# Inhibition of DNA binding of purified p55v-myc in vitro by antibodies against bacterially expressed  $myc$  protein and a synthetic peptide

### Thomas Bunte, Peter Donner, Eberhard Pfaff<sup>2</sup>, Bernd Reis' Irene Greiser-Wilke, Heinz Schaller' and Karin Moelling

Max-Planck-Institut fur Molekulare Genetik, Ihnestrasse 63, 1000 Berlin 33 (West), <sup>1</sup>Institut für Mikrobiologie der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, 6900 Heidelberg, and <sup>2</sup>Zentrum für Molekulare Biologie, Im Neuenheimer Feld 364, 6900 Heidelberg, FRG

Communicated by K.Moelling

To identify viral  $myc$  proteins, we have prepared  $myc$ -specific antibodies: (i) against a synthetic peptide corresponding to the nine carboxy-terminal amino acids of the viral  $myc$  (C<sub>o</sub>); (ii) against a bacterially expressed viral  $mvc$  protein obtained by inserting the Sall-BamHI fragment of the viral MC29 DNA clone in the expression vector pPLc24. Both antisera recognize a protein of 55 000 mol. wt.,  $p55^{v-myc}$ , in MH2and OK10-transformed fibroblasts. The protein is located in the nucleus, as shown by indirect immunofluorescence and cell fractionation. Antibodies against the  $C<sub>9</sub>$  peptide were used to purify the p55<sup>v-myc</sup> by immunoaffinity column purification (3000-fold) from OK10- and MH2-transformed fibroblasts. p55<sup>v-myc</sup> binds to double-stranded DNA in vitro as does p110<sup>gag-myc</sup>. DNA binding in vitro is inhibited by the immunoglobulin fraction of antibodies against the bacterially expressed  $myc$  protein. Furthermore, a synthetic peptide consisting of 16 amino acids  $(C_{16})$  was used to isolate specific immunoglobulins which also inhibit DNA binding in vitro. OK10 codes, in addition to  $p55^{v-myc}$ , for a  $p200$ <sup>gag-pol-myc</sup> polyprotein. The majority of this protein is located in the cytoplasm  $(79\%)$ . The purified protein binds to singlestranded RNA in vitro, unlike other gag-myc or myc proteins. Key words: DNA binding site/fused and unfused myc proteins/MH2 and OK1O/immunoaffinity purification/inhibition of DNA binding

#### Introduction

The family of avian myelocytomatosis viruses comprises several isolates besides MC29, including CMII isolated in Germany, MH2 <sup>a</sup> British strain and OKIO originating from Finland (Graf and Beug, 1978). MC29 and CMII code for transformation-specific fusion proteins, p110gag-myc and p9Ogag-myc, respectively. MH2 and OKIO encode myc sequences which are, however, not linked to gag (Saule et al., 1982). The gag-linked protein of MH2 is not of the gag-myc type, since it is located in the cytoplasm instead of the nucleus and it binds in vitro to single-stranded RNA. The latter property is unprecedented amongst other known oncogene products (Bunte et al., 1983). Analysis of the MH2 genome revealed that it has two oncogenes, a gag-mil and a myc gene (Jansen et al., 1983). OK10 codes for a myc-specific sequence which is, however expressed in two ways, as a large fusion protein, p200gag-pol-myc and, in addition, as an unlinked  $myc$ protein. OK10 also expresses Pr76<sup>gag</sup> in large quantities (Ramsay et al., 1980). The p110<sup>gag-myc</sup> protein is located in the nucleus of transformed cells (Donner et al., 1982; Abrams et al., 1982) and binds to double-stranded DNA in vitro (Donner et al., 1982). The DNA-binding ability of the gagmyc protein correlates with transformation, since it is largely reduced in deleted proteins from transformation-defective mutants (Donner et al., 1982). A sequence specificity of DNA-protein interaction has not yet been shown (Moelling et al., 1984a).

