

HHS Public Access

Environ Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Environ Microbiol. 2017 September ; 19(9): 3768–3783. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13878.

Fibrobacter communities in the gastrointestinal tracts of diverse hindgut-fermenting herbivores are distinct from those of the rumen

Anthony P. Neumann1, **Caroline A. McCormick**1, and **Garret Suen**1,#

¹Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Summary

The genus *Fibrobacter* contains cellulolytic bacteria originally isolated from the rumen. Cultureindependent investigations have since identified Fibrobacter populations in gastrointestinal tracts of numerous hindgut-fermenting herbivores, but their physiology is poorly characterized due to few representative axenic cultures. To test the hypothesis that novel Fibrobacter diversity exists in hindgut fermenters, we performed culture and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing on samples collected from phylogenetically diverse herbivorous hosts. Using a unique approach for recovering axenic Fibrobacter cultures, we isolated 45 novel strains from 11 different hosts. Full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing of these isolates identified nine discrete phylotypes (cutoff $= 0.03\%$) among them, including several that were only isolated from hindgut-fermenting hosts, and four previously unrepresented by axenic cultures. Our phylogenetic analysis indicated that six of the phylotypes are more closely related to previously described subspecies of Fibrobacter succinogenes, while the remaining three were more closely related to F intestinalis. Cultureindependent bacterial community profiling confirmed that most isolates were representative of numerically dominant phylotypes in their respective samples and strengthened the association of certain phylotypes with either ruminants or hindgut-fermenters. Despite considerable phylogenetic diversity observed among the *Fibrobacter* strains isolated here, phenotypic characterization suggests a conserved specialization for growth on cellulose.

Keywords

Fibrobacter; herbivore; hindgut-fermenter; cellulose; fiber digestion

Introduction

Herbivores depend on microorganisms living in their gastrointestinal tract for the efficient digestion of their fiber-rich diet (Mackie, 2002; Morrison et al., 2009). The plant cell wall polysaccharides that constitute the bulk of this fiber represent a potentially plentiful source of carbon that is highly resistant to enzymatic decomposition (Flint et al., 2008). Despite this recalcitrance, certain microbial taxa have evolved mechanisms to extract the sugars from these structural polysaccharides in order to ferment them for energy (Leschine, 1995; Lynd

[#]Address correspondence to Garret Suen, gsuen@wisc.edu, Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin – Madison, 1550 Linden Drive, Room 5159, Madison, WI, 53706. Phone: (608) 890-3971, Fax: (608) 262-9865.

et al., 2002). By maintaining a community of these microbes in their gut, herbivores gain access to the chemical energy in their diet through absorption of the byproducts of this microbial fermentation. Animals engaged in this symbiosis over extended periods of evolutionary time have optimized their digestive physiology through enlargements in either the foregut or hindgut, which increase the capacity and retention time of feed through the gastrointestinal tract (Ley et al., 2008). Although microbial consortia responsible for fiber digestion in foregut fermenters have been well characterized via studies of the rumen (Flint, 1997), less is known regarding the microbial taxa that perform this function in hindgutfermenting herbivores.

Plant cell walls are primarily composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin, and lignin (Cosgrove, 2005). Cellulose is the most abundant of these plant cell wall polysaccharides, but its crystalline structure makes it among the most difficult to hydrolyze (Beguin, 1990). Therefore, microorganisms that can efficiently deconstruct cellulose in the herbivore gut are critically important for optimal digestion. In the rumen, cellulose degradation is facilitated, in part, via the efforts of certain species of bacteria, including members of the genus Fibrobacter (Dehority and Scott, 1967; Hungate, 1975; Flint, 1997; Kobayashi et al., 2008; Solomon *et al.*, 2016). Representatives were first isolated and described by Hungate during studies of cellulose digestion in the bovine rumen (Hungate, 1950). Although they were originally classified as Bacteroides, phylogenetic analyses have subsequently established their membership to a deeply rooted clade within the Bacteria designated as the phylum Fibrobacteres (Montgomery et al., 1988; Ransom-Jones et al., 2012). The type strain, Fibrobacter succinogenes S85, has been extensively investigated and is among the most actively cellulolytic of all strictly anaerobic, mesophilic bacteria known (Weimer, 1996; Qi et al., 2007; Nouaille et al., 2009; Suen et al., 2011). F. succinogenes S85 ferments the breakdown products of cellulose to primarily succinic acid and lesser amounts of acetic acid. Production of these fermentation products, along with an apparent inability to ferment pentoses, appears to be a conserved feature among Fibrobacter spp. (Stewart and Flint, 1989; Amann et al., 1992).

Since their original isolation from the rumen, culture-independent studies have suggested the presence of Fibrobacter populations in diverse herbivore gastrointestinal tracts (Lin and Stahl, 1995; Matsui et al., 2010; Ilmberger et al., 2014). However, cultured representatives from most of these hosts are rare, and as a result, our understanding of their physiology is limited. Currently, there are only two formally described species for the genus Fibrobacter: F. succinogenes and F. intestinalis (Amann et al., 1992; Ransom-Jones et al., 2012). Phylogenetic analysis based on full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences of all of the available isolates from these two species groups indicates that there are at least four distinct phylogenetic lineages of F. succinogenes (Amann et al., 1992; Shinkai et al., 2009), but culture-independent analyses suggest that this represents only a fraction of the true diversity in the F. succinogenes group (Jewell et al., 2013; Ransom-Jones et al., 2014). Similarly, poor cultural representation almost certainly exists for F. intestinalis, since fewer representative isolates have been described. Moreover, while the phylogenetic distance between F. succinogenes and F. intestinalis is satisfactory for segregation into separate genera, sufficient phenotypic differentiation has not yet been established to justify reclassification (Amann et al., 1992).

The aim of this investigation was to gain insights into the ecology of *Fibrobacter* spp. in the gastrointestinal tracts of herbivores, particularly hindgut-fermenters. To achieve this goal, we complemented 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing with culturing and isolation, an approach that addresses some of the limitations associated with a solely culture-independent analysis (Walker *et al.*, 2017). We chose to focus on hindgut-fermenting herbivores because the bacterial communities involved in fiber-degradation in the gastrointestinal tracts of these hosts have generally been understudied, relative to analogous bacteria in ruminant livestock. We hypothesized that hindgut-fermenting herbivores would harbor phylogenetically distinct Fibrobacter populations in their gastrointestinal tracts, compared to those that have been observed in the rumen, but that their niche as cellulose-degrading specialists would be conserved. Here, we describe the isolation and ecological distribution of 45 novel Fibrobacter isolates, including four previously uncharacterized phylotypes, and further introduce a novel strategy for isolating these bacteria. Our results provide strong evidence that several relatively abundant *Fibrobacter* phylotypes found in hindgut fermenters are phylogenetically distinct from those typically observed in the rumen.

Results

A total of 95 samples, collected from animals housed at farms, university facilities, and two zoos in Wisconsin, USA, were examined for this study (Table S1). The samples included 78 fresh fecal samples, 14 samples of rumen contents, and three samples of cecal contents. Samples were collected from 23 different animal hosts representing seven orders of Mammals: Artiodactyla (even-toed ungulates), Perissodactyla (odd-toed ungulates), Rodentia, Primates, Carnivora, Proboscidea, and Pilosa. Samples from one bird (ostrich) and one reptile (Aldabra tortoise) were also examined. These hosts exhibited a primarily herbivorous dietary lifestyle $(n = 84)$, although a small number of samples from omnivores $(n = 8)$ and carnivores $(n = 3)$ were also investigated for comparison. Most of the samples represented hosts with a gastrointestinal anatomy specialized for either hindgut-fermentation $(n = 61)$ or foregut-fermentation $(n = 29)$ of plant cell wall polysaccharides.

