



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Kidney Int. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Kidney Int. 2017 October ; 92(4): 972–978. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2017.03.018.

The Perceived Medical Condition Self-Management Scale can be applied to patients with chronic kidney disease

Marcus G. Wild, BA^{1,2,3}, Kenneth A. Wallston, PhD^{1,2,3}, Jamie A. Green, MD, MS⁴, Lauren B. Beach, PhD, JD^{2,3}, Ebele Umeukeje, MD, MPH^{2,3}, Julie A. Wright-Nunes, MD, MPH⁵, T. Alp Ikizler, MD^{2,3}, Julia Steed, FNP-BC¹, and Kerri L. Cavanaugh, MD, MHS^{2,3}

¹Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

²Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

³Vanderbilt Center for Kidney Disease, Nashville, TN

⁴Geisinger Health System, Danville, PA

⁵University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Abstract

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a major burden on patients and the healthcare system. Treatment of CKD requires dedicated involvement from both caretakers and patients. Self-efficacy, also known as perceived competence, contributes to successful maintenance of patient's CKD self-management behaviors such as medication adherence and dietary regulations. Despite a clear association between self-efficacy and improved CKD outcomes, there remains a lack of validated self-report measures of CKD self-efficacy. To address this gap, the Perceived Kidney/Dialysis Self-Management Scale (PKDSMS) was adapted from the previously validated Perceived Medical Condition Self-Management Scale. We then sought to validate this using data from two separate cohorts: a cross-sectional investigation of 146 patients with end-stage renal disease receiving maintenance hemodialysis and a longitudinal study of 237 patients with CKD not receiving dialysis. The PKDSMS was found to be positively and significantly correlated with self-management behaviors and medication adherence in both patient cohorts. The PKDSMS had acceptable reliability, was internally consistent, and exhibited predictive validity between baseline PKDSMS scores and self-management behaviors across multiple time points. Thus, the PKDSMS is a valid and reliable measure of CKD patient self-efficacy and supports the development of interventions enhancing perceived competence to improve CKD self-management.

Address correspondence to Kerri L. Cavanaugh, MD, MHS, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, 1161 21st Ave S, Medical Center North S-3223, Nashville, TN 37232 kerri.cavanaugh@vanderbilt.edu
Phone: +1 615-936-7306.

Disclosure The authors have no competing interests to disclose.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

self-efficacy; perceived competence; chronic kidney disease; validation; self-report

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) affects more than thirteen percent of individuals in the United States.¹ Favorable outcomes are attainable, but are impossible without sufficient ability of the patient to manage their care when away from the treatment facility.² Chronic disease self-management can be defined as a set of skilled behaviors performed to manage one's own illness.³ CKD patients must be able to perform activities to manage CKD-related symptoms such as excessive thirst, sleep problems, muscle cramps and edema.² They must also be able to take prescription medications⁴; care for vascular accesses if receiving dialysis⁵; and maintain diet, exercise, fluid intake, and vaccination recommendations.⁶ Unfortunately, participation in these self-management behaviors by patients with kidney disease is low,^{5, 7, 8} often due to impaired understanding of the tasks and their importance for sustained good health. Non-adherence to self-care behaviors has been associated with increased mortality risk.^{9–11}

A number of psychological factors contributing to enhanced adherence and better treatment outcomes in CKD patients have been identified.¹² Self-efficacy,¹³ the self-belief that one can successfully carry out a specific behavior in a specific situation, has been shown to be predictive of improved health behaviors and outcomes broadly^{14–16} and in CKD specifically.^{4, 8} In the few studies of self-efficacy in CKD,^{17–25} a broad range of scales have been used, ranging from generalized self-efficacy^{19, 26} to self-efficacy in restricting dietary sodium.²⁰ Overall, the literature supports that self-efficacy in CKD is positively associated with medication adherence, quality of life, treatment adherence, education, and self-care behaviors, and negatively associated with depression, with gender and age having been shown to be modifying factors.^{21–25}

Perceived competence^{15, 27} is a term used to describe a more general concept of self-efficacy that similarly contributes to the belief that one can perform goal-directed behaviors across situations to ultimately achieve desired outcomes. Because Bandura's definition of self-efficacy relates to specific behaviors, measures of perceived competence can be more readily applied to chronic diseases, which require a coordination of many behaviors during the course of treatment. Guided by early measures of perceived competence,^{11, 28, 29} the Perceived Medical-Condition Self-Management Scale (PMCSMS) was designed as a generic template to measure perceived competence that could be modified for use with a variety of diseases.³⁰ Since then, condition-specific versions using the PMCSMS template have been found to be reliable and valid among persons with diabetes, HIV/AIDS, and various rheumatologic conditions.^{30–32}

Despite the associations between health-related self-efficacy and positive health outcomes, there remains a lack of a brief, validated measure of *perceived health competence* as it relates to CKD. One measure, the 25-item chronic kidney disease self-efficacy (CKD-SE) instrument was validated in a population of Taiwanese patients with CKD.¹⁹ It has been used

in pilot studies and displays good psychometric qualities. However, the length of the CKD-SE measure limits its utility in clinical settings. Thus, a brief, efficient measure of CKD-specific perceived health competence is still necessary.

