



Published in final edited form as:

*Neuropharmacology*. 2017 August 01; 122: 201–243. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.02.004.

## Rat animal models for screening medications to treat alcohol use disorders

Richard L. Bell<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sheketha R. Hauser<sup>a</sup>, Tiebing Liang<sup>b</sup>, Youssef Sari<sup>c</sup>, Antoniette Maldonado-Devincci<sup>d</sup>, and Zachary A. Rodd<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

<sup>b</sup>Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Gastroenterology, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

<sup>c</sup>University of Toledo, Department of Pharmacology, Toledo, OH 43614, USA

<sup>d</sup>North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, Department of Psychology, Greensboro, NC 27411, USA

### Abstract

The purpose of this review is to present animal research models that can be used to screen and/or repurpose medications for the treatment of alcohol abuse and dependence. The focus will be on rats and in particular selectively bred rats. Brief introductions discuss various aspects of the clinical picture, which provide characteristics of individuals with alcohol use disorders (AUDs) to model in animals. Following this, multiple selectively bred rat lines will be described and evaluated in the context of animal models used to screen medications to treat AUDs. Next, common behavioral tests for drug efficacy will be discussed particularly as they relate to stages in the addiction cycle. Tables highlighting studies that have tested the effects of compounds using the respective techniques are included. Wherever possible the Tables are organized chronologically in ascending order to describe changes in the focus of research on AUDs over time. In general, high ethanol-consuming selectively bred rats have been used to test a wide range of compounds. Older studies usually followed neurobiological findings in the selected lines that supported an association with a propensity for high ethanol intake. Most of these tests evaluated the compound's effects on the maintenance of ethanol drinking. Very few compounds have been tested during ethanol-seeking and/or relapse and fewer still have assessed their effects during the acquisition of AUDs. Overall, while a substantial number of neurotransmitter and neuromodulatory system targets have been assessed; the roles of sex- and age-of-animal, as well as the acquisition of AUDs, ethanol-seeking and relapse continue to be factors and behaviors needing further study.

\*Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Neuroscience Research Building, NB300C, 320 West 15th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. ribell@iupui.edu (R.L. Bell).

#### Statement of conflict

All authors declare they have no perceived or real conflicts of interest associated with any part of this work.

## Keywords

Alcohol use disorder; Alcoholism; Genetically predisposed; Selectively bred; Pharmacotherapy; Family history positive; AA; HAD; P; msP; sP; UChB; WHP

---

## 1. Background from a clinical perspective

### 1.1. Societal burden of alcohol abuse and dependence

Approximately half of all Americans have at least one relative with an alcohol use disorders (AUD), with some of these individuals having this trait across multiple generations (Research Society on Alcoholism [RSA], 2011, 2015). Half of individuals meeting a lifetime diagnosis for an AUD do so by age 21 with two-thirds doing so by age 25 (Hingson et al., 2006). This is especially troubling given between 15% and 25% of individuals in the military have AUDs (Bray and Hourani, 2007; Bray et al., 2006; RSA, 2011; 2015). There has been a narrowing of the gender gap recently, especially among youth and the elderly (Brienza and Stein, 2002; Nelson et al., 1998; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), 2012; Wilsnack et al., 1991). In the US, the cost of AUDs approaches a quarter of a trillion dollars each year (Harwood et al., 2000; RSA, 2015), with close to 100,000 people dying due to alcohol-related causes every year (RSA, 2011; 2015). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) considers AUDs the third leading cause of preventable death (Mokdad et al., 2004) and is a major factor in the top three leading medical causes of death (RSA, 2011; 2015). Moreover, a direct association has been found between alcohol (ethanol, the primary form of alcohol abused, will be used instead of alcohol in the rest of the paper) use and 50 different medical conditions (Reed et al., 1996; Rehm et al., 2003).

### 1.2. (Endo)Phenotypic associations with ethanol abuse and dependence

For the present discussion, an endophenotype (sometimes called intermediate phenotype) is defined as a characteristic (a) having relative specificity for the psychiatric disorder being studied, (b) a trait vs state characteristic such that it predates overt expression of symptoms, (c) having significant heritability and is associated with familial density of the disorder, and (d) has biological and clinical plausibility (e.g., Ray and Heilig, 2013). Preclinical and clinical research indicates the following endophenotypes are directly related to the development of ethanol dependence (a) lower initial sensitivity to ethanol's aversive effects (c.f., Bell et al., 2006b, 2012; Colombo et al., 2006; Draski and Deitrich, 1996; Le et al., 2001b; Schuckit and Gold, 1988), (b) greater levels and/or quicker development of ethanol-induced tolerance (c.f., Costin and Miles, 2014; Lê and Mayer, 1996), (c) anxiety-like and/or depressive behavior including during ethanol withdrawal (c.f., Ciccocioppo et al., 2006; Heilig et al., 2010; Kirby et al., 2011; Overstreet et al., 2006; Pautassi et al., 2010; Sjoerds et al., 2014; Thorsell, 2010), (d) stress reactivity (c.f., Barr and Goldman, 2006), and (e) sweet liking/preference (c.f., de Wit and Richards, 2004; Kampov-Polevoy et al., 2014; Lange et al., 2010; Pepino and Mennella, 2007; Perry and Carroll et al., 2008).

Endophenotypes also include ethanol-associated physiological and behavioral stimulation (Trim et al., 2010) [which is modeled in rodents by increased motor activity and/or approach

behavior (Chappell and Weiner, 2008; Faria et al., 2008; Wise and Bozarth, 1987), aggression (Chiavegatto et al., 2010), and social facilitation (Varlinskaya and Spear, 2009, 2010)]. Interestingly, there appears to be pharmacological validity for ethanol-associated stimulation as well as reward, with histaminergic (Panula and Nuutinen, 2011 and references therein) and ghrelin (Jerlhag et al., 2011 and references therein) systems implicated in ethanol-induced motor activation, ethanol-induced conditioned place preference, ethanol-preference and excessive ethanol intake. Nevertheless, there are concerns with establishing consistency and translatability of ethanol-induced stimulation between the preclinical and clinical literature. For instance, other than lower dose effects on self-report (Morzorati et al., 2002; Viken et al., 2003), heart rate (Finn and Justus, 1997; Peterson et al., 1996), and brain activity (Lukas et al., 1986; Sorbel et al., 1996; Trim et al., 2010) the stimulating effects of ethanol are not as readily seen in humans compared with rodents.

### 1.3. Adolescence

Adolescence is a crucial stage of development during which addiction becomes a prominent public health concern (c.f., Dahl and Spear, 2004; Essau, 2008; Liddle and Rowe, 2006; Monti et al., 2001; Romer and Walker, 2007; Rosner, 2013; Spear, 2010; Wagner and Waldron, 2001). Today's youth are initiating ethanol use earlier (e.g., grade school) and experiencing more ethanol-related problems before leaving high school (Bava and Tapert, 2010; Gore et al., 2011; Kandel et al., 1997; Miller et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 1998; Pitkanen et al., 2005; Quine and Stephenson, 1990; Winters, 2001). Three-quarter of high school seniors in the United States have consumed ethanol with half of them initiating drinking before the eighth grade (Johnston et al., 1999). This is alarming since early onset of ethanol use along with binge drinking are strong predictors of future ethanol dependence (Anthony and Petronis, 1995; Capaldi et al., 2013; Chou and Pickering, 1992; Grant and Dawson, 1997; Hawkins et al., 1997; Rossow and Kuntsche, 2013). Moreover, adolescent onset of ethanol use is associated with a more rapid progression to dependence, compared with individuals who initiated use as adults (Clark et al., 1998). Regarding binge drinking, a quarter of high school seniors report binge drinking, with approximately three-quarters of college students reporting binge drinking during high school (Dawson et al., 2004; Johnston et al., 1991, 1993, 2008; Kuntsche et al., 2004; Presley et al., 1994; Wechsler et al., 2000; White et al., 2006). It is estimated that greater than 1 out of 3 male college students engage in binge drinking in the United States and many of these consume at least 2 to 3 times the binge definition threshold (e.g., Wechsler et al., 2000; White et al., 2006). However, in some United Kingdom locales adolescent girls may actually engage in binge drinking more than adolescent boys (c.f., Plant and Plant, 2006). Regarding younger individuals, the seriousness of this problem is underscored by the fact that adolescents between 12 and 20 years of age drink 11 percent of all ethanol consumed in the United States, with more than 90 percent of it consumed in the form of binge drinking (NIAAA, 2012). Essentially, binge ethanol drinking has been defined as an escalation in self-administration (c.f., Covington and Miczek, 2011), achieving BACs associated with intoxication and an important step in the development of ethanol dependence (c.f., Koob, 2013; Koob et al., 2014a; Noronha et al., 2014).

**1.3.1. Binge drinking as a developmental phenomenon**—Clinical evidence indicates that binge drinking behavior is engaged by adolescents and young adults more often and to a greater magnitude than older (>24 years old) adults (c.f., Courtney and Polich, 2009; Marczynski et al., 2009; Martinic and Measham, 2008; Plant and Plant, 2006). Earlier studies reporting contrary findings may be due to changes in the definition of binge drinking over time. The fact that binge ethanol drinking occurs mostly in adolescents and young adults is due, at least in part, to the fact that younger subjects are less affected by ethanol than older individuals. Most of the literature evaluating this observation has been done in rodent models (see discussion by Spear, 2010), with some evidence for this from clinical observations as well. The most obvious clinical observation is that adolescents tend to drink substantially more ethanol per occasion than adults (NIAAA, 2012; SAMHSA, 2012) even though they can achieve similar BACs with fewer drinks (Donovan, 2009; NIAAA, 2012; SAMHSA, 2012). Regarding insensitivity to ethanol's effects, Rohsenow et al. (2012) found that hangover insensitivity was significantly correlated with intoxication insensitivity and future ethanol-related problems. Another recent study (Gilman et al., 2012) examined the effects of ethanol in heavy and light social drinkers. The study examined individual subjective and objective, the latter measured by fMRI to emotional stimuli, responses while BACs were clamped at 80 mg%. These authors reported that heavy, relative to light, drinking individuals had both reduced sensitivity to ethanol's subjective effects and reduced activation of the nucleus accumbens (Acb) and amygdala (Amyg) to emotional stimuli.

There also is evidence suggesting that young heavy drinkers, relative to young light drinkers, experience greater stimulation on the rising limb of the BAC-curve and lower sedation on the descending limb of the BAC-curve (e.g., Holdstock et al., 2000; King et al., 2002). King et al. (2011) replicated their previous findings that weekly binge drinkers experience greater stimulation and less sedation following ethanol consumption than young light drinkers. These authors also reported that greater stimulation and lower sedation predicted escalated binge drinking over the next 2 years. In turn, escalated binge drinking predicted an increased likelihood of meeting diagnostic criteria for an AUD (King et al., 2011). This parallels findings that Family History Positive (FHP) for AUD individuals experience greater stimulation on the ascending limb and less sedation on the descending limb of the BAC-curve than family history negative (FHN) for AUD controls (e.g., Brunelle et al., 2004, 2007; Newlin and Thomson, 1990, 1999; c.f., Sher, 1991; Windle and Searles, 1990).

The difficulty with evaluating whether adolescent and young adult binge drinkers experience greater reward (e.g., stimulation) and less aversion (e.g., sedation) than light drinkers or older drinkers is the role of positive outcome expectancies from drinking to intoxication, such that young binge-drinkers expect increased peer affiliation as well as feelings of euphoria and excitement (c.f., Duka et al., 1998; Marczynski et al., 2009; Martinic and Measham, 2008; Plant and Plant, 2006). Note that these are not expectancies associated with drinking in general but specifically “drinking to intoxication”. This parallels the BAC requirement (greater than 0.08 gram percent; i.e., 80 mg%) found in NIAAA's definition of binge ethanol-drinking (NIAAA, 2004). There is preclinical evidence (e.g., Bell et al., 2000, 2001) indicating that ethanol-exposure approximating these BAC levels can induce tolerance to ethanol-induced motor impairment (i.e., ataxia). As noted in the discussion on the

addiction process, escalation of intake is associated with tolerance to effects induced by ethanol which, in turn, may lead to abuse and dependence. However, as noted by (Ahmed, 2011), escalation in ethanol drinking, or the intake of substances of abuse, does not necessarily stem from the development of neuronal tolerance in humans. Although, it also should be noted that these other possible explanations for the development of tolerance in humans (Ahmed, 2011), such as social and economic factors, are not easily amenable to examination when using animal models.

#### 1.4. Polysubstance abuse

As with ethanol, initiation of drug use and abuse generally occurs during adolescence and young adulthood (Kandel and Logan, 1984). Moreover, abuse of one drug is positively associated with initiating use of another drug of abuse (Yamaguchi and Kandel, 1984). Thus, again as with ethanol, the developmental periods of adolescence and young adulthood represent the peak times for initiating and using multiple substances of abuse (c.f., Dean et al., 2014). A recent meta-analysis/literature review addressed whether respondent subclassifications of substance use could be determined from published studies on adolescent and young adults (Tomczyk et al., 2016). Twenty-three studies (~a half million subjects) met inclusion criteria. Overall, these authors reported that none to low use were the largest “latent” classes, moderate to high single substance use (e.g., ethanol) were intermediate in size, and polysubstance use had the least respondents. However, approximately 32% of the respondents, across all of the analyzed studies, endorsed use of at least 2 substances, usually ethanol and smoking (Tomczyk et al., 2016). Given the above, Connor et al. (2014) make some important points about diagnostic and research challenges as they relate to changes introduced by the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5* (DSM-5) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In particular, the DSM-5 removed the diagnostic category “Polysubstance dependence” along with the terms “Abuse” and “Dependence”. This may result in underestimating polysubstance dependence, since each drug class an individual abuses can be scaled separately on the severity index.

#### 1.5. Stages in the development of alcohol use disorders

AUDs represent a chronic, progressive, relapsing disorder that advances from experimentation to dependence (Heilig and Egli, 2006; Jupp and Lawrence, 2010; Koob, 2009; Koob and Le Moal, 2008; Koob and Volkow, 2010; Spanagel, 2009; Volkow and Li, 2005). During experimentation, the individual experiences the rewarding, euphoric and positive-reinforcing effects of ethanol consumption. Moreover, experimentation includes binge-like drinking and acute increases in motor, such as pro-social behavior, and autonomic, such as heart rate, activity which are generally perceived as euphoric and pleasant. The experimentation and binge-drinking stages are associated with positive reinforcement; which increases the probability, frequency and magnitude of subsequent drinking behavior. After chronic use, there is an increase in dysphoria (as opposed to euphoria), such as anxiety, during ethanol withdrawal. These dysphoric effects can be physiological in nature (e.g., hangover, hyperthermia, tachycardia, etc.) or associated with negative behavioral sequelae, such as getting arrested. With this increase in dysphoria, the individual often seeks to relieve this state by relapsing to ethanol drinking. Essentially, during the early stages of AUDs positive reinforcement predominates, whereas during later

stages of AUDs negative reinforcement tends to predominate (Koob et al., 2014a, 2014b; Koob and Le Moal, 2006, 2008).

Addiction-related positive- vs negative-reinforcement can also be characterized in terms of impulsive vs compulsive ethanol drinking (Garbusow et al., 2014; Hagele et al., 2014; Koob et al., 2014a, 2014b; Koob and Le Moal, 2006, 2008; Spanagel, 2009). Within these constructs, impulsive drinking is associated with binge drinking and intoxication, during which an individual putatively has some volitional control, and subsequently there is the maintenance of ethanol drinking (Gray and MacKillop, 2014; Hamilton et al., 2014; but see Irimia et al., 2013). Chronic usage leads to the development of tolerance to ethanol's effects (Kippin, 2014). Following the development of tolerance there is the development of dependence as indicated by withdrawal signs once ethanol use is terminated and chronic relapsing to mitigate associated dysphoria (Edwards et al., 2015). This negative reinforcement to mitigate physical and behavioral withdrawal leads in turn to compulsive/habitual drinking (Koob, 2014; Potgieter et al., 1999). It is during this transition from impulsive to compulsive drinking that the individual appears to “lose control” of their drinking. This, in turn, leads to a preoccupation with, and an anticipation of, future ethanol consumption during periods of acute and chronic ethanol withdrawal (Burnett et al., 2016; Koob et al., 2014a, 2014b; Koob and Le Moal, 2006, 2008). Nevertheless, it should be noted that AUDs do not necessarily progress in a linear fashion, such that the frequency and/or duration a person experiences these cycles of drinking, abstaining, seeking, and relapsing can differ substantially across individuals (e.g., Barker and Taylor, 2014; Mackenzie et al., 2014; Sartor et al., 2014; Van Rizen and Dishion, 2014).

### 1.6. Genetics of alcohol use disorders

The well-documented familial incidence of alcoholism as well as findings from twin and adoption studies indicate that ethanol dependence is a highly heritable disease (Cloninger, 1987; Cotton, 1979; Schuckit, 1986). For instance, FHP individuals are at a 3–7 fold increased risk to develop alcoholism compared with FHN controls (Reich et al., 1998). Furthermore, this genetic proposal has been micro-dissected by multiple gene studies [for example the Collaborative Study On the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA), the Study of Addiction: Genes and Environment (SAGE) and the European research project on risk taking behavior in teenagers (IMAGEN)] examining the association between diagnostic criteria for ethanol dependence, or related phenotypes, and the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in ethanol-dependent individuals (Agrawal et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2012; Dick, 2013; Edenberg, 2012; Edenberg and Foroud, 2013; Enoch, 2013; Kapoor et al., 2013; Levey et al., 2014; MacKillop and Acker, 2013; Ray and Heilig, 2013; Rietschel and Treutlein, 2013; Wall et al., 2013; Wong and Schumann, 2008; Yan et al., 2014).

### 1.7. Summary of human characteristics for animal model development

This first section provided an overview of characteristics observed in individuals suffering from AUDs and the second section of this paper will discuss how well selectively bred rats can display these same characteristics. It is clear that AUDs continue to be a major public health concern and despite some inroads made into identifying molecular targets for the treatment of ethanol dependence considerable more research is needed. Some of the key

characteristics often displayed by individuals with AUDs include, an early onset of drinking, engaging in binge-like drinking, reduced sensitivity to the aversive and perhaps greater sensitivity to the stimulating effects of ethanol, the development of tolerance to ethanol's effects, anhedonia associated with ethanol withdrawal, increased stress reactivity, greater sweet-liking, pursuance of novelty-seeking, certain electrophysiological measures, and key gene and/or protein differences from controls. It is believed that an animal model of AUD should display many of these characteristics and as the number of characteristics observed increases so too does the face validity of the animal model.

