying structures, bioavailability, and toxicity. While it would have been informative to conduct analyses for specific biocides and pesticides, this was unfortunately not possible, since CANJEM does not provide such detailed information. With regard to the validity of CANJEM estimates, while there has been no formal validation of the JEM itself, CANJEM is a summary of past assessments conducted using a wellrecognized approach, the results of which have been widely cited in such authoritative reviews as the International Agency for Research on Cancer monographs. Moreover, studies evaluating the reliability and repeatability of the exposures assigned by the chemists have shown encouraging results.^{63–66} Another potential limitation of the study is the relatively small number of exposed cases for certain sub-group analyses. A small sample size with low exposure prevalence reduces statistical power to detect an association, and additionally may increase the possibility of spurious associations.

In conclusion, this is the first study to apply a JEM to evaluate the association between occupational exposure to biocides and pesticides and the risk of thyroid cancer. We found an increased risk of thyroid cancer with occupational exposure to biocides, and no evidence of an association for occupationally pesticide use and thyroid cancer among women. Future evaluation of this relationship is warranted, with attention paid to examination of specific chemicals.

Acknowledgments

Certain data used in this study were obtained from the Connecticut Tumor Registry located in the Connecticut Department of Public Health. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of these data. The cooperation of the Connecticut hospitals, including Charlotte Hungerford Hospital, Bridgeport Hospital, Danbury Hospital, Hartford Hospital, Middlesex Hospital, Hospital of Central Connecticut, Yale/New Haven Hospital, St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, St. Mary's Hospital, Hospital of St. Raphael, St. Vincent's Hospital and Medical Center, Stamford Hospital, William W. Backus Hospital, Windham Hospital, Eastern Connecticut Health Network, Griffin Hospital, Bristol Hospital, Johnson Memorial Hospital, Greenwich Hospital, Lawrence and Memorial Hospital, New Milford Hospital, Norwalk Hospital, MidState Medical Center, John Dempsey Hospital and Waterbury Hospital, in allowing patient access, is gratefully acknowledged. Rajni Mehta from the Yale Comprehensive Cancer Center's RCA provided great help in both IRB approvals and field implementation of the study. Helen Sayward, Anna Florczak, and Renee Capasso from Yale School of Public Health did an exceptional work in study subject recruitment.

Source of funding: This research was supported by the American Cancer Society (ACS) grants RSGM-10-038-01-CCE and MRSG-15-147-01-CNE, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01ES020361, and the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China grant 2016YFC1302500. CL and MCF were supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, NCI, NIH. The creation

of CANJEM was funded jointly by the Cancer Research Society of Canada, the Quebec Ministry of Economy, Science and Innovation and the Fonds de Recherche du Québec – Santé. Dr. Siemiatycki holds the Guzzo-Cancer Research Society Chair in Environment and Cancer.

References

- Davies L, Welch HG. Increasing incidence of thyroid cancer in the United States, 1973–2002. JAMA. 2006; 295:2164–7. [PubMed: 16684987]
- 2. Kilfoy BA, Zheng T, Holford TR, et al. International patterns and trends in thyroid cancer incidence, 1973–2002. Cancer Causes Control. 2009; 20:525–31. [PubMed: 19016336]
- 3. Zhu C, Zheng T, Kilfoy BA, et al. A birth cohort analysis of the incidence of papillary thyroid cancer in the United States, 1973–2004. Thyroid. 2009; 19:1061–6. [PubMed: 19732011]
- Howlader, N., Noone, AM., Krapcho, M., et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975–2012. National Cancer Institute; Bethesda, MD: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975, based on November 2014 SEER data
- Ito Y, Nikiforov YE, Schlumberger M, Vigneri R. Increasing incidence of thyroid cancer: controversies explored. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2013; 9:178–84. [PubMed: 23358352]
- 6. Udelsman R, Zhang Y. The epidemic of thyroid cancer in the United States: the role of endocrinologists and ultrasounds. Thyroid. 2014; 24:472–9. [PubMed: 23937391]
- 7. Chen AY, Jemal A, Ward EM. Increasing incidence of differentiated thyroid cancer in the United States, 1988–2005. Cancer. 2009; 115:3801–7. [PubMed: 19598221]
- 8. IARC. IARC Monograph Volume 100D: A review of human carcinogens—radiation. Lyon, France: 2012. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans.
- Zhang Y, Chen Y, Huang H, et al. Diagnostic radiography exposure increases the risk for thyroid microcarcinoma: a population-based case-control study. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2015; 24:439–46. [PubMed: 25932870]
- Aschebrook-Kilfoy B, Ward MH, Della Valle CT, Friesen MC. Occupation and thyroid cancer. Occup Environ Med. 2014; 71:366–80. [PubMed: 24604144]
- Ba Y, Huang H, Lerro CC, et al. Occupation and Thyroid Cancer: A Population-Based, Case-Control Study in Connecticut. J Occup Environ Med. 2016; 58:299–305. [PubMed: 26949881]
- Lope V, Perez-Gomez B, Aragones N, et al. Occupational exposure to chemicals and risk of thyroid cancer in Sweden. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2009; 82:267–74. [PubMed: 18365239]
- 13. Kromhout H, Vermeulen R. Application of job-exposure matrices in studies of the general population: some clues to their performance. Eur Respir Rev. 2001; 11:80–90.
- Brucker-Davis F. Effects of environmental synthetic chemicals on thyroid function. Thyroid. 1998; 8:827–56. [PubMed: 9777756]
- Boas M, Main KM, Feldt-Rasmussen U. Environmental chemicals and thyroid function: an update. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2009; 16:385–91. [PubMed: 19625957]
- Crofton KM. Thyroid disrupting chemicals: mechanisms and mixtures. Int J Androl. 2008; 31:209– 23. [PubMed: 18217984]
- Patrick L. Thyroid disruption: mechanism and clinical implications in human health. Altern Med Rev. 2009; 14:326–46. [PubMed: 20030460]
- Zoeller TR. Environmental chemicals targeting thyroid. Hormones (Athens). 2010; 9:28–40. [PubMed: 20363719]
- Revis NW, McCauley P, Bull R, Holdsworth G. Relationship of drinking water disinfectants to plasma cholesterol and thyroid hormone levels in experimental studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1986; 83:1485–9. [PubMed: 3456597]
- Revis NW, McCauley P, Holdsworth G. Relationship of dietary iodide and drinking water disinfectants to thyroid function in experimental animals. Environ Health Perspect. 1986; 69:243– 8. [PubMed: 3816728]
- Crofton KM, Paul KB, Devito MJ, Hedge JM. Short-term in vivo exposure to the water contaminant triclosan: Evidence for disruption of thyroxine. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2007; 24:194–7. [PubMed: 21783810]

