

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Affect Disord. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Affect Disord. 2018 January 01; 225: 365–373. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.08.021.

Substance Use Disorders and Self- and Other-Directed Violence Among Adults: Results from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health

Thomas C. Harford, Ph.D., Hsiao-ye Yi, Ph.D., and Chiung M. Chen, M.A.¹
CSR, Incorporated 4250 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 500, Arlington, VA 22203 and Bridget F. Grant,
Ph.D. Ph.D. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Hoalth

Ph.D., Ph.D., National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892

Abstract

Background—Previous studies have identified a violence typology of self- and other-directed violence. This study examines the extent to which substance use disorders (SUDs) as defined by the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV)*, independent of serious psychological distress, major depressive episodes, assault arrest, and criminal justice involvement, are associated with these violence categories.

Method—Data were obtained from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) pooled across survey years 2008–2015, with a combined sample of 314,881 adult respondents. According to self-report data on suicide attempt (self-directed) and attacking someone with the intent for serious injury (other-directed), violence was categorized in four categories: none, self-directed only, other-directed only, and combined self-/other-directed. Multinomial logistic regression was used to estimate the adjusted odds ratios associated with the risk factors for different forms of violence.

Results—Nicotine dependence and the number of *DSM-IV* SUDs criteria (except the criterion of legal problems) for alcohol, marijuana, and pain reliever use disorders are significantly associated with the self-/other-directed violence categories.

Limitations—Cross-sectional data do not allow assessment of directionality of important factors.

Conclusions—The identification of the combined self- and other-directed violence among adults in the general population extends studies in the adolescent population, and significant correlation between self- and other-directed violence provides additional support for clinical studies that established this association. Findings expand the associated risk factors identified in

Conflict of Interest

None.

Contributors

Thomas C. Harford, Hsiao-ye Yi, Chiung M. Chen, and Bridget F. Grant

¹Corresponding author: CSR, Incorporated, 4250 N. Fairfax Dr., Suite 500, Arlington, VA 22203, cchen@csrincorporated.com, Telephone: (703) 741-7125, Fax: (703) 312-5230.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

previous studies for the adult population. Prevention and treatment programs need to address both forms of violence and suicidality.

Introduction

The relationship between suicidal behavior and interpersonal violence has been a focus of psychiatric studies in clinical populations for many years (Apter et al., 1993, 1995; Links et al., 2003; Plutchik et al., 1989). A systematic literature review of clinical and community studies on this topic supports the co-occurrence of aggression against self and aggression against others (O'Donnell et al., 2015). Studies have indicated high frequency of suicide attempts among incarcerated violent offenders (Coid et al., 2006; Cook, 2013; Corrigan and Watson, 2005). Externalizing disorders such as substance use disorders (SUDs) and antisocial personality disorder, typically viewed as risk factors for violence toward others (Elbogen and Johnson, 2009; Giancola, 2015; Klostermann and Fals-Stewart, 2006; Pernanen, 1991; Van Dorn et al., 2012), also have been shown to be independently related to suicidal behavior (Apter et al., 1991, 1995; Hills et al., 2005; Jokinen et al., 2010).

In many instances, mental disorders are implicated in violent behavior (Arseneault et al., 2000; Elbogen and Johnson, 2009; Pulay et al., 2008; Van Dorn et al., 2012). Although a comprehensive study of associations between violent behavior and psychiatric disorders in the general population indicated that only a minority (approximately 8%) of people with psychiatric disorders engaged in violent behavior, the risk for violence was significantly higher among those with SUDs and mood and personality disorders (Pulay et al., 2008).

Plutchik et al. (1989) have proposed a two-stage theory based on the proposition that aggression leads to violence toward self and others. In stage 1, co-occurring risk factors manifest aggression. In stage 2, the pattern of risk factors determines the target (i.e., self or others). Plutchik (1995) has noted that several risk factors (e.g., substance abuse, history of psychiatric hospitalization, poor impulse control) are common for violence against others and for suicide. Basing his premise on the presence of risk factors common to both suicidality and aggression toward others, Hillbrand (1995) proposed and identified a category that defined individuals as partaking in "combined violence" if they are violent to both themselves and others.

Studies on adolescents in the general population have established associations between suicide attempt/ideation and violence against others and have particularly focused on the joint presence of these behaviors (Harford et al., 2012, 2016; Swahn et al., 2013). In a national study of high school students, Harford et al. (2012) constructed a typology of violence based on suicidality and fighting behavior: self-directed, other-directed, combined (both self- and other-directed), and no violence. When compared with students in the other-directed and self-directed violence categories, those in the combined violence category were more likely to be younger, depressed, and to engage in substance abuse. Using a similar typology, Swahn et al. (2013) found significant associations between combined violence and early drinking onset, heavy drinking, and feelings of sadness. Harford et al. (2016) also found heavy episodic drinking to be more prevalent among youth in the combined violence category relative to other-directed and self-directed violence categories. The associations

were even stronger among those meeting two or more alcohol use disorder (AUD) symptom criteria from the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* (*DSM-IV*; American Psychiatric Association, 1994).

Compared to adolescents, there have been fewer studies of combined violence against self and others among adults. In one study using data from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC), Harford et al. (2013) derived a violence typology based on a latent class analysis (LCA) of five other-directed and four self-directed indicators of violent behavior among adults. The LCA identified four broad categories that best fit the data statistically, including other-directed violence, self-directed violence, combined other- and self-directed violence, and no or minimal violence. The combined form of violence, compared with self- or other-directed forms of violence alone, was more strongly associated with SUDs (88.2% for combined vs. 81.1% for other-directed and 60.3% for self-directed), mood disorders (63.3% for combined vs. 18.3% for other-directed and 40.6% for self-directed), and personality disorders (76.2% for combined vs. 42.1% for otherdirected and 46.5% for self-directed). However, because of a limited number of suicide attempt cases in the NESARC study, both suicide ideation and suicide attempt were used to define the self-directed violence category. The inclusion of more prevalent thoughts of one's own death (10% to 13%) with suicide attempt (2%) clouds distinctions between ideation and behavior. The use of broad categories of SUDs (i.e., alcohol use or drug use disorders) can mask the presence of different associations of specific substances with violence. The extent to which the combined form of violence is a meaningful and reliable phenomenon requires replication in other independent adult samples.

In view of these limitations, the current study seeks to use data from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) to examine suicide attempt and other-directed violence among American adults. The major focus is to identify associations between specific SUDs and violence. SUDs, except nicotine dependence, are expressed by the increasing number of SUD criteria in categories representing different levels of severity. The confounders including serious psychological distress (SPD), DSM-IV major depressive episode (MDE), criminal justice involvement, and assault arrest, were also examined as potential risk factors for violence. Based on current literature, it is hypothesized that SUDs will evidence stronger associations with combined self- and other-directed violence than either self-directed or other-directed violence or no violence. Because the suicide rate in the United States is at a 30-year high (Curtin et al., 2016) and violent crime rate increased by 3.1 percent in 2015 after 2 years of decline (Federal Bureau of Investigation, 2016), this study is timely and addresses an important public health issue in suicide and violence prevention. By confirming SUDs as important risk factors for violence, this study is intended to raise public awareness of the consequences of SUDs and can be useful to inform health professionals about how to provide SUD patients with better care that will reduce violence and improve their quality of life.

Methods

Study Design

The study sample is based on the adult sample from the NSDUH, annual surveys of the civilian noninstitutionalized U.S. population ages 12 and older. The NSDUH is sponsored by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration and conducted annually under contract with RTI International. The survey collects information on demographics, substance use, and mental health using a combination of computer-assisted face-to-face interviews and audio computer-assisted self-administered interviews. Participants are selected by an independent multistage area probability sample design (i.e., census tracts as the first stage followed by census block groups, segments, dwelling units, and individuals) for each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Young people are oversampled. The 1999–2013 sample design requires approximately equal samples sizes among three age groups: ages 12 to 17, ages 18 to 25, and ages 26 and older. The 2014–2017 design, however, places 50% of sample in the 26-and-older age group to more accurately estimate drug use and related mental health measures among the aging drug use population. Nearly 70,000 respondents are interviewed in each survey year, and the weighted interview response rate exceeds 70 percent. Detailed information on NSDUH data collection procedures, sample designs, and methodologies are described in the 2014 NSDUH Methodological Resource Book (Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, 2015). The present study was based on public use data, which include about 83% of all of the original respondents due to a subsampling step used in the disclosure protection procedures. In view of the relatively small samples for critical variables (e.g., suicide attempt, illicit substance disorders, minorities), we pooled data from several consecutive national surveys from 2008 to 2015 to augment our study sample, which comprised 314,881 adults ages 18 and older.

Measures

Dependent Variables. Two dichotomous variables were first created and assigned for selfand other-directed violence. They were used to form a four-level violence typology based on a two-way contingency table from the cross-tabulation of other-directed violence and selfdirected violence. The resulting four violence categories are none, self-directed only, otherdirected only, and combined self-/other-directed. Specifically, for other-directed violence, respondents were asked, "During the past 12 months, how many times have you attacked someone with the intent to seriously hurt them?" This single item alone measured otherdirected violence in a dichotomous category (one or more times = 1; none = 0). For selfdirected violence, respondents were asked "During the past 12 months, did you try to kill yourself?" This single item alone measured self-directed violence in a dichotomous category (yes = 1; no = 0). The association between self- and other-directed violence was estimated by tetrachoric correlation between the respective dichotomous variables as if they were measured on a continuous scale. Technically, assuming a latent bivariate normal distribution (X_1, X_2) for each pair of two dichotomous variables (v_1, v_2) , with a threshold model for the manifest variables, $v_i = 1$ if and only if $X_i > 0$, tetrachoric correlation is the correlation of X_1 and X₂.

