

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cell Rep.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 30.

Published in final edited form as: *Cell Rep.* 2017 August 22; 20(8): 1921–1935. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.008.

SAMHD1 Promotes DNA End Resection to Facilitate DNA Repair by Homologous Recombination

Waaqo Daddacha¹, Allyson E. Koyen¹, Amanda J. Bastien¹, PamelaSara E. Head¹, Vishal R. Dhere¹, Geraldine N. Nabeta¹, Erin C. Connolly¹, Erica Werner^{1,2}, Matthew Z. Madden¹, Michele B. Daly³, Elizabeth V. Minten¹, Donna R. Whelan⁴, Ashley J. Schlafstein¹, Hui Zhang¹, Roopesh Anand⁵, Christine Doronio¹, Allison E. Withers¹, Caitlin Shepard³, Ranjini K. Sundaram⁶, Xingming Deng¹, William S. Dynan^{1,2}, Ya Wang¹, Ranjit S. Bindra⁶, Petr Cejka⁵, Eli Rothenberg⁴, Paul W. Doetsch^{1,2}, Baek Kim³, and David S. Yu^{1,7}

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322 USA ²Department of Biochemistry, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322 ³Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322 ⁴Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016 ⁵Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Università della Svizzera italiana, Via Vela 6, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland ⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520 USA

SUMMARY

DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair by homologous recombination (HR) is initiated by CtIP/ MRN-mediated DNA end resection to maintain genome integrity. SAMHD1 is a dNTP triphosphohydrolase, which restricts HIV-1 infection, and mutations are associated with Aicardi-Goutières syndrome and cancer. We show that SAMHD1 has a dNTPase-independent function in promoting DNA end resection to facilitate DSB repair by HR. SAMHD1 deficiency or Vpxmediated degradation causes hypersensitivity to DSB-inducing agents, and SAMHD1 is recruited to DSBs. SAMHD1 complexes with CtIP via a conserved carboxyl-terminal domain and recruits CtIP to DSBs to facilitate end resection and HR. Significantly, a cancer-associated mutant with

Correspondence to: David S. Yu.

⁷Lead Contact: David S. Yu, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Radiation Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, 1365 Clifton Rd NE, C3008, Phone: 404-778-1758, Fax: 404-778-5520, dsyu@emory.edu

IP, Immunofluorescence, Clonogenic Assay, DSB Repair Reporter Assay, Laser Microirradiation Assay, DNA End Resection, Comet Assay, dNTP Pool Quantitation, KO Cell Generation, Chromatin Fractionation Assay, SR Imaging Details of these methodologies can be found in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, W.D., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Investigation, W.D., A.E.K., W.R.D., P.E.H., E.C.C., A.J.B., E.W., G.N.N., M.Z.M., M.B.D., E.V.M., D.R.W., A.S., H.Z., R.A., C.D., A.E.W., C.N.S., and R.K.S.; Writing – Original Draft, W.D. and D.S.Y., Writing – Review and Editing, W.D., A.E.K., W.R.D., P.E.H., E.C.C., A.J.B., E.W., G.N.N., M.Z.M., M.B.D., E.V.M., D.R.W., R.A., H.Z., A.S., C.D., A.E.W., C.N.S., R.K.S., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.D., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.D., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.D., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.D., X.D., W.S.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., S.Y.; Supervision, W.D., X.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.B.D., X.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.B.D., X.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.B.D., X.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.B.D., X.D., Y.W., R.S.B., P.C., E.R., P.W.D., B.K., and D.S.Y.; Supervision, W.B.D., X.D., Supervision, W.B.B., Y.C., Supervision, Y.S.B., Supervision, Y.S.B., Supervision, W.B.B., Y.C., Supervision, Y.S.B., Y.C., Supervision, Y.S.B., Supervision, Y.S.B., Y.C., Supervision, Y.S.B., Y

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

impaired CtIP interaction but not dNTPase-inactive SAMHD1 fails to rescue the end resection impairment of SAMHD1 depletion. Our findings define a dNTPase-independent function for SAMHD1 in HR-mediated DSB repair by facilitating CtIP accrual to promote DNA end resection, providing insight into how SAMHD1 promotes genome integrity and prevents disease, including cancer.

Graphical Abstract



Keywords

DNA repair; DNA damage response; DNA end resection; nuclease; HIV; Aicardi-Goutieres Syndrome; AGS; CLL; CtIP; MRE11; dNTP; homologous recombination; autoimmune

INTRODUCTION

DNA DSBs are cytotoxic lesions induced by exogenous agents such as ionizing radiation (IR) and endogenous sources such as replication stress and meiotic recombination. Failure to repair DSBs leads to cell death or mutagenic events that drive genomic instability. Indeed, DSB repair defects are associated with cancer, premature aging, neurodegeneration, infertility, and developmental and immunological abnormalities (Jackson and Bartek, 2009). DSBs are repaired predominantly by two distinct but highly coordinated pathways: error-prone non homologous end joining (NHEJ), which involves direct ligation of broken DNA ends, and error-free HR, which involves an intact copy of the damaged site (Symington and Gautier, 2011). Whereas NHEJ operates throughout the cell cycle, HR functions primarily in S/G2 phase when a sister chromatid is available as a repair template. HR is initiated by DNA end resection in which processing of the 5^{\prime} ends of DSBs by the CtBP-interacting protein (CtIP) endonuclease (Limbo et al., 2007; Makharashvili et al., 2014; Sartori et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014; You et al., 2009) together with the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 (MRN) endo and 3^{\prime} to 5^{\prime} exonuclease complex (Anand et al., 2016; Cannavo and Cejka, 2014; Garcia et

al., 2011; Nicolette et al., 2010; Paull and Gellert, 1998; Stracker and Petrini, 2011), generates short 3' single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) overhangs, which are further extended by the EXO1 or DNA2 nucleases together with the BLM or WRN helicase (Cejka et al., 2010; Gravel et al., 2008; Mimitou and Symington, 2008; Nimonkar et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2008). The 3' ssDNA overhangs are bound by RPA, which is then displaced by RAD51 to form a RAD51-ssDNA nucleoprotein filament with the assistance of mediator proteins, including BRCA2, to mediate HR (Prakash et al., 2015). RPA-ssDNA also recruits ATRIP to activate the ATR checkpoint kinase (Zhang et al., 2016; Zou and Elledge, 2003). Thus, DNA end resection is a critical determinant of DNA repair pathway choice and checkpoint activation.

Sterile alpha motif and HD domain-containing protein 1 (SAMHD1) is a deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) triphosphohydrolase (Goldstone et al., 2011; Powell et al., 2011) with a well-defined role in restricting human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and other viral infections, particularly in nondividing cells by depleting dNTPs required for reverse transcription and replication (Baldauf et al., 2012; Hrecka et al., 2011; Laguette et al., 2011; Lahouassa et al., 2012). Mutations in SAMHD1 also cause Aicardi Goutières syndrome (AGS) (Rice et al., 2009), a congenital neurodegenerative autoimmune disorder, and moreover, SAMHD1 is recurrently mutated in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (Clifford et al., 2014), frequently mutated in colorectal cancer (Rentoft et al., 2016), as well as mutated or downregulated in a number of other cancers (Kohnken et al., 2015), suggesting that SAMHD1 functions as a tumor suppressor. SAMHD1 contains a SAM domain, a protein interaction module (Schultz et al., 1997) and a histidine-aspartic acid (HD) domain, found in a superfamily of proteins with metal dependent phosphohydrolase activity (Aravind and Koonin, 1998). In addition to its wellestablished dNTPase activity, SAMHD1 binds to ssDNA/RNA (Beloglazova et al., 2013; Goncalves et al., 2012; Seamon et al., 2016; Seamon et al., 2015; Tungler et al., 2013) at its dimer-dimer interface, which sterically blocks tetramerization (Seamon et al., 2016) required for its dNTPase activity (Brandariz-Nunez et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2013), and SAMHD1 has been reported to possess DNase/ RNase activity (Beloglazova et al., 2013; Ryoo et al., 2014); however, a number of studies indicate that SAMHD1 lacks active-site associated nuclease activity (Antonucci et al., 2016; Goldstone et al., 2011; Goncalves et al., 2012; Seamon et al., 2016; Seamon et al., 2015; Welbourn and Strebel, 2016), which has been attributed to persistent co-purifying contaminants (Antonucci et al., 2016; Seamon et al., 2015).

