

## **Perspective Article**

# Exercise for osteoporosis: how to navigate between overeagerness and defeatism

# A. Ireland<sup>1</sup>, J. Rittweger<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Healthcare Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Aerospace Medicine, German Aerospace Center (DLR), D-51147 Cologne, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University of Cologne, Germany

#### **Abstract**

Osteoporosis and associated fractures remain a common and costly health problem. Public fears about rare side effects of efficacious drug treatments for osteoporosis have contributed to decreased prescription and compliance. Exercise and physical activity-based interventions have long been proposed as an alternative treatment for osteoporosis. However despite compelling evidence from experimental studies in animals and from observational studies in humans, the use of exercise to improve bone mass in clinical practice does not seem to be justifiable by current human interventional studies. In this perspective, we summarise the available evidence in support of exercise on bone mass. We review the modest effects observed in current exercise trials, and propose a number of factors which may contribute to these discrepancies. We also highlight the successful application of exercise to attenuating or even partially reversing bone loss in musculoskeletal disuse. We then propose how collaboration between basic science and clinical partners, and consideration of factors such as exercise modality, exercise intensity and participation motivation could improve exercise efficacy.

Keywords: Bone, Mechanoadaptation, BMD, Physical Activity

Osteoporosis can be defined as "a disease characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to enhanced bone fragility and a consequent increase in fracture risk". Despite the availability of increasingly efficacious anti-resorptive and anabolic drugs, osteoporosis and related fractures continue to be a burden for our aging population. This has led to the recent launch of a 'call to action' to address the crisis in the treatment of osteoporosis by ASBMR, together with 34 other health organisations2. Exaggerated concerns about side effects of some drugs have led to reduced prescription and patient compliance<sup>3</sup>, and so many cling to the old idea of using exercise in order to prevent osteoporosis. This is distinct from the role of exercise in reducing fall incidence<sup>4,5</sup> - falls and osteoporosis being independent risk factors for fracture<sup>6</sup>. However, skeptical voices are heard here as well,

stating that exercise benefits for bone have been overenthusiastically championed over the last two decades. Admittedly the current body of literature shows only small to moderate increases (from 1-8%) in bone mass as a result of exercise trials in children<sup>7-11</sup>. Effects in adults are also minor<sup>12-14</sup>; even in the most successful, long-term trials in older adults, bone mass increases are modest (around 1-3%)<sup>15-17</sup>. Thus, since life-long bone losses can amount to up to 40% in some bones<sup>18</sup>, the clinical benefit of exercise for bone is currently very limited. This perspective examines current observational and interventional evidence for the effects of exercise on bone mass, and proposes how efficacy of interventions can be improved.

Mechanical stimuli are key for development and maintenance of bone health

It is quite clear that bones adapt to their mechanical environment, an idea now in its third century<sup>19</sup>. Bone size, mass, shape and ultimately strength are regulated according to the habitual level of strain experienced<sup>20,21</sup>. The importance of these mechanical stimuli for bone health is evident from the earliest to the latest stages of human life. Fetal immobility due to central nervous disorders results in slender, hypomineralised bones highly prone to fracture<sup>22</sup>.

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Corresponding author: Alex Ireland, Manchester Metropolitan University, John Dalton Building, Chester Street, Manchester, M1 5GD, UK E-mail: a.ireland@mmu.ac.uk

Edited by: S. Warden Accepted 16 May 2017



Delayed motor development in early childhood leads to large, persisting deficits in bone mass<sup>23-25</sup>, whilst childhood spinal cord injury (SCI) and subsequent reduced movement results in smaller, weaker, more circular bones<sup>26</sup>. Following skeletal maturity, disuse and immobility results in substantial loss of bone mass<sup>27</sup> e.g. 20-60% in long-term SCI dependent on site<sup>28,29</sup>, through cortical thinning and reduction in trabecular density. The absence of an age effect on bone losses following SCI<sup>30</sup> suggests that mechanical stimuli remain key for maintenance of bone health into old age.