Independent Variables. SUDs included disorders pertaining to the use of alcohol, nicotine, marijuana, cocaine, hallucinogens, heroin, inhalants, pain relievers, sedatives, stimulants, and tranquilizers. Other than nicotine dependence, SUDs were DSM-IV diagnoses. In view of the lack of support for the distinction between alcohol abuse and dependence (Langenbucher et al., 2004; Martin et al., 1996) and the fact that DSM-IV criteria for alcohol abuse and dependence were indicators of a unidimensional latent trait (Gelhorn et al., 2008; Harford et al., 2009; Kirisci et al., 2006; Saha et al., 2006), the number of DSM-IVSUD criteria met in the past year were categorized as follows: 0-1 criteria (referent), 2-3 criteria, 4+ criteria for each DSM-IVSUD, except heroin, inhalant, and sedative use disorders, for which the last two categories were combined into one category of 2+ criteria because of small sample size. Each DSM-IV SUD was assessed by a total of 10 criteria including 7 dependent criteria (i.e., tolerance, withdrawal, larger amounts than intended, unsuccessful efforts to cut down, time spent to obtain substance, important activities given up, continued use despite physical problems) and 3 abuse criteria (failure to fulfill major roles, hazardous use, continued use despite social problems). The abuse criterion of legal problems was not counted because it had low prevalence and had been dropped from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

The NSDUH assessment of nicotine dependence (yes = 1; no = 0) was based on the Nicotine Dependence Syndrome Scale (NDSS) (Heatherton et al., 1991) and the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence (FTND) (Fagerstrom, 1978) for respondents who reported smoking cigarettes in the past month. A respondent diagnosed with nicotine dependence met either the NDSS or FTND criteria for dependence.

Serious psychological distress was assessed by the Kessler-6 (K6) screening instrument for nonspecific psychological distress (Kessler et al., 2003) included in the NSDUH mental health module. This short, fully structured K6 scale has been shown to be an effective screening instrument for assessing mood and anxiety disorders in the general population (Cairney et al., 2007; Carra et al., 2011). The instrument's six items assessed how often the respondent felt nervous, hopeless, restless, sad, everything was an effort, and felt no good, respectively, in the worst month of the past year and in the past month. The five response categories for each item were 4 = all of the time, 3 = most of the time, 2 = some of the time, 1 = all little of the time, 1 = all or more for SPD. In addition to SPD, past-year *DSM-IV* major depressive episode was included as another covariate in the analytic models.

Criminal justice involvement (yes = 1; no = 0) was measured by self-reports of having been arrested or booked (for theft, larceny, burglary, robbery, arson, driving while intoxicated, drunkenness, possession/manufacture/sale of drugs, fraud, possessing stolen goods, or vandalism) in the past year. Assault arrest (yes = 1; no = 0) was based on self-reports of arrest/booking for murder, homicide, non-negligent manslaughter, and other assault or battery.

Sociodemographic variables included gender (male, with female as referent); age categories (18–20, 21–25, 26–34, 50+, with 35–49 as referent); race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic Black,

Native American/Alaskan Native, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Asian, more than one race, Hispanic, with non-Hispanic White as referent); education (less than high school, some college, college graduate, with high school as referent); marital status (widowed, divorced or separated, never married, with married as referent); and family income (less than \$10,000; \$10,000–\$29,999; \$75,000 and higher; with \$30,000–\$74,999 as referent).

Analytic Plan

As a preliminary step, frequency distributions of each study variable were examined separately for each survey year before the data were pooled. The frequency distributions based on the pooled data then were presented in Table 1. The cross-tabulation and correlation between self- and other-directed violence were used to identify the combined violence and assess the association. With the four-level violence as the outcome, multinomial logistic regression was used to estimate the mutually-adjusted odds ratios associated with all risk factors for different forms of violence, controlling for background characteristics (Table 2). Because individuals may have multiple risk factors, the mutuallyadjusted odds ratios are helpful to understand the net effect of each risk factor, independent of other risk factors. For comparison, the mutually-adjusted odds ratios were produced from the same model excluding MDE and assault arrest from the covariates (Table S1) to address the concerns that MDE might overlap with self-directed violence and that assault arrest might overlap with other-directed violence. Although it is informative to know the association between each risk factor and violence in presence of other risk factors, multicollinearity may potentially attenuate the significance of some risk factors. Therefore, multinomial logistic regression also was used to generate the individually-adjusted odds ratios, which were adjusted only for sociodemographic variables, separately for each risk factor (Table S2). The analyses were conducted using survey procedures based on the Taylor linearization method implemented in the statistical software Stata 14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX), which allows the specification of complex survey design in the models for stratification, clustering, and sampling weights that reflect unequal probabilities of selection. These three sampling features were taken into account for parameter estimation and the calculation of 95% confidence interval [CI] as well as the p-values in hypothesis testing. Adjusted Wald tests were used to assess statistical significance for predictors with p < 0.01. The significance level was set at 0.01 instead of 0.05 in interpreting our results, because no adjustments were made for multiple comparisons to avoid overlooking an interesting association that is not the result of chance (Rothman, 1990).

Results

The distributions for the violence typology were comparable across survey years in the present study. For the combined years from 2008 to 2015 NSDUH, violent behaviors in American adults were distributed as follows: none, 98.29% (95% CI = 98.23%–98.36%); self-directed only, 0.45% (95% CI = 0.42%–0.48%); other-directed only, 1.20% (95% CI = 1.15%–1.25%); and combined self-/other-directed, 0.06% (95% CI = 0.05%–0.07%). Distributions of demographics and other independent variables for each violence category are shown in Table 1. The adults with combined or other-directed violence, compared with those with self-directed or no violent behaviors, were more likely to be non-Hispanic Black,

ages 18–25, and with less than a high school education, and never married. Adults who engaged in combined violence were more likely to have family income of less than \$30,000 than those with no violent behaviors. Moreover, those who engaged in combined violence compared with those with self-/other-directed or no violent behaviors were more likely to have nicotine dependence and four or more *DSM-IV* SUD criteria separately for alcohol, cocaine, pain reliever, and stimulant use disorders, and serious psychological distress. Those who engaged in combined violence and other-directed violence compared with those with self-directed or no violent behaviors were more likely to have four or more DSM-IV marijuana use disorder criteria, criminal justice involvement, and assault arrest.

The results of the multinomial logistic regression are shown in Table 2. Key findings are first highlighted. As expected, men were more likely than women to report other-directed violence. Non-Hispanic Blacks and people of mixed race were more likely to report other-directed violence and combined violence than Non-Hispanic Whites. The patterns of higher odds for both forms of violence and the combined violence can be seen in people ages 18–25 compared with those ages 35–49, high school graduates compared with college graduates, divorced or separated people compared with those who are married; as well as the presence of nicotine dependence, AUD (4+ criteria), SPD, MDE, and assault arrest. More findings are summarized separately below for violence compared with no violence, other-directed compared with self-directed violence, and combined violence compared with self-directed violence and other-directed violence.

Violence Compared with No Violence

For self-directed violence compared with no violence, ages 18–25, divorced/separated, less than \$10,000 family income, AUD (2+ criteria), nicotine dependence, SPD, MDE, criminal justice involvement, and assault arrest indicate significantly higher odds, whereas college graduate indicates significantly lower odds.

For other-directed violence compared with no violence, male gender; non-Hispanic Black, Native American, and mixed race; Hispanic; ages 18–34; less than a high school education; widowed; divorced/separated; never married; increased number of SUD criteria for alcohol/marijuana/pain relievers; nicotine dependence; SPD; MDE; criminal justice involvement; and assault arrest indicate significantly higher odds, whereas having more than a high school education indicates significantly lower odds.

For combined violence compared with no violence, non-Hispanic Black race and mixed race, ages 18–25, divorced/separated, increased number of SUD criteria for alcohol (4+ criteria), pain relievers (4+ criteria) and marijuana (2–3 criteria), nicotine dependence, SPD, MDE, and assault arrest indicate significantly higher odds, whereas being a college graduate indicates significantly lower odds.

Other-directed Compared with Self-directed Violence

For other-directed violence compared with self-directed violence, male gender, non-Hispanic Black race, ages 18–34, marijuana use disorders (2–3 criteria), and assault arrest indicate significantly higher odds, whereas being a college graduate, 2+ criteria for inhalant use disorders, SPD, and MDE indicate significantly lower odds.

Combined Compared with Self-directed Violence

For combined compared with self-directed violence, non-Hispanic Black race, ages 18–25, SPD, and assault arrest indicate significantly higher odds.

Combined Compared with Other-directed Violence

For combined compared with other-directed violence, SPD and MDE indicate significantly higher odds.