The objective of this study is to describe the psychometric properties of an 8-item CKD-specific version of the PMCSMS. The scale examined is not a new instrument, but rather an adaptation of a previously validated template designed to be tailored to specific medical conditions. Based upon previous findings using the PMCSMS, the Perceived Kidney/Dialysis Self-Management Scale (PKDSMS) is expected to be internally consistent and stable over time. To establish the construct validity of the PKDSMS in patients with kidney disease, we compared the results of this self-management self-efficacy scale with an index of self-management behaviors and with health outcomes. Specifically, we hypothesized that higher perceived competence would be related to higher health literacy, better overall health status, more kidney disease self-care behaviors, lower blood pressure and serum phosphorus levels.

Results

Data from two separately collected participant samples (Table 1) were used to validate the PKDSMS. The first sample is from a cross-sectional evaluation of the PKDSMS and other psychosocial measures in patients receiving hemodialysis. The second sample is from a short-term, longitudinal, clinical trial that investigated the efficacy of an educational intervention on medication adherence in non-dialysis CKD clinic patients. The study populations differed in severity of kidney disease and demographic composition, though both studies recruited from the same geographic region.

Participant Characteristics

The HD sample consisted of 51% males, with 72% self-reporting their race as non-white, with the majority reporting as Black or African American. On average, the 146 participants were 52 years old ($SD = 14$), received HD therapy for 4 years, completed 12.8 ($SD = 3.1$) years of school and had a serum phosphorus level of 6.0 mg/dL. Approximately 26% of the sample reported a yearly income less than \$10,000 while a similar percentage reported an income of more than \$40,000 per year. Nearly two-thirds of the participants self-rated their health as “good-to-excellent” while the remaining rated it as “fair” or “poor.”

The CKD sample consisted of 55% females; 83% of whom self-identified their race as White, while 16% identified themselves as Black or African American. On average, the 237 participants were 57 years old ($SD=12$), had an eGFR of 32.7 ml/min ($SD=14.0$), a systolic blood pressure of 137 mmHg at baseline, and had completed 14.0 years of school ($SD=3.0$). Approximately 6% of participants reported an annual income of less than \$10,000 per year, while 38% reported an annual income of \$60,000 or more. Approximately two-thirds of participants endorsed that their health in general was “good” to “excellent” (Table 1).

Performance on the PKDSMS

For the 146 participants in the HD sample, the mean item scores for the PKDSMS ranged from 2 to 5 (on a scale from 1 to 5) with a grand item mean of 3.84. For the 237 participants

in the CKD sample, the average item scores on the PKDSMS ranged from 1.71 to 5, with a grand item mean of 3.85 (Table 2). Therefore, on average, participants in both studies somewhat agreed that they could self-manage their kidney disease. Individual item responses ranged from 1 to 5 for every item, indicating that the full range of responses was utilized by participants. Patients in the CKD sample with Stage 3 CKD (eGFR between 30 and 60 mL/min/1.73 m²), had a virtually identical mean score on the PKDSMS ($\mu=0.012$, $p=0.99$) to those with Stage 4 or 5 CKD (eGFR of <30 mL/min/1.73 m²). This suggests that severity of disease did not significantly impact responses on the PKDSMS.

Internal Consistency and Test-Retest Reliability of the PKDSMS

Item analyses of the PKDSMS revealed a Cronbach's alpha of 0.76 in the HD sample based on an average inter-item correlation of 0.29, and an alpha of 0.83 based on an average inter-item correlation of 0.39 in the CKD sample. The item analyses also revealed that Cronbach's alpha would not be meaningfully improved if any one item were to be deleted from the eight-item scale, thus all eight items were retained (Table 2).

Test-retest reliability analysis using data from the longitudinal CKD sample found that the PKDSMS was stable over multiple administrations. When analyzed in the pilot trial's control group participants, the correlation between the baseline and 3 month administration of the PKDSMS was moderate, $r=0.47$, 95% CI (0.30–0.61), $p<0.0001$. This moderate correlation held even when baseline and 6 month administrations were compared, $r=0.47$, 95% CI (0.31–0.61), $p<0.0001$.

Validity of the PKDSMS

Table 3 shows the correlations between PKDSMS scores and measures of self-management behaviors and health outcomes. The strongest relationship [CKD sample: $r=0.29$, 95% CI (0.17–0.40); HD sample: $r=0.27$, 95% CI (0.11–0.42)] was with the summary score for the Kidney Disease Behavior Inventory (KDBI). Also as shown in Table 3, the PKDSMS correlated significantly in the HD sample with seven of the 16 self-management behaviors that constituted the KDBI, and with nine of the 14 self-management behaviors in the CKD sample.

