Atopic dermatitis and the intestinal microbiota in humans and dogs

J. Mark Craig BVSc, MRCVS Cert SAD

Re-Fur-All Referrals, Newbury, UK

Abstract

The prevalence of human and canine allergic diseases is commonly perceived to be increasing. Suggested predisposing factors in people and dogs include increased allergen load, increased exposure to pollutants, reduced family size, reduced microbial load and less exposure to infection at a young age, increasingly urbanised environment, and changes in dietary habits. Genetic make-up may provide a template for phenotypic predisposition which is strongly influenced by our diet and environment leading to constant regulation of gene expression. One way in which diet can alter gene expression is via its effects on the gut flora or microbiota, the collection of microbes residing in the gastrointestinal tract. The resident microbiota is important in maintaining structural and functional integrity of the gut and in immune system regulation. It is an important driver of host immunity, helps protect against invading enteropathogens, and provides nutritional benefits to the host. Disruption of the microbiota (dysbiosis) may lead to severe health problems, both in the gastrointestinal tract and extra-intestinal organ systems. The precise mechanisms by which the intestinal microbiota exerts its effects are only beginning to be unravelled but research is demonstrating close links between gut microflora and many factors involved in the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis (AD). AD and indeed any other 'skin disease', may be seen as a possible manifestation of a more systemic problem involving gut dysbiosis and increased intestinal permeability, which may occur even in the absence of gastrointestinal signs. Manipulation of the canine intestinal microbiota as a method for modifying atopy, may be attempted in many ways including avoidance of certain foods, supplementation with probiotics and prebiotics, optimising nutrient intake, minimising stress, antimicrobial therapy, correction and prevention of low stomach acid, and faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT).

Keywords: canine, atopic, atopy, dermatitis, dog, microbiota, dysbiosis, epigenetics, gut.

Correspondence: J. Mark Craig, Re-Fur-All Referrals, 31 Porchester Rd, Newbury, Berkshire RG14 7QH, UK. E-mail: mark@markcraig.co.uk, jmcraig@btinternet.com

Introduction

Allergy has long been a topic of mystery and fascination. Emperor Octavian Augustus, born in 63 BC, is believed to have had a condition resembling atopic dermatitis (AD) (Halliwell, 2009). In the 1920s, the term atopy (meaning 'strange disease'), referring to allergic asthma and hay fever, was introduced to human medicine. Canine atopy was first reported in 1941 (Wittich, 1941) in a dog with seasonal allergic rhinitis but the classical signs of canine atopic dermatitis (CAD) were not described until 30 years later (Halliwell and Schwartzman, 1971).

In the 1930s, 56.9% of all dogs seen with skin disease at the Angel Memorial Hospital in Boston, USA, were diagnosed with 'eczema' at the time believed to be allergic in origin and involving food rather than environmental allergens (Schnelle, 1933). Seasonal 'summer eczema' was attributed to flea bite allergy. In the 1970s, canine IgE was identified, purified, and characterised. It was shown to have much in common with its human counterpart (Halliwell, 1973) and to be associated with mast cells in canine skin.

Prevalence of atopic dermatitis

The prevalence (number of existing cases at a given time) of CAD is commonly perceived to be increasing, but is this actually the case or are we simply becoming better at recognising and diagnosing this challenging condition? There are few hard data on the subject, and the true prevalence and incidence (number of new cases occurring over a period of time) of CAD in the general canine population is unknown (Hillier & Griffin 2001). In one survey conducted in UK general veterinary practices (Hill et al. 2006), CAD was diagnosed in 4.8% of 559 dogs with skin problems. However, in this survey, diagnosis was often incomplete (e.g. otitis, pyoderma, allergy) or not determined. CAD was diagnosed in 78% of 280 dogs in Southern England referred with a dermatological problem to the author between 2002 and 2003, and of which 85-90% were sensitive to house dust mites and/or forage (storage) mites, according to either intradermal or serological testing.

The prevalence of human allergic diseases (asthma, allergic rhinitis and AD) is believed to have risen sharply since the Second World War (Bjorksten 1997; Fennessy et al. 2000). Rates of reported eczema during early childhood were studied in three national cohorts of children born in 1946, 1958, and 1970 (Taylor et al. 1984). Overall rates rose from 5.1% in children born in 1946, to 7.3% in those born in 1958, and to 12.2% in the 1970 cohort. By 1994, 15-20% of school age British children were found to be affected with atopic eczema at some stage (Kay et al. 1994). The British Association of Dermatologists advised that in 2006, 'eczema' affected 20-30% of children and 2-10% of adults (Primary Care Dermatology Society Guidelines, 2006). Another study (Deckers et al. 2012) concluded that there was evidence of increasing prevalence of atopic eczema in Africa, Eastern Asia, Western Europe and parts of Northern Europe (i.e. UK).

Factors predisposing to atopic dermatitis

So what could be causing this increased prevalence in humans, and are similar factors likely to be at play with our pet dogs? Increased indoor allergen load; increased exposure to pollutants; reduced family size; reduced microbial load and less exposure to infection at a young age; increasingly urbanised environment; and change in dietary habits have all been suggested (Hillier & Griffin 2001).

Although AD appears to run in families, in both dogs and people, genetics alone cannot explain its increased prevalence. Furthermore, recent advances in the science of epigenetics suggest that genetic make-up, far from dictating our destiny, merely provides a template for phenotypic predisposition (Egger *et al.* 2004; Holliday 2006) which is strongly influenced by our environment, especially diet, leading to constant regulation of gene expression (Phillips 2008; Simmons 2008). In other words, genes that code for particular proteins can be switched on and off by lifestyle choices such as what we eat, and where and how we live—with profound implications for health and disease.

