Tetrahedron Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2017 February 1; 58(5): 396–400. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.12.018.

Conversion of Methyl Ketones and Methyl Sulfones into α -Deutero- α , α -Difluoromethyl Ketones and α -Deutero- α , α -**Difluoromethyl Sulfones in Three Synthetic Steps**

Munia F. Sowaileha, Changho Hanb, Robert A. Hazlitta, Eun Hoo Kimc, Jinu P. Johnd, and David A. Colby^a

^aDepartment of BioMolecular Sciences, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi, 38677, USA

^bDepartment of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, 37232, USA

Department of Chemistry and Physical Sciences, Methodist University, Fayetteville, North Carolina, 28311, USA

^dDSM Chemicals, Frankfort, Indiana, 46401, USA

Abstract

Deuterodifluoromethyl ketones and sulfones were assembled in three synthetic steps from methyl ketones and sulfones, respectively. The key synthetic transformation is the deuteration of the difluorocarbanion generated by the release of trifluoroacetate from highly a-fluorinated gemdiols. High levels of deuterium on the "CF₂D" group were routinely observed. This strategy is mild and versatile and it can be applied to both ketones and sulfones without additional concerns of over- or under-fluorination. Additional examples address issues of over-deuteration when compounds with other acidic protons are subjected to the reaction conditions. This process not only demonstrates a new method to install a "CF₂D" group but also extends the scope of trifluoroacetate release to sulfones.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

fluorine; enolate; deuteration; ketones; base

Correspondence to: David A. Colby.

Fluorinated organic compounds are particularly attractive to the pharmaceutical industry, because fluorination may increase potency, modulate basicity, or enhance resistance to metabolic transformations. Accordingly, an increasing number of newly approved pharmaceuticals display a fluorine on their structure. Another more recent strategy to address drug metabolism is the incorporation of deuterium in the place of hydrogen atom. Although this process is substantially less explored compared to fluorination in medicinal chemistry, deuteration is commonly used in organic chemistry to label compounds for mechanistic or kinetic studies. The convergence of these two fields has yielded some examples of organic molecules that display both fluorine and deuterium; however, this area is widely under-developed and there are few synthetic methods to access these unique structures.

The two existing " CF_2D " reagents have been derived from trifluoromethylation reagents, however a deuterium replaces a fluorine atom. The most recognizable example is trimethyl(deuterodifluoromethyl)silane 1, derived from the Ruppert-Prakash reagent, but the reagent 1 has only been reported to transfer deuterium and not the " CF_2D " group (Scheme 1). The other reagent, sulfoximine 2, has been prepared twice, but the levels of deuterium incorporation on the " CF_2D " group too low to enable its use. The other published compounds arise from quenching difluorinated anions with D_2O or CD_3OD , yet none of these reports are primarily directed to the synthesis of deuterofluorocarbons. The only exception is the synthesis of 19-deutero-19,19-difluoroandrost-4-3,17-dione (3) which was conducted to study the mechanism of inactivation of aromatase. Herein, we report that deuterodifluoromethyl ketones and sulfones can be readily accessed via deuteration of the difluoroenolate generated from highly α -fluorinated gem-diols.

In 2011, we reported that the base-mediated fragmentation of highly α -fluorinated gemdiols produces difluoroenolates that readily participate in aldol reactions (Scheme 2). This mild yet powerful process releases trifluoroacetate and has enabled the reaction of difluoroenolates with halogenation reagents, 21,22 imines, 23 trifluoromethyl ketones, 24 and disulfides. Also, the difluoroenolates can be treated with water to produce difluoromethyl ketones without concerns of over- or under-fluorinated by-products. Based on these prior data, we hypothesized that a difluoroenolate, formed following the release of trifluoroacetate, could be effectively trapped with deuterium. We envisioned that this method could assemble "CF₂D" groups adjacent to ketones with high levels of deuterium incorporation and furthermore, we aimed to conduct side-by-side comparisons between the pronated and deuterated products (see below).

The requisite highly α -fluorinated gem-diols **4–14** were synthesized as described in the literature (Table 1). $^{20-22}$ Specifically, this class of compound can be access from methyl ketones by trifluoroacetylation followed by difluorination. Next, we treated substrates **4–14** in a 3:1 mixture of H₂O and THF with Et₃N (4 equiv.) and received the difluoromethyl ketones **15–25** in good to excellent yields (i.e. 71–99%). Phenyl- and heteroaromatic substituted ketones are compatible with the process, but LiBr was added to the reaction mixture in the case of the thiophene **7**. Alkyl substituted and α , β -unsaturated ketones participate in the process as well. Also, these data correlate well with similar studies conducted by Pattison and coworkers using CH₃CN as solvent, 26 however, the mixture of

THF and water are more adaptable to the subsequent deuteration studies. Notable, examples of complex difluoromethyl ketones are the steroid- and glucose-derived compounds 24 and 25, respectively. Although no epimerization of the α -stereogenic center of the steroid derivative 24 was observed, the basic conditions did promote epimerization of the α -benzyloxy substituent on 25. Clearly, the center on the latter compound is more acidic than the former; however, the subtle differences among the fluorinated ketones 21–25 will play a more prominent role in creating deuterated analogues. Specifically, processes to prevent over-deuteration as well as under deuteration must be identified.

