

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017 October ; 26(10): 1519–1524. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0293.

Serum Insulin, Glucose, Indices of Insulin Resistance, and Risk of Lung Cancer

Ilona Argirion¹, Stephanie J. Weinstein², Satu Männistö³, Demetrius Albanes², and Alison M. Mondul¹

¹Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI ²Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, MD ³Department of Health, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

Abstract

Background—Although insulin may increase risk of some cancers, few studies have examined fasting serum insulin and lung cancer risk.

Methods—We examined serum insulin, glucose, and indices of insulin resistance (insulin:glucose molar ratio and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) and lung cancer risk using a case-cohort study within the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Studyof Finnish men. 196 cases and 395 subcohort members were included. Insulin and glucose were measured in fasting serum collected 5–12 years pre-diagnosis. Cox proportional hazards models were utilized to estimate the relative risk of lung cancer.

Results—The average time between blood collection and lung cancer was 9.6 years. Fasting serum insulin levels were 8.7% higher in subcohort members than cases. After multivariable adjustment, men in the fourth quartile of insulin had a significantly higher risk of lung cancer than those in the first quartile (HR=2.10, 95% CI=1.12–3.94). A similar relationship was seen with HOMA-IR (HR=1.83, 95% CI=0.99–3.38). Risk was not strongly associated with glucose or the insulin:glucose molar ratio (Ptrend=0.55 and Ptrend=0.27, respectively).

Conclusions—Higher fasting serum insulin concentrations, as well as the presence of insulin resistance, appear to be associated with an elevated risk of lung cancer development.

Impact—Although insulin is hypothesized to increase risk of some cancers, insulin and lung cancer remains understudied. Higher insulin levels and insulin resistance were associated with increased lung cancer risk. Although smoking cessation is the best method of lung cancer prevention, other lifestyle changes that impact insulin concentrations and sensitivity may reduce lung cancer risk.

Corresponding Author: Alison Mondul, 1415 Washington Heights #4646, Ann Arbor, MI 48109; phone: 734-764-3834; fax: 734-764-3192; amondul@umich.edu.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Insulin; Insulin Resistance; Prospective Studies; Lung Cancer; Epidemiology

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cancer worldwide, accounting for about 12.9% of all cancer incidence and 19.4% of all cancer deaths(1). Affecting men disproportionately more than women, lung cancer remains the leading cause of death for both genders in the United States(2). Despite medical advances in the treatment of lung cancer, 5-year survival rates in the United States remain rather poor at 17.4%(3).

Insulin is a peptide hormone released by pancreatic islet beta cells that is responsible for maintaining homeostatic regulation of glucose and energy metabolism. In response to rising glucose levels, insulin secretion functions in activating cell-membrane insulin receptors, which not only increase the body's uptake of glucose, but also of proteins and various other molecules. Although insulin is crucial in human growth and development, it harbors anti-apoptotic properties as well as acts as a growth factor by stimulating mitosis through the Akt pathway(4). Several studies have suggested a positive association between increased serum insulin and various other cancers (5–9), but no studies have examined the insulin association with lung cancer.

In order to study whether fasting serum insulin, glucose, or surrogate indices of insulin resistance (the molar ratio of insulin to glucose and the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) are associated with risk of lung cancer, we conducted a prospective case-cohort analysis of a large cohort of male smokers.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) Study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, primary prevention trial that was designed to test the efficacy of daily supplementation of α -tocopherol and β -carotene, in reducing lung cancer incidence among male smokers. As a secondary goal, the study was designed to evaluate whether supplementation would prove to have a protective effect against various other cancers, all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease(10, 11). Upon inception, the study was approved by the institutional review boards of the U.S. National Cancer Institute as well as the National Public Health Institute in Finland. In total, 29,133 men living in southwestern Finland were enrolled in this study between April 1, 1985, and June 30, 1988. Ages of the participants at enrollment ranged between 50 and 69 years, and all were current smokers of 5 or more cigarettes per day as part of the inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria consisted of: previous cancer diagnosis, diagnosis of another serious illness, reported daily supplementation of >20mg of vitamin E, >20,000 IU of vitamin A, >6mg of β -carotene, or the use of anticoagulants. Based upon a 2×2 factorial design, subjects were randomly assigned to one of four intervention groups for 5–8 years (mean 6.1 years): 50 mg of dl- α -

tocopheryl acetate, 20 mg of β -carotene, both, or placebo in one capsule per day. At baseline, written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Although the intervention ended on April 30, 1993, follow-up of the ATBC cohort continues through the Finnish Cancer Registry.

