

Raised cardiac troponin in intensive care patients with sepsis, in the absence of angiographically documented coronary artery disease: A systematic review

Journal of the Intensive Care Society 2015, Vol. 16(1) 52-57 (© The Intensive Care Society 2014 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/ journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1751143714555303 jics.sagepub.com (SAGE)

Vasileios Zochios and Kamen Valchanov

Abstract

Elevated cardiac troponin in the critically ill has been associated with increased hospital mortality. However, in critically ill patients with sepsis the results are heterogeneous and less easy to interpret. The objectives of this systematic review were to describe the pathophysiology, clinical relevance and prognostic significance of raised troponin in intensive care patients with sepsis. Articles were identified through a detailed MEDLINE search and additional references were retrieved from the selected studies. A growing body of clinical evidence suggests that although troponins are sensitive biomarkers with prognostic value, they are not independent predictors of mortality. However, vigilance for objective evidence of coronary artery disease is required and patients with atherosclerotic risk factors and elevated troponins may benefit from cardiac imaging. In patients with low pre-test probability for coronary artery disease and elevated troponins, therapy should target management of sepsis and optimisation of myocardial oxygen demand/supply balance.

Keywords

Troponin, cardiac myocytes, critical illness, sepsis, mortality

Introduction

Cardiac troponins have been shown to be indicators of myocardial injury and are accepted prognosticators of myocardial infarction. Myocardial infarction is defined as detection and/or fall of cardiac troponin with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit, together with evidence of myocardial ischaemia, with at least one of the following: (i) symptoms of ischaemia (e.g. chest pain); (ii) electrocardiographic evidence of ischaemia; (iii) imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality.¹

Serum troponin concentrations have been associated with increased mortality in almost every clinical setting they have been examined, including sepsis. Sepsis is the physiological response to severe infection. It is defined as the presence (probable or documented) of infection together with systemic features of inflammation.^{2,3} Severe sepsis is sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion or organ dysfunction, and septic shock refers to sepsis-induced hypotension, persisting despite adequate fluid resuscitation, which may be defined as infusion of 30 ml/kg of crystalloids.^{2,3}

Vincent et al. showed that in European intensive care units (ICUs) >34% of patients develop sepsis at

some point during their ICU stay and 27% of patients with sepsis die in ICU, rising to >50% in patients with septic shock.⁴

Raised cardiac troponin in intensive care patients with sepsis has been associated with adverse prognosis, but it is unknown whether any cardiovascular intervention would alter outcomes.^{5–9}

We present an overview of the pathophysiology, clinical relevance and prognostic significance of raised troponin in septic critically ill patients.

Methods

We performed a literature search in MEDLINE from its commencement date through the end of May 2014, using medical subject headings and text words, supplemented by scanning the bibliographies of the recovered articles. We combined 'troponin' and 'critical illness'

Cardiothoracic Intensive Care Unit, Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Papworth Everard, Cambridge, UK

Corresponding author:

Vasileios Zochios, Department of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Cardiothoracic ICU, Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Papworth Everard, Cambridge, CB23 3RE, UK. Email: vasileioszochios@doctors.org.uk using the Boolean operator 'AND'. We used a similar search strategy, using the terms 'troponin' and 'mortality'. We combined these results with the terms 'critical illness' and 'coronary artery disease'. We then combined these results with the terms 'cardiac troponin', 'troponin I', 'troponin T', 'elevated troponin', 'critical illness', 'mortality', 'intensive care', 'sepsis', 'severe sepsis', 'septic shock', 'systemic inflammatory response syndrome', 'myocardial injury', 'myocardial depression', 'acute coronary syndrome', 'coronary artery disease' and 'ischaemic heart disease'. Language was limited to articles written in English.

VZ and KV reviewed and selected the relevant articles for inclusion. Differences were resolved in consensus meetings. Pre-defined eligibility criteria were used. A study was included if: (1) troponin measurements were performed in adult or paediatric critically ill patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome, sepsis, severe sepsis or septic shock; (2) it measured troponin in septic ICU patients without coronary artery disease and (3) it evaluated a clinical endpoint (mortality). Randomised controlled trials (RCTs), prospective and retrospective cohorts and eligible series were for inclusion. case Correspondences, experts' opinions and case reports were excluded. Studies conducted outside the ICU were also excluded. A total of 16 studies met our pre-defined inclusion criteria.