The deactivation of carbanion formation from α -fluoro substituents was shown in 1976 by Hine. ²⁷ Specifically, the rate of deuterium exchange was considerably slower in methyl difluoroacetate than methyl acetate when compared in methanold with sodium methoxide. Subsequent computation studies ²⁸ and synthetic studies ²⁹ have further supported that fluorine substitution can have a dramatic effect on acidity of neighboring protons. For example, NaH-mediated deprotonation of 1-cyclohexyl-2,2-difluoro-1-ethanone followed by trapping with TMSCl fails to generate the difluoroenolate as reported by Liu and Zhou (Scheme 3). ²⁹ To demonstrate that difluoromethyl ketones cannot be used to assemble the "CF₂D" group, difluoromethyl ketone **21** was treated with Et₃N in a mixture of 3:1 D₂O and THF. Across 24 hours, deuteration occurred slowly at the CH₂ group adjacent to ketone, but the "CF₂H" group was untouched as observed ¹⁹F NMR. The undesired deuterated product **26** was isolated in 83% yield.

Treatment of the pentafluorinated gem-diols with D_2O in the place of water in the presence of base provided the desired deuterodifluoromethyl ketones 27–35 (Table 2). Levels of deuterium incorporation were typically 97–99% as determined by ^{19}F NMR. This method is a powerful process to access the " CF_2D " groups which is devoid of under- or overfluorination as well as low levels of deuteration. It is fully compatible with aryl, heteroaryl, alkenyl, and alkyl substituted ketones. The case of the steroid-based derivative 34 shows that the " CF_2D " group can be installed on complex structures; however, the formation of glucose derivative 35 demonstrates that the other α -position is subjected to deuteration under these conditions. Specifically, analyses of HRMS, 1H , ^{13}C , and ^{19}F NMR confirmed that no additional deuterium atoms were present on steroid 34, yet the presence of the additional deuterium was readily observed for glucose 35. These data prompted additional studies to address the potential of over-deuteration.

Over-deuteration of difluoromethyl ketones can be readily addressed due to the differences in acidity of the two α -carbons. For example, treatment of the gem-diol 10 with D_2O and Et_3N provides the product 36 with complete deuteration of all three α -protons (Scheme 4). Subsequently, if the trideuterated compound 36 is subjected to Et_3N and H_2O , only two of the deuteriums are exchanged and the " CF_2D " remains intact on 37. A similar result occurred during the deuteration of 11 to give 38.

Difluoromethyl sulfones are an important class of fluorinated building blocks that have been championed by Prakash. 30,31 Despite the widespread use of these reagents, the deuterated analogues have not been established. In order extend the scope of this strategy and produce another group of "CF₂D" compounds, we synthesized the requisite highly α -fluorinated

gem-diols from the methylphenylsulfones **39–42** (Table 3). The two-step trifluoroacetylation and difluorination protocol²⁰ provided the gem-diols **43–46**.

The base-promoted release of trifluoroacetate in the presence of H_2O or D_2O provided the difluoromethyl sulfones **47–50** or deuterodifluoromethyl sulfones **51–54**, respectively (Table 4). Again, deuteration occurred in very high levels (i.e., >96% by ¹⁹F NMR) and yields were very good. Also, trifluoroacetate release still occurs exclusively, regardless of the exchange of the ketone group with a sulfone group.

In conclusion, deuterodifluoromethyl ketones and sulfones were assembled with high levels of deuterium on the " CF_2D " group. This strategy is mild and versatile as it can be applied to both ketones and sulfones without concerns of over- or under-fluorination. Additional examples were provided to address issues of over-deuteration when compounds with other acidic protons are subjected to the reaction conditions. This process not only demonstrates a new method to install a " CF_2D " group but also extends the scope of trifluoroacetate release to sulfones.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this work was provided by the University of Mississippi, the American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy as a New Pharmacy Faculty Research Award, the National Institute on Aging (R21AG039718), and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (P20GM104932). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The authors acknowledge the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility of the University of Notre Dame for acquisition of high-resolution mass spectrometry data.

