



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*J Health Care Poor Underserved*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2017 ; 28(1): 514–527. doi:10.1353/hpu.2017.0037.

## Discrepancy between Actual and Perceived Weight Status in Rural Patients: Variations by Race and Gender

**Dr. K. Bryant Smalley, Ph.D., Psy.D.,**

Executive Director of the Rural Health Research Institute and an Associate Professor in the Psychology Department at Georgia Southern University

**Dr. Jacob C. Warren, Ph.D.,** and

Serves as the Endowed Chair and Director of the Center for Rural Health and Health Disparities and an Associate Professor of Community Medicine in the Mercer University School of Medicine

**Mr. B. David Morrissey, M.D.P.**

Administrative Coordinator of the Rural Health Research Institute at Georgia Southern University

### Abstract

The study's goal was to determine if differences in weight misperception by race and/or gender occur within a sample of economically disadvantaged rural patients with diabetes and/or hypertension. Diabetic and hypertensive patients were enrolled in the study from a network of federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) in the rural South. Multivariate logistic regression analysis suggests that, even when controlling for age, education level, employment status, and poverty, rural African American patients with chronic disease are more likely than their White counterparts to misperceive their weight status (OR = 1.709,  $p = .037$ ). This difference in perceived weight occurred despite the absence of an underlying difference in actual weight status between African American and White patients ( $p = .171$ ). In addition, rural men were much more likely than rural women to misperceive their weight status (OR = 2.688,  $p < .001$ ). Implications for intervention development and implementation are discussed.

### Keywords

Body weight; chronic disease; rural populations; weight perception; African Americans

---

Obesity is an entrenched health problem in the U.S. Over one-third of adults and nearly 17% of youth across the U.S. are considered obese (body mass index [BMI] > 30) and obesity rates in general have not declined for the last decade.<sup>1</sup> Sustained high rates of overweight and obesity are found not only in the U.S., but in many countries in Europe, Asia, and elsewhere in North America as well.<sup>2</sup> While there is some hope that increases in prevalence rates may be beginning to slow, efforts to reduce the number of obese Americans have not been very successful,<sup>3</sup> and obesity will continue to be a health concern in the U.S. for the foreseeable future.

This is particularly true in rural areas, where obesity rates for adults are consistently higher than in urban areas, with rates of obesity among rural residents approaching 40%.<sup>4,5</sup> There are a number of interrelated factors in rural communities that have been shown to influence obesity rates<sup>6</sup> and that contribute to rural/urban health disparities.<sup>7</sup> These include behavioral factors such as poor diet<sup>8, 9</sup> and lower levels of physical activity<sup>10</sup> along with social factors such as education and socioeconomic status.<sup>11</sup> These, as well as other indicators such as isolation, access to transportation,<sup>12</sup> and availability of health care providers and healthy food options,<sup>13</sup> make rural populations especially vulnerable to the health conditions associated with overweight and obesity such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease.<sup>14</sup>

Regardless of location, low-income and lower-education populations across the U.S. are more at risk for obesity, although the relationship between socioeconomic status and obesity is complex and often cyclical. Families at lower income levels tend to choose cheaper, high-energy, low-nutrient density food options compared with their wealthier counterparts<sup>15</sup> and children raised in low socioeconomic status households have been shown to have higher rates of obesity as adults.<sup>16</sup> Because poverty is so closely tied to race and education level, it is sometimes difficult to make direct correlations between obesity and income; however, lower levels of health literacy and education have been linked to less healthy diets.<sup>17</sup>

Obesity disparities are also evident across race and gender,<sup>11</sup> with the most severe disparities occurring with these groups living in rural areas.<sup>18</sup> There is strong evidence that women and girls in low-income populations are at increased risk for obesity.<sup>19,20</sup> This has been attributed to a number of factors that affect women in rural areas, including over-eating associated with depression and isolation<sup>21</sup> and nutritional sacrifices made by mothers providing for their children.<sup>22</sup> There is also evidence that African Americans suffer from higher rates of obesity than Whites, the highest rates being in Black women with less than a high school education.<sup>23, 24</sup> The effect of race on obesity rates is influenced not only by individual characteristics, but also by the racial diversity of the community<sup>25</sup> and built infrastructure such as recreational spaces and fast-food establishments in communities with higher concentrations of racial minorities.<sup>26</sup>