Strong observational evidence suggests a large potential of exercise for bone

Physical exercise is an obvious and natural way to modulate the bone's mechanical environment, and one would expect that increased loading via physical activity should lead to bigger, stronger bones. Thus, it has been known for decades that cortical thickness of the humerus can be one third greater in the racquet arm of tennis players as compared to the other side<sup>31</sup>. Moreover, leg bones of competitive runners are one fourth stronger than in inactive peers<sup>32</sup>, and these bone benefits persist into middle and older-age<sup>33</sup>. Whilst these latter observations might be affected by underlying genetic or nutritional differences, the 30-40% greater bone mass observed in the active compared to inactive arm of tennis<sup>34,35</sup> and baseball<sup>36</sup> players is hard to explain by anything other than effects of exercise. However, some types of exercise are more beneficial than others; the most pronounced effects are observed in vigorous sports involving an impact element<sup>32,37,38</sup>. Indeed, popular activities such as swimming and cycling appear to have little benefit for lower limb bone health<sup>32,37,39</sup>. It is important to recognize that sports are not primarily designed to improve bone health, and hence the osteogenic stimulus provided by exercise modes deliberately targeting bone should likely be even greater than that suggested by current observational reports.

Current exercise interventions in ambulatory individuals have not realized this potential

The observed exercise 'benefits' for bone suggested by these studies has provided a rationale for exercise-based intervention studies for bone. As early as four decades ago a 12-month, tri-weekly intervention of gym-based exercise in postmenopausal women was shown to lead to small (~2.5%) but significant increases in bone mass (assessed as total body calcium)40. Since this initial study, dozens of randomized controlled trials have investigated the effects of different exercise interventions on bone strength in males and females of all ages. Systematic reviews and metaanalyses suggest that while moderate exercise benefits to bone are consistently observed in children, effects in adults are minor or absent<sup>7-9,12-14</sup>. Authors of these reviews highlighted that evidence was limited by poor quality of studies and heterogeneity of exercise type, study length, sample size and weekly training load. In per-protocol analyses, some encouraging results are found - particularly in studies employing high-impact activities in children such as jumping where site-specific increases in bone mass of up to 8% are observed. However, in adults of all ages results are unimpressive, with increases in bone mass of no more than 2.5% reported. Clearly, exercise interventions in healthy, ambulatory individuals have not replicated the impressive results observed in observational studies.

Exercise interventions are highly effective against disuserelated bone loss

In addition to studies aiming at bone accrual in ambulatory individuals, another group of studies has explored the potential to prevent disuse-related bone loss. The scope of this research has been the bone loss in elderly or paralyzed patients as well as in space sojourns<sup>41</sup>. Numerous bed rest studies have been performed as a ground-based model for spaceflight<sup>42</sup> in order to identify suitable exercise countermeasures for bone during the past two decades. Notably in this disuse model, monthly bone losses of 1-2% occur in areas such as the lower limbs and spine used to heavy loading during movement. From these studies, it has become clear that resistive exercise, ideally in combination with whole-body vibration, is able to prevent bed rest-induced bone loss entirely<sup>43-45</sup>. Astounding effects of exercise interventions have also been observed when patients with long-term spinal cord injury load their bones via high-intensity electrically stimulated isokinetic movements. Results show that 30% of the lost bone mass could be recovered in less than six months<sup>46</sup> whilst similar benefits to trabecular bone mass were still evident at the end of a longer-term trial<sup>47</sup>. Thus, there is little doubt that physical exercise can be particularly effective against a complete lack of physical activity. This is promising given that women and elderly individuals at highest risk of osteoporosis typically have very low levels of physical activity<sup>48</sup>, particularly vigorous activities known to be osteogenic<sup>49</sup>. Whilst disuserelated bone losses in bed rest can be rapidly and fully recovered following reambulation<sup>50</sup>, the ability to recover is dependent on resumption of regular loading. This is illustrated by recovery of patellar bone mass closely tracking improvements in maximal force during rehabilitation from an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury<sup>51</sup>. Similarly, in ACL patients treated more conservatively (whereby nonweight bearing time is minimized), bone mass losses are minimal compared to the large losses which follow surgical treatment and subsequent immobilization<sup>52</sup>.

Why have interventions to accrue bone been ineffective so far?

