



Published in final edited form as:

J Biomol NMR. 2010 September ; 48(1): 31–47. doi:10.1007/s10858-010-9436-6.

Conformational Dependence of ^{13}C shielding and coupling constants for methionine methyl groups

Glenn L. Butterfoss¹, Eugene F. DeRose², Scott A. Gabel², Lalith Perera², Joseph M. Krahn², Geoffrey A. Mueller², Xunhai Zheng², and Robert E. London²

¹The Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences and the Center for Genomics & Systems Biology, New York University, New York, NY 10003 USA

²Laboratory of Structural Biology, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, 111 T. W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Abstract



Methionine residues fulfill a broad range of roles in protein function related to conformational plasticity, ligand binding, and sensing/mediating the effects of oxidative stress. A high degree of internal mobility, intrinsic detection sensitivity of the methyl group, and low copy number have made methionine labeling a popular approach for NMR investigation of selectively labeled protein macromolecules. However, selective labeling approaches are subject to more limited information content. In order to optimize the information available from such studies, we have performed DFT calculations on model systems to evaluate the conformational dependence of $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCC}}$, $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCH}}$, and the isotropic shielding, σ_{iso} . Results have been compared with experimental data reported in the literature, as well as data obtained on [methyl- ^{13}C]methionine and on model compounds. These studies indicate that relative to oxygen, the presence of the sulfur atom in the coupling pathway results in a significantly smaller coupling constant, $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCC}}/^3\text{J}_{\text{COCC}} \sim .7$. It is further demonstrated that the $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling constant depends primarily on the subtended CSCH dihedral angle, and secondarily on the CSCC dihedral angle. Comparison of theoretical shielding calculations with the experimental shift range of the methyl group for methionine residues in proteins supports the conclusion that the intra-residue conformationally-dependent shift perturbation is the dominant determinant of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. Analysis of calmodulin data based on these calculations indicates that several residues adopt non-standard rotamers characterized by very large $\sim 100^\circ$ χ^3 values. The utility of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ as a basis for estimating the *gauche/trans* ratio for χ^3 is evaluated, and physical and technical factors that limit the accuracy of both the NMR and crystallographic analyses are discussed.

Correspondence: Robert E. London, MR-01, NIEHS, 111 T. W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, Phone: 919-541-4879, FAX: 919-541-5707, London@niehs.nih.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supporting information includes: 1) Evaluation of $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCC}}$ using alternate basis sets; 2) calculated $^3\text{J}_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling constants as a function of the absolute value of the subtended dihedral angle; 3) calculated isotropic and anisotropic shielding parameters for EMS; 4) plots illustrating comparisons with Tvaroska Data and Tafazzoli calculations; 5) analysis of free methionine conformation using both $^3\text{J}_{\text{CeC}\beta}$ and $^3\text{J}_{\text{CeH}\gamma}$ coupling constants; 6) pH titration results for compounds containing C-CH₃ groups; 7) solvent effect on the shift of the methyl resonance of methylthiopropanol; 8) effect of lattice contacts on the conformation of a buried methionine residue – transferrin residue M109; 9) graphical representation of methionine χ^i values during the Amber simulation of the calmodulin-*M13* complex.

Graphical abstract



Keywords

methionine; [methyl-¹³C]methionine; calmodulin; NMR; Karplus relation; $^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$; scalar coupling constants

Introduction

The methionine residues of proteins fulfill a number of important structural and functional roles. Methionine-rich interaction surfaces in calmodulin, SecA and other proteins are able to accommodate a broad range of structurally diverse, hydrophobic targets (O'Neil and DeGrado 1990; Hunt et al. 2002). The inherent flexibility of the methionine sidechain is an important structural element when conformational plasticity is required (Gellman 1991; Pantoja-Uceda et al. 2004; Strohmeier et al. 2006). Methionine also functions as a metal ligand in heme proteins such as cytochrome c (Santos and Turner 1993) and bacterioferritin (George et al. 1993), as well as in non-heme metalloproteins such as plastocyanin (Bertini et al. 2001). Senn and coworkers have demonstrated that in oxidized cytochrome c, the

orientation of the lone pair orbital of the methionine sulfur atom ligated to the heme iron exerts a dominant influence on its electronic structure (Senn and Wuthrich 1983a; b; Senn et al. 1984). The methionine sulfur can also be involved in hydrogen bonding interactions (Gregoret et al. 1991). Recent modeling studies have suggested that in the enzyme dihydrofolate reductase, the proximity of the Met20 sulfur atom to the folate N5 can elevate the pKa, facilitating subsequent hydride transfer chemistry (Khavrutskii et al. 2007). There is also evidence that methionine oxidation can serve as a sensor and a mediator of oxidative stress (Vogt 1995; Yin et al. 2000; Gustavsson et al. 2001; Anbanandam et al. 2005; Schoneich 2005).

The varied roles of methionine residues in DNA polymerases have been subject to intense investigations in recent years (Reha-Krantz and Nonay 1994; Tipples et al. 1996; Shah et al. 2001; Bose-Basu et al. 2004; Niimi et al. 2004; Kirby et al. 2005; Li et al. 2005; Pavlov et al. 2006; Nick McElhinny et al. 2007; Pursell et al. 2007a; Zheng et al. 2009; Zheng et al. 2010). Methionine is a conserved residue in the “primer grip” motif of HIV reverse transcriptase (RT) that positions the primer terminus of the substrate (Ding et al. 1998), and is also a component of the so called “YMDD” motif of the active site in this enzyme (Wakefield et al. 1992). Furthermore, methionine mutations in HIV RT play a critical role in the development of drug-resistance phenotypes (Menendez-Arias 2008). For some polymerases, the introduction of L → M and M → L mutations have been shown to confer characteristic infidelity profiles, making it possible to identify the polymerase involved in particular DNA transactions (Nick McElhinny et al. 2007). This approach has proven useful for clarifying the physiological roles of the corresponding polymerases (Pursell et al. 2007b).

As a result of these many roles as well as its favorable relaxation characteristics, [methyl-¹³C]methionine has proven popular as an NMR label (Jones et al., 1975, 1976; Blakley et al. 1978; Deber et al., 1978; Jaeck & Benz, 1979; Stollery et al., 1980; Wooten et al. 1981; Hardy & Dill, 1982; Rosevear 1988; Seigneuret et al. 1991; Lin et al., 1994; Krudy et al. 1994; Duetzel et al. 1995; Howarth et al. 1995; Siivari et al. 1995; Beatty et al. 1996; Kleerekoper et al. 1998; Cox et al. 1999; He et al. 1999; Kleerekoper and Putkey 1999; Yuan et al. 1999; Skrynnikov et al., 2001; Duetzel et al. 2001; Bose-Basu et al. 2004; Yuan et al. 2004; Kirby et al. 2005; DellaVecchia et al. 2007; Gelis et al., 2007; Kloiber et al., 2007; Zheng et al. 2009; Zheng et al. 2010; Religa et al., 2010). Nevertheless, there have been few theoretical analyses of the conformational dependence of its NMR parameters. The sulfur-containing sidechain represents a special case to which the extensive results for aliphatic chains are not directly applicable. Furthermore, the limited amount of information available from studies of specifically labeled macromolecules makes it essential to extract the maximum amount of information from these studies. The present studies have been undertaken in order to more fully evaluate the conformational dependence of the methionine NMR parameters, thus allowing a more complete analysis of data derived from methionine labeling studies.

Materials and Methods

Ethyl methyl sulfide ((1-methylsulfanyl)ethane), methyl t-butyl sulfide, and methyl t-butyl ether were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and [methyl- ^{13}C]-L-methionine was obtained from CIL, Inc. U- ^{13}C , ^{15}N]ubiquitin was obtained from VLI Research, Inc. (Malvern, PA).

NMR studies

1D INADEQUATE (Bax et al. 1980) spectra were acquired using Varian's inadqt experiment. All INADEQUATE experiments were performed using a ^{13}C sweep width of 100.0 ppm, with an acquisition time of 2 s, and a recovery delay of 1.5 s. ^1H WALTZ16 decoupling was employed during the acquisition time. The $1/(4J_{\text{CC}})$ delay was set to 0.0625 s, corresponding to a carbon-carbon coupling constant (J_{CC}) of 4 Hz. The methionine spectrum was acquired on a sample of 50 mM [methyl- ^{13}C]-L-methionine in D_2O , pH 7.1. The t-butyl methyl ether and t-butyl methyl sulfide spectra were acquired with neat samples containing 10% acetone- d_6 for ^2H lock. The $^3J_{\text{C}\beta\text{C}\epsilon}$ value for Met1 of ubiquitin was also determined using the INADEQUATE experiment. The sample used in this study was 1 mM U- ^{13}C , ^{15}N]ubiquitin in 90% H_2O /10% D_2O , pH in 10 mM phosphate pH 6.5 buffer containing 1 mM sodium azide, 0.2 mM EDTA, and 10 μM DSS as a chemical shift standard.

Theoretical Calculations

All quantum mechanics (QM) calculations used Gaussian03 (Frisch et al. 2004) and employed the tight self-consistent field option. All reported structures are optimized at, and NMR parameters determined at, the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory unless otherwise indicated. Variation of the S- CH_2 (S- CH_3) bond length over the χ^3 torsion range is: $1.842 \pm 0.008 \text{ \AA}$ (1.824 ± 0.001). Similar levels of theory have been used in predictions of J-couplings in saccharide models (Tafazzoli and Ghiasi 2007; Zhao et al. 2008) and amino acid sidechains (Chou et al. 2003). ^{13}C shielding calculations used the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) method (Wolinski et al., 1990; Cheeseman et al., 1996). For sets of calculations scanning a dihedral angle, the torsion in question was held fixed at a given value and all other degrees of freedom were allowed to optimize. Optimal geometry for the *gauche* conformation of ethyl methyl sulfide (EMS) was determined using MP2/6-311+G(2d,p) as discussed previously (Butterfoss and Hermans 2003).

Theoretical $^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ and $^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling constants for EMS determined as a function of dihedral angle using the QM models were subsequently fit to Karplus relations of the form:

$$^3J_{\text{CSCC}} = C_0 + C_1 \cos \theta + C_2 \cos^2 \theta \quad [1]$$

using the non-linear least squares fitting routine of Mathematica (Wolfram Research, Champaign, IL). Numerical fits of the theoretical $^2J_{\text{CSC}}$ coupling and the theoretical shielding data obtained for EMS were made to a Fourier cosine series of the form:

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^N C_n \cos(n\theta) \quad [2]$$

The chemical shielding tensor is a symmetric, second-rank tensor and can thus be described by three principal components: σ_{11} , σ_{22} , and σ_{33} and their orientations. The isotropic

chemical shielding, σ_{iso} , is given by $\sigma_{\text{iso}} = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \sigma = \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{33})$ and by convention is reported in parts per million from the bare ^{13}C nucleus.

