

The glucose sensor Snf1 and the transcription factors Msn2 and Msn4 regulate transcription of the vacuolar iron importer gene *CCC1* and iron resistance in yeast

Received for publication, June 15, 2017, and in revised form, July 21, 2017 Published, Papers in Press, July 31, 2017, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M117.802504

Liangtao Li, Jerry Kaplan, and Diane M. Ward

From the Department of Pathology, Division of Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84132-2501

Edited by Joel Gottesfeld

The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae stores iron in the vacuole, which is a major resistance mechanism against iron toxicity. One key protein involved in vacuolar iron storage is the iron importer Ccc1, which facilitates iron entry into the vacuole. Transcription of the CCC1 gene is largely regulated by the binding of iron-sulfur clusters to the activator domain of the transcriptional activator Yap5. Additional evidence, however, suggests that Yap5-independent transcriptional activation of CCC1 also contributes to iron resistance. Here, we demonstrate that components of the signaling pathway involving the low-glucose sensor Snf1 regulate CCC1 transcription and iron resistance. We found that SNF1 deletion acts synergistically with YAP5 deletion to regulate CCC1 transcription and iron resistance. A kinase-dead mutation of Snf1 lowered iron resistance as did deletion of SNF4, which encodes a partner protein of Snf1. Deletion of all three alternative partners of Snf1 encoded by SIT1, SIT2, and GAL83 decreased both CCC1 transcription and iron resistance. The Snf1 complex is known to activate the general stress transcription factors Msn2 and Msn4. We show that Msn2 and Msn4 contribute to Snf1-mediated CCC1 transcription. Of note, SNF1 deletion in combination with MSN2 and MSN4 deletion resulted in additive effects on CCC1 transcription, suggesting that other activators contribute to the regulation of CCC1 transcription. In conclusion, we show that yeast have developed multiple transcriptional mechanisms to regulate Ccc1 expression and to protect against high cytosolic iron toxicity.

Regulation of cellular iron toxicity in eukaryotes is effected by regulation of iron acquisition and/or iron storage. Fungi and plants store iron in the vacuole, and vacuolar iron storage is critical for maintaining cytosolic iron levels. In the budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, iron export from the vacuole is mediated by iron transporters Fet5/Fth1 and Smf3. These genes are regulated by the low iron-sensing transcription factors Aft1 and Aft2 (for reviews, see Refs. 1 and 2). The expression of these transporters, in concert with cell surface iron transporters, leads to iron influx into the cytosol. In contrast, when iron levels are replete, the low-iron transcription response is curtailed, and there is an induction of a high-iron transcriptional response. The number of genes responding to high iron is more limited than the number responding to low iron. Most notable is *CCC1*, which encodes the vacuolar iron importer (3). Yeast with a functioning Ccc1 are highly resistant to iron toxicity, whereas loss of Ccc1 results in a dramatic increase in iron toxicity in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

Ccc1 is highly regulated both by transcriptional activation and by mRNA degradation. The activity of Ccc1 is affected by changes in oxidant levels in which oxidants, in a manner still yet to be defined, can increase Ccc1 transport activity (4). At the post-transcriptional level, the stability of CCC1 mRNA is reduced through the binding of Cth1 and Cth2 to elements in the CCC1 mRNA 3'-untranslated region. CTH1 and CTH2 are transcriptionally induced by activation of the low-iron transcriptional sensors Aft1 and Aft2 (5). Thus, under low-iron conditions, import of iron from cytosol to vacuole is reduced, leading to an increase in cytosolic iron. This coordination of the low- and high-iron responses also extends to the transcriptional regulation of CCC1, which occurs through the transcriptional activator Yap5 (6). Yap5 is a member of the basic leucine zipper stress response family of transcriptional activators (7). Although Yap5 is not related to Aft1/Aft2 by sequence, like Aft1/Aft2, transcription mediated by Yap5 is regulated by Fe-S clusters. In the presence of high levels of mitochondrially produced Fe-S clusters, promoter-bound Yap5 is activated, whereas Aft1 is evicted from the promoters of target genes and is exported to the cytosol (8). In the absence of Fe-S cluster synthesis, resulting in part from decreased iron availability, Aft1 is translocated to the nucleus and induces gene transcription, whereas Yap5-induced transcription is terminated. Thus, both the low- and high-iron transcriptional activators coordinate their response to the same small irondependent product. That is, Aft1/Aft2 is activated by the absence of Fe–S clusters (9), whereas Yap5 is activated by the presence of Fe-S clusters (10).

Examination of both iron toxicity and *CCC1* transcription indicates, however, that there are other transcriptional activators besides Yap5 that affect *CCC1*. Deletion of *YAP5* decreases iron resistance of yeast but not to the same extent as deletion of *CCC1* (6, 10, 11). Furthermore, iron-dependent transcription of *CCC1* occurs in the absence of Yap5, albeit to a decreased

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant DK030534 (to D. M. W.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 801-581-4967; E-mail: diane.mcveyward@path.utah.edu.