SAMHD1 has been shown to promote genome integrity by maintaining dNTP pool balance through its dNTPase activity (Franzolin et al., 2013; Kohnken et al., 2015; Kretschmer et al., 2015; Clifford et al., 2014; Rentoft et al., 2016). Increased spontaneous DNA damage and dNTP pools was observed in cells from AGS patients with *SAMHD1* dysregulation (Kretschmer et al., 2015), and SAMHD1 depletion in cells leads to dNTP pool imbalance in cycling cells (Franzolin et al., 2013). Moreover, several heterozygous colorectal cancerassociated mutations impair SAMHD1's dNTPase activity, and elevated dNTP pools in combination with inactivated mismatch repair increase mutation rates, suggesting that heterozygous cancer-associated *SAMHD1* mutations increase mutation rates in cancer cells (Rentoft et al., 2016). Consistent with these findings, SAMHD1 overexpression in cells

causes DNA damage hypersensitivity; however, somewhat paradoxical to its role in dNTP pool regulation, overexpressed SAMHD1-HA localizes to DNA damage sites (Clifford et al., 2014). How SAMHD1 functions to promote genome integrity is unclear. Here, we show that SAMHD1 has an unexpected dNTPase-independent function in promoting DNA end resection to facilitate DSB repair by HR through CtIP recruitment to DNA damage sites.

RESULTS

SAMHD1 Functions in DNA DSB Repair

To determine the role of SAMHD1 in responding to DNA damage, we examined U2OS cells depleted for SAMHD1 for sensitivity to IR, etoposide, and camptothecin (CPT), which directly or indirectly induce DSBs. Two siRNAs targeting SAMHD1 caused IR, CPT, and etoposide hypersensitivity compared to a non-targeting (NT) control (Figure 1A–C), implying that SAMHD1 responds to DSBs. Western blot analysis confirmed SAMHD1 knockdown in these cells (Figure 1D). A similar CPT hypersensitivity following SAMHD1 depletion was observed in MCF7 cells, which could be rescued by expression of exogenous SAMHD1-GFP (Figure 1E–F), non-tumorigenic BEAS-2B cells, (Supplemental Figure S1A-B), and was also observed in HCT-116 SAMHD1 knockout (KO) cells (Supplemental Figure S1C–D), suggesting that the phenotype is not cell-type specific, is not due to an offtarget effect, and that SAMHD1-GFP is functional for mediating DSB-inducing agent sensitivity. To provide direct evidence that SAMHD1 responds to DSBs at the single-cell level, we performed neutral comet assay in U2OS cells depleted for SAMHD1 and treated with IR. SAMHD1 depletion in cells caused a significant delay in repair of IR-induced DSBs as measured by comet tail moment compared to a NT control in cells synchronized in S-phase (Figure 1G-H, Supplemental Figure S1E) but not in unsynchronized cells (Supplemental Figure S1E–G), suggesting that SAMHD1 promotes DSB repair predominantly in S-phase, where HR is present.

SAMHD1 Localizes to DSBs in Response to DNA Damage

Overexpressed SAMHD1-HA has been reported to localize to DNA damage sites in response to CPT treatment (Clifford et al., 2014). To determine if endogenous SAMHD1 behaves similarly and localizes to DSBs, we analyzed SAMHD1 accumulation at DNA damage sites in response to IR and CPT treatment in HeLa cells. A significant increase in percent of cells with endogenous SAMHD1 localizing to foci was observed following IR and CPT treatment (Figure 2A), which co-localized with γH2AX, a marker for DSBs (Figure 2B), and RAD51, a marker for HR (Figure 2C), suggesting that SAMHD1 localizes directly to DSBs in response to DNA damage. Both endogenous SAMHD1 and SAMHD1-GFP expressed in U2OS cells also localized to DNA damage sites induced by laser microirradiation, which co-localized with RPA70, a marker for ssDNA formed by DSB end resection (Figure 2D, Supplemental Figure S2). To determine if endogenous SAMHD1 localizes to nascent DNA (naDNA) at CPT-induced one-sided DSBs and rule out co-localization resulting from random events, we used single-molecule super-resolution (SR) microscropy (Whelan et al., 2016) on U2OS cells pulse labeled with EdU and treated with or without CPT. Similar to CtIP, a significant increase in co-localization of SAMHD1 with

naDNA above random levels was observed following CPT treatment (Figure 2E–F), suggesting that SAMHD1 localizes directly to replication-associated DSBs.

SAMHD1 Functions in DSB Repair by Facilitating HR

Poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor sensitivity is associated with defects in HR (Helleday et al., 2005). Indeed, SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS, MCF7, and primary small airway epithelial cells caused hypersensitivity to Veliparib, a PARP inhibitor (Figure 3A-B, Supplemental Figure S3A–C), which could be rescued with expression of exogenous SAMHD1-GFP (Figure 3B), suggesting that SAMHD1 may function in HR. To more directly determine if SAMHD1 functions in HR, we examined SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells integrated with a direct repeat (DR)-GFP reporter substrate in which expression of I-Scel endonuclease generates a DSB that when repaired by HR restores GFP expression (Pierce et al., 1999). SAMHD1 depletion caused an impairment in HR (Figure 3C), suggesting directly that SAMHD1 functions in HR. Notably, while SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells resulted in a 1.5 to 3-fold increase in dNTP pool concentration (Supplemental Figure S3D–E), we did not observe any significant change in cell cycle (Figure 3D–E), suggesting that the observed effect is not due to an indirect effect of cell cycle change. Consistent with this finding, SAMHD1 depletion in HeLa cells and nontumorigenic BEAS-2B cells impaired RAD51 but not yH2AX foci accumulation in response to CPT and IR treatment (Figure 3F-H, Supplemental Figure S3F-G), indicating that SAMHD1 is required for HR but not for DSB induction by CPT. In contrast, SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells transfected with the pEGFP-Pem1-Ad2 NHEJ reporter substrate (Seluanov et al., 2004) caused no significant impairment in NHEJ and only a mild increase in NHEJ with one siRNA (Supplemental Figure S3H-I), implying that SAMHD1 specifically promotes HR but not NHEJ in DSB repair.

SAMHD1 Facilitates HR and ATR Activation by Promoting DNA End Resection

HR is initiated by DNA end resection. Thus, we examined for RPA32 phosphorylation at Ser4/8, a marker for DNA end resection following CPT treatment. SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells impaired RPA32 Ser4/8 phosphorylation but not total RPA32 levels in response to CPT (Figure 4A). Consistent with these findings, SAMHD1 depletion and knockout in U2OS, BEAS-2B, and primary small airway epithelial cells caused a significant decrease in RPA70 foci formation in response to CPT and IR (Figure 4B-C, Supplemental Figure S4A-D, F–I) and moreover impaired GFP-RPA70 recruitment to DNA damage sites induced by laser microirradiation (Figure 4D, Supplemental Figure S4E). ATRIP localization to DNA damage sites is dependent on its interaction with RPA-ssDNA. In this regard, SAMHD1 depletion in cells also impaired GFP-ATRIP foci accumulation in response to CPT (Figure 4E-F). Moreover, SAMHD1 depletion in cells impaired CPT-induced ATR autophosphorylation at Thr-1989 but not total ATR levels (Figure 4G), suggesting that SAMHD1 is required for efficient ATR activation following CPT treatment. To more directly determine the role of SAMHD1 in DNA end resection, we labeled U2OS cells with BrdU, treated the cells with CPT, and probed the cells for BrdU exposure under nondenaturing conditions, which labels ssDNA. SAMHD1 depletion also impaired BrdU foci under these conditions (Figure 4H–I), providing direct support for SAMHD1 in promoting DNA end resection. Importantly given its role as a dNTPase, SAMHD1 depletion

in these cells caused only a mild but insignificant impairment in BrdU incorporation under denaturing conditions (Supplemental Figure S4J) and to a much lesser extent than impairment in CPT-induced BrdU foci (compare to Figure 4I). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that SAMHD1 facilitates HR and ATR activation by promoting DNA end resection.