Molecular Modeling

The structure of the calmodulin-*M13* peptide complex was modeled using the Amber force field. The initial structure used in the molecular modeling work was based on the best NMR structure given in pdb entry: 2BBM. The initial positions of the 4 calcium ions were the same as in the pdb structure and the system including peptide plus the calcium ions was solvated in a bath of water (15,974 water molecules) with water molecules extending a minimum of 15 Å away from any protein atom in a given direction. Prior to equilibration, the system was subjected to energy minimizations at various levels followed by a low temperature constant pressure molecular dynamics period to ensure a reasonable starting density. Step-wise heating at constant volume to bring the temperature to 300° K followed by a 2 ns constant volume molecular dynamics simulation completed the equilibration. Final unconstrained trajectories for 30 ns were calculated at 300° K under constant pressure of 1 atm (1 fs time step) using the particle mesh Ewald molecular dynamics (PMEMD; Amber. 10) to accommodate long range interactions. The interaction parameters were taken from the FF03 force field of the Amber suite (Case et al. 2008).

Nomenclature

Following Lovell et al. (2000) we have used “p”, “m” and “t” to refer to methionine χ_1 values near +60 –60° and 180°, respectively. For example, the pmt conformation indicates $\chi_1 \sim +60$, $\chi_2 \sim -60$, and $\chi_3 \sim 180^\circ$. In studies referring to the complex between calmodulin and a 26-residue peptide, “*M13*”, derived from myosin light chain kinase, we have italicized the name of the peptide in order to avoid confusion with a methionine residue. The subscript *M13* is used to indicate residues located on the peptide; for example, residue alanine-13 on the peptide is referred to as A13_{*M13*}.

Results

Coupling constant analysis

Measurements of three bond coupling constants provide the most consistent and reliable basis for rotamer analysis (Chou et al. 2003; Contrera and Peralta 2000). We are unaware of previous analyses of the dihedral angle dependence of $^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ for methionine or related compounds, however, two previous studies have investigated the conformational dependence of $^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ (Tvaroska et al. 1992; Tafazzoli and Ghiasi 2007). In the present study, we utilized density functional theory (DFT) employing several different basis sets in order to determine the dihedral angle dependence for the model compound ethyl methyl sulfide

(EMS), which we believe provides a reasonable model for the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ and ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling interactions involving the methionine methyl group. The results obtained using the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory were readily interpreted based on a Karplus-type dependence on the subtended dihedral angle, yielding the following parameters (Figure 1):

$${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}} = -0.191 + 0.713 \cos \theta + 5.495 \cos^2 \theta \quad [3]$$

Some comparisons with results obtained using other basis sets are included as Supplementary Material. For completeness, the ${}^2J_{\text{CSC}}$ results obtained from the same calculation are shown in Figure 1b. The smooth curve corresponds to a fit of the data with a Fourier cosine series:

$${}^2J_{\text{CSC}} = -0.861 - 0.165 \cos \theta - 0.074 \cos 2\theta - 0.047 \cos 3\theta + 0.019 \cos 4\theta - 0.001 \cos 5\theta - 0.011 \cos 6\theta \quad [4]$$

Based on this calculation, the ${}^2J_{\text{CSC}}$ value is expected to vary between -0.7 and -0.9 Hz over the energetically favorable range.

In contrast with the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ calculations, analysis of the dihedral angle dependence of the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling interaction exhibited more complex behavior. Analysis of the calculated coupling constants between the methyl carbon and either of the methylene protons indicates that there is significant asymmetry about the CSCH dihedral angle, i.e., ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}(+\theta)}$ ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}(-\theta)}$, with the discrepancy reaching ~ 1 Hz for some values of θ . This behavior is most clearly illustrated by a plot of either ${}^3J_{\text{CSCHa}}$ or ${}^3J_{\text{CSCHb}}$ vs. the absolute value of θ (Supplementary Material). A more comprehensive analysis reveals that the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ curve for each proton is shifted in the opposite sense relative to the best fits obtained using standard Karplus relations (Eq. 1), (Figure 2a, b). Based on the simplicity of the model compound, the asymmetry characterizing the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$ coupling interaction can be described mathematically by utilizing terms that depend on both the subtended dihedral angle between the coupled nuclei, θ , as well as on the angle describing the relative orientation of the two methyl carbon atoms, θ' . The geometric constraint of the molecule requires that $\theta' = \theta \pm 120^\circ$, with the sign dependent on which proton is being observed. The coupling constant data for both Ha and Hb were thus fit to a relation of the form:

$${}^3J_{\text{CSCH}} = C_0 + C_1 \cos \theta + C_2 \cos^2 \theta + C_3 \cos^2 (\theta \pm 120^\circ) \quad [5]$$

where the dihedral angle describing the relationship of the S-methyl carbon with Ha (Hb) is equal to $\theta_{\text{CC}} + 120^\circ$ ($\theta_{\text{CC}} - 120^\circ$). Figure 2a,b illustrates the best fits obtained for both the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCHa}}$ and ${}^3J_{\text{CSCHb}}$ calculations using a Karplus equation (Eq. 1), and Figure 2c,d shows the fits obtained using Eq. 5, which yields the parameters indicated below:

$${}^3J_{CSCH} = 1.0 + 7.0 \cos^2 \theta - 1.4 \cos^2 (\theta \pm 120^\circ) \quad [6]$$

where the negative (positive) sign in the last term of Eq. 6 corresponds to ${}^3J_{CSCHa}$ (${}^3J_{CSCHb}$). Inclusion of a $\cos\theta$ term did not improve the fits of the offset curves and so this term was not included. To summarize, the ${}^3J_{CSCH}$ value will be dependent on both the CSCH and CSCC dihedral angles, θ and $\theta' = \theta \pm 120^\circ$. As another way of viewing this result, the use of a single coupling constant, J_g , to describe the coupling constant of the ${}^1\text{H}$ - ${}^{13}\text{C}$ pair in a *gauche* orientation must be replaced by the use of two coupling constants, $J_{g,gCC}$ and $J_{g,tCC}$, which also depend on the orientation of the two carbon nuclei. As a result of the shifted coupling constant curves illustrated in Figure 2, the values will generally differ substantially, with $J_{g,gCC} > J_{g,tCC}$, i.e., the *gauche* ${}^3J_{CSCH}$ coupling is reduced if the CSCC bond angle is trans. In some situations, it may be useful to include an expression for the average coupling constant that neglects this additional complexity:

$${}^3J_{CSCH}^{av} = 1.0 + 7.0 \cos^2 \theta - 0.7 \left(\cos^2 (\theta + 120^\circ) + \cos^2 (\theta - 120^\circ) \right)$$

which after simplification using trigonometric identities becomes:

$${}^3J_{CSCH}^{av} = -0.05 + 7.7 \cos^2 \theta \quad [7]$$

The above relations may be compared with the relation obtained by Tvaroska et al. (1992) based on studies of a set of conformationally-constrained thioglycosides:

$${}^3J_{CSCH} = 0.45 - 1.06 \cos \theta + 4.44 \cos^2 \theta \quad [8]$$

and to the result given by Tafazzoli and Ghiasi (2007):

$${}^3J_{CSCH} = 0.55 - 1.35 \cos \theta + 5.04 \cos^2 \theta \quad [9]$$

There are substantial differences between the values predicted by Equations 7 and 8 or 9 near $\theta = 0$, but no data is available near this value, while the trans coupling constant obtained using Eq. 7 is ~ 1.5 Hz larger than the value obtained using Eq. 8 or 9. In general, the greater magnitude of the ${}^3J_{CSCH}$ values obtained using Eq. 6 or 7 is consistent with the fact that the model compounds investigated by Tvaroska et al. are more highly substituted than the methyl ethyl sulfide used for the present calculations. The lower values obtained on model compounds containing additional substituents in the coupling pathway has been discussed by Zhao et al. (2008), and a similar effect is observed in the results for the t-butyl compounds discussed below. A comparison of the coupling data of Tvaroska et al. (1992) with Eq. 7 is included in the Supplementary Material.

Conformational dependence of ^{13}C shielding

Recent evaluations of the relationships between sidechain ^{13}C shift behavior and residue conformation indicated that *gauche* conformations of methionine χ_3 are associated with an upfield shift of -1.8 ppm (London et al. 2008). Such an upfield shift would presumably have the same origin as the extensively studied γ -*gauche* effect in hydrocarbons (Grant and Cheney 1967; Tonelli 1984; Tonelli et al. 1984). As noted in our recent study, the interpretation of such crystallographic/magnetic resonance correlations is limited by the higher temperatures used in most NMR studies so that the NMR observation corresponds to a thermal average rather than to the unique conformations identified in the crystal. Solid state NMR studies of methionine crystals also have demonstrated the existence of a significant upfield shift for the *gauche* conformers (Diaz et al. 1986). In the study of Diaz et al. (1986), the ^{13}C shifts for both enantiomerically pure methionine as well as for D,L-methionine mixtures were correlated with conformational data from crystal structures. The results, summarized in Table 1, include ^{13}C shift data for crystallographically inequivalent A and B methionine molecules in L-methionine crystals, as well as for the α and β crystalline forms of D,L methionine; upfield ^{13}C shifts for the *gauche* conformers of magnitudes -2.0 ppm and -2.9 ppm are obtained from the two comparisons.

The ethyl methyl sulfide model used for the calculation of scalar coupling constants is also expected to provide a useful model for calculating the effect of conformation on shielding of C ϵ . In contrast with the nuclei of amino acid sidechains located closer to the peptide backbone, e.g. valine C γ (Pearson et al. 1997), the position of methionine C ϵ should result in shielding parameters that are much less dependent on backbone torsional angles.

Calculated isotropic shielding values for the SCH $_3$ carbon as a function of dihedral angle were fit to a Fourier cosine series (shielding anisotropy values are included as Supplementary Material):

$$\sigma_{iso} = 162.455 + 4.218 \cos \theta + 1.319 \cos 2\theta - 0.752 \cos 3\theta - 0.072 \cos 4\theta + 0.059 \cos 5\theta$$

[10]

A plot of $\sigma_{iso} - \sigma_{ref}$ is shown in Figure 3, along with the methionine C ϵ shift values reported in the solid state NMR studies of Diaz et al. (1986). Including σ_{ref} allows comparison with experimental data using a particular chemical shift reference. In the figure, we set the reference shift $\sigma_{ref} = 178.8$ ppm, which yielded optimal agreement with the solid state NMR values. The consistency between the experimental data and the theoretical curve supports the conclusion that the conformational dependence of the ^{13}C shift in the methionine crystals can be adequately described by the approximations inherent in the theoretical analysis of the EMS model compound.