Figure 1. Deletion of SNF1 affects iron resistance in yeast. *A*, serial dilutions of wild-type yeast (DY150 or BY4743) or $\Delta snf1$ cells in each background were spotted on plates with different concentrations of iron and grown for 2 days, and images were captured. *B*, serial dilutions of wild-type yeast (DY150) and cells deleted for *CCC1*, *SNF1*, *YAP5*, or *SNF1* and *YAP5* were grown for 2 days on plates with the designated concentrations of iron. *C*, serial dilutions of wild-type yeast (DY150) and cells deleted for *CCC1*, *SNF1*, or *SNF1* and *CCC1* were grown for 2 days on plates with the designated concentrations of iron.

extent. Here we report that the low-glucose sensor Snf1 plays a role in the regulation of *CCC1* transcription. Deletion of *SNF1* renders cells sensitive to iron toxicity by affecting *CCC1* transcription. Although some of that effect can be ascribed to the transcription factors Msn2 and Msn4, deletion of *SNF1* has an additive effect with deletion of *MSN2/MSN4* indicating the existence of further transcription factors involved in *CCC1* transcription.

Results

Deletion of SNF1 results in increased iron toxicity

The vacuolar iron importer Ccc1 is the principal component of high-iron resistance in yeast as deletion of CCC1 results in a dramatic decrease in cellular iron resistance. Yap5 is a major transcriptional activator of CCC1 (6). Deletion of YAP5 results in a decrease in iron resistance but as shown here and by others (10, 11) not to the same extent as does deletion of CCC1. This result indicates that CCC1 can be regulated by other factors. To determine what other genes could affect CCC1 expression, we considered the fact that the low- and high-iron regulons are regulated coordinately, albeit inversely (8). Conditions that increase the low-iron regulon (iron availability and Fe-S cluster synthesis) decrease the high-iron regulon and vice versa. Haurie et al. (12) reported that the Aft1-dependent transcription was affected by Snf1, a major component of the glucose-sensing apparatus in yeast. Based on this observation, we examined whether deletion of SNF1 affected iron toxicity. Deletion of SNF1 decreased iron resistance in two different yeast backgrounds (W303 and S288c) (Fig. 1A). Deletion of SNF1 in a $\Delta yap5$ strain had an additive effect and led to a further decrease in iron resistance, although we note that the decrease was not to the same extent as deletion of CCC1 (Fig. 1B). The effect of *SNF1* on iron resistance, however, was dependent on expression of *CCC1* as an *SNF1* deletion in a $\Delta ccc1$ strain did not show effects different from deletion of *CCC1* (Fig. 1*C*).

SNF1 is required for iron-dependent transcription of CCC1

We then examined the effect of SNF1 on transcription of CCC1 using a β -galactosidase reporter construct containing the CCC1 promoter (CCC1-lacZ). As shown previously, deletion of YAP5 decreased the iron-dependent transcription of CCC1 compared with iron-treated wild-type cells (6). Deletion of SNF1 in $\Delta yap5$ cells resulted in a lowering in iron-dependent expression of the CCC1-lacZ activity, which was restored by transformation with an SNF1-containing plasmid (Fig. 2A). We confirmed the effect of SNF1 on CCC1 transcription by RT-PCR. Deletion of both YAP5 and SNF1 resulted in a severe cutback in *CCC1* mRNA (Fig. 2*B*). Transformation of $\Delta snf1 \Delta yap5$ cells with an SNF1 plasmid resulted in increased CCC1 mRNA. Changes in CCC1 mRNA resulted in concomitant changes in Ccc1 protein levels. The levels of Ccc1 protein were greatly reduced in $\Delta yap5$ cells (Fig. 2*C*) and were near undetectable in $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ cells, whereas in $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ cells transformed with a SNF1 plasmid, Ccc1 levels were low but detectable (Fig. 2D).

There are eight homologous YAP genes in which YAP1 is most noted for being an oxidant-response gene (7). Overexpression of some of the YAP genes can induce CCC1 expression in $\Delta yap5$ cells (10). It is possible that *SNF1* is affecting the expression of the other YAP genes, which may bind to the Yap site in the CCC1 promoter and induce transcription. To test this possibility, we transformed cells with a plasmid containing a mutated CCC1 promoter that lacks the critical Yap-binding site, as deletion of the ATG-proximal site abrogated Yap5-induced transcription (6). Transformation of wild-type cells with a Yap-mutated CCC1-lacZ reporter construct resulted in a decrease in iron-dependent CCC1-lacZ activity (Fig. 3A). The level of activity was similar to that seen in $\Delta yap5$ cells expressing the same Yap-mutated CCC1-lacZ. In contrast, CCC1-lacZ activity was decreased in Yap-mutated CCC1-lacZ-containing Δ snf1 cells compared with Δ yap5 cells, and there was only a slight decrease in activity in *yap5\Deltasnf1* cells. These results suggest that Snf1-mediated transcription of CCC1 is independent of Yap5 or is independent of the Yap-binding sites. The finding that deletion of SNF1 and YAP5 dramatically restricted irondependent CCC1 expression suggests that the other Yap homologues expressed at endogenous levels have little effect on irondependent transcription of CCC1.

Many of the *YAP* genes are involved in resistance to oxidant conditions. *CCC1* is required for iron resistance under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions (13), and deletion of *YAP5* affects both aerobic and anaerobic iron resistance. Based on this result, we examined whether Snf1-mediated *CCC1* expression was necessary to protect cells from iron toxicity under anaerobic conditions. Similar to Yap5, *SNF1* was required under anaerobic conditions to effect iron resistance (Fig. 3B). This observation rules out a role for oxygen-based signaling in the *SNF1*-mediated regulation of *CCC1* transcription.