References

- 1. Muller K, Faeh C, Diederich F. Science. 2007; 317:1881–1886. [PubMed: 17901324]
- 2. Hagmann WK. J. Med. Chem. 2008; 51:4359–4369. [PubMed: 18570365]
- 3. Mullard A. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2016; 15:219-221. [PubMed: 27032821]
- 4. Timmins GS. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2014; 24:1067–1075. [PubMed: 25069517]
- 5. Furth PS, Robinson CH. Biochemistry. 1989; 28:1254–1259. [PubMed: 2653438]
- 6. Giovanelli E, Leroux S, Moisan L, Carreyre H, Thuéry P, Buisson D-A, Meddour A, Coustard J-M, Thibaudeau S, Rousseau B, Nicolas M, Hellier P, Doris E. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:4116–4119. [PubMed: 21732596]
- Kerekes AD, Esposite SJ, Doll RJ, Tagat JR, Yu T, Xiao Y, Zhang Y, Prelusky DB, Tevar S, Gray K, Terracina GA, Lee S, Jones J, Liu M, Basso AD, Smith EB. J. Med. Chem. 2011; 54:201–210. [PubMed: 21128646]
- 8. Richardson DP, Bauer GS, Bravo AA, Drzyzga M, Motazedi T, Pelegri-O'Day EM, Poudyal S, Suess DLM, Thoman JW Jr. J. Fluorine Chem. 2015; 180:208–215.
- 9. Fier PS, Hartwig JF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:5524–5527. [PubMed: 22397683]
- 10. Zhang W, Wang F, Hu J. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:2109-2112. [PubMed: 19368376]
- 11. Surya Prakash GK, Hu J, Olah GA. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001; 112:355-360.
- 12. Griffith GA, Hillier IH, Percy JM, Roig R, Vincent MA. J. Org. Chem. 2006; 71:8250–8255. [PubMed: 17025319]

13. Aliouane L, Rigaud B, Pfund E, Jean L, Renard P-Y, Lequeux T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011; 52:3681–3685.

- 14. Hu M, Wang F, Zhao Y, He Z, Zhang W, Hu J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2012; 135:45-58.
- 15. Thomoson CS, Dolbier WR. J. Org. Chem. 2013; 78:8904–8908. [PubMed: 23931184]
- Aikawa K, Maruyama K, Honda K, Mikami K. Org. Lett. 2015; 17:4882–4885. [PubMed: 26401894]
- 17. Dang H, Whittaker AM, Lalic G. Chem. Sci. 2016; 7:505-509.
- 18. Ismalaj E, Le Bars D, Billard T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016; 55:4790-4793.
- 19. Lin Q-Y, Xu X-H, Zhang K, Qing F-L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016; 55:1479-1483.
- 20. Han C, Kim EH, Colby DA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:5802-5805. [PubMed: 21443226]
- 21. John JP, Colby DA. J. Org. Chem. 2011; 76:9163-9168. [PubMed: 21995668]
- 22. Hazlitt RA, John JP, Tran Q-L, Colby DA. Tetrahedron Letters. 2016; 57:1906–1908. [PubMed: 27182091]
- 23. Xie C, Wu L, Mei H, Soloshonok VA, Han J, Pan Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014; 55:5908–5910.
- 24. Zhang P, Wolf C. J. Org. Chem. 2012; 77:8840-8844. [PubMed: 22992005]
- 25. Lin Y-M, Yi W-B, Shen W-Z, Lu G-P. Org. Lett. 2016; 18:592–595. [PubMed: 26756567]
- 26. Leng DJ, Black CM, Pattison G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016; 14:1531–1535. [PubMed: 26695741]
- 27. Hine J, Mahone LG, Liotta CL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967; 89:5911-5920.
- 28. Castejon HJ, Wiberg KB. J. Org. Chem. 1998; 63:3937-3942.
- 29. Liu Y-L, Zhou J. Chem. Commun. 2012; 48:1919-1921.
- 30. Prakash GKS, Ni C, Wang F, Hu J, Olah GA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:2559-2563.
- 31. Prakash GKS, Hu J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007; 40:921–930. [PubMed: 17708659]

Highlights

- Deuterodifluoromethyl ketones and sulfones are prepared in three synthetic steps.
- High levels of deuterium on the "CF₂D" group are observed.
- Over- and under-fluorinated by-products are not isolated using this process.


F₂DC

Ĥ

O NTs
$$\mathsf{TMS-CF}_2\mathsf{D} \qquad \mathsf{Ph}^{\mathsf{S}} \mathsf{CF}_2\mathsf{D}$$

$$\mathbf{1} \qquad \mathbf{2}$$

Scheme 1. Notable compounds displaying a " CF_2D " group.



Scheme 2. Reactions of difluoroenolates formed by the release of trifluoroacetate from highly α -fluorinated gem-diols.

Scheme 3. Examples of deactivated carbanion formation from α -fluorosubstituents.