Discussion

Findings from this study yielded a small portion (approximately 0.06%) of adults in the general population who reported combined violent behaviors toward both self and others in the past year. This group had significantly higher prevalence of nicotine dependence, 2+ SUD criteria (to a varying degree by specific substance), and SPD than adults who reported no violence or violence toward only self or toward others. Though each SUD alone was significantly related to each violent form compared with no violence (Table S2), the mutually adjusted odds ratios were attenuated, especially for the number of criteria for cocaine use disorders, hallucinogen use disorders, heroin use disorders, inhalant use disorders, sedative use disorders, stimulant use disorders, and tranquilizer use disorders, which became nonsignificant after taking into account other types of SUDs and other risk factors (Table 2). The attenuation may reflect SUD comorbidity from polysubstance use or confounding with SPD and MDE, as evidenced by the high prevalence of SPD (89.6%) and MDE (58.8%) among people who reported combined violence in our study. Other national studies have revealed significant comorbidity between AUDs and mental disorders in association with violence (Elbogen and Johnson, 2009; Van Dorn et al., 2012).

Despite the low past-year prevalence of combined violence in the adult general population, the tetrachoric correlation (ranging from 0 to 1) between self- and other-directed violence is not negligible (0.40 [95% CI =0.36-0.43]) in our study. Combined violence toward self and others has been consistently found in general population studies among adolescents (Harford et al., 2012, 2016; Swahn et al., 2013) and adults (Harford et al., 2013). Our findings provide additional support for clinical studies that established associations between self- and otherdirected violent behaviors (Hillbrand, 1995; O'Donnell et al., 2015). By comparison, broad measures of self-directed violence, including suicide ideation and attempt, and otherdirected violence, including any form of violence, yield approximately 3% prevalence estimate for the combined violence group (Harford et al., 2012, 2013; Swahn et al., 2013), and narrow definitions based solely on suicide attempt and assault yield lower prevalence estimates among adults (0.06%) in our study, and among adolescents (0.8%) in Harford et al. (2016). Despite the variation in the measurement of violence across these studies, combined violence appears to involve a particularly serious constellation of risk factors (e.g., SUDs, psychiatric disorders) for separate forms of violence. O'Donnell et al. (2015) offer several possible explanations regarding the association between self- and other-directed violence, including a statistical artifact of methodology and common underlying factors.

One possible explanation for the co-occurrence of self- and other-directed violence distinct from the separate forms of violence is that combined violence reflects the addition of risk factors from self-directed and other-directed violence. Findings from our study also suggest quantitative differences in the common risk factors between these violence categories. The prevalence of SPD was significantly higher for combined violence (89.6%) relative to selfdirected violence (68.8%) or other-directed violence (37.2%). The significant effects of SPD remained when adjusted for the presence of MDE, suggesting that mental disorders other than MDE can also be predisposing factors for violence. These findings are consistent with a recent study on the adult population in which combined violence, relative to other forms of violence (i.e., toward self and others), was characterized by higher prevalence of mood, personality, and anxiety disorders (Harford et al., 2013). In addition, our study found assault arrest, which was relatively common to combined and other-directed violence, was significantly more prevalent for combined violence (15.6%) than other-directed violence (8.6%) and indicated greater odds for combined violence (OR = 9.2; 95% CI = 5.7–15.1) than other-directed violence (OR = 6.9; 95% CI = 5.7-8.4) versus no violence, although the direct comparison was not statistically significant (OR = 1.3, 95% CI = 0.8-2.2).

Other plausible explanations for the differences between combined and other forms of violence may stem from variations in how to measure and define self-directed and otherdirected violence. The narrow definitions adopted in our study may still not be specific enough and thus inadvertently mask differences across the violence categories. There are several subtypes of aggression, including reactive and proactive aggression (Conner et al., 2009) and intermittent explosive disorder, which is associated with major depressive disorder (Kessler et al., 2006). Variations in severity of aggressive behavior may further characterize differences between combined relative to other-directed violence. Suicidality involves heterogeneity of subtypes in terms of level of intent, ideation, planning, lethality, and likelihood of rescue (Conner et al., 2007, 2009; Gvion and Apter, 2011). Lifetime impulsivity and aggression, substance abuse problems, and psychotic disorders have been linked to more violent methods of suicide (Beautrais, 2003; Dumais et al., 2005). Selfmutilating behavior (SMB), another form of self-directed violence, is associated with suicide (Cooper et al., 2005; Suominen et al., 2004), particularly in the presence of borderline personality disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and bipolar disorders, disorders found to be more prevalent in combined relative to other forms of violence (Harford et al., 2013). Finally, both self- and other-directed violence share certain underlying factors. Sacks et al. (2008) have noted that SMB is linked to depression, hostility, and impulsivity among male veterans with PTSD. These traits have also been linked to other-directed aggression (Birkley et al., 2013; Giancola et al., 2012). Recent studies have related aggression/ impulsivity (Gvion et al., 2014), anger (Sadeh and McNiel, 2013), and violence toward others (Van Dulmen et al., 2013) to suicidality. Future studies are required to examine variation in severity of violence and etiological factors underlying self- and other-directed violence. To follow the example of the National Violent Death Reporting System for mortality studies, national and local surveys must probe and collect more in-depth information on the circumstances that bring about the violence for morbidity studies, so that future studies can determine the exact mechanisms underlying the co-occurrence of otherand self-directed violence.

Based on a screening instrument for assessing mood and anxiety disorders, SPD was shown to be a major risk factor for violence and a potentially important confounder for SUDs. Although not examined in this study, personality disorders as well as mood and anxiety disorders could also play a role similar to SPD. Elliot et al. (2016) found that personality disorders (i.e., borderline and antisocial) were significant mediators between childhood maltreatment and the persistence of alcohol and nicotine dependence. Presumably all these factors could directly and indirectly contribute to violence. Therefore, future studies should use structural equation modeling to further specify the direct and indirect roles of particular risk factors in the associations between SUD and violence.

Several limitations of our study must be highlighted. First, although the NSDUH surveys provide extensive data on SUDs, psychiatric history is limited to the assessment of SPD. The SUD measures were affected by the NSDUH partial questionnaire redesign in 2015, which changed how the questions were asked for the use of hallucinogens, inhalants, and prescription pain relievers. Second, the measurement and categorization of violent behavior in the present study are based on retrospective reports and are restricted to a limited number of question items. Underreporting in violence would likely affect the accuracy of prevalence estimates. No objective measure such as criminal records has been included. Self-reports may introduce inherent bias. Third, the assessment of other-directed violence does not indicate the context of incidents (e.g. single versus multiparty instigation or incident severity). Fourth, the study is limited to cross-sectional data, and not all measures are in the same time frame (e.g., nicotine dependence in the past month versus other SUDs in the past year). The data limitation does not allow assessment of directionality of important factors (i.e., SUDs and SPD), nor the concurrency of fighting and suicide attempt. Fifth, many people who engaged in violence may be incarcerated or homeless and are not included in the survey samples analyzed in this study. Therefore, our prevalence estimates of violence categories are conservative. Finally, our analysis was more exploratory than confirmatory and thus did not adjust for multiple comparisons to control type I error, which was not the major focus of our concern (Gelman et al., 2012).

Despite the data limitations, the large and national sample across multiple years and detailed DSM-IV assessments of SUDs are important study strengths. More importantly, major findings in this study establish the associations between specific substance use disorders and the violence typology. Of these specific substance use disorders, alcohol use disorders, nicotine dependence, marijuana use disorders, and pain reliever use disorders stand out as important risk factors that should be targeted for preventing violent behaviors. These findings have great implications in morbidity and mortality especially against the backdrop of opioid epidemic and the rise of premature deaths in the United States (University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, 2017). Government agencies including the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism have made medications development a high priority area by supporting many pharmacotherapy clinical trials (Litten et al., 2012). It is incumbent on clinical researchers and scientists to better understand SUDs and identify new and cost-effective treatments for patients, thereby reducing the burden of these disorders on society. Future studies also need to further explore the hierarchical structure of polysubstance use and its association with violence. Although the past-year prevalence of combined self- and other-directed violence in the U.S. general population of adults is low

(0.06%), this particular violence category compared with others was associated with higher prevalence of SUDs and SPD, which could pose problems for screening and intervention efforts designed to target only one side of the symptoms. Although prevention and treatment programs are well developed for suicide and violence toward others, the presence of indicators of both forms of violence needs to be addressed. Violence intervention programs need to consider and coordinate referral between health care and criminal justice programs.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA), National Institutes of Health (NIH), and by the Alcohol Epidemiologic Data System funded by NIAAA Contract No. HHSN275201300016C to CSR, Incorporated. The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of the sponsoring agency or the Federal Government.