Figure 2. Deletion of SNF1 reduces CCC1 expression. *A*, wild type (WT) with control vector, $\Delta snf1\Delta yap5$ with control vector, or $\Delta snf1\Delta yap5$ with SNF1 cells transformed with a CCC1-lacZ plasmid were incubated in CM plus 5 mM iron for 4 h. Cells were homogenized, and β -galactosidase activity and cell protein were determined. *Error bars* represent S.D. *B*, cells grown as in *A* were processed for quantitative RT-PCR to determine both CCC1 and ACT1 mRNA levels. The data are expressed as the -fold change in CCC1 mRNA with ACT1 as a control. *Error bars* represent S.D. *C*, Western blot analysis was performed on WT, $\Delta yap5$, and $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ cells grown in the presence or absence of iron using rabbit anti-Ccc1 or mouse anti-Vma2 antibody. *D*, Western blot analyses for Ccc1 and Vma2 protein levels were performed on samples as in *A*. Representative blots for *C* and *D* are shown and were quantified using Bio-Rad ImageLabTM software using Vma2 as a loading control.

Snf1 and Yap5 differentially affect transcription of CCC1

The transcriptional activation of *CCC1* by Yap5 requires that Yap5 bind Fe–S clusters (8, 10). Mutations that affect mitochondrial Fe–S cluster synthesis reduce the transcription of *CCC1* and dramatically increase iron sensitivity. To determine whether loss of *SNF1*-dependent iron resistance is similarly affected by Fe–S cluster synthesis, we examined the effect of a deletion of *ISU1*. Isu1 is a component in the mitochondrial Fe–S cluster synthetic pathway. Isu1 is one of two Fe–S scaffold proteins, and deletion of *ISU1* results in decreased Fe–S cluster synthesis, induction of the low-iron transcriptional response (14), and decreased *CCC1* transcription due to reduced Yap5mediated activation (8). Deletion of *ISU1* resulted in decreased iron resistance (Fig. 4A). We note that the decrease in iron resistance due to loss of *ISU1* was relatively severe. There are several reasons for this, including decreased Yap5-induced expression of *CCC1* and of *TYW1*, which encodes a Fe–S cluster-containing protein that like other Fe–S cluster-containing proteins can act as an "iron sink" (15). Furthermore, decreased Fe–S cluster synthesis induces the low-iron Aft1 transcriptional response that can affect iron toxicity through expression of Cth1 and Cth2, which destabilizes *CCC1* mRNA (5). Finally, expression of the low-iron regulon leads to increased expression of cell surface and vacuolar iron transporters, resulting in additional iron entering the cytosol. Deletion of *SNF1* in $\Delta isu1$ cells resulted in a further decrease in iron resistance and decreased *CCC1-lacZ* activity (Fig. 4*B*). This additive effect of loss of *ISU1* and *SNF1* again supports that Yap5 and Snf1 effects on *CCC1* are independent of each other.

Further support for Yap5 and Snf1 exhibiting independent regulation of the high-iron regulan comes from their effects on transcription of *TYW1*. Under normal growth conditions, there



Figure 3. Transcription of CCC1 and iron resistance is dependent on Yap5 but is independent of the other Yap proteins. *A*, wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, $\Delta snf1$, and $\Delta snf1\Delta yap5$ cells were transformed with either a CCC1-lacZ construct or a CCC1-lacZ plasmid lacking the Yap-binding sites (*yap-mut*). The cells were incubated with 5 mm iron for 4 h, and β -galactosidase activity or cell protein was determined. *Error bars* represent S.D. *B*, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, $\Delta snf1$, and $\Delta snf1\Delta yap5$ cells were plated on different concentrations of iron and grown for 3–4 days under anaerobic conditions.

was little change in the expression of the reporter construct *TYW1-lacZ* in the presence or absence of *SNF1* or *YAP5* (Fig. 4C). Under high iron conditions, *TYW1-lacZ* activity was increased in wild-type and $\Delta snf1$ cells, whereas deletion of *YAP5* resulted in a decrease in *TYW1-lacZ* reporter expression. Although the mechanism leading to the increased expression in $\Delta snf1$ cells is unknown, the finding that expression of *TYW1* responds differently to deletion of *SNF1* and *YAP5* again suggests that they are mechanistically different.

Snf1 kinase activity is important for preventing iron toxicity

The Snf1 complex is composed of three subunits: the catalytic Snf1 α subunit; the Snf4 γ subunit; and one of three β subunits, Sip1, Sip2, and Gal83 (16). Deletion of the regulatory subunit SNF4 phenocopied deletion of SNF1 with respect to iron toxicity (Fig. 5A). Many of the effects of Snf1 are due to its activation as a protein kinase. To determine whether the kinase activity of Snf1 was responsible for the change in iron resistance, we transformed $\Delta snf1$ cells with a missense mutant of SNF1 (K84R) that has lost kinase activity (17). The Snf1 mutant kinase poorly restored iron resistance and did not restore lowglucose tolerance (Fig. 5*B*). In contrast, transformation of $\Delta snf1$ cells with a wild-type SNF1 restored both iron resistance and low-glucose growth. To determine whether SIP1, SIP2, and GAL83 are involved in iron toxicity, we deleted each of them separately or in combination. Deletion of each gene individually had little effect on iron toxicity (Fig. 5*C*). Deletion of all three genes showed a consistent decrease in iron resistance, although the effect on iron resistance was not as great as deletion of *SNF1*. Furthermore, deletion of all three genes in a $\Delta yap5$ strain showed decreased iron resistance (Fig. 6A), decreased CCC1*lacZ* reporter expression (Fig. 6*B*), and decreased Ccc1 protein levels (Fig. 6*C*). These results confirm that *SNF1* affects transcription of *CCC1* and that the components of the Snf complex, Sip1, Sip2, and Gal83, participate in *CCC1* transcription. The degree of sensitivity to iron toxicity or low glucose between $\Delta snf1$ and $\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ was different (Fig. 6*D*), suggesting that other genes contribute to the *SNF1* effect.

