

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Am Acad Dermatol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

JAm Acad Dermatol. 2017 November; 77(5): 902–910.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.06.044.

Pigmentary changes in patients treated with targeted anticancer agents: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Julia Dai, MD^{1,5}, Viswanath R Belum, MD¹, Shenhong Wu, MD, PhD^{2,3}, Vincent Sibaud, MD⁴, and Mario E Lacouture, MD¹

¹Dermatology Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

²Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, State University of New York at Stony Brook, NY

³Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Northport VA Medical Center, Northport, NY

⁴Department of Dermatology, Institut Claudius Regaud - Institut Universitaire du Cancer, ToulouseOncopole, France

⁵Department of Dermatology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

Abstract

Background—The discovery of signaling networks that drive oncogenic processes has led to the development of targeted anticancer agents. The burden of pigmentary adverse events from these drugs is unknown.

Objective—To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of published clinical trials, and determine the incidence and risk of developing targeted therapy-induced pigmentary changes.

Methods—A comprehensive search was conducted to identify studies reporting targeted therapyinduced pigmentary changes. The incidence and relative risk were calculated. Case reports and series were reviewed to understand clinical characteristics.

Results—8,052 patients from 36 clinical trials were included. The calculated overall incidences of targeted cancer therapy-induced all-grade pigmentary changes in the skin and hair were 17.7% (95% CI, 11.9–25.4) and 21.5% (95% CI, 14.9–30.1), respectively. The relative risk of all-grade

Address for all communications:Mario. E. Lacouture, MD, Dermatology Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 60th Street Outpatient Center, Suite 407, Room 4312, 16 East 60th St., New York, NY 10022, Phone: (646) 888-6014 | Fax: (646) 227-7274, lacoutum@mskcc.org.

No prior presentations have been performed regarding this research.

COI Disclosure Statement: JD and **VRB** have nothing to disclose. **SW** has a speaking arrangement with Novartis, Bayer-Onyx, Pfizer, and Mediavation. VS has a speaking, consultant or advisory role with Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Pierre Fabre, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer and Boehringer Ingelheim. **MEL** has a speaking, consultant or advisory role with Abbvie, Quintiles, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca pharmaceuticals, Legacy Healthcare, Foamix, Adgero Bio Pharmaceuticals, Janssen R&D, Novartis, and Novocure. MEL receives research grants from Berg and Bristol-Myers Squibb.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

pigmentary changes of skin and hair were 93.7 (95% CI: 5.86–1497.164) and 20.1 (95% CI: 8.35–48.248). Across 54 case reports/series (n=75 patients), EGFR and Bcr-abl inhibitors were the most common offending agents.

Limitations—Potential underreporting and variability in oncologists reporting these events.

Conclusion—There is a significant risk of developing pigmentary changes during treatment with targeted anticancer therapies. Appropriate counseling and management are critical to minimize psychosocial impairment and deterioration in quality of life.

Keywords

Cabozantinib; Imatinib; Ipilimumab; Nivolumab; Pazopanib; Pembrolizumab; Sorafenib; Sunitinib; Pigmentary; Hypopigmentation; Hyperpigmentation; Depigmentation; Repigmentation; Dyspigmentation; Vitiligo

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of intracellular signaling networks that drive oncogenic processes when aberrantly activated has led to the development of molecularly targeted agents for the treatment of various cancers [1, 2]. Their targeted action spares normal cells, thus improving efficacy and health-related quality of life (HRQoL). While systemic adverse events (AEs) characteristic of conventional cytotoxic agents (e.g. myelosuppression, nausea, vomiting) [3] are typically not encountered, dermatologic AEs (affecting the skin, hair, nails, mucosae) are common because some of the signaling pathways inhibited are also essential for cutaneous homeostasis [4]. Skin eruptions (rashes), xerosis, pruritus, photosensitivity, pigmentary changes, fissures, hand-foot skin reaction, and hair/nail changes are some of the most commonly encountered targeted therapy-induced dermatologic AEs [5]. Although not life-threatening, they can negatively impact patients' HRQoL, and impair psychosocial functioning and activities of daily living (ADL) [6, 7]. Furthermore, they often result in dose reductions, interruptions, or even discontinuation of therapy, which may lead to suboptimal management of the cancer itself and result in poorer outcomes [8].

Whereas the incidence and risk of some of the targeted therapy-induced dermatologic AEs have been previously estimated [9, 10], that of dermatologic pigmentary AEs (dpAEs) is not known. The latter are of particular concern because of their persistence, resistance to therapy, and negative impact on psychosocial well-being and HRQoL. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to determine the incidence and risk of targeted therapy-induced dermatologic pigmentary AEs.

METHODS

Data source

We searched all targeted anticancer agents (n=64, Appendix I) approved by the Food and Drug Administration (www.FDA.gov) in January 2017. A PubMed search was conducted using the generic name of targeted agents (e.g. "afatinib") as the keyword. The search was limited to phase II and phase III randomized and non-randomized clinical trials (RCT, NRCT) published in English (January 1998 through January 2017). We also reviewed

abstracts and virtual meeting presentations (January 2004 through January 2017) posted on the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) website to further identify relevant clinical trials. In addition, an independent search on the Web of Science database was also conducted to ensure that no other studies were missed. We reviewed each publication and retrieved data only from complete and/or the most recent reports if duplicate publications were identified. Extracted information included patient characteristics, study design, treatment regimen, study results, and safety data.

Study selection

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) approves targeted therapies at a specific dose in the treatment of cancer. Therefore, we excluded clinical trials employing drugs at unapproved doses (e.g. phase I studies) in order to determine the incidence and risk of dpAEs at the dosing level meaningful for clinicians. We also excluded trials that combined targeted agents with other chemotherapeutic agents and/or treatment modalities. The dpAEs in the studies were reported as: "hyperpigmentation," "hypopigmentation," "depigmentation," "repigmentation," "dyspigmentation," "discoloration," "color change," and "vitiligo" of either the skin/ hair/ nails. Studies that met the following criteria were selected for final analysis: (1) prospective phase II and III clinical trials in patients with cancer; (2) assignment of participants to treatment with the targeted agent at the approved dose; and (3) availability of data regarding the incidence of pigmentary changes.