So, from the existing literature it seems that previous clinical trials have under-achieved, and that bones can be more responsive to exercise than suggested. Fundamentally, trials have rarely targeted inactive individuals - particularly in children, whereby interventions are applied on top of a high level of habitual physical activity. As exemplified by

effects of exercise in disuse conditions, it would be expected that substantial bone benefits would only be achieved when exercise represented a large departure from habitual loading levels. As objective measures of bone loading (or even surrogates such as accelerometry) are not commonly collected prior to and during exercise intervention periods, any alteration in overall loading cannot be quantified. Important clues are also offered by results of the longest continuous exercise trial for bone, whereby the minimum effective dose of exercise for hip and spine bone mass over a 16-year period was two sessions per week, and exercise volume predicted bone benefits53. Thus, past clinical interventions likely have failed to provide effective magnitudes of loading. Similarly, bone strength benefits in master track athletes are greater in sprint than middle-distance and in turn long-distance runners in line with the speed (and hence muscle and reaction forces of the event)33. Little or no bone strength benefit is evident in competitive race-walkers despite high training volumes and a long training history<sup>33</sup>. This is likely due to the low ground reaction (and presumably muscle) forces evident in race walking, which at ~1.5 times bodyweight (BW)54, are similar to conventional walking (1.2 BW) and much lower than running (2.5BW)<sup>55</sup> or sprinting (4.5 BW)<sup>56</sup>. Therefore in addition to sufficient volume, interventions must ultimately be performed at a high intensity (likely meaning large forces) and targeted at inactive individuals to ensure substantial benefits.

Secondly, the timing and duration of physical activity is likely to be important. Whilst substantial bone mass benefits were evident in the racquet arms of older tennis players who had begun playing in adulthood, far greater advantages were observed in those individuals that played across their entire life-span<sup>57</sup>. It is reasonable to assume that experience in the particular sport contributes to this effect - old people simply do not learn a new sport as easily as young people. However, it also appears that the ability to increase bone size via exercise in adulthood is limited (particularly at epiphyseal sites)57-59, emphasizing the importance of exercise during skeletal development. Whilst advantages in bone strength attributable to lifelong exercise appear to diminish with age<sup>57,60</sup> (likely due to a reduced ability to increase muscle size and strength<sup>57</sup>), substantial advantages are evident even at 70 years of age<sup>57</sup>. However, it is only the advantages in bone outer geometry which persist long-term following cessation of exercise, as benefits to bone mass diminish<sup>36</sup>. Therefore whilst some bone benefits from exercise persist from childhood and can be gained in later life, development and maintenance of optimum bone health relies on longterm adherence to exercise. Bone response to altered loading is much slower than that in muscle, with bone loss following spinal cord injury taking up to 8 years to reach a steady state<sup>29</sup>. Hence, bone benefits observed even after interventions lasting several months are unlikely to reflect those attainable by adherence to long-term, progressive exercise programmes.

A third point to consider is motivation. This has not been assessed in the past trials, but why would a study participant train as frequently and hard as a competing athlete? Overall,

high compliance rates in exercise interventions targeting bone<sup>61</sup> give cause for optimism, being much higher than patient compliance for pharmacological osteoporosis treatments<sup>62</sup>. However, compliance for high-intensity programmes is lower than for moderate-intensity regimes, emphasizing the importance of maintaining participant motivation. Relevant aspects include non health-related benefits such as enjoyment and social interaction, and self-efficacy - the patient's belief in their ability to perform a given exercise. Whilst injury rates may not be increasing with age<sup>63</sup>, it is certainly more difficult for an older person to practice novel exercise modes than for a younger person.

Fourth, and as emphasized previously, the mode of exercise is critical and interventions have typically not mirrored those exercises (running and bounding, hitting or throwing activities, etc.) associated with greatest bone strength in athlete studies. Where interventions have employed sports associated with good bone health, results have been quite impressive even in older individuals<sup>64,65</sup>; although the lack of trials comparing these exercises to traditional approaches is a limitation.

Recent advances in measurement techniques have allowed first measurement of the complex deformation patterns experienced by bone during exercise, such that understanding of factors contributing to a particular movement's osteogenic potency can be identified. This new information can complement - and even drive - existing computational modelling approaches used to estimate sitespecific loading<sup>66</sup> and adaptation<sup>67</sup> to different exercise movements. In addition to substantial compressive and bending loads expected, it is now clear that large torsional stresses (attributable to muscular action<sup>68</sup>) act on the lower limbs during walking and running<sup>69</sup>. Whilst the mode of deformation (compressive, bending or torsion) has previously received little attention, torsional stresses appear to be key to the development of long bones<sup>70</sup>. These torsional stresses are most evident during a forefoot running action used by sprinters, and in upper limb movements such as throwing and tennis service strokes<sup>71</sup>. Therefore it is unsurprising that these movements are those associated with the greatest bone benefits observed in athletes.