In both samples, the relationship between the PKDSMS scores and measures of self-reported health status [CKD sample: $r=0.26$, 95% CI (0.13–0.37); HD sample: $r=0.30$, 95% CI (0.15–0.44)] and health literacy [CKD sample: $r=0.14$, 95% CI (0.01–0.27); HD sample: $r=0.26$, 95% CI (0.10–0.40)] were positive and significant. PKDSMS scores were not significantly related to any of the selected demographic or background variables: age, gender, race, years of education, number of years receiving dialysis, or family income, or having taken a renal replacement therapy education class. The PKDSMS was negatively associated with serum phosphorus [$r=-0.20$, 95% CI (–0.35,–0.04), $p<0.05$] in the HD sample, and for the CKD sample with 3-month [$r=-0.24$, 95% CI (–0.38,–0.09), $p<0.01$] and 6-month [$r=-0.22$, 95% CI (–0.34,–0.08), $p<0.01$] systolic blood pressure. Regression analyses adjusting for age, gender, education and eGFR found that higher PKDSMS scores remained associated with systolic blood pressure at 3-months [β (95%CI): –0.69 (–1.38 – –0.01); $p=0.048$] and 6-months [–0.55 (–1.11 – 0.02); $p=0.057$] although no longer

statistically significant. PKDSMS scores were not significantly associated with baseline systolic blood pressure in this sample.

Relationship of PKDSMS scores to follow-up Self-care Behaviors in non-dialysis CKD

The PKDSMS for the 113 participants in the usual care arm of the CKD sample were used to predict self-care behaviors at the study's 3- and 6-month time points. Results from this sample showed that participants' scores on the baseline PKDSMS were significantly, positively correlated with the KDBI at the 3-month [$r=0.20$, 95% CI (0.00–0.38), $p=0.05$] and 6-month [$r=0.27$, 95% CI (0.09–0.44), $p<0.01$] time points. Regression models adjusting for age, gender, education and eGFR showed similar findings (β (95% CI): 0.32 (0.15 – 0.47); $p<0.001$).

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that the PKDSMS, a kidney disease-specific scale modified from the PMCSMS template, is a reliable and valid assessment of self-efficacy or perceived competence in performing self-management behaviors related to kidney disease care. The PMCSMS had been previously validated for use when tailored to address other chronic illnesses (e.g., diabetes³⁰ and HIV³²) and can be confidently applied in patients with kidney disease. Further, the similar performance of the HD and CKD samples, as well as the lack of difference between patients with Stage 3 and Stage 4/5 CKD, indicates that the PKDSMS can be used across the range of kidney disease severity. These findings add to previously published CKD research supporting the relationship between self-efficacy and self-management behavior,^{4, 17, 19, 33} and are consistent with Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory³⁴ which would posit that patients' perceived ability to perform goal-oriented tasks is significantly related to their self-report of self-management activities. The finding that PKDSMS scores were not significantly related to any of the selected demographic variables demonstrates discriminant validity for the PKDSMS. The significant correlations found between the PKDSMS and self-care behaviors, while modest, are consistent with other studies describing factors contributing to self-care behaviors and clinical outcomes,^{4, 17, 19, 35, 36} and still account for significant portions of the observed variance.

The PKDSMS benefits from being a measure of self-efficacy as it relates specifically to CKD. Perhaps in part due to construct validation concerns³⁷, generalized self-efficacy has not been the construct of choice for most studies investigating self-efficacy in healthcare settings.³⁸ It should also be noted that there have been studies,³⁹ particularly from earlier self-efficacy research, which have used health locus of control measures to make claims about self-efficacy in patients with CKD and ESRD. However, it has been extensively noted in the literature that it is a misconception to infer health-related self-efficacy from health locus of control measures.^{15, 27, 40} An internal health locus of control orientation merely signifies an individual believes in the relationship between their behavior and health outcomes, and does not necessarily mean that the individual feels capable of carrying out the behavior.¹⁵ Instruments such as the PKDSMS, based on an easily modifiable template, are preferred as a measure of health self-efficacy than are measures of health locus of control beliefs.⁴⁰

Based on the initial relationships with health outcomes examined in this study, interventions to increase CKD patients' self-management self-efficacy could be a target for future research. The correlations between the PKDSMS and both serum phosphorus and blood pressure are encouraging. Both phosphorus and blood pressure control are vital clinical assessments in the management of dialysis and CKD, respectively, and both are highly reliant on the patient's adherence to medications and treatment more generally. The opportunity to quickly screen patients with CKD or receiving dialysis to identify individuals with low perceived competence will be a valuable target for interventions to these behaviors and related health outcomes. Interventions such as integrative health coaching^{41, 42} and health literacy and numeracy-sensitive education⁴³ have been effectively applied in diabetes and other chronic diseases, and should be considered for CKD-specific perceived competence interventions.