- Paul KB, Hedge JM, DeVito MJ, Crofton KM. Short-term exposure to triclosan decreases thyroxine in vivo via upregulation of hepatic catabolism in Young Long-Evans rats. Toxicol Sci. 2010; 113:367–79. [PubMed: 19910387]
- 23. Raut SA, Angus RA. Triclosan has endocrine-disrupting effects in male western mosquitofish, Gambusia affinis. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2010; 29:1287–91. [PubMed: 20821571]
- Zorrilla LM, Gibson EK, Jeffay SC, et al. The effects of triclosan on puberty and thyroid hormones in male Wistar rats. Toxicol Sci. 2009; 107:56–64. [PubMed: 18940961]
- 25. Lavoue J, Labrèche F, Richardson L, Goldberg M, Parent M-É, Siemiatycki J. 0382 CANJEM: a general population job exposure matrix based on past expert assessments of exposure to over 250 agents. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2014; 71:A48.
- 26. Alavanja MC, Bonner MR. Occupational pesticide exposures and cancer risk: a review. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev. 2012; 15:238–63. [PubMed: 22571220]
- Lee WJ, Hoppin JA, Blair A, et al. Cancer incidence among pesticide applicators exposed to alachlor in the Agricultural Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 159:373–80. [PubMed: 14769641]
- Lope V, Pollan M, Gustavsson P, et al. Occupation and thyroid cancer risk in Sweden. J Occup Environ Med. 2005; 47:948–57. [PubMed: 16155480]
- Pukkala E, Martinsen JI, Lynge E, et al. Occupation and cancer follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic countries. Acta Oncol. 2009; 48:646–790. [PubMed: 19925375]
- Freeman LE, Rusiecki JA, Hoppin JA, et al. Atrazine and cancer incidence among pesticide applicators in the agricultural health study (1994–2007). Environ Health Perspect. 2011; 119:1253–9. [PubMed: 21622085]
- Lerro CC, Koutros S, Andreotti G, et al. Organophosphate insecticide use and cancer incidence among spouses of pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. Occup Environ Med. 2015; 72:736–44. [PubMed: 26150671]
- Carstensen JM, Wingren G, Hatschek T, Fredriksson M, Noorlind-Brage H, Axelson O. Occupational risks of thyroid cancer: data from the Swedish Cancer-Environment Register, 1961– 1979. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18:535–40. [PubMed: 2244626]
- Fincham SM, Ugnat AM, Hill GB, Kreiger N, Mao Y. Is occupation a risk factor for thyroid cancer? Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group. J Occup Environ Med. 2000; 42:318–22. [PubMed: 10738710]
- Franceschi S, Barbone F, Bidoli E, et al. Cancer risk in farmers: results from a multi-site casecontrol study in north-eastern Italy. Int J Cancer. 1993; 53:740–5. [PubMed: 8449597]
- 35. The U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service. Standard Occupational Classification Manual 2010. 2010
- 36. Lavoue J, Pintos J, Van Tongeren M, et al. Comparison of exposure estimates in the Finnish jobexposure matrix FINJEM with a JEM derived from expert assessments performed in Montreal. Occup Environ Med. 2012; 69:465–71. [PubMed: 22467796]
- Neale M. THE BIOCIDAL PRODUCTS DIRECTIVE INDUSTRY CONCERNS. Pesticide Outlook. 2003; 14:71–73.
- WHO. WHO air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide: Global update 2005. WHO Press; World Health Organization, Switzerland: 2006.
- 39. Bank, W. Cost of Pollution in China. Washington DC: World Bank; 2007.
- Haselkorn T, Bernstein L, Preston-Martin S, Cozen W, Mack WJ. Descriptive epidemiology of thyroid cancer in Los Angeles County, 1972–1995. Cancer Causes Control. 2000; 11:163–70. [PubMed: 10710201]
- Kjaer TK, Hansen J. Cancer incidence among large cohort of female Danish registered nurses. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2009; 35:446–53. [PubMed: 19806273]
- Shaham J, Gurvich R, Kneshet Y. Cancer incidence among laboratory workers in biomedical research and routine laboratories in Israel: Part I-the cohort study. Am J Ind Med. 2003; 44:600– 10. [PubMed: 14635237]
- 43. Wingren G, Hallquist A, Degerman A, Hardell L. Occupation and female papillary cancer of the thyroid. J Occup Environ Med. 1995; 37:294–7. [PubMed: 7796196]