### What must be done?

We are understanding biomechanics and the physiology of bone adaptation more deeply now than a decade ago. It emerges in particular that muscular contractions are more important than collision with external objects<sup>72</sup>, in their role provoking or helping the body to negotiate these collisions. Moreover, in addition to strain magnitude and strain rate, the deformation mode (in particular torsional loading) also has to be considered as relevant.

The lessons learnt now need to be taken on board, in collaboration between the various clinical partners. The experience of geriatricians and allied health professionals e.g. physiotherapists is key in utilising basic science knowledge to develop individualized interventions based on a patients'

capabilities and motivation. These tailored interventions should be oriented towards movements such as running, batting and throwing that are associated with large bone benefits in athletes, where volume and intensity of exercise can be easily monitored and progressively increased, and which importantly are not dependent on the acquisition of highly technical and unfamiliar movement skills. Particular attention should be given to the motivation of participants, through e.g. introduction of competitive and social elements to exercise.

Whilst exercise could be considered as a natural alternative to pharmacological interventions, it is also important to explore the extent to which it complements these proven treatments. At present only a few studies have investigated interactions between exercise and anti-resorptives, although early results are promising<sup>73,74</sup>. Bisphosphonates had an additive effect in reducing bone loss in astronauts when combined with resistive exercise<sup>75</sup>, and similar effects were observed in lung transplant patients such that patients on combined therapy saw substantial gains (~10%) in lumbar spine bone mass<sup>76</sup>. However, the first and largest RCT of bisphosphonates and exercise found separate but no additive effects of the two treatments<sup>77</sup>.

A multi-centre study along the lines proposed above and designed by multiple stakeholders is the only way to establish rigorous evidence for the broader application of these interventions. In addition, development of an effective human exercise model will allow exploration of other factors relevant to interventional design, which may influence the mechanoadaptive response and thereby intervention efficacy. For example, animal studies have shown that bone mass gains in response to exercise are also highly dependent on the number<sup>78,79</sup> and timing<sup>80,81</sup> of exercise repetitions and training sessions but these factors remain largely unexplored in humans. Similarly, changes in bone mass attributable to different exercise modes result in highly direction-specific changes in mechanical strength<sup>67</sup>. Such information is not available from clinical dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans commonly employed in exercise trials, which unlike volumetric methods such as quantitative computed tomography (QCT) may underestimate effects of mechanical loading on bone82. Consideration of fracture mechanics in regions such as the proximal femur could further improve the efficacy of bone mass gains in reducing fracture risk83. Once the viability of exercise as an alternative or complementary treatment for osteoporosis is established in the same way as for weight loss and cardiovascular health, acceptance of more effective, unconventional movement types will be easier to achieve.

# References

- Kanis JA, Melton LJ, Christiansen C, Johnston CC, Khaltaev N. The diagnosis of osteoporosis. J Bone Miner Res 1994;9(8):1137-41.
- Research TASfBaM. ASBMR Issues "Call to Action to Address the Crisis in the Treatment of Osteoporosis"