Clinical end points

The clinical endpoints were extracted from the safety profile in each trial. The dpAEs for skin were recorded according to the National Cancer Institute's Common Toxicity Criteria (CTCv2.0), or the Common Terminology Criteria for AEs (CTCAE v3.0 and v4.0). The grading of dpAEs in the skin in version 2.0 is described as follows: grade 0, none; grade 1, localized; grade 2, generalized. In version 3.0, the description was updated to hyperpigmentation and hypopigmentation, as follows: grade 1, slight or localized; grade 2, marked or generalized. Version 4.0 further stratifies hyperpigmentation and hypopigmentation by body surface area (BSA) involvement as follows: grade 1, covering <10% BSA—no psychosocial impact; grade 2, covering >10% BSA—associated psychosocial impact. However, none of the studies in our meta-analysis utilized CTCAE v4.0. Lastly, given that pigmentary changes are not considered life threatening, there is no high-grade designation for these AEs.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis program (v2.0, Biostat, Englewood, NJ). The number of patients with pigmentary AEs in treatment and control groups (as applicable) was identified from the selected clinical trials. The incidence and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for each trial. For studies with a control arm, the relative risk (RR) of pigmentary AEs was also calculated.

For meta-analysis, both the fixed-effects (weighted with inverse variance) and the randomeffects model were given consideration for meta-analysis. The Cochran Q statistic was calculated for each meta-analysis to determine the heterogeneity of the included trials. For P

value of Cochran Q statistic less than 0.1, the assumption of homogeneity was deemed invalid, and the random-effects model was employed after exploring the cause of heterogeneity. Barring this phenomenon, both the fixed-effects and random-effects models were reported. A two-tailed *P* value of less than 0.05 was established as statistically significant.

Systematic review of published case reports and case series

We also reviewed case reports and series to understand the clinical characteristics of dpAEs, as they are not reported in clinical trial publications. For this portion of the study, the following PubMed search strategy was used (last performed in January 2017): generic drug name AND (albinism OR bronz* OR dark* OR darkening OR depigmentation OR discoloration OR dyschromia OR excessive pigmentation OR hyperpigmentation OR hypopigmentation OR light* OR lightening OR melanosis OR poliosis OR repigmentation OR vitiligo OR whit*). The results were narrowed down to case reports and case series published in English. In addition, a manual search of the bibliography from retrieved reports was also performed. One of the authors (JD) reviewed all the identified manuscripts and extracted the following data onto an excel spreadsheet: age, gender, race, underlying cancer, clinical findings, types of dyspigmentation including sites of involvement, pathology findings (if available), drug (including dosing), dose alterations, outcomes, number of cases, first author, year of publication.

RESULTS

Search results

Our literature search yielded a total of 7,604 potentially relevant studies, of which 36 clinical trials that involved targeted anticancer therapies met the inclusion criteria, and were included in the final analysis (Fig 1). The latter included phase II [11–34] (n=24) and phase III [35–46] (n=12) trials—all investigating solid organ malignancies. In all, 8,052 patients (controls, n=3,648; drug, n=4,404) were analyzed across trials employing cabozantinib, imatinib, ipilimumab, nivolumab, pazopanib, pembrolizumab, sorafenib, or sunitinib, which represented 8 major drugs of the 64 (12.5%) included in the search. The data was analyzed separately for dpAEs of the skin and hair.

Incidence of all-grade pigmentary changes in skin

Data for all-grade dpAEs of skin was available for 6,538 patients (across 28 clinical trials) treated with a targeted agent. The calculated overall incidence across all studies was 17.7% (95% CI, 11.9–25.4) according to the random-effects model (heterogeneity test: Q = 416.4, $I_2 = 93.5$, P <0.001) (Fig 2A). The lowest incidence, 0.7%, was noted in the pazopanib arm (n=554) of a randomized, open-label, phase III trial involving metastatic renal-cell carcinoma patients [39]. The highest incidence, 75%, was noted in a phase II study of sunitinib (n=24) in patients with relapsed or refractory small cell lung cancer [17]. The drugwise summary incidences of all-grade pigmentary changes are provided in Table 1.

Incidence of all-grade pigmentary changes in hair

In all, we identified 14 clinical trials (involving 3,319 evaluable patients) that reported hair color changes as a result of treatment with a targeted agent. The calculated overall incidence was 21.5% (95% CI, 14.9–30.1) according to the random-effects model (heterogeneity test: Q=191.3, I₂=93.2, P<0.001) (Fig 2B). The lowest incidence, 3.7%, was noted in the sunitinib arm (n=375) of a randomized, double-blinded, phase III trial involving metastatic renal-cell carcinoma patients [41]; in an open-label extension study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pazopanib in patients with advanced renal-cell carcinoma (n=80), the incidence of hair color changes was highest at 43.8% [43].

Relative risk of all-grade pigmentary changes in skin

In order to estimate the relative risk (RR) of these changes in patients receiving targeted therapies as compared to placebo, a pooled meta-analysis was performed by using RCTs as the control arm. All-grade skin pigmentary changes were noted in 428/3301 patients receiving targeted therapies [11–31, 35–41], as opposed to none (0/360) among patients who received best supportive care (BSC) alone [41]. The calculated overall RR for all-grade changes was 93.7 (95% CI: 5.86–1497.164; P <0.001), according to the random-effects model. The calculated high-grade RR was 2.371 (95% CI: 0.134–42.003; P=0.556).

Relative risk of all-grade pigmentary changes in hair

A meta-analysis of RR for all-grade hair color changes associated with targeted agents versus controls was performed on 3 RCTs [42,44,46]. All-grade hair color changes were noted in 187/536 patients receiving targeted therapies, as compared to 5/314 patients who received BSC alone. The calculated RR was 20.1 (95% CI: 8.35–48.248; P <0.001), according to the fixed-effects model. The calculated high-grade RR was 2.134 (95% CI: 0.224–20.355; P=0.510).

Case reports and Case series

Our search strategy yielded 54 publications (2002–2017) reporting on targeted anticancer therapy-induced dpAEs involving the skin, hair, nails, and mucosae: 45 were case reports (n=45 patients) and 9 were case series (n=30 patients), with single-case reporting representing the majority (45/54 patients, 83%). Given the case-level nature of the reports, we conducted a pooled analysis, and provided a summary of our findings (Table 2)—the raw data pertaining to all cases, their description, and references are provided in Appendix II. The mean patient age was 49.8 years (range: 8 years to 83 years), with a slight preponderance of females (41/75, 54.7%). The time to onset ranged from "immediately" to up to 10 years after initiation of treatment, and most reports (n=30/54, 55.6%) pertained to imatinib (43/75 patients, 57.3%). Accordingly, nearly half of the cases (n=33/75, 44.0%) had been treated for chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Importantly, only 6/75 cases (8.0%) experienced dose alterations due to dpAEs.