Many genes and proteins are known to be upregulated in response to glucocorticoids (Tuckermann et al. 2005; Reddy et al. 2009; Miller et al. 2013). Might we be able to use diet to harness benefits similar to those associated with glucocorticoid therapy but without its negative consequences?

Diet, microbiota and atopic dermatitis

Intestinal microbiota and dysbiosis

Dietary sensitivities (food allergies, adverse food reactions) have long been in the differential diagnosis of canine pruritus, but food should also be seen as an important epigenetic factor in determining optimal health (Landecker 2011), which includes a healthy skin and immune system. One way in which diet can alter gene expression is via its effects on the gut flora or microbiota (Ferreira et al. 2014). The gastrointestinal microbiota is the collection of microbes residing in the gastrointestinal tract, and represents the largest source of non-self antigens in the human body (Brown et al. 2012). Its complexity has been underestimated with traditional culture techniques (Suchodolski 2011), and recent molecular-phylogenetic and metagenomic studies have revealed a highly diverse microbial community in the healthy canine gastrointestinal tract (Suchodolski et al. 2012).

The resident microbiota is important in maintaining the structural and functional integrity of the gut and in immune system regulation (Furusawa *et al.* 2013; Purchiaroni *et al.* 2013). It is an important driver of host immunity (Suchodolski & Simpson 2013), helps protect against invading enteropathogens, and provides nutritional benefits to the host (Maslowski *et al.* 2009; Suchodolski & Simpson 2013). Disruption of the microbiota (dysbiosis) can have far-reaching consequences on host health, not only in the gastrointestinal tract but in extra-intestinal organ systems (Sekirov *et al.* 2010; Suchodolski & Simpson 2013).

The precise mechanisms by which the intestinal microbiota exerts its effects are only beginning to be unravelled but research is demonstrating close links between gut microflora and many factors involved in the pathogenesis of AD, such as immunity and inflammation (Bowe 2011; Belkaid & Hand 2014), neuropeptide formation (Pincelli *et al.* 1990; Gueniche *et al.* 2010; Holzer & Farzi 2014), metabolism, and blood lipids and fat storage (Musso *et al.* 2010a,b).

Differences in the faecal microbiota have been demonstrated between human patients with AD and healthy control subjects (Watanabe & Nansawa 2003), and the composition and diversity of the gut microbiota from young children who later developed AD have been shown to be different from those of children who did not develop AD (Wang et al. 2008). Furthermore, systemic antibiotic treatment has been reported to increase the risk of AD in people (Tsakok et al. 2013), possibly linked to changes in intestimicrobiota. Such observations involvement of the intestinal microbiota in the pathogenesis of human AD, potentially via stimulation and education of immune cell populations (Egawa & Weninger 2015). Disruptions in normal gut flora have also been found in people with other skin disorders including acne (Volkova et al. 2001; Katta & Desai 2014) and seborrhoeic dermatitis (Zhang et al. 1999).

Most research on the intestinal microbiota has, to date, been in the human field but significant differences in faecal microbiome (totality of micro-organisms and their genomic make-up) composition have been observed between healthy dogs and dogs with various acute and chronic gastrointestinal disorders (Suchodolski *et al.* 2012). Faecal microbial phylogeny and functional capacity of the canine gut have been found to be similar to the human gut (Deng & Swanson 2015) but studies investigating the effects of microbiome changes on canine physiology and health are eagerly awaited.

Intestinal permeability

Impairment of the intestinal mucosal barrier appears to be involved in the pathogenesis of human AD (Majamaa & Isolauri 1996; Rosenfeldt *et al.* 2004) and is a risk factor for canine food allergy (Verlinden *et al.* 2006), a player in a subset of dogs with AD (Chamberlain 1978; Rosser 1993).

Small intestinal biopsy samples taken to evaluate gut mucosa barrier function in children with AD, demonstrated enhanced transfer of intact and degraded proteins through the barrier relative to controls, increasing the antigenic load (Majamaa & Isolauri 1996).

The intestinal barrier, together with gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) and the neuroendocrine network, controls the equilibrium between immunity and tolerance to non-self antigens (Fasano 2011). Zonulin, a human endogenous homologue of an enterotoxin elaborated by the bacterium, *Vibrio cholerae*, modulates intercellular tight junctions and thereby intestinal permeability (Fasano 2011). When the finely tuned zonulin pathway is disrupted in genetically susceptible individuals, both intestinal and extra-intestinal disorders can develop (Fasano 2011), and increased intestinal permeability has been demonstrated in people with no abdominal symptoms (Goebel *et al.* 2008).

Two powerful triggers of zonulin release are small intestinal exposure to bacteria and gluten (Fasano 2011). Wheat ingestion can cause symptoms of AD (Varionen *et al.* 2000), and AD has been found to be three times more common in patients with coeliac disease than in spouses of these patients (Ciacci *et al.* 2004). Skin lesions, generally, are reported to be common in people with coeliac disease (Saarialho-Kere 2004; Ojetti *et al.* 2006; Fasano & Catassi

2012). Eczema and skin rash have also been reported (Volta *et al.* 2012) in 'non-coeliac gluten sensitivity' a disorder in people, characterised by intestinal and extra-intestinal symptoms associated with ingestion of gluten-containing foods but without the development of coeliac-specific antibodies and villous atrophy (Sapone *et al.* 2012; Catassi *et al.* 2013).