When considering other reasons that may underlie differences in obesity across race and gender, an increasing amount of research focus has been placed on the discrepancy between actual and perceived weight status, with the underlying assumption that those who misperceive their weight will be less likely to engage in weight loss activities. There has been considerable research on the difference between perceived and actual BMI, suggesting that a part of the problem of obesity may stem from the inability of many to assess their own weight status accurately.<sup>27-31</sup> Furthermore, given the stigma associated with obesity, misperceptions and/or inaccurate reports of one's weight status may also serve as a protective mechanism against the stigma and shame associated with being overweight/obese (strongly influenced by social desirability<sup>31</sup>). Determining if certain groups are at higher risk for weight misperception has direct implications for intervention development, as it has been shown that when weight is recognized by an individual as a health problem, weight loss efforts are more successful.<sup>27</sup>

There is, however, evidence that race, gender, and SES play an important role in self-perception of body weight. Specifically, African Americans, men, and low-SES individuals have been shown to be less likely than Whites, women, and higher-SES individuals to label themselves “overweight” or “obese,” when their measured BMI places them in these categories.<sup>28</sup> Cultural differences can drive these disparities as African American females, in particular those with a strong racial identity, tend to report more positive self-images and satisfaction in their body types.<sup>32, 33</sup> It is unclear, however, to what extent simultaneous differences in socioeconomic factors and educational level may be influencing weight misperception.

While previous research has shown gender and racial differences in weight misperception, these studies have largely occurred in community samples within urban contexts in which confounding variables, such as education level, employment status, and income may partially if not fully explain the differences found. It is unclear to what extent these differences occur 1) within individuals with an obesity-linked medical condition (e.g., diabetes or hypertension) who are therefore most affected by obesity complications; 2) within rural contexts; and 3) between races and genders when other sociodemographic factors are held constant or controlled. The goal of the current study was to determine if differences in weight misperception by race and/or gender occur within a sample of economically disadvantaged rural patients with a current diagnosis of diabetes and/or hypertension.

## Methods

### Participants

The data for the current study came from the quantitative data collection phase of Project EDUCATE, an ongoing five-year study that is a large-scale, multifocal investigation of the needs and experiences of rural federally qualified health center (FQHC) patients with diabetes and/or hypertension. As part of this project, a total of 497 participants were recruited from the patient population at a network of FQHCs serving a multi-county region of the rural South. As described below, 438 of these patients were included in the analytic sample for the current study. Participants were active patients at one of six of the FQHC’s adult-serving locations, and were recruited to create a sample approximately proportionate to the relative patient volume at each location. Inclusion criteria were: 1) aged 18 years or older; 2) diagnosed with diabetes, hypertension, or both; and 3) able to understand spoken or written English.

### Procedure

Participants were recruited from the patient population through flyers posted within the clinics, through referral by clinic front-desk staff, and through direct approach by study staff. Following an initial description of the study, eligibility screening, and informed consent, participants completed a series of questionnaires using audio computer assisted self-interviewing (ACASI). Following completion of the survey, participants were compensated \$15 for their time and effort through a gift card to a retail supermarket. Data were collected

in an anonymous fashion. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Mercer University and Georgia Southern University.

## Measures

In addition to other measures not relevant to the current study, participants completed a background assessment of demographic characteristics and health history. The health history portion included questions assessing self-reported height and weight, from which BMI (i.e., “actual weight category”) was calculated using the standard formula of weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. In addition, participants were presented with well-validated BMI silhouette stimuli (taken from the Stunkard Figure Rating Scale)<sup>34,35</sup> that have been widely used with diverse samples<sup>34–39</sup> and asked to indicate which silhouette best represented their current weight (i.e., “perceived weight”). The silhouette scale has nine body images of increasing weight statuses, and has been correlated to specific BMIs through large validation studies. For the purposes of this study, silhouettes 1 through 4 were classified as normal weight, silhouettes 5 and 6 were classified as overweight, and silhouettes 7 through 9 were classified as obese.