The skin appeared to be the most commonly affected site, followed by involvement of the mucosa, hair, and nails. While generalized skin involvement did occur (n=10), localized affliction of the face (n=36), trunk (n=10), hands/feet (n=8) and legs (n=8), and arms (n=7) was also seen; no specific patterns were identifiable. The outcome of skin dpAEs was noted

in 11/50 (22.0%) cases; resolution in 7/50, 14.0%, and persistence in 4/50, 8.0% cases. The information pertaining to reversibility was not described in the rest. The Bcr-abl inhibitor, imatinib, was responsible for the majority of skin-related dpAEs in this pooled analysis of cases. In cases where hair was affected, scalp hair involvement predominated (10/13, 76.9%), although virtually all hair-bearing areas appear susceptible; inhibitors of the Bcr-abl and VEGFR were the most common culprits. Nail dpAEs included hyperpigmentation and yellow discoloration in a total of 5 cases. Mucosal dpAEs were exclusively seen with imatinib (n=17) and described as a blue-gray to brown discoloration.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we determined the incidence and risk of targeted anticancer therapy-induced dpAEs from the safety data of published clinical trials and attempted to analyze the clinical characteristics by reviewing pertinent case reports/series. We found that the overall incidence of dpAEs in patients exposed to targeted anticancer therapies is high—skin, 17.7% and hair, 21.5%. The targeted agents imatinib, cabozantinib, nivolumab, pazopanib, pembrolizumab, sorafenib, and sunitinib appeared to be the most common culprits.

The pathophysiology of targeted anticancer therapy-induced dpAEs appears to be multifactorial, and remains poorly understood [47]. Pigmentary changes associated with imatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, are well documented in the literature, with the most commonly described clinical phenotype being reversible, dose-related hypopigmentation [48, 49]. *In vitro* studies demonstrate that imatinib may decrease skin pigmentation by inhibiting tyrosinase activity, likely through blockade of the c-KIT pathway and PDGF inhibition [50]. Interestingly, paradoxical cases of imatinib-associated hyperpigmentation have also been described [51–53], although the mechanisms underlying these differential reactions remain unclear.

Similarly, dpAEs associated with multikinase (MKI) inhibitors are likely due to inhibition of c-KIT, a known regulator of melanogenesis. c-KIT is uniquely expressed in melanocytes and plays a critical role in melanocyte development, differentiation, and maintenance [54]. Mutations in c-KIT are associated with hypopigmentation syndromes such as piebaldism and vitiligo [55, 56]. The non-selective MKIs, cabozantinib, pazopanib, sorafenib, and sunitinib are probably associated with c-KIT inhibition, though perhaps not through a direct effect on the KIT receptor, as with imatinib.

In the case of ipilimumab, however, the pigmentary changes appear to be a direct result of CTLA-4 inhibition and consequent immune system activation, [57] including against the melanocytes [58]. Surprisingly, clinical depigmentation may serve as a surrogate marker for responsiveness to anticancer treatment, with the appearance of vitiligo-like melanoma-associated hypopigmentation portending a favorable response to therapy [59]. Finally, vitiligo-like lesions that occur during treatment with selective PD-1 inhibitors, such as pembrolizumab and nivolumab, have been reported in up to 25% of patients and may be associated with a clinical benefit [60]. A recent study suggests a unique clinical phenotype and pathophysiological pathway that implicates a CD8 T-cell immune response distinct from spontaneously occurring vitiligo [61].

Current management strategies focus on pre-emptive approaches and patient education rather than symptom management, because termination of drug exposure typically leads to resolution of the dpAEs. Patients should also be advised to use appropriate UV protection, as individuals who experience hypopigmentation may be at an increased risk for photosensitivity disorders. Our meta-analysis has several limitations. First, dpAEs are asymptomatic and patients are less likely to notice and/or report them. Second, the assessment and reporting of dpAEs may be variable across healthcare providers and institutions, which could have impacted safety reporting in clinical trials. Therefore, these inconsistencies may have resulted in the underreporting, and consequently, an underestimation of the incidence of targeted anticancer therapy-induced dpAEs.

The study of AEs, especially dermatologic, is yet to keep up with the pace at which newer targeted anticancer drugs are being approved. Herein, we have shown that dpAEs are being encountered by a significant number of cancer patients. This phenomenon is of particular importance because these events bear the potential to negatively impact patients' quality of life and psychosocial well-being, in addition to being long-lasting and challenging to treat. Moreover, the use of these drugs is widening, suggesting that these AEs could be increasingly encountered. Therefore, there is an urgent need to educate patients and healthcare providers and develop effective management strategies. Further investigation into the pathophysiology and management of dpAEs is warranted to ensure optimal therapy and improve patients' quality of life. By understanding the pathogenesis and clinical manifestations of these AEs, dermatologists play a critical role in guiding oncologic therapy by minimizing unwarranted dose reduction and dose stoppage.

Acknowledgments

Funding Support: This study was supported in part by the *NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P20 CA008748*. M.E.L. and V.R.B. are supported by the RJR Oncodermatology Fund. Funding/Sponsors were not involved in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADL	activities of daily living
AE	adverse event
ASCO	American Society of Clinical Oncology
Bcr-abl	breakpoint cluster region-abelson
BRAF	B-rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma proto-oncogene serine- threonine-protein kinase
BSA	body surface area
BSC	best supportive care
CI	confidence interval
CML	chronic myeloid leukemia

CSF	colony-stimulating factor
СТС	Common toxicity criteria
CTCAE	Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events
CTLA-4	cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4
dpAE	dermatologic pigmentary adverse events
EGFR/ EGFRI	epidermal growth factor receptor/ EGFR inhibitor
Flt	fms-like tyrosine kinase
HRQoL	health-related quality of life
Kit	KIT protein
mAb	monoclonal antibody
МЕК	MAPK/ERK (Extracellular signal-Regulated Kinase) Kinase
MET	mesenchymal-epithelial transition factor
MKIs	multikinase inhibitor(s)
MSKCC	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
mTOR	mammalian target of rapamycin
NRCT	non-randomized controlled trial
PDGF/ PDGFR	platelet derived growth factor/ PDGF receptor
Ras	rat sarcoma
Ret	rearranged during transfection
RR	relative risk
RCT	randomized controlled trial
ТЕК	Tyrosine kinase, endothelial
TIE	Tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulin-like and EGF-like domains
TKI	tyrosine kinase inhibitor
TRKB	tropomyosin receptor kinase B
USFDA	United States Food and Drug Administration
VEGF/ VEGFR	vascular endothelial growth factor/ VEGF receptor