## 2. Background from an animal model perspective

### 2.1. Pros and cons of animal model research

While drug development relies heavily on in vitro assays early in the process, subsequent studies in vivo are required in the pathway to FDA regulation and clinical use (Blass, 2015). In vivo assays are required to evaluate a compound in a highly complex biological system as opposed to in vitro assays, which are constrained by their limited macromolecular environment (Blass, 2015). Essentially, the outcome measures of an in vivo assay are greater than the sum of its multiple constituent measures or presumable endpoints initially measured using in vitro assays. The role of animals in research on human diseases continues to be debated (e.g., Cattaneo et al., 2015; Doke and Dhawale, 2015; Fiester, 2008; Gupta, 2014; Helms et al., 2015; Lynch et al., 2010). Regarding this debate, a major premise for arguments against animal research is the claim that no animal model recapitulates the entire disease state of humans, especially as it relates to psychiatric disorders (e.g., Hayes and Delgado, 2006; but see Humby and Wilkinson, 2006 for a discussion on examining endophenotypes/intermediate phenotypes as a compromise). The polygenic nature of mental health disorders (e.g., Nurnberger and Berrettini, 2012) indicates that often times psychiatric genetics and epidemiology must use endophenotypes to parse the genetics associated with symptomology of these disorders (Chen et al., 2012; MacKillop and Munafo, 2013). Thus, the term intermediate phenotype, instead of endophenotype, is often used to convey that an observed genetic, behavioral or physiological characteristic bridges the gap between the disease process and diagnostic criteria. An example is prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the acoustic startle response (ASR) and schizophrenia. Rudimentary screening for the disorder doesn't include testing for altered PPI, yet preclinical PPI assays have strong predictive validity for detecting the efficacy of antipsychotics. These endophenotypes and biomarkers can be identified by findings from next generation RNA and/or DNA sequencing (Barrera and Sebat, 2016; Gupta and Gupta, 2014), pharmacogenomics (Perlis, 2016), gene networks (Parikshak and Geschwind, 2016), and genetic epidemiology (Merikangas and Merikangas, 2016). Two examples are the mu-opioid receptor (MOR) variant, OPRM1, and the long and short variants of the serotonin transporter (SERT) (Berrettini, 2013; Johnson, 2004, 2010; Johnson et al., 2003). More recent endophenotype identification has used advanced imaging techniques (Greicius, 2016; c.f., Self and Staley, 2010; Zahr and Peterson, 2016) or a combination of the above (e.g., Muller et al., 2010). Thus, with an increased focus on precision medicine and progress in identifying endophenotypes animal models, especially those used to determine treatment efficacy, need to incorporate biomarkers associated with

AUDs and their development (e.g., Heilig and Leggio, 2016; Kerwin and Arranz, 2002; Miczek, 2008; Millan, 2008; Winsky et al., 2008; Wong et al., 2010).

## 2.2. Validity, reliability and reproducibility

By displaying characteristics observed in the clinical setting, animal models are considered to have significant validity (e.g., Egli et al., 2016; Heilig and Egli, 2006; Litten et al., 2012). In basic terms, validity refers to the ability of an experimental method or measurement to accurately and precisely portray the construct, being examined, under “real-world” conditions. The three primary constructs of validity pertaining to medications discovery or screening are internal, external, and predictive validity. A test or method is considered to have *internal validity* if the causal inferences that Factor A influences Factor B observed in the test or method are appropriate. This generally requires (1) Factor A preceding Factor B, (2) there is a significant association between Factor A and Factor B, and (3) the results obtained are not due to confounding factors. A number of confounding factors interfere with internal validity including variable selection, repeated testing, instrumentation (i.e., test equipment), sample selection bias, statistical regression to the mean, attrition of subjects, etc. *External validity* is the generalizability of findings from a test or method across situations and/or across subjects/samples, which requires efforts to limit multiple types of selection bias. Thus, replication is the best confirmation of external validity with meta-analytic techniques serving a similar purpose. *Predictive validity*, as it relates to animal models for drug discovery and screening, refers to the ability of a method or test (i.e., animal model) to correctly identify medications that interfere with the development and/or expression of AUDs.

It is important to recognize that, when pursuing the identification of medications to treat mental health disorders, deficits in external or face validity do not necessarily negate predictive validity. For instance, the Porsolt forced swim test and PPI of ASR have high predictive validity for medications to treat depression and schizophrenia, yet have poor face validity for these disorders. Finally, *reliability* refers to consistency of findings across experiments, such that the relevance of a model is determined by *experimental reliability* and *extrapolation reliability* (e.g., Rohra and Qazi, 2008). The former refers, essentially, to test-retest reliability such that the model will yield similar results across multiple tests, while controlling for within-subject effects. The latter refers to the ability of an animal model to yield results similar to those found in the clinical population. However, experimental and extrapolation reliability are based implicitly on the presence of sound validity. Thus, if a model has high reliability but low validity then the model will have minimal relevance.

## 2.3. Animal models

Animal models attempt to parallel the human condition and many of these models have provided important information about mediating factors for medical and psychiatric disorders (c.f., Adan and Kaye, 2011; Buccafusco, 2001; Conn, 2008; Griffin, 2002; Kalueff, 2006; Kobeissy, 2012; McArthur and Borsini, 2008a,b,c; McKinney, 1988, 2001; Pankevich et al., 2013; Siegel, 2005; Verma and Singh, 2014; Warnick and Kalueff, 2010), including dual-diagnosis (Edwards and Koob, 2012). Particularly germane to the present topic, animal models have led to important findings on neural substrates mediating addiction



to multiple substances of abuse (c.f., Bell and Rahman, 2016; De Biasi, 2015; Dwoskin, 2014; Ekhtiari and Paulus, 2016a, 2016b; Frascella et al., 2011; Heidbreder, 2008; Koob et al., 2014a; McArthur and Borsini, 2008c; Nader, 2016; Olmstead, 2011) and ethanol in particular (Bell et al., 2005, 2006b, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2016; Ciccocioppo, 2013; Crabbe et al., 2013; Knapp and Breese, 2012; Maldonado-Devincci et al., 2012; McBride and Li, 1998; McBride et al., 2014b; Ramsden, 2015; Ryabinin, 2012). As indicated above, advanced neuroimaging techniques including resting state functional connectivity are being used to develop endophenotypes for medications development targeting AUDs (e.g., Brown et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2015; Ernst et al., 2015; Fedota and Stein, 2015; Gowin et al., 2015; Gullo et al., 2011; Moeller et al., 2016; Muller-Oehring et al., 2015a, 2015b; Schuckit et al., 2016; Squeglia et al., 2014). In general, an animal model has the advantage of allowing the experimenter to control factors such as the animal's genetic background, environment, and drug exposure. In addition, an animal model allows for the examination of neurobehavioral, neurochemical and neurophysiological correlates associated with the behavioral, physiological and/or neurological state that is modeled. These correlates in turn facilitate the development of pharmacological and/or behavioral treatments for the disorder in question.

#### 2.4. Criteria for an animal model of AUD

There have been reservations as to whether a valid animal model of AUD could be developed (Cicero, 1979; Dole, 1986). These concerns stemmed from the fact that, in general, animals lower on the evolutionary scale, including rodents, do not readily consume sufficient amounts of ethanol to achieve pharmacologically relevant blood alcohol concentrations (BACs). In order to get a rodent to consume sufficient amounts of ethanol, experimental manipulations are required. These experimental/environmental manipulations include fluid deprivation (Sandi et al., 1990), schedule-induced polydipsia (Ford, 2014; Meisch, 1975, 2001), scheduled availability (Holloway et al., 1984) including intermittent every-other-day access (Carnicella et al., 2014), sucrose-fading (Samson, 1986), and/or forced induction of dependence (Deutsch and Eisner, 1977); which can be achieved intragastrically (Crews, 2008; French, 2001), intraperitoneally (Pascual et al., 2009, 2014), by ethanol-vapor exposure (Roberts et al., 2000; Vendruscolo and Roberts, 2014), chronic drinking of a liquid ethanol diet (Brown et al., 2004; Lieber and DeCarli, 1989), or long-term drinking with water and food concurrently available (Vengeliene et al., 2009). Most of these methods include an integral stress factor, which does have some face validity with the clinical condition (Al' Absi, 2007).

Despite the above reservations, certain criteria for an animal model of AUD have been put forth (Cicero, 1979; Dole, 1986; Lester and Freed, 1973). Briefly, these criteria include 1) the animal should orally self-administer ethanol, 2) the amount of ethanol consumed should result in pharmacologically relevant BACs, 3) ethanol should be consumed for its post-ingestive pharmacological effects, and not strictly for its caloric value or taste, 4) ethanol should be positively reinforcing, such that animals will work for access to ethanol, 5) chronic ethanol consumption should lead to the expression of metabolic and/or functional tolerance, and 6) chronic consumption of ethanol leads to dependence, as indicated by withdrawal symptoms after access to ethanol is terminated. Other criteria have been posited as well. A 7th proposed criterion is the animals should express relapse-like behavior, which

manifests as a loss-of-control (McBride and Li, 1998; Rodd et al., 2004b). Additional criteria might be the ability to display binge-like drinking, as well as the expression of excessive ethanol consumption during the juvenile, adolescent and emerging adult stages of development (e.g., Bell et al., 2013, 2014). Finally, with a substantial minority of alcoholics engaging in polysubstance use and abuse, perhaps it is time to include this behavior in criteria for an animal model of AUD (e.g., Bell et al., 2016) as well.

## 2.5. Adolescence and emerging adulthood in the rat model

Ethanol use and abuse during adolescence is relatively common around the world (World Health Organization, 2011). Undoubtedly, some of the reasons may be associated with “rites of passage” such as graduating high school, entering college, joining the military etc. All of these institutions (high school, college, military) often give tacit support for the use and abuse of ethanol. There also is substantial evidence that adolescent mammals have decreased sensitivity to ethanol’s perceived negative (e.g., ataxia) effects and increased sensitivity to its perceived positive effects (e.g., behavioral and autonomic activation) (Spear, 2010, 2013, 2014). Therefore, it is not surprising that adolescent rodents often consume significantly more ethanol than their adult counterparts (Bell et al., 2006c, 2011, 2013, 2014; Dhaher et al., 2012a; Spear, 2014). Research over the years has led to hypothesized parallel ages between humans and rats. These putative time periods (Table 1 adapted from Bell et al., 2013, 2014) have been based on neurobiological, sexual, foraging, and social characteristics that have been evolutionarily conserved across species (e.g., Spear, 2000, 2010). Table 1 includes relative rat body weights which are the averages of Sprague-Dawley, Wistar, and Long-Evans Hooded rats at their respective ages. Body weights are included because many studies do not list the age of the subjects but do provide body weights. There is still substantial discussion on what constitutes an adolescent or adult rat. For example, Spear (2015) has noted significant differences in the long-term effects of ethanol following early- vs late-adolescent exposure. This parsing of the adolescent window results in some overlap with the juvenile and emerging adulthood stages of development, at least as depicted in Table 1. Despite this ongoing debate, it is clear that rat models of adolescent substance use and abuse have revealed important information on the behavioral, neurobiological, and genetic consequences of ethanol and/or drug exposure (Adriani and Laviola, 2004; Andersen, 2003; Bell et al., 2013, 2014, 2016; Chambers et al., 2003; Smith, 2003; Spear, 2000, 2010, 2014, 2015; Spear and Varlinskaya, 2006; Witt, 1994, 2010).

## 2.6. Binge-drinking in rat models

The primary binge-like drinking criteria that can be modeled in the rat are the requirements of (a) BACs greater than 80 mg% and (b) clear signs of intoxication, usually in the form of locomotor impairment. Our laboratory has used three primary behavioral models of binge-like drinking. These are (a) the alcohol deprivation effect (ADE), (b) episodic access, and (c) drinking-in-the-dark—multiple-scheduled-access (DID-MSA) procedures. The ADE results in both of these parameters being met. The ADE is basically the phenomenon that, after chronic access to ethanol usually 24 h/day, when ethanol access is terminated and the subjects are re-exposed to ethanol access they tend to increase their ethanol intake relative to levels observed before the deprivation interval. However, because the ADE requires extended periods of deprivation before the animal is re-exposed to ethanol access, it

probably models relapse-like behavior (Martin-Fardon and Weiss, 2013; Rodd et al., 2004b; Spanagel and Holter, 1999) to a greater extent than binge-like drinking. The episodic access procedure is similar to the ADE but incorporates shorter periods of ethanol access and forced abstinence. With the episodic access procedure, rats are given free-choice access to ethanol for an initial 8 days followed by cycles of 4 days of deprivation from and 4 days of re-exposure to ethanol access. Our laboratory has examined the effects of episodic access and found that whereas both high alcohol-drinking 1 and 2, HAD1 and HAD2 replicate lines, rats displayed an escalation of intake (an ADE), alcohol-preferring (P) rats did not (Bell et al., 2008a). Moreover, this did not appear to be a sex-dependent effect. This episodic protocol has been modified to examine changes in glutamatergic-associated protein levels in the extended Amyg and Acb of adult P rats (Obara et al., 2009). Overall, these authors reported that expression levels of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (GRIN) subunits and Homer proteins were differentially affected by episodic vs continuous access and whether tissue was harvested after a 24 h vs 4-week deprivation period.

The most recent model of binge-like drinking used by our laboratory is the DID-MSA procedure (e.g., Bell et al., 2006b, 2006c, 2009, 2011; McBride et al., 2010). This procedure parallels the DID procedure used in mice (e.g., Boehm et al., 2008; Crabbe et al., 2009; Lyons et al., 2008; Moore and Boehm, 2009; Navarro et al., 2009; Rhodes et al., 2005). However, initial access to ethanol during the dark-cycle must occur immediately upon lights out to maximize intake in rats, whereas initial access for mice must occur after three or four into the dark cycle (Bell et al., 2006c; Rhodes et al., 2005; but see Colombo et al., 2014). As with all of the drinking protocols used by our laboratory, water and food are freely available ad libitum. The rats experience between two and four 1 h access periods across the 12 h dark cycle with each access period separated by two or more hours. The rats experience a two day deprivation period each weekend. Selectively bred rats experiencing the DID-MSA procedure readily display BACs in excess of 80 mg%, usually in excess of 100 mg%, with clear signs of motor impairment (e.g., Bell et al., 2011). When this procedure was adapted for use in operant chambers, P rats displayed BACs in excess of 250 mg% (McBride et al., 2010). Finally, it should be noted that limited access scheduling during the rats' active-period (i.e., dark-cycle) has been a procedure used for many years and itself often results in BACs in excess of 80 mg% (See Bell et al., 2014 for a discussion of scheduled ethanol access procedures across 20 + rat lines/strains).

### 3. Selective breeding

Bi-directional selective breeding is a powerful genetic tool that has been employed to study the genetics of many ethanol-associated phenotypes (Crabbe, 2010; Crabbe et al., 2010). Compared to pure association studies such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and studies using recombinant inbred lines (RILs) panels, selective breeding from a heterogeneous outbred stock can make low frequency/rare alleles more common. Selective breeding involves establishing a distribution of scores for the phenotype of interest. Then, subjects are selected from the extremes of this distribution. Subjects from the same extreme are mated together and this cycle of selection and breeding occurs over multiple generations. This results in the high and low off-spring displaying phenotypic extremes that far exceed the range found in the original foundation stock. Heuristically, as relevant genes are

segregated correlated traits of the primary selected phenotype (presumably due to pleiotropic actions of genes: Crabbe et al., 1990) can be identified and studied.

### 3.1. Selectively bred high ethanol-consuming rat lines

There are primarily seven bi-directionally selected bred high ethanol-consuming rat lines used globally. The alcohol-preferring AA and alcohol-avoiding [ALKO Non-Alcohol-Accepting (ANA)] rats were developed from a Wistar-Sprague-Dawley cross foundation stock in Helsinki, Finland (Eriksson, 1968). The lines were revitalized with Brown-Norway and Lewis rat lines in the late 1980's (Sommer et al., 2006). The high alcohol-drinking HAD and low alcohol-drinking LAD lines of rats were developed from N/NIH heterogeneous stock rats at Indiana University School of Medicine in Indianapolis, Indiana, USA (Li et al., 1987). The N/NIH line of rats was derived from an eight inbred strain cross (ACI, BN, BUF, F344, M520, MR, WKY and WN), with each strain displaying different phenotypes including ethanol intake, at the National Institutes of Health (Hansen and Spuhler, 1984). Two separate colonies were used to breed HAD and LAD lines of rats, such that replicate (HAD1 vs. LAD1 and HAD2 vs. LAD2) lines are available. The alcohol-preferring, P, and alcohol-nonpreferring, NP, rat lines were developed from closed-colony Wistar foundation stock at the Walter Reed Army Hospital and transferred to the Indiana University School of Medicine in Indianapolis, Indiana, USA (Lumeng et al., 1977). The Sardinian alcohol-preferring, sP, and alcohol-nonpreferring, sNP, rats were developed from a Wistar foundation stock at the University of Cagliari, Italy (Colombo et al., 2006). The alcohol-preferring UChB and alcohol-nonpreferring [University of Chile A (UChA)] lines of rats were developed from a Wistar foundation stock at the University of Chile, Santiago, Chile (Mardones and Segovia-Riquelme, 1983; Quintanilla et al., 2013). The Marchigian sP (msP) line does not have a non-preferring counterpart, although an outbred Wistar is often used as a control, and was derived from the sP line from the University of Cagliari, Italy (Ciccocioppo et al., 2006). The Warsaw High Preferring (WHP) and Warsaw Low Preferring (WLP) rats were developed from a Wistar foundation stock at the Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warszawa, Poland (Bisaga and Kostowski, 1993; Dyr et al., 1999). All of the above lines were selected for 24 h ethanol intake. A selective breeding program for limited access ethanol intake has also been undertaken yielding the High vs Low Addiction Research Foundation (HARF vs LARF) rat lines (e.g., Le et al., 2001a).

The 24 h selective breeding programs had two primary selection criteria. First, the high ethanol-consuming rat lines needed to drink at least 5 grams (g) of ethanol/kilogram (kg) bodyweight/day. Five g/kg/day, in a clinical sense, is equivalent to a 165 pound man consuming approximately a fifth of 90-proof whiskey per day. The second criterion is that the animals had to prefer 10% ethanol over water by at least a 2:1 ratio. As seen in Table 2, all seven high ethanol-consuming rat lines meet the selection criteria and achieve intoxicating BAC levels after free-choice ethanol drinking. Six of the rat lines display an ADE indicating relapse behavior. Six of the rat lines will operantly self-administer ethanol indicating these rat lines find ethanol reinforcing. In addition, six of the lines display behavioral and/or physiological measures (i.e., generally activation or approach behavior) of ethanol reward. Five of the rat lines display tolerance to ethanol-associated effects. In addition, the high drinking lines generally develop quicker, or greater, tolerance to ethanol-

associated effects than their low drinking counterparts. Only a few of the rat lines have demonstrated excessive ethanol-intake during adolescence, nicotine and/or cocaine self-administration. Importantly, all seven of the rat lines have published gene differences relative to their low drinking counterparts, or Wistar controls in the case of msP rats.