A slightly different value of σ_{ref} was used in order to fit the data for free methionine in solution. This value was selected in order to optimize the agreement with the ^{13}C shift for free methionine measured relative to DSS, in combination with the theoretical MP2

calculations for EMS, which indicate a *gauche*/trans energy difference of 0.39 kcal/mol (Butterfoss and Hermans 2003), leading to the conclusion that free methionine has a 21% probability of adopting a trans conformation with $\chi_3 = 180^\circ$, and a 79% of adopting a *gauche* conformation with $\chi_3 = 67^\circ$. This approach leads to a value for $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 179.9$, 1.1 ppm greater than the value using the solid state data. In combination with Eq. 10, this value for σ_{ref} indicates that the *gauche* conformation of methionine defined by $\chi_3 = 67^\circ$, is associated with a shift of ~ 15.85 ppm compared with a trans shift of 19.49 ppm ($\chi = 180^\circ$), giving $\delta_{\text{gt}} = 3.64$ ppm. As expected, this predicted shift difference is larger than the statistical value determined from a comparison of NMR shift data with the most probable conformation identified in a set of protein crystal structures, due to the fact that in proteins, methionine residues generally adopt a conformational mixture rather than the single conformation usually observed in protein crystals.

Relationship of Shielding with Coupling constant—Multiple approaches are available for interpreting shift and coupling data in terms of methionine conformation. The issue of whether methionine residues in proteins exhibit rotameric χ_3 behavior has been controversial, however the analysis by Word et al. (1999) suggests that this is the case, particularly if consideration is limited to residues with lower B-factors. Assuming that the methionine χ_3 can be described as a mixture of *gauche* and trans conformers, the observed shift and coupling constant can be expressed as weighted averages according to:

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = (1 - p_t)\delta_g + p_t\delta_t \quad {}^3J_{\text{obs}} = (1 - p_t)J_g + p_tJ_t \quad [11]$$

In combination, the above relations also imply a linear dependence of δ_{obs} on ${}^3J_{\beta\epsilon}$:

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\delta_g J_t - \delta_t J_g}{J_t - J_g} + \frac{\delta_t - \delta_g}{J_t - J_g} J_{\text{obs}} \quad [12]$$

The validity of these relations is dependent on two important assumptions that are discussed more fully below: 1) there are no other significant contributions to the ${}^{13}\text{C}_\epsilon$ shift, and 2) the conformational behavior of χ_3 is adequately represented as a simple *gauche/trans* equilibrium in which the two *gauche* conformations exhibit similar shift and coupling constant values. Calculations for the ethyl methyl sulfide model indicate conformational minima at $\chi_3 = \pm 67^\circ, 180^\circ$. However, the barrier heights are significantly lower than those for the corresponding aliphatic chain so that, for example, the *trans* conformation is actually an energy-weighted average of values that may extend over a range of $\pm 15^\circ$ or greater (Butterfoss et al. 2005), and nominal *gauche* conformations may deviate significantly from the 67° minimum predicted for the model compound using the *ab initio* MP2 calculation presented previously (Butterfoss and Hermans 2003). This conclusion is consistent with evaluations of protein crystal structures by Word et al. (1999), who find that, even selecting for structures with the lowest B-factors, methionine χ_3 values deviate from the ideal staggered conformation by as much as 36° . Equations 11 and 12 remain valid, however, if the equilibrium involves any two normalized states or, as in the above example, three states with two of them being equivalent. However, it will not be possible to apply the above

relations to a group of methionine residues if the nominal *gauche* and *trans* states differ significantly from one residue to the next.

Utilizing the calculations given above and assuming *gauche* and *trans* minima correspond to 67° and 180°, the parameter values for Equations 11 and 12 are:

$$\delta_{obs} = 19.49p_t + 15.85(1 - p_t) \quad {}^3J_{CSCC} = 4.59p_t + .927(1 - p_t) \quad [13]$$

$$\delta_{obs} = 14.92 + 1.00 J_{obs} \quad [14]$$

Unfortunately, the exact values of the slopes and intercepts used in these equations is highly sensitive to value of σ_{ref} and the angles selected for the *gauche* and *trans* conformers.

Further insight into the relationship between shift and coupling constants is obtained from a parametric plot of the calculated shielding (Equation 10) vs. ${}^3J_{CSCC}$ values (Equation 3) as a function of θ (Figure 4). The dihedral angles are indicated for some of the data points calculated for EMS; each point corresponds to a 10° increment in χ^3 , with the exception of missing values for $\chi^3 = 110^\circ$. In this figure, we have indicated the generously allowed *trans* and *gauche* conformers with red ovals. From the figure, it becomes clear that a substantial deviation of the χ^3 value from 180° in the *trans* rotamer will not result in a large change of the predicted shift, but can significantly reduce the ${}^3J_{CSCC}$ value. In contrast, significant deviations from the ideal *gauche* geometry can dramatically alter both the shift and coupling constant. For example, for $\theta_g = 100^\circ$, the ${}^{13}C_e$ shift of the *gauche* conformer becomes larger than that of the *trans* conformer. Analysis of experimental data for calmodulin presented below indicates that in some instances, such large deviations are required to explain the observed parameters.

Studies of model compounds

Experimental ${}^3J_{CC}$ data were obtained for methionine, and for the model compounds t-butyl methyl sulfide and t-butyl methyl ether using the INADEQUATE experiment (Bax et al. 1980). This set of compounds is ideally suited to this type of analysis due to the absence of ${}^1J_{CC}$ interactions for the S-CH₃ groups which may be incompletely suppressed using this approach. We considered t-butyl methyl sulfide a useful model since it has only one conformation and known dihedral angles (Figure 5a). The experimental value of ${}^3J_{CSCC} = 1.85$ Hz for t-butyl methyl sulfide was compared with values calculated for $\theta = \pm 60^\circ, 180^\circ$ using the methyl ethyl sulfide model and four different basis sets. The calculated value using B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p) exceeds the experimental value by ~ 40 %:

$${}^3J_{CSCC} = \frac{2J_g + J_t}{3} = \frac{2(1.54) + 4.59}{3} = 2.56 \text{ Hz} \quad [15]$$

It has long been established, however, that additional substituents can influence, and often reduce, vicinal coupling constants (Zhao et al. 2008; Barfield et al. 1980), indicating that EMS might be an inadequate theoretical model for *t*-butyl methyl sulfide. We therefore performed an analogous set of calculations directly on the *t*-butyl methyl sulfide, B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p), which yielded the values: ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(60^\circ) = 1.22 \text{ Hz}$; ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(180^\circ) = 2.83 \text{ Hz}$. The calculated weighted mean obtained using these values is within 5 % of the experimental value of 1.85 Hz:

$${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}} = \frac{2J_g + J_t}{3} = \frac{2(1.22) + 2.83}{3} = 1.76 \text{ Hz} \quad [16]$$

In this example, the addition of two methyl groups that are internal to the coupling pathway reduces both the J_g and J_t values by 21 % and 38 %, respectively. The effect of additional internal substituents is qualitatively similar to that noted by Zhao et al. (2008) in studies of carbohydrate coupling constants.

In order to further evaluate the effect of the heteroatom, we performed analogous calculations and measurements on *t*-butyl methyl ether. A weighted average ${}^3J_{\text{COCC}}$ coupling constant of 2.42 Hz was measured using the INADEQUATE pulse sequence as in the above example. The theoretical coupling constants for the *gauche* and *trans* interactions calculated using the same B3LYP model are: ${}^3J_{\text{COCC}}(60^\circ) = 1.75 \text{ Hz}$, and ${}^3J_{\text{COCC}}(180^\circ) = 3.69 \text{ Hz}$, resulting in a predicted weighted average in excellent agreement with the measured value:

$${}^3J_{\text{COCC}} = \frac{2J_g + J_t}{3} = \frac{2(1.75) + 3.69}{3} = 2.40 \text{ Hz} \quad [17]$$

On the basis of these model compound studies, we can therefore conclude that the DFT calculations used provide excellent fits for the vicinal ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ and ${}^3J_{\text{COCC}}$ coupling interactions involving S or O heteroatoms, and that the couplings are significantly reduced by the presence of methyl groups internal to the coupling pathway. Based on the results for the model compounds, replacement of oxygen with sulfur substantially reduces both the *trans* and *gauche* vicinal carbon-carbon coupling constants, with a ratio ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}/{}^3J_{\text{COCC}} \sim 0.7$.

We next consider the conformation of free methionine. An INADEQUATE experiment on [methyl- ^{13}C]methionine gave long range coupling constants of ${}^3J_{\text{C}\beta\text{C}\epsilon} = 1.55 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^2J_{\text{C}\gamma\text{C}\epsilon} = 0.9 \text{ Hz}$. Assuming a simple *gauche* *trans* model, the fractional *trans* probability is given by:

$$p_t = \frac{{}^3J_{\beta\epsilon}^{\text{exp}} - {}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(\theta_g)}{{}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(\theta_t) - {}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(\theta_g)} \quad [18]$$

where ${}^3J_{\beta\epsilon}^{\text{exp}}$ is the experimental value of 1.55 Hz and the ${}^3J_{\text{CSCC}}(\theta)$ values are obtained using Eq. 3. The MP2 calculations on EMS (Butterfoss and Hermans 2003) indicate a

gauche energy minimum at $\theta_g = 67^\circ$ and a p_t value of 0.2. Using equation 3, we obtain $p_t = 20.4\%$ corresponding to $\theta_g = 69^\circ$. In fact, it is reasonable to expect that free methionine would have a somewhat larger value for χ_3 , since in a few conformations steric interactions with the amino acid backbone result in a significantly larger χ_3 value (Butterfoss et al. 2005; Lovell et al. 2003). A more detailed discussion of the conformation of free methionine is included as Supplementary Material. The measured $^2J_{C_\gamma C_\epsilon}$ value is consistent with the calculation of Figure 1b and with a predominantly *gauche* conformation.

As discussed below in connection with the data on calmodulin, we also sought a model system in which the methionine χ_3 angle was held in a *trans* orientation. We ultimately selected the M1 residue of ubiquitin, for which both crystallographic and NMR structural data are available (Vijaykumar et al. 1987; Ramage et al. 1994; Cornilescu et al. 1998). In the two crystallographic studies, The χ_3 for M1 has values of 177.5° (pdb: 1UBQ) and -177.9° (pdb: 1UBI). All of the 10 NMR structures reported for the 1D3Z ensemble also correspond to a *trans* conformation for χ_3 of Met1, however, the values are significantly lower, averaging -145.3° . The $^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon}$ value for M1 determined using the INADEQUATE experiment was 3.2 Hz, well below the theoretical value of 4.6 Hz predicted by Equation 3 with a dihedral angle of 180° . This result can be explained either on the basis of a different dihedral angle for the nominally *trans* structure, or as an indication of a conformational mixture, and is discussed further in a later section.