References

- 1. Soreide K, Berg M, Skudal BS, Nedreboe BS. Advances in the understanding and treatment of colorectal cancer. Discov Med. 2011; 12:393–404. [PubMed: 22127110]
- Ricciardi S, Tomao S, de Marinis F. Toxicity of targeted therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer management. Clin Lung Cancer. 2009; 10:28–35. [PubMed: 19289369]
- 3. Vokes EE, Chu E. Anti-EGFR therapies: clinical experience in colorectal, lung, and head and neck cancers. Oncology (Williston Park). 2006; 20:15–25. [PubMed: 16736979]
- Jost M, Kari C, Rodeck U. The EGF receptor, an essential regulator of multiple epidermal functions. Eur J Dermatol. 2000; 10:505–10. [PubMed: 11056418]
- Balagula Y, Lacouture ME, Cotliar JA. Dermatologic toxicities of targeted anticancer therapies. J Support Oncol. 2010; 8:149–161. [PubMed: 20822032]
- Wagner LI, Berg SR, Gandhi M, Hlubocky FJ, Webster K, Aneja M, et al. The development of a functional assessment of cancer therapy (FACT) questionnaire to assess dermatologic symptoms associated with epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors (FACT-EGFRI-18). Support Care Cancer. 2013; 21:1033–41. [PubMed: 23128934]
- Rosen AC, Case EC, Dusza SW, Balagula Y, Gordon J, West DP, Lacouture ME. Impact of dermatologic adverse events on quality of life in 283 cancer patients: A questionnaire study in a dermatology referral clinic. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2013; 14:327–33. [PubMed: 23625802]
- Boone SL, Rademaker A, Liu D, Pfeiffer C, Mauro DJ, Lacouture ME. Impact and management of skin toxicity associated with anti-epidermal growth factor receptor therapy: survey results. Oncology. 2007; 72:152–9. [PubMed: 18160805]
- Ensslin CJ, Rosen AC, Wu S, Lacouture ME. Pruritus in patients treated with targeted cancer therapies: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2013; 69(5):708–20. [PubMed: 23981682]
- Valentine J, Belum VR, Duran J, Ciccolini K, Schindler K, Wu S, Lacouture ME. Incidence and risk of xerosis with targeted anticancer therapies. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2015; 72:656–67. [PubMed: 25637330]
- Nishida T, Shirao K, Sawaki A, Koseki M, Okamura T, Ohtsu A, et al. Efficacy and safety profile of Imatinib mesylate (ST1571) in Japanese patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a phase II study (ST1571B1202). Int J Clin Oncol. 2008; 13:244–51. [PubMed: 18553235]
- Hersh EM, O'Day SJ, Powderly J, Khan KD, Pavlick AC, Cranmer LD, et al. A phase II multicenter study of Ipilimumab with or without dacarbazine in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced melanoma. Invest New Drugs. 2011; 29:489–98. [PubMed: 20082117]
- Bible KC, Suman VJ, Molina JR, Smallridge RC, Maples WJ, Menefee ME, et al. A multicenter phase II trial of Pazopanib in metastatic and progress medullary thyroid carcinoma: MC057H. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014; 99(5):1687–93. [PubMed: 24606083]
- 14. Bible KC, Suman VJ, Menefee ME, Smallridge RC, Molina JR, Maples WJ, et al. A multiinstitutional phase II trial of Pazopanib monotherapy in advanced anaplastic thyroid cancer. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012; 97:3179–84. [PubMed: 22774206]
- Kloos RT, Ringel MD, Knopp MV, Hall NC, King M, Stevens R, et al. Phase II trial of Sorafenib in metastatic thyroid cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:1675–84. [PubMed: 19255327]
- Curigliano G, Pivot X, Cortes J, Elias A, Cesari, Khosravan R, et al. Randomized phase II study of sunitinib versus standard of care for patients with previously treated advanced triple-negative breast cancer. The Breast. 2013; 22:650–6. [PubMed: 23958375]
- Han JY, Kim HY, Lim KY, Han JH, Lee YJ, Kwak MH, et al. A phase II study of Sunitinib in patients with relapsed or refractory small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2013; 79:137–42. [PubMed: 23182663]
- Strosberg JR, Weber JM, Choi J, Campos TL, Valone TL, Han G, et al. A phase II clinical trial of Sunitinib following hepatic transarterial embolization for metastatic neuroendocrine tumors. Ann Oncol. 2012; 23(9):2335–41. [PubMed: 22317769]
- Kreisl TN, Smith P, Sul J, Salgado C, Iwamoto FM, Shih JH, Fine HA. Continuous daily Sunitinib for recurrent glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2013; 111:41–8. [PubMed: 23086433]

- Chau NG, Hotte SJ, Chen EX, Chin SF, Turner S, Wang L, Siu LL. A phase II study of Sunitinib in recurrent and/or metastatic adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) of the salivary glands: current progress and challenges in evaluating molecularly targeted agents in ACC. Ann Oncol. 2012; 23:1562–70. [PubMed: 22080184]
- Barrios CH, Hernandez-Barajas D, Brown MP, Lee SH, Fein L, Liu JH, et al. Phase II trial of continuous once-daily dosing of Sunitinib as first-line treatment in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Cancer. 2012; 118:1252–9. [PubMed: 21898376]
- 22. Bang YJ, Kang YK, Kang WK, Boku N, Chung HC, Chen JS, et al. Phase II study of Sunitinib as second-line treatment for advanced gastric cancer. Invest New Drugs. 2011; 29:1449–58. [PubMed: 20461441]
- Uemura H, Shinohara N, Yuasa T, Tomita Y, Fujimoto H, Niwakawa M, et al. A phase II study of Sunitinib in Japanese patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: insights into treatment, efficacy, and safety. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2010; 40(3):194–202. [PubMed: 19897852]
- 24. Escudier B, Roigas J, Gillessen S, Harmenberg U, Srinivas S, Mulder SF, et al. Phase II study of Sunitinib administered in a continuous once-daily dosing regimen in patients with cytokinerefractory metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:4068–75. [PubMed: 19652072]
- 25. Faivre S, Raymond E, Boucher E, Douillard J, Lim HY, Kim JS, et al. Safety and efficacy of Suntinib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: an open-label, multicentre, phase II study. Lancet Oncol. 2009; 10:794–800. [PubMed: 19586800]
- 26. Kulke MH, Lenz HJ, Meropol NJ, Posey J, Ryan DP, Picus J, et al. Activity of Sunitinib in patients with advanced neuroendocrine tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:3403–10. [PubMed: 18612155]
- Burstein HJ, Elias AD, Rugo HS, Cobleigh MA, Wolff AC, Eisenberg PD, et al. Phase II study of Sunitinib malate, on oral multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with metastatic breast cancer previously treated with an anthracycline and a taxane. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:1810–16. [PubMed: 18347007]
- Socinski MA, Novella S, Brahmer JR, Rosell R, Sanchez JM, Belami CP, et al. Multicenter, phase II trial of Sunitinib in previously treated, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:650–56. [PubMed: 18235126]
- Saltz LB, Rosen LS, Marshall JL, Belt RJ, Hurwitz HI, Eckhardt SG, et al. Phase II trial of Sunitinib in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer after failure of standard therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25:4793–4799. [PubMed: 17947727]
- Hutterer M, Nowosielski M, Haybaeck J, Embacher S, Stockhammer F, Gotwald T, et al. A singlearm phase II Austrian/German multicenter trial on continuous daily Sunitinib in primary glioblastoma at first recurrence (SURGE 01–07). Neuro-Oncol. 2014; 16(1):92–102. [PubMed: 24311637]
- 31. Fountzilas G, Fragkoulidi A, Kalogera-Fountzila A, Nikolaidou M, Bobos M, Calderaro J, et al. A phase II study of Sunitinib in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic non-nasopharyngeal head and neck cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2010; 65:649–60. [PubMed: 19655144]
- 32. Monk BJ, Mas Lopez L, Zarba JJ, Oaknin A, Tarpin C, Termrungruanglert W, et al. Phase II, openlabel study of pazopanib or lapatinib monotherapy compared with pazopanib plus lapatinib combination therapy in patients with advanced and recurrent cervical cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010 Aug 1; 28(22):3562–9. [PubMed: 20606083]
- 33. Ahmed M, Barbachano Y, Riddell A, Hickey J, Newbold KL, Viros A. Analysis of the efficacy and toxicity of sorafenib in thyroid cancer: a phase II study in a UK based population. Eur J Endocrinol. 2011 Aug; 165(2):315–22. [PubMed: 21566072]
- 34. George S, Merriam P, Maki RG, Van den Abbeele AD, Yap JT, Akhurst T, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of sunitinib in the treatment of nongastrointestinal stromal tumor sarcomas. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27(19):3154–60. [PubMed: 19451429]
- Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with Ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363(8):711–23. [PubMed: 20525992]
- 36. Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, et al. Pembrolizumab versus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(26):2521–32. [PubMed: 25891173]