The contribution of the gag-specific portions to the gagmyc proteins has so far been evaluated only indirectly, by comparing the fusion proteins with the gag precursor Pr76gag, and was considered negligible. Recently, however, a dramatic effect of gag regions fused to another oncogene, *abl*, has been described. The gag region of the gag-abl protein is necessary for its oncogenic potential in lymphocytes (Prywes et al., 1983). The role of gag sequences in the case of gag-myc, therefore, needs to be defined. To this end, we have purified the gag-free p55<sup>v-myc</sup> protein and the large polyprotein p200gag-pol-myc and analyzed their properties in vitro. While p55v-myc is a DNA-binding protein similar to pl 10gag-myc, p200gag-POI-mYc resides in the cytoplasm and exhibits RNA/DNA-binding properties which may be attributed to the presence of pol sequences. Synthetic peptide antibodies which inhibit DNA-protein interaction in vitro allow mapping of the domain of the myc protein involved in DNAbinding.

### **Results**

#### Preparation of myc-specific antibodies

Three types of *myc*-specific antibodies were produced. The first type, prepared against a synthetic peptide corresponding to the nine carboxy-terminal amino acids of the viral  $myc$  gene (Leu-Glu-Gln-Leu-Arg-Asn-Ser-Arg-Ala, Alitalo et al., (1983a), precipitate a p55v-myc protein from cells transformed by MC29 viruses (Moelling et al., 1984a). A similar peptide has also been used for antibody production by Hann *et al.* (1983). A second type of myc-specific antibody was raised against bacterially expressed viral myc sequences. To express the viral protein in bacteria, an expression vector was chosen which carries a strong leftward promoter of the bacteriophage lambda. The activity of the promoter can be controlled by using host strains that carry the gene for a temperaturesensitive lambda repressor (c/Ats). This expression vector, pPLc24, also carries information for the first 99 amino acids of the replicase gene of the bacteriophage MS2, followed by unique sites for restriction endonucleases (Remaut et al., 1981). MC29 has been cloned as double-stranded DNA into pBR322 (Lautenberger et al., 1981). Insertion of a Sall/BamHI fragment into a pPLc24 resulted in expression of a fusion protein of 35 000 daltons which represents  $\sim 10\%$ of the bacterial protein content. The polypeptide consists of 99 amino acids of the MS2 replicase followed by <sup>198</sup> amino



Fig. 1. Indirect immunoprecipitation of myc proteins from [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine-labeled cellular extracts. The cells used were the MC29-transformed quail fibroblast line MC29-Q8, mutant infected quail cell line QIOH, MH2-transformed quail fibroblasts (MH2), and OKIO-transformed fibroblasts (OKIO). The sera used were: normal rabbit serum (NRS); rabbit antiserum against p19 (Rap19); rabbit antiserum against the C-terminal viral myc nonapeptide (RaC<sub>9</sub>); rabbit antiserum against the bacterially expressed viral myc protein (Romyc); purified IgG against the C<sub>16</sub> peptide ( $\alpha$ C<sub>16</sub>IgG), + C indicates competition of the immunoprecipitation with an excess of C<sub>9</sub> peptide (10  $\mu$ g) or an excess of the bacterially expressed myc protein (20  $\mu$ g). M indicates <sup>14</sup>C-labeled mol. wt. markers (from top to bottom): 97 K, 68 K, 53 K, 44 K, 30 K.

acids specific for the carboxy-terminal half of the viral  $myc$ gene (corresponding to amino acids  $228 - 425$ ) (Alitalo *et al.*, 1983a). The cloned region comprises those sequences which presumably are deleted from the three non-transforming deletion mutants (Bister et al., 1982). The MS2-myc fusion protein was eluted from gels and used for immunization. Two other groups prepared antibodies against bacterially expressed v- $myc$  while this work was in progress (Lautenberger et al., 1983; Alitalo et al., 1983b). A third type of myc-specific antibody was obtained by isolating a subpopulation of immunoglobulins from the serum against the bacterially expressed v-myc protein by using an immobilized synthetic peptide of 16 amino acids, C16 (Tyr-Glu-Glu-Glu-Gln-Glu-Glu-Asp-Glu-Glu-Ile-Asp-Val-Val-Thr-Leu-Ala), corresponding to amino acid numbers  $239 - 254$  of the v-myc gene (Alitalo et al., 1983a) to which Tyr was added.