Self-report of participating in self-management activities was relatively common in these CKD samples. Despite these high scores, there was sufficient variability within the two samples for the correlations with CKD perceived competence of self-management to be statistically significant. This also indicates that although the scores are high, there is still room for improvement. The test-retest correlations among the three Kidney Disease Behaviors Index administrations in the CKD sample indicate that it, too, is a highly reliable instrument which can be another valuable tool for evaluating self-management in the context of kidney disease.

Though our sample of patients with CKD is relatively large and representative, the majority of those receiving dialysis consisted of non-White, Black or African American participants. The demographic characteristics of the dialysis sample are, however, comparable to the demographic characteristics of individuals in the U.S. diagnosed with ESRD.⁴⁴ Further testing with more diverse groups is needed to bolster the case for the measure's external validity and generalizability in dialysis more broadly. No measure of social desirability bias was included in this study, so we cannot rule out the influence of such a bias in the self-report of these behaviors. Moreover, self-care behaviors were measured by self-report and these behaviors were not objectively verified. In the future, observation by professional medical personnel or additional clinical and behavioral measurements could further validate the frequency with which CKD patients actually perform self-care activities. However, self-report of behaviors, such as medication adherence, are widely accepted in both research and clinical quality improvement programs. Finally, although the temporal stability of other variants of the PKDSMS have been established previously, the measurement of consistency of the PKDSMS in the CKD sample over the course of a 3-month interval was longer than desirable for test-retest evaluation.

The PKDSMS is both an efficient and comprehensive measure, and future research can firmly establish its use to inform directed self-management interventions in place of generic self-management tools.⁴⁵ Future work will benefit from longitudinal designs that can elucidate causal relationships that may exist among self-efficacy, affect, optimism, and mood, and as a result further refine intervention targets to improve health behaviors and related health outcomes. Future work will also benefit from exploring any differences that may exist in these relationships across CKD disease severity. The PKDSMS, by

demonstrating reliability and validity as a brief, usable measure of perceived competence, shows excellent promise as a tool for exploring these relationships and identifying avenues for interventions to improve CKD patient health outcomes and quality of life. The results of the present study illustrate the utility of the PKDSMS in research and its promise for potential future use in clinical practice.

Methods

Participants and Procedures

Cross-sectional evaluation of the PKDSMS in ESRD patients on hemodialysis

—Participants in the hemodialysis sample were recruited from four outpatient dialysis units in the Nashville, Tennessee area, including two affiliated with Vanderbilt University Medical Center. Two hundred twenty-eight eligible patients were approached, of which sixty-six potential participants declined participation and 12 withdrew after giving written consent. The remaining 150 patients (66% of those eligible) were all English-speaking adults older than 18 years of age, receiving chronic hemodialysis (HD) for at least one month, with adequate vision and cognitive function. Four of these participants did not complete the PKDSMS and were therefore not used in analysis. After obtaining written informed consent, participants were administered self-report measures by trained research personnel and key lab values such as serum phosphorus were collected from medical record review. Most participants selected to complete the assessments just prior to or just after initiating the dialysis procedure.

Longitudinal evaluation of the PKDSMS in the cohort of CKD patients—

Participants in the CKD sample were drawn from within a pilot randomized controlled trial which recruited participants from a single general nephrology clinic at an academic medical center. The results of this trial have not yet been published. Four hundred twenty-one patients who met eligibility criteria of an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 60 ml/min, no diagnosis of end-stage renal disease, no significant cognitive impairment, and who were English-speaking were approached. Of those patients, one hundred forty-eight declined participation and twelve withdrew after giving informed consent. The remaining 261 enrolled participants (62% of those approached) completed baseline surveys, with 125 randomized to the control condition and 136 completing an educational intervention with their physician. After giving written informed consent, participants completed surveys in the waiting room before meeting with their physician, after their visit, and again at three months and six months after enrollment. Clinical outcomes were collected at each time point, including blood pressure, and eGFR. Eleven participants did not complete the PKDSMS at baseline and were not included in analysis. The final sample used in these analyses consisted of the 237 participants (113 control, 124 intervention) who completed the PKDSMS at baseline and at least one follow-up time point.

Each study was approved by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board, and all participants gave written informed consent prior to research activities. A trained research assistant in each study performed data collection.

Measures—The following measures were used in both groups of participants. Additionally, demographic information, clinical information abstracted from the electronic medical record, and a single self-report item assessing perceived health status (rated on a 5-point response scale from poor (0) to excellent (4)) were collected from participants.