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) has been defined as an increase in the number, and/or alteration in the type, of bacteria in the upper gastrointestinal tract (Bures *et al.* 2010). Some people with SIBO are asymptomatic whereas others develop severe malabsorption (Bowe 2011). Inappropriately located or excessive numbers of bacteria can successfully compete for nutrients, produce toxic metabolites, cause direct injury to enterocytes in the small intestine and increase intestinal permeability (DiBaise 2008; Bowe 2011).

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth is being linked to an ever-increasing list of human health problems, including skin conditions (Bowe 2011). It is 10 times more prevalent in people with rosacea than in healthy controls, and treating SIBO in these patients has led to marked clinical improvement (Parodi et al. 2008). Possible reasons for skin lesion development in SIBO include nutritional deficiency; impaired lipid metabolism from deconjugation of bile acids by intraluminal bacteria; damage to GALT and impaired immune-system functioning; increased intestinal permeability, and systemic spread of lipopolysaccharide, an endotoxin derived from bacterial cell walls, with subsequent damage to epidermal structure and barrier function (Guo et al. 2013; Kell & Pretorius 2015). Impaired epidermal barrier function and immune system functioning have been documented in the pathogenesis of both human and canine AD (Nimmo Wilkie et al. 1991; Marsella et al. 2011).

Diagnosis of canine intestinal disease can be challenging (Batt 2000). SIBO exists as a syndrome in dogs but the term, SIBO, has been largely replaced by the term Antibiotic-Responsive Enteropathy'. The small intestinal microbiota has been analysed in

dogs following administration of the macrolide antibiotic, tylosin, providing potential clues on the effect of tylosin on intestinal microbes (Suchodolski *et al.* 2009).

Inflammatory bowel disease

Skin lesions may also accompany human inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (Ardizzoni *et al.* 2008). It has been proposed that IBD-associated skin conditions may arise from immune dysregulation resulting in a lymphocyte-mediated destructive process (Huang *et al.* 2012). Mucosal T-cells in the gut may migrate to the skin, become exposed to cutaneous antigens, and cause skin damage (Adams & Eksteen 2006).

Gut-skin axis

Although the mechanisms for how the gut and skin communicate are poorly understood, several human dermatoses, including AD, appear to have a gut-skin connection (Ali et al. 2014). Once the connection between skin and the gut is appreciated, AD, and indeed any other 'skin disease', may be seen as a possible manifestation of a more systemic problem involving gut dysbiosis and increased intestinal permeability, which may occur even in the absence of gastrointestinal signs. Conventional anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial therapies for skin disorders provide only temporary symptomatic relief and may potentiate associated or underlying factors such as intestinal permeability (Ünsal & Balkaya 2012) and dysbiosis as well as promoting the development of antibiotic-resistant microbes (Fujimura et al. 2010).

Manipulation of the canine intestinal microbiota

Manipulation of the canine intestinal microbiota may be attempted in many ways.

Avoidance of certain foods

Dogs, though not obligate carnivores, have evolved over millions of years on a diet consisting mostly of animal protein: meat and fish (Landry & Van Ruining 1979; Coppinger & Coppinger 2001; Puotinen 2005; Brown 2010). They have been exposed to commercial dog food only in the last 150 years. Swedish researchers (Nodtvedt *et al.* 2007) found that feeding lactating bitches a diet that included non-commercial foods had a protective effective on the development of CAD in their offspring, suggesting epigenetics may be at work.

Cereal grains (seeds of specific grasses belonging to the Poaceae or Gramineae family) did not form a major part of the canine ancestral diet and yet feature prominently in many commercial dog foods. Many cereals, including wheat, rye and barley, contain gluten or equivalent toxic proteins (Sapone et al. 2012), and a high level of fermentable carbohydrate. Diets low in fermentable carbohydrate, which can be incompletely absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and fermented by gut bacteria leading to dysbiosis and impairment of the intestinal barrier, have been found to be beneficial for people with functional gut symptoms (Gibson & Shepherd 2010; Barrett & Gibson 2012). Dogs have no minimum dietary requirement for simple carbohydrate or starches (Gross et al. 2000) and a cereal-free, low carbohydrate diet should considered in the investigation of any chronic skin disorder, whether or not there is evidence of gastrointestinal disease.

Probiotics and prebiotics

Probiotics are live micro-organisms thought to inhibit pathogen adherence to the intestinal mucosa, improve intestinal epithelial and mucosal barrier function, produce bacteriocins, increase IgA production, enhance nutrient absorption, and downregulate pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion (Fujimura et al. 2010; Min-tse 2011; Plaza-Diaz et al. 2014). Beyond the effects on intestinal microbiota, some probiotic strains display potent immune-modulatory properties at the skin level (Gueniche et al. 2010). In a review of 13 randomised placebo-controlled trials (Betsi et al. 2008), probiotics, especially Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, seemed to be effective in preventing human AD and reducing the severity of AD in

approximately half of the trials evaluated. Other studies and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials have suggested a role for probiotics in the prevention and treatment of human AD (Kalliomaki *et al.* 2001; Kim *et al.* 2014; Panduru *et al.* 2015). Early exposure to probiotics has been shown to have long-term clinical and immunological effects in a canine model of atopic dermatitis (Marsella *et al.* 2012).

Prebiotics are selectively fermented ingredients that result in specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota thus conferring benefit(s) upon host health (Gibson *et al.* 2011). They are resistant to host digestion in the small intestine but fermented in the colon (Slavin 2013). No data are available on their use in animals with SIBO (Quigley & Queira 2006). Most prebiotic research has focussed on oligosaccharides. The Japanese were the first to recognise the value of fermentable oligosaccharides, initially, in feeding piglets and later with the identification of human milk oligosaccharides (Binns 2013). Inulin and FOS (fructo-oligosaccharides) are prebiotics now widely included in commercial pet foods.