- 44. Zabel EW, Alexander BH, Mongin SJ, et al. Thyroid cancer and employment as a radiologic technologist. Int J Cancer. 2006; 119:1940–5. [PubMed: 16736495]
- 45. Zielinski JM, Garner MJ, Band PR, et al. Health outcomes of low-dose ionizing radiation exposure among medical workers: a cohort study of the Canadian national dose registry of radiation workers. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2009; 22:149–56. [PubMed: 19546093]
- Van den Berg KJ, van Raaij JA, Bragt PC, Notten WR. Interactions of halogenated industrial chemicals with transthyretin and effects on thyroid hormone levels in vivo. Arch Toxicol. 1991; 65:15–9. [PubMed: 2043046]
- 47. van Raaij JA, van den Berg KJ, Engel R, Bragt PC, Notten WR. Effects of hexachlorobenzene and its metabolites pentachlorophenol and tetrachlorohydroquinone on serum thyroid hormone levels in rats. Toxicology. 1991; 67:107–16. [PubMed: 2017761]
- 48. van Raaij JA, van den Berg KJ, Notten WR. Hexachlorobenzene and its metabolites pentachlorophenol and tetrachlorohydroquinone: interaction with thyroxine binding sites of rat thyroid hormone carriers ex vivo and in vitro. Toxicol Lett. 1991; 59:101–7. [PubMed: 1755017]
- Ye ZQ, Gu DN, Hu HY, Zhou YL, Hu XQ, Zhang XH. Hashimoto's thyroiditis, microcalcification and raised thyrotropin levels within normal range are associated with thyroid cancer. World J Surg Oncol. 2013; 11:56. [PubMed: 23496874]
- 50. Moon SS, Lee YS, Lee IK, Kim JG. Serum thyrotropin as a risk factor for thyroid malignancy in euthyroid subjects with thyroid micronodule. Head Neck. 2012; 34:949–52. [PubMed: 22127951]
- S. Rinaldi S, Plummer M, Biessy C, et al. Thyroid-stimulating hormone, thyroglobulin, and thyroid hormones and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma: the EPIC study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014; 106 dju097.
- 52. Maia FF, Matos PS, Silva BP, et al. Role of ultrasound, clinical and scintigraphyc parameters to predict malignancy in thyroid nodule. Head Neck Oncol. 2011; 3:17. [PubMed: 21426548]
- Hrafnkelsson J, Tulinius H, Kjeld M, Sigvaldason H, Jonasson JG. Serum thyroglobulin as a risk factor for thyroid carcinoma. Acta Oncol. 2000; 39:973–7. [PubMed: 11207005]
- Jonklaas J, Nsouli-Maktabi H, Soldin SJ. Endogenous thyrotropin and triiodothyronine concentrations in individuals with thyroid cancer. Thyroid. 2008; 18:943–52. [PubMed: 18788918]
- Gul K, Ozdemir D, Dirikoc A, et al. Are endogenously lower serum thyroid hormones new predictors for thyroid malignancy in addition to higher serum thyrotropin? Endocrine. 2010; 37:253–60. [PubMed: 20960260]
- 56. Zhou L, Li X, Ahmed A, et al. Gut microbe analysis between hyperthyroid and healthy individuals. Curr Microbiol. 2014; 69:675–80. [PubMed: 24969306]
- 57. Vought RL, Brown FA, Sibinovic KH, McDaniel EG. Effect of changing intestinal bacterial flora on thyroid function in the rat. Horm Metab Res. 1972; 4:43–7. [PubMed: 4110893]
- Harries DJ. The Influence of Intestinal Bacteria Upon the Thyroid Gland. Br Med J. 1923; 1:553– 5. [PubMed: 20771074]
- de Herder WW, Hazenberg MP, Pennock-Schroder AM, Oosterlaken AC, Rutgers M, Visser TJ. On the enterohepatic cycle of triiodothyronine in rats; importance of the intestinal microflora. Life Sci. 1989; 45:849–56. [PubMed: 2770425]
- 60. Watanabe M, Houten SM, Mataki C, et al. Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activation. Nature. 2006; 439:484–9. [PubMed: 16400329]
- Goldner WS, Sandler DP, Yu F, Hoppin JA, Kamel F, Levan TD. Pesticide use and thyroid disease among women in the Agricultural Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171:455–64. [PubMed: 20061368]
- 62. Goldner WS, Sandler DP, Yu F, et al. Hypothyroidism and pesticide use among male private pesticide applicators in the agricultural health study. J Occup Environ Med. 2013; 55:1171–8. [PubMed: 24064777]
- Benke G, Sim M, Fritschi L, Aldred G, Forbes A, Kauppinen T. Comparison of occupational exposure using three different methods: hygiene panel, job exposure matrix (JEM), and self reports. Appl Occup Environ Hyg. 2001; 16:84–91. [PubMed: 11202032]
- 64. Fritschi L, Nadon L, Benke G, et al. Validation of expert assessment of occupational exposures. Am J Ind Med. 2003; 43:519–22. [PubMed: 12704624]