Perceived Kidney/Dialysis Self-Management Scale (PKDSMS)—The PKDSMS is an eight-item scale modified for use with CKD and dialysis patients by replacing “condition” in each item of the Perceived Medical Condition Self-Management Scale (PMCSMS) with the phrase “kidney disease” or “dialysis.” The PMCSMS template was developed from two previous self-efficacy measures, the Personal Competence Scale (PCS)²⁸ and the Perceived Health Competence Scale (PHCS)¹¹, and was designed to be adapted to specific health conditions. It had been previously validated in diabetes³⁰ and HIV³² and has been found to not significantly correlate with measures of social desirability bias.³¹

Similar to the Perceived HIV Self-Management Scale³² and the Perceived Diabetes Self-Management Scale,³⁰ the PKDSMS was created to assess a patient’s perceived ability to self-manage their CKD. Likert response options range from 1 = “strongly disagree” to 5 = “strongly agree.” (Table 2) The instructions that preceded the measure oriented participants to fill it out as it related directly to their kidney disease or dialysis. Following the precedent set by the previous iterations of the PMCSMS, the PKDSMS is treated as a unidimensional scale by reverse scoring the four negatively worded items (Items 1, 2, 6, and 7) that signify low perceived competence or self-efficacy. Total scores could range from 8 to 40; the higher the score, the greater the perceived ability to self-manage their kidney condition. The PKDSMS takes approximately 2–3 minutes to administer and in the CKD sample was given prior to the educational intervention.

Brief Health Literacy Scale (BHLS)—The BHLS is a 3-item measure of health literacy.⁴⁶ Questions address an individual’s belief that they are capable of understanding and using written information presented to them in health contexts. Each item is scored on a 5-point response scale, with the first item being reversed scored. The total score ranges from 3 to 15, with higher scores reflecting higher perceived health literacy. The scale has been validated for use in both research settings and routine clinical practice, as well as in patients receiving dialysis.^{47, 48}

Kidney Disease Behaviors Inventory (KDBI)—The KDBI is a novel 16-item scale based on the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities,⁴⁹ intended to measure an individual’s self-reported completion of specific self-care activities required for kidney disease management. Self-care activities include keeping track of symptoms and laboratory results, attending scheduled appointments, maintaining exercise, diet, and stress reduction regimens, and medication adherence. (Table 3) The participant is asked to report how often they perform these activities over the past month and the 4-point response options range from 0 = “very slightly or not at all” to 3 = “quite a bit.” Scores were summed over the 16 items for a range of scores from 0 to 48, with higher scores indicating a greater engagement in self-management behaviors. The total scores are analyzed as continuous data, while each individual behavior can also be evaluated as an ordinal variable. In the CKD sample,

participants received a 14-item version of the scale which excluded two dialysis-specific behaviors related to missing dialysis treatments and managing fluids between dialysis visits.

Analysis of the 16-item KDBI for patients receiving dialysis showed a Cronbach's alpha of 0.74, based on an average inter-item correlation of 0.15, and for patients with CKD, a Cronbach's alpha of 0.69 with an average inter-item correlation of 0.15. For both versions, the internal consistency of the scale was not meaningfully improved by deleting any of the items. The range of responses on individual items was from 0 to 3 for every item, indicating that the full range of responses was utilized by respondents. The test-retest reliability of the KDBI among participants with CKD was found to be high between baseline and both the 3 month [$r(204)=0.67$, 95% CI (0.58–0.74), $p<0.0001$] and 6 month [$r(234)=0.66$, 95% CI (0.58–0.73), $p<0.0001$] time points.

Data Analysis—Cronbach's alpha was calculated to assess the internal consistency reliability of the PKDSMS and the KDBI. Pearson product-moment correlations and matched-paired t-tests were used to examine relationships between PKDSMS scores and selected demographic and background variables, such as age, gender, race, education, income, having attended a renal replacement therapy options class, and self-rated health. Pearson product-moment correlations were also used to examine relationships between the PKDSMS with self-care behaviors. Significance was established at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). Test-retest reliability was examined using Pearson correlations on the data from the repeated administrations of the PKDSMS and KDBI to participants in the CKD sample. Data from this CKD sample control group was also used to investigate whether baseline perceived competence scores predicted later self-management behaviors and blood pressure. Regression analyses were performed adjusting for age, gender, education and eGFR. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS software (PASW Statistics 18.0) and R 3.3.0 using the psych package.⁵⁰ Before calculating scale scores for the PKDSMS, missing data were replaced with individual subject mean scores as long as 75% of the item responses were completed. Missing data were present in 6% of the dialysis sample and 2% of the CKD sample.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by an American Society of Nephrology Carl W. Gottschalk Research Scholar Grant, and a National Kidney Foundation Young Investigators Grant (K.L.C. and K.A.W.), K.L.C. received the following support from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases K23 DK809652, and R01DK103935 (Cavanaugh). T.A.I. was supported by NIH K24DK62849. Dr. Beach is supported by T32DK007569. Dr. Umeukeje is supported by NIH R01DK03935-03S1. Dr. Wright Nunes is supported by a NIH K23 DK097183. REDCap services were supported by UL1 TR000445 from NCATS/NIH. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent official views of the National Institutes of Health or the American Society of Nephrology.

The authors would like to thank the diligent work of the research assistants who collected the data for the studies included in this article, as well as the patients who participated and so generously volunteered their time to help us develop the PKDSMS.