Analysis of Calmodulin Data—To the best of our knowledge, calmodulin is the only protein for which a significant amount of methionine coupling data for C_ϵ has been determined (Bax et al. 1994). The data, corresponding to the complex formed between [$U\text{-}^{13}C$] calmodulin and a 26-residue peptide fragment of skeletal muscle myosin light chain kinase, *M13*, include $^3J_{C_\epsilon C_\beta}$, $^3J_{C_\epsilon H_\gamma}$, and $^2J_{C_\epsilon C_\gamma}$ values for nine methionine residues. For four of these residues (M72, M109, M124, and M144), $^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon}$ is 0.5–0.6 Hz, and a value of 0.8 Hz was obtained for two others (Met71 and Met76). These very low values cannot be fit within the context of the *gauche/trans* equilibrium model for χ_3 unless we increase the value of θ_g and require that the fractional probability of the *trans* conformer is near 0. For example, using Equation 3, $^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon} = 0.5$ Hz can be obtained for a single conformation with $\theta = 73^\circ$. At the other extreme, the largest $^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon}$ values of 2.0 and 2.2 Hz for residues M51 and M145 are still much lower than the calculated value of 4.6 Hz for a pure *trans* conformation with $\chi_3 = 180^\circ$. Overall, we can conclude from the experimental data that the conformational ensemble describing the calmodulin methionine residues, which make up a significant fraction of the ligand interaction surface of the protein, is heavily biased toward χ_3 *gauche* conformations, and probably also contains several residues with significant populations having θ_g χ_3 values $> 70^\circ$.

The shifts of the methionine methyl resonances are well dispersed. Although the methyl group of M145, which exhibits the largest coupling constant, exhibits a relatively large shift that is consistent with a relatively greater fraction of a *trans* χ_3 conformer (Eq. 12), several of the residues with very low $^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon}$ values also exhibit large shifts. For some of these residues, these values probably result from *gauche* conformers with angles in the $80 - 100^\circ$ range. As illustrated in Figure 4, *gauche* conformations with larger dihedral angles correspond to small coupling constants and larger downfield shifts (reduced shielding). The

presence of such conformational states for methionine is supported by the analysis of crystallographic structures by Word et al. (1999), who noted that the methionine methyl groups deviate by as much as 36° away from staggered, while the largest deviation found for alanine methyl groups was only 16°.

Consistent with the location of most of the calmodulin methionine residues on the peptide interaction surface, large shift perturbations have been observed to result from formation of the *M13* peptide complex (Elshorst et al. 1999). Residues M71, M124, M145 undergo large upfield ¹He shifts, M109 and M144 exhibit smaller upfield ¹He shift perturbations, and residue M72 undergoes a dramatic –2.8 ppm upfield ¹³C shift change. However, application of the program SHIFTX to the NMR-determined structure of the calmodulin-*M13* complex (pdb code: 2BBM; Ikura et al. 1992) fails to predict most of these ¹H shifts (Figure 6). In order to better understand the behavior of these methionine residues, we performed a set of AMBER simulations on the structure of the calmodulin-*M13* complex.

Amber modeling of the calmodulin-*M13* complex—Since as noted above, a simple *gauche/trans* model restricted to approximately staggered conformations for χ^3 was found to be inadequate to allow interpretation of much of the calmodulin methionine data, we performed more extensive molecular modeling calculations using the program AMBER. The time dependent values for χ^1 , χ^2 , and χ^3 of each methionine residue determined during the simulation period between 14 and 30 ns are included as Supplementary Material. In general, each methionine residue exhibits unique behavior. Some residues, e.g. the linker residue M76, rapidly sample multiple conformations, others change conformation much more infrequently, and still others exhibit very strong preferences for one or two conformational states. For example, the M145 conformation is predominantly *mmt*.

As noted above, the methyl resonance of calmodulin residue M72 exhibits a –2.8 ppm shift upon formation of the *M13* complex. Since this shift change involves a comparison of the uncomplexed and complexed structures, we looked for changes in the conformational distribution of M72. In ligand-free Ca-calmodulin, represented by crystal structures 3CLN (Babu et al. 1988) and 1CLL (Chattopadhyaya et al. 1992), M72 exhibits a *trans* χ^3 conformation, with values of –166° and 174°, respectively. In the NMR structure of the *M13* complex, pdb code 2BBM, χ^3 has an intermediate value of –136.5°, suggesting an average of *gauche* and *trans* conformations. However, in the Amber-minimized structures, the M72 methyl group moves much closer to the *M13* peptide backbone and to the methyl group of A13_{*M13*}. Although NOE restraints were observed between M72 He and the Ha and Hb protons of A13_{*M13*}, the distances of the corresponding carbon nuclei are ~ 6 Å in the 2BBM structure and in most of the structures of the 2BBN ensemble. The close approach of the M72 methyl group to A13_{*M13*} in the Amber simulations is sufficient so that in many of the structures, M72 χ^3 cannot be rotated into a *trans* conformation without additional compensatory conformational adjustments. Thus, the available structural data and Amber simulations indicate that peptide complexation is accompanied by a transition away from a conformation that contains a significant fraction of *trans* χ^3 rotamer to a conformation in which interactions with the peptide ligands limit or preclude the adoption of a *trans* rotamer. These changes are consistent with the large upfield ¹³C shift of M72 observed by Elshorst et al. (1999) upon formation of the *M13* peptide complex.

It was also of interest to identify the presence of mmp conformations for methionine, since the analysis of crystal structures by Word et al. (1999) indicates that the χ^3 values for the mmp conformation are frequently very large. Residues M36, M72 and, to a lesser extent, M76 spend limited periods of the simulation in the mmp conformation during which the χ^3 value is elevated to $\sim 100^\circ$ (Supplementary Material). A significant occupancy of the mmp state provides a basis for understanding the combination of a downfield shifted ^{13}C resonance with a small $^3J_{\text{C}\beta\text{C}\epsilon}$ value, and is consistent with the data for M36 and M76, but less so for M72, which exhibits a $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 15.0$ ppm. These instances of the mmp conformation were the only simulation periods during which the amplitude of χ^3 exceeded $\sim 80^\circ$ for a sustained period.

Throughout the entire period of the Amber simulations, residue M109 showed a strong preference for only two conformations: mmt and mtt, so that χ^3 was almost always *trans*. This result is consistent with the 2BBM structure, and with the downfield $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 18.2$ ppm. However, it is inconsistent with the extremely small $^3J_{\text{C}\beta\text{C}\epsilon} = 0.5$ Hz. Although the basis for this inconsistency is not known, the small, 0.2 ppm shift difference between M109 C β and C γ suggests that one possible factor could be the high order perturbation discussed in the following section.

Application of the SHIFTX program to the structure of the Amber-minimized complex produced a significant improvement in the methionine $\delta^1\text{H}$ values, with all of the complexation-induced upfield methionine HE shifts at least qualitatively predicted on the basis of this structure. The correlation coefficient increased from $r = 0.41$ for the SHIFTX calculations using the 2BBM structure to $r = 0.83$ for an average of 15 SHIFTX calculations that used the simulations obtained between 14 and 29 ns (Figure 6). A plot of the calculated vs. experimental shift values is also given for the best simulation, corresponding to the AMBER simulation at 22 ns (Figure 6c). Analysis of the structures resulting from the Amber minimization indicates that these ^1H shift perturbations result primarily from closer contacts between the methionine sidechains and aromatic residues of the *M13* peptide. More specifically, the methyl group of M71 moves closer to F17_{M13}, M124 moves closer to W4_{M13}, and M145 moves closer to F8_{M13}. The two methyl resonances that experience smaller upfield shifts also move closer to aromatic peptide residues: M109-W4_{M13}. And M144-W4_{M13}. With the exception of M71-F17_{M13}, intermolecular NOEs were observed between each of the corresponding methionine He protons and protons on the nearby aromatic residues (Ikura et al. 1992). Interestingly, the Amber minimization moved the methyl groups closer to the nearby aromatic groups, resulting in more accurate shift predictions as determined by the SHIFTX calculations, and in greater agreement with the intermolecular methionine NOE data for the calmodulin-*M13* complex, although the NOE data were not included in the Amber minimization. This exercise also supports the value of using SHIFTX sidechain shift predictions as constraints in the determination of solution structures using NMR data.

High Order effects in the analysis of methionine coupling constants—One important limitation of the analysis of the reported coupling data results from the high order effects expected to characterize many of the observed coupling interactions for the calmodulin methionine resonances. For more than half of the methionine residues in the

calmodulin-*M13* complex the shift difference between C β and C γ was measured as 0.1 or 0.2 ppm. This small shift difference leads to significant high order perturbations for $^3J_{\beta\epsilon}$ and $^2J_{\gamma\epsilon}$. Modeling C β , C γ , C ϵ as an ABX spin system (Bernstein et al. 1957), the apparent coupling constants can be approximated as:

$$J_{\beta\epsilon}^{app} = \frac{1}{2} \left(J_{\beta\epsilon} + J_{\gamma\epsilon} + \sqrt{(\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}(J_{\beta\epsilon} - J_{\gamma\epsilon}))^2 + J_{\beta\gamma}^2} - \sqrt{(\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}(J_{\beta\epsilon} - J_{\gamma\epsilon}))^2 + J_{\beta\gamma}^2} \right)$$

$$J_{\gamma\epsilon}^{app} = \frac{1}{2} \left(J_{\beta\epsilon} + J_{\gamma\epsilon} + \sqrt{(\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}(J_{\beta\epsilon} - J_{\gamma\epsilon}))^2 + J_{\beta\gamma}^2} - \sqrt{(\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}(J_{\beta\epsilon} - J_{\gamma\epsilon}))^2 + J_{\beta\gamma}^2} \right)$$

[19]

These relations predict that as $\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma} \rightarrow 0$, the multiplet structure of the C ϵ methyl group will change from a doublet of doublets to a 1:2:1 triplet. As an illustration of this perturbation, we have calculated the apparent coupling constants defined in the above equation for values.

$^1J_{C\beta C\gamma} = 33 \text{ Hz}$, $^3J_{C\beta C\epsilon} = 3.0 \text{ Hz}$, and $^2J_{C\gamma C\epsilon} = 0.5 \text{ Hz}$, as a function of $\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma}$ (Figure 7). For $\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma}$ values less than ~ 0.3 ppm, the apparent $^3J_{\beta\epsilon}^{app}$ value is significantly reduced, while the apparent $^2J_{\gamma\epsilon}^{app}$ value is increased. Analogous effects will also be present for some of the ^1H coupling interactions involving H_{γ}^1 and H_{γ}^2 . Since the very small $^3J_{CC}$ values are observed for the same residues for which $\nu_{\beta} - \nu_{\gamma}$ is 0.1 – 0.2 ppm, it seems likely that these very low values may be reduced as a consequence of high order effects, and thus underreport the true coupling constant values. Surprisingly, the measured $^2J_{C\gamma C\epsilon}$ values in calmodulin are all below the values determined for free methionine and predicted from the DFT calculations (Figure 1b) although, as indicated by the simulation of Figure 7, high order effects would be expected to increase the apparent $^2J_{C\gamma C\epsilon}$ values. Although the reason for this discrepancy is not clear, these very small coupling constants are difficult to measure accurately.

Other contributions to the methionine $^{13}\text{C}_{\epsilon}$ chemical shifts in proteins—

According to the calculation of Figure 3, conformationally-dependent “ γ -effect” shift contributions span a total shift range of up to 8.4 ppm, although ~ 2 ppm of this range corresponds to values below 50° which are likely to be rarely encountered; there are no entries for $0 \pm 40^\circ$ in the methionine χ_3 data set with B-factors < 30 (Word et al. 1999). Our previous analysis of assigned methionine residues yielded a similar range of $\sim 6 - 7$ ppm, after discarding two extreme outliers (London et al. 2008). This comparison suggests that the intra-residue conformationally-dependent shift contributions included in the calculations on the EMS model compound are the dominant determinant of the methionine $^{13}\text{C}_{\epsilon}$ shift

dispersion in proteins, and also supports the conclusion that the shift is primarily dependent on the χ_3 conformation.