## Analysis

For the purposes of the current study, only African American and White participants for whom height, weight, and perceived body shape were available were included in the analytic sample (n = 438). Hispanic participants were excluded from the analysis due to insufficient numbers for separate analyses. Data were first examined descriptively using chi-square analysis to examine demographic and weight differences between races, as well as to examine discrepancies between perceived weight using the BMI silhouettes and actual BMI calculated from self-reported height and weight. Each participant’s actual BMI was categorized using standard cut-points: normal weight (BMI < 25); overweight (BMI between 25 inclusive and 30); obese (BMI between 30 inclusive and 40); and morbidly obese (BMI above 40 inclusive). Participants were then classified as having a discrepancy between perceived and actual weight if they perceived themselves as at least one category lower in weight than their actual weight (e.g., morbidly obese identifying as overweight; overweight identifying as normal weight). Morbidly obese participants who identified as obese were not considered discrepant, as there are not clear divisions in the BMI silhouette stimuli used between obese and morbidly obese.

A multivariate logistic regression including both race and gender was then conducted to examine predictors of discrepancy, controlling for age, education level, employment status, and poverty. Only overweight, obese, and morbidly obese participants were included in the logistic regression analysis, as the factors affecting the perception of a normal-weight person that she or he is overweight are likely different from the direction of inquiry.

## Results

The average age of the sample was 52.6 years, with 73.5% of the sample being female and 54.1% African American. Overall, the sample had relatively low levels of education and income, with nearly two-thirds (65.4%) of the sample having a high school education or less

and nearly three-fourths (72.5%) having an annual income of less than \$20,000 per year. When considering weight status, 12.2% of the sample was normal weight, 18.7% overweight, 39.1% obese, and 30.0% morbidly obese. The African American and White samples did not significantly differ on any investigated characteristic (age, gender, education level, employment status, poverty, or weight status). Complete demographic characteristics can be found in Table 1.

Table 2 presents the alignment of actual and perceived weight status, separated by race. Among African American participants, 57.1% of overweight participants perceived themselves to be normal weight, and 61.1% of obese participants perceived themselves to be normal weight or overweight. Among White participants, 36.4% of overweight participants perceived themselves to be normal weight, and 54.0% of obese participants perceived themselves to be normal weight or overweight.

Table 3 presents the result of the multivariate logistic regression analysis examining differences by race and gender in misperceived weight. A total of 305 participant responses were included in the logistic regression analysis. In unadjusted terms, 51.1% of men misclassified their weight status, compared with 34.7% of women. Of African Americans, 41.0% misclassified their weight status, compared with 35.9% of Whites. After controlling for age, education level, employment status, and poverty, African American participants were 71% more likely to misperceive their weight than White participants (OR = 1.709;  $p = .037$ ), and men were 2.7 times as likely as women to misperceive their weight (OR = 2.688;  $p < .001$ ).

## Discussion

Our study's results suggest that, even when controlling for age, education level, employment status, and poverty, rural African American patients with chronic disease are still more likely than their White counterparts to misperceive their weight status. In addition, rural men are much more likely than rural women to misperceive their weight status. These findings are important for a number of reasons.

First, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine misperceptions of weight among a uniformly economically disadvantaged, racially diverse, rural patient population experiencing obesity-related medical complications. This helps to advance the understanding of intervention needs among this highly underserved group by highlighting the importance of emphasizing accurate self-assessment of weight, particularly among African American patients. Current weight loss promotion efforts may not be reaching rural African Americans; a mismatch between perceived and actual need to lose weight can have significant effects on future weight loss success.<sup>40,41,36</sup> Therefore, it could be that current interventions may actually be effective if paired with an educational component to help individuals accurately self-assess their weight.