SAMHD1 Promotes HR and DNA End Resection Independent of its dNTPase Activity

SAMHD1 has been proposed to maintain genome integrity by regulating dNTP pools. To determine if SAMHD1 dNTPase activity is required for DSB repair, we performed rescue experiments with SAMHD1-RFP H206A/D207A, which disrupts SAMHD1's active site and impairs its dNTPase activity (Goldstone et al., 2011; Laguette et al., 2011) and reported DNase/RNase activities (Beloglazova et al., 2013). Both SAMHD1-RFP WT and H206A/D207A restored the HR impairment of SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells (Figure 5A–B, Supplemental Figure S5A), suggesting that SAMHD1's role in promoting HR is independent of its dNTPase activity. SAMHD1-HA WT and H206A/D207A also alleviated the IR-induced RPA70 foci impairment of SAMHD1 depletion in U2OS cells (Figure 5C), suggesting that SAMHD1 promotes DNA end resection independent of its dNTPase activity.

SAMHD1 Interacts in a Complex with CtIP in Response to DNA Damage

Because our findings suggest that SAMHD1 facilitates HR by promoting DNA end resection and its role in HR is independent of its active-site catalytic activity, we determined whether SAMHD1 might function with other nucleases. Co-IP of SAMHD1-HA expressed in 293T cells pulled down GFP-CtIP and endogenous MRE11 (Figure 5D), and similarly co-IP of CtIP-FLAG pulled down SAMHD1-RFP in response to IR (Figure 5E), suggesting that SAMHD1 interacts with CtIP and MRE11 in a damage-regulated manner. We validated that endogenous SAMHD1 co-IPs with endogenous CtIP in response to IR (Figure 5F) and that endogenous SAMHD1 co-IPs with GFP-MRE11 in response to IR (Supplemental Figure S5B). The co-IP of endogenous SAMHD1 with CtIP and MRE11 following IR was preserved even following benzonase nuclease treatment (Supplemental Figure S5C), suggesting that the damage-regulated interaction of SAMHD1 with CtIP and MRE11 is not mediated through DNA. Moreover, bacterially recombinant SAMHD1 pulled down recombinant GST-CtIP (Figure 5G), suggesting that SAMHD1 binds directly to CtIP. To identify the region of SAMHD1 that interacts with CtIP, we generated SAMHD1 deletion mutants and performed co-IP of SAMHD1 WT and mutants with GFP-CtIP expressed in 293T cells. In contrast to SAMHD1-HA (1-115), SAMHD1-HA (115-562) co-IP'd with GFP-CtIP (Figure 5H,J), suggesting that the HD but not SAM domain region of SAMHD1 is sufficient for CtIP interaction. In further mapping experiments, SAMHD1-HA (1-562) but not SAMHD1 (1-465) co-IP'd with GFP-CtIP expressed in 293T cells (Figure 5I-J), suggesting that SAMHD1 amino acids (aa) 465-562 are necessary for interaction with CtIP. To provide insight into the binding surface of the CtIP interaction domain of SAMHD1, we examined the crystal structure of tetrametric SAMHD1 (Ji et al., 2013) and observed that aa 465–562 are located on the surface of tetrameric SAMHD1 (Figure S5D). Interestingly, a naturally occurring cancer-associated SAMHD1 mutation (K484T) from a patient with gastric cancer reported in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) through cBio Portal for Cancer Genomics (Cerami et al., 2012) is located in this region facing the outside of

tetrameric SAMHD1 (Supplemental Figure S5D) and is evolutionarily conserved (Figure 5J). While SAMHD1-GFP K484T overexpressed in cells showed no significant impairment in dNTPase activity compared with SAMHD1-GFP WT (Supplemental Figure S5E–F), SAMHD1-HA K484T showed an impairment in co-IP with GFP-CtIP compared with SAMHD1-HA WT (Figure 5K), suggesting that K484 is critical for SAMHD1's interaction with CtIP but not dNTPase activity and that a cancer-associated *SAMHD1* mutation is functionally significant in impairing the interaction of SAMHD1 with CtIP.

SAMHD1 Recruits CtIP to DNA Damage Sites and Chromatin in Response to DNA Damage and Promotes DNA End Resection through its Interaction with CtIP

The damage-regulated interaction of SAMHD1 and CtIP suggests that they may function together to promote DNA end resection. Consistent with this finding, a significant increase in co-localization of SAMHD1 with CtIP was observed following CPT treatment (Figure 6A-B). To determine if SAMHD1 cooperates with CtIP in promoting HR and DNA end resection, we performed epistasis experiments using the DR-GFP reporter assay and CPTinduced RPA70 foci as readouts. Combined depletion of SAMHD1 and CtIP did not result in a significantly greater HR defect or CPT-induced RPA70 foci impairment compared with their depletion alone (Figure 6C-D), suggesting that SAMDH1 cooperates with CtIP in promoting DNA end resection to facilitate DSB repair by HR. To determine if SAMHD1 functions upstream of CtIP or MRE11, we evaluated the recruitment of GFP-CtIP and GFP-MRE11 to DNA damage sites. SAMHD1 depletion and knockout in U2OS cells impaired GFP-CtIP but not GFP-MRE11 or yH2AX localization to DNA damage sites induced by laser microirradiation (Figure 6E, Supplemental Figure S6), suggesting that SAMHD1 facilitates CtIP but not MRE11 recruitment to DNA DSBs. Consistent with these findings, SAMHD1 depletion in HCT-116 cells impaired the mobilization of endogenous CtIP to chromatin in response to IR (Figure 6F). To determine if SAMHD1's interaction with CtIP is required for its role in DNA end resection, we performed rescue experiments with SAMHD1 K484T. In contrast to SAMHD1 H206A/D207A, which is proficient in HR and DNA end resection (Figure 5A,C), SAMHD1-RFP/GFP K484T failed to rescue the damageinduced CtIP recruitment, IR-induced RPA70 foci impairment, and CPT hypersensitivity of SAMHD1 deficiency in U2OS cells (Figure 6G–J), suggesting that SAMHD1 promotes DNA end resection through its interaction with CtIP and that this is impaired by a naturally occurring cancer-associated SAMHD1 mutation. Collectively, these results imply that SAMHD1 recruits CtIP to DSBs to facilitate DNA end resection and HR.

Targeting SAMHD1 with Virus Like Particles Containing Vpx Sensitizes Cancer Cells to DSB-Inducing Agents

SAMHD1 is targeted for proteasomal degradation by the retrovirus accessory protein Vpx (Hrecka et al., 2011; Laguette et al., 2011), and virus like particles (VLPs) containing Vpx have been used to sensitize acute myelogenous leukemia cells to cytarabine chemotherapy (Herold et al., 2017; Hollenbaugh et al., 2017; Schneider et al., 2017). U2OS cells treated with VLPs containing Vpx showed reduced SAMHD1 protein levels compared with cells treated with VLPs containing no Vpx (Figure 7A). Similar to cancer cells with SAMHD1 deficiency by genetic knockdown or knockout, U2OS cells treated with VLPs containing Vpx showed reduced to control cells (Figure 7B–C).

Moreover, the CPT hypersensitivity of U2OS cells treated with VLPs containing Vpx was alleviated by overexpression of SAMHD1-GFP (Figure 7D–E), implying that the effects are mediated specifically through SAMHD1 degradation. Collectively, these data suggest that targeting SAMHD1 with VLPs containing Vpx sensitizes cancer cells to DSB-inducing agents and may be a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment.