Discussion

Pathophysiology

Troponin is a sensitive marker of myocardial injury and 'non-coronary' troponin elevation is common among critically ill patients. Lim et al. demonstrated that elevated troponin levels are observed in 43% across all intensive care patient groups (interquartile range 21–59%).⁷ The estimated prevalence of positive troponin in the context of sepsis is 61% ((95%) CI

58-64%).¹⁰ The mechanism of myocyte insult in severe sepsis and septic shock, in the absence of thrombotic acute coronary syndrome, leading to elevated serum troponin, is not yet fully understood. Myocardial depressive factors (inflammatory mediators, endotoxins), microvascular dysfunction and increased myocardial cell membrane permeability in conjunction with myocardial oxygen demand-supply mismatch, are potential explanations for sepsisinduced troponin elevation (Table 1).¹¹ In this setting, troponin elevation occurs in the absence of myocyte necrosis and this hypothesis is supported by clinical observations that myocardial depression in the context of sepsis is a reversible process in most surviving patients.¹² However, direct proof of the pathophysiology underlying troponin elevation in sepsis is lacking.

Troponin and cardiac function

Fernandes et al. described a weak correlation between raised troponin levels and left ventricular systolic dysfunction in critically ill patients with sepsis (P=0.035).¹³ Ver Elst et al. also showed that in ICU patients with septic shock, elevated serum troponin was strongly associated with left ventricular dysfunction (in 78% of troponin-positive patients and only 2% of troponin-negative patients), as assessed bv transoesophageal echocardiography (P < 0.0001).¹⁴ In the same study, post-mortem examination revealed contraction band necrosis in only 50% of patients with elevated pre-mortem troponin level and in one patient with normal troponin level, indicating that troponin release is most likely related to leakage of troponin from the cardiac myocyte membrane rather than myocyte necrosis.¹⁴ In a study of 37 patients with septic shock, those with positive troponin had higher incidence of regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography (56% versus 6%, P = 0.002), lower ejection fraction (46%)

 Table 1. Possible pathogenic mechanisms for sepsis-induced troponin elevation.

Causes of troponin elevation in the context of sepsis	Proposed pathogenic mechanism of troponin elevation
I. Demand-supply mismatch	Tachycardia, hypoxaemia, hypotension, decreased oxygen delivery, \downarrow coronary perfusion pressure
2. Direct myocarditis	Bacteraemia → cytokine and endotoxin release → microvascular dysfunction, bacterial myocarditis, myocardial depression and ↑myocardial cell membrane permeability
3. Free radicals and superoxide radicals	Sepsis \to activation of NADPH oxidases complexes \to formation of free radicals \to myocardial cell damage and apoptosis
4. Elevated filling pressures and ventricular wall stress	Activation of intracellular signalling cascade → cardiac myocytes apoptosis, myocytes damage and micronecrosis; reduced coronary perfusion pressure
 Left ventricular diastolic and right ventricular systolic dysfunction 	-

versus 62%, P = 0.04) and higher mortality (56% versus 24%, P = 0.04) compared to normal troponin patients.¹⁵

Bouhemad et al. associated changes in troponin levels with changes in left ventricular dimensions over time, in surgical intensive care patients with septic shock. When increase in troponin was not associated with significant systolic dysfunction, left ventricular dimensions did not increase. Patients who were found to have ventricular diastolic dysfunction, despite showing no evidence of ventricular dilatation or impaired left ventricular ejection fraction, were identified as having the worst prognosis.16 In a recent prospective cohort study, Landesberg et al. demonstrated that left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and right ventricular dilatation are the echocardiographic variables correlating best with troponin elevation in general intensive care patients with severe sepsis and septic shock (P=0.001 andP = 0.0002, respectively).¹⁷

The majority of critically ill patients with sepsis, severe sepsis or septic shock and elevated cardiac troponin, do not have flow-limiting coronary artery disease, as judged by stress echocardiography, coronary angiography or post-mortem examination (Table 2).^{5,6,18} These findings suggest that pathogenic mechanisms of non-thrombotic release of cardiac troponin are implicated in the pathophysiology of sepsis-induced myocardial depression.

Troponin as a prognostic indicator of mortality in clinical sepsis – Evaluating the evidence

Troponin elevation among critically ill patients with severe sepsis and septic shock has been associated with worse prognosis and high mortality (Table 3).^{6,15,19–25} A meta-analysis covering observational studies until September 2010, showed that raised troponin, in the context of sepsis, is significantly associated with all-cause mortality (RR 1.91; 95% CI 1.63–2.24), in univariate analysis.¹⁰ This meta-analysis included low quality studies (great number of retrospective studies and non-consecutive series and absence of blinding of physicians involved) and the results of meta-regression lacked power and therefore the potential for robust conclusion was limited.