#### Identification of the v-myc protein

Figure <sup>1</sup> shows the analysis by indirect immunoprecipitation of MC29-, MH2- and OK10-transformed fibroblasts with the two *myc*-specific antibodies in comparison with the monoclonal antibody against p19. The  $myc$ -specific sera precipitate p110<sup>gag-myc</sup> from MC29 cells and proteins of  $\sim$  55 000 mol. wt. from MH2- and OK1O-transformed cells. The specificity of the precipitations is shown by competition with excess of synthetic peptide or bacterial *myc* protein, respectively. The p55 molecule is therefore considered to be the viral  $myc$  protein p55v-myc. In the case of MH2 p55V-mYc is <sup>a</sup> doublet. From OK10 cells we also precipitated a p200<sup>gag-pol-myc</sup> protein. The p1 IOgag-myc, p55v-myc and p2009ag-POl-myc are phosphorylated (Hann et al., 1983; Moelling et al., 1984a). IgG specific for the  $C_{16}$  peptide allows precipitation of the intact p110 gag-myc protein but fails to recognize the deleted mutant protein p90 of the mutant virus QIOH (Ramsay et al., 1980).

### Cellular localization of  $p55$ <sup>v-myc</sup>

Indirect immunofluorescence analysis was performed with normal quail fibroblasts and MC29-, OK10- and MH2 transformed fibroblasts, using  $C_9$  peptide antibodies and monoclonal antibodies against p19 as described previously. The results are shown in Figure 2. *myc*-specific sera give rise to nuclear fluorescence similar to the gag-myc protein in MC29 cells (Donner et al., 1982). The gag-linked fusion proteins in the case of OK1O- and MH2-transformed fibroblasts result in total-cell fluorescence (Figure 2). Antisera against the bacterially expressed myc protein gave identical results (not shown). Competition with synthetic peptide or bacterial  $myc$ protein abolished the fluorescence (not shown). Similar data were obtained by Hann et al. (1983).

## Isolation of p55<sup>y-myc</sup> from MH2- and OK10-transformed cells and p200gag-pol-myc

MH2- and OK10-transformed fibroblasts were labeled with



Fig. 2. Double-indirect immunofluorescence was performed with IgG of monoclonal antibodies against p19 ( $\alpha$ p19) as described (Donner *et al.*, 1982) and rabbit antibodies against the C-terminal viral myc-specific nonapeptide ( $\alpha$ C<sub>9</sub>myc). The second antibody against the mouse monoclonal IgG was rhodaminecoupled rabbit antimouse IgG, and the one against the rabbit serum was fluorescein-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG. The cell types used were the same as those described in Figure 1. PC indicates the identical cells by phase contrast microscopy. Magnification is 360-fold.

[<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (250  $\mu$ Ci/ml) for 2 h and applied to an immunoaffinity column. The column material was prepared by covalently coupling the myc-specific immunoglobulin (IgG) fraction of the antibodies against the synthetic C-terminal peptide  $C_9$ . The IgG fraction was isolated using the  $C_9$  peptide coupled to Sepharose. The purified p55v-myc from MH2 cells is shown in Figure 3A. OK10 cells contain two myc-specific proteins. To separate the p200gag-pol-myc from the p55<sup>v-myc</sup>, an immunoaffinity column containing anti-p19 monoclonal IgG was used first to absorb p200gag-Poly-myc and Pr76gag, which is also expressed. The flow-through material of this column was directly applied to the anti- $C<sub>9</sub>$  immunoaffinity column which bound  $p55^{v-myc}$ . After extensive washings, both columns were eluted separately (Figure 3B).

To identify the purified proteins they were subsequently precipitated with the antibody against the bacterial myc protein. The results are shown in Figure 3A and B. Since both antibodies were isolated by independent approaches, this protein is considered to be p55v-myc.