Snf1 activity has been shown to be regulated by phosphorylation (16), and the phosphorylation state of Snf1 may be important in iron sensing. Changing glucose levels from 2.0 to 0.05% resulted in a clear increase in Snf1 phosphorylation as reported previously, but incubation with iron did not alter the phosphorylation status of Snf1 (Fig. 7).

Msn2 and Msn4 are involved in Ccc1-dependent iron resistance

The Snf complex is known to activate the general stress transcription factors Msn2 and Msn4 (18, 19). Furthermore, iron has been shown to induce the transcription of *MSN4*, and it has been shown that there are Msn4/Msn2-binding sites on the *CCC1* promoter (20). We confirmed that overexpression of *MSN2* affects *CCC1* transcription by measuring *CCC1-lacZ* activity in cells transformed with a plasmid containing *MSN2* under the control of the *ADH2* promoter. In CM² medium, vector-transformed yeast showed a low level of *CCC1-lacZ* activity, and *MSN2*-transformed cells showed an increase in *CCC1-lacZ* activity (Fig. 8A). Addition of iron resulted in increased *CCC1-lacZ* activity in both vector alone- and *MSN2*-



² The abbreviation used is: CM, complete minimal.



Figure 4. Snf1-dependent transcription of CCC1 is independent of Fe–S clusters and does not affect other members of the high iron regulon. *A*, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta snf1$, $\Delta isu1$, or $\Delta snf1\Delta isu1$ cells were plated on different concentrations of iron and grown for 2 days. *B*, wild-type, $\Delta isu1$, and $\Delta snf1\Delta isu1$ cells transformed with a *CCC1-lacZ* plasmid were grown in CM plus 5 mM iron for 4 h, and β -galactosidase activity and protein determinations were performed. *Error bars* represent S.D. *C*, wild-type, $\Delta snf1$, and $\Delta yap5$ cells were transformed with a *TYW1-lacZ* reporter construct. Cells were grown in CM medium in the presence or absence of 5 mM iron. Cells were harvested, and β -galactosidase activity and cell protein were determined. *Error bars* represent S.D.

transformed cells. As expected, deletion of *YAP5* decreased iron-dependent expression of *CCC1-lacZ*; however, $\Delta yap5$ cells transformed with *MSN2* showed an increase in iron-dependent *CCC1-lacZ* expression. Deletion of *YAP5* and *SNF1* severely decreased expression of *CCC1-lacZ* activity, which could be restored by expression of *MSN2*.

Overexpression of MSN2 in wild-type cells resulted in a small but measureable increase in iron resistance (Fig. 8*B*). Similarly, overexpression of MSN2 in $\Delta yap5$ cells restored iron resistance, albeit not to wild-type levels. Deletion of both YAP5 and SNF1, as shown previously, compromised iron resistance. Overexpression of MSN2 in $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ cells restored iron resistance although not to the level seen in wild-type cells. These results suggest that Msn2 can affect transcription of CCC1-lacZ independently of either Yap5 or Snf1.

To confirm a role for Msn2/Msn4 in regulating iron toxicity, we generated single and double deletion strains and grew those cells on high-iron plates. Deletion of either *MSN2* or *MSN4* singly had no effect on iron sensitivity, whereas $\Delta msn2\Delta msn4$

cells showed a decrease in iron resistance (Fig. 9A). To determine whether Snf1 plays a role in the activation of Msn2/Msn4, we examined iron resistance in strains deleted for SNF1 and MSN2/MSN4. Deletion of SNF1 decreased iron toxicity relative to wild-type cells as did deletion of MSN2/MSN4 (Fig. 9B). There was little difference between the effects of deletion of SNF1 or MSN2/MSN4; however, $\Delta snf1\Delta msn2/4$ showed decreased resistance to iron toxicity. The decreased resistance to iron toxicity could be correlated with decreased transcription of CCC1. Deletion of YAP5 and SNF1 reduced the iron-dependent expression of CCC1-lacZ (Fig. 9C). The level of CCC1lacZ expression was lowered even further when MSN2 and MSN4 were deleted in the $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ strain. The level of *CCC1-lacZ* expression was higher in $\Delta yap5\Delta msn2/4$ than in $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1\Delta msn2/4$ cells. These results suggest that Snf1 has effects on expression of CCC1 beyond that of activating Msn2/Msn4 and that other transcription factors and signal transduction systems must be involved in Ccc1-mediated iron resistance.



Figure 5. Iron resistance requires other components of the Snf complex. *A*, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta snf1$, $\Delta snf4$, or $\Delta snf1\Delta snf4$ cells were plated on CM or CM containing high concentration of iron and grown for 2 days. *B*, wild-type and $\Delta snf1$ cells transformed with either a control vector, an *SNF1*containing plasmid, or a plasmid containing *SNF1* mutated (*mut*) in the phosphorylation site (Thr-172) were plated on either CM, CM medium containing 30 mM iron, or CM containing 0.2% low glucose. Cells were grown for 2 days. *C*, wild-type, $\Delta sip1$, $\Delta sip2$, $\Delta sip1/2$, $\Delta gal83$, or $\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells were plated on CM containing different concentration of iron and grown for 2 days.