 Table 2.
 Association between elevated cardiac troponin and CAD (diagnosed by stress echo, coronary angiography or post-mortem examination) in ICU patients with SIRS, severe sepsis or septic shock, without pre-existing CAD.

Source/Authors	Study design; Patient Enrolment (ICU type)	No. of patients; Type	Elevated Troponin (%)	CAD in patients with positive Troponin (%)
Ammann et al. (2001) ⁵	Prospective case control; MICU	20; SIRS/severe sepsis/septic shock	85	5.9
Ammann et al. (2003) ⁶	Retrospective; MICU	51; SIRS/severe sepsis/septic shock	63	6
Altman et al. (2010) ¹⁸	Prospective; MICU	38; SIRS/severe sepsis/septic shock	58	4.5

CAD: coronary artery disease; ICU: intensive care unit; MICU: medical intensive care unit; SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

Table 3. Association between elevated cardiac troponin and mortality in ICU patients with sepsis.

Source/Authors	Study design; Patient Enrolment (ICU type)	No. of patients; Type	Positive Troponin (%)	Mortality (positive Troponin) (%)	Mortality (negative Troponin) (%)	P value
Spies et al. (1998) ²²	Prospective; SICU	26; early sepsis	69	83	37	0.02
Amman et al. (2003) ⁶	Retrospective; MICU	51; SIRS/severe sepsis/ septic shock	63	48	12	0.018
Mehta et al. (2004) ¹⁵	Prospective; MICU	37; septic shock	43	56	24	0.04
Scott et al. (2008) ²¹	Prospective; SICU	66; severe sepsis/septic shock	64	29	21	0.69
Kalla et al. (2008) ²³	Prospective; medical/ surgical patients, MICU	159; bacteraemic patients	43	38	20	<.05
Choon-ngarm and Partpisanu (2008) ²⁵	Prospective; MICU	40; septic shock	42	100	48	0.001
John et al. (2010) ²⁰	Prospective sub-study; MICU and SICU	598; severe sepsis	75	32	14	<.0001
Tiruvoipati et al. (2012) ²⁴	Retrospective; MICU	293; severe sepsis	56	36	15	<.01
Vasile et al. $(2013)^{19}$	Retrospective; MICU	926; sepsis/severe sepsis/septic shock	70	31	17	<.0001

ICU: intensive care unit; MICU: medical intensive care unit; SICU: surgical intensive care unit; SIRS = systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

In a study of 926 critically ill patients with sepsis, 645 (69.7%) patients with elevated cardiac troponin levels had higher 30-day mortality (31% versus 17%, P < .0001), compared with patients with normal troponin levels.¹⁹ After adjustment for severity of disease and baseline characteristics, troponin levels remained associated with 30-day mortality.

John et al. found that in a subset of patients (n = 598), from the Protein C Worldwide Evaluation in Severe Sepsis trial elevated troponin was associated with higher mortality.^{19,26} Troponin-positive patients had significantly higher 28-day mortality compared with the troponin-negative group (32.2% versus 13.6%, P < .0001). Using multivariable analysis, troponin was an independent prognosticator of mortality (odds ratio: 2.02).

In a number of observational studies assessing the prognostic value of troponin in the setting of sepsis, cardiac troponin lost its independent prognostic impact on multivariate analyses (Table 4).^{17,23,24,27–30} A recent prospective sub-study, part of the Vasopressin And Septic Shock Trial,³¹ demonstrated that increased troponin (in up to 36% of patients) did not predict increased mortality risk.²⁷ In the multivariable analysis, only Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score (odds ratio, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.01–1.14; P = 0.033) was highly associated with 28-day mortality.

It is unclear whether raised cardiac troponin has no prognostic value in sepsis or whether differences in timing and frequency of troponin measurement and criteria for positivity in various studies account for these conflicting results. Patient heterogeneity (medical versus surgical patients, patients with varying degrees of sepsis severity, patients requiring varying inotropic/vasopressor medication, undiagnosed coronary artery disease, study population included/ excluded patients with coronary artery disease, Most studies were underpowered and although they resulted in statistical significance, they might have overestimated the magnitude of small effects. Finally, the susceptibility of observational studies to selection bias and their inability to control for unknown factors may account for the variation in results, and so explain the heterogeneity.