Selection of Case and Subcohort Members

In an attempt to minimize the effect of preclinical disease on serum biochemistry, case subjects were defined as individuals diagnosed with lung cancer at least five years after the baseline serum sample was collected(12). Upon randomly selecting 200 men diagnosed with lung cancer, an additional 400 subcohort members were randomly chosen from the entire ATBC Study population who were alive at the beginning of the fifth follow-up year. After exclusion of individuals with missing serum data, 196 case members and 395 subcohort members remained for analysis. Due to the nature of the case-cohort study design, subcohort member selection could include cancer cases that were diagnosed after the fifth follow-up year, and a subject could be selected as both a case and a subcohort member. 13 subcohort members developed lung cancer during follow-up and were counted as cases; thus our final analysis includes 209 cases and 382 non-cases. To ensure proper case selection, medical records were evaluated by two oncologists in order to confirm staging and diagnoses through the use of the American Joint Committee on Cancer criteria(13). All 209 cases, with the exception of one individual, had available histology and/or cytology results that were validated by a pathologist. Of these samples, 35% were classified as squamous cell carcinoma, 15% adenocarcinoma, 24% small cell carcinoma, and 26% other; in addition, 22% were categorized as stage 1, 12% stage 2, 24% stage 3, and 42% stage 4.

Data and Specimen Collection

At baseline, information regarding risk factors and medical history was collected through a self-administered questionnaire. Questions included, but were not limited to, smoking history, physical activity, diabetes status, and family history of cancer. A validated food frequency questionnaire that consisted of inquiries regarding portion size, and frequency of consumption of 276 foods and beverages in the past 12 months was used in order to estimate dietary intake(14). In addition to the questionnaires, a fasting serum sample was collected from participants on their first visit and stored at -70° C, height and weight were measured, and body mass index (BMI) calculated [(weight in kilograms)/(height in meters)²](11).

Laboratory Assays

Insulin concentrations were obtained through the use of a double-antibody immunochemiluminometric assay completed on an Access automated platform (Beckman Instruments, Chaska, MN). Serum glucose concentrations were ascertained using a hexokinase reaction on a Hitachi 912 Chemistry Analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN); resulting reaction products were assessed via spectrophotometric absorption at 340nm. Samples obtained from case and subcohort subjects, along with blinded quality control duplicates, were included in each batch. The within-batch and between-batch coefficient of variation for insulin was 3.5% and 3.6%, respectively; for glucose, the coefficients of variation were 1.1% and 2.2%, respectively. The molar ratio of insulin to glucose as well as HOMA-IR, which is calculated as fasting insulin in microunits

per milliliter \times fasting glucose in millimoles per liter/22.5, were used as surrogate measures of insulin resistance(15).

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics reflective of demographic and serum data for both case and subcohort subjects were compared using χ^2 (for categorical variables) and t tests (for continuous variables). Correlations among the exposures and suspected confounding variables were assessed using Spearman rank order coefficient (Supplemental Table 1). In an attempt to create a parsimonious model, variable selection was made by first including covariates of greatest biological impact to the outcome of interest using both correlation coefficients and a priori knowledge regarding the onset of lung cancer. Cox proportional hazard (HR) was used to model the time to development of lung cancer with adjustment for the following known or suspected confounding factors: age, pack years, BMI, and family history of lung cancer. Because smoking is so strongly associated with lung cancer risk, smoking as a possible confounder was considered carefully. However, as the entire ATBC cohort were smokers, we found that adjustment for smoking had little impact on our results (Supplemental Table 2). In addition to pack-years, cigarettes smoked per day and years smoked were considered individually as potential confounders, but addition of each of these variables individually produced similar results to adjustment for pack-years (Supplemental Table 2). Thus pack-years was used in the final analysis. Quartiles of insulin, glucose, the molar ratio of insulin to glucose, and HOMA-IR were defined by their distribution among the subcohort subjects and were individually included in the model as exposure variables, with the lowest quartile representing the reference category. All statistical tests were twosided, and analyses were performed with SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