Optimising nutrient intake

Carnivores in their natural environment consume diets high in animal protein, bulk and roughage (not as plant fibre but as indigestible or poorly digestible parts of animal carcasses such as bone, cartilage, scales, fins, fur, feather, tendon, and teeth), and low in carbohydrates (Landry & Van Ruining 1979). Feeding a species-appropriate, nutrient-rich diet, most closely resembling the canine ancestral diet, would seem a sensible option if a dog is to achieve optimal general and dermatological health. In modern, western society, this is far from easy but a diet consisting mainly of good quality raw meat on the bone, skin, offal, eggs and fish is perhaps as close as we can come. Such food is high in protein, satiating, extremely palatable, and supplies prebiotic 'animal fibre' in a natural form (Depauw et al. 2012). Outdoor feeding may also allow dogs to ingest naturallyoccurring, soil-based, 'probiotic' micro-organisms. Studies have not been conducted to assess the effects of such diets on CAD and other skin disorders. However, optimisation of nutrient intake, microbiota and immune function could be anticipated to have beneficial effects on general health, including amelioration of skin disorders.

Minimising stress

Over 80 years ago, a gastrointestinal mechanism was proposed by two dermatologists for the overlap between depression, anxiety and skin conditions in people (Stokes & Pillsbury 1930)-the so-called gutbrain-skin axis (Arck et al. 2010). It was suggested that emotional states might alter the normal intestinal microflora, increase intestinal permeability and contribute to systemic inflammation. Since then, many aspects of this gut-brain-skin axis have been validated (Bowe 2011), and psychological and physical stress have been found to contribute to intestinal dysbiosis (Hawrelak & Myers 2004). Studies in mice have shown that stress can impair the integrity and protective function of the epidermal barrier, causing fewer antimicrobial peptides to be produced in the skin, and an increase in the severity of infection and inflammation in the skin (Aberg et al. 2007; Slominski 2007). Avoiding flare factors should be recommended for dogs with CAD (Olivry et al. 2010), including minimising stress and anxiety, and providing a happy, stimulating environment with an appropriate amount of exercise and a diet that satisfies psychological as well as nutritional needs.

Antibiotics

Rifaximin alone, and in combination with neomycin, has been used successfully to treat SIBO in people (Scarpellini & Gabrielli 2007; Low et al. 2010). Tylosin is considered the antibiotic of choice to alter gastrointestinal microbiota in dogs with chronic diarrhoea (Steiner 2013), and has been recommended for dogs with 'minimal change enteropathy' (Simpson 2013) and some subsets of dogs with chronic enteropathies (Kilpinen et al. 2011; Suchodolski & Simpson 2013). However, antibiotics may themselves induce gut dysbiosis (Bercik & Collins 2014).

Correction and prevention of low stomach acid

Hydrochloric acid is secreted by normally functioning gastric parietal cells. It is bactericidal, killing a large portion of bacteria ingested with the food (Faller & Schuenke 2004) and reducing the number of bacteria entering the small intestine. In the 1930s, it was proposed that changes in microbial gut flora, and bacterial colonisation of the normally sterile human small intestine may result from inadequate stomach acid (Stokes & Pillsbury 1930), and low stomach acid (hypochlorhydria) is now seen as a significant risk factor for human SIBO (Theisen et al. 2000; Williams 2001). SIBO, diagnosed with hydrogen breath testing, has been found in 50% of people on long-term proton pump inhibitor (PPI) treatment (Lombardo et al. 2010). A statistically significant association between PPI use and human SIBO was also demonstrated in a recent meta-analysis (Lo & Chan 2013). Other causes of low stomach acid include atrophic gastritis, excess intake of sugar and refined foods, nutritional deficiencies, and advancing age (Thompson 2013; Mandal et al. 2014).

There is no specific evidence-based treatment for hypochlorhydria but protein has been found to be the food component with the greatest effect in promoting gastric acid secretion in dogs (Saint Hilaire, 1960). Betaine hydrochloride is sometimes recommended by naturopathic practitioners for people and animals with low stomach acid.

Faecal transplants (faecal microbiota transplantation)

In the 17th century, Italian anatomist, Girolamo Fabrizio, described a technique in ruminants requiring only the transfer of chewed food from a healthy animal to a sick one to treat gastrointestinal disorders. There is now considerable interest in faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) in people not only with chronic gastrointestinal disorders, but also with autoimmune, cardio-metabolic and other extraintestinal conditions (Borody & Khoruts 2012; Smits *et al.* 2013). The author is not aware of any safety and efficacy data relating to FMT use in dogs.

Back to the future

We and our domestic animals have evolved over millions of years to coexist with micro-organisms. Ninety per cent of the cells that make up our bodies are in fact microbial, and we possess about 100 times more microbial-derived genetic material than the genetic material present in the human genome (Gill et al. 2006; Fujimura et al. 2010). The idea of microbes being the enemy, the cause of disease, appears now to be over-simplistic and outdated. Disruption of the microbiota may allow normally commensal or beneficial micro-organisms to overgrow and become pathogenic.

Over a decade into the 21st century, we are faced with a rising incidence of antibiotic resistance. We have an armoury of pharmaceutical interventions, with variable efficacy and risk of adverse effects. Strategies based on state-of-the-art research data and sound nutrition could provide a drug-free solution to many health disorders in man and animals, including atopic dermatitis.

Acknowledgement

None.