References

1. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA*. 2007; 298:2038–2047. [PubMed: 17986697]

2. Himmelfarb J, Ikizler TA. Hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 363:1833–1845. [PubMed: 21047227]
3. Goodall TA, Halford WK. Self-management of diabetes mellitus: a critical review. *Health Psychol.* 1991; 10:1–8. [PubMed: 2026125]
4. Wierdsma J, van Zuilen A, van der Bijl J. Self-efficacy and long-term medication use in patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *J Ren Care.* 2011; 37:158–166. [PubMed: 21810198]
5. Smith K, Coston M, Glock K, et al. Patient perspectives on fluid management in chronic hemodialysis. *J Ren Nutr.* 2010; 20:334–341. [PubMed: 19913443]
6. Eknoyan G, Lameire N, Eckardt K, et al. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2013; 3:5–14.
7. Curtin RB, Sitter DCB, Schatell D, et al. Self-management, knowledge, and functioning and well-being of patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J.* 2004; 31:378–86. 396. [PubMed: 15453230]
8. Curtin RB, Walters BA, Schatell D, et al. Self-efficacy and self-management behaviors in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2008; 15:191–205. [PubMed: 18334246]
9. Rosenthal Asher D, Ver Halen N, Cukor D. Depression and nonadherence predict mortality in hemodialysis treated end-stage renal disease patients. *Hemodialy Int.* 2012; 16:387–393.
10. Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, et al. Nonadherence in hemodialysis: associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. *Kidney Int.* 2003; 64:254–262. [PubMed: 12787417]
11. Smith MS, Wallston KA, Smith CA. The development and validation of the Perceived Health Competence Scale. *Health Educ Res.* 1995; 10:51–64. [PubMed: 10150421]
12. Christensen AJ, Ehlers SL. Psychological factors in end-stage renal disease: an emerging context for behavioral medicine research. *J Consulting Clinical Psychol.* 2002; 70:712–24.
13. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev.* 1977; 84:191–215. [PubMed: 847061]
14. Strecher VJ, DeVellis BM, Becker MH, et al. The role of self-efficacy in achieving health behavior change. *Health Educ Behav.* 1986; 13:73–92.
15. Wallston KA, Wallston BS, Smith S, et al. Perceived control and health. *Curr Psychol.* 1987; 6:5–25.
16. Marks R, Allegrante JP. A review and synthesis of research evidence for self-efficacy-enhancing interventions for reducing chronic disability: implications for health education practice (part II). *Health Promot Pract.* 2005; 6:148–156. [PubMed: 15855284]
17. Ba E, Mollao lu M. The evaluation of self-care and self-efficacy in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2010; 16:605–610. [PubMed: 19874435]
18. Chan R, Brooks R, Erlich J, et al. Studying psychosocial adaptation to end-stage renal disease: The proximal–distal model of health-related outcomes as a base model. *J Psychosom Res.* 2011; 70:455–464. [PubMed: 21511076]
19. Lin C-C, Wu C-C, Anderson RM, et al. The chronic kidney disease self-efficacy (CKD-SE) instrument: development and psychometric evaluation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2012; 27:3828–34. [PubMed: 22344776]
20. Clark-Cutaia MN, Ren D, Hoffman LA, et al. Adherence to hemodialysis dietary sodium recommendations: influence of patient characteristics, self-efficacy, and perceived barriers. *J Ren Nutr.* 2014; 24:92–99. [PubMed: 24462498]
21. Elliott JO, Ortman C, Almaani S, et al. Understanding the associations between modifying factors, individual health beliefs, and hemodialysis patients' adherence to a low-phosphorus diet. *J Ren Nutr.* 2015; 25:111–120. [PubMed: 25282006]
22. Kim J-Y, Kim B, Park K-S, et al. Health-related quality of life with KDQOL-36 and its association with self-efficacy and treatment satisfaction in Korean dialysis patients. *Qual Life Res.* 2013; 22:753–758. [PubMed: 22638994]
23. Takaki J, Yano E. Possible gender differences in the relationships of self-efficacy and the internal locus of control with compliance in hemodialysis patients. *Behav Med.* 2006; 32:5–11. [PubMed: 16637257]