### 3.2. Other bi-directionally selectively bred rat lines

Other rat lines have undergone selective breeding for endophenotypes associated with AUDs, but were not selected for the high ethanol preference or intake phenotypes. The High Alcohol vs Low Sensitivity (HAS vs LAS) rat lines were selected for ethanol-induced sedation and show alterations in ethanol-induced conditioned taste aversion and nicotine-induced locomotor activity (e.g., de Fiebre et al., 2002; Kulkosky et al., 1995). The Alcohol Tolerant (AT) and Alcohol Non-Tolerant (ANT) rats were selected for sensitivity to ethanol-induced motor impairment and the development of tolerance to this effect, with non-tolerance being mediated by a mutation of the GABRA-alpha 6 subunit (Wong et al., 1996). The High Saccharin Consumption (HiS) and Low Saccharin Consumption (LoS) Rats were selected for different propensities to consume a sweet, saccharin solution with the former consuming significantly more ethanol than the latter (c.f., Carroll et al., 2008). The Taste Aversion Prone (TAP) and Taste Aversion Resistant (TAR) rats were bidirectionally selected for cyclophosphamide conditioned taste aversion (CTA) to a saccharin solution, with the latter showing lower ethanol-induced CTA and greater ethanol intake than the former (e.g., Elkins et al., 1992; Orr et al., 2004). The Swim Test Susceptible (SUS) and Swim Test Resistant (RES) rats were bidirectionally selected for decreased swimming (SUS) activity when the test was preceded by a stressor, with the latter showing greater ethanol intake than the former (e.g., Weiss et al., 2008).

## 4. Behavioral models for screening treatment compounds and/or targets

### 4.1. The home-cage and operant environments

Home-cage drinking is relatively self-explanatory, such that the rat has access to ethanol in its home-cage environment. There are pros and cons to this test environment and there continues to be a debate as to its face validity with the clinical condition. However, home-cage drinking is positively associated with both the reinforcing and rewarding aspects of ethanol (e.g., Green and Grahame, 2008). On the other hand, operant self-administration requires removing the rat from its home-cage and transporting them to an operant test chamber, which has its own inherent cues, usually in an adjacent room. It is the role of these cues that make operant testing so attractive for compound testing. However, operant testing is resource-intensive with greater costs in time, materials, and technicians compared with home-cage testing. Many reviews have been written on operant procedures (June and Gilpin, 2010; Lopez and Becker, 2014; Ostroumov et al., 2015; O'Tousa and Grahame, 2014; Rodd et al., 2004b; Samson and Czachowski, 2003; Vendruscolo and Roberts, 2014; Weiss, 2011), so only the basics will be covered here. The removal of the animal from their home-cage environment, transport to a test room, and placing the animal in the operant chamber results in many opportunities for the animal to form associations between environmental stimuli and learning the reinforcement value of ethanol. Reinforcement refers to the ability of a stimulus to increase the probability of a response occurring in the future, when the stimulus

and response have been successfully associated with each other. Positive reinforcement refers to an increased probability of a response, in the presence of a stimulus, in order to receive a “positive” stimulus or reinforcer. Note: that reinforcer is more appropriate than reward because reward is not, in general, dependent upon a trained or conditioned response. Negative reinforcement refers to an increased probability of a response, in the presence of a stimulus, in order to avoid a negative/noxious stimulus. Operant self-administration is conducted in operant chambers, sometimes called Skinner boxes, where a subject is placed in the chamber and allowed to bar press on a lever in order to receive ethanol (the reinforcer). Cues such as lights or sounds, in the chamber, are programmed to alert the animal to different phases of an experiment, such as an anticipation phase before the bar press levers are extended into the chamber.

In general, there are two types of schedules-of-reinforcement: ratio which controls the number of responses (usually bar presses) required for reinforcement and interval which controls the period of time at which point the reinforcement is presented following the required response. Fixed-ratio (FR) reinforcement refers to a subject receiving reinforcement after a set number of bar presses. Variable-ratio (VR) reinforcement refers to a subject receiving reinforcement after a random number of responses, with the distribution of these numbers of responses covering a range centered on an average number (i.e., in general this average would be associated with the FR requirement). For instance, an FR-1 schedule would be used to initiate training where the subject receives reinforcement after each bar press. This is also called continuous reinforcement. Similarly, an FR-3 schedule would result in the subject receiving reinforcement after each set of 3 bar presses. Finally, most experimenters include a time-out period following each reinforcement where responses are not counted towards the next reinforcement until the time-out period is over. The time-out is used to control for purely stereotypical behavior (e.g., self-administration of amphetamine which results in stereotypic motor responses that are not explicitly tied to the drug's reinforcement value). Similar to ethanol drinking in the home cage, outbred rats, those not selectively bred for high drinking, require different types of training or shaping regimens in order for the animal to acquire self-administration behavior. This is primarily for the oral route of administration. However, in selectively bred high ethanol-consuming rats this training is minimal or not needed at all indicating these lines find ethanol reinforcing and rewarding (see Table 2).

#### 4.2. Modeling the stages of the addiction cycle

In general, an ethanol dependent individual develops addiction to ethanol through multiple stages, progressing from impulsive drinking to compulsive drinking (Feltenstein and See, 2013; Koob, 2013; Koob et al., 2014a; Little et al., 2008; Noronha et al., 2014; Olmstead, 2011; Pierce and Kenny, 2013; Scofield et al., 2016; Vanderschuren and Ahmed, 2013). These stages include acquisition (Carroll and Meisch, 2011), escalation (Ahmed, 2011), binge-like behavior (Covington and Miczek, 2011; Stephens et al., 2013), habit formation and compulsion (Belin et al., 2011; Everitt et al., 2010), withdrawal (Barr et al., 2011; Koob, 2008; Koob and LeMoal, 2010), relapse (Crombag et al., 2010; Erb and Placenza, 2011; Martin-Fardon and Weiss, 2013; Meyerhoff et al., 2013; Stewart, 2010), craving (Grimm,

2011), as well as ethanol seeking and a pre-occupation with future use (Lasseter et al., 2010).

### 4.3. Acquisition of alcohol use disorders

Delaying the onset of ethanol abuse during adolescence and/or emerging adulthood may reduce the risk of developing AUDs later in life. Therefore, treating an individual while they are still engaging in impulsive drinking and before compulsive drinking has been established may prevent the development of ethanol dependence. The closest selectively bred animal model of this would be testing the efficacy of a compound to disrupt acquisition of ethanol intake. This is done by administering the compound concurrently with initial ethanol access, or by pretreating the animal before initial ethanol access. Therefore, disrupting the acquisition of ethanol abuse in today's youth is an important consideration. This would be prophylactic in nature similar to fortifying flour with thiamine to prevent deficiencies and subsequent brain damage and probably restricted to "captive" samples such as those in chemical dependency treatment. Pharmacological studies evaluating the acquisition of ethanol intake have been conducted under both home-cage drinking and operant self-administration conditions. As seen in Table 3, roles for the adrenergic (Froehlich et al., 2013a,b), cannabinoid (Gessa et al., 2005; Serra et al., 2001), GABAergic (GABRB: Colombo et al., 2002a; Orrù et al., 2005), opioid (Dhafer et al., 2012b; Sable et al., 2006), and serotonergic (Rodd et al., 2010; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a) systems have been implicated in the acquisition of ethanol intake. Of the selectively bred rat lines discussed here, only the P and sP rat lines have been used to examine acquisition of ethanol intake. However, only naltrexone has been tested in both P and sP rats. Unfortunately, all of these treatments had a modest effect on ethanol intake and intake levels increased to control levels after cessation of treatment.

### 4.4. Binge-like drinking

The number of reports documenting pharmacological disruption of binge-like drinking is limited. As discussed above, binge-like drinking is associated with repeated sessions of intoxicated drinking per day (e.g., Bell et al., 2011). Given this, repeated testing sessions per day precludes controlling for carryover effects. However, most published binge-drinking studies tested the compound either acutely (i.e., once or twice) or chronically on a once-a-day basis. Examples of neurotransmitter systems mediating binge-like intake include the cholinergic (Katner et al., 1997), dopaminergic (Ingman et al., 2006), GABAergic (GABRA: Liu et al., 2011), noradrenergic (Warnock et al., 2012), and serotonergic (Ingman et al., 2006) systems (Table 4). Of the selectively bred rat lines discussed here, only the AA and P rat lines have been used to examine binge-like drinking, with no compounds being tested in both lines. Unfortunately, since BACs in general were not reported it is difficult to determine if the ethanol intake levels truly met the definition for binge drinking (i.e., >80 mg%).

### 4.5. Maintenance of ethanol drinking

Pharmacological studies examining the maintenance of ethanol drinking have been the test of choice in the ethanol research field. Usually, the assumption is that the maintenance of ethanol intake reflects habitual or compulsive use. In fact, habitual or compulsive use models have been posited as preclinical models for medications testing (Carnicella et al., 2014;

O'Tousa and Grahame, 2014). Similar to acquisition, studies on maintenance have been performed under both home-cage drinking and operant self-administration conditions. Free-choice access refers to tests during which the animal can choose between ethanol, usually water and food. Sometimes, multiple choices of ethanol solutions are given, which tends to increase the overall volume of intake (Bell et al., 2003, 2004; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2001). The home-cage environment is more amenable to this than the operant chamber. For instance, food is very rarely available in the operant chamber although this could be a control over prandial-associated intake. When assessing the maintenance of ethanol drinking the investigator administers the compound during ongoing drinking. Usually this is done under limited access conditions. The compound is administered and then after a set period of time, usually associated with absorption and the compound's transit of the blood-brain-barrier (BBB), the subject is given access to ethanol for a discrete period-of-time. Limited access is used to assess the acute effects of the compound, especially if tested across days. Although when conducting a study under 24 h access conditions, ethanol intake can be recorded post-treatment at different time-points during the day. This allows the experimenter to measure both the acute (e.g., first 1 h or 4 h post-administration) and more chronic effects of the compound. A benefit of 24 h access tests is the ability to detect the effects of a compound relative to its temporal bioavailability (e.g., absorption, transit across the BBB, and metabolism).

An interpretative difficulty of 24 h access testing is the inability to disentangle the interactional post-acute compound effects from continuous ethanol intake effects, although limited access tests also have this problem but to a lesser degree. Major concurrent measures would include body weight as well as food and water intake to detect secondary effects. Examples of neurotransmitters modulating the maintenance of ethanol intake include the adrenergic (alpha: Froehlich et al., 2013a), cannabinoid (Dyr et al., 2008; Gessa et al., 2005; Hansson et al., 2007), cholinergic (Bell et al., 2009a; Sotomayor-Zarate et al., 2013), dopaminergic (Dyr et al., 1993; Thanos et al., 2005), GABAergic (GABRA: Agabio et al., 1998; GABRA-BDZ complex: June et al., 1998b; McKay et al., 2004; GABRB: Maccioni et al., 2012; Quintanilla et al., 2008), glutamatergic (Bilbeny et al., 2005; Cowen et al., 2005b; Sari et al., 2013a), histaminergic (Lintunen et al., 2001), opioid (pan-opioid: Hyttiä and Sinclair, 1993; June et al., 1998d; MOR: Honkanen et al., 1996; Krishnan-Sarin et al., 1998; DOR: Hyttiä and Kiianmaa, 2001; sigma: Sabino et al., 2009a), and serotonergic (Long et al., 1996; Overstreet et al., 1997; Panocka et al., 1995b; West et al., 2011) systems (Table 5). Overall, the neurotransmitter systems most often tested across the lines have been the (a) cannabinoid system in six of the selectively bred rat lines, (b) GABAergic system in five of the selectively bred lines as well as Sprague-Dawley and Long-Evans Hooded outbred lines, and (c) opioid system in six of the selectively bred rat lines as well as Sprague-Dawley and Wistar outbred lines. Across the rat lines, the CB1R antagonist, SR-141716, has been tested in six of the selectively bred rat lines as well as Wistar rats with consistent reductions in ethanol intake. Across rat lines, naloxone/naltrexone has been tested in, and consistently reduced ethanol intake by, five of the selectively bred rat lines as well as Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats.



#### 4.6. Relapse behavior

Ethanol abuse and dependence are considered chronic relapsing disorders, such that 60–80 percent of abstinent alcoholics will relapse during their lifetime (Barrick and Connors, 2002; Chiauuzzi, 1991; Jaffe, 2002; Weiss, 2011). Thus, an animal model of AUD ought to demonstrate this feature of the clinical picture as well (McBride and Li, 1998). Although a number of criteria for relapse have been put forth (Barrick and Connors, 2002; Chiauuzzi, 1991; Jaffe, 2002; Weiss, 2011), the primary criterion holds that a return to levels of ethanol consumption equal to or greater than that observed prior to abstinence constitutes a relapse. A common model of AUD relapse is the alcohol deprivation effect (ADE). The ADE is a temporary increase in ethanol intake and/or preference over water upon re-exposure to ethanol access compared with levels observed prior to ethanol withdrawal (Brown et al., 1998; Burish et al., 1981; Heyser et al., 1997, 2003; Kornet et al., 1990; McKinzie et al., 1998; Mello and Mendelson, 1972; Rodd et al., 2003; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a, 2001; Sinclair, 1971; Sinclair and Li, 1989; Sinclair and Senter, 1967; Sinclair et al., 1973; Wolffgramm and Heyne, 1995). Thus, by definition the ADE usually reflects an escalation of intake. Moreover, the ADE is not simply an effect of withdrawal, because it can be observed before an animal becomes physically dependent upon ethanol (Bell et al., 2008a; McKinzie et al., 1998; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a, 2001; Sinclair and Senter, 1967) or after overt withdrawal signs have passed (Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a; Rodd et al., 2003). While most studies have relied upon a single period of abstinence, this does not parallel the clinical condition because most individuals seeking treatment have experienced multiple cycles of abstinence and relapse. Finally, as seen in Table 2, different selectively bred rat lines display different ADE profiles (e.g., time-dependent) under particular conditions. Given the multiple genes, each contributing a relatively small effect-size, mediating the genetic risk for developing AUD; it is not surprising that there are different drinking, including relapse, profiles among the selected lines (Table 2). Examples of neurotransmitters and neuromodulators modulating relapse to ethanol intake include the adrenergic (alpha: Froehlich et al., 2013a), cannabinoid (Dyr et al., 2008; Gessa et al., 2005; Hansson et al., 2007), cholinergic (Bell et al., 2009a; Sotomayor-Zarate et al., 2013), dopaminergic (Dyr et al., 1993; Thanos et al., 2005), GABAergic (GABRA: Agabio et al., 1998; GABRA-BDZ complex: June et al., 1998b; McKay et al., 2004; GABRB: Maccioni et al., 2012; Quintanilla et al., 2008), glutamatergic (Bilbeny et al., 2005; Cowen et al., 2005b; Sari et al., 2013a), histaminergic (Lintunen et al., 2001), opioid (pan-opioid: Hyytiä and Sinclair, 1993; June et al., 1998d; MOR: Honkanen et al., 1996; Krishnan-Sarin et al., 1998; DOR: Hyytiä and Kiianmaa, 2001; Sigma: Sabino et al., 2009a), and serotonergic (Long et al., 1996; Overstreet et al., 1997; Panocka et al., 1995b; West et al., 2011) systems (Table 6). Unfortunately, only the P, HAD1, HAD2, and sP rat lines have been consistently used to assess compound efficacy in disrupting relapse-like behavior. Moreover, no single compound has been tested across three or more selectively bred rat lines. Thus, more research is needed to address the validity of findings across selectively bred rat lines and/or mouse lines.

#### 4.7. Ethanol-seeking (craving) behavior

For the present discussion, craving and ethanol-seeking will be considered similar constructs on a behavioral continuum from a more visceral response to an overt behavioral response,

respectively. To test for ethanol-seeking behavior, an animal is trained to operantly self-administer ethanol, this operant response is then extinguished, such that the animal no longer responds on the lever previously associated with ethanol reinforcement, with changes in response rate across time reflecting seeking behavior. This can also be determined by comparing response numbers between the lever previously associated with ethanol and the control lever (i.e., is the animal able to distinguish between the two). Or, another method would be to compare the response rate with a baseline rate recorded prior to extinction. It has been suggested that the rate of extinction can be a measure of ethanol-seeking, because the animal continues to manifest an overt behavior directed toward the lever previously associated with ethanol reinforcement in the absence of reinforcement (Koob, 2000; Littleton, 2000). In a clinical sense, this would be similar to an individual displaying approach behavior (i.e., going to the liquor store) and being frustrated by the fact that the liquor store is closed.

Responses on the operant lever, previously associated with ethanol reinforcement, in the absence of reinforcement can be elicited several ways. Here we will examine (a) *drug-induced* “priming” of the response, (b) *cue-induced* “priming” of the response, and (c) “Pavlovian Spontaneous Recovery” (PSR) of the response. Essentially, PSR stems from the work of Pavlov who showed that simply returning the animal to the environment previously associated with reinforcement “recovered” the response, even if the response was absent (i.e., extinguished) at the end of the previous session (c.f., Rodd et al., 2004b). All of these methods have been reviewed by others as noted in sections 4.1 and 4.2 and the present discussion will only present an overview. The word priming is used because these three methods essentially prepare/prime the animal to make the response. These three forms of reinstatement of responding can be arranged on a continuum from the most overt (drug-induced priming) to the least overt (PSR), in the sense that all three use cues to elicit the response. Drug-induced priming automatically incorporates environmental cues associated with (a) drug self-administration as well as (b) drug-induced physiological responses. The drug-induced priming dose is usually too small to induce behavioral activation. Nevertheless, most drugs-of-abuse, including ethanol, do sensitize behavioral activation (i.e., shift the dose-response curve to the left) and; therefore, this remains a critique of this model/procedure.

Cue-induced priming of the response uses discrete cues from the environment that were previously associated with ethanol self-administration (Koob, 2000). Therefore, the environmental cues recruited in drug-induced priming are also present in cue-induced priming but overt physiological responses to the drug are absent. The role of environmental cues in drug- vs cue-induced priming can, to some degree, be dissociated by administering the drug priming in a different environment. However, absolute dissociation is impossible. Finally, PSR of responding incorporates the environmental cues used in cue-induced priming. One method to dissociate the more subtle cues in the environment from the more overt, discrete cues used in cue-induced priming is to employ positive (+), negative (–) and neutral cues in the methodology. (+)-cues are stimuli previously associated with ethanol/drug availability, (–)-cues are stimuli previously associated with ethanol/drug “non”-availability, and neutral cues are environmental cues present in both circumstances (e.g., Knight et al., 2016). As seen in Table 7, roles for the adrenergic (alpha: Bertholomey et al.,

2013), cannabinoid (Cippitelli et al., 2005), cholinergic (Hauser et al., 2014a; Le et al., 2003), dopaminergic (Hauser et al., 2014b; Vengeliene et al., 2006), GABAergic (GABRB: Maccioni et al., 2008b), glutamatergic (Backstrom and Hyytia, 2004; Rodd et al., 2006; von der Goltz et al., 2009), neuropeptide Y (Bertholomey et al., 2011), nociceptin-orphanin (Ciccocioppo et al., 2004), opioid (pan-opioid: Le et al., 1999; MOR: Giuliano et al., 2015; DOR: Henderson-Redmond and Czachowski, 2014; KOR: Deehan et al., 2012), and serotonergic (Hauser et al., 2014a) systems have been implicated in ethanol-seeking and -craving behavior. Also as seen in Table 7, outbred rat lines are used more consistently than selectively bred rat lines when investigating the efficacy of compounds to disrupt ethanol-seeking and -seeking behavior.