- 37. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, et al. Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373(1):23–34. [PubMed: 26027431]
- Robert C, Long GV, Brady B, Dutriaux C, Maio M, Mortier L, et al. Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(4):320–30. [PubMed: 25399552]
- 39. Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Cella D, Reeves J, Hawkins R, Guo J, et al. Pazopanib versus Sunitinib in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369:711–31. [PubMed: 23964933]
- 40. Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Hamid O, Robert C, et al. Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16:908–18. [PubMed: 26115796]
- Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, Michaelson MD, Bukowski RM, Rixe O, et al. Sunitinib versus Interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356:115–24. [PubMed: 17215529]
- Elisei R, Schlumberger MJ, Müller SP, Schöffski P, Brose MS, Shah MH, et al. Cabozantinib in progressive medullary thyroid cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2013 Oct 10; 31(29):3639–46. [PubMed: 24002501]
- Sternberg CN, Davis ID, Deen KC, Sigal E, Hawkins RE. An open-label extension study to evaluate safety and efficacy of pazopanib in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Oncology. 2014; 87(6):342–50. [PubMed: 25227656]
- 44. van der Graaf WT, Blay JY, Chawla SP, Kim DW, Bui-Nguyen B, Casali PG, et al. Pazopanib for metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma (PALETTE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2012 May 19; 379(9829):1879–86. [PubMed: 22595799]
- 45. Escudier B, Porta C, Bono P, Powles T, Eisen T, Sternberg CN, et al. Randomized, controlled, double-blind, cross-over trial assessing treatment preference for pazopanib versus sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: PISCES Study. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32(14):1412–8. [PubMed: 24687826]
- 46. Raymond E, Dahan L, Raoul JL, Bang YJ, Borbath I, Lombard-Bohas C, et al. Sunitinib malate for the treatment of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364(6):501–13. [PubMed: 21306237]
- 47. Robert C, Sibaud V, Mateus C, Cherpelis BS. Advances in the management of cutaneous toxicities of targeted therapies. Semin Oncol. 2012; 39:227–240. [PubMed: 22484194]
- Tsao AS, Kantarjian H, Cortes J, O'Brien S, Talpaz M. Imatinib mesylate causes hypopigmentation in the skin. Cancer. 2003; 98:2483–7. [PubMed: 14635084]
- Cario-Andre M, Ardilouze L, Pain C, Gauthier Y, Mahon FX, Taieb A. Imatinib mesilate inihibits melanogenesis *in vitro*. Br J Dermatol. 2006; 155:493–4. [PubMed: 16882205]
- Buchdunger E, Cioffi CL, Law N, Stover D, Ohno-Jones S, Druker BJ, et al. Abl protein-tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 inhibits in vitro signal transduction mediated by c-kit and platelet-derived growth factor receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2000 Oct; 295(1):139–45. [PubMed: 10991971]
- Heidary N, Naik H, Burgin S. Chemotherapeutic agents and the skin: an update. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2008; 58:545–570. [PubMed: 18342708]
- 52. Basso FG, Boer CC, Correa ME, et al. Skin and oral lesions associated to imatinib mesylate therapy. Support Care Cancer. 2009; 17:465–8. [PubMed: 19037666]
- McPherson T, Sherman V, Turner R. Imatinib-associated hyperpigmentation, a side effect that should be recognized. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2009; 23:82–3. [PubMed: 18384557]
- Picardo M, Cardinali G. The genetic determination of skin pigmentation: KITLG and the KITLG/c-Kit pathway as key players in the onset of human familial pigmentary diseases. J Invest Dermatol. 2011; 131:1182–85. [PubMed: 21566575]
- 55. Spritz RA. The molecular basis of human piebaldism. Pigment Cell Res. 1992; 5:3403.
- Grimes PE. New insights and new therapies in vitiligo. JAMA. 2005; 293:730–5. [PubMed: 15701915]
- Tarhini A. Immune-mediated adverse events associated with ipilimumab ctla-4 blockade therapy: the underlying mechanisms and clinical management. Scientifica (Cairo). 2013; 2013:857519. [PubMed: 24278787]

- Weber JS, Kähler KC, Hauschild A. Management of immune-related adverse events and kinetics of response with ipilimumab. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30(21):2691–2697. [PubMed: 22614989]
- 59. Pavlick AC, Ott PA, Kannan K, et al. Hair depigmentation as an indicator of a durable response to CTLA-4 therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28 article 15s, abstract no. 8571.
- 60. Hua C, Boussemart L, Mateus C, Routier E, Boutros C, Cazenave H, et al. Association of Vitiligo With Tumor Response in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma Treated With Pembrolizumab. JAMA Dermatol. 2016; 152(1):45–51. [PubMed: 26501224]
- 61. Larsabal M, Marti A, Jacquemin C, Rambert J, Thiolat D, Dousset L, et al. Vitiligo-like lesions occurring in patients receiving anti-programmed cell death-1 therapies are clinically and biologically distinct from vitiligo. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2017 Jan 13. epublication ahead of print.