Future research specifically investigating the impact of weight misperception on the effectiveness of interventions—including the impact of integrating a weight assessment component into existing interventions—is needed. Similarly, mixed methods research

examining the process by which African American patients self-assess their weight and the specific reference points used in that process could inform future community-level interventions (e.g., awareness campaigns). The weight misperceptions demonstrated are particularly important because the patients participating in the study were all experiencing an obesity-linked health condition (e.g., diabetes and/or hypertension). Given the power of weight control to both minimize sequelae<sup>42-45</sup> and reduce reliance upon medication<sup>46,47</sup> for both conditions, finding ways to counteract barriers to weight loss is critical. However, as previously noted, individuals who are overweight/obese who misperceive their weight may actually be protecting themselves from experiences of internalized weight stigma and shame. Therefore, future interventions and research should take into consideration the potentially harmful psychological effects of accurate weight perceptions and how to address this in a way that is sensitive to experiences of weight stigma while also promoting an accurate self-assessment of weight and future weight loss success.

Second, it is important to note that while misperception of weight was clearly and significantly different between African American and White patients, actual weight status was not different. This partially refutes previous literature claiming a direct connection between weight perception and obesity itself.<sup>48,49</sup> If weight misperception was the fundamental factor driving obesity disparities, we would have expected to see actual BMI differences corresponding to the weight misperception differences found in our sample. As is, our findings suggest that while weight misperception may be affecting obesity within the African American community, it is not a driving factor among patients with obesity-linked medical conditions. Future research is needed to investigate the degree to which weight misperception actually affects BMI, and if this varies across race and gender.

Finally, our findings suggest that, regardless of race, men are more likely than women to misperceive their weight. This is particularly interesting among African American men. One of the prevailing theories of obesity in African American women is the cultural norm of a heavier body type,<sup>33, 50, 51</sup> something that is not thought to affect men but that has been demonstrated partially to explain weight misperception in African American compared with White women.<sup>52,53</sup> The male/female difference in weight perception for African American men and women therefore points to an important but undescribed cultural process influencing weight perceptions for African American men. It is unclear what factors are leading African American men to perceive themselves as lighter than they in fact are, particularly when African American women are subject to cultural norms that similarly drive them toward a heavier ideal body type. Weight perceptions among men are much less studied than among women, and interventional research is needed to identify specific intervention targets to increase accurate weight perceptions among men (particularly for African American men).

Given the established rural-urban disparity with regards to obesity, future research into the discrepancy between actual and perceived weight status should explore not only rural-urban differences in discrepancies, but also investigate the unique impact of race, gender, and other sociocultural factors on this discrepancy among rural and urban residents, independently. Likewise, intervention development efforts should take geographically differences in health disparities and culture into consideration when developing and implanting intervention

efforts aimed at promoting accurate self-assessments of weight, weight-loss related knowledge, and ultimate weight loss success.

The study's findings should be interpreted within the context of its limitations. First, although multi-site, the study was conducted within a single FQHC network within a single U.S. state, which could limit generalizability of results; however, the racial diversity of the sample and its situation within a context of high socioeconomic disadvantage likely allows for meaningful inference to similarly disadvantaged groups. Second, given that probability sampling was not used as part of the recruitment methods and proficiency in English language was required for participation, the current sample may not fully represent the patient population of the FQHC network. Third, what we used as "actual" BMI was calculated from self-reported height and weight, which could lead to inaccurate data;<sup>54, 55</sup> however, there is no indication that this effect would bias results in a specific direction. Third, the study does not examine weight misperception across ethnicity, as the Hispanic sample in the parent study was insufficient for the current study's analytic approach. Finally, the silhouette scale used does not have a clear cutoff for morbid obesity, which did not allow us to examine the relative ability of patients to understand accurately the degree of their obesity.