#### 4.8. Dependence and withdrawal-associated effects

The research on dependence and withdrawal in rats has been limited, at least as it pertains to medications screening for the treatment of AUD. Early work examined the GABAergic system, due to the fact that agonists of this system were, and still are, used to treat the danger of ethanol-withdrawal associated seizures. Subsequent work examined the role of the glutamatergic system and its hyperexcitability in the dependent state. This paralleled work examining neurosteroids and their modulation of the GABAergic system. Peptide systems such as corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) have also received attention because of their recognized role in anxiety and their activity in the extended amygdala. More recent research has recognized that stress-associated systems play a key role in the development and maintenance of AUD and addiction in which withdrawal plays an important part (See Griffin, 2014; Hopf et al., 2011). Therefore, stress-associated seeking and/or craving behavior has received research interest but mostly in non-selectively bred (i.e., outbred) rat lines. Table 8 describes some of the neurotransmitters and neuromodulators mediating stress-associated findings from selectively bred and outbred rats. These include the adrenergic (Rasmussen et al., 2014), corticotrophin (Overstreet et al., 2007), dopaminergic (Overstreet et al., 2007), GABAergic (GABRA-BDZ: Knapp et al., 2007a, 2007b), neuroimmune (Breese et al., 2008), neuropeptide Y (Cippitelli et al., 2011), and serotonergic (Overstreet et al., 2007) systems.

#### 4.9. Summary

The research presented in Tables 3 through 8 highlights compounds and rat lines used to assess disruption of different stages in the addiction cycle. The tables were tabulated to provide a historical perspective on the evolution of (a) neurotransmitter/neuromodulatory targets examined as well as (b) stages in the addiction cycle being investigated. Although this paper has focused primarily on selectively bred rat lines, it has included some of the findings garnered from research using outbred rat lines. This provides some context into which the results from selectively bred rat research can be placed. This also highlights some areas of medications screening that have been dominated by the use of outbred rat lines. A very clear example of this is the dependence/withdrawal/stress areas of research. This is due, at least in part, to the fact that the active selection process has resulted in high ethanol-consuming rats that can consume ethanol with limited adverse effects. From the data presented herein and a previous paper (Bell et al., 2012), it is clear that not all neurotransmitter/neuromodulatory systems have received the same level of scrutiny in all of

the rat lines. For instance, the vast majority of the research examining the alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase systems has been performed in the UChB and UChA rat lines. Similarly, histaminergic research has been limited to the AA and ANA rat lines. Another example is the cannabinoid system, such that most of the research in these selected rat lines has been conducted in the sP and sNP rat lines, with the AA and ANA rat lines also receiving substantial focus.

This uneven focus, across the rat lines, on particular neurotransmitter systems creates difficulty with interpreting validity. Exacerbating this is the fact that the present publishing environment places low priority on negative findings and if a particular compound is found to be effective in one rat line it is rarely tested in the other rat lines. Reasoning for the latter is that studies following the first one are not novel. In order to increase the validity of animal research targeting treatment of AUDs, the field needs to understand both the positive and negative findings for particular compound classes (e.g., neurotransmitter, neuromodulator, transcription factor, etc.) and/or compounds within a class. Finally, the present review makes it clear that the single neurotransmitter/neuromodulatory-system research approach that characterized early work has progressed to a more thorough understanding of intracellular cascades that are involved in multiple neuromodulatory systems. In addition, it also is now recognized, with some of these findings presented in their respective Tables, that neurotransmitter/neuromodulatory systems involved in one stage of the addiction cycle do not necessarily mediate another stage of the addiction cycle.

## 5. Caveats, challenges, and conclusions

A few caveats need to be mentioned before summarizing this review. First, the mouse ethanol research literature was not discussed. This was done due to space limitations and in no way minimizes the substantial literature that is associated with it. Second, transgenic ethanol research was not discussed. Similar to the first caveat, especially since most of the transgenic work has involved mice, this was done due to space limitations. For excellent discussions on both of these subjects see Barkley-Levenson and Crabbe (2014), Bilbao (2013), Crabbe et al. (2006), Fisch and Flint (2006), Greenberg and Crabbe (2016), Kalueff and Bergner (2010), Mayfield et al. (2016), as well as Oberlin et al. (2011). Third, models of withdrawal, and to some degree dependence, as well as stress and its associated medications screening received limited review. To a great extent this is also related to the first caveat, in the sense that most of the ethanol withdrawal research has been conducted in mice. We noted some of the rat research, often using outbred rat lines, in section 4.8 and Table 8; for other work and discussion see Al'Absi (2007), Becker (2013), Burke and Miczek (2014), Greenberg and Crabbe (2016), Lopez and Becker (2014), Metten et al. (2014), Phillips et al. (2015), Spanagel et al. (2014a), Vendruscolo and Roberts (2014), as well as Zorrilla et al. (2014).

This review highlights the fact that most of the medications research conducted thus far has sought to delineate the role and importance of different neuromodulatory and neuroanatomical systems in the maintenance of ethanol intake. This is especially obvious from the early ethanol research focus on the role of the opioid, dopaminergic, and serotonergic systems in ethanol abuse and dependence. Of these systems, the most effective

FDA-approved medication (naltrexone) targets the opioid system. As outlined elsewhere (e.g., Bell et al., 2012), the bi-directional selection for high vs low ethanol-consuming rat lines has resulted in dopaminergic and serotonergic deficits in many, but not all of the high ethanol-consuming rat lines. Therefore, it is not surprising that much of the earlier research focused on these neurotransmitter systems. However, much of this earlier, and later, work did not result in readily translatable treatment strategies. Recognition of the difficulty in translating preclinical findings into clinical treatments has been recognized by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). To facilitate testing compound efficacy, NIAAA and NIDA have created programs, in partnership with the pharmaceutical industry, to screen compounds that have either received FDA-approval for other indications or have gone through significant clinical trials. Essentially, the objective is to assess the ability to “repurpose” drugs to treat AUDs that have already received considerable regulatory scrutiny.

The National Institute on Mental Health (NIMH) of NIH has also recognized this modest translatability of preclinical research to clinical practice and has developed, as well as incorporated, the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) program into their preclinical funding strategies. RDoC incorporates examination of the psychobiological and neuroscientific causation into translational research models. Put another way, RDoC focuses on dimensional/valence constructs observed across multiple mental disorders rather than strict diagnostic symptomology related to a single disorder (Cuthbert, 2016; Kozak and Cuthbert, 2016; MacNamara and Phan, 2016). This focus on systems, rather than clinical diagnostic symptoms, has seemingly pitted the RDoC project against the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders system (e.g., Pritchard, 2015), such that a binary (i.e., one-or-the-other) system approach is generally discouraged (Shorter, 2015). As noted by Kaffman and Krystal (2012) and from the work of Hyman and colleagues (Hyman, 2010; Casey et al., 2013), the DSM and ICD classification systems were developed to achieve the highest interrater reliability *based on diagnostic symptomology*. Therefore, animal models of psychiatric disorders have generally focused on recapitulating many if not all of the DSM- and ICD-defined symptoms as separate models. However, this focus on diagnostic symptomology has, to some degree, interfered with recognizing that there are *domains of symptomology* stretching across different diagnostic categories. NIMH, NIAAA, and NIDA have recognized this and have developed several joint funding programs that recognize that, for instance, ethanol, nicotine, and stimulant addiction are not unitary phenomenon with minimal overlap. Rather, ethanol dependence has to be examined within its neurobiological, physiological, developmental, behavioral, and social context (c.f., Kaffman and Krystal, 2012; Kobeissy, 2012; Nestler and Hyman, 2010).

With these considerations in mind, the present paper first presented a background from a clinical perspective in order to provide an overview of the constellation of factors influencing the development of ethanol dependence in humans. Section two provided some background on the rat and how the above clinical factors can be examined within the rat's developmental context. For instance, rats also go through developmental stages and physiological as well as behavioral milestones point to adolescence as a critical stage of development for rats just as it is for humans. Also, binge eating and drinking are observed in

adolescent rats just as they are in humans. Moreover, rats display physiological characteristics of lower sensitivity to ethanol's aversive, but not necessarily deleterious, effects and higher sensitivity to ethanol's stimulating effects similar to observations in the clinical setting. Thus through experimental manipulations, it has been shown that binge ethanol intake by adolescent rats is not purely to satisfy increased caloric demand associated with the adolescent growth spurt. The third section highlighted behavioral characteristics of the seven dominant selectively bred high, vs low, ethanol-consuming rat lines in the world. As shown in Table 2, all of the lines display many of the characteristics observed in individuals caught in the ethanol addiction cycle.

The fourth section discussed common pharmacological test procedures as they relate to stages of the addiction cycle. Each of these stages is accompanied by a table highlighting associated findings from the seven, international selectively bred high ethanol-consuming rat lines as well as some findings from other selectively bred rat lines and outbred rats. Overall, the literature reviewed herein indicates that all of these high ethanol-consuming rat lines have face validity displaying many, but not necessarily all, of the characteristics observed in the ethanol-dependent individual. In addition, each of the lines has tested various neurotransmitter and neuromodulator compounds in the procedures outlined in the fourth section. Nevertheless, these animal models need to be expanded into more holistic models. For instance, binge-drinking with an adolescent age-of-onset is a crucial factor in the development of AUDs that has received limited attention. In addition, most individuals addicted to ethanol are also addicted to other substances-of-abuse and discussions regarding animal models of polysubstance dependence are limited. Therefore, despite making progress in determining the neurobiological systems mediating ethanol dependence, further work using more holistic models needs to be undertaken in both the preclinical and clinical areas to determine molecular targets for pharmacological treatment of AUDs.

## Acknowledgments

The present work was supported in part by NIAAA grants AA07611, AA013522 and AA015512.

## References

- Aal-Aaboda M, Alhaddad H, Osowik F, Nauli SM, Sari Y. Effects of (R)-(-)-5-methyl-1-nicotinoyl-2-pyrazoline on glutamate transporter 1 and cystine/glutamate exchanger as well as ethanol drinking behavior in male, alcohol-preferring rats. *J. Neurosci.* 2015; 93:930–937.
- Aalto J. Circadian drinking rhythms and blood alcohol levels in two rat lines developed for their alcohol consumption. *Alcohol.* 1986; 3:73–75. [PubMed: 3964440]
- Acewicz A, Mierzejewski P, Dyr W, Jastrzebska A, Korkosz I, Wyszogrodzka E, Nauman P, Samochowiec J, Kostowski W, Bienkowski P. Cocaine self-administration in Warsaw alcohol high preferring (WHP) and Warsaw alcohol low preferring (WLP) rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2012; 674:275–279. [PubMed: 22101231]
- Adams CL, Short JL, Lawrence AJ. Cue-conditioned alcohol seeking in rats following abstinence: involvement of metabotropic glutamate 5 receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2010; 159:534–542. [PubMed: 20067474]
- Adan, RAH., Kaye, WH. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Eating Disorders.* Springer-Verlag; New York: 2011.
- Adriani W, Laviola G. Windows of vulnerability to psychopathology and therapeutic strategy in the adolescent rodent model. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 2004; 15:341–352. [PubMed: 15343057]

- Agabio R, Carai MA, Lobina C, Pani M, Reali R, Vacca G, et al. Development of short-lasting alcohol deprivation effect (ADE) in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol*. 2000; 21:59–62. [PubMed: 10946158]
- Agabio R, Carai MA, Lobina C, Pani M, Reali R, Vacca G, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Alcohol stimulates motor activity in selectively bred Sardinian alcohol-preferring (sP), but not in Sardinian alcohol-nonpreferring (sNP), rats. *Alcohol*. 2001; 23:123–126. [PubMed: 11331110]
- Agabio R, Colombo G, Loche A, Lobina C, Pani M, Reali R, et al. Gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) reducing effect on ethanol intake: evidence in favour of a substitution mechanism. *Alcohol*. 1998; 33:465–474. [PubMed: 9811198]
- Agabio R, Cortis G, Fadda F, Gessa GL, Lobina C, Reali R, Colombo G. Circadian drinking pattern of Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1996; 31:385–388. [PubMed: 8879286]
- Agrawal A, Hinrichs AL, Dunn G, Bertelsen S, Dick DM, Saccone SF, Saccone NL, Gruzca RA, Wang JC, Cloninger CR, Edenberg HJ, Foroud T, Hesselbrock V, Kramer J, Bucholz KK, Kuperman S, Nurnberger JI, Porjesz B, Schuckit MA, Goate AM, Bierut LJ. Linkage scan for quantitative traits identifies new regions of interest for substance dependence in the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA) sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2008; 93:12–20. [PubMed: 17942244]
- Ahmed, SH. Escalation of drug use. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction*. Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 267-292.
- Al'Absi, M. *Stress and Addiction: Biological and Psychological Mechanisms*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2007.
- Alasmari F, Abuhamdah S, Sari Y. Effects of ampicillin on cystine/glutamate antiporter and glutamate transporter 1 isoforms as well as ethanol drinking in male P rats. *Neurosci. Lett*. 2015; 600:148–152. [PubMed: 26071905]
- Alhaddad H, Das SC, Sari Y. Effects of ceftriaxone on ethanol intake: a possible role for xCT and GLT-1 isoforms modulation of glutamate levels in P rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2014a; 231:4049–4057. [PubMed: 24687412]
- Alhaddad H, Kim NT, Aal-Aaboda M, Althobaiti YS, Leighton J, Boddu SHS, Wei Y, Sari Y. Effects of MS-153 on chronic ethanol consumption and GLT1 modulation of glutamate levels in male alcohol-preferring rats. *Front. Behav. Neurosci*. 2014b; 8 Article 366.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 2013.
- Andersen SL. Trajectories of brain development: points of vulnerability or windows of opportunity. *Neurosci. Biobehav Rev*. 2003; 27:3–18. [PubMed: 12732219]
- Anthony JC, Petronis KR. Early-onset drug use and risk of later drug problems. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 1995; 40:9–15. [PubMed: 8746919]
- Arolfo MP, Overstreet DH, Yao L, Fan P, Lawrence AJ, Tao G, et al. Suppression of heavy drinking and alcohol seeking by a selective ALDH-2 inhibitor. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2009; 33:1935–1944. [PubMed: 19673742]
- Ayanwuyi LO, Carvajal F, Lerma-Cabrera JM, Domi E, Björk K, Ubaldi M, Heilig M, Roberto M, Ciccocioppo R, Cippitelli A. Role of a genetic polymorphism in the corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1 gene in alcohol drinking and seeking behaviors of Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Front. Psychiatry*. 2013; 4:23. [PubMed: 23630503]
- Bachteler D, Economidou D, Danysz W, Ciccocioppo R, Spanagel R. The effects of acamprosate and neramexane on cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior in rat. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2005; 30:1104–1110. [PubMed: 15668725]
- Backstrom P, Bachteler D, Koch S, Hyytia P, Spanagel R. mGluR5 antagonist MPEP reduces ethanol-seeking and relapse behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29:921–928. [PubMed: 14735132]
- Backstrom P, Hyytia P. Ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists modulate cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2004; 28:558–565. [PubMed: 15100606]
- Backstrom P, Hyytia P. Suppression of alcohol self-administration and cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking by the mGlu2/3 receptor agonist LY379268 and the mGlu8 receptor agonist (S)-3,4-DCPG. *Eur. J. Pharmacol*. 2005; 528:110–118. [PubMed: 16324694]

- Badia-Elder NE, Henderson AN, Bertholomey ML, Dodge NC, Stewart RB. The effects of neuropeptide S on ethanol drinking and other related behaviors in alcohol-preferring and –nonpreferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008; 32:1380–1387. [PubMed: 18564106]
- Badia-Elder NE, Mosemiller AK, Elder RL, Froehlich JC. Naloxone retards the expression of a genetic predisposition toward alcohol drinking. *Psychopharmacology.* 1999; 144:205–212. [PubMed: 10435386]
- Badia-Elder NE, Stewart RB, Powrozek TA, Murphy JM, Li T-K. Effects of neuropeptide Y on sucrose and ethanol intake and on anxiety-like behavior in high alcohol drinking (HAD) and low alcohol drinking (LAD) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:894–899. [PubMed: 12824809]
- Badia-Elder NE, Stewart RB, Powrozek TA, Roy KF, Murphy JM, Li T-K. Effect of neuropeptide Y (NPY) on oral ethanol intake in Wistar, alcohol-preferring (P), and –nonpreferring (NP) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001; 25:386–390. [PubMed: 11290849]
- Balakleevsky A, Colombo G, Fadda F, Gessa GL. RO 19-4603, a benzodiazepine receptor inverse agonist, attenuates voluntary ethanol consumption in rats selectively bred for high ethanol preference. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1990; 25:449–452. [PubMed: 1965120]
- Barker JM, Taylor JR. Habitual alcohol seeking: modeling the transition from casual drinking to addiction. *Neurosci. Biobehav Rev.* 2014; 47:281–294. [PubMed: 25193245]
- Barkley-Levenson AM, Crabbe JC. High drinking in the dark mice: a genetic model of drinking to intoxication. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:217–223. [PubMed: 24360287]
- Barr, AM., Boyda, HN., Procyshyn, RM. Withdrawal. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction.* Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 431-459.
- Barr CS, Goldman D. Non-human primate models of inheritance vulnerability to alcohol use disorders. *Addict. Biol.* 2006; 11:374–385. [PubMed: 16961765]
- Barrera, DJ., Sebat, J. Genome tools and methods: rare genetic variation. In: Lehner, T, Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry.* Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 63-72.
- Barrick C, Connors GJ. Relapse prevention and maintaining abstinence in older adults with alcohol-use disorders. *Drugs Aging.* 2002; 19:583–594. [PubMed: 12207552]
- Bava S, Tapert SF. Adolescent brain development and the risk for alcohol and other drug problems. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 2010; 20:398–413. [PubMed: 20953990]
- Becker, HC. Animal models of excessive alcohol consumption in rodents. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction.* Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 355-377.
- Beckwith SW, Czachowski CL. Increased delay discounting tracks with a high ethanol-seeking phenotype and subsequent ethanol-seeking but not consumption. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:2607–2614. [PubMed: 25335779]
- Belin, D., Economidou, D., Pelloux, Y., Everitt, BJ. Habit formation and compulsion. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction.* Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 337-378.
- Bell RL, Eiler WJA II, Cook JB, Rahman S. Nicotinic receptor ligands reduce ethanol intake by high alcohol-drinking HAD-2 rats. *Alcohol.* 2009a; 43:581–592. [PubMed: 20004336]
- Bell, RL., Franklin, KM., Hauser, SR., Engleman, EA. Next stop dependence. Binge drinking on the road to alcoholism: preclinical findings on its neurobiology from rat animal models. In: Harris, SB., editor. *Binge Eating and Binge Drinking: Psychological, Social and Medical Implications.* Nova Science Publishers; New York: 2013. p. 1-60.
- Bell RL, Hauser S, Rodd ZA, Liang T, Sari Y, McClintick J, Rahman S, Engleman EA. A genetic animal model of alcoholism for screening medications to treat addiction. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 2016; 126:179–261. [PubMed: 27055615]
- Bell RL, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Strother WN, Carr LG, Liang T, Rodd ZA, Mayfield RD, Edenberg HJ, McBride WJ. Gene expression changes in the nucleus accumbens of alcohol-preferring rats following chronic ethanol consumption. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2009b; 94:131–147. [PubMed: 19666046]
- Bell RL, Kimpel MW, Rodd ZA, Strother WN, Bai F, Peper CL, Mayfield RD, Lumeng L, Crabb DW, McBride WJ, Witzmann FA. Protein expression changes in the nucleus accumbens and amygdala