Results

Baseline Characteristics

The mean time between baseline blood serum collection and diagnosis of lung cancer among all cases was 9.6 years, with an average follow-up among non-case subjects of 12.7 years. When compared to those individuals in the subcohort group, case subjects were older, had a lower weight, BMI, and serum cholesterol, smoked more cigarettes per day and had a longer smoking history, and were more likely to have a family history of lung cancer (Table 1).

Serum Concentrations of Insulin and Glucose, Molar Ratio of Insulin to Glucose, HOMA-IR and Lung Cancer

Age-adjusted models suggested weakly inverse or no associations between levels of insulin, HOMA-IR and the risk of lung cancer (Table 2). With the exception of glucose, these associations became positive with the addition of the following covariates: body mass index, family history of lung cancer and pack-years of smoking, with BMI being the covariable predominantly responsible for this change. After multivariable adjustment, we observed that individuals in the fourth quartile of insulin were statistically significantly more than twice as likely to develop lung cancer compared to those in the lowest quartile of insulin. Serum glucose was non-significantly inversely associated with risk (Table 2). Clinically, a fasting

glucose concentration of 100 mg/dL or lower is considered to be within the normal range, and men with higher glucose had a suggestive inverse risk of developing lung cancer compared to men below this threshold (HR: 0.78, 95%CI: 0.58, 1.04). In multivariable models, the directionality of the association for the molar ratio of insulin to glucose was similar to that for insulin; men with a higher molar ratio appeared to be at increased risk of lung cancer, although the association was not statistically significant. Similarly, we observed that the association between HOMA-IR and risk of lung cancer was also positive, with a borderline statistically significantly increased risk of lung cancer among men in the highest quartile of HOMA-IR (HR: 1.83, 95%CI: 0.99, 3.38). When analyses were repeated to exclude individuals diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, the observed associations remained unchanged.

Both insulin and HOMA-IR were associated with higher risk of lung cancer, regardless of stage, although HRs were stronger for the lower stage cancers (multivariable-adjusted HR for Q4 vs. Q1: insulin HR=2.85, 95% CI: 1.14, 7.15, P_{trend}=0.009; HOMA-IR HR=2.25, 95% CI 0.89–5.69, P_{trend}=0.02, Table 3). None of the exposures assessed showed any meaningful differences across histological subtypes (Supplemental Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, we found a statistically significant association between higher serum insulin and elevated risk of lung cancer. Similar trends were observed for HOMA-IR and the molar ratio of insulin to glucose, indicating an increased risk of lung cancer with greater insulin resistance. Fasting glucose was not associated with lung cancer.

Various studies have analyzed the potential role of insulin-like growth factors on the risk of lung cancer development and progression (16-21), and a few studies have demonstrated a positive association between insulin and lung cancer (21–23). While insulin and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) are related peptides with similar structure, they have different functions and may cause cancer through different biologic mechanisms. IGF-I is part of a larger IGF system of ligands and receptors that is thought to control growth, reproduction and metabolism. Produced in the liver, IGF-I is primarily controlled by growth hormone and is involved in cell proliferation, migration, growth and apoptosis(24). Insulin, on the other hand, is secreted from the β -cells in the pancreas and is primarily responsible for the regulation, uptake and metabolism of glucose in the body(25). That being said, growth hormone-sensitivity in the liver is believed to be modulated by insulin, theoretically through the regulation of growth hormone receptor expression(26). Several papers have reported on the presence of insulin receptor (IR) overexpression in various malignancies, including breast, lung, colon and thyroid(27-31). The IR is a binding site affiliated with both insulin and IGF-I(32). Increased insulin levels have been shown to potentially stimulate insulin receptor isoform-A (IR-A), leading to a reported association between obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes and the risk of developing cancer(33).

Insulin resistance is characterized by the decreased responsiveness of target tissues to circulating insulin levels and is often a precursor to type 2 diabetes(34). The original HOMA-IR model was described in 1985 and is still used today in assessing β -cell function.