24. Zrinyi M, Juhasz M, Balla J, et al. Dietary self-efficacy: determinant of compliance behaviours and biochemical outcomes in haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dialysis Transplant*. 2003; 18:1869–1873.
25. Calia R, Lai C, Aceto P, et al. Emotional self-efficacy and alexithymia may affect compliance, renal function and quality of life in kidney transplant recipients: Results from a preliminary cross-sectional study. *Physiol Behav*. 2015; 142:152–154. [PubMed: 25680476]
26. Schwarzer, R., Jerusalem, MR. Generalized self-efficacy scale. In: Johnston, M.Wright, S., Weinman, J., editors. *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio Casual and control beliefs*. Vol. 1. Windsor, UK: NFER-NELSON; 1995. p. 35-37.
27. Wallston, KA. Assessment of control in health-care settings. In: Steptoe, A., Appels, A., editors. *Stress, personal control and health*. Vol. 1. West Sussex, UK: John Wiley & Sons; 1989. p. 85-105.
28. Pender NJ, Walker SN, Sechrist KR, et al. Predicting health-promoting lifestyles in the workplace. *Nurs Res*. 1990; 39:326–332. [PubMed: 2092305]
29. Smith CA, Dobbins CJ, Wallston KA. The mediational role of perceived competence in psychological adjustment to rheumatoid arthritis. *J Appl Soc Psychol*. 1991; 21:1218–1244.
30. Wallston KA, Rothman RL, Cherrington A. Psychometric properties of the perceived diabetes self-management scale (PDSMS). *J Behavior Med*. 2007; 30:395–401.
31. O'Neal, Cynthia Ann Schnetter. Diss. Vanderbilt University; 2007. The development of the normalization assessment measure. (available at: <http://etd.library.vanderbilt.edu/ETDdb/available/etd-03272007-162342/>) [2/19/17]
32. Wallston KA, Osborn CY, Wagner LJ, et al. The perceived medical condition self-management scale applied to persons with HIV/AIDS. *J Health Psychol*. 2010; 16:109–15. [PubMed: 20656769]
33. Su CY, Lu XH, Chen W, et al. Promoting self-management improves the health status of patients having peritoneal dialysis. *J Adv Nurs*. 2009; 65:1381–1389. [PubMed: 19457010]
34. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav*. 2004; 31:143–164. [PubMed: 15090118]
35. Osborn CY, Cavanaugh K, Wallston KA, et al. Self-efficacy links health literacy and numeracy to glycemic control. *J Health Commun*. 2010; 15(Suppl 2):146–158. [PubMed: 20845200]
36. Umeukeje EM, Merighi JR, Browne T, et al. Self-motivation is associated with phosphorus control in end-stage renal disease. *J Ren Nutr*. 2015; 25:433–439. [PubMed: 25912398]
37. Judge TA, Erez A, Bono JE, et al. Are measures of self-esteem, neuroticism, locus of control, and generalized self-efficacy indicators of a common core construct? *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2002; 83:693–710. [PubMed: 12219863]
38. Bandura A, Schunk DH. Cultivating competence, self-efficacy, and intrinsic interest through proximal self-motivation. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1981; 41:586–598.
39. Devins GM, Binik YM, Gorman P, et al. Perceived self-efficacy, outcome expectancies, and negative mood states in end-stage renal disease. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1982; 91:241–4. [PubMed: 7130518]
40. Wallston KA. Hocus-pocus, the focus isn't strictly on locus: Rotter's social learning theory modified for health. *Cognit Ther Res*. 1992; 16:183–199.
41. Wolever R, Dreusicke M, Fikkan J, et al. Integrative health coaching for patients with type 2 diabetes a randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Educ*. 2010; 36:629–639. [PubMed: 20534872]
42. Wolever RQ, Dreusicke MH. Integrative health coaching: a behavior skills approach that improves HbA1c and pharmacy claims-derived medication adherence. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. 2016; 4:e000201.
43. Cavanaugh K, Wallston KA, Gebretsadik T, et al. Addressing Literacy and Numeracy to Improve Diabetes Care Two randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32:2149–2155. [PubMed: 19741187]
44. United States Renal Data System. 2015 USRDS annual data report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; Bethesda, MD: 2015.
45. Tsay SL. Self-efficacy training for patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Adv Nurs*. 2003; 43:370–375. [PubMed: 12887355]

46. Chew LD, Bradley KA, Boyko EJ. Brief questions to identify patients with inadequate health literacy. *Fam Med.* 2004; 36:588–594. [PubMed: 15343421]
47. Wallston KA, Cawthon C, McNaughton CD, et al. Psychometric properties of the brief health literacy screen in clinical practice. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2014; 29:119–126. [PubMed: 23918160]
48. Cavanaugh KL, Osborn CY, Tentori F, et al. Performance of a brief survey to assess health literacy in patients receiving hemodialysis. *Clin Kidney J.* 2015; 8:462–468. [PubMed: 26251719]
49. Toobert DJ, Hampson SE, Glasgow RE. The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure: results from 7 studies and a revised scale. *Diabetes Care.* 2000; 23:943–950. [PubMed: 10895844]
50. Revelle, W. *Psych: Procedures for Personality and Psychological Research.* Northwestern University; Evanston, Illinois, USA: 2016. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych> Version = 1.6.12

