

OPINION

Rifaximin treatment for encephalopathy reduces hospital resource use: real-world data don't fail to IMPRESS

John D Ryan, Emmanuel A Tsochatzis

Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit and UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, Pond Street, NW3 2QG, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Emmanuel A Tsochatzis, Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit and UCL Institute of Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, Pond Street, NW3 2QG, London, UK; e.tsochatzis@ucl.ac.uk

Received 3 May 2017
Accepted 6 May 2017
Published Online First
29 June 2017

The advent of rifaximin, a poorly absorbed antibiotic, for recurrent hepatic encephalopathy (HE) represents a major therapeutic advance for a debilitating condition, the treatment of which had remained unchanged for more than 30 years. The development of HE represents decompensation of end-stage liver disease and is a marker of poor prognosis.¹ Recurrent HE significantly reduces health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and is an indication for liver transplantation. A seminal randomised placebo-controlled study, which was published in 2010, demonstrated the efficacy of rifaximin in the secondary prevention of HE (60% reduction) as well as in the prevention of hospital admissions (50% reduction).²

Several studies have since replicated these findings and shown that rifaximin is safe and effective for the prevention of recurrent HE and improves HRQOL in combination with lactulose. Although clearly effective, concerns were raised in the UK regarding cost implications of rifaximin therapy, given an estimated 6-month treatment cost of £1689.65 per patient. This led to a detailed cost-effectiveness analysis by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, which culminated in the 2015 technology appraisal guidance and approval for rifaximin use in adult patients with recurrent HE.³ A subsequent multicentre audit of seven UK sites showed that rifaximin use was associated with a 31%–53% reduction in total hospital length of stay, which afforded estimated annual mean savings of £1480–3228 per treated patient.⁴

The current study by Hudson and colleagues, entitled the 'IMPRESS' study, represents further retrospective analysis of

the impact of rifaximin on hospital resource use, this time from 13 sites around the UK.⁵ The purpose of the study was to provide 'real-world' data on the types and lengths of hospital admissions in patients who initiated rifaximin therapy for HE. While the study aimed to include 250 patients to power the study, 207 patients were identified over a 6-year period, of whom 145 had resource use data available. The patient cohort was typical of a real-world setting, with a good spread of disease severity as indicated by baseline Model for End stage Liver Disease (MELD) and Child-Pugh scores. Indeed, 6-month and 12-month mortality rates were 19% and 27%, respectively, and 97% of surviving patients had a hospital admission during the 24-month observation period. Rifaximin initiation was associated with a 19% reduction in HE episodes at 12 months (in both the overall and surviving patient subgroup). As expected, the authors found a significant beneficial impact of rifaximin on liver-related and all-cause hospitalisations, hospital bed days, 30 day hospital readmissions and emergency department attendances when comparing 6-month data pre-rifaximin and post-rifaximin commencement. Significant reductions in liver-related and all-cause critical care admissions at 6 and 12 months were evident in surviving patients.

The authors acknowledge several of the study limitations, including the retrospective nature and study design, the risk of commercial bias and a failure to ascertain other factors, which could have influenced the outcomes identified. Nevertheless, the presented results serve to highlight the positive impact rifaximin use has had on the care of patients with decompensated



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2016-100792>



CrossMark

To cite: Ryan JD, Tsochatzis EA. *Frontline Gastroenterology* 2017;**8**:230–231.

end-stage liver disease and the added potential cost-savings associated. Further long-term prospective studies are warranted to confirm these findings.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1 Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK, *et al.* Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet* 2014;383:1749–61.
- 2 Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, *et al.* Rifaximin treatment in hepatic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1071–81.
- 3 Tsochatzis EA. Implications and benefits of the use of rifaximin for preventing episodes of hepatic encephalopathy. *British Journal of Healthcare Management* 2016;22:108–11.
- 4 Orr JG, Currie CJ, Berni E, *et al.* The impact on hospital resource utilisation of treatment of hepatic encephalopathy with rifaximin- α . *Liver Int* 2016;36:1295–303.
- 5 Hudson M, Radwan A, Di Maggio P, *et al.* The impact of rifaximin- α on the hospital resource use associated with the management of patients with hepatic encephalopathy: a retrospective observational study (IMPRESS). *Frontline Gastroenterol* 2017;8:239–47.