One important factor that reduces the contribution of other environmental influences on the methionine methyl shift is the very low order parameter that generally characterizes this group. Analysis of the methyl relaxation behavior for eight proteins by Mittermaier et al. (2003) reported a mean value of $S_{\text{axis}}^2 = 0.22$ for the methionine methyl group, less than half the mean value of 0.47 for the leucine and isoleucine C δ methyls. Since intermolecular shift contributions will depend on the relative orientations of each perturbing influence and the methionine sidechain, it is reasonable to conclude that in general, the relative significance of intermolecular shift contributions as a source of ^{13}C shift dispersion will be lower for methionine than for other methyl resonances.

Averaging of the electric field effects was observed for several small molecules. We compared the ^{13}C titration shifts determined for two sulfur-containing analogs of aliphatic compounds previously studied by Batchelor et al. (1975) in their analysis of electric field shift contributions: methylthioacetate, an analog of butyrate, and 2-thiomethyl ethylamine, an analog of n-butylamine (Supplementary Material). For the aliphatic compounds, increasing the pH (deprotonation) produces an upfield shift of the methyl carbon resonance. The corresponding titration shifts for the sulfur-containing analogs are significantly lower, despite the larger polarizability of the S-CH $_3$ bond. This behavior results from the greater averaging of the polarizing effects of the charge, which in turn results from the conformational heterogeneity of the sulfur-containing analogs, corresponding to much larger *gauche/trans* ratios about the CH $_2$ -S bond. Paradoxically, the above analysis suggests that shift analyses for the methionine residues that are most conformationally pure will also be subject to the largest errors if environmental shift perturbations are neglected.

Methionine conformational analysis in other proteins—Although careful analysis of crystallographic data has demonstrated that a small but significant percentage of the χ_3 torsional angles for the C-S bond in well ordered methionine residues deviate by a full 60° from a perfectly staggered geometry (Butterfoss et al. 2005), the overall behavior of χ_3 is statistically consistent with a significant preference for rotameric states (Word et al. 1999; Lovell et al. 2003). From a technical standpoint, NMR data are limited by the paucity of $^3J_{\text{C}\beta\text{C}\epsilon}$ data, problems of resolving coupling interactions in large proteins, and by the potential of high order perturbations resulting from the small $\nu_\beta - \nu_\gamma$ shift differences that sometimes occur. Crystallographic data also are prone to error due to the large electron density of the sulfur atom, which tends to obscure the position of the terminal methyl group. Additionally, the low rotamer interconversion barriers also increase the χ_3 deviation from canonical rotameric states. Although in some cases eclipsed methionine χ_3 rotamers can result from the local protein environment (Butterfoss et al. 2005), the large number of reported χ_3 values near 120°–140° suggests that there is a general over-reliance on the use of a single rotamer model in situations that correspond to a mixture of at least two methionine χ_3 rotamers.

Despite these limitations, we have found that analysis of the methionine C ϵ NMR data, and particularly interpretation of the ^{13}C shift, can provide useful insight if sufficient caution is

used in the interpretation. If a *gauche*/trans model is assumed, then using the calculated shift values derived above, Equation 10 can be written as:

$$p_t = \frac{\delta_{obs}^{C\varepsilon} - \delta_g}{\delta_t - \delta_g}$$

The accuracy of this approach can be somewhat improved by introducing a correction for the ring current shift contributions of aromatic residues. The simplest empirical approach is to assume that the measured $\delta^1\text{H}$ for the methyl protons is dominated by the ring current contribution (Perkins and Dwek 1980), and that on a ppm scale, $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim \delta^1\text{H}$. The latter approximation improves for methyl groups that are further from the ring. Taking the unshifted $\delta^1\text{H}$ value for the methionine methyl protons as 2.10 ppm (Wishart et al. 1995), we have:

$$p_t = \frac{\delta_{obs}^{C\varepsilon} + (2.10 - \delta_{obs}^{H\varepsilon}) - \delta_g}{\delta_t - \delta_g} \sim \frac{\delta_{obs}^{C\varepsilon} + (2.10 - \delta_{obs}^{H\varepsilon}) - 15}{4.5} \quad [20]$$

where in addition to the ^1H shift correction, we have used the theoretical trans shift value of 19.5 ppm obtained above for EMS, and reduced δ_g to 15 ppm allowing for a smaller *gauche* angle. This approximation can also be viewed as allowing for small shift contributions arising from other mechanisms, and also indicates the approximate nature of the trans probability predicted using Equation 20. The above formula must be viewed as a probability of a trans conformation. It fails primarily for conformations characterized by unusually large *gauche* angles, which, e.g., characterize the MMP conformation, and in instances where significant shift contributions arise from other mechanisms. Shift values falling outside the allowed range need to be evaluated on a case by case basis.

As noted in the section on model compounds, we initially considered ubiquitin M1 as a useful model for a methionine residue with a trans χ^3 conformation. However, the $^3J_{C\beta C\varepsilon}$ value of 3.2 Hz determined for ubiquitin M1 is significantly below the value of 4.59 Hz expected for a methionine residue with a trans χ^3 orientation. Application of Eq. 18 using $\theta_g = 70^\circ$ and $\theta_t = 180^\circ$ results in $p_t = 0.64$:

$$p_t = \frac{{}^3J_{\beta\varepsilon}^{exp} - {}^3J_{CSCC}(\theta=70^\circ)}{{}^3J_{CSCC}(\theta=180^\circ) - {}^3J_{CSCC}(\theta=70^\circ)} = 0.64$$

Application of Equation 20 to ubiquitin M1, with shift parameters $\delta(^1\text{H}, ^{13}\text{C}) = (1.63, 17.8)$, gives $p_t = 0.73$, and exact agreement can be obtained if the nominally trans conformation is assumed to deviate from 180° , as suggested by the NMR structure. Methionine M1 has a significant fractional solvent exposure, ~ 0.23 , consistent with the possibility that at the measurement temperature it may adopt conformations that differ significantly from the PTT conformation observed in the crystals.

The above relation provides a useful basis for estimating the *gauche/trans* conformational behavior of other methionine-labeled proteins under investigation in our laboratory: UvrB, HIV reverse transcriptase, and DNA polymerase β (Bose-Basu et al. 2004; DellaVecchia et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2009). In the spectrum of [methyl- ^{13}C] methionine-labeled UvrB derived from *B. caldotenax*, M551 is the most downfield-shifted ^{13}C resonance, with $\delta(^1\text{H},^{13}\text{C}) = (1.9, 18.7)$ ppm (DellaVecchia et al. 2007) (Figure 8). Equation 20 predicts a predominantly *trans* conformation for M551. Although, the χ^3 values for M551 of UvrB in both the A and B molecules in the unit cell for structures 1T5L and 2FDC (uncomplexed and DNA-complexed structures) indicate an extreme *gauche* conformation characterized by very low θ values, analysis of the closely related UvrB structure from *B. subtilis* (sequence identity = 82%), M551 gives $\chi^3 = 177.9^\circ$.

This variation led to a re-evaluation of the *B. caldotenax* UvrB data. Crystallography-based methionine conformations were re-evaluated by systematically refining the structure starting from each of the 13 common methionine rotamer conformations compiled by Lovell et al. (2000). An $F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}$ density difference map was scored by summing the absolute value squared, $\sum |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}|^2$ over grid points within 2.5 Å of each methionine sidechain atom. Regions of deficient density are contoured as green polygons, and regions of overestimated density are shown as red polygons; significant red/green regions of space surrounding the residue are indicative of suboptimal fits. Following this procedure clearly indicates that the preferred χ^3 torsion for M551 of UvrB is *trans*, as illustrated for chain B in pdb file 1T5L in Figure 8b. A similar analysis also indicates that M457, with the second largest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value, 18.0 ppm, can be fit more accurately using a mixture of *gauche* and *trans* rotamers (Figure 8c). Interestingly, older commonly used rotamer databases lacked a *trans* rotamer for methionine χ^3 (Ponder and Richards 1987; Jones et al. 1991).

For lower resolution crystal structures the electron density is often insufficient to allow a reliable χ^3 value to be extracted. The resolution of most of the structures of HIV reverse transcriptase (RT) is generally low; only a single pdb file has a resolution below 2.0 Å. The intense M16 resonance on the p66 subunit exhibits a ^{13}C shift value of 18.3 ppm which, according to equation 20, is consistent with a predominantly *trans* conformation (Figure 9) (Zheng et al. 2009). Better resolved crystal structures indicate χ^3 values near 140° , suggesting a *gauche/trans* equilibrium. Significantly, structure 1DLO, with resolution 2.70 Å, has the lowest average B-factor for the four heavy atoms defining the M16 χ^3 torsion of any of the structures evaluated, $B_{\text{av}} = 30.0$, and corresponds to $\chi^3 = 173.3^\circ$. Examination of the environment of this residue in multiple RT structures indicates that there are significant steric clashes with residues K13 and R83 in either of the possible *gauche* χ^3 conformations (Figure 9), consistent with the predominance of a *trans* χ^3 value.

As a third example, we consider the methionine shifts observed for DNA polymerase β , an enzyme involved in base excision repair. It has been demonstrated by crystallographic and NMR studies that formation of an abortive ternary complex results in conformational activation, which is associated with multiple shift perturbations of the methionine resonances (Bose-Basu et al. 2004). The ^{13}C shift of the M158 methyl resonance is increased by ~ 0.5 ppm in this complex, while several crystal structures suggest only small conformational changes of this residue. However, as indicated by Figures 3 and 4, for

gauche conformations with χ_3 near 70° , small changes in χ_3 are associated with relatively large changes in shielding, so that the magnitude of the ^{13}C shift/ χ_3 ratio > 0.1 ppm/ $^\circ$. Thus the observed ^{13}C shift perturbations can be extremely sensitive to conformation, as illustrated by this example. Two residues with larger ^{13}C shifts, M282 and M191, are predicted to exhibit higher fractional *trans* probabilities. M282 χ_3 adopts a *trans* conformation in nearly all crystal structures, however, in most crystal structures M191 adopts a *gauche* χ_3 conformation. Interestingly, a recent high resolution structure of Pol β with a resolution of 1.65 Å has two alternate conformations for this residue, corresponding to $\chi_3 = -77.5^\circ$ and -154.1° (pdb code 2FMP, Batra et al. 2006). We note here that the shift assignments for M158 and M191 have been interchanged based on recent NOE measurements (unpublished results).