### Interaction of purified p55<sup>y-myc</sup> and p200<sup>gag-pol-myc</sup> with nucleic acids in vitro

The DNA-binding properties in vitro of p55<sup>v-myc</sup> and p110<sup>gag-</sup> myc were compared in a filter-binding assay. The result is shown in Figure 4A. The amounts of the purified proteins were standardized to equal molar inputs. The purified p2008ag-POl-mYc binds to RNA as well as DNA in vitro (Figure 4B,C). Binding to RNA is <sup>a</sup> property shared by the reverse transcriptase which was therefore tested in parallel (Figure 4C). This result suggests an effect of the pol region on properties of the viral myc protein when it is fused to pol. Cell fractionation analysis confirms the differences between p55v-myc and p200gag-pol-myc. While 78% of the former is nuclear, the majority of the fusion protein, 79%, is cytoplasmic (data not shown).

### Inhibition of DNA-binding of  $p55<sup>y-myc</sup>$  by myc-specific antibodies

The bacterially expressed MS2-myc fusion protein contains the SalI-BamHI region of the MC29 DNA clone (Lautenberger *et al.*, 1981). It therefore comprises the complete  $exon<sub>3</sub>$ and only a small part of exon<sub>2</sub>. Antibodies against the bacterially expressed protein should allow us to determine whether the DNA-binding domain of the *myc* protein is coded for by  $exon_2$  or  $exon_3$ . Therefore, a DNA-p55<sup>v-myc</sup> filterbinding assay was performed in the presence of purified IgG against the bacterial protein. Binding of p55<sup>v-myc</sup> to DNA was strongly inhibited by this IgG. The site of the *myc* protein involved in DNA-binding was specified further by using  $C_{16}$ peptide specific IgG which also interfered with the in vitro DNA binding reaction. In contrast, the IgGs of the  $C<sub>9</sub>$  synthetic peptide antibodies and the p19 monoclonal antibodies showed no significant inhibitory effects (Figure 5), neither did normal rabbit serum (not shown).

## **Discussion**

The viral *myc* gene product is a protein of 55 000 mol. wt., p55v-myc, which is recognized by two types of antisera which were obtained by independent approaches. The p55v-myc purified by means of one type of antibody is recognized after purification by the other, thus proving the specificity of the purification. The p55v-myc is located in the nucleus and after purification binds to double-stranded DNA in vitro. According to these parameters  $p55^{\text{v-myc}}$  closely resembles the *gag*linked p110gag-myc. As was shown recently, p55<sup>v-myc</sup> is a phosphoprotein (Hann et al., 1983; Moelling et al., 1984a).

Using the filter-binding assay for DNA-protein interaction in vitro we attempted to locate the site of the protein molecule involved in DNA binding, by inhibition with the immunoglobulin fractions of various sera. Antibodies against the



Fig. 3. Autoradiogram of transformation-specific proteins purified from MH2-QEF (A) and OK10-QDP 9c (B) cells which were [35S]methioninelabeled. 40 ml lysate (corresponding to 20 Petri dishes of 109 cells) were applied to an immunoaffinity column consisting of rabbit IgG against the  $C<sub>9</sub>$  peptide. After extensive washing, the bound proteins were eluted in fractions of 2 ml. Details of this procedure have been described elsewhere (Donner et al., 1982). (B) The OK1O lysate was first applied to a column containing monoclonal apl9 IgG. Subsequendy the flow-through was directly layered onto the  $\alpha C_9$ myc IgG immunoaffinity column used in (A). Both columns were washed and eluted separately. i: input (5  $\mu$ l of 40 ml). In the case of MH2 (A), the eluted fractions are  $#1$  and  $#2$  (75  $\mu$ l out of 2 ml each). In the case of OK10 (B), 75  $\mu$ l of the eluted material from the first column (el.1, 2 ml total) and 75  $\mu$ l of the eluted material from the second column (el.2, <sup>2</sup> ml total) were applied to the gel. M represents 14C-labeled protein markers (see legend to Figure 1). RIP indicates radioimmunoprecipitation with antibodies against the bacterially expressed myc protein using 1 ml of fraction 2 in (A) and <sup>1</sup> ml of fraction 2 of the second column in the case of (B). f.t. indicates flow through.