Isolation of novel Fibrobacter phylotypes

A total of 45 axenic cultures of Fibrobacter from 41 of the 95 (43.2%) samples were recovered after all isolation attempts (Table 1, Table S2). Cellulose-adherent cocci, commonly observed microscopically in primary enrichment cultures, were also occasionally isolated and identified through 16S rRNA gene sequencing as being closely related to either Ruminococcus flavefaciens or Ruminococcus albus, but were not pursued further as they were considered beyond the scope of the present investigation (data not shown). Isolates were recovered from 11 different host species including: Holstein cattle ($n = 17$), horse ($n =$ 9), pig (n = 4), rhinoceros (n = 4), tapir (n = 3), capybara (n = 2), sheep (n = 2), colobus monkey $(n = 1)$, elephant $(n = 1)$, ostrich $(n = 1)$, and rhesus monkey $(n = 1)$. Microscopic examination, as well as the recovery of single 16S rRNA gene sequences, using the universal bacterial primers 27F and 1492R (Weisburg et al., 1991), from cultures grown in rich media supported the conclusion that these 45 cultures are axenic and are members of the phylum Fibrobacteres, with the closest cultured relatives in the genus Fibrobacter.

A maximum likelihood phylogeny showing the relationships among these 45 Fibrobacter strains was inferred from the near-full length 16S rRNA gene sequences (Fig. 1). All but one (UW P2) of the 45 strains isolated in this study segregated into eight discrete and well resolved (98% bootstrap support) lineages. UW P2, isolated from capybara feces, did not cluster with any of the others, suggesting a ninth distinct lineage. Additionally, none of the 45 strains clustered closely with *Fibrobacter succinogenes* strain HM2, the type strain for F. succinogenes subsp. elongatus (Montgomery et al., 1988), indicating at least ten discrete lineages represented by Fibrobacter isolates described to date. The phylogeny supported the placement of 39 of the 45 strains, representing seven lineages including strain HM2, in the Fibrobacter succinogenes species clade with high confidence (96% bootstrap support). The remaining six strains fell into three discrete lineages, two of which also contained previously described isolates of F. intestinalis (Amann et al., 1992). Based on these results, and following the nomenclature previously reported (Amann et al., 1992), these ten lineages are designated Fs I through Fs VII, for the seven lineages of the F. succinogenes clade, and Fi I through Fi III, for F. intestinalis and its closest relatives (Fig. 1, Table S2). Cultured representatives for three of the six F. succinogenes lineages represented by strains isolated in this study, Fs V, Fs VI, and Fs VII, have not been reported previously. Moreover, the strains UW RM and UW S4 are the first cultured representatives of Fi III, and although their closest previously cultured representative is F. intestinalis strain JG1, they share only 94% nucleotide identity with this strain across the corresponding 1,262 base pairs of 16S rRNA gene sequence examined (Table S2). Clustering using mothur (Schloss et al., 2009) of the near-full length 16S rRNA gene sequences from the 45 Fibrobacter strains isolated in this study, along with the sequence from F. succinogenes strain HM2, identified ten distinct operational taxonomic units (OTUs) or phylotypes [average neighbor, cutoff $= 0.03$]. The grouping of the strains into OTUs was in absolute agreement with the grouping observed in the maximum likelihood phylogeny, providing further support for the ten distinct Fibrobacter phylotypes and their member strains.

Culture-independent analysis of bacterial communities

Total bacterial community profiling by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was performed in order to determine whether the Fibrobacter isolates we obtained were representative of the abundant Fibrobacter populations in their respective samples, as well as to provide additional ecological context for the Fibrobacter phylotypes. Data for 83 of the samples used for culturing was obtained by Illumina sequencing of the V4 region (Kozich et al., 2013). A scatterplot of the two-dimensional NMDS analysis based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities among the total bacterial communities from these samples showed general clustering by host and, more broadly, by host phylogeny, with hosts from the same taxonomic order typically placed within similar coordinates of the Cartesian plane (Fig. 2a). Most samples were dominated by the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (Table S3). Other commonly observed phyla in gastrointestinal or fecal samples included: Spirochaetes, Verrucomicrobia, Proteobacteria, and Tenericutes. Classifiable genera that were commonly observed across samples include: Prevotella, Oscillospira, Arcobacter, Ruminococcus, and Treponema (Table S4).

Samples were considered positive for the presence of Fibrobacteres if the relative abundance of sequences classified to this phylum was greater than 0.01%. Overall, 67 of the 83 samples analyzed by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing were positive for Fibrobacteres sequences, with a median percent relative abundance among these samples of 0.38% (Fig. 2b, Table S5). Most sequences (96.83%) that classified to the phylum Fibrobacteres were also classified to the genus Fibrobacter. All rumen samples (Holstein cows and sheep) and fecal samples from odd-toed ungulates (horses, tapirs, and rhinoceros) were positive for Fibrobacteres. Rumen samples had a median percent relative abundance of Fibrobacteres sequences of 1.03%, while fecal samples from odd-toed ungulates had an overall median of 0.41%. Less abundant Fibrobacteres populations were observed in fecal/cecal samples from even-toed ungulates (7 of 11 positive, median $= 0.05\%$) and fecal samples from primates (11 of 15 positive, median = 0.05%). None of the fecal samples from carnivores (n = 5) met the criteria for positive detection of Fibrobacteres populations. The most extensively sampled hosts, rumen samples from Holstein cows ($n = 7$) and fecal samples from horses ($n = 22$), had similar median percent relative abundance of Fibrobacteres sequences of 0.65% and 0.54%, respectively (Fig. 2b). Relatively abundant Fibrobacteres populations were also observed for the limited number of fecal samples collected from the ostrich (2 of 2 positive, median = 2.00%), elephants (2 of 2 positive, median = 1.32%), and capybaras (2 of 2 positive, median $= 0.57\%$).

Ecological differences among Fibrobacter phylotypes

We further analyzed those 16S rRNA amplicon sequences classified to the phylum Fibrobacteres by comparing them against the 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from our 45 cultured strains and F. succinogenes subsp. elongatus strain HM2, to determine if they could be classified as belonging to any of the ten identified *Fibrobacter* phylotypes. The Fibrobacter phylotypes were then tested for associations with the dimensions of the NMDS ordination of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities among the total bacterial communities to identify possible relationships with total bacterial communities from certain hosts (Fig. 2a). Vectors representing sequence counts for *Fibrobacter* phylotypes showing a possible association (pvalue < 0.2) with a certain area of the ordination are shown in Fig. 2a. Statistical support for the association of individual vectors and the ordination can be found in Table S6. Vectors for the phylotypes Fs I, Fs II, Fs III, Fs IV, and Fs VII were associated with an area of the plot that included total bacterial communities from rumen samples. In contrast, the vectors corresponding to sequence counts for *Fibrobacter* phylotypes Fs V and Fs VI, as well as a vector representing Fibrobacter sequences that did not classify to any of the ten phylotypes, were directed toward the region where total bacterial communities from the feces of oddtoed ungulates and elephants were plotted.

The fraction of Fibrobacteres 16S rRNA amplicon sequences, identified in the cultureindependent analysis, assigned to the different *Fibrobacter* phylotypes, determined from the 45 isolates, in samples from the most extensively investigated hosts, Holstein cows ($n = 7$) and horses ($n = 22$), are shown in Figure 3a. Almost all (99.17%) of the Fibrobacteres sequences recovered from cow fecal samples could not be classified to any of the ten Fibrobacter phylotypes represented by the strains isolated in this study. In contrast, only 8.97% of the Fibrobacteres sequences recovered from cow rumen samples were not

represented by one of the ten phylotypes. Five Fibrobacter phylotypes were consistently observed in cow rumen samples: Fs I, Fs II, Fs III, Fs IV, and Fs VII (Table S5). None of the Fibrobacteres sequences recovered from rumen samples were classified as Fs V, Fs VI, or any of the three F . intestinalis phylotypes. The distribution of $Fibrobacter$ phylotypes in horse fecal samples was distinct from what was observed for cow fecal samples. A total of 50.57% of all of the Fibrobacteres sequences from horse fecal samples could not be classified to any of the ten Fibrobacter phylotypes. However, sequences classified as either Fibrobacter phylotype Fs V or Fs VI were commonly observed in horse fecal samples, occurring in 72.73% and 81.82% of the samples examined, respectively (Table S5). Sequences classified as Fs II, Fs III, and Fs IV were rarely observed in horse fecal samples, whereas phylotypes Fs I, Fs VII, Fi I, Fi II, and Fi III were not observed at all. The fraction of Fibrobacteres sequences classified to the Fibrobacter phylotypes in other hosts for which Fibrobacteres sequences were detected is shown in Figure 3b. Phylotype Fs II exhibited the widest host range, with sequences detected in 11 different animal hosts. Sequences related to the F . intestinalis type strain, phylotype Fi I, dominated the Fibrobacteres community in pigs, gorillas, orangutans, and the ostrich. Sequences classified as Fi II or Fi III were rarely, if ever, observed.

Fibrobacter phylotypes in the Global Rumen Census

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence data from the Global Rumen Census (GRC) was analyzed (Henderson *et al.*, 2015) in order to determine whether the absence of *Fibrobacter* phylotypes Fs V and Fs VI in rumen samples extended beyond the nine individual rumen samples investigated in our study. We classified 96,331 sequences out of 3,601,905 total bacterial sequences in the GRC to the phylum Fibrobacteres (2.67%). These Fibrobacteres sequences were then classified to our defined Fibrobacter phylotypes. Figure 3c shows the fraction of GRC Fibrobacteres sequences classified to our Fibrobacter phylotypes for each of eight different ruminant hosts. Overall, 89.02% of the GRC Fibrobacteres sequences could be classified to one of the ten *Fibrobacter* phylotypes. As was observed for rumen samples collected and analyzed for this study, sequences classified to phylotypes Fs I, Fs II, Fs III, and Fs IV were common in rumen samples analyzed for the GRC. Of these, Fs I and Fs II typically dominated the Fibrobacteres community, accounting for more than 60% of the Fibrobacteres sequences, on average, regardless of host (except in giraffes), as well as 72.79% of all Fibrobacteres sequences from the GRC. Lesser amounts of Fibrobacter phylotypes Fs VII, Fi II, and Fi III were also observed, including a moderate percentage of the Fibrobacteres sequences from giraffe rumen samples being classified as Fi III. Despite the substantial number of Fibrobacteres sequences recovered and analyzed from the GRC dataset, none of the sequences were classified to the Fibrobacter phylotypes Fs V, Fs VI, or Fi I.

Ecology of unclassified Fibrobacteres phylotypes

An OTU-based analysis of the Fibrobacteres sequences identified among the 16S rRNA gene amplicon data generated in this study was performed in order to gain additional insights into the large proportions of unclassified Fibrobacteres sequences observed in fecal samples from Holstein cows and horses. After clustering Fibrobacteres sequences into OTUs

(average neighbor, cutoff $= 0.03$), representative sequences were used to construct a maximum likelihood neighbor-joining tree of those OTUs observed in more than one sample as well as at a percent relative abundance of at least 0.1% in at least one sample (Figure 4). Of these 13 commonly observed Fibrobacteres OTUs, six represent phylogenetic lineages not represented by the ten phylotypes described in this study (Table 2). Two of the unrepresented Fibrobacteres OTUs, Otu011 and Otu012, are close relatives of the cultured phylotypes Fs V and Fs VI and exhibit a similar pattern of ecological distribution being solely observed in horse fecal samples. Our OTU-based analysis also identified three distinct phylogenetic lineages located outside of the F. succinogenes group. Otu010 is likely representative of a separate phylotype within the F . intestinalis group, as it exhibited close sequence similarity to F. intestinalis strain JG1 (Table 2). F. intestinalis strain JG1 was not included in our reference data set for classification to Fibrobacter phylotypes because of several ambiguous base calls in the full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences, however it was included in the maximum likelihood phylogeny inferred from near-full length 16S rRNA gene sequences (Figure 1). Otu003 evaded isolation, despite representing one of the most commonly observed Fibrobacteres OTUs in horse fecal samples. Otu003 was observed in 14 of 22 (63.64%) horse fecal samples, but also at a relatively low abundance in four of the seven (57.14%) Holstein cow rumen samples. Lastly, Fibrobacteres Otu008, likely representing the most phylogenetically divergent uncultured Fibrobacteres population observed in this study, was observed in four fecal samples from Holstein cows and in one fecal sample from a horse.

Phenotypic characteristics of Fibrobacter isolates

All of the 45 Fibrobacter strains exhibited growth on crystalline cellulose as the sole carbon source in the media formulations used (Table S2, Table S7). However, strains UW P2 and UW R4 consistently produced lower concentrations of fermentation products and quantities of genomic DNA after extraction. In fact, UW R4 grew so poorly after isolation that fermentation products could not be reliably quantified for this strain. Attachment of at least a fraction of the population to cellulose during growth was observed via light microscopy for all strains. Generally, cultures reached cell densities between $1 \times 10^8 - 1 \times 10^{10}$ viable cells per ml when grown on cellulose based on the results of dilution to extinction in fresh media. No growth of any of the 45 strains was observed when xylan (from beechwood) was used as the sole carbon source in the growth medium. All strains produced succinate as the major fermentation product (5.78 \pm 1.20 mM), with lesser amounts of acetate (3.42 \pm 0.80 mM), and in some cases small amounts of formate $(0.70 \pm 0.42 \text{ mM})$. No lactate, propionate or butyrate production was detected $(< 0.05$ mM) for any of the 45 strains.

Discussion

Although the first isolates of Fibrobacter were reported over 50 years ago (Hungate, 1950), cultured representatives for much of the apparent phylogenetic diversity of this group are lacking (Amann et al., 1992; Jewell et al., 2013; Ransom-Jones et al., 2014). As a result, knowledge regarding their ecology and physiology is limited. One barrier to achieving a more representative Fibrobacter culture collection is the technical challenge of the traditional anaerobic culture techniques used in the past to successfully recover isolates

(Stewart et al., 1981; Macy et al., 1982; Varel et al., 1984). Another is their preference for growth on an insoluble substrate, and requirement for attachment (Suen et al., 2011), which makes using agar-based media problematic. For this study, we developed a novel methodology for isolating these bacteria in order to alleviate some of these difficulties. Our approach leverages the tendency of *Fibrobacter* to adhere to cellulose (Gong and Forsberg, 1989), which can be used for enrichment, followed by dilution to extinction, which has demonstrated utility for the isolation of bacteria that do not efficiently form colonies on agar plates (Kenters et al., 2011). Using this method, we successfully purified 45 axenic cultures of Fibrobacter, including the first isolated strains conclusively representative of four distinct phylotypes (Fs V, Fs VI, Fs VII, and Fi III). Although they were not the focus of the present investigation, and were therefore not pursued further, cellulolytic Ruminococcus spp. were also isolated using our approach supporting this method's broader utility for isolating diverse cellulose-degrading anaerobic bacteria.

Our method proved very reliable for isolating relatively abundant F. succinogenes phylotypes from Holstein cow rumen samples, as isolates of either phylotype Fs I or Fs II were recovered from all 14 cow rumen samples subjected to isolation. Isolates of phylotype Fs III and Fs IV, typically present but at lower abundance in the rumen (Amann et al., 1992; Shinkai et al., 2009), were not recovered from cow rumen samples, suggesting a potential bias towards the most numerically abundant strains in a given sample. Although representatives of Fs IV were not recovered from rumen samples, they were isolated from rhinoceros, tapir, and colobus monkey fecal samples, suggesting a broad host range for this phylotype. Isolates representing two phylotypes commonly observed in fecal samples from large hindgut-fermenting mammals, Fs V and Fs VI, were recovered from horse, rhinoceros, and elephant fecal samples. These isolates represent the first reported cultures of Fibrobacter from any rhinoceros and elephant hosts. Isolation of bacteria that fit the description of Fibrobacter have been previously reported for horses (Davies, 1964), and molecular evidence has suggested phylogenetically distinct populations in the hindgut of these animals (Lin and Stahl, 1995). However, the horse isolates reported here provide the first conclusive synthesis of these previous observations. Representatives of F. intestinalis were also successfully isolated using our approach, with three phylotypes of F . intestinalis recovered from pigs, rhesus monkeys, and an ostrich. Strains of F. intestinalis have been previously isolated from pigs (Varel et al., 1984), but UW OS from the ostrich is the first reported isolate of *Fibrobacter* from a non-mammal.

Culture-independent analysis of the total bacterial community was also performed in order to investigate whether the Fibrobacter isolates we recovered accurately represent the dominant populations in their respective samples. In most instances, this was the case, particularly for the most extensively sampled hosts: horses and Holstein cows. Our cultureindependent analysis did, however, provide strong evidence for Fibrobacter phylotypes in horse fecal and Holstein cow fecal samples that were not represented by any of our 45 isolates. A possible explanation for our inability to isolate these phylotypes is that the media formulation, which is based on a composition originally optimized for culturing rumen Fibrobacter strains (Scott and Dehority, 1965), lacked specific growth factors. In particular, sterilized rumen fluid, or cecal extract, which have previously been used to aid in Fibrobacter isolations (Davies, 1964; Stewart et al., 1981; Macy et al., 1982), were not

included in our media in order to facilitate reproducibility by researchers who may lack access to these additives. As a result, we cannot exclude the possibility of missing or suboptimal concentrations of growth factors essential for certain strains or phylotypes. Most samples for which we were unable to obtain isolates did not display any signs of cells resembling *Fibrobacter* colonizing cellulose in primary enrichment cultures. Insufficient nutrition to stimulate and/or sustain growth would explain these observations, and might also explain why strains UW R4 and UW P2 consistently exhibited poor growth under the conditions used in this study despite their axenic status. Lastly, consideration must also be given to the possibility that at least some of these uncultured phylotypes are unable to degrade crystalline cellulose, which could also explain why axenic cultures were not recovered.

The combination of culture-dependent and culture-independent analyses used in this study provided new insights into the ecology of Fibrobacter spp. in the gastrointestinal tracts of herbivores. As expected, the highest relative abundances of Fibrobacteres sequences were observed in samples from strictly herbivorous hosts with large body weights. Importantly, the Fibrobacter populations in these animals were typically dominated by phylotypes from the F. succinogenes species group, and not F. intestinalis, despite most of these hosts being hindgut fermenters. In fact, no sequences classified to any of the F. intestinalis phylotypes were observed in our 22 horse fecal samples. Although the highest abundance Fibrobacteres populations were typically composed of F. succinogenes-related phylotypes, an exception was the ostrich, which had a population almost entirely made up of phylotype Fi I. Lower relative abundances of *Fibrobacter* were observed in samples from pigs and several primates, with phylotype Fi I being the most commonly observed phylotype in these phylogenetically diverse hosts. It is not known why phylotype Fi I, which is represented by the F . intestinalis type strain NR9, is particularly suited for the hindguts of these hosts. No sequences classified as phylotype Fi I were observed in rumen samples examined here, or in those from the GRC. It has been suggested that, despite its name, F intestinalis is also present in the rumen (Amann *et al.*, 1992). Sequences likely representative of *F. intestinalis* strain JG1, originally isolated from the rumen of sheep (Amann *et al.*, 1992), were observed in two sheep rumen contents examined here, as were sequences classified to phylotype Fi II in the GRC dataset, particularly in the rumen samples from giraffes. These observations suggest that some strains of F . intestinalis live in the rumen, but that they are likely distinct from those closely related to the F. intestinalis type strain and that their presence may depend on an association with certain host species. Two phylotypes of F. intestinalis, Fi II and Fi III, isolated here from pigs and a rhesus monkey were rarely observed in the cultureindependent analysis, including the samples from which they were isolated. This indicates that the rare biosphere harbors more Fibrobacter diversity than is currently appreciated, and that culture-based methods represent an effective means of accessing this diversity for further characterization.

A clear difference in the Fibrobacter phylotypes commonly observed in the bovine rumen and horse hindgut was observed in our 16S rRNA gene amplicon data. Fibrobacter phylotypes typically observed in the rumen, Fs I-IV, were either not detected, or rarely observed in horse fecal samples. Recovery and phylotyping of Fibrobacter isolates from horse fecal samples confirmed previously reported culture-independent evidence of

phylogenetically distinct Fibrobacter populations in the hindgut of horses (Lin and Stahl, 1995). We observed no evidence for populations of Fibrobacter phylotypes Fs V or Fs VI in rumen samples, although our culture-independent data indicated that these phylotypes make up a substantial fraction of the Fibrobacteres population in the horse hindgut. Additionally, the total absence of sequences corresponding to these phylotypes in the GRC (Henderson et al., 2015), a global dataset that includes hundreds of samples from diverse ruminant host species consuming different diets, across a broad geographic range, strongly reinforces that this is a general phenomenon. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that Fibrobacter phylotypes Fs V and Fs VI are specifically adapted to the hindgut, and that they do not compete effectively enough with the typical rumen phylotypes (Fs I-IV) to be readily observed in that environment. The phylogenetic and ecological attributes, separating Fs V and Fs VI from previously characterized strains of F. succinogenes, suggest that these phylotypes may warrant classification as a distinct species in the genus Fibrobacter.

An underappreciated aspect of *Fibrobacter* ecology is the co-occurrence of multiple Fibrobacter phylotypes within a given host, as was demonstrated here for rumen samples as well as most fecal samples from horses. In Holstein cow rumen samples, sequences classified as Fibrobacter phylotypes Fs I, Fs II, Fs III, and Fs IV were consistently observed together within a given sample, although Fs I and Fs II were typically dominant. This pattern of Fibrobacter phylotype co-occurrence was found across multiple ruminant host species in the GRC dataset, and is in agreement with previous observations (Amann *et al.*, 1992; Shinkai et al., 2009; Shinkai et al., 2010). We hypothesize that these Fibrobacter phylotypes occupy distinct ecological niches in vivo, but data supporting this supposition is sparse. Despite considerable phylogenetic diversity within the genus Fibrobacter, a general lack of phenotypic variation has been reported (Amann et al., 1992). All of the isolates examined in our study grew on crystalline cellulose, produced succinate and acetate as major fermentation products in similar ratios, and failed to exhibit any growth on beechwood xylan. Although it has been reported that some Fibrobacter strains are capable of growth on xylan (Miron and Ben-Ghedalia, 1993), recent genomic evidence suggests that the inability of grow on pentoses is a unifying feature for the entire phylum (Suen et al., 2011; Abdul Rahman et al., 2016). The expansion of cultured Fibrobacter representatives resulting from this work will aid future efforts to identify the specific niches of distinct and co-occurring Fibrobacter phylotypes in the herbivore gastrointestinal tract.

In conclusion, this study not only expands our phylogenetic and physiological understanding of bacteria in the genus Fibrobacter, but also presents a novel and generalizable isolation method for recovering axenic cultures of these bacteria from herbivore hosts. Our study focused on hindgut-fermenting herbivores, as these hosts represent a rich source of uncultured Fibrobacter diversity and harbor microbial communities that are distinct and less well characterized than those of ruminants. Importantly, we present the first cultured representatives for four distinct Fibrobacter phylotypes, and our culture-independent analyses revealed insights into their ecology. Future work, including whole-genome sequencing and further phenotypic characterizations, promises to shed additional light on the ecology and evolution of these relatively abundant, but enigmatic, fiber-degrading bacterial symbionts of herbivores. Given the presence of Fibrobacteres within the gastrointestinal tracts of a broad diversity of herbivores, our work not only expands our understanding of this

phylum, but also provides access to cultured isolates from which hypotheses generated from culture-independent, sequence-based studies can be effectively tested.

Experimental Procedures

Sample collection and processing

Animal hosts sampled for this study were housed at the Henry Vilas Zoo (Madison, WI), the Milwaukee County Zoo (Milwaukee, WI), the US Dairy Forage Research Center farm (Prairie du Sac, WI), and several other research facilities managed by the University of Wisconsin – Madison (Madison, WI) (Table S1). Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval was obtained from the proper authority where applicable. Fresh feces, or gastrointestinal contents where available, were collected aseptically in sterile 50 ml conical tubes, immediately placed on wet ice, and transported back to the lab for same day processing, typically less than four hours post collection. Upon arrival at the lab, samples were transferred to an anaerobic glovebag (atmosphere: 5% H₂, 20% CO₂, balance N2) and preserved for future isolation attempts and culture-independent bacterial community analysis. Preservation of samples for culturing consisted of preparing a suspension by mixing one part sample with four parts sterile anaerobic buffer (155 mM NaCl, 3 mM $Na₂HPO₄-7H₂O$, 1.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 6 mM L-cysteine HCl, pH=6.8) followed by 2× dilution in an equal volume of sterile glycerol, distribution to sterile serum vials with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp seals via needle and syringe, and storage at −80°C. Preservation of samples for culture-independent analysis involved 10× dilution in T₅₀E₅₀ sucrose buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 15% sucrose, pH = 8.0) and storage at −20°C.

Isolation of Fibrobacter spp

Enrichment for anaerobic bacteria capable of growth on crystalline cellulose was achieved by serial dilution of the glycerol stocks prepared from feces, or gastrointestinal contents, in a slightly modified version of a medium originally described by Scott and Dehority (Scott and Dehority, 1965) with 0.5% (wt/vol) Sigmacell 50 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as the primary carbon source. The exact formula, minus the carbon source, of the modified Dehority medium (MDM) used for enrichment and isolation can be found in Table S7. The final volume of media used was 10 ml in 18×150 mm anaerobic tubes, with a gas phase of 100% CO2, sealed with gas impermeable butyl rubber stoppers and 20 mm aluminum crimp seals (Bellco Glass, Vineland, NJ). The enrichments were incubated at 39°C and monitored via light microscopy daily for bacterial colonization of the cellulose crystals. Simple staining of the cells using crystal violet was employed to aid in microscopic examinations. Upon observing dense colonization, 1 ml of the enrichment was used to further enrich for cellulose-adherent bacteria using a modified version of the assay of adhesion described by Gong and Forsberg (Gong and Forsberg, 1989) performed in an anaerobic glovebag with a gas phase of 5% H_2 , 20% CO_2 , balance N₂. Briefly, 1 ml of sterile anaerobic buffer containing 2% (wt/vol) Sigmacell 50 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and the cellulose was allowed to settle on the bottom of the tube. The liquid phase was discarded and 1 ml of enrichment culture was added to the cellulose pellet. The sample was mixed and bacterial attachment was promoted by incubating the tube at

39°C for 30 min. After the initial incubation period, the liquid phase was discarded and the cellulose pellet was washed with 1 ml of sterile anaerobic buffer (prewarmed to 39°C). The cellulose was allowed to settle, three min, while maintaining 39°C. Once again, the liquid phase was discarded and the process was repeated for a total of ten washes to remove nonadherent bacteria. Upon completion of the washes, the sample was serially diluted in MDM + cellulose and incubated at 39°C. The enrichments were monitored daily for signs of growth characteristic of Fibrobacter cultures, including visual observation of a reduction in the size of the cellulose sediment, often accompanied by a surface layer of slime, and microscopic observation of dense colonization of the cellulose crystals by rod-shaped cells. The most dilute culture exhibiting the desired characteristics was selected and the process was repeated until a culture suspected to be axenic was obtained, typically 3–5 rounds of washes followed by dilution to extinction. Isolation was confirmed through the recovery of a single 16S rRNA gene sequence using the universal bacterial primers 27F and 1492R (Weisburg *et al.*, 1991) (see below).

Phylogenetic analysis of Fibrobacter isolates

Genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction from suspected axenic *Fibrobacter* cultures was performed using a combination of bead-beating and SDS for cell lysis followed by phenol:chloroform extraction and alcohol precipitation (Stevenson and Weimer, 2007). Cell pellets from late log phase cultures, 24 – 48 hours post inoculation, were resuspended in 1 ml DNA extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, $pH = 8.0$) and combined with 0.5 g 0.1 mm zirconium beads, 700 μ l equilibrated phenol (pH = 8.0) and 50 μl 20% SDS. The samples were subjected to bead-beating for 2 min followed by incubation at 60°C for 10 min followed by additional bead-beating for 2 min. The organic and aqueous phases were separated via centrifugation and the aqueous phase extracted with 500 μl equilibrated phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). A third, and final, extraction of the aqueous phase with 500 μl phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol was performed followed by precipitation of the gDNA with 3 M Na acetate and isopropanol, then dried and subsequently resuspended in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, $pH = 8.0$). gDNA was quantified using the BR dsDNA assay kit and Qubit[®] Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences were identified for each isolate by PCR and Sanger sequencing using the universal bacterial primers 27F (5′- AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3′) and 1492R (5′-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′) (Weisburg et al., 1991). PCR was performed using the Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase with dNTPs Combo kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Each 20 μl reaction contained: 4μ 5× reaction buffer, 1 μ l each of forward and reverse primers at a stock concentration of 10 μM, 0.2 μl 100 mM dNTPs, 0.4 μl Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase, 12.4μ sterile H₂O, and 1 ng DNA template. The cycling conditions were as follows: 1 min initial denaturation at 95°C followed by 30 cycles consisting of 30 s at 95°C, 45 s at 50 $^{\circ}$ C, and 1 min at 72 $^{\circ}$ C after which a final extension step for 10 min at 72 $^{\circ}$ C was performed. The PCR products, size \sim 1465 bp, were separated via electrophoresis through a 1% low-melt agarose (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) gel and visualized using $SYBR^{\circledR}$ Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen). PCR products of appropriate size were excised from the

gel and purified using the Zymoclean™ Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research Corp., Irvine, CA). Purified PCR products were submitted for Sanger sequencing (Functional Biosciences, Inc., Madison, WI).

A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred from near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences in MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016) using the Tamura-Nei substitution model (Tamura and Nei, 1993) and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The initial tree for the heuristic search was generated automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to the matrix of pairwise distances estimated using maximum composite likelihood followed by selection of the phylogeny with the highest log likelihood. Prior to constructing the tree, the sequences were aligned in MEGA using ClustalW, with default parameters, and trimmed to an equal number of nucleotide positions (1268 sites). A 16S rRNA gene sequence from the Bacteroides fragilis type strain NCTC 9343 (NCBI Ref. CR626927.1) was included as an outgroup. The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) represented by the Fibrobacter isolates, henceforth referred to as *Fibrobacter* phylotypes, were determined using mothur v.1.38 (Schloss et al., 2009). A distance matrix was created from the aligned and trimmed 16S rRNA gene sequences with the *dist.seqs* command and used as the input for the *cluster* command, which was run using the average neighbor algorithm with a distance cutoff of 0.03.

Culture-independent bacterial community profiling

Total DNA was extracted from gastrointestinal contents or feces that had been diluted and frozen in $T_{50}E_{50}$ sucrose buffer. The samples were thawed and the cells resuspended in 1 ml DNA extraction buffer. DNA was recovered using bead-beating and phenol:chloroform as described above for suspected isolates. PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was performed using universal primers flanking the variable 4 (V4) region (Kozich *et al.*, 2013). Reactions contained 2 ng DNA template, 0.4 μM each primer, 12.5 μl 2× HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA), and H2O to 25 μl. Cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation of 95°C for 3 min followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were separated via electrophoresis through a 1% low-melt agarose (National Diagnostics) gel and visualized using SYBR® Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen). PCR products of appropriate size were excised from the gel and purified using the Zymoclean™ Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo Research Corp.). Purified PCR products were quantified using the HS dsDNA assay kit and Qubit[®] Fluorometer (Invitrogen) and equimolar pooled. The pool plus 5% PhiX control DNA was sequenced with the MiSeq 2×250 v2 kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) using custom sequencing primers (Kozich et al., 2013).

Sequences were demultiplexed on the Illumina MiSeq and processed using mothur v.1.38 (Schloss et al., 2009) in general accordance with the MiSeq S.O.P. [\(https://www.mothur.org/](https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP) [wiki/MiSeq_SOP\)](https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP). Individual contigs were assembled from the corresponding paired-end sequences and poor quality sequences were removed. Sequences were aligned to the SILVA 16S rRNA gene reference database (Pruesse et al., 2007) to check for alignment to the V4 target region. Chimeras were detected, and subsequently removed, using the chimera.uchime command [\(http://drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html\)](http://drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html) on a trimmed version of the

alignment that had been reduced using *unique.seqs* and *pre.cluster* (diffs $= 2$). Sequences that could not be classified to domain Bacteria, such as those classified to domain Eukaryota, domain Archaea, or domain "unknown" were removed from the dataset. Sequences suspected to be either host-cell or diet derived due to their classification as mitochondria and chloroplast, respectively, were also removed.

After the processing steps outlined above, the "cleaned" sequences were clustered into OTUs using the average neighbor algorithm with a distance cutoff of 0.03 with the dist.seqs and *cluster.split* commands in mothur. All OTUs represented by only a single sequence were subsequently removed using the *remove.rare* command. OTUs were classified using the Greengenes database (DeSantis et al., 2006) with the *classify.seqs* command (consensus confidence level 80%) followed by *classify.otu*. A matrix of OTU counts by sample, normalized to 10,000 sequences per sample, was generated using the *make.shared* and normalize.shared commands. A representative sequence for each OTU was extracted using the *get.oturep* command. The count data and relevant metadata were then imported into R (version 3.3.1) for statistical analyses and plotting ([https://www.r-project.org/\)](https://www.r-project.org/). A non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination analysis of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities among the total bacterial communities was performed using the *metaMDS* function from the VEGAN package (Dixon, 2003). Standard error ellipses were generated for select groups of samples from the ordination results using the VEGAN function *ordiellipse*.

In silico analysis of Fibrobacter spp. ecology

The V4 16S rRNA gene sequences classified to the phylum Fibrobacteres using Greengenes (DeSantis et al., 2006) were extracted from the "cleaned" fasta file using the get.lineage command in mothur. These Fibrobacteres sequences were then clustered into OTUs using the same methods described for the total bacterial data. The Fibrobacteres OTUs were then classified in mothur, as described above, to Fibrobacter phylotypes using the 16S rRNA gene sequences for the isolates described in this study, as well as F . succinogenes subsp. elongatus strain HM2 (NCBI Ref. NR_104844.1), and a taxonomy file which included their designated phylotypes. No other Fibrobacter sequences from previously reported isolates were included in the reference taxonomy due to either a lack of sequences of sufficient quality or because they failed to add phylotype diversity to the dataset. As a result, only sequences containing no ambiguous base calls from cultured isolates were included. A matrix of the Fibrobacteres OTU counts by sample was generated using the make.shared command. The Fibrobacteres OTU counts were then summed according to phylotype and imported into R for statistical analysis and plotting. Normalized sequence counts for the Fibrobacter phylotypes were fit onto the total bacteria NMDS plot, described above, using the envfit function from VEGAN. Vectors corresponding to phylotypes exhibiting a fit with p-value < 0.20 were plotted onto the ordination. However, only vectors exhibiting a fit with p-value < 0.05 after adjustment using the Bonferroni correction were deemed statistically significant. Representative sequences for Fibrobacteres OTUs were extracted in mothur with the *get.oturep* command, followed by the creation of a distance matrix from the representative sequences using the dist.seqs command. The representative sequences and distance matrix were then imported into R using the *read.phyDat* function from the PHANGORN (Schliep, 2010) package and the *import_mothur_dist* function from the PHYLOSEQ (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013)

package. A maximum likelihood neighbor-joining tree was inferred from the representative sequences and distance matrix in R using the *bionj* function from the APE (Paradis *et al.*, 2004) package followed by the *pml, optim.pml*, and *bootstrap.pml* functions from the PHANGORN package. The phylogenetic tree and Fibrobacteres OTU count table were then used to create a "phyloseq" object using *phyloseq* from the PHYLOSEQ package, and the phylogenetic tree plotted with the function plot_tree. Closest BLAST hits for representative sequences for Fibrobacteres OTUs were determined by running *blastn* from the command line (Camacho et al., 2009) using the near-full length 16S rRNA gene sequences of Fibrobacter isolates from this study and previously described reference strains (Amann et al., 1992) as the reference database.

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence data from the Global Rumen Census (GRC) (Henderson et al., 2015) was downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Short Read Archive (SRA), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra> (PRJNA272135, PRJNA272136, PRJNA273417), using fastq-dump from the SRA toolkit. Raw sequences from the GRC were processed in mothur using a pipeline similar to the one described for the Illumina data generated in this study. Sequences classified to the phylum Fibrobacteres using Greengenes were extracted from the "cleaned" GRC fasta file using the *get.lineage* command. The Fibrobacteres sequences were then clustered into OTUs and classified in mothur to Fibrobacter phylotypes using the Fibrobacter custom taxonomy file, described above. The matrix of GRC Fibrobacteres OTU counts by sample was generated using the make.shared command. The GRC Fibrobacteres OTU counts were then summed according to phylotype and imported into R for statistical analysis and plotting.

Phenotypic characterization

Growth on crystalline cellulose and xylan was investigated using MDM with either 0.3% (wt/vol) Sigmacell 20 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or 0.3% (wt/vol) xylan from beechwood (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland) as the primary carbon source. All growth tests were performed in triplicate, with 1 ml of medium in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes in an anaerobic glovebag with a gas phase of 5% H_2 , 20% CO_2 , balance N₂. The cultures were incubated at 39°C for 48 hours. Growth was assessed by visually monitoring the cultures for increased turbidity. Fermentation products present in the supernatants of each triplicate 48 hour culture for strains exhibiting growth on a particular carbon source were quantified using highperformance liquid chromatography as described previously (Weimer et al., 1991). Concentrations of fermentation products for positive cultures are summarized as the average ± one standard deviation.

Accession numbers

Near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences for the 45 Fibrobacter strains isolated in this study have been deposited in the NCBI Nucleotide database under accessions numbers KY463324 – KY463368 (Table S2). Raw Illumina sequencing reads corresponding to the V4 16S rRNA gene amplicons for the 83 animal host gut and feces microbiotas have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Short Read Archive database under Bioproject accession PRJNA362214.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Erin Flynn and colleagues at the Henry Vilas Zoo; Beth Rich, Trish Khan, and Tim Wild at the Milwaukee County Zoo; and Dr. Benjamin Darien at the UW-Madison School of Veterinary Medicine for their assistance with sample collection. We thank Gaby Moen and Sofia Magalhaes Moreira for technical laboratory assistance, and Paul Weimer for performing the HPLC analysis. We would also like to thank Robert Kerby for helpful discussions regarding the cultivation of fastidious anaerobes. Finally, we thank Kimberly Dill-McFarland and Madison Cox for their help with statistical analyses in R, Laura Cersosimo, Paul Weimer, and all members of the Suen Lab for their valuable feedback during the drafting of the manuscript.

Funding information

This work was supported by a traineeship from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32AI55397 to APN, a UW-Madison Hilldale Undergraduate Research fellowship to CAM, and a US Department of Energy Biological and Environmental Research Early Career Research Program Award DE-SC0008104 to GS. The funders had no input in the design, execution, or interpretation of this work.

References

- Abdul Rahman N, Parks DH, Vanwonterghem I, Morrison M, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P. A Phylogenomic Analysis of the Bacterial Phylum Fibrobacteres. Front Microbiol. 2016; 6:1469. [PubMed: 26779135]
- Amann RI, Lin C, Key R, Montgomery L, Stahl DA. Diversity Among Fibrobacter Isolates: Towards a Phylogenetic Classification. Syst Appl Microbiol. 1992; 15:23–31.
- Beguin P. Molecular Biology of Cellulose Degradation. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1990; 44:219–248. [PubMed: 2252383]
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009; 10:421. [PubMed: 20003500]
- Cosgrove DJ. Growth of the plant cell wall. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 6:850–861. [PubMed: 16261190]
- Davies ME. Cellulolytic Bacteria Isolated from the Large Intestine of the Horse. J Appl Bacteriol. 1964; 27:373–378.
- Dehority BA, Scott HW. Extent of Cellulose and Hemicellulose Digestion in Various Forages by Pure Cultures of Rumen Bacteria1. J Dairy Sci. 1967; 50:1136–1141.
- DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, et al. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench Compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72:5069–5072. [PubMed: 16820507]
- Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J Veg Sci. 2003; 14:927–930.
- Flint HJ. The rumen microbial ecosystem—some recent developments. Trends Microbiol. 1997; 5:483–488. [PubMed: 9447660]
- Flint HJ, Bayer EA, Rincon MT, Lamed R, White BA. Polysaccharide utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. Nat Rev Micro. 2008; 6:121–131.
- Gong J, Forsberg CW. Factors affecting adhesion of *Fibrobacter succinogenes* subsp. *succinogenes* S85 and adherence-defective mutants to cellulose. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1989; 55:3039–3044. [PubMed: 2619302]
- Henderson G, Cox F, Ganesh S, Jonker A, Young W, Janssen PH. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. Sci Rep. 2015; 5:14567. [PubMed: 26449758]
- Hungate RE. The Anaerobic Mesophilic Cellulolytic Bacteria. Bacteriol Rev. 1950; 14:1–49. [PubMed: 15420122]
- Hungate RE. The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1975; 6:39–66.

- Ilmberger N, Güllert S, Dannenberg J, Rabausch U, Torres J, Wemheuer B, et al. A Comparative Metagenome Survey of the Fecal Microbiota of a Breast- and a Plant-Fed Asian Elephant Reveals an Unexpectedly High Diversity of Glycoside Hydrolase Family Enzymes. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e106707. [PubMed: 25208077]
- Jewell KA, Scott JJ, Adams SM, Suen G. A phylogenetic analysis of the phylum Fibrobacteres. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2013; 36:376–382. [PubMed: 23759599]
- Kenters N, Henderson G, Jeyanathan J, Kittelmann S, Janssen PH. Isolation of previously uncultured rumen bacteria by dilution to extinction using a new liquid culture medium. J Microbiol Methods. 2011; 84:52–60. [PubMed: 21034781]
- Kobayashi Y, Shinkai T, Koike S. Ecological and physiological characterization shows that Fibrobacter succinogenes is important in rumen fiber digestion - Review. Folia Microbiol (Praha). 2008; 53:195–200. [PubMed: 18661290]
- Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013; 79:5112–5120. [PubMed: 23793624]
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016; 33:1870–1874. [PubMed: 27004904]
- Leschine SB. Cellulose Degradation in Anaerobic Environments. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1995; 49:399– 426. [PubMed: 8561466]
- Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Knight R, Gordon JI. Worlds within worlds: evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. Nat Rev Micro. 2008; 6:776–788.
- Lin C, Stahl DA. Taxon-specific probes for the cellulolytic genus Fibrobacter reveal abundant and novel equine-associated populations. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1995; 61:1348–1351. [PubMed: 7538274]
- Lynd LR, Weimer PJ, van Zyl WH, Pretorius IS. Microbial Cellulose Utilization: Fundamentals and Biotechnology. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2002; 66:506–577. [PubMed: 12209002]
- Mackie RI. Mutualistic Fermentative Digestion in the Gastrointestinal Tract: Diversity and Evolution. Integr Comp Biol. 2002; 42:319–326. [PubMed: 21708724]
- Macy JM, Farrand JR, Montgomery L. Cellulolytic and non-cellulolytic bacteria in rat gastrointestinal tracts. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1982; 44:1428–1434. [PubMed: 7159085]
- Matsui H, Kato Y, Chikaraishi T, Moritani M, Ban-Tokuda T, Wakita M. Microbial diversity in ostrich ceca as revealed by 16S ribosomal RNA gene clone library and detection of novel Fibrobacter species. Anaerobe. 2010; 16:83–93. [PubMed: 19632349]
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e61217. [PubMed: 23630581]
- Miron J, Ben-Ghedalia D. Digestion of cell-wall monosaccharides of ryegrass and alfalfa hays by the ruminal bacteria Fibrobacter succinogenes and Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens. Can J Microbiol. 1993; 39:780–786. [PubMed: 8221378]
- Montgomery L, Flesher B, Stahl D. Transfer of *Bacteroides succinogenes* (Hungate) to *Fibrobacter* gen. nov. as Fibrobacter succinogenes comb. nov. and Description of Fibrobacter intestinalis sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 1988; 38:430–435.
- Morrison M, Pope PB, Denman SE, McSweeney CS. Plant biomass degradation by gut microbiomes: more of the same or something new? Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2009; 20:358–363. [PubMed: 19515552]
- Nouaille R, Matulova M, Pätoprstý V, Delort AM, Forano E. Production of oligosaccharides and cellobionic acid by Fibrobacter succinogenes S85 growing on sugars, cellulose and wheat straw. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2009; 83:425–433. [PubMed: 19184595]
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. Bioinformatics. 2004; 20:289–290. [PubMed: 14734327]
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35:7188–7196. [PubMed: 17947321]