Discussion

Iron homeostasis in all eukaryotes is dominated by the fact that iron is both required and biologically rare; that is, iron is abundant but most often is present in a biologically unavailable condition. Once accumulated by organisms, there is no physiologically regulated excretion of iron. Thus, along with regulation of iron uptake, regulation of iron storage is a critical mechanism protecting eukaryotes from iron toxicity. Fungi (3), plants (21), and Plasmodium (22) do not contain cytosolic ferritin, but rather they store iron in the vacuole. In yeast, Ccc1 is the only identified vacuolar iron importer, and its expression tightly regulates cytosolic iron concentration. Yeast expressing a functional Ccc1 are extremely iron-resistant, and overexpression of CCC1 can result in mitochondrial and cytosolic iron depletion. The level of Ccc1 is highly regulated transcriptionally, post-transcriptionally, and at the level of activity. Yap5 was identified as a major iron-dependent transcriptional activator of CCC1 and of a limited number of other genes, including *TYW1* and *GRX4* (6, 11, 15). Iron-mediated activation of Yap5 can be ascribed to the binding of mitochondrially produced Fe-S clusters to either of two cysteine-rich domains in Yap5, which results in the transcriptional activation of promoterbound Yap5 (6, 10). The binding of Fe–S clusters to the lowiron-sensing transcription factor Aft2 (1) (and presumably Aft1) decreases transcription and increases the high-iron response, suggesting that these programs are coordinated (9, 23). It has been recognized, however, that Yap5 is not the only

transcriptional regulator of *CCC1*. Loss of *YAP5* leads to increased sensitivity to iron that is not as severe as loss of *CCC1*. In the absence of *YAP5*, there is still some transcription of *CCC1*, albeit at different levels in different yeast strains (6, 8). It was suggested that *YAP5*-independent expression of *CCC1* could be ascribed to other *YAP* homologues as overexpression of a number of them led to activation of a *CCC1* promoter gene (10). However, deletion of the Yap-binding site in a *CCC1* reporter construct did not prevent iron from inducing expression in $\Delta yap5$ cells. This result implies that *CCC1* is not responding to expression of the other *YAP* genes at their endogenous levels.

Here we provide evidence that SNF1 plays a role in the regulation of CCC1. We determined that the induction of CCC1 by Snf1 is independent of Yap5, is independent of Fe-S clusters, and is specific to CCC1 as loss of SNF1 does not affect transcription of another high-iron target gene, TYW1. We further show that the Ccc1-dependent iron resistance and CCC1 transcription by Snf1 require the kinase activity of Snf1 and the subunits Gal83, Sip1, and Sip2 of the Snf1 complex. We were unable to detect direct evidence that increased iron affected the phosphorylation status of Snf1. Snf1 has been shown to mediate resistance to other metals, including calcium (24), lithium (25), cadmium, and arsenate (26). In some instances, evidence of metal-induced phosphorylation of Snf1 could be found. For example, calcium addition clearly increased Snf1 phosphorylation on Thr-210 (24). In the case of cadmium, mutation of Snf1Thr-210 prevented cadmium resistance, but the authors could not detect cadmium-dependent phosphorylation of Snf1 (26). Thus, although metals can induce phosphorylation of Snf1, depending on the metal, the effects are weak in comparison with glucose, which results in robust phosphorylation of Snf1 (12).

In considering the downstream transcription factor(s) affected by activation of Snf1, we considered Msn2 and Msn4 as candidates based on published studies that showed that Msn2 and Msn4 were activated by Snf1 (27) and that Msn2/Msn4 activation resulted in increased iron-dependent growth and induction of *CCC1* (20). We confirmed that Msn2 and Msn4 contributed to Snf1-mediated regulation of *CCC1* transcription. That yeast still show some regulation of *CCC1* transcription in the absence of *MSN2* and *MSN4* suggests that the Snf complex activates other transcription factors to protect cells from iron toxicity that are yet to be identified.

The data presented here show that transcription of the gene encoding the single vacuolar iron importer, Ccc1, is regulated by different stimuli, and iron resistance is regulated by different stimuli. In contrast, iron entry into the cytosol from the cell surface is mediated by different transporters (*Fet3/FTR1, SMF1*, and *FET4*), all of which are regulated by different transcriptional activators, permitting different responses to environmental conditions. For example, the elemental iron transporter Fet3/Ftr1 does not function under anaerobic conditions where the low-affinity iron (metal) transport system Fet4 does. This differential expression is accomplished by both transcriptional repressors for *FET4* (*e.g.* Yap1) (28) and by transcriptional repression under anaerobic conditions of the Aft1 response by Tup1/Cti6 (29). These latter repressors do not





Figure 6. Deletion of *SIP1*, *SIP2*, and *GAL83* affects *CCC1* expression. *A*, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, and $\Delta yap5\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells were plated on different concentrations of iron and grown for 2 days. *B*, wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, and $\Delta yap5\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells transformed with a *CCC1-lacZ* construct were grown in iron-containing medium (5 mM) for 4 h, and then β -galactosidase activity and cell protein were determined. *Error bars* represent S.D. *C*, wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, $\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$, or $\Delta yap5\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells were grown in iron-containing medium (5 mM) for 4 h, and then β -galactosidase activity and cell protein were determined. *Error bars* represent S.D. *C*, wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, $\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$, or $\Delta yap5\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells were grown in iron-containing medium (5 mM) for 4 h, and then Ccc1 and Vma2 levels were determined by Western blot analysis. *D*, wild-type, $\Delta snf1$, or $\Delta gal83\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ cells were plated on high iron or 0.2% low glucose and grown for 2 days.

affect Aft1-mediated siderophore gene expression, permitting expression of transporters that are not oxygen-dependent (siderophore) and inhibition of those that are oxygen-dependent (*e.g.* Fet3/Ftr1).