bacterially expressed viral myc were quite effective and located the domain of DNA binding to the carboxy-terminal half of the protein. Since antibodies against the so-called  $C_{16}$ peptide also inhibit DNA binding, this region may be particularly important. Two control antibodies, one against the carboxy- and the other one against the amino-terminal ends did not inhibit DNA binding significantly. The  $C_{16}$  peptide was selected for synthesis since the three known transformation-defective deletion mutants map in this domain (Enrietto and Hayman, 1982). Their transforming proteins exhibit reduced DNA binding in vitro (Donner et al., 1983). The inhibition of DNA binding by the  $C_{16}$  peptide antibodies supports the notion that this region is important in DNA binding. While this work was in progress, Ralston and Bishop (1983) published a  $myc$  sequence which by computer analysis appears structurally related to EIA of adenovirus 12. Seven of the 12 aminoacids, which they describe, overlap with amino acids of the  $C_{16}$  peptide. The *myc* protein consists of two domains (Reddy et al., 1983), one of them, the carboxyterminal half which is more hydrophilic and histone-like, is involved in tumorigenicity in birds but is of low relevance to transformation of fibroblasts in vitro (Ramsay et al., 1980). The amino-terminal portion may be important for transformation of fibroblasts.

The virus OK10 codes for a  $p55^{v-myc}$  protein and a large protein, p200gag-pol-myc, with an identical  $myc$  portion. This fusion protein behaved differently from the unlinked  $myc$ protein and resided predominantly in the cytoplasm and furthermore bound to RNA in vitro. This difference between <sup>a</sup> fused and an unfused  $myc$  protein was attributed to the viral replication gene pol whose product apparently directs the p200gag-POI-mYc protein to the cytoplasm. The total amount of p200gag-POI-mYc in the cell is lower than that of p55v-myc and spread over a larger area, so that the cytoplasmic distribution of the p200 still allows the detection of nuclear fluorescence of p55 by myc-specific antibodies. So far the role of fused viral replicating genes has been considered negligible. In the case of OK1O the role of the p2008ag-Pol-myc is unknown. However the *gag* portion of a *gag-abl* gene plays an important role in transformation (Prywes et al., 1983).

Recently, Hann et al. (1983) described the association of the *myc* protein with the nuclear matrix. Our own observations agree with this finding (Moelling et al., 1984b). We furthermore observed the association of  $14\%$  of the *myc* protein with chromatin (Bunte *et al.*, 1982). The properties of the myc protein may resemble those of actively transcribed ovalbumin genes which involve nuclear matrix association as well as DNA interaction (Ciejek et al., 1983). One property does not exclude another one.

While this work was in progress, similar *myc*-specific antibodies were obtained by other groups. A carboxy-terminal synthetic peptide, 12 amino acids in length, was used to identify a protein of 62 000 daltons in MH2- and also OK10 transformed cells (Hann et al., 1983). Differences in mol. wt. determinations may be due to the gel systems and markers used. Our designation of p55 was based on a commercially available mol. wt. marker set (see Figure 1). A protein similar in size to the one described here has been reported by Alitalo et al. (1983b). They prepared antibodies against a chimeric bacterial protein consisting of pp60src (151 amino acids) and myc-specific sequences (116 amino acids of myc from exon.), and identified a protein of 57 000 daltons. The carboxyterminal half of the myc gene, spanning the ClaI to the BamHI sites, which is slightly smaller than the one described here (SalI-BamHI), has recently been successfully cloned for protein expression by Lautenberger et al. (1983).

Our  $myc$ -specific antibodies allowed us to identify  $myc$ gene products in other cell types, e.g., in RP9, an avian leukosis virus-induced lymphoma cell line (Hayward et al., 1981) and in HeLa cells. In RP9 cells a molecule of 55 000 daltons was identified, whereas in HeLa cells a molecule of 64 000 mol. wt. was detected (unpublished observation). How such <sup>a</sup> molecule relates to the ones published by others (Hann et al., 1983; Giallongo et al., 1983), is not yet clear.