Role of the Funding source

The funding source had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of this report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication

References

- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. fourth. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1994.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. fifth. American Psychiatric Association; Arlington, VA: 2013.
- Apter A, Gothelf D, Orbach I, Weizman R, Ratzoni G, Har-Even D, Tyano S. Correlation of suicidal and violent behavior in different diagnostic categories in hospitalized adolescent patients. J. Am. Acad. Child. Adolesc. Psychiatry. 1995; 34:912–918. [PubMed: 7649962]
- Apter A, Kotler M, Sevy S, Plutchik R, Brown SL, Foster H, Hillbrand M, Korn ML, van Praag HM. Correlates of risk of suicide in violent and nonviolent psychiatric patients. Am. J. Psychiatry. 1991; 148:883–887. [PubMed: 2053628]
- Apter A, Plutchik R, van Praag HM. Anxiety, impulsivity and depressed mood in relation to suicidal and violent behavior. Acta. Psychiatr. Scand. 1993; 87:1–5. [PubMed: 8424318]
- Arseneault L, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Taylor PJ, Silva PA. Mental disorders and violence in a total birth cohort: results from the Dunedin Study. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 2000; 57:979–986. [PubMed: 11015816]
- Beautrais AL. Suicide and serious suicide attempts in youth: A multiple-group comparison study. Am. J. Psychiatry. 2003; 160:1093–1099. [PubMed: 12777267]
- Birkley EL, Giancola PR, Lance CE. Psychopathy and the prediction of alcohol-related physical aggression: The roles of impulsive antisociality and fearless dominance. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013; 128:58–63. [PubMed: 22959485]
- Cairney J, Veldhuizen S, Wade TJ, Kurdyak P, Streiner DL. Evaluation of 2 measures of psychological distress as screeners for depression in the general population. Can. J. Psychiatry. 2007; 52:111–120. [PubMed: 17375867]
- Carrà G, Sciarini P, Segagni-Lusignani G, Clerici M, Montomoli C, Kessler RC. Do they actually work across borders? Evaluation of two measures of psychological distress as screening instruments in a non Anglo-Saxon country. Eur. Psychiatry. 2011; 26:122–127. [PubMed: 20620023]
- Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Methodological resource book (Section 2, Sample design report). Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; Rockville, MD: 2015.
- Coid J, Yang M, Roberts A, Ullrich S, Moran P, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Jenkins R, Farrell M, Lewis G, Singleton N. Violence and psychiatric morbidity in a national household population—A report from the British Household Survey. Am.J. Epidemiol. 2006; 164:1199–1208. [PubMed: 17032695]

Conner KR, Hesselbrock VM, Meldrum SC, Schuckit MA, Bucholz KK, Gamble SA, Wines JD Jr, Kramer J. Transitions to, and correlates of, suicidal ideation, plans, and unplanned and planned suicide attempts among 3,729 men and women with alcohol dependence. J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs. 2007; 68:654–662. [PubMed: 17690798]

- Conner KR, Swogger MT, Houston RJ. A test of the reactive aggression-suicidal behavior hypothesis: Is there a case for proactive aggression? J. Abnorm. Psychol. 2009; 118:235–240. [PubMed: 19222330]
- Cook TB. Recent criminal offending and suicide attempts: A national sample. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 2013; 48:767–774. [PubMed: 22918292]
- Cooper J, Kapur N, Webb R, Lawlor M, Guthrie E, Mackway-Jones K, Appleby L. Suicide after deliberate self-harm: A 4-year cohort study. Am. J. Psychiatry. 2005; 162:297–303. [PubMed: 15677594]
- Corrigan PW, Watson AC. Findings from the National Comorbidity Survey on the frequency of violent behavior in individuals with psychiatric disorders. Psychiatry Res. 2005; 136:153–162. [PubMed: 16125786]
- Curtin SC, Warner M, Hedegaard H. Increase in suicide in the United States, 1999–2014. NCHS Data Brief. 2016; 241:1–8.
- Dumais A, Lesage AD, Lalovic A, Seguin M, Tousignant M, Chawky N, Turecki G. Is violent method of suicide a behavioral marker of lifetime aggression? Am. J. Psychiatry. 2005; 162:1375–1378. [PubMed: 15994723]
- Elbogen EB, Johnson SC. The intricate link between violence and mental disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 2009; 66:152–161. [PubMed: 19188537]
- Elliot JC, Stohl M, Wall MM, Keyes KM, Skodol AE, Eaton NR, Shmulewitz D, Goodwin RD, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Childhood maltreatment, personality disorders, and 3-year persistence of alcohol and nicotine dependence in a national sample. Addiction. 2016; 111:912–923.
- Fagerstrom KO. Measuring degree of physical dependence to tobacco smoking with reference to individualization of treatment. Addict. Behav. 1978; 3:235–241. [PubMed: 735910]
- Federal Bureau of Investigation. [accessed 17.4.10] Crime in the United States, 2015. 2016. https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2015/crime-in-the-u.s.-2015/offenses-known-to-law-enforcement/violent-crime/violentcrimemain_final
- Gelhorn H, Hartman C, Sakai J, Stallings M, Young S, Rhee SH, Corley R, Hewitt J, Hopfer C, Crowley T. Toward DSM-V: An item response theory analysis of the diagnostic process for DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence in adolescents. J. Am. Acad. Child. Adolesc. Psychiatry. 2008; 47:1329–1339. [PubMed: 18827724]
- Gelman A, Hill J, Yajima M. Why we (usually) don't have to worry about multiple comparisons. J. Res. Educ. Eff. 2012; 5:189–211.
- Giancola PR. Development and evaluation of theories of alcohol-related violence: Covering a 40-year span. Subst. Use Misuse. 2015; 50:1182–1187. [PubMed: 26361926]
- Giancola PR, Parrott DJ, Silvia PJ, Dewall CN, Begue L, Subra B, Duke AA, Bushman BJ. The disguise of sobriety: Unveiled by alcohol in persons with an aggressive personality. J. Pers. 2012; 80:163–185. [PubMed: 21299560]
- Gvion Y, Apter A. Aggression, impulsivity, and suicide behavior: A review of the literature. Arch. Suicide Res. 2011; 15:93–112. [PubMed: 21541857]
- Gvion Y, Horresh N, Levi-Belz Y, Fischel T, Treves I, Weiser M, David HS, Stein-Reizer O, Apter A. Aggression-impulsivity, mental pain, and communication difficulties in medically serious and medically non-serious suicide attempters. Compr. Psychiatry. 2014; 55:40–50. [PubMed: 24209607]
- Harford TC, Chen CM, Grant BF. Other- and self-directed forms of violence and their relationship with number of substance use disorder criteria among youth ages 12–17: Results from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health. J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs. 2016; 77:277–286. [PubMed: 26997186]
- Harford TC, Yi H-y, Freeman RC. A typology of violence against self and others and its associations with drinking and other drug use among high school students in a U.S. general population survey. J. Child. Adolesc. Subst. Abuse. 2012; 21:349–366. [PubMed: 26478688]

Harford TC, Yi HY, Faden VB, Chen CM. The dimensionality of DSM-IV alcohol use disorders among adolescent and adult drinkers and symptom patterns by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. 2009; 33:868–878. [PubMed: 19320629]

- Harford TC, Yi HY, Grant BF. Other- and self-directed forms of violence and their relationships to DSM-IV substance use and other psychiatric disorders in a national survey of adults. Compr. Psychiatry. 2013; 54:731–739. [PubMed: 23587529]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO. The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence: A revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. Br. J. Addict. 1991; 86:1119–1127. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Hillbrand M. Aggression against self and aggression against others in violent psychiatric patients. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 1995; 63:668–671. [PubMed: 7673545]
- Hills AL, Cox BJ, McWilliams LA, Sareen J. Suicide attempts and externalizing psychopathology in a nationally representative sample. Compr. Psychiatry. 2005; 46:334–339. [PubMed: 16122533]
- Jokinen J, Forslund K, Ahnemark E, Gustavsson JP, Nordstrom P, Asberg M. Karolinska Interpersonal Violence Scale predicts suicide in suicide attempters. J. Clin. Psychiatry. 2010; 71:1025–1032. [PubMed: 20797380]
- Kessler RC, Barker PR, Colpe LJ, Epstein JF, Gfroerer JC, Hiripi E, Howes MJ, Normand SL, Manderscheid RW, Walters EE, Zaslavsky AM. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 2003; 60:184–189. [PubMed: 12578436]
- Kessler RC, Coccaro EF, Fava M, Jaeger S, Jin R, Walters E. The prevalence and correlates of DSM-IV intermittent explosive disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 2006; 63:669–678. [PubMed: 16754840]
- Kirisci L, Tarter RE, Vanyukov M, Martin C, Mezzich A, Brown S. Application of item response theory to quantify substance use disorder severity. Addict. Behav. 2006; 31:1035–1049. [PubMed: 16647219]
- Klostermann KC, Fals-Stewart W. Intimate partner violence and alcohol use: Exploring the role of drinking in partner violence and its implications for intervention. Aggress. Violent. Beh. 2006; 11:587–597.
- Langenbucher JW, Labouvie E, Martin CS, Sanjuan PM, Bavly L, Kirisci L, Chung T. An application of item response theory analysis to alcohol, cannabis, and cocaine criteria in DSM-IV. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 2004; 113:72–80. [PubMed: 14992659]
- Links PS, Gould B, Ratnayake R. Assessing suicidal youth with antisocial, borderline, or narcissistic personality disorder. Can. J. Psychiatry. 2003; 48:301–310. [PubMed: 12866335]
- Litten RZ, Egli M, Heilig M, Cui C, Fertig JB, Ryan ML, Falk DE, Moss H, Huebner R, Noronha A. Medications development to treat alcohol dependence: A vision for the next decade. Addict. Biol. 2012; 17:513–527. [PubMed: 22458728]
- Martin CS, Langenbucher JW, Kaczynski NA, Chung T. Staging in the onset of DSM-IV alcohol symptoms in adolescents: Survival/ hazard analyses. J. Stud. Alcohol. 1996; 57:549–558. [PubMed: 8858553]
- O'Donnell O, House A, Waterman M. The co-occurrence of aggression and self-harm: Systematic literature review. J. Affect. Disord. 2015; 175:325–350. [PubMed: 25665494]
- Pernanen, K. Alcohol in human violence. Guilford; New York: 1991.
- Plutchik R. Outward and inward directed aggressiveness: The interaction between violence and suicidality. Pharmacopsychiatry. 1995; 28(Suppl 2):47–57. [PubMed: 8614701]
- Plutchik R, van Praag HM, Conte HR. Correlates of suicide and violence risk: III. A two-stage model of countervailing forces. Psychiatry Res. 1989; 28:215–225. [PubMed: 2748772]
- Pulay AJ, Dawson DA, Hasin DS, Goldstein RB, Ruan WJ, Pickering RP, Huang B, Chou SP, Grant BF. Violent behavior and DSM-IV psychiatric disorders: Results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. J. Clin. Psychiatry. 2008; 69:12–22. [PubMed: 18312033]
- Rothman KJ. No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. Epidemiology. 1990; 1:43–46. [PubMed: 2081237]