- of inbred alcohol-preferring rats given either continuous or scheduled access to ethanol. *Alcohol*. 2006a; 40:3–17. [PubMed: 17157716]
- Bell RL, Lopez M, Changhai C, Egli M, Johnson K, Franklin K, Becker H. Ibudilast reduces alcohol drinking in multiple animal models of alcohol-dependence. *Addict. Biol.* 2015; 20:38–42. [PubMed: 24215262]
- Bell RL, McKinzie DL, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Sensitivity and tolerance to the motor impairing effects of moderate doses of ethanol. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2000; 67:583–586. [PubMed: 11164089]
- Bell, RL., Rahman, S. *Animal Models for Medications Screening to Treat Addiction*. Elsevier/ Academic Press; New York: 2016.
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Engleman EA, Toalston JE, McBride WJ. Scheduled access alcohol drinking by alcohol-preferring (P) and high alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats: modeling adolescent and adult binge-like drinking. *Alcohol*. 2014; 48:225–234. [PubMed: 24290311]
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Hsu CC, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Effects of concurrent access to a single or multiple concentrations of ethanol on ethanol intake by periadolescent high-alcohol-drinking rats. *Alcohol*. 2004; 33:107–115. [PubMed: 15528008]
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Lumeng L, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. The alcohol-preferring P rat and animal models of excessive alcohol drinking. *Addict. Biol.* 2006b; 11:270–288. [PubMed: 16961759]
- Bell, RL., Rodd, ZA., Murphy, JM., McBride, WJ. Use of selectively bred alcohol-preferring rats to study alcohol abuse, relapse and craving. In: Preedy, VR., Watson, RR., editors. *Comprehensive Handbook of Alcohol Related Pathology*. Vol. 3. Academic Press, Elsevier Science; New York: 2005. p. 1515-1533.
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Sable HJK, Schultz JA, Hsu CC, Lumeng L, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Daily patterns of ethanol drinking in periadolescent and adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2006c; 83:35–46. [PubMed: 16442608]
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Schultz JA, Peper CL, Lumeng L, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Effects of short deprivation and re-exposure intervals on the ethanol drinking behavior of selectively bred high alcohol-consuming rats. *Alcohol*. 2008a; 42:407–416. [PubMed: 18486429]
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Smith RJ, Toalston JE, Franklin KM, McBride WJ. Modeling binge-like ethanol drinking by peri-adolescent and adult P rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2011; 100:90–97. [PubMed: 21824488]
- Bell RL, Rodd ZA, Toalston JE, McKinzie DL, Lumeng L, Li T-K, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. Autonomic activation associated with ethanol self-administration in adult female P rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2008b; 91:223–232. [PubMed: 18713644]
- Bell RL, Rodd-Henricks ZA, Kuc KA, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Effects of concurrent access to a single or multiple concentrations of ethanol on the intake of ethanol by male and female peri-adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol*. 2003; 29:137–148. [PubMed: 12798969]
- Bell RL, Rodd-Henricks ZA, Webster AA, Lumeng L, Li T-K, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. Heart rate and motor activating effects of orally self-administered ethanol in Alcohol-Preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:1162–1170. [PubMed: 12198390]
- Bell RL, Sable HJK, Colombo G, Hyytia P, Rodd ZA, Lumeng L. Animal models for medications development targeting alcohol abuse using selectively bred rat lines: neurobiological and pharmacological validity. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2012; 103:119–155. [PubMed: 22841890]
- Bell RL, Stewart RB, Woods JE II, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Responsivity and development of tolerance to the motor impairing effects of moderate doses of ethanol in Alcohol-Preferring (P) and –Nonpreferring (NP) rat lines. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001; 25:644–650. [PubMed: 11371712]
- Berrettini, W. Opioid pharmacogenetics of alcohol addiction. In: Pierce, RC., Kenny, PJ., editors. *Addiction*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; New York: 2013. p. 309-317.
- Bertholomey ML, Henderson AN, Badia-Elder NE, Stewart RB. Neuropeptide Y (NPY)-induced reductions in alcohol intake during continuous access and following alcohol deprivation are not altered by restraint stress in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2011; 97:453–461. [PubMed: 20937300]

- Bertholomey ML, Verplaetse TL, Czachowski CL. Alterations in ethanol seeking and self-administration following yohimbine in selectively bred alcohol-preferring (P) and high alcohol drinking (HAD2) rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2013; 238:252–258. [PubMed: 23103404]
- Besheer J, Faccidomo S, Grondin JJM, Hodge CW. Effects of mGlu1-receptor blockade on ethanol self-administration in inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol.* 2008a; 42:13–20. [PubMed: 18164577]
- Besheer J, Faccidomo S, Grondin JJM, Hodge CW. Regulation of motivation to self-administer ethanol by mGluR5 in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008b; 32:209–221. [PubMed: 18162077]
- Besheer J, Lindsay TG, O'Buckley TK, Hodge CW, Morrow AL. Pregnenolone and ganaxolone reduce operant ethanol self-administration in alcohol-preferring P rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2010a; 34:2044–2052. [PubMed: 20946297]
- Besheer J, Grondin JJM, Cannady R, Sharko AC, Faccidomo S, Hodge CW. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 activity in the nucleus accumbens is required for the maintenance of ethanol self-administration in a rat genetic model of high alcohol intake. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2010b; 67:812–822. [PubMed: 19897175]
- Bilbao, A. Advanced transgenic approaches to understand alcohol-related phenotypes in animals. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 271-311.
- Bilbeny N, Contreras S, Font M, Paeile C, Garcia H. Effect of natural and synthetic polyamines on ethanol intake in UChB drinker rats. *Alcohol.* 2005; 36:169–177. [PubMed: 16377458]
- Bisaga A, Kostowski W. Selective breeding of rats differing in voluntary ethanol consumption. *Pol. J. Pharmacol.* 1993; 45:431–436. [PubMed: 8118485]
- Blass, BE. *Basic Principles of Drug Discovery and Development*. Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2015.
- Boehm SL II, Moore EM, Walsh CD, Gross CD, Cavelli AM, Gigante E, Linsenbardt DN. Using drinking in the dark to model prenatal binge-like exposure to ethanol in C57BL/6J mice. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 2008; 50:566–578. [PubMed: 18683190]
- Bray RM, Hourani LL. Substance use trends among active duty military personnel: findings from the United States department of defense health related behavior surveys, 1980–2005. *Addict.* 2007; 102:1092–1101.
- Bray, RM., Hourani, LL., Olmsted, KLR., Witt, M., Brown, JM., et al. 2005 Department of Defense Survey of Health Related Behaviors Among Active Duty Military Personnel: a Component of the Defense Lifestyle Assessment Programs (DLPA). Research Triangle Institute; Research Triangle Park, NC: 2006.
- Breese GR, Knapp DJ, Overstreet DH, Navarro M, Wills TA, Angel RA. Repeated lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or cytokine treatments sensitize ethanol withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2008; 33:867–876. [PubMed: 17551540]
- Breslin FJ, Johnson BA, Lynch WJ. Effect of topiramate treatment on ethanol consumption in rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2010; 207:529–534. [PubMed: 19823810]
- Brienza RS, Stein MD. Alcohol use disorders in primary care: do gender-specific differences exist. *J. Gen. Intern Med.* 2002; 17:387–397. [PubMed: 12047738]
- Brown G, Jackson A, Stephens DN. Effects of repeated withdrawal from chronic ethanol on oral self-administration of ethanol on a progressive ratio schedule. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 1998; 9:149–161. [PubMed: 10065934]
- Brown LA, Harris FL, Ping XD, Gauthier TW. Chronic ethanol ingestion and the risk of acute lung injury: a role for glutathione availability? *Alcohol.* 2004; 33:191–197. [PubMed: 15596087]
- Brown SA, Brumback T, Tomlinson K, Cummins K, Thompson WK, Nagel BJ, De Bellis MD, Hooper SR, Clark DB, Chung T, Hasler BP, Colrain IM, Baker FC, Prouty D, Pfefferbaum A, Sullivan EV, Pohl KM, Rohlfing T, Nichols BN, Chu W, Tapert SF. The National Consortium on Alcohol and NeuroDevelopment in Adolescence (NCANDA): a multisite study of adolescent development and substance use. *J. Stud. Alcohol Drug.* 2015; 76:895–908.

- Brunelle C, Assad J-M, Barrett SP, Avila C, Conrod PJ, Tremblay RE, Pihl RO. Heightened heart rate response to alcohol intoxication is associated with a reward-seeking personality profile. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2004; 28:394–401. [PubMed: 15084896]
- Brunelle C, Barrett SP, Pihl RO. Relationship between the cardiac response to acute intoxication and alcohol-induced subjective effects throughout the blood alcohol concentration curve. *Hum. Psychopharmacol.* 2007; 22:437–443. [PubMed: 17647297]
- Buccafusco, JJ. *Methods of Behavior Analysis in Neuroscience*. CRC Press; New York: 2001.
- Burish TG, Maisto SA, Cooper AM, Sobell MB. Effects of voluntary short-term abstinence from alcohol on subsequent drinking patterns of college students. *J. Stud. Alcohol.* 1981; 42:1013–1020. [PubMed: 7334801]
- Burke AR, Miczek KA. Stress in adolescence and drugs of abuse in rodent models: role of dopamine, CRF, and HPA axis. *Psychopharmacology.* 2014; 231:1557–1580. [PubMed: 24370534]
- Burnett EJ, Chandler LJ, Trantham-Davidson H. Glutamatergic plasticity and alcohol dependence-induced alterations in reward, affect, and cognition. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacology Biol. Psych.* 2016; 65:309–320.
- Cannady R, Fisher KR, Durant B, Besheer J, Hodge CW. Enhanced AMPA receptor activity increases operant alcohol self-administration and cue-induced reinstatement. *Addict. Biol.* 2013; 18:54–65. [PubMed: 23126443]
- Cannella N, Kallupi M, Li HW, Stopponi S, Cifani C, Ciccocioppo R, Ubaldi M. Neuropeptide S differently modulates alcohol-related behaviors in alcohol-preferring and non-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 2016; 233:2915–2924.
- Capaldi DM, Feingold A, Kim HK, Yoerger K, Washburn IJ. Heterogeneity in growth and desistance of alcohol use for men in their 20s: prediction from early risk factors and association with treatment. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2013; 37:E347–E355. [PubMed: 23078344]
- Carnicella S, Rod D, Barak S. Intermittent ethanol access schedule in rats as a preclinical model of alcohol abuse. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:243–252. [PubMed: 24721195]
- Carroll, ME., Meisch, RA. Acquisition of drug self-administration. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction*. Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 237-265.
- Carroll ME, Morgan AD, Anker JJ, Perry JL, Dess NK. Selective breeding for differential saccharin intake as an animal model of drug abuse. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 2008; 19:435–460. [PubMed: 18690102]
- Casey BJ, Craddock N, Cuthbert BN, Hyman SE, Lee FS, Ressler KJ. DSM-5 and RDoC: progress in psychiatry research? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2013; 14:810–814. [PubMed: 24135697]
- Cattaneo C, Maderna E, Randinelli A, Gibelli D. Animal experimentation in forensic sciences: how far have we come? *Foren. Sci. Intl.* 2015; 254:e29–e35.
- Chambers RA, Taylor JR, Potenza MN. Developmental neurocircuitry of motivation in adolescence: a critical period of addiction vulnerability. *Am. J. Psychiatry.* 2003; 160:1041–1052. [PubMed: 12777258]
- Chappell AM, Weiner JL. Relationship between ethanol's acute locomotor effects and ethanol self-administration in male Long-Evans rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008; 32:2088–2099. [PubMed: 18828804]
- Chen, AC., Rangaswamy, M., Porjesz, B. Endophenotypes in psychiatric genetics. In: Nurnberger, JI., Jr, Berrettini, WH., editors. *Principles of Psychiatric Genetics*. Cambridge University Press; New York: 2012. p. 347-362.
- Chester JA, Blose AM, Zweifel M, Froehlich JC. Effects of stress on alcohol consumption in rats selectively bred for high or low alcohol drinking. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2004; 28:385–393. [PubMed: 15084895]
- Chiauzzi, EJ. *Preventing Relapse in the Addictions: A Biopsychosocial Approach*. Pergamon Press; New York: 1991.
- Chiavegatto S, Quadros IMH, Ambar G, Miczek KA. Individual vulnerability to escalated aggressive behavior by a low dose of alcohol: decreased serotonin receptor mRNA in the prefrontal cortex of male mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2010; 9:110–119. [PubMed: 20002201]
- Chou SP, Pickering RP. Early onset of drinking as a risk factor for lifetime alcohol-related problems. *Br. J. Addict.* 1992; 87:1199–1204. [PubMed: 1511233]

- Ciccocioppo, R. Genetically selected alcohol preferring rats to model human alcoholism. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 251-269.
- Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Cippitelli A, Cucculelli M, Ubaldi M, Soverchia L, Lourdasamy A, Massi M. Genetically selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol preferring (msP) rats: an animal model to study the neurobiology of alcoholism. *Addict. Biol.* 2006; 11:339–355. [PubMed: 16961763]
- Ciccocioppo R, Economidou D, Fedeli A, Angeletti S, Weiss F, Heilig M, Massi M. Attenuation of ethanol self-administration and of conditioned reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behaviour by the antioioid peptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ in alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 2004; 172:170–178.
- Ciccocioppo R, Martin-Fardon R, Weiss F. Effect of selective blockade of mu(1) or delta opioid receptors on reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior by drug-associated stimuli in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2002; 27:391–399. [PubMed: 12225696]
- Ciccocioppo R, Panocka I, Frolidi R, Colombo G, Gessa GL, Massi M. Antidepressant-like effect of ethanol revealed in the forced swimming test in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 1999a; 144:151–157.
- Ciccocioppo R, Panocka I, Frolidi R, Quitadamo E, Massi M. Ethanol induces conditioned place preference in genetically selected alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 1999b; 141:235–241.
- Ciccocioppo R, Panocka I, Pompei P, De Caro G, Massi M. Selective agonists at NK3 tachykinin receptors inhibit alcohol intake in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 1994; 33:71–77. [PubMed: 7506113]
- Cicero, TJ. A critique of animal analogues of alcoholism. In: Majchrowicz, E., Noble, EP., editors. *Biochemistry and Pharmacology of Ethanol*. Vol. 2. Plenum Press; New York: 1979. p. 533-560.
- Cippitelli A, Bilbao A, Hansson AC, del Arco I, Sommer W, Heilig M, Massi M, Bermúdez Silva FJ, Navarro M, Ciccocioppo R, de Fonseca FR. European TARGALC Consortium. Cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonism reduces conditioned reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior in rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2005; 21:2243–2251. [PubMed: 15869521]
- Cippitelli A, Rezvani AH, Robinson JE, Eisenberg L, Levin ED, Bonaventure P, Motley ST, Lovenberg TW, Heilig M, Thorsell A. The novel, selective, brain-penetrant neuropeptide Y Y2 receptor antagonist, JNJ-31020028, tested in animal models of alcohol consumption, relapse, and anxiety. *Alcohol.* 2011; 45:567–576. [PubMed: 21145691]
- Clark DB, Kirisci L, Tarter RE. Adolescent versus adult onset and the development of substance use disorders in males. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1998; 49:115–121. [PubMed: 9543648]
- Cloninger CR. Neurogenetic adaptive mechanisms in alcoholism. *Science.* 1987; 236:410–416. [PubMed: 2882604]
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Fa M, Guano L, Lobina C, Loche A, Reali R, Gessa GL. Reduction of voluntary ethanol intake in ethanol-preferring sP rats by the cannabinoid antagonist SR-141716. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1998a; 33:126–130. [PubMed: 9566474]
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Lobina C, Reali R, Vacca G, Gessa GL. Stimulation of locomotor activity by voluntarily consumed ethanol in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1998b; 357:109–113. [PubMed: 9797025]
- Colombo G, Lobina C, Carai MAM, Gessa GL. Phenotypic characterization of genetically selected Sardinian alcohol preferring (sP) and nonpreferring (sNP) rats. *Addict. Biol.* 2006; 11:324–338. [PubMed: 16961762]
- Colombo G, Maccioni P, Acciari C, Lobina C, Loi B, Zaru A, Carai MAM, Gessa GL. Binge drinking in alcohol-preferring sP rats at the end of the nocturnal period. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:301–311. [PubMed: 24680256]
- Colombo G, Serra S, Brunetti G, Atzori G, Pani M, Vacca G, et al. The GABA-B receptor agonists baclofen and CGP 44532 prevent acquisition of alcohol drinking behavior in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2002a; 37:499–503. [PubMed: 12217946]