Despite these limitations, the present study exhibits many strengths, which contribute uniquely to the current rural health literature. More specifically, the current study uses a large, racially diverse sample of disadvantaged patients from across a multi-county region of the rural South. Furthermore, given that all of the participants in the current study were patients at a federally qualified health center and presented with an obesity-related chronic condition (i.e., diabetes and/or hypertension), the current findings provide valuable information about the particular weight loss needs of a highly vulnerable and underserved population. Lastly, the current examination of racial and gender differences in the discrepancy between actual and perceived weight status pinpoints the rural patients who may be at greatest risk for misperceiving their weight status (and thus their need for weight loss), namely African Americans and men; this not only elucidates specific targets for weight loss promotion efforts, but is also informative for the development of feasible intervention strategies.

In summary, the current study demonstrates that, even outside the context of age, education level, employment status, and income, rural African American patients with chronic diseases are less likely than their White counterparts to perceive their weight status accurately. Similarly, even across racial groups, rural men with chronic diseases were more likely to misperceive their weight status than were women. These findings have important implications for intervention development and delivery. Namely, culturally-tailored weight loss promotion efforts that target rural patients, especially patients who are African American and/or male, should include educational components that promote both skills and knowledge with regards to an accurate self-assessment of weight.

## Acknowledgments

This project was supported by grant P20MD006901 through the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the views of NIMHD, NIH, or DHHS.

## References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, et al. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the united states, 2011–2012. *JAMA*. 2014 Feb 26; 311(8):806–14. [PubMed: 24570244]
2. Olds TIM, Maher C, Zumin SHI, et al. Evidence that the prevalence of childhood overweight is plateauing: data from nine countries. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2011 Oct; 6(5–6):342–60. [PubMed: 21838570]
3. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, et al. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among us adults, 1999–2010. *JAMA*. 2012 Feb 1; 307(5):491–7. [PubMed: 22253363]
4. Befort CA, Nazir N, Perri MG. Prevalence of obesity among adults from rural and urban areas of the United States: Findings from NHANES (2005–2008). *J Rural Health*. 2012 Fall;28(4):392–7. [PubMed: 23083085]
5. Hill JL, You W, Zoellner JM. Disparities in obesity among rural and urban residents in a health disparate region. *BMC Public Health*. 2014 Oct 8.14:1051. [PubMed: 25297840]
6. Boardman JD, Onge JMS, Rogers RG, et al. Race differentials in obesity: the impact of place. *J Health Soc Behav*. 2005 Sep; 46(3):229–43. [PubMed: 16259146]
7. Ismailov RM, Leatherdale ST. Rural-urban differences in overweight and obesity among a large sample of adolescents in Ontario. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2010 Aug; 5(4):351–60. [PubMed: 20053147]
8. Liu J-H, Jones SJ, Sun H, et al. Diet, physical activity, and sedentary behaviors as risk factors for childhood obesity: an urban and rural comparison. *Child Obes*. 2012 Oct; 8(5):440–8. [PubMed: 23061499]
9. Ledikwe JH, Smiciklas-Wright H, Mitchell DC, et al. Dietary patterns of rural older adults are associated with weight and nutritional status. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004 Apr; 52(4):589–95. [PubMed: 15066076]
10. Patterson PD, Moore CG, Probst JC, et al. Obesity and physical inactivity in rural America. *J Rural Health*. 2004 Spring;20(2):151–9. [PubMed: 15085629]
11. Wang Y, Beydoun MA. The obesity epidemic in the United States—gender, age, socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, and geographic characteristics: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2007 May;29:6–28. [PubMed: 17510091]
12. Walker RE, Keane CR, Burke JG. Disparities and access to healthy food in the United States: review of food deserts literature. *Health Place*. 2010 Sep; 16(5):876–84. [PubMed: 20462784]
13. Larson NI, Story MT, Nelson MC. Neighborhood environments: disparities in access to healthy foods in the U.S. *Am J Prev Med*. 2009 Jan; 36(1):74–81. [PubMed: 18977112]
14. Eberhardt MS, Pamuk ER. The importance of place of residence: examining health in rural and nonrural areas. *Am J Public Health*. 2004 Oct; 94(10):1682–6. [PubMed: 15451731]
15. Drewnowski A. Obesity, diets, and social inequalities. *Nutr Rev*. 2009 May; 67(Suppl 1):S36–9. [PubMed: 19453676]
16. Olson CM, Bove CF, Miller EO. Growing up poor: long-term implications for eating patterns and body weight. *Appetite*. 2007 Jul; 49(1):198–207. [PubMed: 17442454]
17. Zoellner J, You W, Connell C, et al. Health literacy is associated with healthy eating index scores and sugar-sweetened beverage intake: findings from the rural Lower Mississippi Delta. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2011 Jul; 111(7):1012–20. [PubMed: 21703379]
18. Probst JC, Moore CG, Glover SH, et al. Person and place: the compounding effects of race/ethnicity and rurality on health. *Am J Public Health*. 2004 Oct; 94(10):1695–703. [PubMed: 15451735]
19. Robles B, Frost S, Moore L, et al. Overweight and obesity among low-income women in rural West Virginia and urban Los Angeles County. *Prev Med*. 2014 Oct; 67(Suppl 1):S34–9. [PubMed: 24589440]