- 65. Goldberg MS, Siemiatycki J, Gerin M. Inter-rater agreement in assessing occupational exposure in a case-control study. Br J Ind Med. 1986; 43:667–76. [PubMed: 3778837]
- Siemiatycki J, Fritschi L, Nadon L, Gerin M. Reliability of an expert rating procedure for retrospective assessment of occupational exposures in community-based case-control studies. Am J Ind Med. 1997; 31:280–6. [PubMed: 9055950]

What this paper adds?

- Limited studies have investigated occupational exposure to pesticides in relation to thyroid cancer and have reached inconsistent results.
- > No study has investigated exposure to biocides in relation to thyroid cancer.
- We investigated the associations between occupational exposure to pesticides and biocides using a job exposure matrix and risk of thyroid cancer in a population-based case-control study in Connecticut.
- Our study provides the first evidence linking occupational exposure to biocides and risk of thyroid cancer.
- Future evaluation of this relationship is warranted, with attention paid to examination of specific chemicals.

Table 1

Distribution of selected characteristics among thyroid cancer cases and controls.

11. مىدە بەرمىيا مىلەرمى 1	Cases (n=462)	=462)	Controls (n=498)	<u>n=498)</u>	F
Characteristics	Number	%	Number	%	2
Age (years)					
Mean (SD)	51.2 (12.3)	2.3)	54.1 (13.1)	3.1)	0.0004
Gender					<0.0001
Male	87	18.8	154	30.9	
Female	375	81.2	344	69.1	
Race					0.78
White	415	89.8	450	90.4	
Other	47	10.2	48	9.6	
Education					0.0021
High school or less	129	27.9	88	17.7	
College or Technical school	216	46.8	261	52.4	
Graduate school	100	21.7	130	26.1	
Other	17	3.7	19	3.8	
Family income per capita					0.9
Low	128	27.7	133	26.7	
Medium	113	24.5	131	26.3	
High	74	16.0	82	16.5	
Confidential or unknown	147	31.8	152	30.5	
Body mass index (kg/m²)					0.0003
<25	145	31.4	203	40.8	
25–29.9	146	31.6	168	33.7	
30	166	35.9	118	23.7	
Missing	5	1.1	6	1.8	
First-degree relatives with thyroid cancer					0.025
Yes	55	11.9	38	7.6	
No	407	88.1	460	92.4	

	Cases (n=462)	=462)	Controls (n=498)	<u>n=498)</u>	F
Characteristics	Number	%	Number	%	4
Yes	62	13.4	14	2.8	
No	400	86.6	484	97.2	
$\mathrm{Smoking}^{*}$					0.18
Yes	141	30.5	172	34.5	
No	321	69.5	326	65.5	
Alcohol consumption **					<0.0001
Yes	188	40.7	267	53.6	
No	274	59.3	231	46.4	
Exercise and sports activities (hours/week)					0.0094
0	156	33.8	135	27.1	
1-5	168	36.4	170	34.1	
~5	138	29.9	193	38.8	

** Ever alcohol consumption was defined as ever had more than 12 drinks of alcoholic beverages during the lifetime, such as beer, wine, or liquor. 1 drink beer=1 can or bottle; 1 drink wine =14 oz glass; 1 drink liquor=1 shot.

Table 2

Occupational exposure to biocides and pesticides and risk of thyroid cancer.