#### Materials and methods

#### Cells

The MC29-Q8-NP fibroblastic cell line (Bister et al., 1977) MH2-transformed quail embryo fibroblasts (MH2-QEF) originating from C.Moscovici, Gainsville, Florida, and the OKlO-transformed cell line OKIO-QDP-9C from S.Pfeifer-Ohlsson and A.Vaheri, Helsinki, Finland, were used. OKIO cells produce Pr76<sup>gag</sup>, the other cell lines are not virus-producing. Cells were grown



Fig. 4. Filter-binding assays of purified proteins. (A) Equal molar amounts of purified p110<sup>gag-myc</sup> and P55<sup>v-myc</sup> from MC29- and MH2-transformed cells, respectively, were analyzed in vitro for DNA binding using [<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine-labeled normal chicken cell DNA (10<sup>5</sup> c.p.m./ $\mu$ g). (B) Purified p110<sup>gag-myc</sup> and p200<sup>gag-pol-myc</sup> from MC29- and OK10-transformed cells were tested for their abilities to bind to DNA in vitro. Since the preparation of p200 contains large amounts of Pr76<sup>gag</sup> and other p19-related viral proteins, no quantitative comparison with p110 is possible. (C) Binding of purified p200 and purified RNAdependent DNA polymerase from avian myeloblastosis virus were tested for their abilities to bind to radioactively labeled poly(A)-containing normal cell RNA  $(1.8 \times 10^4 \text{ c.p.m.}/\mu\text{g})$ . p55<sup>v-myc</sup> is shown for comparison.



Fig. 5. Inhibition of DNA binding of purified p110 $g_{\text{ag-myc}}$  and p55 $v_{\text{rms}}$  by specific IgGs of various sera. The purified myc-specific proteins were preincubated for <sup>15</sup> min at 4°C with increasing amounts of various IgGs as indicated. Subsequently <sup>a</sup> DNA filter-binding assay was performed as described (Donner et al., 1982). Radioactive DNA bound by antibodies in the absence of myc proteins was considered background and therefore subtracted.  $\alpha p19^{mono}$ : monoclonal IgG directed against viral p19;  $\alpha C_9$ : IgG against the C<sub>9</sub> viral myc peptide;  $\alpha$ myc: IgG from antibodies raised against the cloned and bacterially expressed v-myc protein;  $\alpha C_{16}$ : IgG against the  $C_{16}$  peptide mapping close to the Clal site.



Fig. 6. Diagram of the *myc* gene.

in 1/2 <sup>x</sup> Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), 1/2 <sup>x</sup> RPMI, <sup>1</sup> mM Hepes, 5% tryptose phosphate broth (TPB), 0.5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO),  $1\%$  heat-inactivated (30 min,  $56\degree$ C) chicken serum and  $5\%$ newborn calf serum, except for OK10 cells which contained 5% fetal calf serum. All other procedures have been previously described (Donner et al., 1982).

#### Indirect immunofluorescence

Double-label technique was used. Mouse anti-p19 monoclonal antibodies were diluted 1:40 and rabbit anti-C<sub>9</sub> peptide serum was diluted 1:20. Second antibodies (1:100) were either labeled with rhodamine (anti-mouse IgG) or fluorescein (anti-rabbit IgG). Further details have been described (Donner et al., 1982).

#### Molecular cloning of the viral myc gene

To express viral  $myc$  sequences in bacteria, an expression vector was chosen which carries a strong leftward promoter of the bacteriophage lambda. The activity of the promoter can be controlled by using host strains that carry the gene for a temperature-sensitive lambda repressor  $(c/\lambda)$ . This expression vector, pPLc24, also carries information for the first 99 amino acids of the replicase gene of the bacteriophage MS2, followed by unique restriction sites for the restriction endonucleases BamHI and HindIII (Remaut et al., 1981). The MC29 viral RNA genome has been cloned as double-stranded DNA into pBR322 (Lautenberger et al., 1981). Several efforts of cloning sequences of this DNA into pPLc24 were undertaken (see Figure <sup>6</sup> for diagram of the myc gene).

(i) The cloned BamHI-BamHI fragment comprised part of p19, p1O, the deleted p27 ( $\alpha$ p27), and the complete myc exons  $_2$  and  $_3$  (ex<sub>2</sub>, ex<sub>3</sub>) and a portion of the envelope (env) sequence. The protein expressed had a mol. wt. of

75 000 daltons and contained a small *myc*-specific region of  $\sim$  5000 daltons, only. The amount of protein corresponded to  $\sim 3\%$  of the bacterial lysate. Antibodies obtained were directed against p19, whereas no myc-specific serum was obtained.