- Colombo G, Serra S, Brunetti G, Gomez R, Melis S, Vacca G, et al. Stimulation of voluntary ethanol intake by cannabinoid receptor agonists in ethanol-preferring sP rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2002b; 159:181–187. [PubMed: 11862347]
- Colombo G, Serra S, Vacca G, Carai MA, Gessa GL. Effect of the combination of naltrexone and baclofen, on acquisition of alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2005; 77:87–91. [PubMed: 15607845]
- Conn, PM. *Sourcebook of Models for Biomedical Research*. Humana Press; Totowa, NJ: 2008.
- Connor JP, Gullo MJ, White A, Kelly AB. Polysubstance use: diagnostic challenges, patterns of use and health. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry*. 2014; 27:269–275. [PubMed: 24852056]
- Cook JB, Foster KL, Eiler WJ 2nd, McKay PF, Woods J 2nd, Harvey SC, Garcia M, Grey C, McCane S, Mason D, Cummings R, Li X, Cook JM, June HL. Selective GABAA alpha5 benzodiazepine inverse agonist antagonizes the neurobehavioral actions of alcohol. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2005; 29:1390–1401. [PubMed: 16131846]
- Coonfield DL, Kiefer SW, Ferraro FM III, Sinclair JD. Ethanol palatability and consumption by high ethanol-drinking rats: manipulation of the opioid system with naltrexone. *Behav. Neurosci*. 2004; 118:1189–1196.
- Costin BN, Miles MF. Molecular and neurologic responses to chronic alcohol use. *Handb. Clin. Neurol*. 2014; 125:157–171. [PubMed: 25307574]
- Cotton NS. The familial incidence of alcoholism. *J. Stud. Alcohol*. 1979; 40:89–116. [PubMed: 376949]
- Courtney KE, Polich J. Binge drinking in young adults: data, definitions, and determinants. *Psychol. Bull*. 2009; 135:142–156. [PubMed: 19210057]
- Covington, HE., III, Miczek, KA. Binge drug taking. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction*. Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 403–430.
- Cowen MS, Adams C, Kraehenbuehl T, Vengeliene V, Lawrence AJ. The acute anti-craving effect of acamprosate in alcohol-preferring rats is associated with modulation of the mesolimbic dopamine system. *Addict. Biol*. 2005a; 10:233–242. [PubMed: 16109584]
- Cowen MS, Djouma E, Lawrence AJ. The metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor antagonist 3-[(2-Methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl) ethnyl]-pyridine reduces ethanol self-administration in multiple strains of alcohol-preferring rats and regulates olfactory glutamatergic systems. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther*. 2005b; 315:590–600. [PubMed: 16014750]
- Crabbe, JC. Neurogenetic studies of alcohol addiction. In: Robbins, TW, Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. *The Neurobiology of Addiction*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 153–169.
- Crabbe, JC., Kendler, KS., Hitzmann, RJ. Modeling the diagnostic criteria for alcohol dependence with genetic animal models. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 187–221.
- Crabbe JC, Metten P, Rhodes JS, Yu C-H, Brown LL, Phillips TJ, Finn DA. A line of mice selected for high blood ethanol concentrations shows drinking in the dark to intoxication. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2009; 65:662–670. [PubMed: 19095222]
- Crabbe JC, Phillips TJ, Belknap JK. The complexity of alcohol drinking: studies in rodent genetic models. *Behav. Genet*. 2010; 40:737–750. [PubMed: 20552264]
- Crabbe JC, Phillips TJ, Kosobud A, Belknap JK. Estimation of genetic correlation: interpretation of experiments using selectively bred and inbred animals. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res*. 1990; 14:141–151. [PubMed: 2190477]
- Crabbe JC, Phillips TJ, Harris RA, Arends MA, Koob GF. Alcohol-related genes: contributions from studies with genetically engineered mice. *Addict. Biol*. 2006; 11:195–269. [PubMed: 16961758]
- Crews FT. Alcohol related neurodegeneration and recovery: mechanisms from animal models. *Alcohol Res. Health*. 2008; 31:377–388. [PubMed: 23584011]
- Crombag, HS., Bossert, JM., Koya, E., Shaham, Y. Context-induced relapse to drug seeking: a review. In: Robbins, TW, Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. *The Neurobiology of Addiction*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 203–219.
- Cui C, Noronha A, Warren KR, Koob GF, Sinha R, Thakkar M, Matochik J, Crews FT, Chandler LJ, Pfefferbaum A, Becker HC, Lovinger D, Everitt BJ, Egli M, Mandyam CD, Fein G, Potenza MN,

- Harris RA, Grant KA, Roberto M, Meyerhoff DJ, Sullivan EV. Brain pathways to recovery from alcohol dependence. *Alcohol*. 2015; 49:435–452. [PubMed: 26074423]
- Cuthbert, BN. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria project: toward an integrated neuroscience of mental disorders. In: Lehner, T, Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 397-409.
- Czachowski CL, Chappell AM, Samson HH. Effects of raclopride in the nucleus accumbens on ethanol seeking and consumption. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001a; 25:1431–1440. [PubMed: 11696662]
- Czachowski CL, Legg BH, Samson HH. Effects of acamprosate on ethanol-seeking and self-administration in the rat. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001b; 25:344–350. [PubMed: 11290844]
- Czachowski CL, Samson HH. Ethanol- and sucrose-reinforced appetitive and consummatory responding in HAD1, HAD2, and P rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:1653–1661. [PubMed: 12436053]
- Dahl, RE., Spear, LP. *Adolescent Brain Development: Vulnerabilities and Opportunities*. The New York Academy of Sciences; New York: 2004.
- Das SC, Yamamoto BK, Hristov AM, Sari Y. Ceftriaxone attenuates ethanol drinking and restores extracellular glutamate concentration through normalization of GLT-1 in nucleus accumbens of male alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuropharmacology*. 2015; 97:67–74. [PubMed: 26002627]
- Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Chou PS. Another look at heavy episodic drinking and alcohol use disorders among college and noncollege youth. *J. Stud. Alcohol*. 2004; 65:477–488. [PubMed: 15378804]
- Dean DO, Cole V, Bauer DJ. Delineating prototypical patterns of substance use initiations over time. *Addict*. 2014; 110:585–594.
- De Biasi, M. *Nicotine Use in Mental Illness and Neurological Disorders*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2015.
- De Fiebre NC, Dawson R, de Fiebre CM. The selectively bred high alcohol sensitivity (HAS) and low alcohol sensitivity (LAS) rats differ in sensitivity to nicotine. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:765–772. [PubMed: 12068243]
- Deehan GA Jr, McKinzie DL, Carroll FI, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. The long-lasting effects of JD<sub>1</sub>c, a kappa opioid receptor antagonist, on the expression of ethanol-seeking behavior and the relapse drinking of female alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2012; 101:581–587. [PubMed: 22429993]
- Deutsch JA, Eisner A. Ethanol self-administration in the rat induced by forced drinking of ethanol. *Behav. Biol.* 1977; 20:81–90. [PubMed: 869852]
- De Wit H, Richards JB. Dual determinants of drug use in humans: reward and impulsivity. *Nebr Symp. Motiv.* 2004; 50:19–55. [PubMed: 15160637]
- Dhaher R, Hauser SR, Getachew B, Bell RL, McBride WJ, McKinzie DL, et al. The orexin-1 receptor antagonist SB-334867 reduces alcohol relapse drinking, but not alcohol-seeking, in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *J. Addict. Med.* 2010; 4:153–159. [PubMed: 20871792]
- Dhaher R, McConnell KK, Rodd ZA, McBride WJ, Bell RL. Daily patterns of ethanol drinking in adolescent and adult, male and female, high alcohol drinking (HAD) replicate lines of rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2012a; 102:540–548. [PubMed: 22750062]
- Dhaher R, Toalston JE, Hauser SR, Bell RL, McKinzie DL, McBride WJ, et al. Effects of naltrexone and LY255582 on ethanol maintenance, seeking, and relapse responding by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol*. 2012b; 46:17–27. [PubMed: 21962974]
- Dick, DM. Developmental considerations in gene identification efforts. In: MacKillop, J., Munafo, MR., editors. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach*. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013. p. 141-156.
- Doke SK, Dhawale SC. Alternatives to animal testing: a review. *Saudi Pharm. J.* 2015; 23:223–229. [PubMed: 26106269]
- Dole VP. On the relevance of animal models to alcoholism in humans. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1986; 10:361–363. [PubMed: 3530013]
- Donovan JE. Estimated blood alcohol concentrations for child and adolescent drinking and their implications for screening instruments. *Pediatr.* 2009; 123:e975–e981.

- Draski, L.J., Deitrich, R.A. Initial effects of ethanol on the central nervous system. In: Deitrich, R.A., Erwin, V.G., editors. *Pharmacological Effects of Ethanol on the Nervous System*. CRC Press; Boca Raton: 1996. p. 227-250.
- Duka T, Tasker R, Stephens DN. Alcohol choice and outcome expectancies in social drinkers. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 1998; 9:643–653. [PubMed: 9862089]
- Dwoskin, LP. *Emerging Targets & Therapeutics in the Treatment of Psychostimulant Abuse*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2014.
- Dyr W, Kostowski W. Animal model of ethanol abuse: rats selectively bred for high and low voluntary alcohol intake. *Acta Pol. Pharm. Drug Res.* 2000; 57:90–92.
- Dyr W, Kostowski W. Preliminary phenotypic characterization of the Warsaw High Preferring (WHP) and Warsaw Low Preferring (WLP) lines of rats selectively bred for high and low ethanol consumption. *Pol. J. Pharmacol.* 2004; 56:359–365. [PubMed: 15215567]
- Dyr W, Kostowski W. Warsaw high-preferring (WHP) and Warsaw low-preferring (WLP) lines of rats selectively bred for high and low voluntary ethanol intake: preliminary phenotypic characterization. *Alcohol.* 2008; 42:161–170. [PubMed: 18420111]
- Dyr W, Krzascik P, Dudek K, Witanowska A, Dzierzkowska J, Kostowski W. A new line of Wistar rats selected for preference for alcohol: behavioral characteristics. *Alkohol Narko.* 1999; 37:525–543.
- Dyr W, Ligieza J, Kostowski W. The effect of cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist rimonabant (SR-141716) on ethanol drinking in high-preferring rats. *Alcohol.* 2008; 42:509–512. [PubMed: 18504086]
- Dyr W, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Effects of D1 and D2 dopamine receptor agents on ethanol consumption in the high-alcohol-drinking (HAD) line of rats. *Alcohol.* 1993; 10:207–212. [PubMed: 8507389]
- Dyr W, Taracha E. Chronic ethanol tolerance as a result of free-choice drinking in alcohol-preferring rats of the WHP line. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2012; 64:78–83. [PubMed: 22580523]
- Edenberg, HJ. Alcoholism. In: Nurnberger, JI., Jr, Berrettini, WH., editors. *Principles of Psychiatric Genetics*. Cambridge University Press; New York: 2012. p. 279-286.
- Edenberg HJ, Foroud T. Genetics and alcoholism. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2013; 10:487–494. [PubMed: 23712313]
- Edwards, S., Koob, GF. Experimental psychiatric illness and drug abuse models: from human to animal, an overview. In: Kobeissy, FH., editor. *Psychiatric Disorders: Methods and Protocols*. Springer Humana Press; New York: 2012. p. 31-48.
- Edwards S, Little HJ, Richardson HN, Vendruscolo LF. Divergent regulation of distinct glucocorticoid systems in alcohol dependence. *Alcohol.* 2015; 49:811–816. [PubMed: 26003866]
- Egli, M., White, DA., Acri, JB. Considerations in the evaluation of potential efficacy of medications for alcohol and drug use disorders: an editorial. In: Bell, R.L., Rahman, S., editors. *Animal Models for Medications Screening to Treat Addiction*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2016. p. 1-14.
- Eiler WA II, June HL. Blockade of GABAA receptors within the extended amygdala attenuates D2 regulation of alcohol-motivated behaviors in the ventral tegmental area of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neuropharmacology.* 2007; 52:1570–1579. [PubMed: 17451754]
- Eiler WJA II, Seyoum R, Foster KL, Mailey C, June HL. D1 dopamine receptor regulates alcohol-motivated behaviors in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Synapse.* 2003; 48:45–56. [PubMed: 12557272]
- Ekhtiari, H., Paulus, M. *Neuroscience for Addiction Medicine: from Prevention to Rehabilitation—constructs and Drugs*. Elsevier; New York: 2016a.
- Ekhtiari, H., Paulus, M. *Neuroscience for Addiction Medicine: from Prevention to Rehabilitation—methods and Interventions*. Elsevier; New York: 2016b.
- Elkins RL, Walters PA, Orr TE. Continued development and unconditioned characterization of selectively bred lines of taste aversion prone and resistant rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1992; 16:928–934. [PubMed: 1332525]
- Enoch, M-E. Electrophysiological intermediate phenotypes for the detection of genetic influences on alcoholism. In: MacKillop, J., Munafo, MR., editors. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach*. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013. p. 19-39.

- Erb, S., Placenza, F. Relapse. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction*. Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 461-479.
- Eriksson K. Ethyl alcohol consumption: valid measurement in albino rats. *Science*. 1968; 159:739–741. [PubMed: 17795073]
- Ernst M, Torrisi S, Balderston N, Grillon C, Hale EA. fMRI functional connectivity applied to adolescent neurodevelopment. *Ann. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 2015; 11:361–377. [PubMed: 25581237]
- Essau, CA. *Adolescent Addiction: Epidemiology, Assessment, and Treatment*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2008.
- Everitt, BJ., Belin, D., Economidou, D., Pelloux, Y., Dalley, JW., Robbins, TW. Neural mechanisms underlying the vulnerability to develop compulsive drug-seeking habits and addiction. In: Robbins, TW, Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. *The Neurobiology of Addiction*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 25-43.
- Fadda P, Garau B, Marchei F, Colombo G, Gessa GL. MDL 72222, a selective 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist, suppresses voluntary ethanol consumption in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol*. 1991; 26:107–110. [PubMed: 1878073]
- Faria RR, Rueda AVL, Sayuri C, Soares SL, Malta MB, Carrara-Nascimento PF, et al. Environmental modulation of ethanol-induced locomotor activity: correlation with neuronal activity in distinct brain regions of adolescent and adult Swiss mice. *Brain Res.* 2008; 1239:127–140. [PubMed: 18789904]
- Fedota JR, Stein EA. Resting-state functional connectivity and nicotine addiction: prospects for biomarker development. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2015; 1349:64–82. [PubMed: 26348486]
- Feltenstein, MW., See, RE. Systems level neuroplasticity in drug addiction. In: Pierce, RC., Kenny, PJ., editors. *Addiction*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; New York: 2013. p. 43-61.
- Fiestier A. Justifying a presumption of restraint in animal biotechnology research. *Amer. J. Bioeth.* 2008; 8:36–44.
- Files FJ, Denning CE, Hyytia P, Kiianmaa K, Samson HH. Ethanol-reinforced responding by AA and ANA rats following the sucrose-substitution initiation procedure. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1997; 21:749–753. [PubMed: 9194935]
- Files FJ, Samson HH, Denning CE, Marvin S. Comparison of alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring selectively bred rat lines. II. Operant self-administration in a continuous-access situation. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1998; 22:2147–2158. [PubMed: 9884163]
- Finn PR, Justus A. Physiological responses in sons of alcoholics. *Alcohol Health Res. World.* 1997; 21:227. [PubMed: 15706773]
- Fisch, GS., Flint, J. *Transgenic and Knockout Models of Neuropsychiatric Disorders*. Humana Press; Totawa, New Jersey: 2006.
- Font M, Sanmartin C, Garcia H, Contreras S, Paeile C, Bilbeny N. A new polyamine derivative, a structural analog of spermine, with in vivo activity as an inhibitor of ethanol appetite. *Bioorgan Med. Chem.* 2005; 13:4375–4382.
- Ford MM. Applications of schedule-induced polydipsia in rodents for the study of an excessive ethanol intake phenotype. *Alcohol*. 2014; 48:265–276. [PubMed: 24680665]
- Forsander OA, Sinclair JD. Alcohol elimination and the regulation of alcohol consumption in AA and ANA rats. *Alcohol*. 1992; 27:411–416. [PubMed: 1418114]
- Foster KL, McKay PF, Seyoum R, Milbourne D, Yin W, Sarma PV, Cook JM, June HL. GABA(A) and opioid receptors of the central nucleus of the amygdala selectively regulate ethanol-maintained behaviors. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2004; 29:269–284. [PubMed: 14666116]
- Franklin KM, Hauser SR, Lasek AW, Bell RL, McBride WJ. Involvement of purinergic P2X<sub>4</sub> receptors in alcohol intake of high alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2015a; 39:2022–2031. [PubMed: 26334550]
- Franklin KM, Hauser SR, Lasek AW, McClintick J, Ding Z-M, McBride WJ, Bell RL. Reduction of alcohol drinking of alcohol-preferring (P) and high-alcohol drinking (HAD1) rats by targeting phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4). *Psychopharmacology.* 2015b; 232:2251–2262. [PubMed: 25585681]
- Frascella J, Richardson KA, McLemore GL. Animal models of drug addiction in support of novel therapeutics strategies. *ILAR J.* 2011; 52:233–238. [PubMed: 23382141]



- French SW. Intra-gastric ethanol infusion model for cellular and molecular studies of alcoholic liver disease. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2001; 8:20–27. [PubMed: 11173972]
- Froehlich JC, Harts J, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Naloxone attenuates voluntary ethanol intake in rats selectively bred for high ethanol preference. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1990; 35:385–390. [PubMed: 2320646]
- Froehlich JC, Hausauer BJ, Federoff DL, Fischer SM, Rasmussen DD. Prazosin reduces alcohol drinking throughout prolonged treatment and blocks the initiation of drinking in rats selectively bred for high alcohol intake. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2013a; 37:1552–1560. [PubMed: 23731093]
- Froehlich JC, Hausauer B, Fischer S, Wise B, Rasmussen DD. Prazosin reduces alcohol intake in an animal model of alcohol relapse. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2015; 39:1538–1546. [PubMed: 26207767]
- Froehlich JC, Hausauer BJ, Rasmussen DD. Combining naltrexone and prazosin in a single oral medication decreases alcohol drinking more effectively than does either drug alone. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2013b; 37:1763–1770. [PubMed: 23875623]
- Froehlich JC, Zweifel M, Harts J, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Importance of delta opioid receptors in maintaining high alcohol drinking. *Psychopharmacology.* 1991; 103:467–472. [PubMed: 1648247]
- Fullgrabe MW, Vengeliene V, Spanagel R. Influence of age at drinking onset on the alcohol deprivation effect and stress-induced drinking in female rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2007; 86:320–326. [PubMed: 17098280]
- Garbusow M, Sebold M, Beck A, Heinz A. Too difficult to stop: mechanisms facilitating relapse in alcohol dependence. *Neuropsychobiology.* 2014; 70:103–110. [PubMed: 25359490]
- Gatto GJ, Murphy JM, Waller MB, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Chronic ethanol tolerance through free-choice drinking in the P line of alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1987; 28:111–115. [PubMed: 3659102]
- Gessa GL, Serra S, Vacca G, Carai MAM, Colombo G. Suppressing effect of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist, SR147778, on alcohol intake and motivational properties in alcohol-preferring sP rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2005; 40:46–53. [PubMed: 15582988]
- Getachew B, Hauser SR, Dhaher R, Bell RL, Oster SM, Katner SN, et al. CB1 receptors regulate alcohol-seeking behavior and alcohol self-administration in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2011; 97:669–675. [PubMed: 21110997]
- Gilman JM, Ramchandani VA, Couss T, Hommer DW. Subjective and neural responses to intravenous alcohol in young adults with light and heavy drinking patterns. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2012; 37:467–477. [PubMed: 21956438]
- Gilpin NW, Stewart RB, Badia-Elder NE. Neuropeptide Y administration into the amygdala suppresses ethanol drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) rats following multiple deprivations. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2008; 90:470–474. [PubMed: 18499241]
- Gilpin NW, Stewart RB, Murphy JM, Badia-Elder NE. Neuropeptide Y in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus increases ethanol intake in high- and low-alcohol-drinking rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2004; 28:1492–1498. [PubMed: 15597081]
- Gilpin NW, Stewart RB, Murphy JM, Li T-K, Badia-Elder NE. Neuropeptide Y reduces oral ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring (P) rats following a period of imposed ethanol abstinence. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:787–794. [PubMed: 12766623]
- Giuliano C, Goodlett CR, Economidou D, Garcia-Pardo MP, Belin D, Robbins TW, Bullmore ET, Everitt BJ. The novel mu-opioid receptor antagonist GSK1521498 decreases both alcohol seeking and drinking: evidence from a new preclinical model of alcohol seeking. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2015; 40:2981–2992. [PubMed: 26044906]
- Goodwani S, Rao PSS, Bell RL, Sari Y. Amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanate reduce ethanol intake and increase GLT-1 expression as well as AKT phosphorylation in mesocorticolimbic regions. *Brain Res.* 2015; 1622:397–408. [PubMed: 26168897]
- Gore FM, Bloem PJN, Patton GC, Ferguson J, Joseph V, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Mathers CD. Global burden of disease in young people aged 10–24 years: a systematic analysis. *Lancet.* 2011; 377:2093–3102. [PubMed: 21652063]