Controls OR* (95% CI) Cases OR* (95% CI) Cases OR* (95% CI) Cases Ore Use 262 201 1.00 169 1.00 198 130 146 137 1.30 (0.94-1.78) 116 1.34 (0.96-1.87) 130 288 121 1.65 (1.16-2.35) 104 1.67 (1.15-2.43) 117 30 32 1.21 (0.69-2.14) 44 1.67 (1.15-2.43) 117 30 32 1.21 (0.69-2.14) 72 2.03 (1.19-3.31) 101 288 45 2.03 (1.19-3.47) 72 2.03 (1.10-3.31) 101 30 44 1.76 (0.03-3.00) 93 190 (1.10-3.31) 101 29 44 1.76 (1.03-3.00) 93 100 1082 30 44 1.76 (1.03-3.01) 93 101 101 203 44 1.76 (1.03-3.03) 94 101 101 218 1.28 (0.78-2.50) 31 122 (0.71-2.28) 36 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Overall</th><th></th><th>Papillary</th><th>Well</th><th>Well-differentiated</th></td<>				Overall		Papillary	Well	Well-differentiated
ain 262 201 1.00 169 1.00 198 ain 146 137 1.30 (0.94–1.78) 116 1.34 (0.96–1.87) 130 88 121 1.65 (1.16–2.35) 104 1.67 (1.15–2.43) 117 Rage ow 30 32 1.21 (0.69–2.14) 44 1.15 (0.63–2.09) 52 fortund igh 30 44 1.76 (1.03–3.00) 93 1.90 (1.10–3.31) 101 for tool for tool for tool for tool for tool $for toolfor tool for toolfor toolfor tool for toolfor toolfor tool for toolfor toolfor toolfor toolfor toolfor toolfoddfor toolfor for for for for for for for for for $	Occupational exposure	Controls	Cases	OR [*] (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR [*] (95% CI)
ait 146 137 1.00 169 1.00 191 1.00 191 146 137 $1.30 (0.94-1.78)$ 116 $1.57 (1.15-2.43)$ 117188 121 $1.65 (1.16-2.33)$ 104 $1.67 (1.15-2.43)$ $11711711891171169117117117111$	Biocides							
tain 146 137 1.30 (0.94-1.78) 116 1.34 (0.96-1.87) 130 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 117 (0.115-2.43) 112 (0.110-3.10) 213 (0.110-3.10) 213 (Never	262	201	1.00	169	1.00	198	1.00
88 121 1.65 (1.16-2.35) 104 1.67 (1.15-2.43) 117 enge 30 32 1.21 (0.69-2.14) 44 1.15 (0.63-2.09) 52 ow 30 32 1.21 (0.69-2.14) 44 1.15 (0.63-2.09) 52 dedium 28 45 2.03 (1.19-3.47) 72 2.03 (1.10-3.31) 101 ρ_{nerod}^{**} 30 44 1.76 (1.03-3.00) 93 1.90 (1.10-3.31) 101 ρ_{nerod}^{**} 30 44 1.76 (1.03-3.00) 93 100 101 ρ_{nerod}^{**} $2.03 (1.19-3.47)$ 72 2.03 (1.10-3.31) 101 ρ_{nerod}^{**} 1.44 1.76 (1.03-3.00) 93 100 1048 ρ_{nerod}^{**} $2.18 (1.28-3.73)$ 39 201(1.10-3.31) 21 44 ρ_{nerod}^{**} $2.03 (1.17-2.99)$ 31 $1.27 (0.71-2.28)$ 36 ρ_{nerod}^{**} $2.03 (1.17-2.90)$ $2.01 (1.17-2.90)$ $2.01 (1.17-2.91)$ 20 ρ_{nerod}^{**}	Uncertain	146	137	1.30 (0.94–1.78)	116	1.34 (0.96–1.87)	130	1.26 (0.91–1.73)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ever	88	121	1.65 (1.16–2.35)	104	1.67 (1.15–2.43)	117	1.61 (1.13–2.30)
66 66 66 30 32 $^{1.10}(6.355)$ 84 11 30 44 $^{1.76}(6.350)$ 32 $^{1.16}(6.355)$ 84 11 30 44 $^{1.76}(0.33.00)$ 93 $^{1.16}(3.55)$ 84 11 30 44 $^{1.76}(0.33.00)$ 93 $^{1.16}(3.55)$ 84 11 $^{1.76}(0.33.00)$ 93 $^{1.16}(3.53)$ 10 11 $^{1.76}(0.33.00)$ 33 $^{1.16}(3.53)$ 31 11 $^{1.76}(0.33.00)$ 33 $^{1.26}(0.32.35)$ 31 11 $^{1.26}(3.5.50)$ 31 $^{1.26}(3.5.2.50)$ 31 11 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 31 $^{1.26}(3.5.2.50)$ 31 $^{1.27}(30-3.97)$ 21 21 21 21 21 <	Probability							
(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	Average							
lim 28 45 $203(1.19-3.47)$ 72 $203(1.16-3.55)$ 84 30 44 $1.76(1.03-3.00)$ 93 $1.90(1.10-3.31)$ 101 eed^{***} 30 44 $1.76(1.03-3.00)$ 93 $1.90(1.10-3.31)$ 101 eed^{***} 0.16 34 $1.76(1.03-3.00)$ 93 $1.90(1.10-3.31)$ 101 eed^{***} 0.16 34 $1.38(0.78-2.42)$ 28 $1.30(0.72-2.35)$ 33 eed^{***} 20 41 $1.46(0.85-2.50)$ 37 $1.54(0.88-2.69)$ 40 10 20 41 $1.46(0.85-2.50)$ 37 $1.54(0.88-2.69)$ 40 10 20 41 $1.46(0.85-2.50)$ 37 $1.54(0.88-2.69)$ 40 10 20 41 $1.46(0.85-2.50)$ 37 $1.54(0.88-2.69)$ 40 10 2081 $1.46(0.85-2.50)$ 31 $1.54(0.88-2.69)$ 40 10081 $2081(1.28-3.73)$	Low	30	32	1.21 (0.69–2.14)	44	1.15 (0.63–2.09)	52	1.17 (0.66–2.07)