Elevated troponin: The intensivist approach

A nationwide US survey among 310 intensivists, regarding their treatment strategies for intensive care patients with elevated troponin without typical features of acute coronary syndrome, showed that 76% of intensivists would start either aspirin or clopidogrel, 47.4% would start either low molecular weight heparin or unfractionated heparin, 48.9% would start high dose statins, 68.7% would start beta-blockers and 37.6% would use angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor. In addition, 72.7% of the intensivists said they would request cardiology consultation and 51.3% said they would refer these patients for coronary angiography, once stable.³² As demonstrated by this survey, a wide variety of opinions exists among intensivists regarding the management of ICU patients with raised troponin, most probably due to lack of relevant guidelines and absence of randomised data on the role of the above agents in such patients.³²

Conclusion

Non-thrombotic troponin elevation is probably multifactorial and a common finding among critically ill patients with sepsis. It might be that myocardial dysfunction accounts for troponin elevation and could potentially explain the troponin's association with

Table 4. Multivariate analysis – Raised cardiac troponin is not an independent predictor of mortality after adjusting for other variables.

Source/Authors	Study design; Patient Enrolment (ICU type)	No. of patients; Type	Predictor of mortality
Brivet et al. (2006) ²⁸	Prospective; MICU	118; severe sepsis/septic shock	SAPS II
Kalla et al. (2008) ²³	Prospective; medical/surgical patients, MICU	159; Bacteraemic patients	Septic shock/chronic renal impairment
Oliveira et al. (2008) ²⁹	Prospective; PICU	218; sepsis/septic shock	Septic shock
Rosjo et al. (2011) ³⁰	Prospective; MICU and SICU	207; Severe sepsis	SAPS II
Tiruvoipati et al. (2012) ²⁴	Retrospective; MICU	293; Severe sepsis	SAPS II, temperature, lactate
Mehta et al. (2013) ²⁷	Prospective sub-study; MICU and SICU	121; Septic shock	APACHE II
Landesberg et al. (2014) ¹⁷	Prospective; GICU	106; Severe sepsis/septic shock	APACHE II, shock ↑RVESVi, ↑longitudinal SRe′

APACHE II: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-II score; GICU: general intensive care unit; ICU: intensive care unit; MICU: medical intensive care unit; PICU: paediatric intensive care unit; RVESVi: right ventricular end-systolic volume index; SAPS II: simplified acute physiology score II; SICU: surgical intensive care unit; SRe: peak late diastolic strain rate wave.

mortality. Alternatively, raised troponin may indicate a more fulminant disease process.

There is no guideline on the appropriate approach and management of septic critically ill patients with elevated troponin. However, vigilance for objective evidence of acute coronary syndrome, prompt management of sepsis and optimisation of myocardial oxygen demand/supply balance are of paramount importance.

Utilisation of cardiac troponins as a sepsis screening tool and addition of troponin to sepsis bundles could be helpful in prognostically stratifying critically ill patients with sepsis, so that early evaluation (by echocardiography or angiography) and management is appropriately initiated. It would stand to reason that septic patients with high pre-test probability of coronary artery disease and very high troponin levels (above 10% of the co-efficient variance) undergo cardiac investigations during their ICU stay. However, we believe that cardiac troponins form one part of a much larger diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic puzzle.

Adequately powered RCTs are needed to determine the role of therapeutic (antiplatelets, statins, inotropes, etc.) and diagnostic (echocardiography, coronary angiography) strategies in an intensive care patient population with sepsis and positive troponin results, and whether these patients should have formal cardiac investigations once they recover from their critical illness.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Thygesen K, Alpert JS and White HD; Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28: 2525–2538.
- Levy MM, Fink MP, Marshall JC, et al. 2001 SCCM/ ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS International Sepsis Definitions Conference. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31: 1250–1256.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM and Rhodes A. Surviving sepsis campaign: International guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. *Crit Care Med* 2013; 41: 580–637.
- Vincent JL, Sakr Y, Sprung CL, et al. Sepsis in European intensive care units: Results of the SOAP study. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 344–353.
- Ammann P, Fehr T, Minder EI, et al. Elevation of troponin I in sepsis and septic shock. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; 27: 965–969.
- Ammann P, Maggiorini M, Bertel O, et al. Troponin as a risk factor for mortality in critically ill patients without

acute coronary syndromes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; 41: 2004–2009.