- 2016 [Available from: http://www.asbmr.org/Publications/News/NewsDetail.aspx?cid=451663e6-f22d-4e1f-80fa-16dd76947372#.V-pZvsn6eEq.
- Jha S, Wang Z, Laucis N, Bhattacharyya T. Trends in Media Reports, Oral Bisphosphonate Prescriptions, and Hip Fractures 1996-2012: An Ecological Analysis. J Bone Miner Res 2015;30(12):2179-87.
- El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Charles MA, Dargent-Molina P. The effect of fall prevention exercise programmes on fall induced injuries in community dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2013;347:f6234.
- Karinkanta S, Piirtola M, Sievänen H, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P. Physical therapy approaches to reduce fall and fracture risk among older adults. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2010;6(7):396-407.
- BerrySD, MillerRR. Falls: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and relationship to fracture. Curr Osteoporos Rep 2008;6(4):149-54.
- Hind K, Burrows M. Weight-bearing exercise and bone mineral accrual in children and adolescents: a review of controlled trials. Bone 2007:40(1):14-27.
- Behringer M, Gruetzner S, McCourt M, Mester J. Effects of weight-bearing activities on bone mineral content and density in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. J Bone Miner Res 2014;29(2):467-78.
- Nogueira RC, Weeks BK, Beck BR. Exercise to improve pediatric bone and fat: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2014;46(3):610-21.
- Tan VP, Macdonald HM, Kim S, et al. Influence of physical activity on bone strength in children and adolescents: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29(10):2161-81.
- Nikander R, Sievanen H, Heinonen A, Daly RM, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P. Targeted exercise against osteoporosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis for optimising bone strength throughout life. BMC Med 2010;8:47.
- Hamilton CJ, Swan VJ, Jamal SA. The effects of exercise and physical activity participation on bone mass and geometry in postmenopausal women: a systematic review of pQCT studies. Osteoporos Int 2010;21(1):11-23.
- Bolam KA, Skinner TL, Jenkins DG, Galvão DA, Taaffe DR. The Osteogenic Effect of Impact-Loading and Resistance Exercise on Bone Mineral Density in Middle-Aged and Older Men: A Pilot Study. Gerontology 2015;62(1):22-32.
- Martyn-St James M, Carroll S. Effects of different impact exercise modalities on bone mineral density in premenopausal women: a meta-analysis. J Bone Miner Metab 2010;28(3):251-67.
- Allison SJ, Folland JP, Rennie WJ, Summers GD, Brooke-Wavell K. High impact exercise increased femoral neck bone mineral density in older men: a randomised unilateral intervention. Bone 2013;53(2):321-8.
- Watson SL, Weeks BK, Weis LJ, Horan SA, Beck BR. Heavy resistance training is safe and improves bone,

- function, and stature in postmenopausal women with low to very low bone mass: novel early findings from the LIFTMOR trial. Osteoporos Int 2015;26(12):2889-94.
- Heinonen A, Kannus P, Sievänen H, Oja P, Pasanen M, Rinne M, et al. Randomised controlled trial of effect of high-impact exercise on selected risk factors for osteoporotic fractures. Lancet 1996; 348(9038):1343-7.
- Riggs BL, Melton Iii LJ, Robb RA, Camp JJ, Atkinson EJ, Peterson JM, et al. Population-based study of age and sex differences in bone volumetric density, size, geometry, and structure at different skeletal sites. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19(12):1945-54.
- 19. Wolff J. Das Gesetz der Transformation der Knochen. Berlin, Germany: Verlag von August Hirschwald; 1892.
- 20. Frost HM. Bone "mass" and the "mechanostat": a proposal. Anat Rec 1987;219(1):1-9.
- Rubin CT, Lanyon LE. Kappa Delta Award paper. Osteoregulatory nature of mechanical stimuli: function as a determinant for adaptive remodeling in bone. J Orthop Res 1987;5(2):300-10.
- Rodriguez JI, Garcia-Alix A, Palacios J, Paniagua R. Changes in the long bones due to fetal immobility caused by neuromuscular disease. A radiographic and histological study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1988; 70(7):1052-60.
- Ireland A, Rittweger J, Schönau E, Lamberg-Allardt C, Viljakainen H. Time Since Onset of Walking Predicts Tibial Bone Strength in Early Childhood. Bone 2014;68:76-84.
- Ireland A, Sayers A, Deere KC, Emond A, Tobias JH. Motor Competence in Early Childhood Is Positively Associated With Bone Strength in Late Adolescence. J Bone Miner Res 2016;31(5):1089-98.
- 25. Ireland A, Muthuri S, Rittweger J, Adams JE, Ward KA, Kuh D, et al. Later Age at Onset of Independent Walking Is Associated with Lower Bone Strength at Fracture-Prone Sites in Older Men. J Bone Miner Res 2017.
- Biggin A, Briody JN, Ramjan KA, Middleton A, Waugh MC, Munns CF. Evaluation of bone mineral density and morphology using pQCT in children after spinal cord injury. Dev Neurorehabil 2013;16(6):391-7.
- 27. Sievänen H. Immobilization and bone structure in humans. Arch Biochem Biophys 2010;503(1):146-52.
- Rittweger J, Goosey-Tolfrey VL, Cointry G, Ferretti JL. Structural analysis of the human tibia in men with spinal cord injury by tomographic (pQCT) serial scans. Bone 2010;47(3):511-8.
- Eser P, Frotzler A, Zehnder Y, Wick L, Knecht H, Denoth J, et al. Relationship between the duration of paralysis and bone structure: a pQCT study of spinal cord injured individuals. Bone 2004;34(5):869-80.
- Coupaud S, McLean AN, Purcell M, Fraser MH, Allan DB. Decreases in bone mineral density at cortical and trabecular sites in the tibia and femur during the first year of spinal cord injury. Bone 2015;74:69-75.
- 31. Jones HH, Priest JD, Hayes WC, Tichenor CC, Nagel DA. Humeral hypertrophy in response to exercise. J Bone