20. Martin KS, Ferris AM. Food insecurity and gender are risk factors for obesity. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2007 Jan-Feb;39(1):31–6. [PubMed: 17276325]
21. Bove CF, Olson CM. Obesity in low-income rural women qualitative insights about physical activity and eating patterns. *Women Health.* 2006; 44(1):57–78. [PubMed: 17182527]
22. Martin MA, Lippert AM. Feeding her children, but risking her health: the intersection of gender, household food insecurity and obesity. *Soc Sci Med.* 2012 Jun; 74(11):1754–64. [PubMed: 22245381]
23. Jackson CL, Szklo M, Yeh H-C, et al. Black-White disparities in overweight and obesity trends by educational attainment in the United States, 1997–2008. *J Obes.* 2013; 2013:140743. [PubMed: 23691282]
24. Moore SE, Harris C, Wimberly Y. Perception of weight and threat to health. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2010 Feb; 102(2):119–24. [PubMed: 20191924]
25. Kirby JB, Liang L, Chen H-J, et al. Race, place, and obesity: the complex relationships among community racial/ethnic composition, individual race/ethnicity, and obesity in the United States. *Am J Public Health.* 2012 Aug; 102(8):1572–8. [PubMed: 22698012]
26. Dunn RA, Sharkey JR, Horel S. The effect of fast-food availability on fast-food consumption and obesity among rural residents: An analysis by race/ethnicity. *Economics & Human Biology.* 2012; 10:1–13. [PubMed: 22094047]
27. Mueller KG, Hurt RT, Abu-Lebdeh HS, et al. Self-perceived vs actual and desired weight and body mass index in adult ambulatory general internal medicine patients: a cross sectional study. *BMC Obes.* 2014 Dec 12.1:26. [PubMed: 26217512]
28. Schieman S, Pudrovska T, Eccles R. Perceptions of body weight among older adults: analyses of the intersection of gender, race, and socioeconomic status. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2007 Nov; 62(6):S415–23. [PubMed: 18079430]
29. Caccamese SM, Kolodner K, Wright SM. Comparing patient and physician perception of weight status with body mass index. *Am J Med.* 2002 Jun 1; 112(8):662–6. [PubMed: 12034417]
30. Nyholm M, Gullberg B, Merlo J, et al. The Validity of Obesity Based on Self-reported Weight and Height: Implications for Population Studies. *Obesity.* 2007 Jan; 15(1):197–208. [PubMed: 17228048]
31. Craig BM, Adams AK. Accuracy of body mass index categories based on self-reported height and weight among women in the United States. *Matern Child Health J.* 2009 Jul; 13(4):489–96. [PubMed: 18607705]
32. Haff DR. Racial/ethnic differences in weight perceptions and weight control behaviors among adolescent females. *Youth Society.* 2009 Dec; 41(2):278–301.
33. Chang VW, Christakis NA. Self-perception of weight appropriateness in the United States. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003 May; 24(4):332–9. [PubMed: 12726871]
34. Stunkard, AJ., Sorensen, T., Schulsinger, F. Use of the Danish adoption register for the study of obesity and thinness. In: Kety, SS, Rowland, LP, Sidman, RL., Matthyse, SW., editors. *Genetics of Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders.* New York, NY: Raven Press Publishers; 1983. p. 115-120.
35. Bulik CM, Wade TD, Heath AC, et al. Relating body mass index to figural stimuli: population-based normative data for Whites. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2001 Oct; 25(10):1517–24. [PubMed: 11673775]
36. Cardinal TM, Kaciroti N, Lumeng JC. The figure rating scale as an index of weight status of women on videotape. *Obesity.* 2006 Dec; 14(12):2132–5. [PubMed: 17189538]
37. Bays HE, Bazata DD, Fox KM, et al. Perceived body image in men and women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: correlation of body mass index with the figure rating scale. *Nutr J.* 2009 Dec 16.8:57. [PubMed: 20003545]
38. Lynch E, Liu K, Wei GS, et al. The relation between body size perception and change in body mass index over 13 years: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2009 Apr 1; 169(7):857–66. [PubMed: 19221119]
39. Ard JD, Greene LE, Malpede CZ, et al. Association between body image disparity and culturally specific factors that affect weight in Black and White women. *Ethn Dis.* 2007 Spring;17(2 Suppl 2):S2-34–9.