Source of funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Aberg K.M., Radek K.A., Choi E.H., Kim D.K., Demerjian M., Hupe M., et al. (2007) Psychological stress downregulates epidermal antimicrobial peptide expression and increases severity of cutaneous infections in mice. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 117, 3339–3349.
- Adams D.H. & Eksteen B. (2006) Aberrant homing of mucosal T cells and extra-intestinal manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature Reviews. Immunol*ogy 6, 244–251.

- Ali I.A., Foolad N. & Sivamani R.K. (2014) Considering the Gut-Skin Axis for Dermatological Diseases. *Austin Journal of Dermatology* 1, 1024.
- Arck P., Handjiski B., Hagen E., Pincus M., Bruenahl C., Bienenstock J., et al. (2010) Is there a gut-brain-skin axis? Experimental dermatology 19, 401–405.
- Ardizzoni S., Sarzi Puttini P., Cassinotti A., & Bianchi Porro G. (2008) Extraintestinal manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. *Digestive and liver disease* 40S, S253–S259.
- Barrett J.S. & Gibson P.R. (2012) Fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) and nonallergic food intolerance: FOD-MAPs or food chemicals? *Therapeutic Advances in Gas*troenterology 5, 261–268.
- Batt R. (2000) Update on the non-invasive monitoring of intestinal disease in dogs and cats. *Revue Médecine Vétérinaire* **151**, 559–563.
- Belkaid Y. & Hand T.W. (2014) Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. *Cell* **157**, 121–141.
- Bercik P. & Collins S.M. (2014) The effects of inflammation, infection and antibiotics on the microbiota-gutbrain axis. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* **817**, 279–289.
- Betsi G.I., Papadavid E. & Falagas M.E. (2008) Probiotics for the treatment or prevention of atopic dermatitis: a review of the evidence from randomized controlled trials. American Journal of Clinical Dermatology 9, 93– 103.
- Binns N. (2013) The prebiotic concept. In: *Probiotics, Prebiotics and the Gut Microbiota*. Ed: Gibson G.R., Sanders M.E. International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) Europe, Concise Monograph Series: 14–15.
- Bjorksten B. (1997) Epidemiology of pollution-induced airway disease in Scandinavia and Eastern Europe. *Allergy* **52**, 23–25.
- Borody T.J. & Khoruts A. (2012) Fecal microbiota transplantation and emerging applications. *Gastroenterology* and Hepatology 9, 88–96.
- Bowe W.P., Logan A.C. (2011) Acne vulgaris, probiotics and the gut-brain-skin axis back to the future? *Gut Pathogens* 3, 1.
- Brown S. (2010) The canine ancestral diet. In: *Unlocking* the Canine Ancestral Diet: Healthier Dog Food the ABC Way, Dogwise Publishing: Wenatchee pp 5–11.
- Brown K., DeCoffe D., Molcan E. & Gibson D.L. (2012) Diet-induced dysbiosis of the intestinal microbiota and the effects on immunity and disease. *Nutrients* **4**, 1552.
- Bures J., Cyrany J., Kohoutova D., Försti M., Rejchrt S., Kvetina J., et al. (2010) Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth syndrome. World Journal of Gastroenterology 16, 2978–2990.

- Catassi C., Bai J., Bonaz B., Bouma G. (2013) Non-celiac gluten sensitivity: the new frontier of gluten related disorders. *Nutrients* 5, 3839–3853.
- Chamberlain K.W. (1978) Clinical signs and diagnosis of atopic disease in the dog. *Journal of Small Animal Prac*tice. 19, 493–505.
- Ciacci C., Cavallaro R., Iovino P., Sabbatini F., Palumbo A., Amoruso D., et al. (2004) Allergy prevalence in adult celiac disease. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 113, 1199–1203.
- Coppinger R. & Coppinger L. (2001) Dogs. In: A startling New Understanding of Canine Origin, Behavior and Evolution, Prentice, Hall and IBD: Scribner, New York.
- Deckers I.A.G., McLean S., Linssen S., Mommers M., van Schayck C.P. & Sheikh A. (2012) Investigating international time trends in the incidence and prevalence of atopic eczema 1990-2010: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *PLoS One* 7, e39803.
- Deng P. & Swanson K.S. (2015) Gut microbiota of humans, dogs and cats: current knowledge and future opportunities and challenges. *British Journal of Nutri*tion 113(Suppl), S6–S17.
- Depauw S., Bosch G., Hesta M., Whitehouse-Tedd K., Hendriks W.H., Kaandorp J., *et al.* (2012) Fermentation of animal components in strict carnivores: a comparative study with cheetah fecal inoculum. *Journal of Animal Science* **90**, 2540–2548.
- DiBaise J.K. (2008) Nutritional consequences of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Practical Gastroenterology* **69**, 15–28.
- Egawa G. & Weninger W. (2015) Pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis: a short review. *Cogent Biology* 1, 1.
- Egger G., Liang G., Aparico A. & Jones P.A. (2004) Epigenetics in human disease and prospects for epigenetic therapy. *Nature* **429**, 457–463.
- Faller A., Schünke M., Schünke G., & Taub E. (2004) In: The Human Body: an Introduction to Structure and Function. Chapter 9, The Digestive System p. 407. Thieme, Stuttgart, New York.
- Fasano A. (2011) Zonulin and its regulation of intestinal barrier function: the biological door to inflammation, autoimmunity and cancer. *Physiological Reviews* **91**, 151–175.
- Fasano A. & Catassi C. (2012) Celiac disease. New England Journal of Medicine 367, 2419–2426.
- Fennessy M., Coupland S., Popay J. & Naysmith K. (2000) The epidemiology and experience of atopic eczema during childhood: a discussion paper on the implications of current knowledge for health care, public health policy and research. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **54**, 581–589.
- Ferreira C.F., Vieira A.T., Vinolo M.A.R., Oliveira FA., Curi R., dos Santos Martins F., (2014) The central role