DISCUSSION

Our findings reveal a dNTPase-independent function for SAMHD1 in promoting HRmediated DSB repair by facilitating DNA end resection through CtIP accrual, demonstrating that SAMHD1 has a direct role in genome maintenance independent of its role in dNTP pool regulation, establishing SAMHD1 as a critical regulator of DNA end resection in promoting DSB repair by HR, and identifying the CtIP/MRE11 nucleases as unique interacting partners for SAMHD1. In this regard, we found that SAMHD1 deficiency by genetic knockdown or knockout or proteasomal degradation by VLPs containing Vpx in cells causes IR, CPT, and etoposide hypersensitivity, and SAMHD1 is recruited to DSBs that co-localize with γH2AX, RAD51, RPA70, and naDNA in response to DNA damage. SAMHD1 depletion further causes PARP inhibitor sensitivity, impaired RAD51 recruitment to foci, and impaired HR but not NHEJ through direct reporter assays. Moreover, SAMHD1 depletion impairs BrdU exposure, RPA Ser4/8 phosphorylation, and RPA recruitment to DSBs, suggesting that SAMDH1 facilitates DNA end resection. SAMHD1 depletion also impairs CPT-induced ATRIP foci accumulation and ATR autophosphorylation, suggesting that SAMHD1 is required for efficient ATR activation following CPT treatment. Mechanistically, SAMHD1 interacts directly with CtIP via an evolutionarily conserved domain in its carboxyl-terminus, which is disrupted by a naturally-occurring cancer-associated SAMHD1 mutation, and MRE11 in a damage-regulated manner and is required for CtIP but not MRE11 recruitment to DNA damage sites. In contrast to dNTPase-inactive SAMHD1, which is proficient for HR and DNA end resection, the cancer-associated SAMHD1 mutant with impaired CtIP interaction and proficient dNTPase activity fails to rescue the damage-induced CtIP recruitment deficit, IR-induced RPA70 foci impairment, and CPT hypersensitivity of SAMHD1 deficiency. Thus, our findings support a model in which SAMHD1 promotes DSB repair by HR independent of its well-established dNTPase activity by facilitating CtIP recruitment, which in turn cooperates with MRN to promote DNA end resection (Figure 7F).

SAMHD1 has been reported to possess nuclease activity (Beloglazova et al., 2013; Ryoo et al., 2014), which has since been attributed to persistent co-purifying contaminants (Antonucci et al., 2016; Seamon et al., 2015). Our finding of a rescue of the HR and DNA end resection impairment of SAMHD1 depletion with an active-site mutant of SAMHD1, which abolishes its dNTPase activity and reported nuclease activity, and interaction of SAMHD1 with CtIP/MRE11, which are known nucleases, suggest that SAMHD1's role in promoting DNA end resection is likely independent of any intrinsic catalytic activity and thus through a scaffold function. In this respect, SAMHD1 localizes to naDNA at CPT-induced DSBs and binds to ssDNA/RNA (Beloglazova et al., 2013; Goncalves et al., 2012; Seamon et al., 2016; Seamon et al., 2015; Tungler et al., 2013) and could facilitate CtIP recruitment to or stabilization at DSBs through this interaction. CtIP recruitment to DNA damage sites is also dependent on its interaction with the MRN complex (You et al., 2009;

Yuan and Chen, 2009), BRCA1 (Yu et al., 2006), and its own tetramerization (Wang et al., 2012), which could be regulated by SAMHD1.

Given SAMHD1's well-established role as a dNTPase, how might this activity be reconciled with its role in promoting DNA end resection and HR? SAMHD1 binds to ssDNA at its dimer-dimer interface, which sterically blocks its tetramerization into its dNTPase active form (Brandariz-Nunez et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2014; Seamon et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2013). Thus, SAMHD1 may function as a monomer or dimer in promoting DNA end resection and tetramer in promoting dNTP metabolism, which is controlled by its binding to ssDNA in response to DNA DSB induction. This may explain, at least in part, why SAMHD1 overexpression, which may facilitate its tetramerization, does not fully rescue the CPT hypersensitivity of SAMHD1 deficiency. Our finding that SAMHD1 promotes DNA end resection provides further support for a common role in nucleic acid metabolism that is shared by SAMHD1 and other AGS susceptibility proteins TREX1, RNaseH2, and ADAR1, suggesting that SAMHD1's role in DNA DSB repair may be important for preventing improper innate immune response and autoimmune disease.

A role for SAMHD1 in maintaining genome integrity and preventing cancer has previously been attributed to its activity in dNTP pool regulation (Clifford et al., 2014; Franzolin et al., 2013; Kohnken et al., 2015; Kretschmer et al., 2015; Rentoft et al., 2016). Our findings now demonstrate that SAMHD1 also has a direct role in genome maintenance by promoting DNA end resection to facilitate DSB repair by HR independent of its canonical role in dNTP metabolism. Given that SAMHD1 is dysregulated in a number of cancers, SAMHD1's role in DSB repair may help explain, at least in part, how its dysregulation is associated with genomic instability and carcinogenesis. Indeed, our findings show that a naturally occurring gastric cancer-associated SAMHD1 mutation impairs the interaction of SAMHD1 with CtIP but not its dNTPase activity, and the mutant SAMHD1 fails to rescue the CtIP recruitment deficit, DNA end resection impairment, and CPT hypersensitivity of SAMHD1 deficiency, suggesting that SAMHD1's interaction with CtIP may be important for the prevention of genomic instability and cancer. As several heterozygous colorectal cancer-associated mutations impair SAMHD1's dNTPase activity, and increased dNTP levels contribute to mutagenesis (Rentoft et al., 2016), collectively these findings support a role for SAMHD1 in maintaining genome integrity and preventing cancer through dual roles in DNA end resection and dNTP metabolism.

As our data suggest that SAMHD1 plays a critical role in the response of cancer cells to DSB-inducing agents, SAMHD1 may also be a promising therapeutic target for cancer therapies that induce DSBs. Our finding that targeting SAMHD1 for proteasomal degradation with VLPs containing Vpx sensitizes cancer cells to DSB-inducing agents provides rationale for the use of VLPs containing Vpx in augmenting the efficacy of IR, PARP inhibitor, and other DSB-inducing agents as a potential approach for cancer therapy. Another rationale-driven approach for cancer therapy based on our work will be to disrupt the interaction of SAMHD1 and CtIP with small molecule inhibitors to be used as an adjunct to DSB-inducing agents.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture, Plasmids and siRNA

293T, HeLa, HCT-116, MCF7 and U2OS cells were grown in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 7.5% FBS. Stably transfected cells were maintained with 1 µg/ml puromycin (Fisher). SAMHD1-GFP/RFP plasmids were generated by cloning SAMHD1 in pcDNA3.1-GFP/RFP (Addgene, # 70219 or 13032 respectively) using EcoRI/BamHI restriction sites. SAMHD1 WT and truncations were cloned in pKH3 (Addgene, # 12555) using EagI and XbaI to generate SAMHD1-HA. GFP-CtIP, FLAG-CtIP, GFP-FLAG-MRE11 plasmids were obtained from Dr. Steve Jackson (Sartori et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2015). GFP-RPA70 plasmid was obtained from Dr. Marc Wold (Haring et al., 2008). ATRIP-GFP plasmid was obtained from Dr. Akira Matsuura (Itakura et al., 2005). Plasmid transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following manufacture's instruction. Cells were transfected with siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAi Max (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon or Qiagen

Immunoblot

Cells were harvested in PBS and lysed for 30 min on ice in IP lysis buffer (200 mM NaCl, 0.75% Chaps, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0) or RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0) 1 mM EDTA. 1% Triton X-100. 0.1% sodium deoxycholate. 0.1% SDS. 140 mM NaCl) (Hall et al., 2014), supplemented with protease inhibitors. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation (13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C) and the supernatants were collected. Protein samples were quantified with the Bradford assay and resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF, and probed using the indicated primary antibodies. The membrane was stained with Alexa Fluor 680 or 800 anti-mouse/rabbit secondary antibody (Life Technologies) and visualized with the Licor Odyssey system. The following antibodies were used for staining: GAPDH (Santa Cruz, sc-47724); Flag (Santa Cruz, sc-51590); GFP (Abcam, Ab6556); HA (Sigma, H9658), RPA70 (Cell Signaling, 2267), RPA32 (Santa Cruz, sc-14692), SAMHD1 (Origene, TA502024), CtIP (14-1, a generous gift from Richard Baer) (Yu and Baer, 2000), BRCA2, RAD51 (Calbiochem, PC130), γ H2AX (Cell Signaling, 2577 or Millipore, 05-636), 53BP1 (Bethyl, A300-273A), BrdU (BD Biosciences, 347580), MRE11 (Abcam, ab30725).