Sacks MB, Flood AM, Dennis MF, Hertzberg MA, Beckham JC. Self-mutilative behaviors in male veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. J. Psychiatr. Res. 2008; 42:487–494. [PubMed: 17606271]

- Sadeh N, McNiel DE. Facets of anger, childhood sexual victimization, and gender as predictors of suicide attempts by psychiatric patients after hospital discharge. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 2013; 122:879–890. [PubMed: 23834063]
- Saha TD, Chou SP, Grant BF. Toward an alcohol use disorder continuum using item response theory: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Psychol. Med. 2006; 36:931–941. [PubMed: 16563205]
- Suominen K, Isometsa E, Haukka J, Lonnqvist J. Substance use and male gender as risk factors for deaths and suicide—A 5-year follow-up study after deliberate self-harm. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 2004; 39:720–724. [PubMed: 15672292]
- Swahn MH, Bossarte RM, Palmier JB, Yao H. Co-Occurring Physical Fighting and Suicide Attempts among U.S. High School Students: Examining Patterns of Early Alcohol Use Initiation and Current Binge Drinking. West. J. Emerg. Med. 2013; 14:341–346. [PubMed: 23930147]
- University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. [accessed 17.4.11] County Health Rankings Key Findings 2017. 2017. http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/reports/2017-county-health-rankings-key-findings-report
- Van Dorn R, Volavka J, Johnson N. Mental disorder and violence: Is there a relationship beyond substance use? Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 2012; 47:487–503. [PubMed: 21359532]
- Van Dulmen M, Mata A, Claxton S, Klipfel K, Schinka K, Swahn M, Bossarte R. Longitudinal associations between violence and suicidality from adolescence into adulthood. Suicide Life Threat. Behav. 2013; 43:523–531. [PubMed: 23725554]

Limitations

Psychiatric history in NSDUH is limited to the assessment of SPD. The measurement and categorization of violent behavior are based on retrospective reports and are restricted to a limited number of question items. Underreporting in violence would likely affect the accuracy of prevalence estimates. No objective measure such as criminal records has been included. Self-reports may introduce inherent bias. The assessment of other-directed violence does not indicate the context of incidents (e.g. single versus multiparty instigation or incident severity). Cross-sectional data do not allow assessment of directionality of important factors nor the concurrency of fighting and suicide attempt. People who engaged in violence may be incarcerated or homeless and are not included in the survey samples, rendering conservative prevalence estimates for violence.

Highlights

• This national study identifies a small percentage of American adults (0.06%) who engaged in the co-occurrence of self- and other-directed violence in the past-year.

- The combined self-/other-directed violence is quantitatively distinct from the separate forms of violence. In particular, the prevalence of severe psychological distress was significantly higher for combined violence (89.6%) relative to self-directed violence (68.8%) or other-directed violence (37.2%).
- Nicotine dependence and the number of DSM-IV SUDs criteria (except the
 criterion of legal problems) for alcohol, marijuana, and pain reliever use
 disorders are significantly associated with the self-/other-directed violence
 categories.
- Serious psychological distress, major depressive episodes, assault arrest, and criminal justice involvement also were risk factors for the self-/other-directed violence categories
- Despite the low prevalence of the combined self-/other-directed violence, the tetrachoric correlation between self- and other-directed violence is not negligible (0.40). This finding provides additional support for clinical studies that established associations between self- and other-directed violent behaviors.

Table 1

Weighted distribution (%) of background characteristics by violence category, NSDUH 2008-2015.

		Violence categories	itegories		
Background characteristics	None	Self- directed	Other- directed	Combined	Total
and potential risk factors	(N = 304,842) 98.29%	(N = 2,289) 0.45%	(N = 7,286) 1.20%	(N = 464) 0.06%	(N = 314,881) 100%
Sociodemographics					
Gender					
Male	48.1b.c	$41.6^{a,c}$	61.9a,b,d	$46.0^{\mathcal{C}}$	48.2
Female	51.9b.c	58.4 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i>	38.1abd	54.0 ^c	51.8
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic White	$p,c,q_{0.9}$	$61.5^{a,c}$	$51.5^{a,b}$	53.74	2.99
Non-Hispanic Black	11.5 <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	13.9c,d	$23.0^{a,b}$	$24.0^{a,b}$	11.6
Non-Hispanic Native American/AK Native	$0.5^{\mathcal{C}}$	1.3	1.2^{a}	1.7	0.5
Non-Hispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander	0.3	0.2	9.0	0.4	0.3
Non-Hispanic Asian	4.9c,d	4.8^{d}	2.4	$1.6^{a,b}$	4.9
Non-Hispanic more than one race	$1.3^{\mathcal{C}}$	2.3	2.74	4.4	1.4
Hispanic	14.5	15.9	18.7	14.2	14.6
Age					
18–20	5.4b,c,d	$18.2^{a,c,d}$	$24.2^{a,b}$	28.7a, b	5.7
21–25	8.8b,c,d	$16.0^{a,c,d}$	$22.3^{a,b}$	25.9 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>	0.6
26–34	15.7c	16.2	20.4	18.2	15.8
35-49	26.6 <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	24.7 <i>c</i>	$16.1^{a,b}$	16.34	26.5
50+	43.5 <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$25.0^{a,c,d}$	17.1a,b	10.9a, b	43.1
Education					
Less than high school	14.1b.c.d	22.8 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$29.2^{a,b}$	34.5a,b	14.4
High school	29.4 <i>b</i> , <i>c</i>	33.6 ^a	36.8 ^a	35.7	29.5
Some college	26.7	28.8	25.6	26.4	26.7

		Violence categories	ıtegories		
Background characteristics	None	Self- directed	Other- directed	Combined	Total
and potential risk factors	(N = 304,842) 98.29%	(N = 2,289) 0.45%	(N = 7,286) 1.20%	(N = 464) 0.06%	(N = 314,881) 100%
College graduate	29.7 <i>b,</i> c,d	14.7 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	8.4a,b,d	3.5a,b,c	29.4
Marital status					
Married	53.6 <i>b,c,d</i>	$26.2^{a,c,d}$	20.9a, b, d	12.1a,b,c	53.1
Widowed	6.1b,c,	3.94	4.2	4.6	0.9
Divorced or separated	13.9^{b}	22.4 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i>	12.3 <i>b</i>	21.6	13.9
Never married	26.4b.c.d	47.5 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	62.5a,b	61.7 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>	27.0
Family income					
Less than \$10,000	6.66,0.4	19.1	15.2 ^a	21.94	8.9
\$10,000-\$29,999	22.0b,c,d	32.4 ^a	32.3 ^a	35.0^{a}	22.2
\$30,000-\$74,999	38.5b.c	32.5a	34.5 ^a	33.2	38.4
\$75,000+	32.9 <i>b,c,d</i>	16.1	$18.0^{a,d}$	10.0a,c	32.6
Substance use disorders $^{\mathcal{C}}$					
Alcohol use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	$92.0^{b,c,d}$	71.34,d	$66.4^{a,d}$	$50.8^{a,b,c}$	91.6
2–3 criteria	5.4b.c.d	13.5 <i>a</i>	17.5	15.4^{a}	5.6
4+ criteria	2.5bcd	$15.2^{a,d}$	$16.1^{a,d}$	$33.8^{a,b,c}$	2.8
Nicotine dependence (past month)					
No	86.8 <i>b,c,d</i>	68.4 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	67.34,4	$50.6^{a,b,c}$	86.5
Yes	13.2b,c,d	$31.6^{a,d}$	32.7a,d	49.4 <i>a,b,c</i>	13.5
Marijuana use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	97.8 <i>b,c,d</i>	89.4 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	82.4 <i>a,b,d</i>	$69.2^{a,b,c}$	97.5
2–3 criteria	1.7b,c,d	6.7 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$11.4^{a,b,d}$	19.3a, b, c	1.8
4+ criteria	0.6b,c,d	3.8 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$6.2^{a,b}$	$11.5^{a,b}$	0.7
Cocaine use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	69.6b,c,d	96.8a,d	96.1 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	85.5 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i>	99.5