- Joint Surg Am 1977;59(2):204-8.
- 32. Nikander R, Sievänen H, Uusi-Rasi K, Heinonen A, Kannus P. Loading modalities and bone structures at nonweight-bearing upper extremity and weight-bearing lower extremity: a pQCT study of adult female athletes. Bone 2006;39(4):886-94.
- 33. Wilks DC, Winwood K, Gilliver SF, Kwiet A, Chatfield M, Michaelis I, et al. Bone mass and geometry of the tibia and the radius of master sprinters, middle and long distance runners, race-walkers and sedentary control participants: a pQCT study. Bone 2009;45(1):91-7.
- Ireland A, Maden-Wilkinson T, McPhee J, Cooke K, Narici M, Degens H, et al. Upper limb muscle-bone asymmetries and bone adaptation in elite youth tennis players. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2013;45(9):1749-58.
- 35. Haapasalo H, Kontulainen S, Sievänen H, Kannus P, Järvinen M, Vuori I. Exercise-induced bone gain is due to enlargement in bone size without a change in volumetric bone density: a peripheral quantitative computed tomography study of the upper arms of male tennis players. Bone 2000;27(3):351-7.
- Warden SJ, Mantila Roosa SM, Kersh ME, Hurd AL, Fleisig GS, Pandy MG, et al. Physical activity when young provides lifelong benefits to cortical bone size and strength in men. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111(14):5337-42.
- Nikander R, Sievänen H, Heinonen A, Kannus P. Femoral neck structure in adult female athletes subjected to different loading modalities. J Bone Miner Res 2005; 20(3):520-8.
- 38. Heinonen A, Sievänen H, Kyröläinen H, Perttunen J, Kannus P. Mineral mass, size, and estimated mechanical strength of triple jumpers' lower limb. Bone. 2001; 29(3):279-85.
- 39. Gómez-Bruton A, González-Agüero A, Gómez-Cabello A, Matute-Llorente A, Casajús JA, Vicente-Rodríguez G. Swimming and bone: Is low bone mass due to hypogravity alone or does other physical activity influence it? Osteoporos Int 2015;27(5):1785-93.
- 40. Aloia JF, Cohn SH, Ostuni JA, Cane R, Ellis K. Prevention of involutional bone loss by exercise. Ann Intern Med 1978;89(3):356-8.
- Vico L, Collet P, Guignandon A, Lafage-Proust MH, Thomas T, Rehaillia M, et al. Effects of long-term microgravity exposure on cancellous and cortical weight-bearing bones of cosmonauts. Lancet 2000; 355(9215):1607-11.
- 42. Kakurin Ll, Lobachik VI, Mikhailov VM, Senkevich YA. Antiorthostatic hypokinesia as a method of weightlessness simulation. Aviat Space Environ Med 1976;47(10):1083-6.
- 43. Rittweger J, Beller G, Armbrecht G, Mulder E, Buehring B, Gast U, et al. Prevention of bone loss during 56 days of strict bed rest by side-alternating resistive vibration exercise. Bone 2010;46(1):137-47.
- 44. Shackelford LC, LeBlanc AD, Driscoll TB, Evans HJ, Rianon NJ, Smith SM, et al. Resistance exercise as a