- Lim W, Qushmaq I, Devereaux PJ, et al. Elevated cardiac troponin measurements in critically ill patients. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166: 2446–2454.
- Arlati S, Brenna S, Prencipe L, et al. Myocardial necrosis in ICU patients with acute non-cardiac disease: a prospective study. *Intensive Care Med* 2000; 26: 31–37.
- Wright RS, Williams BA, Cramner H, et al. Elevations of cardiac troponin I are associated with increased short-term mortality in noncardiac critically ill emergency department patients. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; 90: 634–636.
- Bessière F, Khenifer S, Dubourg J, et al. Prognostic value of troponins in sepsis: a meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; 39: 1181–1189.
- Hussain N. Elevated cardiac troponins in setting of systemic inflammatory response syndrome, sepsis, and septic shock. *ISRN Cardiol* 2013; 2013: 1–7.
- 12. Parrillo JE. Pathogenetic mechanisms of septic shock. *New Engl J Med* 1993; 328: 1471–1477.
- Fernandes CJ Jr, Akamine N and Knobel E. Cardiac troponin: a new serum marker of myocardial injury in sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 1999; 25: 1165–1168.
- Ver Elst KM, Spapen HD, Nguyen DN, et al. Cardiac troponins I and T are biological markers of left ventricular dysfunction in septic shock. *Clin Chem* 2000; 46: 650–657.
- Mehta NJ, Khan IA, Gupta V, et al. Cardiac troponin I predicts myocardial dysfunction and adverse outcome in septic shock. *Int J Cardiol* 2004; 95: 13–17.
- Bouhemad B, Nicolas-Robin A, Arbelot C, et al. Acute left ventricular dilatation and shock-induced myocardial dysfunction. *Crit Care Med* 2009; 37: 441–447.
- Landesberg G, Jaffe AS, Gilon D, et al. Troponin elevation in severe sepsis and septic shock: The role of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and right ventricular dilatation. *Crit Care Med* 2014; 42: 790–800.
- Altmann DR, Korte W, Maeder MT, et al. Elevated cardiac troponin I in sepsis and septic shock: no evidence for thrombus associated myocardial necrosis. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e9017.
- Vasile VC, Chai HS, Abdeldayem D, et al. Elevated cardiac troponin T levels in critically ill patients with sepsis. *Am J Med* 2013; 126: 1114–1121.
- John J, Woodward DB, Wang Y, et al. Troponin-I as a prognosticator of mortality in severe sepsis patients. *J Crit Care* 2010; 25: 270–275.
- Scott EC, Ho HC, Yu M, et al. Pre-existing cardiac disease, troponin I elevation and mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2008; 36: 51–59.
- Spies C, Haude V, Fitzner R, et al. Serum cardiac troponin T as a prognostic marker in early sepsis. *Chest* 1998; 113: 1055–1063.
- Kalla C, Raveh D, Algur N, et al. Incidence and significance of a positive troponin test in bacteremic patients without acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Med* 2008; 121: 909–915.
- Tiruvoipati R, Sultana N and Lewis D. Cardiac troponin I does not independently predict mortality in critically ill patients with severe sepsis. *Emerg Med Australas* 2012; 24: 151–158.

- 25. Choon-ngarm T and Partpisanu P. Serum cardiac troponin-T as a prognostic marker in septic shock. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2008; 91: 1818–1821.
- Bernard GR, Vincent JL, Laterre PF, et al. Efficacy and safety of recombinant human activated protein C for severe sepsis. N Engl J Med 2001; 344: 699–709.
- 27. Mehta S, Granton J, Gordon AC, et al. Cardiac ischemia in patients with septic shock randomized to vasopressin or norepinephrine. *Crit Care* 2013; 17: R117.
- 28. Brivet FG, Jacobs FM, Colin P, et al. Cardiac troponin level is not an independent predictor of mortality in septic patients requiring medical intensive care unit admission. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: 404.
- Oliveira NS, Silva VR, Castelo JS, et al. Serum level of cardiac troponin I in pediatric patients with sepsis or septic shock. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2008; 9: 414–417.
- Rosjo H, Varpula M, Hagve TA, et al. Circulating high sensitivity troponin T in severe sepsis and septic shock: distribution, associated factors, and relation to outcome. *Intensive Care Med* 2011; 3777–85.
- Russell JA, Walley KR, Singer J, et al. A randomised controlled trial of low dose vasopressin versus norepinephrine infusion in patients who have septic shock. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 877–887.
- 32. Gundre P, Kleyn M, Kulbak G, et al. Elevated troponin Cs in intensive care units – a nationwide survey of critical care physicians. *Chest* 2011; 140: : 1013A.