In this study, the impact of HOMA-IR largely reflected that of insulin, with the greatest risk of lung cancer being depicted among the highest quartiles of insulin and HOMA-IR, after adjustment for age, BMI, family history and packyears of smoking. Increased levels of fasting serum insulin and HOMA-IR appeared to be more strongly associated with lower stage lung cancer, although this difference was not statistically significant. Whether this indicates a potential role of these factors on lung cancer initiation, rather than progression, requires further study. The association with increased insulin levels did not differ across the primary histological subtypes of lung cancer (squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinoma). When an analysis was conducted to exclude those patients with diabetes mellitus reported at baseline (n=23), the overall trends remained unchanged.

The ATBC study provided prospectively collected fasting serum samples as well as other data regarding potential confounders, utilized laboratory quality control procedures, and had relatively long follow-up, which included the use of population-based cancer registry ascertainment of case subjects. In addition, the possibility of reverse causation has been minimized through the use of our study design that excluded cases diagnosed within the first 5 years after blood collection. Our investigation was limited in that the sample size was somewhat small for conducting stratified analyses, and only included white, Finnish male smokers originally enrolled in a cancer prevention trial aimed at testing the effect of vitamin intervention on cancer incidence and mortality. Therefore, the results depicted in this paper may or may not be generalizable to other populations. Nevertheless, we believe that this study provides evidence of the relation between insulin and indices of insulin resistance on lung cancer risk. In order to establish a role for insulin as a risk factor for lung cancer independent of smoking, studies among never smokers are needed.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that increased fasting serum insulin and insulin resistance are associated with higher risk of lung cancer. The associations require confirmation, but may have implications for nutrition, screening and treatment of higher risk patients that could result in decreasing the burden of lung cancer. Although smoking cessation is the best known method to decrease lung cancer incidence, other lifestyle changes that may limit hyperinsulinemia and increase insulin sensitivity, such as avoiding overweight, healthy dietary changes and increased physical activity may impact lung cancer development, as well as reduce the risk of other chronic diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support: The ATBC Study is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the U.S. National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, and by U.S. Public Health Service contract HHSN261201500005C from the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services.

References

1. GLOBOCAN. Estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012. 2012. [cited; Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact_sheets_cancer.aspx

- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2013; 63:11–30. [PubMed: 23335087]
- 3. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result Program Cancer Stat Facts: Lung and Bronchus Cancer. 2016. [cited 2016 March, 13]; Available from: http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/lungb.html
- Lawlor MA, Alessi DR. PKB/Akt. Journal of cell science. 2001; 114:2903–10. [PubMed: 11686294]
- Albanes D, Weinstein SJ, Wright ME, Männistö S, Limburg PJ, Snyder K, et al. Serum insulin, glucose, indices of insulin resistance, and risk of prostate cancer. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2009; 101:1272–9. [PubMed: 19700655]
- Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Trudeau ME, Koo J, Madarnas Y, et al. Fasting insulin and outcome in early-stage breast cancer: results of a prospective cohort study. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2002; 20:42–51. [PubMed: 11773152]
- Hsing AW, Chua S, Gao Y-T, Gentzschein E, Chang L, Deng J, et al. Prostate cancer risk and serum levels of insulin and leptin: a population-based study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2001; 93:783–9. [PubMed: 11353789]
- Limburg PJ, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Vierkant RA, Roberts K, Sellers TA, Taylor PR, et al. Insulin, glucose, insulin resistance, and incident colorectal cancer in male smokers. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2006; 4:1514–21. [PubMed: 17162243]
- Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Graubard BI, Chari S, Limburg P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J, et al. Insulin, glucose, insulin resistance, and pancreatic cancer in male smokers. Jama. 2005; 294:2872–8. [PubMed: 16352795]
- 10. Heinonen OP, Albanes D. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. The New England journal of medicine (USA). 1994
- Group ACPS. The alpha-tocopherol, beta-carotene lung cancer prevention study: design, methods, participant characteristics, and compliance. Annals of epidemiology. 1994; 4:1–10. [PubMed: 8205268]
- Lehrer S, Diamond E, Stagger S, Stone N, Stock R. Serum insulin level, disease stage, prostate specific antigen (PSA) and Gleason score in prostate cancer. British journal of cancer. 2002; 87:726–8. [PubMed: 12232754]
- Bears, O., Henson, D., Hutter, R., Myers, M. American Joint Committee on Cancer: manual for staging of cancer. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott; 1992.
- Pietinen P, Hartman AM, Haapa E, Rasanen L, Haapakoski J, Palmgren J, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary assessment instruments. II. A qualitative food frequency questionnaire. Am J Epidemiol. 1988; 128:667–76. [PubMed: 2843041]
- Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. Diabetes care. 2004; 27:1487–95. [PubMed: 15161807]
- Ahn J, Weinstein SJ, Snyder K, Pollak MN, Virtamo J, Albanes D. No association between serum insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF-binding protein-3, and lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2006; 15:2010–2.
- 17. Colak A, D N Z G, GÖLCÜK B, ÇOKER I, Cirak AK. Pretreatment Serum Levels of Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1 and Insulin-Like Growth Factor Binding Protein-3 in Men with Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences. 2012; 32:1078–83.
- Lee DY, Kim SJ, Lee YC. Serum insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding proteins in lung cancer patients. Journal of Korean medical science. 1999; 14:401–4. [PubMed: 10485619]
- Spitz MR, Barnett MJ, Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Wu X, Pollak M. Serum Insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF) and IGF-binding Protein Levels and Risk of Lung Cancer A Case-Control Study Nested in the β-Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial Cohort. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention. 2002; 11:1413–8.
- Wakai K, Ito Y, Suzuki K, Tamakoshi A, Seki N, Ando M, et al. Serum Insulin-like Growth Factors, Insulin-like Growth Factor-binding Protein-3, and Risk of Lung Cancer Death: A Casecontrol Study Nested in the Japan Collaborative Cohort (JACC) Study. Japanese journal of cancer research. 2002; 93:1279–86. [PubMed: 12495466]

- Zhang M, Li X, Zhang X, Yang Y, Feng Z, Liu X. Association of serum hemoglobin A1c, C-peptide and insulin-like growth factor-1 levels with the occurrence and development of lung cancer. Molecular and clinical oncology. 2014; 2:506–8. [PubMed: 24940485]
- 22. Petridou ET, Sergentanis TN, Antonopoulos CN, Dessypris N, Matsoukis IL, Aronis K, et al. Insulin resistance: an independent risk factor for lung cancer? Metabolism. 2011; 60:1100–6. [PubMed: 21251684]
- 23. Ho GYF, Zheng SL, Cushman M, Perez-Soler R, Kim M, Xue X, et al. Associations of Insulin and IGFBP-3 with Lung Cancer Susceptibility in Current Smokers. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2016; 108:djw012-djw.
- Denley A, Cosgrove LJ, Booker GW, Wallace JC, Forbes BE. Molecular interactions of the IGF system. Cytokine & growth factor reviews. 2005; 16:421–39. [PubMed: 15936977]
- 25. Saltiel AR, Kahn CR. Insulin signalling and the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. Nature. 2001; 414:799–806. [PubMed: 11742412]
- Holt R, Simpson H, Sönksen P. The role of the growth hormone–insulin-like growth factor axis in glucose homeostasis. Diabetic Medicine. 2003; 20:3–15. [PubMed: 12519314]
- 27. Belfiore A. The role of insulin receptor isoforms and hybrid insulin/IGF-I receptors in human cancer. Current pharmaceutical design. 2007; 13:671–86. [PubMed: 17346183]
- Frittitta L, Sciacca L, Catalfamo R, Ippolito A, Gangemi P, Pezzino V, et al. Functional insulin receptors are overexpressed in thyroid tumors. Cancer. 1999; 85:492–8. [PubMed: 10023720]
- 29. LeRoith D, Roberts CT. The insulin-like growth factor system and cancer. Cancer letters. 2003; 195:127–37. [PubMed: 12767520]
- Vella V, Pandini G, Sciacca L, Mineo R, Vigneri R, Pezzino V, et al. A novel autocrine loop involving IGF-II and the insulin receptor isoform-A stimulates growth of thyroid cancer. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2002; 87:245–54. [PubMed: 11788654]
- 31. Vella V, Sciacca L, Pandini G, Mineo R. The IGF system in thyroid cancer: new concepts. Journal of Clinical Pathology. 2001; 54:121. [PubMed: 11215280]
- 32. Denley A, Bonython ER, Booker GW, Cosgrove LJ, Forbes BE, Ward CW, et al. Structural determinants for high-affinity binding of insulin-like growth factor II to insulin receptor (IR)-A, the exon 11 minus isoform of the IR. Molecular Endocrinology. 2004; 18:2502–12. [PubMed: 15205474]
- Frasca F, Pandini G, Sciacca L, Pezzino V, Squatrito S, Belfiore A, et al. The role of insulin receptors and IGF-I receptors in cancer and other diseases. Archives of physiology and biochemistry. 2008; 114:23–37. [PubMed: 18465356]
- Sesti G. Pathophysiology of insulin resistance. Best practice & research Clinical endocrinology & metabolism. 2006; 20:665–79. [PubMed: 17161338]