- countermeasure to disuse-induced bone loss. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2004;97(1):119-29.
- Beller G, Belavy DL, Sun L, Armbrecht G, Alexandre C, Felsenberg D. WISE-2005: bed-rest induced changes in bone mineral density in women during 60 days simulated microgravity. Bone 2011;49(4):858-66.
- 46. Belanger M, Stein RB, Wheeler GD, Gordon T, Leduc B. Electrical stimulation: can it increase muscle strength and reverse osteopenia in spinal cord injured individuals? Arch Phys MEd Rehabil 2000;81(8):1090.
- Shields RK, Dudley-Javoroski S. Musculoskeletal plasticity after acute spinal cord injury: effects of longterm neuromuscular electrical stimulation training. J Neurophysiol 2006;95(4):2380-90.
- Caspersen CJ, Pereira MA, Curran KM. Changes in physical activity patterns in the United States, by sex and cross-sectional age. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000; 32(9):1601-9.
- 49. Hannam K, Deere KC, Hartley A, Clark EM, Coulson J, Ireland A, et al. A novel accelerometer-based method to describe day-to-day exposure to potentially osteogenic vertical impacts in older adults: findings from a multicohort study. Osteoporos Int 2016;28(3):1001-11.
- 50. Rittweger J, Felsenberg D. Recovery of muscle atrophy and bone loss from 90 days bed rest: results from a one-year follow-up. Bone 2009;44(2):214-24.
- Sievänen H, Heinonen A, Kannus P. Adaptation of bone to altered loading environment: a biomechanical approach using X-ray absorptiometric data from the patella of a young woman. Bone 1996;19(1):55-9.
- 52. Leppälä J, Kannus P, Natri A, Pasanen M, Sievänen H, Vuori I, et al. Effect of anterior cruciate ligament injury of the knee on bone mineral density of the spine and affected lower extremity: a prospective one-year follow-Up study. Calcif Tissue Int 1999;64(4):357-63.
- 53. Kemmler W, Engelke K, von Stengel S. Long-Term Exercise and Bone Mineral Density Changes in Postmenopausal Women - Are There Periods of Reduced Effectiveness? J Bone Miner Res 2016;31(1):215-22.
- 54. Pavei G, Cazzola D, La Torre A, Minetti AE. The biomechanics of race walking: literature overview and new insights. Eur J Sport Sci 2014;14(7):661-70.
- 55. Keller TS, Weisberger AM, Ray JL, Hasan SS, Shiavi RG, Spengler DM. Relationship between vertical ground reaction force and speed during walking, slow jogging, and running. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 1996; 11(5):253-9.
- Bezodis IN, Kerwin DG, Salo AI. Lower-limb mechanics during the support phase of maximum-velocity sprint running. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008;40(4):707-15.
- Ireland A, Maden-Wilkinson T, Ganse B, Degens H, Rittweger J. Effects of age and starting age upon side asymmetry in the arms of veteran tennis players: a crosssectional study. Osteoporos Int 2014;25(4):1389-400.
- Kannus P, Haapasalo H, Sankelo M, Sievänen H, Pasanen M, Heinonen A, et al. Effect of starting age of physical activity on bone mass in the dominant arm of tennis and