(ii) The clone described in (i) was restricted with SstI, a linker was inserted and expression in all three reading frames performed. Strong expression was obtained in a wrong frame resulting in a molecule of  $\sim$  30 000 daltons. Detectable expression in the correct reading frame was not achieved.

(iii) The BcII site which includes 20 amino acids of  $p27$  was also used for myc cloning. Even though sequencing analysis indicated that the  $myc$  gene was inserted in the correct reading frame, no significant protein expression was obtained.

(iv) Cloning of the SalI-BamHI fragment resulted in expression of an MS2 myc fusion protein of 35 000 mol. wt. It comprises exon, and a small part of  $e$ xon<sub>2</sub>. The polypeptide consists of  $\sim$ 99 amino acids of MS2 polymerase and 198 amino acids out of the carboxy-terminal myc-specific amino acids. The total *myc* protein corresponds to  $\sim$  10% of the total bacterial protein 2 h after induction. The protein was highly immunogenic but is rather insoluble. The specific region was sliced out of a gel, the protein eluted and injected after mixing with adjuvants.

The protein expressed as described in (i) served to absorb out antibodies against the MS2-specific portion of the serum obtained in (iv).

Preparation of antibodies against synthetic peptides and bacterial myc protein Synthetic peptides were synthesized by Bachem AG, Bubendorf, Switzerland. Two peptides were selected: the nine carboxy-terminal amino acids of the viral myc to which a tyrosine was added at the amino end  $C<sub>9</sub>$ : Tyr-Leu-Glu-Gln-Leu-Arg-Asn-Ser-Arg-Ala. Furthermore, a peptide designated as  $C_{16}$  peptide was selected consisting of 16 amino acids and a tyrosine corresponding to the v-myc amino acid 239 - 254: Tyr-Glu-Glu-Glu-Glu-Glu-Glu-Asp-Glu-Glu-Ile-Asp-Val-Val-Thr-Leu-Ala.

Rabbit serum against the  $C_9$  peptide was obtained after several injections of a complex consisting of glutaraldehyde-treated peptide and keyhole limpet hemocyanine (KLH) according to Pfaff et al. (1982). In order to isolate specific anti- $C_9$  peptide antibodies, 12 mg of peptide were coupled covalently to N-hydroxy-succinimide-activated Sepharose with a 6-carbon spacer arm according to the procedure described by the supplier (Pharmacia, activated CH Sepharose 4B). 10 ml of antiserum was applied to the peptide column. The column was washed extensively with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, <sup>17</sup> mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.6 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4, 120 mM NaCl) and the specific antibodies were eluted with 1.5 M NaSCN in PBS and dialyzed against PBS.

To isolate myc-specific protein from the sera against the bacterial myc protein, <sup>5</sup> g of bacteria were lysed with lysozyme, treated with DNase I, dialyzed against PBS and cross-linked with 2.5%o glutaraldehyde (Avremeas and Ternynck, 1969). This material was treated with 5 ml of serum to absorb the mycspecific antibodies and wash away the unspecific ones. Elution was performed with 1.5 M NaSCN as described above. The procedure was performed twice, once with the protein expressed as described in (ii), to absorb MS2 specificity and subsequently with the bacterial myc protein described in (iv). The  $C_{16}$  peptide did not yet give rise to high-titer antibodies. To speed up the isolation of specific antibodies, the  $C_{16}$  peptide was immobilized on Sepharose as described for the  $C_9$  peptide. Serum against the bacterial myc protein was applied to this column, the specific antibodies against the  $C_{16}$  peptide were bound and subsequently eluted for further use. There were defined as  $C_{16}$  peptide-specific immunoglobulins.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Egon Amann, Marburg, for his initial help with the cloning experiments and his continuous interest in this study. We are very grateful to Dr. T.S.Papas for supplying us with the cloned MC29 DNA. We thank Dr. C.Moscovici, Florida, and Dr. S.Pfeifer-Ohlsson and A.Vaheri, Finland, for supplying the MH2 and OKIO cell lines, respectively. The excellent technical assistance of Sabine Richter, Gabriele Czerny and Comelia Oetzel is gratefully acknowledged. Keith Ashmon, Berlin, was very helpful in selecting amino acid sequences for peptide synthesis.