Lattice contacts can perturb the conformations of residues located on the protein surface, however there are numerous examples in which lattice contacts can alter molecular conformation to a more significant degree. The N-terminal lobe of human transferrin provides a relevant example in which crystal packing alters the conformation of an exposed loop, which in turn influences the conformation of the buried methionine residue, M109. This effect is observed in a comparison of structures 1A8E and 1A8F, corresponding to two different space groups (MacGillivray et al. 1998). The change in position of residue L135 which immediately precedes a loop involved in a lattice contact alters the interaction with M109 in structure 1A8F, changing M109 χ_3 from *trans* to *gauche* (Supplementary Material). The structure of the full transferrin molecule is characterized by a *trans* M109 χ_3 conformation, as observed in 1A8E, consistent with the conclusion that lattice packing results in the perturbed *gauche* conformation in structure 1A8F. Based on the reported shifts values of He et al. (1999), application of Equation 20 gives $p_t = 0.6$ for M109, more consistent with the 1A8E structure. This example provides another illustration of the limits of crystallographic studies to the analysis of solution conformation.

As a final example, M247 of the protein synaptotagmin exhibits one of the largest $\delta^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$ values of 20.86 ppm included in our previous analysis (London et al. 2008), although the crystal structure 1RSY (Sutton et al. 1995) indicates a *gauche* χ_3 conformation. A more detailed examination of the structure indicates that this residue adopts an MMP conformation and exhibits the large θ_g value that is correlated with this conformational state. This conformational state is estimated to correspond to $\sim 3\%$ of all methionine residues (Butterfoss and Hermans 2003), and hence does not significantly influence the statistical analysis. However, this example illustrates the limits of applicability of the *gauche/trans* model and the value of additional structural information for the interpretation of the NMR data when this is available.

Conclusions

Methionine labeling provides a useful approach for studies of the conformational behavior of large, complex molecules. As a result of the distance and effective isolation of C_α from the protein backbone, theoretical shielding and Karplus curves determined for ethyl methyl sulfide are useful for interpreting the conformational dependence of the ^{13}C shift and coupling constants for methionine residues in proteins. The validity of the DFT analysis

of ^{13}C shielding and scalar coupling constants was also confirmed for several model compounds. The atypical behavior of the methionine χ_3 conformation limits the general applicability of a simple *gauche/trans* model, however useful results can be obtained if sufficient caution is used and/or additional data can be introduced to further constrain the conformational possibilities. Application of this approach to the calmodulin-*M13* complex indicates that all of the methionine residues at the interaction surface show a significant preference for *gauche* conformations. Significantly improved fits of the methionine methyl $\delta^1\text{H}$ values were obtained after Amber minimization of the structure, despite the omission of intermolecular NOE restraints, and the results also support the conclusion that the large upfield ^{13}C shift for M72 results from a change of χ_3 from a predominantly *trans* to a predominantly *gauche* conformation. Application of these analyses to other proteins has in some cases led to a reassessment of crystallographically-determined methionine χ_3 values.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS). The contributions of E.F.D. and J.M.K. were funded in whole with Federal funds from NIEHS, under Delivery Order HHSN273200700046U to SRA International, Inc. This research was supported by Research Project Z01-ES050111 (R.E.L.) in the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Anbanandam A, Bieber Urbauer RJ, Bartlett RK, Smallwood HS, Squier TC, Urbauer JL. Mediating molecular recognition by methionine oxidation: conformational switching by oxidation of methionine in the carboxyl-terminal domain of calmodulin. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:9486–9496. [PubMed: 15996103]
- Babu YS, Bugg CE, Cook WJ. Structure of calmodulin refined at 2.2 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol*. 1988; 204:191–204. [PubMed: 3145979]
- Barfield M, Marshall JL, Canada ED. Nuclear Spin-Spin Coupling Via Nonbonded Interactions .2. Gamma-Substituent Effects for Vicinal Coupling-Constants Involving C-13. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1980; 102:7–12.
- Batchelor JG, Feeney J, Roberts GCK. C-13 Nmr Protonation Shifts of Amines, Carboxylic-Acids and Amino-Acids. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*. 1975; 20:19–38.
- Batra VK, Beard WA, Shock DD, Krahn JM, Pedersen LC, Wilson SH. Magnesium-induced assembly of a complete DNA polymerase catalytic complex. *Structure*. 2006; 14:757–766. [PubMed: 16615916]
- Bax A, Delaglio F, Grzesiek S, Vuister GW. Resonance assignment of methionine methyl groups and chi 3 angular information from long-range proton-carbon and carbon-carbon J correlation in a calmodulin-peptide complex. *J Biomol NMR*. 1994; 4:787–797. [PubMed: 7812153]
- Bax A, Freeman R, Kempell SP. Natural Abundance C-13-C-13 Coupling Observed Via Double-Quantum Coherence. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1980; 102:4849–4851.
- Beatty EJ, Cox MC, Frenkiel TA, Tam BM, Mason AB, MacGillivray RT, Sadler PJ, Woodworth RC. Interlobe communication in ^{13}C -methionine-labeled human transferrin. *Biochemistry*. 1996; 35:7635–7642. [PubMed: 8672464]
- Bernstein HJ, Pople JA, Schneider WG. The Analysis of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra .1. Systems of 2 and 3 Nuclei. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. 1957; 35:65–81.
- Bertini I, Ciurli S, Dikiy A, Fernandez CO, Luchinat C, Safarov N, Shumilin S, Vila AJ. The first solution structure of a paramagnetic copper(II) protein: the case of oxidized plastocyanin from the

- cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC6803. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:2405–2413. [PubMed: 11456890]
- Blakley RL, Cocco L, London RE, Walker TE, Matwiyoff NA. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies on bacterial dihydrofolate reductase containing [methyl-¹³C]methionine. *Biochemistry.* 1978; 17:2284–2293. [PubMed: 28143]
- Bose-Basu B, DeRose EF, Kirby TW, Mueller GA, Beard WA, Wilson SH, London RE. Dynamic characterization of a DNA repair enzyme: NMR studies of [methyl-¹³C]methionine-labeled DNA polymerase beta. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:8911–8922. [PubMed: 15248749]
- Butterfoss GL, Hermans J. Boltzmann-type distribution of side-chain conformation in proteins. *Protein Sci.* 2003; 12:2719–2731. [PubMed: 14627733]
- Butterfoss GL, Richardson JS, Hermans J. Protein imperfections: separating intrinsic from extrinsic variation of torsion angles. *Acta Crystallographica Section D-Biological Crystallography.* 2005; 61:88–98.
- Case, DA., Darden, TA., Cheatham, TE., I, Simmerling, CL., Wang, J., Duke, RE., Luo, R., Crowley, M., Walker, RC., Zhang, W., et al. AMBER 10. University of California; San Francisco: 2008.
- Chattopadhyaya R, Meador WE, Means AR, Quioco FA. Calmodulin Structure Refined at 1.7 Angstrom Resolution. *Journal of Molecular Biology.* 1992; 228:1177–1192. [PubMed: 1474585]
- Cheeseman JR, Trucks GW, Keith TA, Frisch MJ. A comparison of models for calculating nuclear magnetic resonance shielding tensors. *J Chem Phys.* 1996; 104:5497–5509.
- Chou JJ, Case DA, Bax A. Insights into the mobility of methyl-bearing side chains in proteins from (3)J(CC) and (3)J(CN) couplings. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 2003; 125:8959–8966. [PubMed: 12862493]
- Contrera RH, Peralta JE. Angular dependence of spin–spin coupling constants. *Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy.* 2000; 37:321–425.
- Cornilescu G, Marquardt JL, Ottiger M, Bax A. Validation of protein structure from anisotropic carbonyl chemical shifts in a dilute liquid crystalline phase. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 1998; 120:6836–6837.
- Cox MC, Barnham KJ, Frenkiel TA, Hoeschele JD, Mason AB, He QY, Woodworth RC, Sadler PJ. Identification of platination sites on human serum transferrin using (13)C and (15)N NMR spectroscopy. *J Biol Inorg Chem.* 1999; 4:621–631. [PubMed: 10550692]
- Deber CM, Moscarello MA, Wood DD. Conformational Studies on ¹³C-Enriched Human and Bovine Myelin Basic Protein, in Solution and Incorporated into Liposomes. *Biochemistry.* 1978; 17:898–903.
- DellaVecchia MJ, Merritt WK, Peng Y, Kirby TW, DeRose EF, Mueller GA, Van Houten B, London RE. NMR analysis of [methyl-¹³C]methionine UvrB from *Bacillus caldotenax* reveals UvrB-domain 4 heterodimer formation in solution. *J Mol Biol.* 2007; 373:282–295. [PubMed: 17822711]
- Diaz LE, Morin F, Mayne CL, Grant DM, Chang CJ. Conformational-Analysis of Dl-Methionine, L-Methionine and D-Methionine by Solid-State C-13 Nmr-Spectroscopy. *Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry.* 1986; 24:167–170.
- Ding J, Das K, Hsiou Y, Sarafianos SG, Clark AD Jr, Jacobo-Molina A, Tantillo C, Hughes SH, Arnold E. Structure and functional implications of the polymerase active site region in a complex of HIV-1 RT with a double-stranded DNA template-primer and an antibody Fab fragment at 2.8 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol.* 1998; 284:1095–1111. [PubMed: 9837729]
- Duewel HS, Daub E, Honek JF. Investigations of the interactions of saccharides with the lysozyme from bacteriophage lambda. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1995; 1247:149–158. [PubMed: 7873585]
- Duewel HS, Daub E, Robinson V, Honek JF. Elucidation of solvent exposure, side-chain reactivity, and steric demands of the trifluoromethionine residue in a recombinant protein. *Biochemistry.* 2001; 40:13167–13176. [PubMed: 11683625]
- Elshorst B, Hennig M, Forsterling H, Diener A, Maurer M, Schulte P, Schwalbe H, Griesinger C, Krebs J, Schmid H, et al. NMR solution structure of a complex of calmodulin with a binding peptide of the Ca²⁺ pump. *Biochemistry.* 1999; 38:12320–12332. [PubMed: 10493800]