- squash players. Ann Intern Med 1995;123(1):27-31.
- 59. Kontulainen S, Sievänen H, Kannus P, Pasanen M, Vuori I. Effect of long-term impact-loading on mass, size, and estimated strength of humerus and radius of female racquet-sports players: a peripheral quantitative computed tomography study between young and old starters and controls. J Bone Miner Res 2002; 17(12):2281-9.
- 60. Wilks DC, Winwood K, Gilliver SF, Kwiet A, Sun LW, Gutwasser C, et al. Age-dependency in bone mass and geometry: a pQCT study on male and female master sprinters, middle and long distance runners, race-walkers and sedentary people. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2009;9(4):236-46.
- 61. Kelley GA, Kelley KS. Dropouts and compliance in exercise interventions targeting bone mineral density in adults: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Osteoporos 2013;2013:250423.
- 62. Kothawala P, Badamgarav E, Ryu S, Miller RM, Halbert RJ. Systematic review and meta-analysis of real-world adherence to drug therapy for osteoporosis. Mayo Clin Proc 2007;82(12):1493-501.
- 63. Ganse B, Degens H, Drey M, Korhonen MT, McPhee J, Müller K, et al. Impact of age, performance and athletic event on injury rates in master athletics - first results from an ongoing prospective study. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2014;14(2):148-54.
- 64. Helge EW, Andersen TR, Schmidt JF, Jørgensen NR, Hornstrup T, Krustrup P, et al. Recreational football improves bone mineral density and bone turnover marker profile in elderly men. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2014;24 Suppl 1:98-104.
- 65. Helge EW, Aagaard P, Jakobsen MD, Sundstrup E, Randers MB, Karlsson MK, et al. Recreational football training decreases risk factors for bone fractures in untrained premenopausal women. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2010;20 Suppl 1:31-9.
- 66. Martelli S, Kersh ME, Schache AG, Pandy MG. Strain energy in the femoral neck during exercise. J Biomech 2014;47(8):1784-91.
- 67. Abe S, Narra N, Nikander R, Hyttinen J, Kouhia R, Sievänen H. Exercise loading history and femoral neck strength in a sideways fall: A three-dimensional finite element modeling study. Bone 2016;92:9-17.
- 68. Yang PF, Kriechbaumer A, Albracht K, Sanno M, Ganse B, Koy T, et al. On the relationship between tibia torsional deformation and regional muscle contractions in habitual human exercises in vivo. J Biomech 2015;48(3):456-64.
- 69. Yang PF, Sanno M, Ganse B, Koy T, Bruggemann GP, Muller LP, et al. Torsion and antero-posterior bending in the *in vivo* human tibia loading regimes during walking and running. PLoS ONE 2014;9(4):e94525.
- Mittag U, Kriechbaumer A, Bartsch M, Rittweger J. Form follows function: A computational simulation exercise on bone shape forming and conservation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2015;15(2):215-26.
- 71. Ireland A, Degens H, Maffulli N, Rittweger J. Tennis

- Service Stroke Benefits Humerus Bone: Is Torsion the Cause? Calcif Tissue Int 2015;97(2):193-8.
- Ireland A, Rittweger J, Degens H. The Influence of Muscular Action on Bone Strength Via Exercise. Clinical Reviews in Bone and Mineral Metabolism 2013;12:93-102.
- Zhang J, Gao R, Cao P, Yuan W. Additive effects of antiresorptive agents and exercise on lumbar spine bone mineral density in adults with low bone mass: a meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int 2014;25(5):1585-94.
- 74. Zhao R, Xu Z, Zhao M. Antiresorptive agents increase the effects of exercise on preventing postmenopausal bone loss in women: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015; 10(1):e0116729.
- 75. Leblanc A, Matsumoto T, Jones J, Shapiro J, Lang T, Shackelford L, et al. Bisphosphonates as a supplement to exercise to protect bone during long-duration spaceflight. Osteoporos Int 2013;24(7):2105-14.
- 76. Braith RW, Conner JA, Fulton MN, Lisor CF, Casey DP, Howe KS, et al. Comparison of alendronate vs alendronate plus mechanical loading as prophylaxis for osteoporosis in lung transplant recipients: a pilot study. J Heart Lung Transplant 2007;26(2):132-7.
- 77. Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P, Cheng S, Sievänen H, Pasanen

- M, Heinonen A, et al. Effect of alendronate and exercise on bone and physical performance of postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Bone 2003; 33(1):132-43.
- 78. Umemura Y, Ishiko T, Yamauchi T, Kurono M, Mashiko S. Five jumps per day increase bone mass and breaking force in rats. J Bone Miner Res 1997;12(9):1480-5.
- 79. Rubin CT, Lanyon LE. Regulation of bone formation by applied dynamic loads. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1984; 66(3):397-402.
- 80. Robling AG, Burr DB, Turner CH. Recovery periods restore mechanosensitivity to dynamically loaded bone. J Exp Biol 2001;204(Pt 19):3389-99.
- 81. Robling AG, Hinant FM, Burr DB, Turner CH. Shorter, more frequent mechanical loading sessions enhance bone mass. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34(2):196-202.
- 82. Järvinen TL, Kannus P, Sievänen H. Have the DXA-based exercise studies seriously underestimated the effects of mechanical loading on bone? J Bone Miner Res 1999; 14(9):1634-5.
- 83. Fuchs RK, Kersh ME, Carballido-Gamio J, Thompson WR, Keyak JH, Warden SJ. Physical Activity for Strengthening Fracture Prone Regions of the Proximal Femur. Curr Osteoporos Rep 2017;15(1):43-52.