Table 1

Baseline characteristics [mean (standard deviation) or number (percent)] of lung cancer case and subcohort subjects

Characteristic	Case Subjects (n=196)	Subcohort Subjects (n=395)	P-value
Age, y	59.5 (5.07)	56.4 (4.99)	<.0001
Height, cm	173 (6.46)	173.8 (6.00)	0.11
Weight, kg	75.6 (12.77)	80.5 (13.17)	<.0001
BMI, kg/m ²	25.2 (3.71)	26.6 (3.92)	<.0001
No. of cigarettes per day	22.9 (9.49)	20.5 (8.45)	0.002
Years of smoking	40.4 (6.91)	34.8 (8.52)	<.0001
History of Diabetes, %	6 (3.06)	17 (4.30)	0.46
Family history of lung cancer, %	24 (12.24)	29 (7.34)	0.03
Physical activity, % active	143 (72.96)	309 (78.23)	0.16
Energy intake, kcal/d	2684 (754.24)	2703 (783.45)	0.79
Dietary carbohydrate, g/d	263 (83.51)	266 (82.91)	0.61
Dietary protein, g/d	93.7 (26.2)	94.9 (26.64)	0.63
Serum cholesterol, mmol/d	6.1 (1.05)	6.4 (1.17)	0.02
Insulin, µU/mL	4.7 (3.52)	5.2 (4.11)	0.20
Glucose, mg/dL	99.2 (16.19)	103.4 (23.78)	0.03
Molar ratio of insulin to glucose	0.05 (0.03)	0.05 (0.03)	0.58
HOMA-IR	1.2 (1.02)	1.4 (1.82)	0.09

* BMI=body mass index; HOMA-IR=homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (calculated as fasting insulin in microunits per milliliter × fasting glucose in millimoles per liter/22.5).

Table 2

Association of quartiles of baseline serum insulin, glucose, molar ratio of insulin to glucose and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) with lung cancer risk: Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study