Cell Viability Assay

Cell viability assays were performed as previously described (Colbert et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). Briefly, cells were plated at a density of 5×10^5 cells/well in 6 well plates and siRNA transfected at 25 nM. 24 h post-knockdown, media was replaced with or without overexpression solution containing media supplemented with plasmid DNA, Lipofectamine 2000, and OptiMEM solution. Cells were treated with VLP containing Vpx or no Vpx as described previously (Berger et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012) with minor modification. 24 h after initial transfection or transduction with Vpx VLPs, cells were trypsinized, counted, and re-plated in triplicate to a density of 2×10^3 cells/well in 96 well plates. 24 h after plating, cells were treated with media containing drug for 72 h. Cell viability was then assessed via Alamar Blue (Resazurin) reagent, incubated at 1:10 dilution for 6 h, and assayed for fluorescence according to the manufacturer's protocol. Viability

fractions were normalized to vehicle treated controls exposed to identical transfection conditions.

Statistical Analysis

Unless otherwise stated, experiments were performed at least three times and analyzed using unpaired two-tailed Student's t test and data expressed as mean \pm SEM. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Tatsuya Maehigashi and Russell Goetze for SAMHD1 and Vpx purification, Dr. Steve Jackson for GFP-CtIP, FLAG-CtIP, GFP-FLAG-MRE11, Dr. Marc Wold for GFP-RPA70, Dr. Akira Matsuura for ATRIP-GFP plasmids, Dr. Maria Jasin for DR-GFP HR reporter plasmid, Dr. Vera Gorbunova for the pEGFP-Pem1-Ad2 NHEJ reporter plasmid, and Dr. Richard Baer for CtIP antibody. This work was supported by NIH/NCI R01CA178999 and R01CA178999S1 and DOD/PRCRP CA160771 to D.S.Y., NIH/NIGMS F32 GM121071-01 to W.B.D, NIH/NCI 2R01CA136534 to X.D., and NIH/NIAID R01AI049781 and NIH/NIGMS R01GM104198 to B.K. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Anand R, Ranjha L, Cannavo E, Cejka P. Phosphorylated CtIP Functions as a Co-factor of the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 Endonuclease in DNA End Resection. Molecular cell. 2016; 64:940–950. [PubMed: 27889449]
- Antonucci JM, St Gelais C, de Silva S, Yount JS, Tang C, Ji X, Shepard C, Xiong Y, Kim B, Wu L. SAMHD1-mediated HIV-1 restriction in cells does not involve ribonuclease activity. Nat Med. 2016; 22:1072–1074. [PubMed: 27711056]
- Aravind L, Koonin EV. The HD domain defines a new superfamily of metal-dependent phosphohydrolases. Trends in biochemical sciences. 1998; 23:469–472. [PubMed: 9868367]
- Baldauf HM, Pan X, Erikson E, Schmidt S, Daddacha W, Burggraf M, Schenkova K, Ambiel I, Wabnitz G, Gramberg T, et al. SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 infection in resting CD4(+) T cells. Nat Med. 2012; 18:1682–1687. [PubMed: 22972397]
- Beloglazova N, Flick R, Tchigvintsev A, Brown G, Popovic A, Nocek B, Yakunin AF. Nuclease activity of the human SAMHD1 protein implicated in the Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome and HIV-1 restriction. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288:8101–8110. [PubMed: 23364794]
- Berger G, Durand S, Goujon C, Nguyen XN, Cordeil S, Darlix JL, Cimarelli A. A simple, versatile and efficient method to genetically modify human monocyte-derived dendritic cells with HIV-1-derived lentiviral vectors. Nature protocols. 2011; 6:806–816. [PubMed: 21637200]
- Brandariz-Nunez A, Valle-Casuso JC, White TE, Nguyen L, Bhattacharya A, Wang Z, Demeler B, Amie S, Knowlton C, Kim B, et al. Contribution of oligomerization to the anti-HIV-1 properties of SAMHD1. Retrovirology. 2013; 10:131. [PubMed: 24219908]
- Cannavo E, Cejka P. Sae2 promotes dsDNA endonuclease activity within Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 to resect DNA breaks. Nature. 2014; 514:122–125. [PubMed: 25231868]
- Cejka P, Plank JL, Bachrati CZ, Hickson ID, Kowalczykowski SC. Rmi1 stimulates decatenation of double Holliday junctions during dissolution by Sgs1-Top3. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010; 17:1377– 1382. [PubMed: 20935631]
- Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer discovery. 2012; 2:401–404. [PubMed: 22588877]

- Clifford R, Louis T, Robbe P, Ackroyd S, Burns A, Timbs AT, Wright Colopy G, Dreau H, Sigaux F, Judde JG, et al. SAMHD1 is mutated recurrently in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and is involved in response to DNA damage. Blood. 2014; 123:1021–1031. [PubMed: 24335234]
- Colbert LE, Petrova AV, Fisher SB, Pantazides BG, Madden MZ, Hardy CW, Warren MD, Pan Y, Nagaraju GP, Liu EA, et al. CHD7 expression predicts survival outcomes in patients with resected pancreatic cancer. Cancer research. 2014; 74:2677–2687. [PubMed: 24626090]
- Franzolin E, Pontarin G, Rampazzo C, Miazzi C, Ferraro P, Palumbo E, Reichard P, Bianchi V. The deoxynucleotide triphosphohydrolase SAMHD1 is a major regulator of DNA precursor pools in mammalian cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:14272–14277. [PubMed: 23858451]
- Garcia V, Phelps SE, Gray S, Neale MJ. Bidirectional resection of DNA double-strand breaks by Mre11 and Exo1. Nature. 2011; 479:241–244. [PubMed: 22002605]
- Goldstone DC, Ennis-Adeniran V, Hedden JJ, Groom HC, Rice GI, Christodoulou E, Walker PA, Kelly G, Haire LF, Yap MW, et al. HIV-1 restriction factor SAMHD1 is a deoxynucleoside triphosphate triphosphohydrolase. Nature. 2011; 480:379–382. [PubMed: 22056990]
- Goncalves A, Karayel E, Rice GI, Bennett KL, Crow YJ, Superti-Furga G, Burckstummer T. SAMHD1 is a nucleic-acid binding protein that is mislocalized due to aicardi-goutieres syndromeassociated mutations. Hum Mutat. 2012; 33:1116–1122. [PubMed: 22461318]
- Gravel S, Chapman JR, Magill C, Jackson SP. DNA helicases Sgs1 and BLM promote DNA doublestrand break resection. Genes & development. 2008; 22:2767–2772. [PubMed: 18923075]
- Hall WA, Petrova AV, Colbert LE, Hardy CW, Fisher SB, Saka B, Shelton JW, Warren MD, Pantazides BG, Gandhi K, et al. Low CHD5 expression activates the DNA damage response and predicts poor outcome in patients undergoing adjuvant therapy for resected pancreatic cancer. Oncogene. 2014; 33:5450–5456. [PubMed: 24276239]
- Hansen EC, Seamon KJ, Cravens SL, Stivers JT. GTP activator and dNTP substrates of HIV-1 restriction factor SAMHD1 generate a long-lived activated state. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014; 111:E1843–1851. [PubMed: 24753578]
- Haring SJ, Mason AC, Binz SK, Wold MS. Cellular functions of human RPA1. Multiple roles of domains in replication, repair, and checkpoints. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2008; 283:19095–19111. [PubMed: 18469000]
- Helleday T, Bryant HE, Schultz N. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP-1) in homologous recombination and as a target for cancer therapy. Cell cycle. 2005; 4:1176–1178. [PubMed: 16123586]
- Herold N, Rudd SG, Ljungblad L, Sanjiv K, Myrberg IH, Paulin CB, Heshmati Y, Hagenkort A, Kutzner J, Page BD, et al. Targeting SAMHD1 with the Vpx protein to improve cytarabine therapy for hematological malignancies. Nat Med. 2017; 23:256–263. [PubMed: 28067901]
- Hollenbaugh JA, Shelton J, Tao S, Amiralaei S, Liu P, Lu X, Goetze RW, Zhou L, Nettles JH, Schinazi RF, et al. Substrates and Inhibitors of SAMHD1. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0169052. [PubMed: 28046007]
- Hrecka K, Hao C, Gierszewska M, Swanson SK, Kesik-Brodacka M, Srivastava S, Florens L, Washburn MP, Skowronski J. Vpx relieves inhibition of HIV-1 infection of macrophages mediated by the SAMHD1 protein. Nature. 2011; 474:658–661. [PubMed: 21720370]
- Itakura E, Sawada I, Matsuura A. Dimerization of the ATRIP protein through the coiled-coil motif and its implication to the maintenance of stalled replication forks. Molecular biology of the cell. 2005; 16:5551–5562. [PubMed: 16176973]
- Jackson SP, Bartek J. The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. Nature. 2009; 461:1071–1078. [PubMed: 19847258]
- Ji X, Tang C, Zhao Q, Wang W, Xiong Y. Structural basis of cellular dNTP regulation by SAMHD1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014; 111:E4305–4314. [PubMed: 25267621]
- Ji X, Wu Y, Yan J, Mehrens J, Yang H, DeLucia M, Hao C, Gronenborn AM, Skowronski J, Ahn J, et al. Mechanism of allosteric activation of SAMHD1 by dGTP. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013; 20:1304– 1309. [PubMed: 24141705]