In contrast to iron entry into the cytosol, iron exit from the cytosol into the vacuole only occurs through Ccc1. Perhaps because there is only one iron importer that transporter is subject to regulation through different inputs. The activity of the major regulator Yap5 is regulated by Fe–S clusters, which permits it to be coordinately regulated, albeit inversely with the low-iron-sensing transcription factors Aft1/Aft2. We speculate that the additional mechanisms that regulate *CCC1* transcription are in place to increase Ccc1 levels and protect cells from

high-iron toxicity when the Fe–S cluster Yap5-mediated sensing is saturated. The ability to regulate *CCC1* expression independently of Aft1 or other members of the high-iron regulon permits a metabolic and environmental flexibility to the modulation of cytosolic iron concentration.

Experimental procedures

Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

Genotypes of strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The wild-type strains used for most experiments were from the W303 background. Single deletion of *SNF1*, *GAL83*, *SIP1*, or *SIP2* was generated in DY1640 diploid cells by PCR amplifica-

tion of the KanMX marker from the diploid deletion collection (Research Genetics). Marker switch of deletion strains was carried out by using "marker swap" plasmids obtained from David Stillman (University of Utah). Double, triple, or quadruple deletions were achieved by mating, sporulation, and dissecting the tetrads.

SNF1-8Xmyc plasmid was a gift from Marian Carlson (Columbia University). The Snf1 kinase-dead mutant K84R was a gift from Julianne H. Grose (Brigham Young University).



Thr:6XHIS ratio: 2.37 8.02 2.54 3.46 2.37 2.43 2.13 1.95

Figure 7. Addition of iron does not lead to measureable changes in Snf1 phosphorylation. Wild-type cells grown in CM with 2 or 0.05% glucose or in CM with additional 5 mM iron were harvested at the designed times. Cells were then treated as described under "Experimental procedures," loaded onto a 4–20% minigel, and transferred to nitrocellulose, and Western blot analysis with rabbit anti-Thr-172 antibody and mouse anti-His₆ antibody was performed. ', minutes.

ADH-MSN2-GFP was a gift from James R. Broach (Penn State College of Medicine). *CCC1-lacZ*, its truncation reporter constructs, and *TWY1-lacZ* were as used previously (15).

Cells were grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% dextrose) or CM (0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 0.12% dropout amino acid mixture, 2% dextrose). Media were made high iron at specific concentrations by adding ferrous ammonium sulfate to growth medium. Anaerobic growth was carried out in a BD GasPakTM EZ Anaerobe Container System.

β -Galactosidase assay

 β -Galactosidase activity was performed by a 10-min kinetic assay in a SpectraMax 190 machine from Molecular Devices described as previously (29). Protein determinations were measured using the bicinchoninic acid assay (Pierce), and enzyme activity is expressed as nmol/min/mg of protein.

Total RNA purification, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR assay

Total RNA was isolated from cells grown to mid-log phase using an Agilent RNA kit (Agilent). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using a SuperScript III kit from Invitrogen. Real-time PCR was performed in a LightCycler from Roche



Figure 8. Overexpression of *MSN2* affects *CCC1*-dependent transcription and iron resistance. *A*, wild-type, $\Delta yap5$, or $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$ cells containing *CCC1-lacZ* were transformed with either a control vector or a plasmid containing *MSN2* under the control of the *ADH* promoter. Cells were incubated in CM medium or CM medium with iron for 4 h. Cells were then processed for cell protein and β -galactosidase activity, and the data were expressed as *CCC1-lacZ* specific activity. *Error bars* represent S.D. *B*, cells as in *A* transformed with an empty vector or *MSN2*-containing plasmid were plated at serial dilutions on different concentrations of iron and grown for 2 days.





Figure 9. Deletion of MSN2/MSN4 affects CCC1-lacZ expression and iron resistance. A, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta msn2$, $\Delta msn4$, or $\Delta msn2\Delta msn4$ cells were plated on high concentrations of iron and grown for 2 days to reveal iron sensitivity. B, serial dilutions of wild-type, $\Delta snf1$, $\Delta msn2\Delta msn4$, or $\Delta snf1\Delta msn2\Delta msn4$ cells plated on high concentration of iron were grown for 3 days. C, wild-type, $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1$, $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1\Delta msn2/4$, or $\Delta yap5\Delta snn2/4$ cells transformed with a CCC1-lacZ reporter construct were incubated with iron (5 mM) for 4 h. Cells were harvested, and cell protein and β -galactosidase activity were assayed. Error bars represent S.D.