Background characteristics	None	Self- directed	Other- directed	Combined	Total
and potential risk factors	(N = 304,842) 98.29%	(N = 2,289) 0.45%	(N = 7,286) 1.20%	(N = 464) 0.06%	(N = 314,881) 100%
2–3 criteria	0.2c,d	$p^{9.0}$	1.14	4.1a,b	0.2
4+ criteria	0.2b,c,d	$2.6^{a,d}$	2.8 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	$10.4^{a,b,c}$	0.3
Hallucinogen use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	99.9 <i>b,c,d</i>	98.4 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	98.2 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	93.7 <i>a,b,c</i>	6:66
2–3 criteria	0.06b,c,d	0.9^{a}	1.0^{a}	2.5a	0.08
4+ criteria	0.02b,c,d	0.8^{a}	0.8^{a}	3.94	0.04
Heroin use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	99.8bcd	98.6a	98.0^{a}	97.1	8.66
2+ criteria	0.2b,c,d	1.4	2.0^{a}	2.94	0.2
Inhalant use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	386.66	99.3	8.66	9.86	76.99
2+ criteria	$0.02^{\mathcal{C}}$	0.7	0.2^{a}	1.4	0.03
Pain reliever use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	99.2 <i>b,c,d</i>	63.0a, q	$93.0^{a,d}$	$80.8^{a,b,c}$	99.1
2–3 criteria	0.4b.c.d	2.54	3.24	4.8^{a}	0.4
4+ criteria	0.4b.c.d	4.54,d	3.8a,d	$14.4^{a,b,c}$	0.5
Sedative use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	99.96, c	98.54	60.66	97.2	6.66
2+ criteria	0.1bc	1.54	1.0^{a}	2.8	0.1
Stimulant use disorders (past year)					
0–1 criteria	99.86cd	97.3 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	97.7 <i>a</i> , <i>d</i>	90.3a, b, c	8.66
2–3 criteria	0.1bc	1.54	0.8^{a}	1.5	0.1
4+ criteria	0.1b.c.d	$1.2^{a,d}$	$1.5^{a,d}$	$8.2^{a,b,c}$	0.1
Tranquilizer use disorders (past year)					
0-1 criteria	b.0.6.00	07 18	07.04	03 58	8.66

		Violence categories	tegories		
Background characteristics	None	Self- directed	Other- directed	Combined	Total
and potential risk factors	(N = 304,842) 98.29%	(N = 2,289) 0.45%	(N = 7,286) 1.20%	(N = 464) 0.06%	(N = 314,881) 100%
2–3 criteria	0.1bc	1.2^{a}	1.2^{a}	3.2	0.1
4+ criteria	0.1bc	1.74	0.9^{a}	3.3	0.1
Serious psychological distress (past year)					
No	90.1b,c,d	$31.2^{a,c,d}$	62.8 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>d</i>	$10.4^{a,b,c}$	89.5
Yes	6.9b,c,d	$68.8^{a,c,d}$	37.2 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>d</i>	$89.6^{a,b,c}$	10.5
Major depressive episode (past year)					
No	$93.6^{b,c,d}$	$51.5^{a,c}$	$81.2^{a,b,d}$	$41.2^{a,c}$	93.3
Yes	6.4b.c.d	48.5 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i>	$18.8^{a,b,d}$	58.84.c	6.7
Criminal justice involvement (past year)					
No	98.7b,c,d	92.6a,c,d	$88.6^{a,b}$	82.2 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>	9.86
Yes	1.3b,c,d	7.4 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$11.4^{a,b}$	17.8a,b	1.4
Assault arrest (past year)					
No	99.7 <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	96.8 <i>a</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>d</i>	$91.4^{a,b}$	84.4 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i>	9.66
Yes	0.3b,c,d	3.2a,c,d	$8.6^{a,b}$	15.6a,b	0.4

^aSignificantly different from "none" (p < 0.01).

Note: All p-values < 0.01 for chi-squared or adjusted Wald F tests of violence categories with respect to background characteristics and potential risk factors.

b significantly different from "self-directed" ($p\!<\!0.01$).

cignificantly different from "other directed" ($p\!<\!0.01$).

 $[\]boldsymbol{d}$ significantly different from "combined" (p < 0.01).

e Alcohol use disorder, marijuana use disorder, and other drug use disorders were based on the original DSM-IV criteria excluding the criterion of legal problems. However, nicotine dependence was assessed based on the dependence criteria according to the Nicotine Dependence Syndrome Scale (NDSS) and the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence (FTND).

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Mutually adjusted odds ratios from multinomial logistic regression of violence categories on sociodemographics, substance use disorders,^a serious psychological distress, major depressive episode, criminal justice involvement, and assault arrest, NSDUH 2008-2015

Background characteristics and potential risk factors Self-directed vs. none over none race Sociodemographics 0.0 Gender 1.0 0.8-1. Female 1.0 Ref Race/ethnicity 1.0 Ref Non-Hispanic White 1.0 Ref Non-Hispanic Black 1.13* 1.1-1. Non-Hispanic Dative American/AK Native 1.6 0.9-2. Non-Hispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander 0.7 0.2-2. Non-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 0.9-1. Hispanic 1.2 1.0-1. Age 2.4** 1.9-3. 21-25 1.4** 1.1-1. 26-34 0.9 0.7-1. 35-49 1.0 Ref	11 OR 11:0 11:0 11:0 11:0 11:0 11:0 11:0 11:	Other- directed vs. none t 95%CI ** 1.4–1.7 Ref ** 1.8–2.2 ** 1.8–2.2 ** 1.0–3.9	Com vs. 1 OR OR 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.8 ***	Combined vs. none 2 95%CI 0.8–1.5 Ref Ref ** 2.0–3.8 ** 1.1–4.6	OR OR 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	- 	Cor vs di	Combined vs. self-directed R 95%CI R 0.8–1.5	Coa vs. dil.	Combined vs. otherdirected R 95%CI R 95%CI R 95%CI
mographics micity ispanic White ispanic Mative American/AK Native 1.6 ispanic Asian ispanic more than one race 1.3 ic 1.4 *** 1.9 1.0 1.10 1.10 1.11 1.12 1.12			0R 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.8 ***	95%CI 0.8–1.5 Ref 2.0–3.8 1.1–4.6	OR 1.6 ** 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0		OR 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.2 **	95%CI 0.8–1.5 Ref	OR 0.7 *	95%CI 0.5-0.9 Ref
ispanic Mite 1.0 1.0 ispanic White 1.3* ispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6 ispanic nore than one race 1.3 ispanic more than one race 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.0 1.0			1.0 1.0 1.0 2.8 **	0.8–1.5 Ref Ref 2.0–3.8 1.1–4.6	1.6 ** 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5 **		1.1 1.0 1.0 2.2 **	0.8–1.5 Ref	0.7*	0.5–0.9 Ref
nicity lispanic White lispanic White lispanic Black lispanic Native American/AK Native lispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander lispanic Asian lispanic more than one race lispanic more than one race lispanic Mative HI/other Pacific Islander lispanic Asian lispanic Mative HI/other Pacific Islander lispanic Asian lispanic Mative HI/other Pacific Islander lispanic M			1.1 1.0 1.0 2.8 **	0.8–1.5 Ref Ref 2.0–3.8 1.1–4.6 0.3–8.2	1.6 ** 1.0 1.0 1.5 **		1.1 1.0 1.0 2.2 **	0.8–1.5 Ref	0.7*	0.5–0.9 Ref
e dedunicityHispanic White 1.0Hispanic White 1.3Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6Hispanic Native Hl/other Pacific Islander 0.7Hispanic more than one race 1.3Hispanic more than 0.7			1.1 1.0 1.0 2.8 ** 2.3 *	Ref Ref 2.0-3.8 1.1-4.6	1.6 ** 1.0 1.0 1.5 **		1.1 1.0 1.0 2.2 **	0.8–1.5 Ref	0.7*	0.5–0.9 Ref
vethmicity 1.0 r-Hispanic White 1.0 r-Hispanic Black 1.3* r-Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6 r-Hispanic Native HL/other Pacific Islander 0.7 r-Hispanic Asian 1.8* r-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 panic 1.2 2.4 ** 25 1.4 ** 49 1.0			1.0 1.0 2.8 **	Ref Ref 2.0-3.8 1.1-4.6 0.3-8.2	1.0	Ref Ref 1.2–1.9	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref Ref
ethnicity -Hispanic White 1.0 -Hispanic Black 1.3* -Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6 -Hispanic Native Hl/other Pacific Islander 1.8* -Hispanic more than one race 1.3 panic 0 2.4** 25 49 1.0			2.8 **	Ref 2.0-3.8 1.1-4.6	1.0	Ref 1.2–1.9	1.0			Ref
1.0 -Hispanic White 1.3* -Hispanic Black 1.3* -Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6Hispanic Native H/other Pacific Islander 0.7Hispanic Asian 1.8* -Hispanic more than one race 1.3			2.8 **	Ref 2.0–3.8 1.1–4.6 0.3–8.2	1.0	Ref 1.2–1.9	1.0			Ref
1.3 * 1-Hispanic Black 1.6 I-Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.7 I-Hispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander 1.8 * 1-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 panic 0 2.4 ** 25 34 49 1.0			2.8 **	2.0-3.8 1.1-4.6 0.3-8.2	1.5 **	1.2–1.9	2.2 **	Ref	1.0	
1.6 r-Hispanic Native American/AK Native 1.6 r-Hispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander 0.7 r-Hispanic Asian 1.8* r-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 panic 1.2 anic 1.2 sanic 1.4 ** 25 1.4 ** 49 1.0			2.3 *	1.1–4.6	1.0	01.30		1.5–3.2	1.4	1.0-2.0
1-Hispanic Native HI/other Pacific Islander 0.7 1-Hispanic Asian 1.8* 1-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 panic 1.2 2.4** 25 34 99 1.0		1.0–3.9		0.3-8.2	>	0.3-1.0	1.4	0.6-3.5	1.4	0.7–2.9
1.8* 1-Hispanic Asian 1.8* 1-Hispanic more than one race 1.3 2-4** 25 1.4** 34 0.9 1.0			I./		2.9	0.6–14.2	2.5	0.3-23.2	6.0	0.2-4.7
1.3 panic nore than one race 1.3 panic 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.4 *** 2.5 1.4 *** 4.9 1.0	1.1–2.9	0.7-1.4	1:1	0.4–2.7	0.6^*	0.3-1.0	9.0	0.2-1.6	1:1	0.4-3.0
panic 1.2 0 2.4** 25 1.4** 34 0.9	0.9–1.9 1.8**	1.3–2.5	2.8 **	1.4–5.5	4.1	0.9–2.1	2.1*	1.0-4.6	1.6	0.8–3.1
0 2.4 ** 25 1.4 ** 34 0.9	1.0-1.4 1.3 **	1.1–1.5	1.2	0.8-1.8	Ξ:	0.9–1.4	1.0	0.7-1.6	1.0	0.6 - 1.4
2.4 ** 1.4 ** 1 0.9										
1,4 **	1.9–3.1 3.7**	3.2-4.3	7.1 **	4.3–11.7	1.6^{**}	1.1–2.1	2.9	1.7–5.2	1.9*	1.1–3.2
0.9	1.1-1.7 2.5**	2.2–2.9	4.0 **	2.4–6.5	1.8 **	1.4–2.4	2.9 **	1.6-5.0	1.6	1.0-2.7
1.0	0.7-1.2 1.7**	1.4–1.9	1.7	0.9–3.1	1.8 **	1.3–2.4	1.8	0.9–3.4	1.0	0.6 - 1.8
	Ref 1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
50+ 0.9 0.7-	0.7-1.2 0.8*	0.7-1.0	9.0	0.2-1.4	6.0	0.7-1.2	9.0	0.3-1.6	0.7	0.3-1.7
Education										
Less than high school 1.2 * 1.0	1.0–1.5 1.4**	1.2–1.6	1.5*	1.1–2.2	1.1	0.9–1.4	1.3	0.9-1.8	1:1	0.8 - 1.6
High school 1.0 R	Ref 1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref

Background characteristics and potential risk factors and potential risk factors and potential risk factors Self-directed response or sense disorders (page 37) Other sense diso			3					
OR 95%CI OR 95%CI 0.9 0.7-1.1 0.8 ** 0.7-0.9 0.7 ** 0.6-0.9 0.4 ** 0.4-0.5 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 0.7-1.7 1.9 ** 1.4-2.8 1.5 ** 1.2-2.0 1.4 ** 1.2-1.8 1.2 0.9-1.5 1.5 ** 1.3-1.8 1.2 * 1.0-1.5 1.1 * 1.0-1.3 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6-1.0 1.0 0.8-1.1 year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7 ** 1.3-2.2 2.1 ** 1.9-2.4 2.0 ** 1.6-2.5 2.6 ** 2.3-2.9	Combined vs. none	ned 1e	ģ š ģi 5	Other- directed vs. self- directed	Con dir	Combined vs. self- directed	Con vs.	Combined vs. other- directed
0.9 0.7—1.1 0.8 ** 0.7—0.9 0.7 ** 0.6—0.9 0.4 ** 0.4—0.5 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.4—2.8 1.1 0.7—1.7 1.9 ** 1.4—2.8 1.2 0.9—1.5 1.4 ** 1.2—1.8 1.2 0.9—1.5 1.5 ** 1.3—1.8 1.2 ** 1.0—1.5 1.1 0.9—1.2 1.2 ** 1.0—1.5 1.1 0.9—1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8—1.1 year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0—1.3 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0—1.3 2.0 ** 1.3—2.2 2.1 ** 1.9—2.4 2.0 ** 1.6—2.5 2.6 ** 2.3—2.9		95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI
year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1.1.2.1.8 1.1.		0.6–1.3	6.0	0.7-1.1	6.0	0.6–1.5	1.1	0.7-1.6
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 0.7–1.7 1.9 ** 1.4–2.8 1.5 ** 1.2–2.0 1.4 ** 1.2–1.8 1.2 0.9–1.5 1.5 ** 1.3–1.8 1.2 1.0–1.5 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6–1.0 1.0 0.8–1.1 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 ** 1.9–2.4 2.0 ** 1.6–2.5 2.6 ** 2.3–2.9		0.1–0.6	9.0	0.4-0.8	0.4	0.2-0.9	0.7	0.3-1.5
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 0.7-1.7 1.9** 1.4-2.8 1.5 ** 1.2-2.0 1.4** 1.2-1.8 1.2 0.9-1.5 1.5** 1.3-1.8 1.2 0.9-1.5 1.1 0.9-1.2 1.2 1.0-1.5 1.1 0.9-1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6-1.0 1.0 Ref 1.7 ** 1.3-2.2 2.1** 1.9-2.4 2.0 ** 1.6-2.5 2.6** 2.3-2.9								
1.1 0.7–1.7 1.9 ** 1.4–2.8 1.5 ** 1.2–2.0 1.4 ** 1.2–1.8 1.2 0.9–1.5 1.5 ** 1.3–1.8 1.2 ** 1.0–1.5 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.2 ** 1.0–1.5 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6–1.0 1.0 Ref 1.7 ** 1.3–2.2 2.1 ** 1.9–2.4 2.0 ** 1.6–2.5 2.6 ** 2.3–2.9 month)	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.5 ** 1.2–2.0 1.4 ** 1.2–1.8 1.2 0.9–1.5 1.5 ** 1.3–1.8 1.5 ** 1.2–1.8 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.2 1.0–1.5 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6–1.0 1.0 Ref 1.7 ** 1.3–2.2 2.1 ** 1.9–2.4 2.0 ** 1.6–2.5 2.6 ** 2.3–2.9 month)		1.2–9.9	1.8	1.0–3.3	3.2*	1.0–9.8	1.8	0.6-5.0
1.2 0.9–1.5 1.5 ** 1.3–1.8 1.5 ** 1.2–1.8 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.2 * 1.0–1.5 1.1 1.0–1.3 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8–1.1 year) 1.0 Ref 1.0		1.5-4.2	6.0	0.7–1.3	1.6	0.9–2.9	1.7	1.0–3.0
year) 1.5 ** 1.2–1.8 1.1 0.9–1.2 1.2 * 1.0–1.5 1.1 * 1.0–1.3 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8–1.1 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7 ** 1.3–2.2 2.1 *** 1.9–2.4 2.0 ** 1.6–2.5 2.6 ** 2.3–2.9 month)		0.7–1.8	1.3	1.0-1.7	1.0	0.6 - 1.6	8.0	0.5-1.2
year) year) 1.5 ** 1.2-1.8 1.1 0.9-1.2 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6-1.0 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 0.8-1.1 2.0 ** 1.3-2.2 2.1 ** 1.9-2.4 2.0 ** 1.6-2.5 2.6 ** 2.3-2.9 month)								
year) 1.0 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.0 Ref		0.7–1.8	0.7*	6.0-9.0	8.0	0.5-1.3	1.1	0.7-1.7
year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.8 0.6–1.0 1.0 0.8–1.1 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7** 1.3–2.2 2.1** 1.9–2.4 2.0** 1.6–2.5 2.6** 2.3–2.9 month)		0.8-1.6	6.0	0.7-1.2	6.0	0.6 - 1.3	1.0	0.7–1.4
year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7** 1.3–2.2 2.1*** 1.9–2.4 2.0** 1.6–2.5 2.6** 2.3–2.9 month)	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
year) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7** 1.3–2.2 2.1** 1.9–2.4 2.0** 1.6–2.5 2.6** 2.3–2.9 month)		0.4-1.0	1.2	0.9–1.6	8.0	0.4-1.4	0.7	0.4-1.1
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7** 1.3–2.2 2.1** 1.9–2.4 2.0** 1.6–2.5 2.6** 2.3–2.9								
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7** 1.3-2.2 2.1** 1.9-2.4 2.0** 1.6-2.5 2.6** 2.3-2.9								
1.7** 1.3–2.2 2.1** 1.9–2.4 2.0** 1.6–2.5 2.6** 2.3–2.9	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
2.0** 1.6-2.5 2.6** 2.3-2.9		1.1–3.0	1.2	0.9–1.6	1:1	0.6-1.9	6.0	0.5-1.4
Nicotine dependence (past month)		2.1–4.6	1.3*	1.0-1.6	1.6^{*}	1.0–2.4	1.2	0.8 - 1.8
No 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
Yes 1.1–1.6 1.5** 1.3–1.7 1.7**		1.3–2.4	1.1	0.9–1.4	1.3	0.9–1.9	1.2	0.8-1.6
Marijuana use disorders (past year)								
0–1 criteria 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
2–3 criteria 1.1 0.9–1.4 $_{1.6}^{**}$ 1.4–1.8 $_{2.0}^{**}$		1.3–3.1	1.4 **	1.1-1.8	1.7*	1.1–2.9	1.2	0.8 - 1.9
4+ criteria 1.0 0.7–1.5 1.6** 1.4–1.9 1.3		0.8–2.1	1.6*	1.0-2.5	1.3	0.7–2.4	8.0	0.5-1.3