- Kim B, Nguyen LA, Daddacha W, Hollenbaugh JA. Tight interplay among SAMHD1 protein level, cellular dNTP levels, and HIV-1 proviral DNA synthesis kinetics in human primary monocytederived macrophages. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2012; 287:21570–21574. [PubMed: 22589553]
- Kohnken R, Kodigepalli KM, Wu L. Regulation of deoxynucleotide metabolism in cancer: novel mechanisms and therapeutic implications. Mol Cancer. 2015; 14:176. [PubMed: 26416562]
- Kretschmer S, Wolf C, Konig N, Staroske W, Guck J, Hausler M, Luksch H, Nguyen LA, Kim B, Alexopoulou D, et al. SAMHD1 prevents autoimmunity by maintaining genome stability. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015; 74:e17. [PubMed: 24445253]
- Laguette N, Sobhian B, Casartelli N, Ringeard M, Chable-Bessia C, Segeral E, Yatim A, Emiliani S, Schwartz O, Benkirane M. SAMHD1 is the dendritic- and myeloid-cell-specific HIV-1 restriction factor counteracted by Vpx. Nature. 2011; 474:654–657. [PubMed: 21613998]
- Lahouassa H, Daddacha W, Hofmann H, Ayinde D, Logue EC, Dragin L, Bloch N, Maudet C, Bertrand M, Gramberg T, et al. SAMHD1 restricts the replication of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by depleting the intracellular pool of deoxynucleoside triphosphates. Nat Immunol. 2012; 13:223–228. [PubMed: 22327569]
- Limbo O, Chahwan C, Yamada Y, de Bruin RA, Wittenberg C, Russell P. Ctp1 is a cell-cycle-regulated protein that functions with Mre11 complex to control double-strand break repair by homologous recombination. Molecular cell. 2007; 28:134–146. [PubMed: 17936710]
- Makharashvili N, Tubbs AT, Yang SH, Wang H, Barton O, Zhou Y, Deshpande RA, Lee JH, Lobrich M, Sleckman BP, et al. Catalytic and noncatalytic roles of the CtIP endonuclease in double-strand break end resection. Molecular cell. 2014; 54:1022–1033. [PubMed: 24837676]
- Mimitou EP, Symington LS. Sae2, Exo1 and Sgs1 collaborate in DNA double-strand break processing. Nature. 2008; 455:770–774. [PubMed: 18806779]
- Nicolette ML, Lee K, Guo Z, Rani M, Chow JM, Lee SE, Paull TT. Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 and Sae2 promote 5['] strand resection of DNA double-strand breaks. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010; 17:1478–1485. [PubMed: 21102445]
- Nimonkar AV, Genschel J, Kinoshita E, Polaczek P, Campbell JL, Wyman C, Modrich P, Kowalczykowski SC. BLM-DNA2-RPA-MRN and EXO1-BLM-RPA-MRN constitute two DNA end resection machineries for human DNA break repair. Genes & development. 2011; 25:350–362. [PubMed: 21325134]
- Niu H, Chung WH, Zhu Z, Kwon Y, Zhao W, Chi P, Prakash R, Seong C, Liu D, Lu L, et al. Mechanism of the ATP-dependent DNA end-resection machinery from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature. 2010; 467:108–111. [PubMed: 20811460]
- Paull TT, Gellert M. The 3' to 5' exonuclease activity of Mre 11 facilitates repair of DNA doublestrand breaks. Molecular cell. 1998; 1:969–979. [PubMed: 9651580]
- Pierce AJ, Johnson RD, Thompson LH, Jasin M. XRCC3 promotes homology-directed repair of DNA damage in mammalian cells. Genes & development. 1999; 13:2633–2638. [PubMed: 10541549]
- Powell RD, Holland PJ, Hollis T, Perrino FW. Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome gene and HIV-1 restriction factor SAMHD1 is a dGTP-regulated deoxynucleotide triphosphohydrolase. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2011; 286:43596–43600. [PubMed: 22069334]
- Prakash R, Zhang Y, Feng W, Jasin M. Homologous recombination and human health: the roles of BRCA1, BRCA2, and associated proteins. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2015; 7:a016600. [PubMed: 25833843]
- Rentoft M, Lindell K, Tran P, Chabes AL, Buckland RJ, Watt DL, Marjavaara L, Nilsson AK, Melin B, Trygg J, et al. Heterozygous colon cancer-associated mutations of SAMHD1 have functional significance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2016; 113:4723–4728. [PubMed: 27071091]
- Rice GI, Bond J, Asipu A, Brunette RL, Manfield IW, Carr IM, Fuller JC, Jackson RM, Lamb T, Briggs TA, et al. Mutations involved in Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome implicate SAMHD1 as regulator of the innate immune response. Nature genetics. 2009; 41:829–832. [PubMed: 19525956]