1 30 44 $1.76(1.03-3.00)$ 93 $1.90(1.10-3.31)$ 101 read . 0.16 . 0.082 read . 0.16 . 0.082 aive . . 0.16 . 0.082 aive . . 0.082 . 0.082 aive . . 0.082 . 0.082 1 . . $0.081-2.50$. . $1.54(0.78-2.63)$. 1 . . 0.081 . . 0.048 . 1 . . 0.081 . 0.048 . 1 . . 0.081 . . 0.048 1 1 1 1 <th< td=""><td>Medium</td><td>28</td><td>45</td><td>2.03 (1.19–3.47)</td><td>72</td><td>2.03 (1.16–3.55)</td><td>84</td><td>1.95 (1.13–3.35)</td></th<>	Medium	28	45	2.03 (1.19–3.47)	72	2.03 (1.16–3.55)	84	1.95 (1.13–3.35)
** 0.16 0.082 ative 0.16 0.082 ative 29 34 $1.38 (0.78-2.42)$ 28 $1.30 (0.72-2.35)$ 33 imm 30 41 $1.46 (0.85-2.50)$ 37 $1.54 (0.88-2.69)$ 40 1 29 46 $2.18 (1.28-3.73)$ 39 $2.27 (1.30-3.97)$ 44 1 29 46 $2.18 (1.28-3.73)$ 39 $2.27 (1.30-3.97)$ 44 1 29 46 $2.18 (1.28-3.73)$ 39 $2.27 (1.30-3.97)$ 44 1 29 0.081 0.048 0.048 1 20 $1.54 (0.78-3.74)$ 30 $1.27 (0.71-2.28)$ 36 10 39 60 $1.87 (1.17-2.99)$ 54 $2.06 (1.27-3.34)$ 59 10 39 $1.44 (0.83-2.49)$ 30 $1.27 (0.71-2.28)$ 36 10 39 60 $1.87 (1.17-2.99)$ 54 $2.06 (1.27-3.34)$ 59 10 $1.27 (0.76-3.15)$ $2.02 (1.12-3.34)$ 20 0.73 100 $1.27 (0.76-3.15)$ $2.156 (0.76-3.15)$ $2.20 (1.12-3.34)$ $2.20 (1.12-3.34)$ <t< td=""><td>High</td><td>30</td><td>44</td><td>1.76 (1.03–3.00)</td><td>93</td><td>1.90 (1.10–3.31)</td><td>101</td><td>1.76 (1.03–3.02)</td></t<>	High	30	44	1.76 (1.03–3.00)	93	1.90 (1.10–3.31)	101	1.76 (1.03–3.02)
ative 29 34 1.38 (0.78-2.42) 28 1.30 (0.72-2.35) 33 (1.10 11.1 1.46 (0.85-2.50) 37 1.54 (0.88-2.69) 40 (1.10 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	$P_{fortrend}^{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			0.16		0.082		0.11
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cumulative							
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Low	29	34	1.38 (0.78–2.42)	28	1.30 (0.72–2.35)	33	1.32 (0.75–2.32)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Medium	30	41	1.46 (0.85–2.50)	37	1.54 (0.88–2.69)	40	1.43 (0.83–2.46)
** 0.081 0.048 read 30 38 $1.44(0.83-2.49)$ 30 $1.27(0.71-2.28)$ 36 ilium 39 60 $1.87(1.17-2.99)$ 54 $2.06(1.27-3.34)$ 59 in 19 23 $1.54(0.78-3.04)$ 20 $1.55(0.76-3.15)$ 22 $tend$ ** 0.91 20 $1.55(0.76-3.15)$ 22 $tend$ ** 0.91 0.73 0.73 23 $tend$ ** 0.91 36 0.73 35 $tend$ ** 0.41 38 $2.06(1.17-3.60)$ 39 $tend$ ** 0.41 0.41 0.43	High	29	46	2.18 (1.28–3.73)	39	2.27 (1.30–3.97)	44	2.15 (1.25–3.70)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			0.081		0.048		0.041
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Intensity							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Average							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Low	30	38	1.44 (0.83–2.49)	30	1.27 (0.71–2.28)	36	1.34 (0.77–2.34)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Medium	39	60	1.87 (1.17–2.99)	54	2.06 (1.27–3.34)	59	1.86 (1.16–2.99)
* 0.91 0.73 32 36 1.15 (0.67–1.99) 31 1.12 (0.63–1.99) 35 27 40 1.99 (1.14–3.47) 35 2.02 (1.13–3.60) 39 29 45 1.95 (1.14–3.34) 38 2.06 (1.17–3.60) 43 * 0.41 0.25	High	19	23	1.54 (0.78–3.04)	20	1.55 (0.76–3.15)	22	1.52 (0.77–3.03)
32 36 1.15 (0.67–1.99) 31 1.12 (0.63–1.99) 35 27 40 1.99 (1.14–3.47) 35 2.02 (1.13–3.60) 39 29 45 1.95 (1.14–3.34) 38 2.06 (1.17–3.60) 43 <i>0.41</i> 0.25	$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			16:0		0.73		0.82
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cumulative							
Im 27 40 1.99 (1.14–3.47) 35 2.02 (1.13–3.60) 39 29 45 1.95 (1.14–3.34) 38 2.06 (1.17–3.60) 43 ** $0.4I$ $0.4I$ 0.25	Low	32	36	1.15 (0.67–1.99)	31	1.12 (0.63–1.99)	35	1.11 (0.64–1.92)
29 45 1.95 (1.14–3.34) 38 2.06 (1.17–3.60) 43 a_{ad}^{**} 0.41 0.25	Medium	27	40	1.99 (1.14–3.47)	35	2.02 (1.13–3.60)	39	1.96 (1.12–3.42)
** 0.41 0.25	High	29	45	1.95 (1.14–3.34)	38	2.06 (1.17–3.60)	43	1.92 (1.12–3.31)
	$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			0.41		0.25		0.28