#### References

- Abrams,H.D., Rohrschneider,L.R. and Eisenman,R.N. (1982) Cell, 29, 427-439.
- Alitalo,K., Bishop,J.M., Smith,D.H., Chen,E.Y., Colby,W.W. and Levinson,A.D. (1983a) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 80, 100-104.
- Alitalo,K., Ramsay,G., Bishop,J.M., Pfeifer-Ohlsson,S., Colby,W.W. and Levinson,A.D. (1983b) Nature, 306, 274-277.
- Avremeas,S. and Ternynck,T. (1969) Immunochemistry, 6, 53-6.
- Bister,K., Hayman,M.J. and Vogt,P.K. (1977) Virology, 82, 431-448.
- Bister,K., Ramsay,G.M. and Hayman,M.J. (1982) J. Virol., 41, 754-766.
- Bunte, T., Greiser-Wilke, I., Donner, P. and Moelling, K. (1982) *EMBO J.*, 1, 919-927.
- Bunte,T., Greiser-Wilke,I. and Moelling,K. (1983) EMBO J., 2, 1087-1092. Ciejek,E.M., Tsai,M.-J. and O'Malley,B.W. (1983) Nature, 306, 607-609.
- Donner, P., Greiser-Wilke, I. and Moelling, K. (1982) Nature, 296, 262-266.

Donner,P., Bunte,T., Greiser-Wilke,I. and Moelling,K. (1983) Proc. Natl.

- Acad. Sci. USA, 80, 2861-2865.
- Enrietto, P.J. and Hayman, M.J. (1982) J. Virol., 44, 711-715.
- Giallongo,A., Appella,E., Riccardi,R., Rovera,G. and Croce,C.M. (1983) Science (Wash.), 222, 430-432.
- Graf,T. and Beug,H. (1978) Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 516, 269-299.
- Hann,S.R., Abrams,H., Rohrschneider,L.R. and Eisenman,R.M. (1983) Cell, 34, 789-798.
- Hayward,W.S., Neel,B.C. and Astrin,S.M. (1981) Nature, 290, 475-480.
- Jansen,H.W., Ruckert,B., Lurz,R. and Bister,K. (1983) EMBO J., 2, 1969- 1975.
- Lautenberger,J.A., Schulz,R.A., Garon,C.F., Tsichlis,P.N. and Papas,T.S. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 78, 1518-1522.
- Lautenberger, J.A., Court, D. and Papas, T.S. (1983) Gene, 23, 75-84.
- Moelling,K., Bunte,T., Greiser-Wilke,I., Donner,P. and Pfaff,E. (1984a) in Levine,A. and van de Woude,G. (eds.), Cell Proliferation and Cancer, Vol. II, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, NY, in press.
- Moelling,K., Benter,T., Bunte,T., Pfaff,E., Deppert,W., Egly,J.M. and Miyamoto,N.B. (1984b) Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol., in press.
- Pfaff,E., Mussgay,M., Bohm,H.O., Schulz,G.E. and Schaller,H. (1982) EMBO J., 1, 869-874.
- Prywes,R., Foulkes,J.G., Rosenberg,N. and Baltimore,D. (1983) Cell, 34, 569-579.
- Ralston,R. and Bishop,J.M. (1983) Nature, 306, 803-806.
- Ramsay,G.M., Graf,T. and Hayman,M.J. (1980) Nature, 288, 170-172.
- Reddy,E.P., Reynolds,R.K., Watson,D.K., Schultz,R.A., Lautenberger,J. and Papas,T.S. (1983) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA, 80, 2500-2504.
- Remaut,E., Stanssens,P. and Fiers,W. (1981) Gene, 15, 81-93.
- Saule,S., Sergeant,A., Torpier,G., Raes,M.B., Pfeifer,S. and Stehelin,D. (1982) J. Virol., 42, 71-82.

Received on 8 May 1984; revised on 28 May 1984