- Frisch, MJ., Trucks, GW., Schlegel, HB., Scuseria, GE., Robb, MA., Cheeseman, JR., Montgomery, J., Jr, Vreven, T., Kudin, KN., Burant, JC., et al. Gaussian 03, Revision D.02. Wallingford, CT: Gaussian, Inc.; 2004.
- Gelis I, Bonvin AMJJ, Keramisanou D, Koukaki M, Gouridis G, Karamanou S, Economou A, Kalodimos CG. Structural Basis for Signal-Sequence Recognition by the Translocase Motor SecA as Determined by NMR. *Cell*. 2007; 131:756–769. [PubMed: 18022369]
- Gellman SH. On the role of methionine residues in the sequence-independent recognition of nonpolar protein surfaces. *Biochemistry*. 1991; 30:6633–6636. [PubMed: 2065050]
- George GN, Richards T, Bare RE, Gea YJ, Prince RC, Stiefel EI, Watt GD. Direct Observation of Bis-Sulfur Ligation to the Heme of Bacterioferritin. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1993; 115:7716–7718.
- Grant DM, Cheney BV. Carbon-13 Magnetic Resonance .7. Steric Perturbation of Carbon-13 Chemical Shift. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1967; 89:5315–&.
- Gregoret LM, Rader SD, Fletterick RJ, Cohen FE. Hydrogen bonds involving sulfur atoms in proteins. *Proteins*. 1991; 9:99–107. [PubMed: 1755867]
- Gustavsson N, Kokke BP, Anzelius B, Boelens WC, Sundby C. Substitution of conserved methionines by leucines in chloroplast small heat shock protein results in loss of redox-response but retained chaperone-like activity. *Protein Sci*. 2001; 10:1785–1793. [PubMed: 11514669]
- Hardy RE, Dill K. Magnetic resonance study of glycophorin A-containing ¹³C-enriched methionines. *FEBS Lett*. 1982; 143:327–331. [PubMed: 7117536]
- He QY, Mason AB, Tam BM, MacGillivray RT, Woodworth RC. [¹³C]Methionine NMR and metal-binding studies of recombinant human transferrin N-lobe and five methionine mutants: conformational changes and increased sensitivity to chloride. *Biochem J*. 1999; 344(Pt 3):881–887. [PubMed: 10585877]
- Howarth JW, Krudy GA, Lin X, Putkey JA, Rosevear PR. An NMR and spin label study of the effects of binding calcium and troponin I inhibitory peptide to cardiac troponin C. *Protein Sci*. 1995; 4:671–680. [PubMed: 7613465]
- Hunt JF, Weinkauff S, Henry L, Fak JJ, McNicholas P, Oliver DB, Deisenhofer J. Nucleotide control of interdomain interactions in the conformational reaction cycle of SecA. *Science*. 2002; 297:2018–2026. [PubMed: 12242434]
- Ikura M, Clore GM, Gronenborn AM, Zhu G, Klee CB, Bax A. Solution structure of a calmodulin-target peptide complex by multidimensional NMR. *Science*. 1992; 256:632–638. [PubMed: 1585175]
- Jaeck G, Benz FW. Synthesis of ribonuclease labeled with ¹³C on Methionine-29. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1979; 86:885–892. [PubMed: 570841]
- Jones WC Jr, Rothgeb TM, Gurd FRN. Specific Enrichment with ¹³C of the Methionine Methyl Groups of Sperm Whale Myoglobin. *J Amer Chem Soc*. 1975; 97:3875–3877. [PubMed: 1141593]
- Jones WC Jr, Rothgeb TM, Gurd FRN. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Sperm Whale Myoglobin Specifically Enriched with ¹³C in the Methionine Methyl Groups. *J Biol Chem*. 1976; 251:7452–7460. [PubMed: 12165]
- Jones TA, Zou JY, Cowan SW, Kjeldgaard M. Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. *Acta Crystallogr A*. 1991; 47(Pt 2):110–119. [PubMed: 2025413]
- Khavrutskii IV, Price DJ, Lee J, Brooks CL 3rd. Conformational change of the methionine 20 loop of *Escherichia coli* dihydrofolate reductase modulates pKa of the bound dihydrofolate. *Protein Sci*. 2007; 16:1087–1100. [PubMed: 17473015]
- Kirby TW, DeRose EF, Beard WA, Wilson SH, London RE. A thymine isostere in the templating position disrupts assembly of the closed DNA polymerase beta ternary complex. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:15230–15237. [PubMed: 16285726]
- Kleerekoper Q, Liu W, Choi D, Putkey JA. Identification of binding sites for bepridil and trifluoperazine on cardiac troponin C. *J Biol Chem*. 1998; 273:8153–8160. [PubMed: 9525919]
- Kleerekoper Q, Putkey JA. Drug binding to cardiac troponin C. *J Biol Chem*. 1999; 274:23932–23939. [PubMed: 10446160]

- Kloiber K, Fischer M, Ledolter K, Nagl M, Schmid W, Konrat R. Generation and relaxation of high rank coherences in AX3 systems in a selectively methionine labelled SH2 domain. *J Biomolec NMR*. 2007; 38:125–131.
- Krudy GA, Kleerekoper Q, Guo X, Howarth JW, Solaro RJ, Rosevear PR. NMR studies delineating spatial relationships within the cardiac troponin I-troponin C complex. *J Biol Chem*. 1994; 269:23731–23735. [PubMed: 8089144]
- Li L, Murphy KM, Kanevets U, Reha-Krantz LJ. Sensitivity to phosphonoacetic acid: a new phenotype to probe DNA polymerase delta in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*. 2005; 170:569–580. [PubMed: 15802517]
- Lin X, Krudy GA, Howarth J, Brito RMM, Rosevear PR, Putkey JA. Assignment and Calcium Dependence of Methionyl ϵ C and ϵ H Resonances in Cardiac Troponin C. *Biochemistry*. 1994; 33:14434–14442. [PubMed: 7981203]
- London RE, Wingad BD, Mueller GA. Dependence of amino acid side chain ^{13}C shifts on dihedral angle: application to conformational analysis. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:11097–11105. [PubMed: 18652454]
- Lovell SC, Davis IW, Arendall WB 3rd, de Bakker PI, Word JM, Prisant MG, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi,psi and Cbeta deviation. *Proteins*. 2003; 50:437–450. [PubMed: 12557186]
- Lovell SC, Word JM, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. The penultimate rotamer library. *Proteins*. 2000; 40:389–408. [PubMed: 10861930]
- MacGillivray RT, Moore SA, Chen J, Anderson BF, Baker H, Luo Y, Bewley M, Smith CA, Murphy ME, Wang Y, et al. Two high-resolution crystal structures of the recombinant N-lobe of human transferrin reveal a structural change implicated in iron release. *Biochemistry*. 1998; 37:7919–7928. [PubMed: 9609685]
- Menendez-Arias L. Mechanisms of resistance to nucleoside analogue inhibitors of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Virus Res*. 2008; 134:124–146. [PubMed: 18272247]
- Mittermaier A, Davidson AR, Kay LE. Correlation between ^2H NMR side-chain order parameters and sequence conservation in globular proteins. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2003; 125:9004–9005. [PubMed: 15369343]
- Nick McElhinny SA, Stith CM, Burgers PM, Kunkel TA. Inefficient proofreading and biased error rates during inaccurate DNA synthesis by a mutant derivative of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase delta. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:2324–2332. [PubMed: 17121822]
- Niimi A, Limsirichaikul S, Yoshida S, Iwai S, Masutani C, Hanaoka F, Kool ET, Nishiyama Y, Suzuki M. Palm mutants in DNA polymerases alpha and eta alter DNA replication fidelity and translesion activity. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004; 24:2734–2746. [PubMed: 15024063]
- O'Neil KT, DeGrado WF. How calmodulin binds its targets: sequence independent recognition of amphiphilic alpha-helices. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 1990; 15:59–64. [PubMed: 2186516]
- Pantoja-Uceda D, Shewry PR, Bruix M, Tatham AS, Santoro J, Rico M. Solution structure of a methionine-rich 2S albumin from sunflower seeds: relationship to its allergenic and emulsifying properties. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:6976–6986. [PubMed: 15170335]
- Pavlov YI, Frahm C, Nick McElhinny SA, Niimi A, Suzuki M, Kunkel TA. Evidence that errors made by DNA polymerase alpha are corrected by DNA polymerase delta. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:202–207. [PubMed: 16431373]
- Pearson JG, Le HB, Sanders LK, Godbout N, Havlin RH, Oldfield E. Predicting chemical shifts in proteins: Structure refinement of valine residues by using ab initio and empirical geometry optimizations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1997; 119:11941–11950.
- Perkins SJ, Dwek RA. Comparisons of ring-current shifts calculated from the crystal structure of egg white lysozyme of hen with the proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum of lysozyme in solution. *Biochemistry*. 1980; 19:245–258. [PubMed: 7352983]
- Ponder JW, Richards FM. Tertiary templates for proteins. Use of packing criteria in the enumeration of allowed sequences for different structural classes. *J Mol Biol*. 1987; 193:775–791. [PubMed: 2441069]
- Pursell ZF, Isoz I, Lundstrom EB, Johansson E, Kunkel TA. Regulation of B family DNA polymerase fidelity by a conserved active site residue: characterization of M644W, M644L and M644F

- mutants of yeast DNA polymerase epsilon. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007a; 35:3076–3086. [PubMed: 17452367]
- Pursell ZF, Isoz I, Lundstrom EB, Johansson E, Kunkel TA. Yeast DNA polymerase epsilon participates in leading-strand DNA replication. *Science.* 2007b; 317:127–130. [PubMed: 17615360]
- Ramage R, Green J, Muir TW, Ogunjobi OM, Love S, Shaw K. Synthetic, structural and biological studies of the ubiquitin system: the total chemical synthesis of ubiquitin. *Biochem J.* 1994; 299(Pt 1):151–158. [PubMed: 8166633]
- Reha-Krantz LJ, Nonay RL. Motif A of bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase: role in primer extension and DNA replication fidelity. Isolation of new antimutator and mutator DNA polymerases. *J Biol Chem.* 1994; 269:5635–5643. [PubMed: 8119900]
- Religa TL, Sprangers R, Kay LE. Dynamic Regulation of Archaeal Proteasome Gate Opening As Studied by TROSY NMR. *Science.* 2010; 328:98–102. [PubMed: 20360109]
- Rosevear PR. Purification and NMR studies of [methyl-13C]methionine-labeled truncated methionyl-tRNA synthetase. *Biochemistry.* 1988; 27:7931–7939. [PubMed: 3061464]
- SANTOS H, TURNER DL. Determination of the methionine haem ligand conformation in cytochrome cH from *Methylophilus methylotrophus* by two-dimensional ¹H NMR. *Magnetic resonance in chemistry.* 1993; 31:S90–S95.
- Schoneich C. Methionine oxidation by reactive oxygen species: reaction mechanisms and relevance to Alzheimer's disease. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and Proteomics.* 2005; 1703:111–119.
- Seigneuret M, Neumann JM, Levy D, Rigaud JL. High-resolution ¹³C NMR study of the topography and dynamics of methionine residues in detergent-solubilized bacteriorhodopsin. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:3885–3892. [PubMed: 2018760]
- Senn H, Billeter M, Wuthrich K. The spatial structure of the axially bound methionine in solution conformations of horse ferrocycytochrome c and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ferrocycytochrome c551 by ¹H NMR. *Eur Biophys J.* 1984; 11:3–15. [PubMed: 6088217]
- Senn H, Wuthrich K. A new spatial structure for the axial methionine observed in cytochrome c5 from *Pseudomonas mendocina*. Correlations with the electronic structure of heme c. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1983a; 747:16–25. [PubMed: 6309240]
- Senn H, Wuthrich K. Conformation of the Axially Bound Ligands of the Heme Iron and Electronic-Structure of Heme-C in the Cytochromes-C-551 from *Pseudomonas-Mendocina* and *Pseudomonas-Stutzeri* and in Cytochrome-C2 from *Rhodospirillum-Rubrum*. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta.* 1983b; 746:48–60.
- Shah AM, Conn DA, Li SX, Capaldi A, Jager J, Sweasy JB. A DNA polymerase beta mutator mutant with reduced nucleotide discrimination and increased protein stability. *Biochemistry.* 2001; 40:11372–11381. [PubMed: 11560485]
- Siivari K, Zhang M, Palmer AG 3rd, Vogel HJ. NMR studies of the methionine methyl groups in calmodulin. *FEBS Lett.* 1995; 366:104–108. [PubMed: 7789524]
- Skrynnikov NR, Mulder FAA, Hon B, Dahlquist FW, Kay LE. Probing slow time scale dynamics at methyl-containing side chains in proteins by Relaxation Dispersion NMR Measurements: Application to Methionine Residues in a Cavity Mutant of T4 Lysozyme. *J Amer Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:4556–4566. [PubMed: 11457242]
- Stollery JG, Boggs JM, Moscarello MA, Deber CM. Direct Observation by Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Membrane-Bound Human Myelin Basic Protein. *Biochemistry.* 1980; 19:2391–2396. [PubMed: 6155938]
- Strohmeier M, Raschle T, Mazurkiewicz J, Rippe K, Sinning I, Fitzpatrick TB, Tews I. Structure of a bacterial pyridoxal 5'-phosphate synthase complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:19284–19289. [PubMed: 17159152]
- Sutton RB, Davletov BA, Berghuis AM, Sudhof TC, Sprang SR. Structure of the first C2 domain of synaptotagmin I: a novel Ca²⁺/phospholipid-binding fold. *Cell.* 1995; 80:929–938. [PubMed: 7697723]
- Tafazzoli M, Ghiasi M. New Karplus equations for 2J_{HH}, 3J_{HH}, 2J_{CH}, 3J_{CH}, 3J_{COCH}, 3J_{CSCH}, and 3J_{CCCH} in some aldohexopyranoside derivatives as determined using NMR spectroscopy and