Table 1

Participant Characteristics

Characteristic	Dialysis Sample Median (IQR)	CKD Sample Median (IQR)
Age; years	51 (42, 63)	59 (50, 67)
Education; years	12 (12, 14)	14 (12, 16)
Hemodialysis Duration; years	3 (1, 6)	N/A
Body Mass Index	28.5 (23.6, 33.7)	29.5 (25.1, 35.0)
Health Literacy	12 (10, 14)	14 (11, 15)
	Percent (N)	Percent (N)
Gender		
Male	51.4% (75)	44.7 % (106)
Female	48.6% (71)	55.3 % (131)
Race		
Non-White	72.0% (105)	19.8% (47)
White	25.3% (37)	83.1% (197)
Income		
< \$20K per year	42.0% (61)	20.0% (48)
\$20K – 39.9K	31.5% (45)	23.3% (56)
>\$40K per year	25.9% (37)	56.6% (136)
Previous attendance at kidney disease education class		
Yes	34.6% (44)	28.6% (65)
No	65.4% (83)	71.4% (162)
Diabetes Diagnosis		
Yes	31.5% (46)	43.0% (105)
No	67.1% (98)	57.0% (139)
Self-Rated Health		
Poor or Fair	35.6% (52)	32.1% (76)
Good	42.5% (62)	46.4% (110)
Very Good	8.2% (12)	16.5% (39)
Excellent	12.3% (18)	1.7% (4)
CKD Stage		
3 (eGFR = 30–60mL/min/1.73 m ²)	N/A	46.0% (109)
4–5 (eGFR < 30mL/min/1.73 m ²)		54.0% (128)

CKD = Chronic Kidney Disease; eGFR = estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate

Table 2

Item wording and descriptive statistics for the Perceived Kidney Disease Self-Management Scale (PKDSMS)

PKDSMS Item Wording	Dialysis Item Mean (SD) (N=146)	Kidney Disease Item Mean (SD) (N=237)
It is difficult for me to find effective solutions for problems that occur with managing my kidney disease (dialysis). *	3.67 (1.22)	3.84 (1.12)
I find efforts to change things I don't like about my kidney disease (dialysis) are ineffective. *	3.44 (1.35)	3.68 (1.22)
I handle myself well with respect to my kidney disease (dialysis).	4.13 (1.16)	3.93 (1.07)
I am able to manage things related to my kidney disease (dialysis) as well as most other people.	4.16 (1.11)	4.04 (1.00)
I succeed in the projects I undertake to manage my kidney disease (dialysis).	3.85 (1.11)	3.77 (1.06)
Typically, my plans for managing my kidney disease (dialysis) don't work out well. *	3.85 (1.22)	3.95 (1.14)
No matter how hard I try, managing my kidney disease (dialysis) doesn't turn out the way I would like. *	3.74 (1.39)	3.79 (1.21)
I'm generally able to accomplish my goals with respect to managing my kidney disease (dialysis).	3.95 (1.21)	3.78 (1.10)
Total Score	30.75 (5.96)	30.77 (6.08)
Average Item Score	3.84 (0.75)	3.85 (0.76)
Cronbach's α	0.76	0.83

* = Item reverse-scored

Table 3

Item Wording and Item Means of the Kidney Disease Behavior Inventory (KDBI)

Item Wording	Dialysis Sample Item Mean (SD) (N=119)	Correlation with PKDSMS	CKD Sample Item Mean (SD) (N=213)	Correlation with PKDSMS
Checked your blood sugar levels	1.17 (1.30)	NS	2.12 (1.02)	NS
Checked your blood pressure at home	1.38 (1.21)	0.18*	1.50 (1.03)	0.13*
Checked your weight between dialysis	1.48 (1.20)	0.25**	N/A	N/A
Kept track of laboratory results related to your kidney disease	2.00 (1.04)	NS	2.00 (1.10)	0.21**
Kept track of symptoms related to your kidney disease	2.02 (0.99)	NS	1.83 (1.08)	0.15*
Kept track of thoughts and feelings about your health	1.65 (1.03)	NS	1.92 (0.96)	0.14*
Taken medications as prescribed	2.67 (0.69)	0.21*	2.71 (0.48)	0.22**
Taken a medication in different ways than prescribed	2.76 (0.65)	NS	2.86 (0.45)	NS
Not taken a prescribed medication	2.55 (0.86)	-0.19*	2.74 (0.68)	NS
Taken herbs, non-prescribed vitamins, or other natural remedies	2.65 (0.81)	NS	2.50 (0.92)	NS
Followed your kidney diet plan	2.01 (0.92)	0.41**	1.70 (0.99)	0.16*
Chosen healthier food to eat	2.07 (0.89)	0.27**	2.01 (0.68)	0.27**
Exercised or stretched for 20 minutes or more	1.24 (1.09)	NS	1.52 (1.09)	0.20**
Taken time to unwind and feel better	2.03 (0.98)	0.19*	1.75 (0.84)	0.24**
Missed dialysis treatments	2.70 (0.65)	NS	N/A	N/A
Missed visits with your doctor	2.71 (0.65)	NS	2.83 (0.45)	NS
Total Score	32.47 (7.15)	0.27**	28.33 (5.80)	0.29**
Average Item Score	2.07 (0.56)		2.14 (0.48)	
Cronbach's α	0.74		0.69	

*
 $p < 0.05$,**
 $p < 0.01$,***
 $p < 0.001$,NS = $p > 0.05$