Author Manuscript

-	-		Overall		Papillary	Well	Well-differentiated
Occupational exposure Controls	Controls	Cases	Cases OR^* (95% CI) Cases OR^* (95% CI) Cases OR^* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)
Pesticides							
Never	385	347	1.00	301	1.00	339	1.00
Uncertain	100	125	1.19 (0.85–1.66) 84	84	1.09 (0.76–1.56) 100 1.16 (0.83–1.64)	100	1.16(0.83 - 1.64)
Ever	11	7	7 0.95 (0.33–2.72) 4 0.52 (0.14–1.88) 6 0.84 (0.28–2.56)	4	0.52 (0.14–1.88)	9	0.84 (0.28–2.56)

** Calculated by continuous variables among exposed participants. Table 3

Occupational exposure to biocides and pesticides and risk of thyroid cancer by gender.

		Female	ale		M	Male	
Occupational exposure	Cases	Controls	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	Controls	OR* (95% CI)	P for interaction
Biocides							
Never	157	170	1.00	44	92	1.00	
Uncertain	112	95	1.44 (0.99–2.11)	25	51	1.10 (0.57-2.09)	
Ever	103	LT	1.48 (1.00–2.19)	18	11	3.11 (1.25–7.72)	0.18
Probability							
Average							
Low	24	24	1.02 (0.53–1.95)	8	9	2.19 (0.65–7.43)	
Medium	36	24	1.61 (0.89–2.94)	6	4	5.31 (1.39–20.29)	
High	43	29	1.77 (1.02–3.09)	1	1	1.46 (0.07–28.82)	
$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			0.16			0.45	0.22
Cumulative							
Low	25	22	1.13 (0.58–2.17)	6	٢	2.16 (0.68–6.84)	
Medium	35	29	1.22 (0.68–2.18)	9	1	9.82 (0.99–97.51)	
High	43	26	2.13 (1.20–3.79)	ю	3	3.33 (0.59–18.82)	
$P_{fortrend}^{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			0.092			0.70	0.23
Intensity							
Average							
Low	31	26	1.17 (0.63–2.15)	7	4	3.63 (0.92–14.32)	
Medium	54	36	1.75 (1.05–2.90)	9	с	3.37 (0.72–15.76)	
High	18	15	1.40 (0.64–3.06)	5	4	2.37 (0.53-10.61)	
$P_{fortrend}^{\ \ st}$			0.59			0.39	0.23
Cumulative							
Low	31	28	1.07 (0.59–1.94)	5	4	$1.76\ (0.41-7.60)$	
Medium	32	24	1.53 (0.83–2.82)	×	ю	6.26 (1.35–28.93)	
High	40	25	1.95 (1.08–3.52)	5	4	2.61 (0.58–11.71)	
** **			0 27			0 20	200

Author Manuscript

		Female	ale		Male	le	
Occupational exposure	Cases	Controls	Cases Controls $OR^{*}(95\% \text{ CI})$ Cases Controls $OR^{*}(95\% \text{ CI})$	Cases	Controls	OR* (95% CI)	P for interaction
Pesticides							
Never	284	272	1.00	63	113	1.00	
Uncertain	86	64	1.25 (0.84–1.86) 19	19	36	1.14 (0.57–2.32)	
Ever	7	9	0.26 (0.04–1.61) 5	5	S	3.33 (0.80–13.85)	0.48

** Calculated by continuous variables among exposed participants.

Zeng et al.