- of the gut microbiota in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Journal of Immunology Research*. doi: 10.1155/2014/689492. Epub 2014 Sep 18.
- Fujimura K.E., Slusher N.A., Cabana M.D. & Lynch S.V. (2010) Role of the gut microbiota in defining human health. Expert Review of Anti-infective therapy 8, 435–454.
- Furusawa Y., Obata Y., Fukuda S., Endo T.A., Nakato G., Takahashi D., et al. (2013) Commensal microbederived butyrate induces the differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells. Nature 504, 446–450.
- Gibson P.R. & Shepherd S.J. (2010) Evidence-based dietary management of functional gastrointestinal symptoms: the FODMAP approach. *Journal of Gastroenterology and hepatology* 25, 252–258.
- Gibson G.R., Scott K.P., Rastall R.A., Tuohy K.M., Hotchkiss A., Dubert-Ferrandon A., et al. (2011) Dietary prebiotics: current status and new definition. International Food Information Service (IFIS) Functional Foods Bulletin 7, 1–19.
- Gill S.R., Pop M., Deboy R.T., Eckburg P.B., Turnbaugh P.J., Samuel B.S., et al. (2006) Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. Science 312, 1355–1359.
- Goebel A., Buhner S., Schedel R. & Sprotte G. (2008) Altered intestinal permeability in patients with primary fibromyalgia and in patients with complex regional pain syndrome. *Rheumatology* 47, 1223–1227.
- Gross K., Wedekind K.J., Cowell C.S., Schoenherr W.D., Jewell D.E., Zicker S.C., et al. (2000) In: Small Animal Clinical Nutrition, 4th edition, eds: Hand M.S., Thatcher C.D., Remillard R.L., Roudebush P, p.38. Walsworth Publishing Company: Mark Morris Institute, Topeka Chapter 2.
- Gueniche A1., Benyacoub J., Philippe D., Bastien P., Kusy N., Breton L., Blum S. (2010) Lactobacillus paracasei CNCM I-2116 (ST11) inhibits substance P-induced skin inflammation and accelerates skin barrier function recovery in vitro. European Journal of Dermatology 20, 731–737.
- Guo S., Al-Sadi R., Siad H.M. & Ma T.Y. (2013)
 Lipopolysaccharide causes an increase in intestinal tight junction permeability *in vitro* and *in vivo* by inducing enterocyte membrane expression and localization of TLR-4 and CD14. *American Journal of Pathology* 182, 375–387.
- Halliwell R.E.W. (1973) The localization of IgE in canine skin – an immunofluorescent study. *Journal of Immunol*ogy 110, 422–430.
- Halliwell R.E.W. (2009) Allergic skin diseases in dogs and cats: an introduction. European Journal of Companion Animal Practice 19, 209–211.
- Halliwell R.E.W., Schwartzman R.M. (1971) Atopic disease in the dog. Veterinary Record 89, 209–213.
- Hawrelak J.A. & Myers S.P. (2004) The causes of intestinal dysbiosis: a review. *Alternative Medicine Review* 9, 180–197.

- Hill P.B., Lo A., Eden C.A.N., Huntley S., Morey V., Ramsey S., et al. (2006) Survey of the prevalence, diagnosis and treatment of dermatological conditions in small animals in general practice. Veterinary Record 158, 533–539.
- Hillier A. & Griffin C.E. (2001) The ACVD task force on canine atopic dermatitis (1): incidence and prevalence. Veterinary immunology and immunopathology 81, 147– 151.
- Holliday R. (2006) Epigenetics a historical overview. *Epigenetics* 1, 76–80.
- Holzer P. & Farzi A. (2014) Neuropeptides and the microbiota-gut-brain axis. Advances in Experimental Medical Biology 817, 195–219.
- Huang B.L., Chandra S. & Quan Shih D. (2012) Skin manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease. Frontiers in Physiology 3, 13.
- Kalliomaki M., Salminen S., Arvilommi H., Kero P., Koskinen P. & Isolauri E. (2001) Probiotics in primary prevention of atopic disease: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 357, 1076–1079.
- Katta R. & Desai S.P. (2014) Diet and dermatology: the role of dietary intervention in skin disease. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology* 7, 46–51.
- Kay J., Gawkrodger D.J., Mortimer M.J. & Jaron A.G. (1994) The prevalence of childhood atopic eczema in a general population. *Journal of the American Academy* of Dermatology 30, 35–39.
- Kell D.P. & Pretorius E. (2015) On the translocation of bacteria and their lipopolysaccharides between blood and periperhal locations in chronic inflammatory diseases: the central roles of LPS and LPS-induced cell death. *Integrative Biology* 7, 1339.
- Kilpinen S., Spillmann T., Syrja P., Skrzypczak T., Louhelainen M. & Westermarck E. (2011) Effect of tylosin on dogs with suspected tylosin-responsive diarrhea: a placebo-controlled, randomized, doubleblinded, prospective clinical trial. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 53, 26.
- Kim S.O., Ah Y.M., Yu Y.M., Choi K.H., Shin W.G. & Lee J.Y. (2014) Effects of probiotics for the treatment of atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunol*ogy 113, 217–226.
- Landecker H. (2011) Food as exposure: Nutritional epigenetics and the new metabolism. Biosocieties 6, 167–194.
- Landry S.M. & Van Ruining H.J. (1979) The diet of feral carnivores: a review of stomach content analysis. *Jour*nal of the American Animal Hospital Association 15, 775–781.
- Lo W. & Chan W.W. (2013) Proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth: a meta-analysis. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 11, 483–490.