40. Lemon SC, Rosal MC, Zapka J, et al. Contributions of weight perceptions to weight loss attempts: differences by body mass index and gender. *Body Image*. 2009 Mar; 6(2):90–6. [PubMed: 19188102]
41. Yang K, Turk MT, Allison VL, et al. Body mass index self-perception and weight management behaviors during late adolescence. *J Sch Health*. 2014 Oct; 84(10):654–60. [PubMed: 25154529]
42. Roberts CK, Vaziri ND, Barnard RJ. Effect of diet and exercise intervention on blood pressure, insulin, oxidative stress, and nitric oxide availability. *Circulation*. 2002 Nov 12; 106(20):2530–2. [PubMed: 12427646]
43. Neter JE, Stam BE, Kok FJ, et al. Influence of weight reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension*. 2003 Nov; 42(5):878–84. [PubMed: 12975389]
44. Henry RR, Wallace P, Olefsky JM. Effects of weight loss on mechanisms of hyperglycemia in obese non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes*. 1986 Sep; 35(9):990–8. [PubMed: 3527829]
45. Anderson JW, Kendall CWC, Jenkins DJA. Importance of weight management in type 2 diabetes: review with meta-analysis of clinical studies. *J Am Coll Nutr*. 2003 Oct; 22(5):331–9. [PubMed: 14559925]
46. Bacon SL, Sherwood A, Hinderliter A, et al. Effects of exercise, diet and weight loss on high blood pressure. *Sports Med*. 2004; 34(5):307–16. [PubMed: 15107009]
47. Reduction in obesity and related comorbid conditions after diet-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss in men. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000 Jul 18; 133(2):92–103. [PubMed: 10896648]
48. Yaemsiri S, Slining MM, Agarwal SK. Perceived weight status, overweight diagnosis, and weight control among US adults: the NHANES 2003–2008 Study. *Int J Obes*. 2011 Aug; 35(8):1063–70.
49. Johnson-Taylor WL, Fisher RA, Hubbard VS, et al. The change in weight perception of weight status among the overweight: comparison of NHANES III (1988–1994) and 1999–2004 NHANES. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2008 Feb 12; 5:9. [PubMed: 18269748]
50. Kumanyika SK, Morssink C, Agurs T. Models for dietary and weight change in African-American women: identifying cultural components. *Ethn Dis*. 1992 Spring; 2(2):166–75. [PubMed: 1467754]
51. Baturka N, Hornsby PP, Schorling JB. Clinical implications of body image among rural African-American women. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2000 Apr; 15(4):235–41. [PubMed: 10759998]
52. Wilson DB, Sargent R, Dias J. Racial differences in selection of ideal body size by adolescent females. *Obes Res*. 1994 Jan; 2(1):38–43. [PubMed: 16353606]
53. Linder J, McLaren L, Siou GL, et al. The epidemiology of weight perception: perceived versus self-reported actual weight status among Albertan adults. *Can J Public Health*. 2010 Jan-Feb; 101(1):56–60. [PubMed: 20364540]
54. Bowring AL, Peeters A, Freak-Poli R, et al. Measuring the accuracy of self-reported height and weight in a community-based sample of young people. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2012 Nov 21; 12:175. [PubMed: 23170838]
55. Rowland ML. Self-reported weight and height. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1990 Dec; 52(6):1125–33. [PubMed: 2239790]