APPENDIX

Appendix I. List of all targeted agents searched to identify studies reporting dermatologic pigmentary adverse events (n=64)

Ado-trastuzumab emtansine (Kadcyla)

Afatinib dimaleate (Gilotrif)

Alectinib (Alecensa)

Alemtuzumab (Campath)

Atezolizumab (Tecentriq)

Axitinib (Inlyta)

Belinostat (Beleodaq)

Bevacizumab (Avastin)

Blinatumomab (Blincyto)

Bortezomib (Velcade)

Bosutinib (Bosulif)

Brentuximab vedotin (Adcetris)

Cabozantinib (Cometriq)

Carfilzomib (Kyprolis)

Ceritinib (Zykadia)

Cetuximab (Erbitux)

Cobimetinib (Cotellic)

Crizotinib (Xalkori)

Dabrafenib (Tafinlar)

Daratumumab (Darzalex)

Dasatinib (Sprycel)

Dinutuximab (Unituxin)

Author Manuscript

Erlotinib hydrochloride (Tarceva) Everolimus (Afinitor) Gefitinib (Iressa) Ibrutinib (Imbruvica) Idelalisib (Zydelig) Imatinib mesylate (Gleevec) Ipilimumab (Yervoy) Ixazomib (Ninlaro) Lapatinib ditosylate (Tykerb) Lenvatinib (Lenvima) Necitumumab (Portrazza) Nilotinib (Tasigna) Nivolumab (Opdivo) Obinutuzumab (Gazyva) Ofatumumab (Arzerra) Olaparib (Lynparza) Olaratumab (Lartruvo) Osimertinib (Tagrisso) Palbociclib (Ibrance) Panitumumab (Vectibix) Panobinostat (Farydak) Pazopanib hydrochloride (Votrient) Pembrolizumab (Keytruda) Pertuzumab (Perjeta) Ponatinib (Iclusig) Ramucirumab (Cyramza) Regorafenib (Stivarga) Rituximab (Rituxan) Romidepsin (Istodax) Ruxolitinib (Jakafi) Sorafenib tosylate (Nexavar)

Elotuzumab (Empliciti)

Sonidegib (Odomzo) Sunitinib malate (Sutent) Temsirolimus (Torisel) Trametinib (Mekinist) Trastuzumab (Herceptin) Vandetanib (Caprelsa) Vemurafenib (Zelboraf) Vismodegib (Erivedge) Vorinostat (Zolinza) Ziv-aflibercept (Zaltrap)

Appendix II. Published case reports/series of pigmentary changes during treatment with targeted anticancer agents (n=54)

Reference

- Chang GC, Yang TY, Chen KC, Yin MC, Wang RC, Lin YC. Paronychia and skin hyperpigmentation induced by Gefitinib in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2004; 22:4646–7. [PubMed: 15542815]
- Alexandrescu DT, Dasanu CA, Farzanmehr H, Kauffman CL. Persistent cutaneous hyperpigmentation after tyrosine kinase inhibition with Imatinib for GIST. Derm Online J. 2008; 14(7):7.
- Prasad N, Deshmukh C, Biswas G, Bakshi A, Sastry PS, Parikh PM. Dermatological toxicity of Imatinib mesylate. J Assoc Physicians India. 2005; 53:298. [PubMed: 15987015]
- 4. Hamza I, Gaies E, Kastalli E, Daghfous R, El Aidli S. Facial hyperpigmentation during Imatinib therapy for gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Therapie. 2014; 69(3):245–7. [PubMed: 24934821]
- Singh N, Bakshi S. Imatinib-induced dental hyperpigmentation in childhood chronic myeloid leukemia. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2007; 29(3):208. [PubMed: 17356407]
- Ghunawat S1, Sarkar R1, Garg VK1. Imatinib induced melasma-like pigmentation: Report of five cases and review of literature. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2016 Jul-Aug;82(4):409–412. [PubMed: 27279299]
- 7. Valizadeh N. Imatinib Induced Facial Skin Hyperpigmentation in a Case of Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia. Shiraz E-Medical Journal. 2011 Jul.12(3)
- Shunawat S, Sarkar R, Garg VK. Imatinib induced melasma-like pigmentation: Report of five cases and review of literature. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2016 Jul-Aug;82(4):409–412. [PubMed: 27279299]
- Han H, Yu YY, Wang YH. Imatinib mesylate—induced repigmentation of vitiligo lesions in a patient with recurrent gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2008; 59:S80–83. [PubMed: 19119132]
- Harris JE, Rashighi M, Nguyen N, Jabbari A, Ulerio G, Clynes R, Christiano AM, Mackay-Wiggan J. Rapid skin repigmentation on oral ruxolitinib in a patient with coexistent vitiligo and alopecia areata (AA). J Am Acad Dermatol. 2016 Feb; 74(2):370–1. [PubMed: 26685721]
- 11. Jalalat SZ, Cohen PR. Gefitinib-associated vitiligo: report in a man with parotid squamous cell carcinoma and review of drug-induced hypopigmentation. Derm Online J. 2013; 19(10):4.
- Tsao AS, Kantarjian H, Cortes J, O'Brien S, Talpaz M. Imatinib mesylate causes hypopigmentation in the skin. Cancer. 2003; 98(11):2483–7. [PubMed: 14635084]