- Ryoo J, Choi J, Oh C, Kim S, Seo M, Kim SY, Seo D, Kim J, White TE, Brandariz-Nunez A, et al. The ribonuclease activity of SAMHD1 is required for HIV-1 restriction. Nat Med. 2014; 20:936– 941. [PubMed: 25038827]
- Sartori AA, Lukas C, Coates J, Mistrik M, Fu S, Bartek J, Baer R, Lukas J, Jackson SP. Human CtIP promotes DNA end resection. Nature. 2007; 450:509–514. [PubMed: 17965729]
- Schmidt CK, Galanty Y, Sczaniecka-Clift M, Coates J, Jhujh S, Demir M, Cornwell M, Beli P, Jackson SP. Systematic E2 screening reveals a UBE2D-RNF138-CtIP axis promoting DNA repair. Nat Cell Biol. 2015; 17:1458–1470. [PubMed: 26502057]
- Schneider C, Oellerich T, Baldauf HM, Schwarz SM, Thomas D, Flick R, Bohnenberger H, Kaderali L, Stegmann L, Cremer A, et al. SAMHD1 is a biomarker for cytarabine response and a therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Med. 2017; 23:250–255. [PubMed: 27991919]
- Schultz J, Ponting CP, Hofmann K, Bork P. SAM as a protein interaction domain involved in developmental regulation. Protein Sci. 1997; 6:249–253. [PubMed: 9007998]
- Seamon KJ, Bumpus NN, Stivers JT. Single-Stranded Nucleic Acids Bind to the Tetramer Interface of SAMHD1 and Prevent Formation of the Catalytic Homotetramer. Biochemistry. 2016; 55:6087– 6099. [PubMed: 27775344]
- Seamon KJ, Sun Z, Shlyakhtenko LS, Lyubchenko YL, Stivers JT. SAMHD1 is a single-stranded nucleic acid binding protein with no active site-associated nuclease activity. Nucleic acids research. 2015; 43:6486–6499. [PubMed: 26101257]
- Seluanov A, Mittelman D, Pereira-Smith OM, Wilson JH, Gorbunova V. DNA end joining becomes less efficient and more error-prone during cellular senescence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004; 101:7624–7629. [PubMed: 15123826]
- Smith SC, Petrova AV, Madden MZ, Wang H, Pan Y, Warren MD, Hardy CW, Liang D, Liu EA, Robinson MH, et al. A gemcitabine sensitivity screen identifies a role for NEK9 in the replication stress response. Nucleic acids research. 2014; 42:11517–11527. [PubMed: 25217585]
- Stracker TH, Petrini JH. The MRE11 complex: starting from the ends. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology. 2011; 12:90–103. [PubMed: 21252998]
- Symington LS, Gautier J. Double-strand break end resection and repair pathway choice. Annual review of genetics. 2011; 45:247–271.
- Tungler V, Staroske W, Kind B, Dobrick M, Kretschmer S, Schmidt F, Krug C, Lorenz M, Chara O, Schwille P, et al. Single-stranded nucleic acids promote SAMHD1 complex formation. J Mol Med (Berl). 2013; 91:759–770. [PubMed: 23371319]
- Wang H, Li Y, Truong LN, Shi LZ, Hwang PY, He J, Do J, Cho MJ, Li H, Negrete A, et al. CtIP maintains stability at common fragile sites and inverted repeats by end resection-independent endonuclease activity. Molecular cell. 2014; 54:1012–1021. [PubMed: 24837675]
- Wang H, Shao Z, Shi LZ, Hwang PY, Truong LN, Berns MW, Chen DJ, Wu X. CtIP protein dimerization is critical for its recruitment to chromosomal DNA double-stranded breaks. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2012; 287:21471–21480. [PubMed: 22544744]
- Welbourn S, Strebel K. Low dNTP levels are necessary but may not be sufficient for lentiviral restriction by SAMHD1. Virology. 2016; 488:271–277. [PubMed: 26655245]
- Whelan DR, Yin Y, Bermudez-Hernandez K, Keegan S, Fenyo D, Rothenberg E. Single Molecule Localization Microscopy of DNA Damage Response Pathways in Cancer. Microsc Microanal. 2016; 22:1016–1017. [PubMed: 28239291]
- Yan J, Kaur S, DeLucia M, Hao C, Mehrens J, Wang C, Golczak M, Palczewski K, Gronenborn AM, Ahn J, et al. Tetramerization of SAMHD1 is required for biological activity and inhibition of HIV infection. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288:10406–10417. [PubMed: 23426366]
- You Z, Shi LZ, Zhu Q, Wu P, Zhang YW, Basilio A, Tonnu N, Verma IM, Berns MW, Hunter T. CtIP links DNA double-strand break sensing to resection. Molecular cell. 2009; 36:954–969. [PubMed: 20064462]
- Yu DS, Zhao R, Hsu EL, Cayer J, Ye F, Guo Y, Shyr Y, Cortez D. Cyclin-dependent kinase 9-cyclin K functions in the replication stress response. EMBO reports. 2010; 11:876–882. [PubMed: 20930849]

- Yu X, Baer R. Nuclear localization and cell cycle-specific expression of CtIP, a protein that associates with the BRCA1 tumor suppressor. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2000; 275:18541–18549. [PubMed: 10764811]
- Yu X, Fu S, Lai M, Baer R, Chen J. BRCA1 ubiquitinates its phosphorylation-dependent binding partner CtIP. Genes & development. 2006; 20:1721–1726. [PubMed: 16818604]
- Yuan J, Chen J. N terminus of CtIP is critical for homologous recombination-mediated double-strand break repair. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2009; 284:31746–31752. [PubMed: 19759395]
- Zhang H, Head PE, Daddacha W, Park SH, Li X, Pan Y, Madden MZ, Duong DM, Xie M, Yu B, et al. ATRIP Deacetylation by SIRT2 Drives ATR Checkpoint Activation by Promoting Binding to RPAssDNA. Cell reports. 2016; 14:1435–1447. [PubMed: 26854234]
- Zhang H, Park SH, Pantazides BG, Karpiuk O, Warren MD, Hardy CW, Duong DM, Park SJ, Kim HS, Vassilopoulos A, et al. SIRT2 directs the replication stress response through CDK9 deacetylation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:13546–13551. [PubMed: 23898190]
- Zhu C, Gao W, Zhao K, Qin X, Zhang Y, Peng X, Zhang L, Dong Y, Zhang W, Li P, et al. Structural insight into dGTP-dependent activation of tetrameric SAMHD1 deoxynucleoside triphosphate triphosphohydrolase. Nature communications. 2013; 4:2722.
- Zhu Z, Chung WH, Shim EY, Lee SE, Ira G. Sgs1 helicase and two nucleases Dna2 and Exo1 resect DNA double-strand break ends. Cell. 2008; 134:981–994. [PubMed: 18805091]
- Zou L, Elledge SJ. Sensing DNA damage through ATRIP recognition of RPA-ssDNA complexes. Science. 2003; 300:1542–1548. [PubMed: 12791985]

Daddacha et al.

Page 16



Figure 1. SAMHD1 Functions in DNA DSB Repair

(A) U2OS cells transfected with indicated siRNA were seeded for colony formation, treated with indicated doses of IR, and assayed for surviving colonies 12 days (d) later. Percent surviving colonies is shown. (**B**–**C**) U2OS cells transfected with NT or SAMHD1 siRNA were treated with indicated doses of CPT (**B**) or etoposide (**C**) for 72 hours (h) and assayed for cell viability using AlamarBlue. (**D**) Western blot analysis showing SAMHD1 knockdown in U2OS cells at 72 h. (**E**) MCF7 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs and plasmids were treated with 200 nM CPT for 72 h and assayed for viability with AlamarBlue. Treated to untreated viability relative to NT siRNA is shown. (**F**) Western blot analysis in MCF7 cells demonstrating SAMHD1 knockdown and expression of SAMHD1-GFP. (**G**–**H**) U2OS cells transfected with indicated siRNAs were synchronized by mimosine arrest for 16 h, released into S-phase, and exposed to 10 Gy IR. DNA damage was analyzed by neutral comet assay. (**G**) Dot plot with median of comet tail moment is shown. (**H**) Representative images of comet tails are shown. For (**A**–**C**), (**E**), and (**G**), mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) from at least three independent replicas is shown. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. See also Figure S1.

Daddacha et al.

Page 17



Figure 2. SAMHD1 Localizes to DSBs in Response to DNA Damage

(A–C) HeLa cells were treated with 2 μ M CPT for 4 h, fixed, and processed for immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies. (A) Percent cells with SAMHD1 foci are shown. (B–C) Representative immunofluorescence images of SAMHD1 co-localizing with γ H2AX or RAD51 after DNA damage are shown. (D) U2OS cells expressing SAMHD1-GFP were microirradiated, fixed after 1 min, and processed for immunofluorescence with anti-RPA70 antibodies. (E–F) U2OS cells were treated with 0.1 μ M CPT and 10 μ M EdU for 1 h, washed, and processed 1 h for immunofluorescence with click chemistry and anti-SAMHD1 and CtIP antibodies. Quantitation (E) and representative SR images (F) of colocalization between nascent DNA (naDNA via EdU) and SAMHD1 or CtIP showing increased association upon CPT damage. For (A) and (E), mean and SEM from at least three independent replicas is shown. *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. See also Figure S2.

Daddacha et al.



Figure 3. SAMHD1 Functions in DSB Repair by Facilitating HR

(A) U2OS cells transfected with NT or SAMHD1 siRNA were treated with indicated doses of Veliparib and assayed for surviving colonies 12 d later. Percent surviving colonies is shown. (B) MCF7 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs and plasmids were treated with 50 μ M Veliparib for 72 h and assayed for viability with AlamarBlue. Treated to untreated viability relative to NT siRNA is shown. (C) U2OS cells integrated with a DR-GFP HR reporter substrate were transfected with indicated siRNAs and I-SceI, fixed, and analyzed for HR by GFP expression using flow cytometry. (D) Cell cycle profile of U2OS cells depleted for SAMHD1 was determined by flow cytometry. (E) Quantitation of cell cycle profile shown. (F–G) HeLa cells transfected with SAMHD1 or NT siRNA were treated with 2 μ M CPT for 4 h, fixed, and processed for immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies. Representative images (F) and quantitation (G) of relative percent γ H2AX positive cells with RAD51 foci is shown. (H) Western blot analysis showing SAMHD1 knockdown in HeLa cells at 72 h. For (A–C), (E), and (G), mean and SEM from at least three replicas is shown. ** p < 0.001. See also Figure S3.