- density functional theory calculations. *Carbohydr Res.* 2007; 342:2086–2096. [PubMed: 17583685]
- Tipples GA, Ma MM, Fischer KP, Bain VG, Kneteman NM, Tyrrell DLJ. Mutation in HBV RNA-dependent DNA polymerase confers resistance to lamivudine in vivo. *Hepatology.* 1996; 24:714–717. [PubMed: 8781348]
- Tonelli AE. C-13-Nmr Chemical-Shifts and the Conformations of Rigid Polypeptides. *Biopolymers.* 1984; 23:819–829.
- Tonelli AE, Schilling FC, Bovey FA. Conformational Origin of the Nonequivalent C-13 Nmr Chemical-Shifts Observed for the Isopropyl Methyl Carbons in Branched Alkanes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 1984; 106:1157–1158.
- Tvaroska I, Mazeau K, Blancmuesser M, Lavaitte S, Driguez H, Taravel FR. Karplus-Type Equation for Vicinal Carbon Proton Coupling-Constants for the C-S-C-H Pathway in 1-Thioglycosides. *Carbohydrate Research.* 1992; 229:225–231.
- Vijaykumar S, Bugg CE, Cook WJ. Structure of Ubiquitin Refined at 1.8 Å Resolution. *Journal of Molecular Biology.* 1987; 194:531–544. [PubMed: 3041007]
- Vogt W. Oxidation of methionyl residues in proteins: tools, targets, and reversal. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 1995; 18:93–105. [PubMed: 7896176]
- Wakefield JK, Jablonski SA, Morrow CD. In vitro enzymatic activity of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase mutants in the highly conserved YMDD amino acid motif correlates with the infectious potential of the proviral genome. *J Virol.* 1992; 66:6806–6812. [PubMed: 1383571]
- Wishart DS, Bigam CG, Holm A, Hodges RS, Sykes BD. ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N random coil NMR chemical shifts of the common amino acids. I. Investigations of nearest-neighbor effects. *J Biomol NMR.* 1995; 5:67–81. [PubMed: 7881273]
- Wolinski K, Hinton JF, Pulay P. Efficient implementation of the gauge-independent atomic orbital method for NMR chemical shift calculations. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1990; 112:8251–8260.
- Wooten JB, Cohen JS, Vig I, Schejter A. pH-induced conformational transitions of ferricytochrome c: a carbon-13 and deuterium nuclear magnetic resonance study. *Biochemistry.* 1981; 20:5394–5402. [PubMed: 6271186]
- Word JM, Lovell SC, LaBean TH, Taylor HC, Zalis ME, Presley BK, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. Visualizing and quantifying molecular goodness-of-fit: small-probe contact dots with explicit hydrogen atoms. *J Mol Biol.* 1999; 285:1711–1733. [PubMed: 9917407]
- Yin D, Kuczera K, Squier TC. The sensitivity of carboxyl-terminal methionines in calmodulin isoforms to oxidation by H₂O₂ modulates the ability to activate the plasma membrane Ca-ATPase. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2000; 13:103–110. [PubMed: 10688534]
- Yuan T, Gomes AV, Barnes JA, Hunter HN, Vogel HJ. Spectroscopic characterization of the calmodulin-binding and autoinhibitory domains of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase I. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2004; 421:192–206. [PubMed: 14984199]
- Yuan T, Ouyang H, Vogel HJ. Surface exposure of the methionine side chains of calmodulin in solution. A nitroxide spin label and two-dimensional NMR study. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:8411–8420. [PubMed: 10085072]
- Zhao H, Carmichael I, Serianni AS. Oligosaccharide trans-glycoside 3JCOCC Karplus curves are not equivalent: effect of internal electronegative substituents. *J Org Chem.* 2008; 73:3255–3257. [PubMed: 18351773]
- Zheng X, Mueller GA, Cuneo MJ, Derosé EF, London RE. Homodimerization of the p51 subunit of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Biochemistry.* 2010; 49:2821–2833. [PubMed: 20180596]
- Zheng X, Mueller GA, DeRose EF, London RE. Solution characterization of [methyl-(¹³C)]methionine HIV-1 reverse transcriptase by NMR spectroscopy. *Antiviral Res.* 2009; 84:205–214. [PubMed: 19665484]





Figure 1. Conformational dependence of scalar coupling constants in ethylmethyl sulfide. a) The filled circles (●) correspond to the calculated $^3J_{CSCC}$ values, and the smooth curve to the fitted values, Eq. 3; b) the filled circles (●) correspond to the calculated $^2J_{CSC}$ values, and the smooth curve to the fitted values, Eq. 4.

**Figure 2.**

Conformational dependence of $^3J_{\text{CSCH}}$. Panels a and b show the calculated coupling constants at discrete values represented by the closed circles, and the Karplus relations that best fit the data for $^3J_{\text{CSCHa}}$ and $^3J_{\text{CSCHb}}$. Panels c and d were fit using equation 6, where the - value corresponds to Ha and the + value to Hb. 360° was added to negative values of θ in order to make the data cover a continuous range, however the resulting Karplus relations are not altered by this procedure.




Figure 3. Isotropic shielding of the S-CH₃ carbon. Theoretical determination of the isotropic shielding for the S-CH₃ group of methyl ethyl sulfide as a function of dihedral angle. The discrete points correspond to the calculated values, and the smooth curve is the Fourier series approximation given in Equation 10. The value of $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 178.8$ ppm was chosen to give the best agreement with the solid state NMR values obtained by Diaz et al. (1986) for methionine (Table 1), which are indicated by squares.




Figure 4. Parametric plot of the theoretical relationship between shielding and vicinal coupling as a function of the dihedral angle θ . The figure shows a parametric plot of $^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ vs. $\sigma_{\text{iso}} - \sigma_{\text{ref}}$ determined for the model compound methyl ethyl sulfide. The points correspond to the values calculated for EMS in 10° increments, while the smooth curves are obtained from Equations 3 and 10. Generously defined *trans* and *gauche* parameter ranges are indicated by red ovals. For this calculation, we set $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 179.9$ ppm, as discussed in the text.




Figure 5. $^3J_{\text{CSCC}}$ measurements on S-CH₃ groups obtained using the INADEQUATE pulse sequence. A) methyl t-butyl sulfide; b) methyl t-butyl ether; c) [methyl-¹³C]methionine. The first two samples used 10% dissolved acetone-d₆ for the lock, and the last was measured in D₂O.




Figure 6.

Calculated vs. experimental $\delta^1\text{H}$ shift values for the methionine methyl resonances of calmodulin complexed with the *M13* peptide. Panel a used the 2BBM structure for the SHIFTX calculations; panel b represents an average of 15 SHIFTX calculations for Amber-generated structures covering the period from 14 to 30 ns; panel c corresponds to the best of these calculations obtained for the 22 ns simulation. The correlation coefficients were determined to be $r=0.41$, $r=0.83$, and $r=0.95$, respectively.




Figure 7. Effect of high order interaction on the apparent ${}^3J_{CC}$ and ${}^2J_{CC}$ coupling constants. The apparent coupling constants between C_ϵ and C_β , and between C_ϵ and C_γ are plotted as a function of the chemical shift difference between C_β and C_γ , $\nu_\beta - \nu_\gamma$ (expressed in ppm for $H_0 = 14.1$ T). Values used for the simulation are: ${}^1J_{C_\beta C_\gamma} = 33$ Hz, ${}^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon} = 3.0$ Hz, and ${}^2J_{C_\gamma C_\epsilon} = 0.5$ Hz. At zero shift difference, the virtual coupling effect is complete and the C_ϵ resonance appears as a triplet with apparent coupling constant $= \frac{1}{2}({}^3J_{C_\beta C_\epsilon} + {}^2J_{C_\gamma C_\epsilon})$.






Figure 8. Behavior of the methionine resonances of [methyl- ^{13}C]methionine in UvrB from *B. caldotenax*. Panel a shows the ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC spectrum with assigned methionine resonances; panel b shows the electron density near M551 of chain B, the originally selected methionine rotamer (green and yellow), and the revised conformation (purple); panel c shows the electron density for M457, the original conformation (green and yellow), and the optimal single rotamer (purple). The inadequacy of the original conformation is indicated by the region in green. For M457, the best fitting single rotamer (purple) provides a poorer fit to

the density than a mixture of rotamers (not shown). The red and green polygons correspond to regions of excessive and insufficient electron density predicted by the original conformational selection.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Figure 9. ^1H - ^{13}C spectrum of [methyl- ^{13}C]methionine₆₆ HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT), where the subscript indicates labeling in the p66 subunit of the RT heterodimer. Assignments are from Zheng et al.²⁹. The insert shows M16 and two nearby residues, K13 and R83, that constrain the conformation of M16. The three possible staggered conformations for M16 χ^3 are also shown.

Table 1Solid State NMR and crystallographic data for Methionine^a

Crystal Structure	$\delta C\gamma$	δCe	χ^3
L-methionine, molecule A	32.0	18.3	179.7°
L-methionine, molecule B	32.0	16.3	73.6°
α -D,L-methionine	31.7	15.8	80.5
β -D,L-methionine	32.3	18.7	190.4

^aAssembled from data in Table 1 and Figures 3 and 5 of Diaz et al. (1986). In contrast with Ce, the shift of C γ varies by only 0.6 ppm.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript