# **REVIEW ARTICLE**

# Defining pain in newborns: need for a uniform taxonomy?

Kanwaljeet J. S. Anand (anandam@stanford.edu) (D)

Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA



#### Keywords

Chronic pain, Pain assessment, Pain in neonate, Persistent pain, Prolonged pain

#### Correspondence

Prof. K. J. S. Anand, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, 770 Welch Road, Suite 435, Stanford, CA 94304 MC: 5876, USA. Tel: (650) 498-6313 |

Fax: (650) 736-9186 | Email: anandam@stanford.edu

#### Received

16 March 2017; revised 15 May 2017; accepted 24 May 2017.

DOI:10.1111/apa.13936

#### ABSTRACT

A framework for defining pain terms such as acute, persistent, prolonged or chronic pain to newborns was derived from the scientific literature on neonatal pain assessments, previous attempts to define chronic pain and the clinical and neurophysiological features of neonatal pain. This novel framework incorporates the temporal features, localising characteristics, and secondary effects of the pain experienced, as well as the behavioural and physiological response patterns of newborns.

**Conclusion:** Although not evidence-based, this framework provides an initial starting point for defining commonly used neonatal pain terms. It will require future revision/refinement based on the accumulating evidence for non-acute pain.

'Ideas need to be fruitful; they do not have to be right. And, curiously enough, the two do not necessarily go together.' (1) Peter W. Nathan, MD, FRCP (1914– 2002).

A scientific rationale for pain and its effects in human newborns were first presented thirty years ago (2). Multidisciplinary efforts have since fuelled significant progress in neonatal pain (3), exploring its underlying mechanisms (4,5), describing its epidemiology in clinical settings (6,7), defining its impact on the brain and subsequent development (8,9) or devising clinical assessment and management approaches (10,11). Despite this progress, defining and identifying pain in newborns remains a major challenge. Descriptors such as acute, persistent, prolonged or chronic pain are often used interchangeably for newborns, without clear definitions for these terms. Explicit definitions may help reduce confusion and controversy among clinicians, improve assessment and management and inform study designs in neonatal pain research.

The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) defined pain as 'an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue *damage, or described in terms of such damage*' (IASP Committee on Taxonomy, 1969, updated in 1994 and 2002) (12). This definition requires patients to **describe** their pain, by default establishing the primacy of self-report as a 'gold standard'. Although widely accepted across all healthcare professions and biomedical disciplines, this definition lacks applicability to non-verbal populations (13,14) and ignores the cognitive and social dimensions of pain (15). Indeed, pain in newborns was often discounted until the IASP

#### Key notes

- Neonatal pain assessments are focused mostly on acute pain, whereas prolonged, persistent or chronic pain are relatively ignored; without clear definitions, these terms are often used interchangeably for newborns.
- An initial framework for defining neonatal pain terms is presented, derived from characteristics of the pain experienced, as well as behavioural and physiological response patterns of newborns.
- Explicit definitions of pain terms may facilitate future neonatal pain research and management.

Committee on Taxonomy added a note clarifying that, 'The inability to communicate verbally does not negate the possibility that an individual is experiencing pain' (16).

The question of conscious pain perception in the early preterm newborn (or foetus) has been hotly debated (17-22), mainly because of its social, ethical and legal implications (23–26). Consciousness was widely believed to reside in the cerebral cortex, thus putatively being absent or rudimentary in those without functional thalamocortical connections (20,26), although mechanisms underlying the subcortical control of consciousness (27-29) and functionality of the subplate zone (30–33) appear to challenge that default. Attempting to set forth criteria for early human consciousness would create the difficulties of 'measuring' consciousness and the conundrums of trying to prove or disprove whether consciousness is present at different stages of development (34,35). For the purpose of this review, it is presumed that all viable newborns are capable of consciously perceiving and responding to pain (13,14,36,37).

Given the absence of self-report, pain assessment in newborns is challenging, particularly among ventilated preterm infants with a limited behavioural repertoire. Although numerous pain assessment methods have been devised, validated and implemented in clinical care (38,39), most are focused on the acute, episodic pain resulting from clinically essential, frequently performed invasive procedures. Hartley et al. recently presented an EEG-based measure of nociceptive brain activity evoked by acute noxious stimulation and reduced by a topical anaesthetic (40). This too applies only to acute pain, requires specialised expertise, equipment and analytic capabilities and has a relatively low sensitivity (57%, 64%) and specificity (65%, 68%) to be clinically useful (40).

The need to differentiate acute from prolonged pain was first proposed at the 8th World Congress on Pain (41), and an expert panel later recognised the ability of newborns to experience prolonged/chronic pain (42). To the clinicianresearcher, acutely painful events in newborns clearly appeared to cause pain-related distress and could be standardised for research. Clinical examples of prolonged or persistent pain were harder to study—they defied quantification, occurred less frequently, and did not elicit reproducible responses in newborns (43,44). Not surprisingly, therefore, only 10% of newborns in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) received daily clinical assessments for prolonged, continuous pain (11).

Attempts to define chronic pain in the neonatal context have contributed greatly to our current understanding of pain in infancy (45,46). A few methods to assess the *intensity* of prolonged/chronic pain were devised and validated (Table 1), but given the absence of clear definitions, other aspects specific for chronic pain (duration, periodicity, character or secondary effects) have not been addressed. Despite these gaps, clinicians are using therapies normally reserved for chronic pain in newborns without any clear indications (47–50), or assessment of short-term and long-term risk/benefit ratios. Most clinicians can easily identify examples of *persistent pain* following tissue injury (circumcision, other post-operative pain) or inflammation (necrotizing enterocolitis, pyelonephritis), as well as examples of *chronic pain* (osteogenesis imperfecta, epidermolysis bullosa), but a consensus for developing the taxonomy of pain terms specifically for newborn infants remains elusive (45,46,51).

For adults, various professional societies define acute pain as that associated with tissue injury, whereas chronic pain is defined as pain that extends beyond the period of tissue healing, with levels of pathology insufficient to explain the presence and/or extent of pain. Pain signals may remain active for months or years, causing a 'persistent pain that disrupts sleep and normal living, ceases to have protective functions, and instead degrades health and functional capability'(12,52,53). Turk and Okifuji differentiated acute and chronic pain using criteria for duration and pathology, short-lasting pain with high physical pathology reflects acute pain, whereas prolonged durations with low pathology represent chronic pain (54). However, most chronic pain conditions in adults represent an interplay between significant nociceptive inputs and psychosocial/ cognitive factors (55). The 'expected healing period' for defining transitions from acute to chronic pain is variably pegged at one, three or six months (12,52–54,56).

Such time-points clearly exclude newborn infants who have not lived long enough to experience chronic pain, whereas the examples for chronic pain commonly cited by clinicians (e.g. epidermolysis bullosa) usually portend some kind of ongoing tissue pathology (45,46). Also, diseases associated with prolonged pain in newborns (e.g. necrotizing enterocolitis) may have variable and undefined durations of tissue pathology. An empirical approach may be justified therefore, for defining the pain terms commonly used in neonatal care. Putative definitions for acute, prolonged, persistent or chronic pain must be explicit and relevant to the transient newborn period; they must represent the types of pain being experienced, independent of their aetiology or management.

Limited evidence supports management of chronic or persistent pain in neonates, so why do definitions matter at all? We argue that defining an infant's pain would justify a bedside clinician's level of concern, focus their attention towards specific assessment methods and allow them to weigh the risks/benefits of appropriate interventions. Pain definitions will also stimulate further advances to: understand the epidemiology of neonatal pain, investigate the underlying mechanisms at different levels of neurologic maturity, identify biomarkers/patterns for psychophysical or molecular phenotyping, recognise genetic, epigenetic or other factors that place infants at high risk for poor outcomes or long-term complications and lastly, develop targeted therapies for specific types of non-acute pain (15,54). Most clinical trials chose their subjects based on a few selected clinical characteristics, which may or may not match individual newborns with the therapies uniquely suited for their pain. Thus, inclusion criteria incorporating explicit pain definitions may improve homogeneity in

Define d. J. 200 (15)         Boy et al., Jano (15)		ומסוב ו רובעוסט אנטטובא טון אבואאנטון טו מוווטוווע אמוון וון וובעיטטווא עייסיאיס פ טוולאסיר			Himmel of al		Lundavict at a	van Canzaninkol
erg         Operation lack         Silf steep, sectored lack         Silf steep, sectored lack         Connectored lack         Operation lack	Authors, Year	1995 (86)	Debillon et al., 2001 (93)	Boyle et al., 2006 (43)	2008 (87,94)	van Dijk et al., 2009 (95)	2014 (96)	et al., 2014 (45)
Alternational study         Anticipational study         Anticipati	Study design	Observational study	Staff survey,	Staff survey, within	Observational study	Observational study	Observational	Delphi survey, three
of abletts         2         2         3         2         3			observational study	an ongoing RCT			studies, survey	rounds
of         132         56         64         69         7         300         301	Number of subjects	24	76	22	46	286	86	294
Mathematical         2.4.0 week GA         2.5.0 week GA <th2.5 g<="" td="" week=""><td>Number of</td><td>1382</td><td>76</td><td>89</td><td>72</td><td>3600</td><td>246</td><td>525</td></th2.5>	Number of	1382	76	89	72	3600	246	525
(i)         3.5 d) overlo (A)         3.6 d)	observations							
mill         Dial         Dial <thdial< th="">         Dial         Dial         <th< td=""><td>Age group(s)</td><td>32–60 weeks PCA</td><td>25–36 weeks GA</td><td>23–32 weeks GA</td><td>23–40 weeks GA</td><td>24-42 weeks GA</td><td>23–29 weeks GA</td><td>N/A</td></th<></thdial<>	Age group(s)	32–60 weeks PCA	25–36 weeks GA	23–32 weeks GA	23–40 weeks GA	24-42 weeks GA	23–29 weeks GA	N/A
match         Team         Monual         Ventual         Ventual         Ventual         Team         Acta proclutal         Perma         Perma </td <td>Male/Female</td> <td>10/14</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>21/25</td> <td>174/112</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	Male/Female	10/14	N/A	N/A	21/25	174/112	N/A	N/A
metal         metal <th< td=""><td>Pain assessed</td><td>Post-operative</td><td>Preterm</td><td>Mechanical</td><td>Ventilated or</td><td>Acute procedural</td><td>Preterm and</td><td>Post-operative or</td></th<>	Pain assessed	Post-operative	Preterm	Mechanical	Ventilated or	Acute procedural	Preterm and	Post-operative or
Once         Decision         RPM         PPM         Nume: Emily Sciele         None           end         Virtuo Surgidy Procedures         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Virtuo Surgidy Procedures         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Final activity         Read         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Final activity         Read         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Final activity         Read         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Read         Read         Read         Read         Read         Montonio         Montonio         Montonio           end         Read         Read         Read         Read         Read         Montonio         Montonio<				ventilation	post-operative		sick term	mechanical ventilation
mut         CNS for a club condition         EDN state         mote         NPSS         CONFORTion code         AUS-bloo           state         Webnics         Webnics         Webnics         Webnics         Webnics         Method         Method<	Comparators	Objective Pain Scale	None	NEOPAIN	PIPP	Numeric Rating Scale	None	Likert scale
at         Virtues usigning procedures (VFS phonement to ACOMING WEL, sugged procedures (VFS phonement to Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phonement to Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phonement to Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phonement (VFS phonement to Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phonement (VFS phonement Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phone (VFS phonement Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phone (VFS phonement Read expression         Mechanical (VFS phone (VFS phonement VFS phone (VFS phonement VFS phonement (VFS phone (V	Assessment	CRIES Pain Scale	EDIN scale	none	N-PASS	COMFORTneo scale	ALPS-Neo	none
S atual         Medination         Medinatio	method	-				- - -		
West       Net       Surgecal closu         PDA dosure)       of PDA         PDA dosure)       of PDA         Requires oxygen for       Body movements         SpO2 < 95%	Stimulus studied	Various surgical procedures	Mechanical ventilation,	Mechanical	Mechanical	Not defined	Not defined	Not defined (conditions
ters/ Facial expression Facial activity (grimace) SpO <sub>2</sub> < 95% Quality of sleep Increased vital signs Response to nursing (BP and HR) Crying Consolability Crying Consolability Crying Convergent $R_s = 0.73$ , Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ Discriminant $p < 0.0001$		(VPS placement to	NEC, surgical closure	ventilation	ventilation, vanous			associated with
With the second sec	Darameters/	Facial evonession	Eacial activity	Facial avnraccions	suigical procedures Facial expression	Eacial tension	Facial evnression	
We get for Solo       Body movements         SpO2 < 95%	Findings	(grimace)						
Sp02, < 95%	)	Requires oxygen for	Body movements	Infant activity	Extremities, muscle	Body movement	Hand/foot activity	Increased energy
Sleepless     Quality of sleep       Increased vital signs     Response to nursing       (BP and HR)     Response to nursing       (BP and HR)     Consolability       Crying     Consolability       Convergent, $R_s = 0.73$ , placriminant: scores     Pre- vs post-analige $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.4 (0.4 b) scriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ biscriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs, post-analige $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia    <		$SpO_2 < 95\%$		levels	tone			consumption
Increased vial signs       Response to nursing (BP and HR)         (BP and HR)       Cnucleased vial signs         (BP and HR)       Consolability         (BP and HR)       Consolability         (Convergent: $R_s = 0.73$ , biscriminant: scores p < 0.0001       Discriminant: scores decreased 3.0 pre- vs.         (BP and HR)       post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs. post-analge decreased 3.0 pre- vs.         (Destination: scores       pre- vs.       pre- vs.         (Destination: scores       prove- vs.		Sleepless	Quality of sleep	Posture/quality of	Behaviour state	(body) Muscle tone	Tone of extremities	Hyperalgesia/altered
Increased vital signs       Response to nursing (BP and HR)         (BP and HR)       Cnosolability         (BP and HR)       Consolability         (Crowergent: $R_s = 0.73$ , biscriminant: scores p < 0.0001       Discriminant: scores decreased 3.0 pre- vs.         (Discriminant: scores       pre- vs.         (BS and PR)       propertants         (BS and PR)       propertants         (BS and PR)       properation         (DS and PR)       properations         (BS and PR)       properation         (BS and PR)       pr				movements				pain perception
(BP and HR) Crying Crying Convergent: $R_s = 0.73$ , Discriminant: scores p < 0.0001 Discriminant: scores p < 0.0001 Discriminant: scores decreased 3.0 pre- vs. pre- vs. post-analge decreased 3.0 pre- vs. pre- vs. pre- vs. post-analge decreased 3.0 pre- vs. pre- vs. pre- vs. pre- vs. post-analge decreased 3.0 pre- vs. pre- vs		Increased vital signs	Response to nursing	Response to	Changes in vital	Calmness/agitation	Level of activity	Hyperresponsive to
Crying     Convergent: $R_s = 0.73$ ,     Consolability       Convergent: $R_s = 0.73$ ,     Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.4       Discriminant: scores     pre- vs. post-analge       decreased 3.0 pre- vs. $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre-rate: weighted       the inter-rate: $R_s = 0.72$ ,     inter-rate: weighted       the inter-rate: $R_s = 0.72$ ,     coefficients = 0.59       the inter-rate: $R_s = 0.72$ ,     coefficients = 0.92       the inter-rate: $R_s = 0.72$ ,     coefficients = 0.92       the inter-rate: $R_s = 0.72$ ,     coefficients = 0.92       volue $P < 0.0001$ (0.69)       consistency     N/A     Conbach's $z$ consistency     N/A     Conbach's $z$ coefficient = 0.92     Use = Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = BI       Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation       ptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_s$ = Speanmai       = Peripheral oxygen saturation.		(BP and HR)		handling	signs (HR, RR,	)		all interactions or
Crying     Consolebility       Convergent $R_s = 0.73$ ,     Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.4       Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 3.0 pre- vs. $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs. post-analge       there are are are are are are are are are					BP, SpO <sub>2</sub> )			procedures
Convergent. $R_s = 0.73$ , Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.2         Discriminant scores       pre- vs. post-analge         Discriminant scores       pre- vs. post-analge         decreased 3.0 pre- vs. $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$ or nitrer-rater: $R_s=0.72$ , Inter-rater: weighted $p < 0.0001$ or state $p < 0.0001$ $(0.69)$ or state $p < 0.0001$ $(0.69)$ decreased 3.0 pre- vs. $p < 0.0001$ $(0.69)$ variational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation $p < 0.001$ age; PD = BI         Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation       prional age; PD = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_s = Speanmaterion$ = Peripheral oxygen saturation.       Event $p < 0.000$		Crying	Consolability	Ventilator	Crying/irritability	Respiratory	Breathing pattern	Recurrent or
R_s = 0.73, biscriminant: scores $P < 0.0001$ biscriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ biscriminant: scores $P = -4.0001$ Discriminant scores $p = -9.5001$ $p = -10001$ $p = -0.0001$ $p = -0.5001$ $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$ $(0.69)$ $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$ $(0.60)$ $p < 0.0001$ $p < 0.0001$				dyssynchrony		response/crying		long-lasting pain
Convergent. $R_s = 0.73$ , Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.4         Discriminant: scores       pre- vs. post-analge         Discriminant: scores $pre- vs.$ Discriminant: scores $probacti's = 0.59$ Veco       Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = Bl         Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation       prional age; PD = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_s =$ Spearmat         Periobheral oxygen saturation. $probacti's = steamater   $						alertness		no proximate event
Convergent $R_s = 0.73$ ,       Discriminant: scores $p < 0.0001$ decreased 4.4 (0.4         Discriminant: scores       pre- vs.         decreased 3.0 pre- vs. $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs.         post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs.         post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ pre- vs.         post-analgesia, $p < 0.0001$ post-analgesia         oconsistency       N/A       coefficients = 0.59         consistency       N/A       coefficients = 0.92         Veo = Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = BI       Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation         ptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_s$ = Speanmai       = Peripheral oxygen saturation.								or procedure
p < 0.0001	Validity	Convergent: $R_s = 0.73$ ,	Discriminant: scores	N/A	Convergent:	Convergent: $r = 0.83$ ,	N/A	N/A
Discriminant scores         pre-vs. biscriminant scores         Discriminant scores         Discriminant scores         Discriminant scores           decreased 3.0 pre-vs. decreased 3.0 pre-vs.         p < 0.0001		p < 0.0001	decreased 4.4 (0.4)		R <sub>s</sub> = 0.83, p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001;		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Discriminant: scores	pre- vs. post-analgesia,		Discriminant: scores	Discriminant: scores		
post-analgesia, p < 0.0001         vs. post-analgesia, p < 0.0001;		decreased 3.0 pre- vs.	p < 0.0001		decreased 3.05 pre-	decreased 6.9		
ReliabilityInter-ater. R_=0.72, p < 0.0001Inter-ater. R_=0.001, Sensitivly 81% Sensitivly 81% Sensitivly 81% Sensitivly 81% p < 0.0001p < 0.001, Sensitivly 81% Sensitivly 81% Sensitivly 81% p < 0.0001net-rater. CC 0.31 (0.61-0.82 for items)NA NA (0.61-0.82 for items)Inter-ater. Na $p < 0.0001$ (0.69) $p < 0.0001$ scatation p < 0.0001		post-analgesia, p < 0.0001			vs. post-analgesia,	pre- vs. post-analgesia,		
Relability       Interater: R <sub>2</sub> -0.72, p < 0.0001       Interater: weighted k coefficients = 0.59-0.74       N/A       Interater: weighted p < 0.001; sedation       Interater: weighted p < 0.001; sedation       N/A       Interater: weighted p < 0.001; sedation       N/A       Interater: weighted p < 0.001; sedation       N/A       N/A </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>n &lt; 0.0001</td> <td>- 0.001: </td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			n < 0.0001	- 0.001: 		
Relability         Inter-rater: R <sub>a</sub> =0.72, Inter-rater: weighted k         N/A         Inter-rater: pain scale         Specificients of 000, Inter-rater: weighted         N/A $p < 0.0001$ coefficients = 0.59-0.74         0.69)         p < 0.001; sedation					-	Sensitivity 81%		
RelabilityInter-rate:Re-rate:N/AInter-rate:Parametry usingInter-rate:N/A $p < 0.0001$ coefficients = 0.59-0.74N/AInter-rate:N/AInter-rate:N/A $p < 0.0001$ (0.69)scale (C 0.9-0.97)x coefficients(0.61-0.82 for items)N/AInternal consistencyN/AConbach's $\alpha$ 0.65-0.97 (0.79)scale (C 0.9-0.95)N/AInternal consistencyN/AConbach's $\alpha$ N/AConbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousConbach's $\alpha$ N/AConbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousConbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousConbach's $\alpha$ N/AConbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousConbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousConbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousN/AConbach's $\alpha$ Conbach's $\alpha$ N/AAnalousRS-Heart rate;ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient;N/AN/AAnalousRS-Patent ductusarteriosus;R_s = Speaman rank correlation coefficient;N-A sontal lapin, Agtation and Sedation Scale;N/A = not available);PCA = Post-constance for itemsSOO- = Peripheral oxyeen saturation.Conclustiontoerelationcoefficient;not correlationcoefficient;N/A = not available);N/A = not available);SOO- = Peripheral oxyeen saturation.Conclustiontoerelationcoefficient;not correlationcoefficient;N/A = not availabla						Specificity 90%		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Reliability	Inter-rater: R =0 70	Inter-rater: weighted $\nu$	NIZ	Inter-rater: nain scale	Inter-rater: weighted	Inter-rater: ICC 0.01	N /A
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Neliability		riner-latel. weighted A		ווונפורומנפון. עמוון אלמופ	interrater. weignteu	(061 082 for items)	
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{tremain} & \mbox{total} & to$								
State ICU 03-0.05, p < 0.0001       State ICU 03-0.05, p < 0.0001         Internal consistency       N/A       Conbach's <i>x</i> N/A         NA       Conbach's <i>x</i> Conbach's <i>x</i> N/A         AIPS-Neo       Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = Blood pressure; CRIES = Crying, Requires oxygen, Increased vital signs, Expression, Sleepless; EDIN = Échelle Douleur Inconfort Nouveau-Né; GA = Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; <i>r</i> = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; <i>r</i> = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SDO, = Peripheral ownen saturation.			(U.09)		p < 0.001; segation	(A.1.0) / A.0-CO.0 =		
Internal consistency N/A Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ N/A Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ Conbact's $x$ N/A Conservation as the factor of the conficient = 0.95 Conbact's Configuration and Set of the conficient = 0.95 Conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_s$ = Speaman rank correlation coefficient; $r$ = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SDO <sub>2</sub> = Peripheral owner saturation.					scale ILL 0.9-0.95,			
Internal consistency N/A Contract's Contracted Contract's Contract's Contract's Contract	-				p < 0.0001			
coefficient = 0.92 coefficient = 0.95 coefficient = 0.92 coefficient = 0.95 coefficient; RDR = Respiratory Nouveau-Né; GA = Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; NEC = Noronity; N-PASS = Neonatal Pain, Agitation and Sedation Scale; N/A = not available); PCA = Post-conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; R <sub>s</sub> = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; <i>r</i> = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SDO, = Peripheral owven saturation.	Internal consistency	N/A	Cronbach's α	N/A	Cronbach's «	Cronbach's <i>a</i>	Cronbach's «	N/A
ALPS-Neo = Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = Blood pressure; CRIES = Crying Requires oxygen, Increased vital signs, Expression, Sleepless; EDIN = Échelle Douleur Inconfort Nouveau-Né; GA = Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; NEC = Necotizing enterocolitis; N-PASS = Neonatal Pain, Agitation and Sedation Scale; N/A = not available); PCA = Post-conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; R <sub>s</sub> = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; <i>r</i> = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SDO, = Peripheral oxygen saturation.			coefficient = 0.92		coefficient = 0.89	coefficient = 0.88	coefficient = 0.95	
ALPS-Neo = Astrid Lindgren's Children's Hospital Pain Scale; BP = Blood pressure; CRIES = Crying, Requires oxygen, Increased vital signs, Expression, Sleepless; EDIN = Echelle Douleur Inconfort Nouveau-Né; GA = Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; NEC = Necrotizing enterocolitis; N-PASS = Neonatal Pain, Agitation and Sedation Scale; N/A = not available); PCA = Post- conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; R <sub>s</sub> = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; r = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SDO, = Peripheral owven saturation.								
GA = Gestational age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; NEC = Necrotizing enterocolitis; N-PASS = Neonatal Pain, Agitation and Sedation Scale; N/A = not available); PCA = Post- conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; R <sub>s</sub> = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; r = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SpO <sub>s</sub> = Peripheral owven saturation.	ALPS-Neo = Astrid	Lindgren's Children's Hospital	Pain Scale; BP = Blood pres	sure; CRIES = Crying, Requi	ires oxygen, Increased vita	Il signs, Expression, Sleepless;	EDIN = Échelle Douleur	Inconfort Nouveau-Né;
conceptional age; PDA = Patent ductus arteriosus; $R_{\rm s}$ = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; $r$ = Pearson moment correlation coefficient; RCT = Randomised controlled trial; RR = Respiratory rate; SpO <sub>2</sub> = Peripheral oxygen saturation.	GA = Gestational à	age; HR = Heart rate; ICC = I	Intraclass correlation coefficie	ent; NEC = Necrotizing enti	erocolitis; N-PASS = Neor	natal Pain, Agitation and Sed	ation Scale; N/A = not a	available); PCA = Post-
SpO, = Peripheral oxygen saturation.	conceptional age;	PDA = Patent ductus arterio	sus; $R_{\rm s}$ = Spearman rank c	correlation coefficient; $r = 1$	Pearson moment correla	tion coefficient; RCT = Rand	omised controlled trial;	RR = Respiratory rate;
	$SpO_{2} = Peripheral$	oxygen saturation.						

clinical trials. As an initial starting point for defining the different pain terms used for newborns (Table 2), we should consider the following:

# **Temporal features**

Any painful experience is defined by its onset and duration, exemplifying the salient differences between acute and nonacute pain. Acute pain occurs immediately with the onset of tissue injury or stimulation of an inflamed area, and it usually lasts for the duration of the stimulus or for brief periods thereafter (some infants experience a slower decay of pain compared to others). However, the durations assigned for acute, prolonged, persistent or chronic pain are arbitrary at best. In adults, some experts classify pain lasting longer than one month as chronic pain, whereas others consider pain as chronic only if it lasts for longer than three or six months (12,52–54). Similarly, variable criteria are used for children (56.57). Given the temporal characteristics of painful conditions in newborns, the length of the neonatal period, as well as time-courses for developing long-term effects of pain, tolerance to analgesic drugs or other systemic effects, we posit that pain lasting longer than seven days be considered as chronic pain in newborns. This should prompt further diagnostic efforts, re-evaluation of current analgesic strategies, use of alternative therapies and longer-term plans for preventing disability, promoting rehabilitation and restoring function.

# Character of pain

For obvious reasons, precise descriptors cannot be chosen for the character of pain (e.g. burning, piercing and shooting) that newborns experience, but clinicians may attempt to discern how well it is localised, or whether it is associated with clear boundaries or not. In the developing nervous

Table & Constant distanting a start from definition that main terms and from a smaller

system, two features characterise neonatal pain processing: (i) the immature peripheral and central nervous systems are biologically primed towards lower thresholds for activation, excitation and transmission of nociceptive stimuli as compared to older ages; this feature is further accentuated in preterm infants (5,51); (ii) dorsal horn neurons in the spinal cord have large, overlapping cutaneous receptive fields; stimulation of these receptive fields heightens nociceptive signalling and can evoke a long-lasting excitability within the spinal cord (58–60). Indeed, inhibitory signalling in the spinal cord is weak or absent in newborns and develops gradually during infancy (61,62). These features are likely to promote poorer localisation of pain in newborns, while also heightening its secondary effects.

# Secondary effects

Tissue injury or inflammation leads to secondary effects such as hyperalgesia (increased pain to a stimulus that is normally painful) and allodynia (pain due to stimuli that do not normally provoke pain). Primary hyperalgesia localises to the area of tissue damage, whereas secondary hyperalgesia occurs in normal areas remote from the site of tissue damage. Despite their biological plausibility (5,61,63,64), limited clinical evidence supports these phenomena in human newborns. Fitzgerald et al. reported primary hyperalgesia following heel lances in newborns and its reversal with topical anaesthetic cream (65), whereas Taddio et al. reported secondary hyperalgesia to venipuncture in one-day-old newborns of diabetic mothers, who had received multiple heel lances for monitoring blood glucose levels (66). Similarly, Andrews et al. reported signs of visceral and somatic hyperalgesia in infants undergoing abdominal surgery (67,68). Allodynia has not been investigated in neonates with prolonged or

Pain term	Onset	Duration	Character <sup>a</sup>	Primary hyperalgesia
Acute episodic	Immediate	0–120 <sup>b</sup> minutes	Sharp, well-localised	Present, mild, short-lasting
Acute recurrent	Immediate	variable	Sharp, well-localised	Present, moderate or severe
Prolonged <sup>c</sup>	Rapid, may be gradual	One hour to 24 <sup>b</sup> hours	Sharp, diffusely localised	Present, moderate or severe
Persistent <sup>c</sup>	Rapid or gradual, cumulative	one to seven days	Dull/sharp, diffusely localised	Present, moderate or severe
Chronic	Usually gradual	Eight days or longer	Dull, diffusely localised	May be present or absent,
				mild if present
Pain term	Secondary hyperalgesia	Allodynia	Behavioural phenotype	Physiological phenotype
Acute episodic	Probably absent	Probably absent	Strongly reactive and reflexive	High peak, sympathetic activation
Acute episodic Acute recurrent	Probably absent Present, mild or moderate	Probably absent Probably absent	Strongly reactive and reflexive Weakly reactive or reflexive	High peak, sympathetic activation Prolonged peak, sympathetic activation
	'	/	07	0 1 7 1
Acute recurrent	Present, mild or moderate	Probably absent	Weakly reactive or reflexive	Prolonged peak, sympathetic activation

<sup>a</sup>Based on descriptions in adult patients, but may be discerned by a careful physical examination.

<sup>b</sup>Some infants with increased sensitivity to pain may have a slower decay of the acute pain following an invasive procedure, thus justifying some overlap in the durations of acute episodic pain and prolonged pain.

<sup>c</sup>Continuous pain may be characterised as either 'prolonged' or 'persistent'.

persistent pain, although it may be more likely in infants with neurologic impairment (47–50) or in those experiencing opioid withdrawal (69,70). A developmental allodynia appears to exist in preterm neonates (71–75) (but not term neonates (76)), manifesting as similar responses to non-noxious and noxious stimuli. Standardised tests for allodynia need to be developed and performed in newborns with persistent or chronic pain.

### **Response patterns**

The physiological and behavioural responses to acute pain are well characterised in newborns and used for pain assessments (38). Assessment methods developed from models of prolonged or chronic pain also show considerable overlap in the parameters chosen (Table 1), and some of these are different from acute pain (77). In older children, chronic pain is often associated with fatigue, insomnia, impaired cognition or executive function, physical disabilities and mood disturbances (56,57,78). These may be absent or difficult to assess in newborns, particularly among those receiving neonatal intensive care (45,46,51). Behavioural responses generally manifest as 'distress'(38,79), varying in severity and incorporating facial expressions (80), gross body movements (81,82) and subtle movement of hands, fingers or toes (81,83). Physiological responses are incorporated into most assessment scales for acute pain, measuring increased sympathetic activity (38) (and lower parasympathetic tone? (84,85)). Although scales such as CRIES (86) and N-PASS (87) do include changes in vital signs, it is arguable whether neonates facing acute procedural pain versus chronic pain will show similar changes in vital signs. An increased sympathetic drive may not occur in chronic or persistent pain. Heart rate variability, for example, increases during acute pain but is diminished in response to persistent or chronic pain (88,89).

Could the spectrum of rehabilitative interventions used for adult chronic pain be analogous to the behavioural and environmental interventions advocated for newborn care? These include everything from relationship-based models of nursing to management of temperature, light, sound, and circadian rhythms, kangaroo care, sensorial saturation and other interventions (90). As with adults in chronic pain, many drug-based interventions may have unproven benefits and potential harms in newborns. Because of their greater potential for short-term and long-term adverse effects in infants (91,92), we should consider the importance of investigating behavioural and environmental interventions for infant chronic pain as possibly safer than drug therapies (47-50). Although future research will determine novel ways for assessing acute versus non-acute pain in newborns, an empirical framework is proposed to help define various types of neonatal pain. Putative criteria may evolve from this framework, eventually leading to more accurate methods for studying the diverse types of pain experienced by human newborns.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author has no conflicts of interest related to this article.

#### References

- 1. Nathan PW. The gate-control theory of pain. A critical review. *Brain* 1976; 99: 123–58.
- 2. Anand KJS, Hickey PR. Pain and its effects in the human neonate and fetus. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 317: 1321–9.
- 3. Walker SM. Neonatal pain. Paediatr Anaesth 2014; 24: 39-48.
- Fitzgerald M. The development of nociceptive circuits. Nat Rev Neurosci 2005; 6: 507–20.
- Walker SM, Beggs S, Baccei ML. Persistent changes in peripheral and spinal nociceptive processing after early tissue injury. *Exp Neurol* 2016; 275(Pt 2): 253–60.
- Carbajal R, Rousset A, Danan C, Coquery S, Nolent P, Ducrocq S, et al. Epidemiology and treatment of painful procedures in neonates in intensive care units. *JAMA* 2008; 300: 60–70.
- Lago P, Boccuzzo G, Garetti E, Pirelli A, Pieragostini L, Merazzi D, et al. Pain management during invasive procedures at Italian NICUs: Has anything changed in the last five years? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2013; 26: 303–5.
- Schwaller F, Fitzgerald M. The consequences of pain in early life: injury-induced plasticity in developing pain pathways. *Eur J Neurosci* 2014; 39: 344–52.
- 9. Vinall J, Grunau RE. Impact of repeated procedural painrelated stress in infants born very preterm. *Pediatr Res* 2014; 75: 584–7.
- Carbajal R, Eriksson M, Courtois E, Boyle E, Avila-Alvarez A, Andersen RD, et al. Sedation and analgesia practices in neonatal intensive care units (EUROPAIN): results from a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 796– 812.
- 11. Anand KJS, Eriksson M, Boyle EM, Avila-Alvarez A, Andersen RD, Sarafidis K, et al. Assessment of continuous pain in newborns admitted to NICUs in 18 European countries. *Acta Paediatr* 2017; 106: 1248–59.
- 12. Merskey H, Bogduk N. Task force on taxonomy: classification of chronic pain: descriptions of chronic pain syndromes and definition of pain terms, 2nd Edition. Seattle, WA: IASP Press, 1994.
- Anand KJS, Craig KD. New perspectives on the definition of pain. *Pain* 1996; 67: 3–6; discussion 209-11.
- Anand KJS, Rovnaghi C, Walden M, Churchill J. Consciousness, behavior, and clinical impact of the definition of pain. *Pain Forum* 1999; 8: 64–73.
- Williams AC, Craig KD. Updating the definition of pain. *Pain* 2016; 157: 2420–3.
- IASP. Pain terms, a current list with definitions and notes on usage. IASP task force on taxonomy. Seattle, WA: IASP Press, 2002.
- Anand KJS, Maze M. Fetuses, fentanyl, and the stress response: signals from the beginnings of pain? *Anesthesiology* 2001;95:823–5.
- Bellieni CV. Pain assessment in human fetus and infants. *AAPS J* 2012; 14: 456–61.
- Lagercrantz H, Changeux JP. The emergence of human consciousness: from fetal to neonatal life. *Pediatr Res* 2009; 65: 255–60.
- Lee SJ, Ralston HJ, Drey EA, Partridge JC, Rosen MA. Fetal pain: a systematic multidisciplinary review of the evidence. *JAMA* 2005; 294: 947–54.
- 21. Reissland N, Francis B, Mason J. Can healthy fetuses show facial expressions of "pain" or "distress"? *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8: e65530.
- 22. Derbyshire SW. Foetal pain? Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2010; 24: 647–55.
- 23. Williams C. Framing the fetus in medical work: rituals and practices. *Soc Sci Med* 2005; 60: 2085–95.

- 24. Cohen IG, Sayeed S. Fetal pain, abortion, viability, and the constitution. *J Law Med Ethics* 2011; 39: 235–42.
- 25. Brugger EC. The problem of fetal pain and abortion: toward an ethical consensus for appropriate behavior. *Kennedy Inst Ethics J* 2012; 22: 263–87.
- 26. Lagercrantz H. The emergence of consciousness: science and ethics. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2014; 19: 300–5.
- 27. Anand KJS. Fetal pain? Pain Clin Updates 2006; XIV: 1-4.
- Merker B. Consciousness without a cerebral cortex: a challenge for neuroscience and medicine. *Behav Brain Sci* 2007; 30: 63– 81; discussion -134.
- 29. Aleman B, Merker B. Consciousness without cortex: a hydranencephaly family survey. *Acta Paediatr* 2014; 103: 1057–65.
- Perkins L, Hughes E, Srinivasan L, Allsop J, Glover A, Kumar S, et al. Exploring cortical subplate evolution using magnetic resonance imaging of the fetal brain. *Dev Neurosci* 2008; 30: 211–20.
- Moore AR, Zhou WL, Jakovcevski I, Zecevic N, Antic SD. Spontaneous electrical activity in the human fetal cortex in vitro. *J Neurosci* 2011; 31: 2391–8.
- 32. Kostovic I, Jovanov-Milosevic N, Rados M, Sedmak G, Benjak V, Kostovic-Srzentic M, et al. Perinatal and early postnatal reorganization of the subplate and related cellular compartments in the human cerebral wall as revealed by histological and MRI approaches. *Brain Struct Funct* 2014; 219: 231–53.
- Gatti MG, Becucci E, Fargnoli F, Fagioli M, Aden U, Buonocore G. Functional maturation of neocortex: a base of viability. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2012; 25(Suppl 1): 101–3.
- Hudson AJ. Consciousness: physiological dependence on rapid memory access. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 2009; 14: 2779–800.
- Goupil L, Kouider S. Behavioral and neural indices of metacognitive sensitivity in preverbal infants. *Curr Biol* 2016; 26: 3038–45.
- Bartocci M, Bergqvist LL, Lagercrantz H, Anand KJS. Pain activates cortical areas in the preterm newborn brain. *Pain* 2006; 122: 109–17.
- Bartocci M, Anand KJS, Lagercrantz H. Response to David Bowsher's comment: the hump from cerebral neurovascular events to the subjective experience of pain in neonates. *Pain* 2006; 126: 320–2.
- Hatfield LA, Ely EA. Measurement of acute pain in infants: a review of behavioral and physiological variables. *Biol Res Nurs* 2015; 17: 100–11.
- 39. Stevens B, Gibbins S. Clinical utility and clinical significance in the assessment and management of pain in vulnerable infants. *Clin Perinatol* 2002; 29: 459–68.
- 40. Hartley C, Duff EP, Green G, Mellado GS, Worley A, Rogers R, et al. Nociceptive brain activity as a measure of analgesic efficacy in infants. *Sci Transl Med* 2017; 9: pii: eaah6122.
- Anand KJS. Long-term effects of pain in neonates and infants. In: TS Jensen, JA Turner, Z Wiesenfeld-Hallin, editors. Proceedings of the 8th World congress on pain. Seattle: IASP Press, 1997: 881–92.
- 42. Anand KJS, Aranda JV, Berde CB, Buckman S, Capparelli EV, Carlo W, et al. Summary proceedings from the neonatal paincontrol group. *Pediatrics* 2006; 117: S9–22.
- Boyle EM, Freer Y, Wong CM, McIntosh N, Anand KJS. Assessment of persistent pain or distress and adequacy of analgesia in preterm ventilated infants. *Pain* 2006; 124: 87–91.
- 44. Fitzgerald M. What do we really know about newborn infant pain? *Exp Physiol* 2015; 100: 1451–7.
- 45. van Ganzewinkel CJ, Anand KJS, Kramer BW, Andriessen P. Chronic pain in the newborn: toward a definition. *Clin J Pain* 2014; 30: 970–7.

- Pillai Riddell RR, Stevens BJ, McKeever P, Gibbins S, Asztalos L, Katz J, et al. Chronic pain in hospitalized infants: health professionals' perspectives. *J Pain* 2009; 10: 1217–25.
- Allegaert K, Naulaers G. Gabapentin as part of multimodal analgesia in a newborn with epidermolysis bullosa. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2010; 20: 972–3.
- Behm MO, Kearns GL. Treatment of pain with gabapentin in a neonate. *Pediatrics* 2001; 108: 482–4.
- 49. Haney AL, Garner SS, Cox TH. Gabapentin therapy for pain and irritability in a neurologically impaired infant. *Pharmacotherapy* 2009; 29: 997–1001.
- 50. Hauer J, Mackey D. Treatment with gabapentin associated with resolution of apnea in two infants with neurologic impairment. *J Palliat Med* 2013; 16: 455–8.
- DiLorenzo M, Pillai Riddell R, Holsti L. Beyond acute pain: Understanding chronic pain in Infancy. *Children Basel* 2016; 3: pii: E26.
- Jovey RD. Pain pathways and pathophysiology. In: RD Jovey, editor. *Managing pain: the Canadian healthcare professional's reference.* Toronto, ON: Healthcare & Financial Publishing, Rogers Media, 2002.
- 53. Berry PH, Covington EC, Dahl JD, Katz JA, Miaskowski C. Pain: current understanding of assessment, management, and treatments. Washington, DC: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO); National Pharmaceutical Council, Inc., 2001: 1–105.
- 54. Turk DC, Okifuji A. Pain terms and taxonomies of pain. In: FM Fishman, JC Ballantyne, JP Rathmell, editors. *Bonica's management of pain*, 4th Edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2009.
- 55. Zwingmann J, Hagelschuer P, Langenmair E, Bode G, Herget G, Sudkamp NP, et al. Lower health-related quality of life in polytrauma patients: long-term follow-up after over 5 years. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016; 95: e3515.
- 56. Mclpine L, McGrath PJ. Chronic and recurrent pain in children, in (eds). Mahwah, NJ, LEA. 1999, pp 529–549. In: AR Block, EF Kremer, E Fernandez, editors. *Handbook of pain syndromes*. New York, NY: LEA Publishers, 1999.
- Palermo T, Eccleston C, Goldschneider K, McGinn KL, Sethna NF, Schechter N, et al. Assessment and management of children with chronic pain, a position statement from the American Pain Society. Chicago, IL: American Pain Society, 2013.
- Jackman A, Fitzgerald M. Development of peripheral hindlimb and central spinal cord innervation by subpopulations of dorsal root ganglion cells in the embryonic rat. *J Comp Neurol* 2000; 418: 281–98.
- 59. Torsney C, Fitzgerald M. Age-dependent effects of peripheral inflammation on the electrophysiological properties of neonatal rat dorsal horn neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 2002; 87: 1311–7.
- 60. Andrews K, Fitzgerald M. The cutaneous withdrawal reflex in human neonates: sensitization, receptive fields, and the effects of contralateral stimulation. *Pain* 1994; 56: 95–101.
- 61. Beggs S. Long-term consequences of neonatal injury. *Can J Psychiatry* 2015; 60: 176–80.
- Baccei ML, Fitzgerald M. Development of GABAergic and glycinergic transmission in the neonatal rat dorsal horn. *J Neurosci* 2004; 24: 4749–57.
- 63. Ririe DG, Liu B, Clayton B, Tong C, Eisenach JC. Electrophysiologic characteristics of large neurons in dorsal root ganglia during development and after hind paw incision in the rat. *Anesthesiology* 2008; 109: 111–7.
- 64. Ruda MA, Ling QD, Hohmann AG, Peng YB, Tachibana T. Altered nociceptive neuronal circuits after neonatal peripheral inflammation. *Science* 2000; 289: 628–31.

- Fitzgerald M, Millard C, McIntosh N. Cutaneous hypersensitivity following peripheral tissue damage in newborn infants and its reversal with topical anaesthesia. *Pain* 1989; 39: 31–6.
- Taddio A, Shah V, Gilbert-MacLeod C, Katz J. Conditioning and hyperalgesia in newborns exposed to repeated heel lances. *JAMA* 2002; 288: 857–61.
- Andrews K, Fitzgerald M. Wound sensitivity as a measure of analgesic effects following surgery in human neonates and infants. *Pain* 2002; 99: 185–95.
- Andrews KA, Desai D, Dhillon HK, Wilcox DT, Fitzgerald M. Abdominal sensitivity in the first year of life: comparison of infants with and without prenatally diagnosed unilateral hydronephrosis. *Pain* 2002; 100: 35–46.
- Sweitzer SM, Allen CP, Zissen MH, Kendig JJ. Mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia upon acute opioid withdrawal in the neonatal rat. *Pain* 2004; 110: 269–80.
- Zissen MH, Zhang G, McKelvy A, Propst JT, Kendig JJ, Sweitzer SM. Tolerance, opioid-induced allodynia and withdrawal associated allodynia in infant and young rats. *Neuroscience* 2007; 144: 247–62.
- Slater R, Fabrizi L, Worley A, Meek J, Boyd S, Fitzgerald M. Premature infants display increased noxious-evoked neuronal activity in the brain compared to healthy age-matched termborn infants. *NeuroImage* 2010; 52: 583–9.
- 72. Fabrizi L, Slater R, Worley A, Meek J, Boyd S, Olhede S, et al. A shift in sensory processing that enables the developing human brain to discriminate touch from pain. *Curr Biol* 2011; 21: 1552–8.
- 73. Cornelissen L, Fabrizi L, Patten D, Worley A, Meek J, Boyd S, et al. Postnatal temporal, spatial and modality tuning of nociceptive cutaneous flexion reflexes in human infants. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8: e76470.
- 74. Goksan S, Hartley C, Emery F, Cockrill N, Poorun R, Moultrie F, et al. fMRI reveals neural activity overlap between adult and infant pain. *eLife* 2015; 4: e06356.
- Holsti L, Grunau RE, Oberlander TF, Whitfield MF. Prior pain induces heightened motor responses during clustered care in preterm infants in the NICU. *Early Hum Dev* 2005; 81: 293–302.
- 76. Verriotis M, Fabrizi L, Lee A, Cooper RJ, Fitzgerald M, Meek J. Mapping cortical responses to somatosensory stimuli in human infants with simultaneous near-infrared spectroscopy and event-related potential recording. *eNeuro* 2016; 3: pii: eNeuro.0026-16.2016.
- Hadjistavropoulos HD, Craig KD, Grunau RE, Whitfield MF. Judging pain in infants: behavioural, contextual, and developmental determinants. *Pain* 1997; 73: 319–24.
- Kashikar-Zuck S, Carle A, Barnett K, Goldschneider KR, Sherry DD, Mara CA, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of patientreported outcomes measurement information systems measures in pediatric chronic pain. *Pain* 2016; 157: 339–47.
- 79. Valitalo PA, van Dijk M, Krekels EH, Gibbins S, Simons SH, Tibboel D, et al. Pain and distress caused by endotracheal suctioning in neonates is better quantified by behavioural than physiological items: a comparison based on item response theory modelling. *Pain* 2016; 157: 1611–7.
- Heiderich TM, Leslie AT, Guinsburg R. Neonatal procedural pain can be assessed by computer software that has good sensitivity and specificity to detect facial movements. *Acta Paediatr* 2015; 104: e63–9.

- Morison SJ, Holsti L, Grunau RE, Whitfield MF, Oberlander TF, Chan HW, et al. Are there developmentally distinct motor indicators of pain in preterm infants? *Early Hum Dev* 2003; 72: 131–46.
- Holsti L, Grunau RE, Oberlander TF, Osiovich H. Is it painful or not? Discriminant validity of the Behavioral Indicators of Infant Pain (BIIP) scale. *Clin J Pain* 2008; 24: 83–8.
- 83. Grunau RE, Holsti L, Whitfield MF, Ling E. Are twitches, startles, and body movements pain indicators in extremely low birth weight infants? *Clin J Pain* 2000; 16: 37–45.
- Weissman A, Aranovitch M, Blazer S, Zimmer EZ. Heellancing in newborns: behavioral and spectral analysis assessment of pain control methods. *Pediatrics* 2009; 124: e921–6.
- 85. Franck LS, Boyce WT, Gregory GA, Jemerin J, Levine J, Miaskowski C. Plasma norepinephrine levels, vagal tone index, and flexor reflex threshold in premature neonates receiving intravenous morphine during the postoperative period: a pilot study. *Clin J Pain* 2000; 16: 95–104.
- 86. Krechel SW, Bildner J. CRIES: a new neonatal postoperative pain measurement score. Initial testing of validity and reliability. *Paediatr Anaesth* 1995; 5: 53–61.
- Hummel P, Puchalski M, Creech SD, Weiss MG. Clinical reliability and validity of the N-PASS: neonatal pain, agitation and sedation scale with prolonged pain. *J Perinatol* 2008; 28: 55–60.
- 88. de Jesus JA, Tristao RM, Storm H, da Rocha AF, Campos D Jr. Heart rate, oxygen saturation, and skin conductance: a comparison study of acute pain in Brazilian newborns. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2011; 2011: 1875–9.
- De Jonckheere J, Rakza T, Logier R, Jeanne M, Jounwaz R, Storme L. Heart rate variability analysis for newborn infants prolonged pain assessment. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2011; 2011: 7747–50.
- 90. Anand KJS, Hall RW. Love, pain, and intensive care. *Pediatrics* 2008; 121: 825–7.
- 91. Anand KJS, Hall RW, Desai N, Shephard B, Bergqvist LL, Young TE, et al. Effects of morphine analgesia in ventilated preterm neonates: primary outcomes from the NEOPAIN randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 1673–82.
- 92. Duerden EG, Guo T, Dodbiba L, Chakravarty MM, Chau V, Poskitt KJ, et al. Midazolam dose correlates with abnormal hippocampal growth and neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm infants. *Ann Neurol* 2016; 79: 548–59.
- 93. Debillon T, Zupan V, Ravault N, Magny JF, Dehan M. Development and initial validation of the EDIN scale, a new tool for assessing prolonged pain in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2001; 85: F36–41.
- Hummel P, Lawlor-Klean P, Weiss MG. Validity and reliability of the N-PASS assessment tool with acute pain. *J Perinatol* 2010; 30: 474–8.
- 95. van Dijk M, Roofthooft DW, Anand KJS, Guldemond F, de Graaf J, Simons S, et al. Taking up the challenge of measuring prolonged pain in (premature) neonates: the COMFORTneo scale seems promising. *Clin J Pain* 2009; 25: 607–16.
- 96. Lundqvist P, Kleberg A, Edberg AK, Larsson BA, Hellstrom-Westas L, Norman E. Development and psychometric properties of the Swedish ALPS-Neo pain and stress assessment scale for newborn infants. *Acta Paediatr* 2014; 103: 833–9.