- Qi M, Jun HS, Forsberg CW. Characterization and Synergistic Interactions of Fibrobacter succinogenes Glycoside Hydrolases. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007; 73:6098–6105. [PubMed: 17660301]
- Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, Edwards A, McDonald JE. Distribution and diversity of members of the bacterial phylum Fibrobacteres in environments where cellulose degradation occurs. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2014; 37:502–509. [PubMed: 25154048]
- Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, McCarthy AJ, McDonald JE. The Fibrobacteres: an Important Phylum of Cellulose-Degrading Bacteria. Microb Ecol. 2012; 63:267–281. [PubMed: 22213055]
- Schliep KP. phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. Bioinformatics. 2010; 27:592–593. [PubMed: 21169378]
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75:7537–7541. [PubMed: 19801464]
- Scott HW, Dehority BA. Vitamin Requirements of Several Cellulolytic Rumen Bacteria. J Bacteriol. 1965; 89:1169–1175. [PubMed: 14292981]
- Shinkai T, Ohji R, Matsumoto N, Kobayashi Y. Fibrolytic capabilities of ruminal bacterium Fibrobacter succinogenes in relation to its phylogenetic grouping. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2009; 294:183 LP–190. [PubMed: 19431237]
- Shinkai T, Ueki T, Kobayashi Y. Detection and identification of rumen bacteria constituting a fibrolytic consortium dominated by Fibrobacter succinogenes. Anim Sci J. 2010; 81:72–79. [PubMed: 20163675]
- Solomon KV, Haitjema CH, Henske JK, Gilmore SP, Borges-Rivera D, Lipzen A, et al. Earlybranching gut fungi possess a large, comprehensive array of biomass-degrading enzymes. Science. 2016; 351:1192–1195. [PubMed: 26912365]
- Stevenson DM, Weimer PJ. Dominance of Prevotella and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2007; 75:165–174. [PubMed: 17235560]
- Stewart CS, Flint HJ. Bacteroides (Fibrobacter) succinogenes, a cellulolytic anaerobic bacterium from the gastrointestinal tract. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1989; 30:433–439.
- Stewart CS, Paniagua C, Dinsdale D, Cheng KJ, Garrow SH. Selective isolation and characteristics of Bacteriodes succinogenes from the rumen of a cow. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1981; 41:504–510. [PubMed: 7016031]
- Suen G, Weimer PJ, Stevenson DM, Aylward FO, Boyum J, Deneke J, et al. The Complete Genome Sequence of Fibrobacter succinogenes S85 Reveals a Cellulolytic and Metabolic Specialist. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e18814. [PubMed: 21526192]
- Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol. 1993; 10:512–526. [PubMed: 8336541]
- Varel VH, Fryda SJ, Robinson IM. Cellulolytic bacteria from pig large intestine. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1984; 47:219–221. [PubMed: 6696420]
- Walker AW, Duncan SH, Louis P, Flint HJ. Phylogeny, culturing, and metagenomics of the human gut microbiota. Trends Microbiol. 2017; 22:267–274.
- Weimer PJ. Why Don't Ruminal Bacteria Digest Cellulose Faster? J Dairy Sci. 1996; 79:1496–1502. [PubMed: 8880475]
- Weimer PJ, Shi Y, Odt CL. A segmented gas/liquid delivery system for continuous culture of microorganisms on insoluble substrates and its use for growth of *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* on cellulose. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1991; 36:178–183.
- Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J Bacteriol. 1991; 173:697–703. [PubMed: 1987160]

Originality-Significance Statement

Bacteria in the genus Fibrobacter are important for cellulose digestion in the rumen, but their relationship with hindgut-fermenting herbivores remains poorly characterized. Here we report an ecological analysis of Fibrobacter populations associated with diverse hindgut-fermenting herbivores. We describe a novel approach for recovering axenic Fibrobacter cultures from gastrointestinal samples and demonstrate its utility by isolating 45 novel Fibrobacter strains, several of which represent previously uncultured phylotypes $(cutoff = 0.03\%)$. This work provides the strongest evidence to date that the dominant Fibrobacter populations in ruminants and hindgut-fermenting herbivores are phylogenetically distinct, but that their proficient growth on crystalline cellulose is a conserved feature among these bacteria.

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood inferred phylogeny of *Fibrobacter* **isolates**

The phylogeny was constructed using near-full length 16S rRNA gene sequences (1268 sites). Reference sequences for previously described *Fibrobacter* strains, including the type strains for F. succinogenes, F. succinogenes subsp. elongatus, and F. intestinalis, are shown in bold with the type strains marked with an asterisk (*). Strain designations can be found at the branch tips, followed by the isolation source in brackets. Fibrobacter phylotypes are displayed on the far right along with a vertical line indicating their coverage of the phylogeny. Phylotypes with no previous cultural representation are denoted with a hash (#).

Bootstrap values, over 90% (1,000 replicates), for clades representing Fibrobacter phylotypes are displayed at their respective branch points. The Bacteroides fragilis type strain NCTC 9343 was included as an outgroup.

Neumann et al. Page 22

Figure 2. Culture-independent analysis of gastrointestinal tract samples

(a) NMDS ordination plot of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity among the total bacterial communities. Total bacterial communities are represented by dots colored according to host of origin. Sets of samples corresponding to specific host taxonomic orders are plotted as open standard error ellipses (95% confidence interval), and colored/labeled accordingly. Vectors representing sequence counts for Fibrobacter phylotypes showing a possible association (p-value < 0.2) with a certain area of the ordination are shown and labeled accordingly. See Table S6 for more details regarding the statistical support for the individual

vectors. (b) Percent relative abundance of Fibrobacteres sequences in samples by host. Each dot represents an individual sample, colored according to host of origin, and plotted on a logarithmic scale (y-axis). Median percent relative abundances for each host are plotted with open triangles. Samples are arranged by host taxonomic order, which are labeled along the x-axis.

Figure 3. Fraction of Fibrobacteres sequences assigned to a specific *Fibrobacter* **phylotype by host**

(a) Distribution of Fibrobacter phylotypes in samples from the most extensively sampled hosts Holstein cows and horses. (b) Distribution of Fibrobacter phylotypes in other host samples for which Fibrobacteres sequences were observed. (c) Distribution of Fibrobacter phylotypes in rumen samples from various hosts, as determined by analysis of the 16S rRNA gene amplicon total bacterial dataset from the Global Rumen Census (GRC) (Henderson et al., 2015).

Figure 4. Phylogenetic relationships among common Fibrobacteres OTUs

A maximum likelihood neighbor-joining tree was inferred from the aligned nucleotide sequences representing commonly observed Fibrobacteres OTUs in the culture-independent analysis of total bacterial communities. Common OTUs were defined as those that were observed in more than one sample along with having a percent relative abundance of at least 0.1% in at least one sample. Nodes are labeled with the level of bootstrap support for their respective clades. Branch tips are labeled with dots, colored according to host of origin, indicating the occurrence of that particular OTU in a given microbiota sample, the OTU ID, and the corresponding Fibrobacter phylotype designation when appropriate (see Table 2).

Table 1

Sources of Fibrobacter isolates Sources of Fibrobacter isolates

* group sample

rcent relative abundance of at least 0.1% in at least one sample. Common OTUs were defined as those that were observed in more than one sample along with having a percent relative abundance of at least 0.1% in at least one sample.

Fibrobacter phylotype designations, if known, as well as type strain status (*) are indicated for references corresponding to OTUs containing less than, or equal to, one mismatch over the length of the sequence examined. Fibrobacter phylotype designations, if known, as well as type strain status (*) are indicated for references corresponding to OTUs containing less than, or equal to, one mismatch over the length of the sequence examined.