- 13. Raanani P, Goldman JM, Ben-Bassat I. Depigmentation in a chronic myeloid leukemia patient treated with STI-471. J Clin Oncol. 2002; 20:869–70. [PubMed: 11821474]
- Hasan S, DInh K, Lombardo F, Dawkins F, Kark J. Hypopigmentation in an African patient treated with Imatinib mesylate: a case report. J Natl Med Assoc. 2003; 95(8):722–4. [PubMed: 12934870]
- Grossman WJ, Wilson DB. Hypopigmentation from Imatinib mesylate (Gleevac). J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2004; 26:214. [PubMed: 15125619]
- 16. Brazzelli V, Roveda E, Prestinarl F, Barbagallo T, Bellani E, Trevisan V, et al. Vitiligo-like lesions and diffuse lightening of the skin in a pediatric patient treated with Imatinib mesylate: a noninvasive colormetric assessment. Pediatr Dermatol. 2006; 23(2):175–8. [PubMed: 16650231]
- Legros L, Cassuto JP, Ortonne JP. Imatinib mesilate (Glivec): a systemic depigmenting agent for extensive vitiligo? Br J Dermatol. 2005; 153:691–2. [PubMed: 16120179]
- McPartlin S, Leach M. Loss of skin pigment caused by imatinib therapy. Br J Haematol. 2005; 129:448. [PubMed: 15877727]
- Cerchione C, Fabbricini R, Pane F, Luciano L. Vitiligo-like lesions in an adult patient treated with Imatinib mesylate. Leuk Res. 2009; 33(8):e104–5. [PubMed: 19232719]
- Brazzelli V, Grasso V, Barbaccia V, Giambattista M, Rivetti N, Zecca M, et al. Hair depigmentation and vitiligo-like lesions in a leukaemic paediatric patient during chemotherapy with Dasatinib. Acta Derm Venereol. 2012; 92:193–220.
- Fujimi A, Ibata S, Kanisawa Y, Shibata T, Sakamoto H, Yamada S, et al. Reversible skin and hair depigmentation during chemotherapy with Dasatinib for chronic myeloid leukemia. J Dermatol. 2016; 43(1):106–7. [PubMed: 26434556]
- 22. Boudadi K, Chugh R. Diffuse Hypopigmentation Followed by Hyperpigmentation in an African American Woman with Hemangiopericytoma Treated with Dasatinib. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Nov; 8(11):QD01–QD02.
- Gathings R, Lewallen R, Yosipovitch G. Immunotherapy-induced leukoderma from treatment of melanoma with IL-2: a case report and a review of the literature. Acta Derm Venereol. 2015; 95:197–200. [PubMed: 24820241]
- 24. Sideras K, Menefee ME, Burton JK, Erlichman C, Bible KC. Profound hair and skin hypopigmentation in an African American woman treated with the multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor Pazopanib. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:e312–3. [PubMed: 20516434]
- 25. Hartmann JT, Kanz L. Sunitinib and periodic hair depigmentation due to temporary c-KIT inhibition. Arch Dermatol. 2008; 144:1525–6. [PubMed: 19015436]
- 26. Al Enazi MM, Kadry R, Mitwali H. Skin depigmentation induced by Sunitinib treatment of renal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2009; 61:905–6. [PubMed: 19836653]
- Alonso-Castro L, Rios-Buceta L, Vano-Galvan S, Moreno C, Soria-Rivas A, Jaen P. Vitiligo in 2 patients receiving Vemurafenib for metastatic melanoma. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2013; 69(1):e28– 9. [PubMed: 23768302]
- Wolner ZJ, Marghoob AA, Pulitzer MP, Postow MA, Marchetti MA. A case report of disappearing pigmented skin lesions associated with pembrolizumab treatment for metastatic melanoma. Br J Dermatol. 2017 Jan 28.
- Kagimoto Y, Mizuashi M, Kikuchi K, Aiba S. Lichenoid drug eruption with hyperpigmentation caused by Imatinib mesylate. Int J Dermatol. 2014; 53:e161–2. [PubMed: 23786260]
- Resende RG, Teixeira RGL, Vasconcelos FO, Silva MES, Abreu MHG, Gomez RS. Imatinibassociated hyperpigmentation of the palate in post-HSCT patient. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2012; 40:e140–3. [PubMed: 21862339]
- 31. Song HS, Kang HY. Imatinib mesylate-induced hyperpigmentation of the nose and palate. Ann Dermatol. 2014 Aug; 26(4):532–3. [PubMed: 25143691]
- Dasanu CA, Dutcher J, Alexandrescu DT. Yellow skin discoloration associated with Sorafenib use for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma. South Med J. 2007; 100(3):328–30. [PubMed: 17396743]
- Kong HH, Fine HA, Stern JB, Chanco Turner ML. Cutaneous pigmentation after photosensitivity induced by Vandetanib therapy. Arch Dermatol. 2009; 145:923–5. [PubMed: 19687425]
- Brooks S, Linehan WM, Srinivasan R, Kong HH. Successful laser treatment of Vandetanibassociated cutaneous pigmentation. Arch Dermatol. 2011; 147:364–5. [PubMed: 21422355]

- Cheng YP, Chen HJ, Chiu HC. Erlotinib-induced hair repigmentation. Int J Dermatol. 2014; 53:e55–7. [PubMed: 23432346]
- 36. Rodriguez NA, Ascaso FJ. Trichomegaly and poliosis of the eyelashes during Cetuximab treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:e532–3. [PubMed: 21483009]
- 37. Mariani S, Abruzzese E, Basciani S, Fiore D, Persichetti A, Watanabe M, et al. Reversible hair depigmentation in a patient treated with Imatinib. Leuk Res. 2011; 35(6):e64–6. [PubMed: 21176848]
- Sun A, Akin RS, Cobos E, Smith J. Hair depigmentation during chemotherapy with Dasatinib, a dual Bcr-Abl/Src family tyrosine kinase inhibitor. J Drugs Dermatol. 2009; 8(4):395–8. [PubMed: 19363859]
- Samimi S, Chu E, Seykora J, Loren A, Vittorio C, Rook A, Rosenbach M, Kim EJ. Dasatinibinduced leukotrichia in a patient with chronic myelogenous leukemia. JAMA Dermatol. 2013 May; 149(5):637–9. [PubMed: 23677113]
- Kobayashi E, Koyama T, Kobayashi K, Setsu N, Kawashima M, Kawai A. Reversible hair depigmentation in a Japanese female treated with Pazopanib. J Dermatol. 2014; 41(11):1021–2. [PubMed: 25346306]
- Sibaud V, Munsch C, Lamant L. Eruptive nevi and hair depigmentation related to Regorafenib. Eur J Dermatol. 2015; 25(1):85–6. [PubMed: 25514168]
- 42. Brzezniak C, Szabo E. Sunitinib-associated hair depigmentation. N Engl J Med. 2014; 370:e27. [PubMed: 24758639]
- Huan TC, Ho CL. Blue-black discoloration of the nails associated with Gefitinib. Acta Clinica Belgica. 2011; 66:72. [PubMed: 21485772]
- 44. Steele JC, Triantafyllou A, Rajlawat BP, Field EA. Oral mucosal hyperpigmentation and horizontal melanonychia caused by imatinib. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2012; 37:432–447. [PubMed: 22420895]
- 45. Prabhash K, Biswas G, Prasad N, Karant N, Sastry PSRK, Parikh PM. Imatinib-induced nail hyperpigmentation in chronic myeloid leukemia. 2006; 72:63–4.
- Mcpherson T, Sherman V, Turner R. Imatinib-associated hyperpigmentation, a side effect that should be recognized. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2009; 23(1):82–3. [PubMed: 18384557]
- 47. Peuvrel L, Quereux G, Brocard A, Saint-Jean M, Dreno B. Onychopathy induced by Temsirolimus, a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor. Dermatology. 2012; 224:204–8. [PubMed: 22614575]
- Roeker LE, Wolanskyj AP. Imatinib-associated melanosis of the palate. Am J Hematol. 2014; 89:564. [PubMed: 24030927]
- 49. Wong M, Sade S, Gilbert M, Klieb HBE. Oral melanosis after tyrosine kinase inhibition with Imatinib for chronic myelogenous leukemia: report of a case and review of the literature. Derm Online J. 2011; 17(6):4.
- 50. Li CC, Malik SM, Blaeser BF, Dehni WJ, Kabani SP, Boyle N, et al. Mucosal pigmentation caused by Imatinib: report of three cases. Head Neck Pathol. 2012; 6:290–5. [PubMed: 22209988]
- 51. Lewis DM. Diffuse pigmentation of the palate. J Okla Dent Assoc. 2009; 100(8):24-5.
- 52. Khoo TL, Catalano A, Supple S, Chong L, Yeoh SC, Yeung S, et al. Hyperpigmentation of the hard palate associated with Imatinib therapy for chronic myeloid leukemia with a genetic variation in the proto-oncogene c-KIT. Leuk Lymphoma. 2013; 54(1):186–8. [PubMed: 22712835]
- 53. Mattsson U, Halbritter S, Serikoff EM, Christerson L, Warfvinge G. Oral pigmentation in the hard palate associated with Imatinib mesylate therapy: a report of three cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2011; 111(5):e12–6.
- 54. Yu YH, Shere Y, Vigneswaran N. Oral and maxillofacial pathology case of the month. Palatal melanosis associated with Imatinib mesylate therapy. Tex Dent J. 2012; 129(8):764–5. [PubMed: 22988661]