- Lombardo L., Foti M., Ruggia O. & Chiecchio A. (2010) Increased incidence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth during proton pump inhibitor therapy. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 8, 504–508.
- Low K., Hwang L., Hua J., Zhu A., Morales W. & Pimentel M. (2010) A combination of rifaximin and neomycin is most effective in treating irritable bowel syndrome patients with methane on lactulose breath test. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology* 44, 547–550.
- Majamaa H. & Isolauri E. (1996) Evaluation of the gut mucosal barrier: evidence for increased antigen transfer in children with atopic eczema. *Journal Of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **97**, 985–990.
- Mandal U., Ali K.M., Chatterjee K., De D., Biswas A. & Ghosh D. (2014) Management of experimental hypochlorhydria with iron deficiency by the composite extract of *Fumaria vaillantii L.* and *Benincasa hispida T.* in rat. *Journal of Natural Science, Biology and Medicine* 5, 397–403.
- Marsella R., Olivry T. & Carlotti D.N. (2011) International task force on canine atopic dermatitis: Current evidence of skin barrier dysfunction in human and canine atopic dermatitis. *Veterinary Dermatology* 22, 239–248.
- Marsella R., Santoro D. & Ahrens K. (2012) Early exposure to probiotics in a canine model of atopic dermatitis has long-term clinical and immunological effects. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 146, 185–189.
- Maslowski K.M., Vieira A.T., Ng A., Kranich J., Sierro F., Yu D., et al. (2009) Regulation of inflammatory responses by gut microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. Nature 461, 1282–1286.
- Miller W.H., Griffin C.E., Campbell K.L. (2013) Dermatologic therapy. In: Muller and Kirk's Small Animal Dermatology, 7th ed. p 144. Elsevier: St Louis.
- Min-tse Liong. (2011) Probiotics, biology, genetics, and health aspects. In: *Microbiology Monographs, Springer Science and Business Media* 21, 39. Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg.
- Musso G., Gambino R. & Cassader M. (2010a) Gut microbiota as a regulator of energy homeostasis and ectopic fat deposition: mechanisms and implications for metabolic disorders. Current Opinion in Lipidology 21, 76–83
- Musso G., Gambino R. & Cassader M. (2010b) Obesity, diabetes, and gut microbiota: the hygiene hypothesis expanded? *Diabetes Care* 33, 2277–2284.
- Nimmo Wilkie J.S., Yager J. & Wilkie B.N. (1991) Abnormal cutaneous response to mitogens and a contact allergen in dogs with atopic dermatitis. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 28, 97–106.
- Nodtvedt A., Bergvall K., et al. (2007) A case-control study of risk factors for canine atopic dermatitis among

- boxer, bullterrier and West Highland white terrier dogs in Sweden. *Veterinary Dermatology* **18**, 309–315.
- Ojetti V., De Simone C., Aguilar-Sanchez J.A., Capizzi R., Migneco A., Guerriero C., et al. (2006) Malabsorption in psoriatic patients: cause or consequence? Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 41, 1267–1271.
- Olivry T., DeBoer D.J., Favrot C., Jackson H.A., Mueller R.S., Nuttall T. & Prélaud P. (2010) Treatment of canine atopic dermatitis: 2010 practice guidelines from the International Task Force on Canine Atopic Dermatitis. *Veterinary Dermatology* 21, 233–248.
- Panduru M., Panduru N.M., Salavastru C.M. & Tiplica G.S. (2015) Probiotics and primary prevention of atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* **29**, 232–242.
- Parodi A., Paolino S., Greco A., Drago F., Mansi C., Rebora A., et al. (2008) Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in rosacea: clinical effectiveness of its eradication. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 6, 759–764.
- Phillips T. (2008) The role of methylation in gene expression. *Nature Education* **1**, 116.
- Pincelli C., Fantini F., Massimi P., Girolomoni G., Seidenari S. & Giannetti A. (1990) Neuropeptides in skin from patients with atopic dermatitis: an immunohistochemical study. *British Journal of Dermatology* 122, 745–750.
- Plaza-Diaz J., Gomez-Llorente C., Fontana L. & Gil A. (2014) Modulation of immunity and inflammatory gene expression in the gut, in inflammatory diseases of the gut and in the liver by probiotics. World Journal of Gastroenterology 20, 15632–15649.
- Primary Care Dermatology Society and British Association of Dermatologists (2006) Guidelines for the management of atopic eczema, In: Hayeem N, editor. Guidelines—summarising clinical guidelines for primary care. 55th ed. Chesham: MGP Ltd; February 2015. pp 348–351.
- Puotinen C.J. (2005) What a wolf eats: research on wild canids can help inform dietary planning for dogs. Whole Dog Journal 8, 3.
- Purchiaroni F., Tortora A., Gabrielli M., Bertucci G., Gigante G., Ianiro G., et al. (2013) The role of intestinal microbiota and the immune system. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences 17, 323–333.
- Quigley E.M.M. & Queira R. (2006) Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth: roles of antibiotics, prebiotics, and probiotics. *Gastroenterology* 130, S78–S90.
- Reddy T.E., Pauli F., Sprouse R.O., Neff N.F., Newberry K.M., Garabedian M.J., et al. (2009) Genomic determination of the glucocorticoid response reveals unexpected mechanisms of gene regulation. Genome Research 19, 2163–2171.