Table 4

Associations between biocides and pesticides and risk of thyroid cancer by tumor size.

			Papillary	llary			Well-differentiated	erentiated	
Occuational exposure			1 cm		>1 cm		1 cm		>1 cm
	Controls	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)
Biocides									
Never	262	76	1.00	91	1.00	91	1.00	104	1.00
Uncertain	146	54	1.40 (0.90–2.16)	62	1.35 (0.89–2.05)	55	1.18 (0.77–1.79)	75	1.42 (0.96–2.11)
Ever	88	59	1.89 (1.20–2.97)	44	1.45 (0.90–2.33)	67	1.82 (1.18–2.79)	48	1.40 (0.88–2.21)
Probability									
Average									
Low	30	12	1.01 (0.46–2.21)	14	1.21 (0.58–2.53)	14	1.04 (0.50–2.15)	17	1.31 (0.66–2.62)
Medium	28	18	2.06 (1.03-4.13)	21	2.09 (1.07-4.08)	21	2.07 (1.07-4.00)	22	1.96 (1.01–3.78)
High	30	29	2.65 (1.43-4.91)	6	1.06 (0.46–2.41)	32	2.40 (1.32-4.36)	6	0.92 (0.41–2.09)
$P_{for trend}^{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $			0.0093		0.79		0.013		0.51
Cumulative									
Low	29	15	1.44 (0.70–3.00)	13	1.14 (0.54–2.41)	18	1.54 (0.78–3.04)	15	1.16 (0.57–2.37)
Medium	30	18	2.47 (0.73–2.94)	19	1.65 (0.84–3.25)	19	1.29 (0.66–2.53)	21	1.66 (0.86–3.20)
High	29	26	2.88 (1.51–5.47)	12	1.59 (0.74–3.44)	30	2.74 (1.48–5.04)	12	1.37 (0.63–2.94)
$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			0.014		0.81		0.010		0.95
Intensity									
Average									
Low	30	13	1.05 (0.49–2.25)	17	1.47 (0.73–2.95)	16	1.18 (0.58–2.37)	20	1.55 (0.80–3.01)
Medium	39	34	2.53 (1.43–4.47)	19	1.47 (0.77–2.81)	38	2.32 (1.34-4.01)	20	1.36 (0.72–2.55)
High	19	12	2.01 (0.87-4.64)	×	1.35 (0.54–3.42)	13	1.84 (0.82-4.12)	×	1.21 (0.48–3.05)
$P_{fortrend}^{**}$			0.25		0.56		0.33		0.41
Cumulative									
Low	32	17	1.32 (0.66–2.64)	14	0.98 (0.48–2.02)	19	1.30 (0.67–2.52)	16	0.99 (0.49–1.98)
Medium	27	17	1.69 (0.82–3.48)	18	2.26 (1.13-4.55)	19	1.68 (0.84–3.35)	20	2.26 (1.15–4.44)
High	29	25	2.83 (1.48–5.39)	12	1.37 (0.63–2.96)	29	2.58 (1.39-4.76)	12	1.19 (0.55–2.56)

\geq
~
Ħ
Ч
ō
Ξ.
<
≦ a
<
≦ a
Manu
≦ a
Manu
Manus
Manuscr

			Papillary	llary			Well-differentiated	erentiated	-
Occuational exposure			1 cm		>1 cm		1 cm		>1 cm
	Controls	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	Cases OR^* (95% CI) Cases OR^* (95% CI) Cases OR^* (95% CI) Cases OR^* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)	Cases	OR* (95% CI)
$P_{for trend}^{**}$			0.072		0.97		0.091		0.76
Pesticides									
Never	385	141	1.00	157	1.00	158	1.00	177	1.00
Uncertain	100	46	1.30 (0.84–2.02)	38	0.95 (0.60–1.50)	51	1.29 (0.85–1.96) 48	48	1.06 (0.69–1.63)
Ever	11	2	$0.54\ (0.09 - 3.13)$	2	2 0.54 (0.09-3.13) 2 0.42 (0.07-2.32) 4 1.29 (0.35-4.79) 2	4	1.29 (0.35-4.79)	7	0.39 (0.07–2.17)

Adjusted for age (continuous), gender, race, education, BMI, family history of thyroid cancer, history of benign thyroid disease, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity.

** Calculated by continuous variables among exposed participants.