Selection process for studies included in meta-analysis.





Figure 2.

Figure 2A. Incidence of all-grade targeted therapy-induced pigmentary changes in skin. **Figure 2B.** Incidence of all-grade targeted therapy-induced pigmentary changes in hair.



Figure 3.

Figure 3A. Gray-colored imatinib-induced hyperpigmentation predominantly on the face of a 65-year-old female with gastrointestinal stromal tumor.

Figure 3B. Well-defined asymptomatic depigmented macules (enhanced under Wood's light) predominantly on the face and neck in a 65-year-old female receiving MK-3475 (pembrolizumab) for melanoma.

Table 1

Incidence of all-grade pigmentary changes with approved targeted agents in monotherapy.

Dense	Drimony moleculey tenests	Incidence of all-grade pig	mentary changes (95% CI)
Drug	rimary molecular targets	Skin	Hair
Cabozantinib ³⁹	VEGF-R1/-R2/-R3, Flt-3, MET, RET, KIT, AXL, TRKB, TEK, TIE-2	Not yet reported	33.6% (27.6%-40.2%)
Imatinib ¹¹	BCR-ABL, PDGFR-α/β, KIT	23.0% (14.8%-33.9%)	Not yet reported
Ipilimumab ^{12,35–36}	CTLA-4	3.6% (2.3%-5.8%)	Not yet reported
Nivolumab ³⁷⁻³⁸	PD-1	8.8% (6.1%-12.7%)	Not yet reported
Pazopanib ^{13–14,32,39,43–44}	VEGF-R1/-R2/-R3, PDGFR-α/β, KIT, RAF	15.6% (0.7%-83.4%)	31.7% (18.9%-48.0%)
Pembrolizumab ⁴⁰	PD-1	5.6% (3.0%-10.1%)	Not yet reported
Sorafenib ^{15,33}	VEGF-R1/-R2/-R3, PDGFR-β, KIT, RET, RAF (CRAF & BRAF)	16.1% (8.6%–28.1%)	17.6% (8.1%-34.1%)
Sunitinib ^{16–31,34,41,45–46}	VEGF-R1/-R2/-R3, PDGFR, KIT, RET, CSF-1R, Flt-3	25.5% (17.0%-36.4%)	17.9% (10.5%-28.7%)

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Published case reports/series of pigmentary changes during treatment with targeted anticancer agents (n=54).

#	Dermatologic AE	Primary mechanism of action	Targeted agent	Number of cases
1	Skin change			
	11	EGFR inhibitor	Gefitinib ¹	2
	пурсгрідшеннацон	Bcr-abl inhibitor	Imatinib ^{2–8}	15
	E	Bcr-abl inhibitor	Imatinib ⁹	1
	Kepigmentation	JAK inhibitor	Ruxolitinib ¹⁰	1
		EGFR inhibitor	lefitinib ¹¹	1
		D obl (Imatinib ^{5,12–19}	14
		Bcr-abl inhibitor(s)	Dasatinib ^{20–22}	3
		Immunomodulator	IL-2 ²³	1
	пуроризителканоп		Pazopanib ²⁴	1
		VEOFR IIIIIDIOI (S)	Sunitinib ^{25–26}	2
		BRAF inhibitor	Vemurafenib ²⁷	1
		PD-1 inhibitor	Pembrolizumab ²⁸	1
		Bcr-abl inhibitor	Imatinib ^{29–31}	3
	Other dyschromias (blue/gray, yellow)	VEGFR inhibitor	Sorafenib ³²	1
		EGFR/ VEGFR inhibitor	Vandetanib ^{33–34}	3
2.	Hair change			
	Repigmentation	EGFR inhibitor	Erlotinib ³⁵	1
		EGFR inhibitor	Cetuximab ³⁶	1
		میں 10 میں 1	Imatinib ³⁷	1
			Dasatinib ^{20–21,38–39}	4
	Hypopigmentation		Pazopanib ^{24,40}	2
		VEGFR inhibitor(s)	${ m Regorafenib}^{41}$	1
			Sunitinib ^{25,42}	2
		PD-1 inhibitor	Pembrolizumab ²⁸	1

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

#	Dermatologic AE	Primary mechanism of action	Targeted agent	Number of cases
3	. Nail change			
	II. mominum constructions	EGFR inhibitor	Gefitinib ⁴³	1
	113 per premientation	Bcr-abl inhibitor	Imatinib ^{44–46}	3
	Other dyschromias (yellow)	mTOR inhibitor	Temsirolimus ⁴⁷	1
4	. Mucosal change of hard palate			
	Other dyschromias	Bcr-abl inhibitor	Imatinib ^{5,29–31,44,46,48–54}	17