- Gowin JL, Ball TM, Wittmann M, Tapert SF, Paulus MP. Individualized relapse prediction: personality measures and striatal and insular activity during reward-processing robustly predict relapse. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015; 152:93–101. [PubMed: 25977206]
- Grant BF, Dawson DA. Age at onset of alcohol use and its association with DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: results from the National Longitudinal Alcohol Epidemiologic Survey. *J. Subst. Abuse.* 1997; 9:103–110. [PubMed: 9494942]
- Gray JC, MacKillop J. Interrelationships among individual differences in alcohol demand, impulsivity, and alcohol misuse. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* 2014; 28:282–287. [PubMed: 23915370]
- Green AS, Grahame NJ. Ethanol drinking in rodents: is free choice drinking related to the reinforcing effects of ethanol? *Alcohol.* 2008; 42:1–11. [PubMed: 18164576]
- Greenberg GD, Crabbe JC. Gene targeting studies of hyperexcitability and affective states of alcohol withdrawal in rodents. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 2016; 126:357–390. [PubMed: 27055618]
- Greicius, M. Resting-state functional MRI: a novel tool for understanding brain networks in neuropsychiatric disorders. In: Lehner, T, Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry.* Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 247-262.
- Griffin JF. A strategic approach to vaccine development: animal models, monitoring vaccine efficacy, formulation and delivery. *Adv. Drug Del. Rev.* 2002; 54:851–861.
- Griffin WC III. Alcohol dependence and free-choice drinking in mice. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:287–293. [PubMed: 24530006]
- Grimm, JW. Craving. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction.* Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 311-336.
- Gullo, MJ., Dawe, S., McHugh, MJ. Impulsivity and adolescent substance use: from self-report measures to neuroimaging and beyond. In: Bardo, MT, Fishbein, DH., Milich, R., editors. *Inhibitory Control and Drug Abuse Prevention: from Research to Translation.* Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 161-175.
- Gupta, A. Ethical issues in animal biotechnology. In: Verma, AS., Singh, A., editors. *Animal Biotechnology: Models in Discovery and Translation.* Academic Press; Waltham, MA: 2014. p. 597-613.
- Gupta, AK., Gupta, UD. Next generation sequencing and its applications. In: Verma, AS., Singh, A., editors. *Animal Biotechnology: Models in Discovery and Translation.* Academic Press; Waltham, MA: 2014. p. 345-367.
- Hagele C, Friedel E, Kienast T, Kiefer F. How do we learn addiction? Risk factors and mechanisms getting addicted to alcohol. *Neuropsychobiology.* 2014; 70:67–76. [PubMed: 25359487]
- Hamilton KR, Felton JW, Risco CM, Lejuez CW, MacPherson L. Brief report: the interaction of impulsivity with risk-taking is associated with early alcohol use initiation. *J. Adol.* 2014; 37:1253–1256.
- Hansson AC, Bermudez-Silva FJ, Malinen H, Hyytia P, Sanchez-Vera I, Rimondini R, et al. Genetic impairment of frontocortical endocannabinoid degradation and high alcohol preference. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2007; 32:117–126. [PubMed: 16482090]
- Harvey SC, Foster KL, McKay PF, Carroll MR, Seyoum R, Woods JE Jr, Grey C, Jones CM, McCane S, Cummings R, Mason D, Ma C, Cook JM, June HL. The GABA(A) receptor alpha1 subtype in the ventral pallidum regulates alcohol-seeking behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 2002; 22:3765–3775. [PubMed: 11978852]
- Harwood H, Fountain D, Livermore G. The Economic Costs of Alcohol and Drug Abuse in the United States 1992 (Updated for 1998). Report prepared for the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. 2000 NIH Publication No. 98-4327.
- Hauser SR, Bracken AL, Deehan GA Jr, Toalston JE, Ding ZM, Truitt WA, Bell RL, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Selective breeding for high alcohol preference increases the sensitivity of the posterior VTA to the reinforcing effects of nicotine. *Addict. Biol.* 2014a; 19:800–811. [PubMed: 23496648]
- Hauser SR, Deehan GA Jr, Dhaher R, Knight CP, Wilden JA, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. D1 receptors in the nucleus accumbens-shell, but not the core, are involved in mediating ethanol-seeking behavior of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neuroscience.* 2015; 295:243–251. [PubMed: 25813708]

- Hauser SR, Ding ZM, Getachew B, Toalston JE, Oster SM, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. The posterior ventral tegmental area mediates alcohol-seeking behavior in alcohol-preferring rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2011; 336:857–865. [PubMed: 21148248]
- Hauser SR, Getachew B, Oster SM, Dhaher R, Ding ZM, Bell RL, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Nicotine modulates alcohol-seeking and relapse by alcohol-preferring (P) rats in a time-dependent manner. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2012a; 36:43–54. [PubMed: 21689122]
- Hauser SR, Katner SN, Deehan GA Jr, Ding ZM, Toalston JE, Scott BJ, Bell RL, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Development of an oral operant nicotine/ethanol co-use model in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2012b; 36:1963–1972. [PubMed: 22486609]
- Hauser SR, Wilden JA, Deehan GA Jr, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Cocaine influences alcohol-seeking behavior and relapse drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014b; 38:2678–2686. [PubMed: 25346508]
- Hawkins JD, Graham JW, Maguin E, Abbott R, Hill KG, Catalano RF. Exploring the effects of age of alcohol use initiation and psychosocial risk factors on subsequent alcohol misuse. *J. Stud. Alcohol.* 1997; 58:280–290. [PubMed: 9130220]
- Hayes, LJ., Delgado, D. Transgenic and knockout mouse models: the problem of language. In: Fisch, GS., Flint, J., editors. *Transgenic and Knockout Models of Neuropsychiatric Disorders*. Humana Press; Totawa, NJ: 2006. p. 45-67.
- Heidbreder, C. Impulse and reward deficit disorders: drug discovery and development. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Reward Deficit Disorders*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2008. p. 1-22.
- Heilig M, Egli M. Pharmacological treatment of alcohol dependence: target symptoms and target mechanisms. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2006; 111:855–876. [PubMed: 16545872]
- Heilig, M., Leggio, L. What the alcohol doctor ordered from the neuroscientist: theragnostic biomarkers for personalized treatments. In: Ekhtiari, H., Paulus, MP., editors. *Neuroscience for Addiction Medicine: from Prevention to Rehabilitation—methods and Interventions*. Elsevier; Cambridge, MA: 2016. p. 401-418.
- Heilig M, Thorsell A, Sommer WH, Hansson AC, Ramchandani VA, George DT, et al. Translating the neuroscience of alcoholism into clinical treatments: from blocking the buzz to curing the blues. *Neurosci. Biobehav Rev.* 2010; 35:334–344. [PubMed: 19941895]
- Helms CM, Anderson SM, Bell RL, Bennett AJ, Davies DL, Chester JA, Kosten TA, Leeman RF, Panicker S, Platt DM, Weiner JL, Edwards S. The importance of animals in advancing alcohol research. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2015; 39:575–578. [PubMed: 25833015]
- Henderson-Redmond A, Czachowski C. Effects of systemic opioid receptor ligands on ethanol- and sucrose-seeking and drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) and Long-Evans rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2014; 231:4309–4321. [PubMed: 24770627]
- Heyser CJ, Moc K, Koob GF. Effects of naltrexone alone and in combination with acamprosate on the alcohol deprivation effect in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2003; 28:1463–1471. [PubMed: 12700689]
- Heyser CJ, Schulteis G, Koob GF. Increased ethanol self-administration after a period of imposed ethanol deprivation in rats trained in a limited access paradigm. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1997; 21:784–791. [PubMed: 9267526]
- Hilakivi L, Eriksson CJ, Sarviharju M, Sinclair JD. Revitalization of the AA and ANA rat lines: effects on some line characteristics. *Alcohol.* 1984; 1:71–75. [PubMed: 6543612]
- Hingson RW, Heeren T, Winter MR. Age at drinking onset and alcohol dependence: age at onset, duration, and severity. *Arch. Ped Adol Med.* 2006; 160:739–746.
- Holdstock L, King AC, De Wit H. Subjective and objective responses to ethanol in moderate/heavy and light social drinkers. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2000; 24:789–794. [PubMed: 10888066]
- Holloway FA, Bird DC, Devenport JA. Periodic availability: factors affecting alcohol selection in rats. *Alcohol.* 1984; 1:19–25. [PubMed: 6543607]
- Holter SM, Engelmann M, Kirschke C, Liebsch G, Landgrad R, Spanagel R. Long-term ethanol self-administration with repeated ethanol deprivation episodes changes ethanol drinking pattern and increase anxiety-related behavior during ethanol deprivation in rats. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 1998; 9:41–48. [PubMed: 9832947]

- Honkanen A, Vilamo L, Wegelius K, Sarviharju M, Hyytia P, Korpi ER. Alcohol drinking is reduced by a mu1- but not by a delta-opioid receptor antagonist in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1996; 304:7–13. [PubMed: 8813578]
- Hopf FW, Sparta DR, Bonci A. Translational models of interactions between stress and alcohol consumption: strengths and limitations. *ILAR J.* 2011; 52:239–250. [PubMed: 23382142]
- Humby, T., Wilkinson, L. If only they could talk: genetic mouse models for psychiatric disorders. In: Fisch, GS., Flint, J., editors. *Transgenic and Knockout Models of Neuropsychiatric Disorders*. Humana Press; Totawa, NJ: 2006. p. 69-83.
- Hyman SE. The diagnosis of mental disorders: the problem of reification. *Ann. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 2010; 6:155–179. [PubMed: 17716032]
- Hyytia P. Involvement of mu-opioid receptors in alcohol drinking by alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1993; 45:697–701. [PubMed: 8101382]
- Hyytiä P, Kiianmaa K. Suppression of ethanol responding by centrally administered CTOP and naltrindole in AA and Wistar rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001; 25:25–33. [PubMed: 11198711]
- Hyytiä P, Sinclair JD. Responding for oral ethanol after naloxone treatment by alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1993; 17:631–636. [PubMed: 8392818]
- Ingman K, Honkanen A, Hyytia P, Huttunen MO, Korpi ER. Risperidone reduces limited access alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2003a; 468:121–127. [PubMed: 12742519]
- Ingman K, Korpi ER. Alcohol drinking of alcohol-preferring AA rats is differentially affected by clozapine and olanzapine. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2006; 534:133–140. [PubMed: 16480711]
- Ingman K, Kupila J, Hyytia P, Korpi ER. Effects of aripiprazole on alcohol intake in an animal model of high-alcohol drinking. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2006; 41:391–398. [PubMed: 16684847]
- Ingman K, Sallinen J, Honkanen A, Korpi ER. Comparison of deramciclone to benzodiazepine agonists in behavioural activity of mice and in alcohol drinking of alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2004; 77:847–854. [PubMed: 15099931]
- Irimia C, Wiskerke J, Natividad LA, Polis IY, de Vries TJ, Pattij T, Parsons LH. Increased impulsivity in rats as a result of repeated cycles of alcohol intoxication and abstinence. *Addict. Biol.* 2013; 20:263–274. [PubMed: 24341858]
- Israel Y, Quintanilla ME, Sapag A, Tampier L. Combined effects of aldehyde dehydrogenase variants and maternal mitochondrial genes on alcohol consumption. *Alcohol Res. Health.* 2006; 29:281–285. [PubMed: 17718407]
- Jaffe SL. Treatment and relapse prevention for adolescent substance abuse. *Pediatr. Clin. North Am.* 2002; 49:345–352. [PubMed: 11993287]
- Jerlhag E, Landgren S, Egecioglu E, Dickson SL, Engel JA. The alcohol-induced locomotor stimulation and accumbal dopamine release is suppressed in ghrelin knockout mice. *Alcohol.* 2011; 45:341–347. [PubMed: 21145690]
- Johnson BA. Role of the serotonergic system in the neurobiology of alcoholism: implications for treatment. *CNS Drugs.* 2004; 18:1105–1118. [PubMed: 15581381]
- Johnson BA. Medication treatment of different types of alcoholism. *Am. J. Psychiatry.* 2010; 167:630–639. [PubMed: 20516163]
- Johnson BA, Ait-Daoud N, Ma JZ, Wang Y. Ondansetron reduces mood disturbance among biologically predisposed, alcohol-dependent individuals. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:1773–1779. [PubMed: 14634493]
- Johnston, LD., O'Malley, PM., Bachman, JG. Volume I. High school seniors. U.S. Government Printing Office; Washington, DC: 1991. Drug use among American high school seniors, college students and young adults, 1975–1990. (DHHS Publication No. ADM 91-1813). Superintendent of Documents
- Johnston, LD., O'Malley, PM., Bachman, JG. Volume I: Secondary School Students. National Institute on Drug Abuse; Rockville, MD: 1993. National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975–1992. (NIH Publication No. 93-3597)
- Johnston, LD., O'Malley, PM., Bachman, JG. National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975–1997. National Institute on Drug Abuse; Rockville, MD: 1999.

- Johnston, LD., O'Malley, PM., Bachman, JG., Schulenberg, JE. Volume I: Secondary School Students. National Institute on Drug Abuse; Bethesda, MD: 2008. National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975–2007. (NIH Publication No. 08-6418A)
- June HL, Cason CR, Chen SH, Lewis MJ. Buprenorphine alters ethanol self-administration in rats: dose-response and time-dependent effects. *Psychopharmacology*. 1998a; 140:29–37. [PubMed: 9862399]
- June HL, Colker RE, Domangue KR, Perry LE, Hicks LH, June PL, Lewis MJ. Ethanol self-administration in deprived rats: effects of Ro15-4513 alone, and in combination with flumazenil (Ro15-1788). *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1992; 16:11–16. [PubMed: 1313660]
- June HL, Cummings R, Eiler WJA II, Foster KL, McKay PF, Seyoum R, et al. Central opioid receptors differentially regulate the nalmefene-induced suppression of ethanol- and saccharin-reinforced behaviors in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004; 29:285–299. [PubMed: 14603266]
- June HL, Devaraju SL, Eggers MW, Williams JA, Cason CR, Greene TL, et al. Benzodiazepine receptor antagonists modulate the actions of ethanol in alcohol-preferring and –nonpreferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1998b; 342:139–151. [PubMed: 9548379]
- June HL, Eggers MW, Warren-Reese C, DeLong J, Ricks-Cord A, Durr LF, et al. The effects of the novel benzodiazepine inverse agonist RU 34000 on ethanol-maintained behaviors. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1998c; 350:151–158. [PubMed: 9696402]
- June HL, Foster KL, McKay PF, Seyoum R, Woods JE II, Harvey SC, et al. The reinforcing properties of alcohol are mediated by GABA<sub>A1</sub> receptors in the ventral pallidum. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2003; 28:2124–2137. [PubMed: 12968126]
- June HL, Gilpin, NW. Operant self-administration models for testing the neuropharmacological basis of ethanol consumption in rats. *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142301.ns0912s51>. Unit 9.1226
- June HL, Greene TL, Murphy JM, Hite ML, Williams JA, Cason CR, et al. Effects of the benzodiazepine inverse agonist RO19-4603 alone and in combination with the benzodiazepine receptor antagonists flumazenil, ZK 93426 and CGS 8216, on ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Brain Res.* 1996a; 734:19–34. [PubMed: 8896804]
- June HL, Grey C, Warren-Reese C, Durr LF, Ricks-Cord A, Johnson A, et al. The opioid receptor antagonist nalmefene reduces responding maintained by ethanol presentation: preclinical studies in ethanol-preferring and outbred Wistar rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1998d; 22:2174–2185. [PubMed: 9884166]
- June HL, Harvey SC, Foster KL, McKay PF, Cummings R, Garcia M, Mason D, Grey C, McCane S, Williams LS, Johnson TB, He X, Rock S, Cook JM. GABA(A) receptors containing alpha5 subunits in the CA1 and CA3 hippocampal fields regulate ethanol-motivated behaviors: and extended ethanol reward circuitry. *J. Neurosci.* 2001; 21:2166–2177. [PubMed: 11245701]
- June HL, Hughes RW, Spurlock HL, Lewis MJ. Ethanol self-administration in freely feeding and drinking rats: effects of Ro15-4513 alone, and in combination with Ro15-1788 (flumazenil). *Psychopharmacology*. 1994a; 115:332–339. [PubMed: 7871073]
- June HL, Lummis GH, Colker RE, Moore TO, Lewis MJ. Ro15-4513 attenuates the consumption of ethanol in deprived rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1991; 15:406–411. [PubMed: 1877727]
- June HL, McCane SR, Zink RW, Portoghese PS, Li T-K, Froehlich JC. The delta-2 opioid receptor antagonist naltriben reduces motivated responding for ethanol. *Psychopharmacology*. 1999; 147:81–89. [PubMed: 10591872]
- June HL, Murphy JM, Hewitt RL, Greene TL, Lin M, Mellor-Burke JJ, Lumeng L, Li TK. Benzodiazepine receptor ligands with different intrinsic efficacies alter ethanol intake in alcohol-nonpreferring (NP) rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1996b; 14:55–66. [PubMed: 8719030]
- June HL, Murphy JM, Mellor-Burke JJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. The benzodiazepine inverse agonist RO19-4603 exerts prolonged and selective suppression of ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 1994b; 115:325–331. [PubMed: 7871072]
- June HL, Torres L, Cason CR, Hwang BH, Braun MR, Murphy JM. The novel benzodiazepine inverse agonist RO19-4603 antagonizes ethanol motivated behaviors: neuropharmacological studies. *Brain Res.* 1998e; 784:256–275. [PubMed: 9518641]