Table 1

Yeast Strains

| Yeast strain | Genotype | Source/Ref. |
|---|--|-------------|
| DY150 WT | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) | 3 |
| $\Delta ccc1$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta ccc_{1::HIS_{3}}$ | 3 |
| $\Delta snf1$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δsnf1::KanMX | This study |
| $\Delta snf4$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{snf4::His_{3-11}leu_{3-52}rp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}r$ | This study |
| $\Delta snf1\Delta snf4$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δsnf1::KanMx, Δsnf4::HIS3 | This study |
| $\Delta snf1\Delta ccc1$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δccc1::HIS3, Δsnf1::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta yap5$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta yap_{5::KanMx}$ | 6 |
| $\Delta snf1 \Delta yap5$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δyap5::KanMx, Δsnf1::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta isu1$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δisu1::KanMx | 8 |
| $\Delta snf1\Delta isu1$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δsnf1::KanMx, Δisu1::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta sip1$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) | This study |
| $\Delta sip2$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{sip_{2::Kan}Mx}$ | This study |
| $\Delta sip1\Delta sip2$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta_{sip_{1::}KanMx,}\Delta_{sip_{2::}KanMx}$ | This study |
| $\Delta gal 83$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δgal83::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta gal 83 \Delta sip 1 \Delta sip 2$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δgal83::KanMx, Δsip1::HIS3, Δsip2::LEU2 | This study |
| $\Delta yap5\Delta gal83\Delta sip1/2$ | <i>MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc)</i> Δ <i>yap5::KanMx</i> , Δ <i>snf1::KanMx</i> , Δ <i>sip1::HIS3</i> , Δ <i>sip2::LEU2</i> | This study |
| $\Delta msn2$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δmsn2::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta msn4$ | MATa $ade_{2-1}his_{3-11}leu_{2-3.112}trp_{1-1}ura_{3-52}can_{1-100(oc)}\Delta msn_4::KanMx$ | This study |
| $\Delta msn2\Delta msn4$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δmsn2::KanMx, Δmsn4::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta snf1\Delta msn2/4$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δsnf1::KanMx, Δmsn2::HIS3,Δmsn4::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta yap5\Delta msn2/4$ | MATa ade2–1 his3–11 leu2–3.112 trp1–1 ura3–52 can1–100(oc) Δyap5::LEU2, Δmsn2::HIS3, Δmsn4::KanMx | This study |
| $\Delta yap5\Delta snf1\Delta msn2/4$ | MATa ade2-1 his3-11 leu2-3.112 trp1-1 ura3-52 can1-100(oc) Δsnf1::KanMx, Δyap5::LEU2, Δmsn2::HIS3, Δmsn4::KanMx | This study |

Snf1, Msn2, and Msn4 regulate iron resistance in yeast

Applied Science. Expression levels were normalized to the internal control, ACT1, as described previously.

Western blot and Snf1 phosphorylation analyses

For Western blot assays, a crude vacuolar fraction was prepared by glass bead preparation. Rabbit anti-Ccc1 (1:500) was generated in our laboratory using purified Ccc1 as an antigen. Mouse anti-Vma2 (1:2500) was obtained from Invitrogen. Rabbit anti-human AMP-activated protein kinase Thr-172 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) successfully detects the corresponding yeast Snf1 Thr-210 phosphorylation site (30). Peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000) or goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5000) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) was used as secondary antibody. Western blots were developed using Western Lightning reagent (PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

The protocol for the Snf1 phosphorylation assay was adapted from a previous publication (30). Briefly, cells grown in CM (2% glucose), CM with 5 mM iron, or CM with 0.05% glucose for different times were rapidly frozen using liquid nitrogen. Cells were resuspended in phosphorylation inhibition buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and 1× Complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science), glass beads were added, cells were vortexed for 2 min followed by 2 min on ice 10 times, and beads and intact cells were pelleted. Cell lysates were separated by 4-20% SDS-PAGE, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose, and Western blotting was performed using rabbit anti-phospho-AMP-activated protein kinase α (Thr-172) (1:1000) (Cell Signaling Technology) and mouse anti-His₆ antibody (1:500) (Clontech) as a loading control followed by corresponding peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or -mouse IgG.

Statistical analyses

All experiments were performed a minimum of two times with two independent clones, or three independent experi-

ments with a single clone were performed. Statistics were calculated using a two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test.

Author contributions—J. K., L. L., and D. M. W. designed the experiments. L. L. performed the experiments and generated the figures. L. L., J. K., and D. M. W. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. Grose (Brigham Young University), Dr. Broach (Penn State College of Medicine), and Dr. Carlson (Columbia University) for constructs, and Dr. Grose for critically reading the manuscript.

