



Published in final edited form as:

Adv Exp Med Biol. 2009 ; 611: 537–538.

Novel Bifunctional Peptides as Opioid Agonists and NK-1 Antagonists

Padma Nair¹, Takashi Yamamoto¹, Vinod Kulkarni¹, Sharif Moye², Edita Navratilova², Peg Davis², Tally Largent², Shou-wu Ma², Henry I. Yamamura², Todd Vanderah², Josephine Lai², Frank Porreca², and Victor. J. Hruby¹

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, U.S.A

²Department of Pharmacology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, U.S.A

Introduction

Inadequate treatment of pain is an important and urgent problem, which needs to be addressed. Opiates are still the drugs of choice for the treatment of moderate to severe acute pain; however their use are generally accompanied by undesired side effects like analgesic tolerance. Several pharmacological studies have suggested the role of substance P, in pain transmission [1]. It has been observed that co-administration of neurokinin-1 receptor antagonists and opioid agonists augmented the acute effects of opioids and also prevented opioid induced tolerance [2]. In view of these and other observations we have designed novel bifunctional peptides with mixed opioid μ/δ agonist activity and neurokinin-1 antagonist activity [3]. Earlier reports of molecules with opioid μ/δ agonist activity and neurokinin-1 antagonist activity did not have balanced activity at both the receptor's systems [4]. In our studies the opioid pharmacophore chosen has the sequence H-Tyr-DAla-Gly-Phe which is a substructure of biphalin, a highly potent agonist at both δ and μ opioid receptors [5]. A 3,5-(bistrifluoromethyl) benzyl ester of *N*-acylated tryptophans was chosen as the NK1 pharmacophore [6]. These two pharmacophores were combined with amino acids acting as possible address moieties they could be part of the two pharmacophores.

Sequences of some of the bifunctional peptides synthesized are represented below (Fig. 1)

Results and Discussion

The bifunctional peptide ligands were synthesized using solution phase chemistry. The synthetic strategy was started with coupling of tryptophan 3,5-(bistrifluoromethyl)benzyl ester with the respective Boc protected amino acid followed by subsequent chain elongation using BOP/HOBT/NMM method. The *N*^α-Boc groups were deprotected by 100% TFA. The final crude peptides were purified by C₁₈ RP-HPLC (Vydac 10 mm x 250 mm, 10 μ M) with a gradient of 30–70% CH₃CN in aq. 0.1% TFA.

NP30, with Gly as the linker showed excellent binding affinity at both δ and μ opioid receptors and rat NK1 receptors (K_i = 4.7 nM, δ opioid; 0.29 nM, μ opioid; 4.2 nM, rNK1). It also showed potent δ and μ opioid agonist efficacies in the MVD and GPI assays binding assays with the IC₅₀ values of 21 and 26 nM, respectively.

GPI assay clearly showed that NP30 was an antagonist against substance P stimulation with $K_e = 59$ nM. NP34 with γ Abu (Aminobutyric) at position 5 turned out to be the most potent at the GPI assay ($K_e = 0.96$ nM) among all the compounds synthesized. On the other hand substitution with DAla (NP40) at the same position resulted in drastic lowering of antagonistic activity in the GPI assays ($K_e = 610$ nM). However both NP34 and NP40 were highly potent in the binding affinity studies at the opioid and rat NK1 receptors.

Conclusion

Several bifunctional peptides with δ/μ opioid agonist pharmacophore and NK1 antagonist pharmacophore were designed and synthesized. Binding assays performed showed potent activities as an opioid agonist and as NK1 antagonists thus exhibiting the potential of these compounds to act as bifunctional ligands (Table 1).

Acknowledgments

The work was funded by U. S Public Health Service, National Institute of Drug Abuse.

References

1. Khasabov SG, Rogers SD, Ghilardi JR, Peters CM, Mantyh PW, Simone DA. *J Neurosci.* 2002; 22:9086–98. [PubMed: 12388616]
2. Powell KJ, Quirion R, Jhamandas K. *Neuroscience.* 2003; 18:1572–83.
3. Yamamoto T, Nair P, Davis P, Ma SW, Moye M, Largent-Milnes T, Vanderah TW, Lai J, Porreca F, Yamamura HI, Hruby VJ. *J Med Chem.* 2007; 50:2779–86. [PubMed: 17516639]
4. Bonney IM, Foran SE, Marchand JE, Lipkowski AW, Carr DB. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2004; 488:91–99. [PubMed: 15044040]
5. Horan PJ, Mattia A, Bilsky EJ, Weber S, Davis TP, Yamamura HI, Malatynska E, Appleyard SM, Slaninova J, Misicka A, Lipowski AW, Hruby VJ, Porreca F. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1993; 265:1446–54. [PubMed: 8389867]
6. Macleod AM, Merchant KJ, Cascieri MA, Sadowski S, Ber E, Swain CJ, Baker R. *J Med Chem.* 1993; 14:2044–5.

NP30 H-Tyr-DAla-Gly-Phe-**Gly**-Trp-O-3,5-Bzl(CF₃)₂
NP32 H-Tyr-DAla-Gly-Phe-**βAla**-Trp-O-3,5-Bzl(CF₃)₂
NP34 H-Tyr-DAla-Gly-Phe-**γAbu**-Trp-O-3,5-Bzl(CF₃)₂
NP40 H-Tyr-DAla-Gly-Phe-**DAla**-Trp-O-3,5-Bzl(CF₃)₂

Fig. 1.
Sequences of the peptides with opioid agonist and NK-1 antagonist activity.

Table 1

Binding affinities.

Peptide	Binding affinities				Functional assay			
	K _s (nM)	IC ₅₀ (nM) (agonist)	K _c (nM)					
	hDOR	rMOR	rNK1	MVD	GPI	SP(GFP) (antagonist)		
NP30	4.7	0.29	4.2	21	26	59		
NP32	58	11	1.6	13	430	250		
NP34	2.5	2.2	7.4	68	200	0.96		
NP40	3.9	2.8	2.4	58	530	610		