Insulin, μ U/mI. 66 2.70 610 60 >2.70 to 4.10 50 >4.10 to 6.10 32 >6.10 8.10 32 >6.10 6.10 32 >6.10 8.10 48 γ 92 68 >92 98 107 48 >92 98 107 48 >92 to 98 107 48 >92 to 98 107 48 >107 70 31 p -trend 60 60 0.03 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.04 to 0.06 40 ρ -trend 40 40 <	100 99 95 99 100 100	1.00 (ref) 0.89 (0.55, 1.43) 0.50 (0.30, 0.84) 0.91 (0.56, 1.47) 0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	1.00 (ref) 0.99 (0.61, 1.61) 0.71 (0.41, 1.24) 1.87 (1.04, 3.36) 0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.33)	1.00 (ref) 0.99 (0.59, 1.67) 0.80 (0.44, 1.45) 2.10 (1.12, 3.94)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	100 99 99 100 100	1.00 (ref) 0.89 (0.55, 1.43) 0.50 (0.30, 0.84) 0.91 (0.56, 1.47) 0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	1.00 (ref) 0.99 (0.61, 1.61) 0.71 (0.41, 1.24) 1.87 (1.04, 3.36) 0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.33)	1.00 (ref) 0.99 (0.59, 1.67) 0.80 (0.44, 1.45) 2.10 (1.12, 3.94)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	99 101 95 99 100	0.89 (0.55, 1.43) 0.50 (0.30, 0.84) 0.91 (0.56, 1.47) 0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	0.99 (0.61, 1.61) 0.71 (0.41, 1.24) 1.87 (1.04, 3.36) 0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.33)	0.99 (0.59, 1.67) 0.80 (0.44, 1.45) 2.10 (1.12, 3.94)
>4.10 to 6.10 32 >6.10 48 $>$. 48 p -trend 48 Glucose, mg/dL 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 68 92 69 92 60 92 60 93 60 93 60 903 60 904 to 0.06 60 90.06 90.06 90.06 90.06 90.06 90.06 90.04 90.06 90.04 90.06 90.04 90.06 90.04 90.06	101 95 99 100	0.50 (0.30, 0.84) 0.91 (0.56, 1.47) 0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	0.71 (0.41, 1.24) 1.87 (1.04, 3.36) 0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.33)	0.80 (0.44, 1.45) 2.10 (1.12, 3.94)
>6.10 48 p -trend 8 Clucose, mg/dL 68 92 88 49 92 88 49 92 98 49 92 98 49 92 98 107 48 >92 98 107 48 >107 210 49 >107 210 46 >107 810 60 903 0.04 50 >0.04 0.06 46 >0.04 0.06 40 >0.04 9.06 40 >0.04 9.06 40 >0.05 9.06 40 $$-100A$ $$-100A$ $$-100A$ $$-100A$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $$-100A$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00A$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00A$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00B$ $9.00A$	95 99 100	0.91 (0.56, 1.47) 0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	1.87 (1.04, 3.36) 0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.33)	2.10 (1.12, 3.94)
p-trend clucose, mg/dL 92 68 92 98 49 >92 bit 107 48 >92 co 98 49 >92 bit 107 48 >92 co 98 68 >107 31 31 p-trend 31 31 p-trend 60 46 0.03 0.04 50 >0.03 0.04 50 >0.04 0.06 46 >0.05 90.04 40 p-trend 40 40 p-tr	99 99 100	0.06 1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	0.01 1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.43)	
Glucose, mg/dL 68 92 68 >92 to 98 49 >98 to 107 48 >107 31 p-trend 31 Molar ratio of insulin to glucose 60 0.03 0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.04 to 0.06 40 p-trend 40 Potrend 40	99 99 100	1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.43)	0.02
92 68 >92 to 98 49 >92 to 107 48 >98 to 107 48 >107 31 48 >107 31 48 >107 31 61 p -trend 61 61 0.03 0.04 50 >0.03 to 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.04 to 0.06 40 >0.05 >0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 40 $>trend P-trend 40 P-trend P-trend 40$	99 99 97	1.00 (ref) 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	1.00 (ref) 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.43) 0.65 (0.37, 1.13)	
 >92 to 98 >98 to 107 >8 to 107 31 >107 31 31 p-trend 0.03 60 6	99 100 97	0.83 (0.52, 1.33) 0.76 (0.47, 1.25)	0.87 (0.55, 1.39) 0.86 (0.52, 1.43) 0.65 (0.37, 1.13)	1.00 (ref)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	100 97	$0.76\ (0.47,1.25)$	0.86 (0.52, 1.43)	0.77 (0.46, 1.28)
>107 31 p-trend 31 Molar ratio of insulin to glucose 60 0.03 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.05 46 46 >0.05 40 1006 46 p-trend 40	67		0 65 (0 37 1 13)	$0.86\ (0.50,\ 1.50)$
p-trend Molar ratio of insulin to glucose 0.03 60 >0.03 to 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.06 40 40 p-trend 40 40 HOMA-IR 10 10		$0.52\ (0.31,0.88)$	U.U. (U.U.)	0.68 (0.38, 1.20)
Molar ratio of insulin to glucose 60 0.03 60 60 >0.03 to 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.05 40 40 p-trend 40 40		0.10	0.50	0.55
0.03 60 >0.03 to 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.06 40 p-trend 40				
>0.03 to 0.04 50 >0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.06 40 p-trend 40 HOMA-IR	98	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)
>0.04 to 0.06 46 >0.06 40 p-trend HOMA-IR	100	$0.89\ (0.55,1.44)$	$0.98\ (0.61,1.60)$	0.92 (0.55, 1.55)
>0.06 40 p-trend HOMA-IR	98	0.72 (0.43, 1.18)	0.96 (0.57, 1.62)	1.05 (0.60, 1.83)
p-trend HOMA-IR	66	0.81 (0.49, 1.32)	1.43 (0.81, 2.52)	1.66 (0.89, 3.06)
HOMA-IR		0.59	0.48	0.27
0.67 60	98	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)
>0.67 to 1.02 50	100	$0.91\ (0.56,1.46)$	$0.99\ (0.61,1.61)$	0.94 (0.56, 1.58)
>1.02 to 1.53 46	98	$0.51\ (0.30,0.87)$	0.71 (0.41, 1.25)	0.78 (0.43, 1.44)
>1.53 40	66	0.86(0.53,1.39)	1.62 (0.92, 2.88)	1.83 (0.99, 3.38)
p-trend		0.08	0.04	0.06
$ au_{\Delta lpha - adinsted}$ model				

Author Manuscript

 t^{\sharp}_{Age} and BMI-adjusted model

Author Manuscript

 $\overset{\delta}{\mathcal{S}}$ Model adjusted for age, BMI, family history of lung cancer, and pack years of smoking

Argirion et al.

Table 3

Baseline serum insulin, glucose, molar ratio of insulin to glucose and HOMA-IR stratified by lung cancer stage

	Low Stage	(II-II)	High Stage	(III-IIV)	
	# Cases/# Non-Cases	HR (95% CI)	# Cases/#Non-Cases	HR (95% CI)	p for heterogeneity by stage
Insulin, µU/mL					
2.70	26/96	1.00 (ref)	44/96	1.00 (ref)	0.70
>2.70 to 4.10	16/97	0.89 (0.40 2.00)	35/97	1.08 (0.60, 1.92)	
>4.10 to 6.10	8/100	0.56 (0.22, 1.45)	25/100	0.97 (0.51, 1.87)	
>6.10	20/89	2.85(1.14, 7.15)	34/89	1.94 (0.93, 4.06)	
p-trend		0.01		0.23	
Glucose, mg/dL					
92	26/96	1.00 (ref)	45/96	1.00 (ref)	0.22
>92 to 98	18/93	0.63 (0.29, 1.37)	37/93	0.85 (0.49, 1.49)	
>98 to 107	15/99	0.59 (0.26, 1.37)	33/99	0.96 (0.52, 1.76)	
>107	11/94	0.54 (0.22, 1.33)	23/94	0.76 (0.39, 1.48)	
p-trend		0.48		0.84	
Molar ratio of insulin to glucose					
0.03	24/94	1.00 (ref)	40/94	1.00 (ref)	0.26
>0.03 to 0.04	15/97	0.66 (0.30, 1.47)	37/97	1.10 (0.62, 1.96)	
>0.04 to 0.06	16/98	0.98 (0.44, 2.20)	30/98	1.07 (0.58, 1.98)	
>0.06	15/93	$1.76\ (0.69, 4.46)$	31/93	1.71 (0.84, 3.46)	
p-trend		0.24		0.46	
HOMA-IR					
0.67	26/95	1.00 (ref)	43/95	1.00 (ref)	0.89
>0.67 to 1.02	18/97	$0.87\ (0.41,1.85)$	36/97	1.00 (0.56, 1.80)	
>1.02 to 1.53	7/97	0.45 (0.16, 1.24)	25/97	1.04 (0.54, 2.03)	
>1.53	19/93	2.25 (0.89, 5.69)	34/93	1.78 (0.87, 3.61)	
p-trend		0.02		0.34	
* Model adjusted for age, body mass	index. family history and	nack vears of smokir	٥		