- Rosenfeldt V., Benfeldt E., Valerius N.H., Paerregaard A. & Michaelsen K.E. (2004) Effect of probiotics on gastrointestinal symptoms and small intestinal permeability in children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 145, 612–616.
- Rosser E.J. (1993) Diagnosis of food allergy in dogs. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medicine Association* 203 259–262
- Saarialho-Kere U. (2004) The gut skin axis. Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition 39(supplement 3), S734–S735.
- Saint-Hilaire S., Lavers M.K., Kennedy J., Code C.F. (1960). Gastric acid secretory value of different foods. *Gastroenterology* 39, 1–11.
- Sapone A., Bai Ciacci C., Dolinsek J., Green P.H., Hadjivassiliou M., et al. (2012) Spectrum of gluten-related disorders: consensus on new nomenclature and classification. BMC Medicine 10, 13.
- Scarpellini E. & Gabrielli M. (2007) High dosage rifaximin for the treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 25, 781–786.
- Schnelle G.B. (1933) Eczema in dogs an allergy. North American Veterinarian 14, 37–44.
- Sekirov I., Russell S.L., Antunes C.M. & Finlay B. (2010) Gut microbiota in health and disease. *Physiological Reviews* 90, 859–904.
- Simmons D. (2008) Epigenetic influences and disease. *Nature Education* **1**, 6.
- Simpson K. (2013) Managing canine inflammatory bowel disease. Veterinary Focus 23, 29–36.
- Slavin J. (2013) Fiber and prebiotics: mechanisms and health benefits. *Nutrients* 5, 1417–1435.
- Slominski A. (2007) A nervous breakdown in the skin: stress and the epidermal barrier. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **117**, 3166–3169.
- Smits L.P., Bouter K.E.C., de Vos W., Borody T.J. & Nieuwdorp M. (2013) Therapeutic potential of fecal microbiota transplantation. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 946– 953.
- Steiner J. (2013) A step-wise approach to dogs and cats with chronic diarrhea. Veterinary Focus 23, 54–56.
- Stokes J.H. & Pillsbury D.H. (1930) The effect on the skin of emotional and nervous states: theoretical and practical consideration of a gastrointestinal mechanism.

 Archives of Dermatology and Syphilology. 22, 962–993.
- Suchodolski J.S. (2011) Intestinal microbiota of dogs and cats: a bigger world than we thought. Veterinary Clinics of North American Small Animal Practice. 41, 261–272.
- Suchodolski J.S. & Simpson K. (2013) Canine gastrointestinal microbiome in health and disease. *Veterinary Focus* 23, 22–28.
- Suchodolski J.S., Dowd S.E., Westermarck E., Steiner J.M., Wolcott R.D., Spillman T., *et al.* (2009) The effect of the macrolide antibiotic, tylosin, on microbial diver-

- sity in the canine small intestine as demonstrated by massive parallel 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *BMC Microbiology* **9**, 210.
- Suchodolski J.S., Markel M.E., Garcia-Mazcorro J.F., Unterer S., Heilmann R.M., Dowd S.E., *et al.* (2012) The fecal microbiome in dogs with acute diarrhea and idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease. *PLoS One* 7, e51907.
- Taylor B., Wadsworth J., Wadsworth M. & Peckham C. (1984) Changes in the reported prevalence of childhood eczema since the 1939-45 war. *Lancet* 2, 1255–1257.
- Theisen J., Nehra D., Citron D., Johansson J., Hagen J.A., Crookes P.F., et al. (2000) Suppression of gastric acid secretion in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease results in gastric bacterial overgrowth and deconjugation of bile acids. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 4, 50–54.
- Thompson A. (2013) Question from practice: Management of hypochlorhydria. *The Pharmaceutical Journal* **290**, 480.
- Tsakok T., McKeever T.M., Yeo L. & Flohr C. (2013) Does early life exposure to antibiotics increase the risk of eczema? A systematic review. *British Journal of Dermatology* 169, 983–991.
- Tuckermann J.P., Kleiman A., McPherson K.G. & Reichardt H.M. (2005) Molecular mechanisms of glucocorticoids in the control of inflammation and lymphocyte apoptosis. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 42, 71–104.
- Ünsal H., Balkaya M. (2012) Glucocorticoids and the intestinal environment. In: Glucocorticoids—New Recog-

- nition of Our Familiar Friend (ed X. Qian), pp 107–150. InTech. Croatia.
- Varionen E., Vainio E. & Kalimo K. (2000) Antigliadin IgE-indicator of wheat allergy in atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* **55**, 386–391.
- Verlinden A., Hesta M., Millet S. & Janssens G.P. (2006) Food allergy in dogs and cats: a review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 46, 259–273.
- Volkova L.A., Khalif I.L. & Kabanova I.N. (2001) Impact of the impaired intestinal microflora on the course of acne vulgaris. Klinicheskaia meditsina 79, 39–41.
- Volta U., Tovoli F., Cicola R., Parisi C., Fabbri A., Pisca-glia M., et al. (2012) Serological tests in gluten sensitivity (nonceliac gluten intolerance). Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology 46, 680–685.
- Wang M., Karisson C., Olsson C., Adlerberth I., Wold A., Strachan D.P., et al. (2008) Reduced diversity in the early fecal microbiota of infants with atopic eczema. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 121, 129–134.
- Watanabe S. & Nansawa Y. (2003) Differences in fecal microflora between patients with atopic dermatitis and healthy control subjects. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **111**, 587–591.
- Williams C. (2001) Occurrence and significance of gastric colonization during acid-inhibitory therapy. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology* **15**, 511–521.
- Wittich F.W. (1941) Spontaneous allergy (atopy) in the lower animal. *Journal of Allergy* 12, 247–251.
- Zhang H., Yu L., Yi M. & Li K. (1999) Quantitative studies on normal flora of seborrhoeic dermatitis. *Chinese Journal of Dermatology* 32, 399–400.