(A) U2OS cells transfected with SAMHD1 or NT siRNA were treated with 2 μ M CPT for 4 h, harvested, run on SDS-PAGE, and probed with indicated antibodies. (B-C) U2OS cells transfected with SAMHD1 or NT siRNA were treated with 2 µM CPT for 4 h, fixed, and processed for immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies. Representative images (B) and quantitation (C) of relative percent γ H2AX positive cells with RPA70 foci is shown. (D) Representative images of GFP-RPA70 expressing U2OS cells transfected with NT or SAMHD1 siRNA, subjected to laser micro-irradiation, fixed 5 min after damage, and processed for immunofluorescence with anti-yH2AX antibodies. (E-F) U2OS cells stably transfected with GFP-ATRIP were treated with 2 µM CPT for 4 h, fixed, and processed for immunofluorescence with anti- γ H2AX antibodies. Representative images (E) and quantitation (F) of relative percent γ H2AX positive cells with GFP-ATRIP foci is shown. (G) HCT-116 cells transfected with SAMHD1 or NT siRNA were treated with 2 µM CPT for 4 h, harvested, run on SDS-PAGE, and probed with indicated antibodies. (H-I) SAMHD1 depleted U2OS cells were treated with 30 µM BrdU for 36 h followed by 3 µM CPT treatment for 4 h. Cells were fixed under non-denaturing conditions and processed for immunofluorescence using anti-BrdU (ssDNA) and γ H2AX antibodies. Representative images (H) and quantitation (I) of relative percent γ H2AX positive cells with BrdU foci is shown. For (C), (F), and (I), mean and SEM from three independent replicas is shown. *** p < 0.001. See also Figure S4.



Figure 5. SAMHD1 Promotes HR and DNA End Resection Independent of its dNTPase Activity and Complexes with CtIP in Response to DNA Damage

(A) U2OS cells integrated with a DR-GFP HR reporter substrate were transfected with indicated siRNAs, cDNAs, and I-SceI, and fixed. RFP positive cells were gated and analyzed for HR by GFP expression using flow cytometry. (B) Western blot analysis in U2OS cells demonstrating SAMHD1 knockdown and expression of SAMHD1-RFP. (C) U2OS cells were transfected with indicated siRNAs and cDNA, treated with 10 Gy IR, and processed 4 h later for immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies. Quantitation of percent yH2AX positive cells with RPA70 foci that are HA-SAMHD1 positive for complemented cells is shown. (D) 293T cells were transfected with GFP-CtIP and SAMHD1-HA, treated with 10 Gy IR, harvested 4 h later, IP'd with anti-HA antibodies, run on SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. (E) 293T cells were transfected with CtIP-FLAG and SAMHD1-RFP, treated with 10 Gy IR, harvested 4 h later, IP'd with anti-flag antibodies, run on SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. (F) Endogenous SAMHD1 was IP'd from lysate from HCT-116 cells treated with or without IR, washed, separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. (G) Recombinant GST-CtIP and SAMHD1 purified from E. coli was pulled down with an anti-SAMHD1 antibody, washed, separated by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. (H-I, K) 293T cells were transfected with SAMHD1-HA WT and deletion or point mutants and GFP-CtIP, treated with 10 Gy, IR, harvested 4 h later, IP'd with anti-HA antibodies, run on SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. Domain mapping analysis indicates that aa 115–562 is sufficient for interaction with CtIP (H) and aa 465–562 is necessary for interaction with CtIP (I). (J)

Schematic representation of SAMHD1 structural domains and evolutionary conservation of CtIP interaction domain. (**K**) A naturally occurring cancer-associated *SAMHD1* mutation (K484T) impairs the interaction of SAMHD1 with CtIP. For (**A**) and (**C**), mean and SEM from three independent replicas is shown. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. See also Figure S5.

Page 22





Figure 6. SAMHD1 Recruits CtIP to DNA Damage Sites and Chromatin in Response to DNA Damage and Promotes DNA End Resection through its Interaction with CtIP
(A–B) U2OS cells were treated with 0.1 μM CPT and 10 μM EdU for 1 h, washed, and processed 1 h for immunofluorescence with click chemistry and anti-SAMHD1 and CtIP antibodies. (A) Quantitation of co-localization of SAMHD1 and CtIP upon CPT damage.
(B) Representative SR images of a single foci showing SAMHD1/CtIP co-localization in cells also labeled for naDNA. (C) U2OS cells integrated with a DR-GFP HR reporter substrate were transfected with indicated siRNAs and I-SceI, fixed, and analyzed for HR by GFP expression using flow cytometry. (D) U2OS cells transfected with CtIP, SAMHD1, or NT siRNA were treated with 2 μM CPT for 4 h, fixed, and processed for immunofluorescence with indicated antibodies. Quantitation of relative percent γH2AX positive cells with RPA70 foci is shown. (E) Representative images of GFP-CtIP expressing U2OS cells transfected with NT or SAMHD1 siRNA, subjected to laser micro-irradiation,

fixed 5 min after damage, and processed for immunofluorescence with anti-yH2AX antibodies. (F) HCT-116 cells transfected with SAMHD1 or NT siRNA were treated with 10 Gy IR and harvested 1 h later for biochemical fractionation. Chromatin bound proteins were run on SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. NS indicates non-specific band as loading control. (G) Representative images of U2OS SAMHD1 WT and KO cells expressing RFP-SAMHD1 and GFP-CtIP, subjected to laser micro-irradiation, fixed 5 min after damage, and processed for immunofluorescence with anti- γ H2AX antibodies. (H) U2OS cells were transfected with indicated siRNAs and cDNA, treated with 10 Gy IR, and processed 4 h later for indirect immunofluorescence with anti-RPA70, HA, and yH2AX antibodies. Quantitation of percent yH2AX positive cells with RPA70 foci that are SAMHD1-GFP positive for complemented cells, from 3 independent replicas of 60 cells counted each is shown. (I) U2OS cells transfected with indicated siRNAs and plasmids were treated with 200 nM CPT for 72 h prior to assaying for viability with AlamarBlue. Treated to untreated viability relative to NT siRNA is shown. (J) Western blot analysis showing expression of SAMHD1-GFP and endogenous SAMHD1 in U2OS cells 72 h after siRNA transfection and 48 h after cDNA transfection. The higher levels of SAMHD1 in the SAMHD1-GFP transfected cells likely represents exogenous SAMHD1 cleaved from SAMHD-GFP. For (A), (C–D), (H), and (I), mean and SEM from three independent replicas is shown. ** p < 0.01, **** p < 0.0001. See also Figure S6.

Daddacha et al.



Figure 7. Targeting SAMHD1 with Virus Like Particles Containing Vpx Sensitizes Cancer Cells to DSB-Inducing Agents

(A) Western blot analysis showing SAMHD1 degradation in U2OS cells treated with VLPs containing Vpx or no Vpx for 48 h. (B-C) U2OS cells were treated with VLPs containing Vpx or no Vpx for 48 h followed by indicated doses of CPT (B) or veliparib (C) for 72 h and assayed for cell viability using AlamarBlue. (D) U2OS cells transfected with or without SAMHD-GFP were treated with VLPs containing Vpx or no Vpx for 48 h followed by 50 nM CPT for 72 h and assayed for cell viability using AlamarBlue. (E) Western blot analysis showing expression of SAMHD1-GFP and endogenous SAMHD1 in U2OS cells 72 h after transfection and 48 after treatment with VLPs containing Vpx or no Vpx at which time cells were treated in (D). The higher levels of SAMHD1 in the SAMHD1-GFP transfected and Vpx treated cells (lower band in 3rd lane) likely results from a combination of endogenous SAMHD1 and exogenous SAMHD1 cleaved from SAMHD-GFP that has not been degraded. (F) Model showing SAMHD1 function in DNA end resection to facilitate DSB repair by HR. In response to DSB-inducing agents, SAMHD1 interacts with CtIP/MRN in a damage-regulated manner and recruits CtIP to DSBs to promote DNA end resection, facilitating DSB repair by HR. For (B-C) and (D), mean and SEM from three independent replicas is shown. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.