**Table 1**

## Participant Characteristics

Characteristic	Total Sample (n = 438)	African American (n = 237)	Caucasian (n = 201)	<i>p-value</i>
<b>Demographics<sup>†</sup></b>				
Age	52.6 (12.1)	51.9 (12.3)	53.5 (11.7)	0.167
Gender				0.548
Female	73.5%	74.7%	72.1%	
Male	26.5%	25.3%	27.9%	
Education Level				0.496
Less than High School	28.3%	28.5%	28.1%	
High School	37.1%	37.9%	36.2%	
Some College/Vocational School	20.7%	18.3%	23.6%	
College/Vocational Degree	13.8%	15.3%	12.1%	
Employment Status				0.669
Full Time	23.5%	24.8%	22.0%	
Part Time	12.8%	13.1%	12.4%	
Unemployed, Looking for Work	12.8%	13.6%	11.8%	
Unemployed, Not Looking for Work	8.3%	7.5%	9.1%	
On disability	29.0%	29.9%	28.0%	
Retired	13.8%	11.2%	16.7%	
Poverty (Less than \$20,000 per year)	72.5%	75.3%	69.3%	0.175
<b>Weight Status</b>				
Normal (BMI < 25)	12.2%	11.1%	13.4%	0.171
Overweight (25 ≤ BMI < 30)	18.7%	15.5%	22.4%	
Obese (30 ≤ BMI < 40)	39.1%	40.3%	37.8%	
Morbidly Obese (BMI ≥ 40)	30.0%	33.2%	26.4%	

<sup>†</sup>Age was compared using t-test; other variables tested with chi-square tests.

\* p < 0.05;

\*\* p < 0.01;

\*\*\* p < 0.001

**Table 2**

Actual vs Perceived Weight Status

African-American (n = 225)		Actual Weight Status			
		Normal Weight (n=25)	Overweight (n=35)	Obese (n=90)	Morbidly Obese (n=75)
Perceived Weight Status	Perceived Normal Weight	72.0%	57.1%	13.3%	0.0%
	Perceived Overweight	20.8%	28.6%	47.8%	6.7%
	Perceived Obese	8.0%	14.3%	38.9%	93.3%
Caucasian (n = 200)					
Actual Weight Status					
Perceived Weight Status		Normal Weight (n=27)	Overweight (n=44)	Obese (n=76)	Morbidly Obese (n=53)
	Perceived Normal Weight	81.5%	36.4%	5.3%	0.0%
	Perceived Overweight	11.1%	50.0%	48.7%	5.7%
	Perceived Obese	7.4%	13.6%	46.1%	94.3%

**Table 3**

## Predictors of Weight Misperception

Variable	Odds Ratio <sub>ADJ</sub>	95% CI	p-value
Age	1.018	(0.992,1.045)	0.185
<b>Gender</b>	<b>2.688</b>	<b>(1.500,4.819)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
<b>Race</b>	<b>1.709</b>	<b>(1.033,2.827)</b>	<b>0.037</b>
Education Level	–	–	0.138
<b>Employment Status</b>	–	–	<b>0.039</b>
Poverty	1.02	(0.559,1.855)	0.983

Note: Overall ORs and CIs for education level and employment status are not reported due to the categorical nature of the variables.