References

- Outten, C. E., and Albetel, A. N. (2013) Iron sensing and regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: ironing out the mechanistic details. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 16, 662–668
- 2. Martínez-Pastor, M. T., Perea-García, A., and Puig, S. (2017) Mechanisms of iron sensing and regulation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **33**, 75
- Li, L., Chen, O. S., McVey Ward, D., and Kaplan, J. (2001) CCC1 is a transporter that mediates vacuolar iron storage in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 29515–29519
- Li, L., Murdock, G., Bagley, D., Jia, X., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2010) Genetic dissection of a mitochondria-vacuole signaling pathway in yeast reveals a link between chronic oxidative stress and vacuolar iron transport. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 10232–10242
- Puig, S., Askeland, E., and Thiele, D. J. (2005) Coordinated remodeling of cellular metabolism during iron deficiency through targeted mRNA degradation. *Cell* 120, 99–110
- Li, L., Bagley, D., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2008) Yap5 is an ironresponsive transcriptional activator that regulates vacuolar iron storage in yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 1326–1337
- Fernandes, L., Rodrigues-Pousada, C., and Struhl, K. (1997) Yap, a novel family of eight bZIP proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with distinct biological functions. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 6982–6993
- Li, L., Miao, R., Bertram, S., Jia, X., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2012) A role for iron-sulfur clusters in the regulation of transcription factor Yap5dependent high iron transcriptional responses in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 35709–35721
- Poor, C. B., Wegner, S. V., Li, H., Dlouhy, A. C., Schuermann, J. P., Sanishvili, R., Hinshaw, J. R., Riggs-Gelasco, P. J., Outten, C. E., and He, C. (2014) Molecular mechanism and structure of the *Saccharomyces cerevi*siae iron regulator Aft2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 4043–4048
- Rietzschel, N., Pierik, A. J., Bill, E., Lill, R., and Mühlenhoff, U. (2015) The basic leucine zipper stress response regulator Yap5 senses high-iron conditions by coordination of [2Fe-2S] clusters. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 35, 370–378
- Pimentel, C., Vicente, C., Menezes, R. A., Caetano, S., Carreto, L., and Rodrigues-Pousada, C. (2012) The role of the Yap5 transcription factor in remodeling gene expression in response to Fe bioavailability. *PLoS One* 7, e37434
- 12. Haurie, V., Boucherie, H., and Sagliocco, F. (2003) The Snf1 protein kinase controls the induction of genes of the iron uptake pathway at the diauxic shift in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 45391–45396
- Lin, H., Li, L., Jia, X., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2011) Genetic and biochemical analysis of high iron toxicity in yeast: iron toxicity is due to the accumulation of cytosolic iron and occurs under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 3851–3862

- Schilke, B., Voisine, C., Beinert, H., and Craig, E. (1999) Evidence for a conserved system for iron metabolism in the mitochondria of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **96**, 10206–10211
- Li, L., Jia, X., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2011) Yap5 protein-regulated transcription of the TYW1 gene protects yeast from high iron toxicity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 38488–38497
- Hedbacker, K., and Carlson, M. (2008) SNF1/AMPK pathways in yeast. Front. Biosci. 13, 2408–2420
- Treitel, M. A., Kuchin, S., and Carlson, M. (1998) Snf1 protein kinase regulates phosphorylation of the Mig1 repressor in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 6273–6280
- Estruch, F., and Carlson, M. (1993) Two homologous zinc finger genes identified by multicopy suppression in a SNF1 protein kinase mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13, 3872–3881
- Petrenko, N., Chereji, R. V., McClean, M. N., Morozov, A. V., and Broach, J. R. (2013) Noise and interlocking signaling pathways promote distinct transcription factor dynamics in response to different stresses. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 24, 2045–2057
- Du, Y., Cheng, W., and Li, W. F. (2012) Expression profiling reveals an unexpected growth-stimulating effect of surplus iron on the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cells* 34, 127–132
- Kim, S. A., Punshon, T., Lanzirotti, A., Li, L., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., Kaplan, J., and Guerinot, M. L. (2006) Localization of iron in *Arabidopsis* seed requires the vacuolar membrane transporter VIT1. *Science* 314, 1295–1298
- Slavic, K., Krishna, S., Lahree, A., Bouyer, G., Hanson, K. K., Vera, I., Pittman, J. K., Staines, H. M., and Mota, M. M. (2016) A vacuolar irontransporter homologue acts as a detoxifier in *Plasmodium*. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10403
- 23. Kumánovics, A., Chen, O. S., Li, L., Bagley, D., Adkins, E. M., Lin, H., Dingra, N. N., Outten, C. E., Keller, G., Winge, D., Ward, D. M., and Kaplan, J. (2008) Identification of *FRA1* and *FRA2* as genes involved in regulating the yeast iron regulon in response to decreased mitochondrial iron-sulfur cluster synthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 10276–10286
- Ohdate, T., Izawa, S., Kita, K., and Inoue, Y. (2010) Regulatory mechanism for expression of GPX1 in response to glucose starvation and Ca in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: involvement of Snf1 and Ras/cAMP pathway in Ca signaling. *Genes Cells* 15, 59–75
- Portillo, F., Mulet, J. M., and Serrano, R. (2005) A role for the non-phosphorylated form of yeast Snf1: tolerance to toxic cations and activation of potassium transport. *FEBS Lett.* 579, 512–516
- Thorsen, M., Perrone, G. G., Kristiansson, E., Traini, M., Ye, T., Dawes, I. W., Nerman, O., and Tamás, M. J. (2009) Genetic basis of arsenite and cadmium tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Genomics* 10, 105
- Yun, C. W., Ferea, T., Rashford, J., Ardon, O., Brown, P. O., Botstein, D., Kaplan, J., and Philpott, C. C. (2000) Desferrioxamine-mediated iron uptake in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Evidence for two pathways of iron uptake. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 10709–10715
- Caetano, S. M., Menezes, R., Amaral, C., Rodrigues-Pousada, C., and Pimentel, C. (2015) Repression of the low affinity iron transporter gene *FET4*. A novel mechanism against cadmium toxicity orchestrated by Yap1 via Rox1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 18584–18595
- Crisp, R. J., Adkins, E. M., Kimmel, E., and Kaplan, J. (2006) Recruitment of Tup1p and Cti6p regulates heme deficient expression of Aft1p target genes. *EMBO J.* 25, 512–521
- Ruiz, A., Xu, X., and Carlson, M. (2011) Roles of two protein phosphatases, Reg1-Glc7 and Sit4, and glycogen synthesis in regulation of SNF1 protein kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 6349–6354