Self-directed Self-directe						Cor	Contrast of Violence Categories	ence Cat	tegories				
10 Ref 1.0	Background characteristics and potential risk factors	Self-	lirected none	O iff iš	ther- rected . none	Cor.	nbined none		ther- rected . self- rected	Con vs	Combined vs. self- directed	Cor vs.	Combined vs. other- directed
an) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 S-5.6 1.4 0.9–2.1 1.6* 1.0–2.4 2.8** 1.5–5.1 1.1 0.7–1.9 1.0 Ref 1.		OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	13%56	OR	95%CI
an) 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.1 0.3-5.6 1.4 0.9-2.1 1.6* 1.0-2.4 2.8** 1.5-5.1 1.1 0.7-1.9 1.0 Ref	Cocaine use disorders (past year)												
an) 1.0 Ref 1.	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
ary) 1.0 Ref 1	2–3 criteria	0.7	0.2-2.0	1.1	0.8-1.7	2.5*	1.1–5.7	1.7	0.5-5.6	3.7*	1.2–11.9	2.2	1.0-5.1
1.0 Ref 1.0 8.3-1.8 2.0 0.8-4.8 1.5 0.7-3.0 1.9 0.7-5.1 0.7 0.3-1.8 1.0 Ref 1.	4+ criteria	1.4	0.9–2.1	1.6*	1.0-2.4	2.8	1.5–5.1	1.1	0.7–1.9	2.0*	1.1–3.9	1.8	1.0-3.3
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.2 Ref 1.3 Ref 1.3 Ref 1.3 Ref 1.0 Re	Hallucinogen use disorders (past year)												
1.7 0.8–3.8 1.4 0.9–2.3 1.4 0.5–4.1 0.8 0.4–1.8 2.0 0.8–4.8 1.5 0.7–3.0 1.9 0.7–5.1 0.7 0.3–1.8 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 3.5* 1.3–9.2 0.9 0.5–1.8 1.8 0.5–6.3 0.3** 0.1–0.7 1.0 Ref 1.0	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	2–3 criteria	1.7	0.8-3.8	1.4	0.9–2.3	1.4	0.5-4.1	8.0	0.4–1.8	8.0	0.3–2.5	1.0	0.4–2.6
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	4+ criteria	2.0	0.8-4.8	1.5	0.7-3.0	1.9	0.7–5.1	0.7	0.3-1.8	1.0	0.3–2.7	1.3	0.5-3.1
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	Heroin use disorders (past year)												
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	2+ criteria	0.8	0.4-1.4	1.3	0.8-1.9	0.5	0.2-1.0	1.6	0.8-3.2	9.0	0.2-1.4	% t:0	0.1–0.9
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	Inhalant use disorders (past year)												
ar) 1.0 Ref 1	0-1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	2+ criteria	3.5 *	1.3–9.2	6.0	0.5 - 1.8	1.8	0.5-6.3	0.3 **	0.1–0.7	0.5	0.1-1.9	2.0	0.6–7.1
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 Ref 1.0-2.1 1.3 -2.5 1.6 0.9-2.7 1.3 0.8-2.1 1.6 1.0 Ref 1	Pain reliever use disorders (past year)												
1.4 1.0–2.1 1.8** 1.3–2.5 1.6 0.9–2.7 1.3 0.8–2.1 1.6* 1.1–2.4 1.5** 1.2–1.9 3.1** 1.8–5.2 0.9 0.6–1.5 1.0 Ref	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.6* 1.1–2.4 1.5** 1.2–1.9 3.1** 1.8–5.2 0.9 0.6–1.5 1.0 Ref 1	2–3 criteria	1.4	1.0-2.1	1.8		1.6	0.9–2.7	1.3	0.8–2.1	1.1	0.6-2.0	6.0	0.5-1.6
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.7 0.9–3.4 1.5 0.8–2.5 1.1 0.3–4.0 0.9 0.4–1.7 1.0 Ref 1.0 Re	4+ criteria	1.6*	1.1–2.4	1.5 **		3.1**	1.8–5.2	6.0	0.6 - 1.5	1.9*	1.0–3.6	2.0*	1.1–3.6
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.1 0.3-4.0 0.9 0.4-1.7 0.9-3.4 1.5 0.8-2.5 1.1 0.3-4.0 0.9 0.4-1.7 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 0.9 0.4-2.3 0.5* 0.2-0.9 0.6-1.4 0.9 0.4-2.3 0.5* 0.2-0.9	Sedative use disorders (past year)												
1.7 0.9–3.4 1.5 0.8–2.5 1.1 0.3–4.0 0.9 0.4–1.7 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 2.0* 1.1–3.5 0.9 0.6–1.4 0.9 0.4–2.3 0.5* 0.2–0.9	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 2.0* 1.1–3.5 0.9 0.6–1.4 0.9 0.4–2.3 0.5* 0.2–0.9	2+ criteria	1.7	0.9–3.4	1.5	0.8-2.5	1:1	0.3-4.0	6.0	0.4–1.7	9.0	0.2–2.3	0.7	0.2–2.5
1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 2.0 0.2-0.9 0.6-1.4 0.9 0.4-2.3 0.5* 0.2-0.9	Stimulant use disorders (past year)												
3 2.0* 1.1–3.5 0.9 0.6–1.4 0.9 0.4–2.3 0.5* 0.2–0.9	0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
* C C C V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	2–3 criteria	2.0*	1.1–3.5	6.0	0.6 - 1.4	6.0	0.4–2.3	0.5*	0.2-0.9	0.5	0.2-1.2	1.0	0.4–2.6
$0.9 0.5 - 1.9 1.4 0.8 - 2.4 2.6^{\circ} 1.5 - 5.3 1.5 0.7 - 5.4$	4+ criteria	6.0	0.5-1.9	1.4	0.8-2.4	2.6*	1.3–5.5	1.5	0.7–3.4	2.8	1.1–7.1	1.9	0.9–3.8

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

					Con	Contrast of Violence Categories	ence Cat	egories				
Background characteristics and potential risk factors	Self-c	Self-directed vs. none	dir o	Other- directed vs. none	Con vs.	Combined vs. none	g ig s' ig	Other- directed vs. self- directed	Con vs.	Combined vs. self- directed	Con vs.	Combined vs. other- directed
	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI
Tranquilizer use disorders (past year)												
0–1 criteria	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
2–3 criteria	1.5	0.8–2.7	1.6*	1.1–2.5	1.5	0.5-4.2	1.1	0.5-2.2	1.0	0.4–2.7	6.0	0.3–2.7
4+ criteria	1.3	0.8–2.3	8.0	0.4–1.5	9.0	0.2-1.6	9.0	0.3-1.1	0.4	0.2-1.1	0.7	0.3-2.0
Serious psychological distress (past year)												
No	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
Yes	7.8	6.3-9.6	2.6 **	2.3–3.0	20.0**	12.1–33.1	0.3 **	0.3-0.4	2.6 **	1.5–4.5	7.6	4.5–12.7
Major depressive episode (past year)												
No	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
Yes	3.0 **	2.5–3.5	1.4	1.2–1.6	3.1 **	2.1–4.7	0.5	0.4-0.6	1.1	0.7–1.6	2.3 **	1.4–3.6
Criminal justice involvement (past year)												
No	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
Yes	1.6**	1.3–2.1	1.7 **	1.4–1.9	1.5	1.0–2.2	1.0	0.8-1.4	6.0	0.6-1.5	6.0	0.6-1.3
Assault arrest (past year)												
No	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref	1.0	Ref
Yes	2.9 **	1.8-4.5	6.9	5.7-8.4	9.2 **	5.7–15.1	2.4 **	1.5–3.8	3.2 **	1.7–6.2	1.3	0.8-2.2

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference category.

Note: All the predictors are significant $(\rho < 0.01)$ based on adjusted Wald test, except the number of criteria for cocaine use disorders $(\rho = 0.0200)$, hallucinogen use disorders $(\rho = 0.3362)$, heroin use disorders (p=0.1788), Inhalant use disorders (p=0.0399), sedative use disorders (p=0.3654), stimulant use disorders (p=0.0237), and tranquilizer use disorders (p=0.0521).

dependence in the past month was assessed based on the dependence criteria according to the Nicotine Dependence Syndrome Scale (NDSS) and the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence (FTND). ^aAlcohol use disorder, marijuana use disorder, and other drug use disorders in the past year were based on the original DSM-IV criteria excluding the criterion of legal problems. However, nicotine

p < 0.05;

p < 0.01