10
$$Et_3N, D_2O$$
THF, rt, 80%

36 (98% D)

 Et_3N, D_2O
THF, rt, 79%

THF, rt, 83%

38 (98% D)

38 (98% D)

Scheme 4.

Additional deuterium incorporation studies on 10 and 11.

Table 1

Preparation of difluoromethyl ketones 15–25.

| Entry | Substrate | Major Product | $Yield^a$ |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 | O HO OH CF ₃ | CF ₂ H | 96% |
| 2 | ОНО ОН F F СБ3 5 | CF ₂ H | 88% |
| 3 | CI CHO OH CF3 6 | CF ₂ H | 71% |
| 4 | OHO OH CF ₃ | CF ₂ H | _{92%} b |
| 5 | F F S 8 | CF ₂ H | 96% |
| 6 | OHO OH CF ₃ | CF ₂ H | 78% b |
| 7 | OHO OH CF ₃ | CF ₂ H | 99% |
| 8 | Оно он F F | O CF2H 22 | 88% |
| 9 | O HO OH CF ₃ | CF ₂ H | 94% |
| 10 | TBSO OHO OH | TBSO O CF2H | 94% |

| Entry | Substrate | Major Product | Yield ^a |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 11 | O BnO OHO OH O CF ₃ | 0 BnO O CF ₂ H | 74% (d.r. = 5:3) |

^aIsolated yields.

b LiBr was added.

Table 2

Preparation of deuterodifluoromethyl ketones 27–35.

OHO OH
$$CF_3$$
 CF_3 CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D CF_2D

| Entry | Substrate | Major Product | Yield ^a | % D ^b |
|-------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 4 | O CF ₂ D 27 | 89% | 98% |
| 2 | 5 | O CF ₂ D 28 | 86% | 98% |
| 3 | 6 | CF ₂ D 29 | 47% | 96% |
| 4 | 7 | CF ₂ D 30 | 89% | 97% |
| 5 | 8 | CF ₂ D 31 | 90% | 98% |
| 6 | 9 | CF ₂ D 32 | 26% ^C | 99% |
| 7 | 12 | CF ₂ D 33 | 90% | 99% |
| 8 | 13 | TBSO O CF ₂ D | 81% | 99% |
| 9 | 14 | 35 BnÖ BnÖ D CF ₂ D | 76% | 98% (d.r. = 4:3) |

Isolated yields.

 $[^]b$ Percent deuterium incorporation were determined by 19 F NMR, see Supporting Information for details.

 $^{^{}C}$ The major product was the dimer and it was isolated in 69% yield, see Supporting Information for details.

Table 3

Preparation of fluorosulfone-based gem-diols 43-46.

$$\begin{array}{c} O O \\ R \\ CH_3 \\ 39-42 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1) \text{ LiHMDS, } CF_3CO_2CH_2CF_3 \\ \hline 2) \text{ Selectfluor} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} O \\ R \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} O \\ R \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} O \\ R \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} O \\ CF_3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

| Entry | Substrate | Major Product | Yield ^a |
|-------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | O, O S, CH ₃ | O HO OH S CF ₃ | 87% |
| 2 | CI O O CH ₃ | CI Q OHO OH S CF ₃ | 93% |
| 3 | F CH ₃ | P F F F 45 | 82% |
| 4 | O.O. S.CH ₃ 42 | O,OHO OH F F CF ₃ | 71% |

^aIsolated yields.

Table 4

Preparation of difluoromethyl sulfones 47-50 and deuterodifluoromethyl sulfones 51-54.

conditions

OHO N

| Entry | Substrate | Conditions | Major Product | Dr.1- 23 | 45.50 |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|----------|-------|
| , m | Substrate | Commissions | major i roduct | Yield | % D |
| - | 43 | Et ₃ N, H ₂ O | O, O S, OF ₂ H 47 | 87% | na |
| 2 | 4 | $\mathrm{Et_{3}N, H_{2}O}$ | CI O O CI O CI | quant. | na |
| κ | 45 | $\mathrm{Et_3N, H_2O}$ | O, O S, OF ₂ H 49 | %68 | na |
| 4 | 46 | $\mathrm{Et_3N, H_2O}$ | O, O | 85% | na |
| Ś | 43 | $\rm Et_3N, D_2O$ | 0,0 0,0 CF ₂ D 51 | 74% | %26 |
| 9 | 4 | $\rm Et_3N, D_2O$ | CI O O CI | %96 | %96 |
| 7 | 45 | $\rm Et_3N, D_2O$ | O, O S, OF ₂ D 53 | %08 | %86 |
| ∞ | 46 | Et ₃ N, D ₂ O | O, O | 91% | %86 |
| | | | | | |

 a Isolated yields.

 b Percent deuterium incorporation were determined by 19 F NMR, see Supporting Information for details.