- June HL, Zuccarelli D, Torres L, Craig KS, DeLong J, Allen A, et al. High-affinity benzodiazepine antagonists reduce responding maintained by ethanol presentation in ethanol-preferring rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 1998f; 284:1006–1014. [PubMed: 9495861]
- Jupp B, Krivdic B, Krstew E, Lawrence AJ. The orexin-1 receptor antagonist SB-334867 dissociates the motivational properties of alcohol and sucrose in rats. *Brain Res.* 2011a; 139:54–59.
- Jupp B, Krstew E, Deszi G, Lawrence AJ. Discrete cue-conditioned alcohol-seeking after protracted abstinence: pattern of neural activation and involvement of orexin-1 receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2011b; 162:880–889. [PubMed: 20973776]
- Jupp B, Lawrence AJ. New horizons for therapeutics in drug and alcohol abuse. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2010; 125:138–168. [PubMed: 19917308]
- Kaffman, A., Krystal, JJ. New frontiers in animal research of psychiatric illness. In: Kobeissy, FH., editor. *Psychiatric Disorders: Methods and Protocols.* Springer Humana Press; New York: 2012. p. 3-30.
- Kalueff, AV. *Animal Models in Biological Psychiatry.* Nova Science Publishers; New York: 2006.
- Kalueff, AV., Bergner, CL. *Transgenic and Mutant Tools to Model Brain Disorders.* Humana Press; Totowa, New Jersey: 2010.
- Kampov-Polevoy A, Lange L, Bobashev G, Eggleston B, Root T, Garbutt JC. Sweet-liking is associated with transformation of heavy drinking into alcohol-related problems in young adults with high novelty seeking. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:2119–2126. [PubMed: 24962796]
- Kampov-Polevoy AB, Matthews DB, Gause L, Morrow AL, Overstreet DH. P rats develop physical dependence on alcohol via voluntary drinking: changes in seizure thresholds, anxiety, and patterns of alcohol drinking. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2000; 24:278–284. [PubMed: 10776663]
- Kandel D, Chen K, Warner LA, Kessler RC, Grant B. Prevalence and demographic correlates of symptoms of last year dependence on alcohol, nicotine, marijuana and cocaine in the U.S. population. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 1997; 44:11–29. [PubMed: 9031816]
- Kandel DB, Logan JA. Patterns of drug use from adolescence to young adulthood: I. Periods of risk for initiation continued use and discontinuation. *Amer J. Public Health.* 1984; 74:660–666. [PubMed: 6611092]
- Kapoor M, Wang J-C, Wetherill L, Le N, Bertelsen S, Hinrichs AL, Budde J, Agrawal A, Bucholz K, Dick D, Harari O, Hesselbrock V, Kramer J, Nurnberger JI, Rice J, Saccone N, Schuckit M, Tischfield J, Porjesz B, Edenberg HJ, Bierut L, Foroud T, Goate A. A meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies to identify novel loci for maximum number of alcoholic drinks. *Hum. Genet.* 2013; 132:1141–1151. [PubMed: 23743675]
- Karahanian E, Quintanilla ME, Fernandez K, Israel Y. Fenofibrate—a lipid lowering drug—reduces voluntary alcohol drinking in rats. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:665–670. [PubMed: 25241056]
- Katner SN, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Alcohol intake of P rats is regulated by muscarinic receptors in the pedunculopontine nucleus and VTA. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1997; 58:497–504. [PubMed: 9300611]
- Katner SN, Oster SM, Ding ZM, Deehan GA Jr, Toalston JE, Hauser SR, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Alcohol-preferring (P) rats are more sensitive than Wistar rats to the reinforcing effects of cocaine self-administered directly into the nucleus accumbens shell. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2011; 99:688–695. [PubMed: 21723879]
- Kerwin, RW., Arranz, MJ. Psychopharmacogenetics. In: McGuffin, P., Owen, MJ., Gottesman, II., editors. *Psychiatric Genetics & Genomics.* Oxford University Press; New York: 2002. p. 397-417.
- King AC, De Wit H, McNamara PJ, Cao D. Rewarding, stimulant, and sedative alcohol responses and relationship to future binge drinking. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 2011; 68:389–399. [PubMed: 21464363]
- King AC, Houle T, De Wit H, Holdstock L, Schuster A. Biphasic alcohol response differs in heavy versus light drinkers. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:827–835. [PubMed: 12068251]
- Kippin TE. Adaptations underlying the development of excessive alcohol intake in selectively bred mice. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:36–39. [PubMed: 24354427]
- Kirby LG, Zeeb FD, Winstanley CA. Contributions of serotonin in addiction vulnerability. *Neuropharmacology.* 2011; 61:421–432. [PubMed: 21466815]

- Knapp, DJ., Breese, GR. Models of chronic alcohol exposure and dependence. In: Kobeissy, FH., editor. *Psychiatric Disorders: Methods and Protocols*. Springer Humana Press; New York: 2012. p. 205-230.
- Knapp DJ, Overstreet DH, Ange RA, Navarro M, Breese GR. The amygdala regulates the antianxiety sensitization effect of flumazenil during repeated chronic ethanol or repeated stress. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2007a; 31:1872–1882. [PubMed: 17908266]
- Knapp DJ, Overstreet DH, Breese GR. Baclofen blocks expression and sensitization of anxiety-like behavior in an animal model of repeated stress and ethanol withdrawal. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2007b; 31:582–595. [PubMed: 17374037]
- Knight CP, Hauser SR, Deehan GA Jr, Toalston JE, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. Oral conditioned cues can enhance or inhibit ethanol (EtOH)-seeking and EtOH-relapse drinking by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2016; 40:906–915. [PubMed: 27038599]
- Kobeissy, FH. *Psychiatric Disorders: Methods and Protocols*. Springer Humana Press; New York: 2012.
- Koistinen M, Tuomainen P, Hyytia P, Kiiianmaa K. Naltrexone suppresses ethanol intake in 6-hydroxydopamine-treated rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001; 25:1605–1612. [PubMed: 11707635]
- Koob GF. Animal models of craving for ethanol. *Addiction.* 2000; 95:S73–S81. [PubMed: 11002904]
- Koob, GF. The role of animal models in reward deficit disorders: views from academia. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Reward Deficit Disorders*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2008. p. 59-89.
- Koob GF. Dynamics of neuronal circuits in addiction: reward, antireward, and emotional memory. *Pharmacopsychiatry.* 2009; 42(Suppl. 1):S32–S41. [PubMed: 19434554]
- Koob, GF. Theoretical frameworks and mechanistic aspects of alcohol addiction: alcohol addiction as a reward deficit disorder. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 3-30.
- Koob GF. Neurocircuitry of alcohol addiction: synthesis from animal models. *Hand Clin. Neurol.* 2014; 125:33–54.
- Koob, GF., Arends, MA., LeMoal, M. *Drugs, Addiction, and the Brain*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2014a.
- Koob GF, Buck CL, Cohen A, Edwards S, Park PE, Schlosburg JE, et al. Addiction as a stress surfeit disorder. *Neuropharmacology.* 2014b; 76:370–382. [PubMed: 23747571]
- Koob, GF., Le Moal, M. *Neurobiology of Addiction*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2006.
- Koob GF, Le Moal M. Neurobiological mechanisms for opponent motivational processes in addiction. *Phil Trans. R. Soc. B.* 2008; 363:3113–3123. [PubMed: 18653439]
- Koob, GF., LeMoal, M. Neurobiological mechanisms for opponent motivational processes in addiction. In: Robbins, TW, Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. *The Neurobiology of Addiction*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 7-23.
- Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurocircuitry of addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2010; 35:217–238. [PubMed: 19710631]
- Kornet M, Goosen C, Van Ree JM. The effect of interrupted alcohol supply on spontaneous alcohol consumption by rhesus monkeys. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1990; 4:407–412.
- Korpi ER. Effects of alpha-2-adrenergic drugs on the alcohol consumption of alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 1990; 66:283–286. [PubMed: 1973538]
- Kozak MJ, Cuthbert BN. The NIMH research domain criteria initiative: background, issues, and pragmatics. *Psychophysiology.* 2016; 53:286–297. [PubMed: 26877115]
- Krimmer EC, Schechter MD. HAD and LAD rats respond differently to stimulating effect but not discriminative effects of ethanol. *Alcohol.* 1991; 9:71–74.
- Krishnan-Sarin S, Wand GS, Li XW, Portoghese PS, Froehlich JC. Effect of mu opioid receptor blockade on alcohol intake in rats bred for high alcohol drinking. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1998; 59:627–635. [PubMed: 9512064]

- Kulkosky PJ, Carr BA, Flores RK, LaHeist AF, Hopkins LM. Conditioned taste aversions induced by alcohol and lithium in rats selectively bred for ethanol neurosensitivity. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1995; 19:945–950. [PubMed: 7485843]
- Kuntsche E, Rehm J, Gmel G. Characteristics of binge drinkers in Europe. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 2004; 59:113–127. [PubMed: 15087148]
- Lange LA, Kampov-Polevoy AB, Garbutt JC. Sweet liking and high novelty seeking: independent phenotypes associated with alcohol-related problems. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2010; 45:431–436. [PubMed: 20663854]
- Lankford MF, Bjork AK, Myers RD. Differential efficacy of serotonergic drugs FG5974, FG5893, and amperozide in reducing alcohol drinking in P rats. *Alcohol.* 1996a; 13:399–404. [PubMed: 8836330]
- Lankford MF, Myers RD. Opiate and 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptors in alcohol drinking: preference in HAD rats is inhibited by combination treatment with naltrexone and amperozide. *Alcohol.* 1996; 13:53–57. [PubMed: 8837935]
- Lankford MF, Roscoe AK, Pennington SN, Myers RD. Drinking of high concentrations of ethanol versus palatable fluids in alcohol-preferring (P) rats: valid animal model of alcoholism. *Alcohol.* 1991; 8:293–299. [PubMed: 1908249]
- Lasseter, HC., Xie, X., Ramirez, DR., Fuchs, RA. Prefrontal cortical regulation of drug seeking in animal models of drug relapse. In: Self, DW., Staley, JK., editors. *Behavioral Neuroscience of Drug Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2010. p. 101-117.
- Le AD, Harding S, Juzysch W, Watchus J, Shalev U, Shaham Y. The role of corticotrophin-releasing factor in stress-induced relapse to alcohol-seeking behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2000; 150:317–324. [PubMed: 10923760]
- Le AD, Israel Y, Juzysch W, Quan B, Harding S. Genetic selection for high and low alcohol consumption in a limited access paradigm. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001a; 25:1613–1620. [PubMed: 11707636]
- Le AD, Kiiianmaa K, Cunningham CL, Engel JA, Ericson M, Soderpalm B, Koob GF, Roberts AJ, Weiss F, Hyytia P, Janhunen S, Mikkola J, Backstrom P, Ponomarev I, Crabbe JC. Neurobiological processes in alcohol addiction. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001b; 25:144S–151S. [PubMed: 11391064]
- Lê AD, Li Z, Funk D, Shram M, Li TK, Shaham Y. Increased vulnerability to nicotine self administration and relapse in alcohol-naïve offspring of rats selectively bred for high alcohol intake. *J. Neurosci.* 2006; 26:1872–1879. [PubMed: 16467536]
- Lê, AD., Mayer, JM. Aspects of alcohol tolerance in humans and experimental animals. In: Deitrich, RA., Erwin, VG., editors. *Pharmacological Effects of Ethanol on the Nervous System*. CRC Press; Boca Raton, FL: 1996. p. 251-268.
- Le AD, Poulos CX, Harding S, Watchus J, Juzysch W, Shaham Y. Effects of naltrexone and fluoxetine on alcohol self-administration and reinstatement of alcohol seeking induced by priming injections of alcohol and exposure to stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 1999; 21:435–444. [PubMed: 10457541]
- Le AD, Wang A, Harding S, Juzysch W, Shaham Y. Nicotine increases alcohol self-administration and reinstates alcohol seeking in rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2003; 168:216–221. [PubMed: 12536264]
- Lester D, Freed EX. Criteria for an animal model of alcoholism. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1973; 1:103–107. [PubMed: 4204511]
- Levey DF, Le-Niculescu H, Frank J, Ayalew M, Jain N, Kirlin B, Learman R, Winiger E, Rodd Z, Shekhar A, Schork N, Kiefe F, Wodarz N, Muller-Myhsok B, Dahmen N, GESGA Consortium. Nothen M, Sherva R, Farrer L, Smith AH, Kranzler HR, Rietschel M, Gelernter J, Niculescu AB. Genetic risk prediction and neurobiological understanding of alcoholism. *Transl. Psychiatry.* 2014; 4:e391. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/tp.2014.29>. [PubMed: 24844177]
- Levy AD, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Microinjection of sulpiride into the nucleus accumbens increases ethanol intake of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1991; 1(Suppl. 1):417–420.



- Li T-K, Lumeng L, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. Rodent lines selected for factors affecting alcohol consumption. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1987; 1(Suppl. 1):91–96.
- Liang JH, Chen F, Krstew E, Cowen MS, Carroll FY, Crawford D, et al. The GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor allosteric modulator CGP7930, like baclofen, reduces operant self-administration of ethanol in alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuropharmacology*. 2006; 50:632–639. [PubMed: 16406445]
- Lieber CS, DeCarli LM. Liquid diet technique of ethanol administration: 1989 update. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1989; 24:197–211. [PubMed: 2667528]
- Liddle, HA., Rowe, CL. *Adolescent Substance Abuse: Research and Clinical Advances*. Cambridge University Press; New York: 2006.
- Lintunen M, Hyytia P, Sallmen T, Karlstedt K, Tuomisto L, Leurs R, et al. Increased brain histamine in an alcohol-preferring rat line and modulation of ethanol consumption by H3 receptor mechanisms. *FASEB J*. 2001; 15:1074–1076. [PubMed: 11292672]
- Litten RZ, Egli M, Heilig M, Cui C, Fertig JB, Ryan ML, Falk DE, Moss H, Huebner R, Noronha A. Medications development to treat alcohol dependence: a vision for the next decade. *Addict. Biol*. 2012; 17:513–527. [PubMed: 22458728]
- Little, HJ., McKinzie, DL., Setnik, B., Shram, MJ., Sellers, EM. Pharmacotherapy of alcohol dependence: improving translation from the bench to the clinic. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Reward Deficit Disorders*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2008. p. 91-178.
- Littleton J. Can craving be modeled in animals? The relapse prevention perspective. *Addiction*. 2000; 95:S83–S90. [PubMed: 11002905]
- Liu J, Yang AR, Kelly T, Puche A, Esoga C, June HL Jr, et al. Binge alcohol drinking is associated with GABAA  $\alpha$ 2-regulated toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) expression in the central amygdala. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2011; 108:4465–4470. [PubMed: 21368176]
- Lobina C, Agabio R, Diaz G, Fa M, Fadda F, Gessa GL, Reali R, Colombo G. Constant absolute ethanol intake by Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats independent of ethanol concentrations. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 1997; 32:19–22. [PubMed: 9131887]
- Loi B, Lobina C, Maccioni P, Fantini N, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, et al. Increase in alcohol intake, reduced flexibility of alcohol drinking, and evidence of signs of alcohol intoxication in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats exposed to intermittent access to 20% alcohol. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2010; 34:2147–2154. [PubMed: 20860609]
- Long TA, Kalmus GW, Bjork A, Myers RD. Alcohol intake in high alcohol drinking (HAD) rats is suppressed by FG5865, a novel 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonist/5-HT<sub>2</sub> antagonist. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1996; 53:33–40. [PubMed: 8848457]
- Lopez MF, Becker HC. Operant ethanol self-administration in ethanol dependent mice. *Alcohol*. 2014; 48:295–299. [PubMed: 24721194]
- Lukas SE, Mendelson JH, Benedikt RA, Jones B. EEG alpha activity increases during transient episodes of ethanol-induced euphoria. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1986; 25:889–895. [PubMed: 3786347]
- Lumeng, L., Hawkins, TD., Li, T-K. New strains of rats with alcohol preference and non-preference. In: Thurman, RG, Williamson, JR, Drott, H., Chance, B., editors. *Alcohol and Aldehyde Metabolizing Systems*. Vol. 3. Academic Press; New York: 1977. p. 537-544.
- Lumeng L, Li T-K. The development of metabolic tolerance in the alcohol-preferring P rats: comparison of forced and free-choice drinking of ethanol. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1986; 25:1013–1020. [PubMed: 3786353]
- Lynch WJ, Bond C, Breslin FJ, Johnson BA. Severity of drinking as a predictor of efficacy of the combination of ondansetron and topiramate in rat models of ethanol consumption and relapse. *Psychopharmacology*. 2011; 217:3–12. [PubMed: 21424693]
- Lynch WJ, Nicholson KL, Dance ME, Morgan RW, Foley PL. Animal models of substance abuse and addiction: implications for science, animal welfare, and society. *Comp. Med*. 2010; 60:177–188. [PubMed: 20579432]
- Lyons AM, Lowery EG, Sparta DR, Thiele TE. Effects of food availability and administration of orexigenic and anorectic agents on elevated ethanol drinking associated with drinking in the dark procedures. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res*. 2008; 32:1962–1968. [PubMed: 18782340]

- Maccioni P, Bienkowski P, Carai MA, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Baclofen attenuates cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior in Sardinian alcohol-preferring (sP) rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2008a; 95:284–287. [PubMed: 18374518]
- Maccioni P, Carai MAM, Kaupmann K, Guery S, Froestl W, Leite-Morris KA, et al. Reduction of alcohol's reinforcing and motivational properties by the positive allosteric modulator of the GABA-B receptor, BHF177, in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2009; 33:1749–1756. [PubMed: 19572981]
- Maccioni P, Fantini N, Froestl W, Carai MA, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Specific reduction of alcohol's motivational properties by the positive allosteric modulator of the GABAB receptor, GS39783—comparison with the effect of the GABAB receptor direct agonist, baclofen. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008b; 32:1558–1564. [PubMed: 18616673]
- Maccioni P, Flore P, Carai MA, Mugnaini C, Pasquini S, Corelli F, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Reduction by the positive allosteric modulator of the GABA(B) receptor, GS39783, of alcohol self-administration in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats exposed to the “Sipper” procedure. *Front. Psychiatry.* 2010a; 1:20. [PubMed: 21423431]
- Maccioni P, Orru A, Korkosz A, Gessa GL, Carai MA, Colombo G, Bienkowski P. Cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol seeking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol.* 2007a; 41:31–39. [PubMed: 17452297]
- Maccioni P, Pes D, Orru A, Froestl W, Gessa GL, Carai MAM, et al. Reducing effect of the positive allosteric modulator of the GABA-B receptor, GS39783, on alcohol self-administration in alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2007b; 193:171–178. [PubMed: 17393141]
- Maccioni P, Serra S, Vacca G, Orru A, Pes D, Agabio R, Addolorato G, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Baclofen-induced reduction of alcohol reinforcement in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol.* 2005; 36:161–168. [PubMed: 16377457]
- Maccioni P, Thomas AW, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, Malherbe P, Colombo G. The positive allosteric modulator of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, *rac*-BHFF, suppresses alcohol self-administration. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2010b; 109:96–103. [PubMed: 20089372]
- Maccioni P, Vargiolu D, Thomas AW, Malherbe P, Mugnaini C, Corelli F, Leite-Morris KA, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Inhibition of alcohol self-administration by positive allosteric modulators of the GABAB receptor in rats: lack of tolerance and potentiation of baclofen. *Psychopharmacology.* 2015; 232:1831–1841. [PubMed: 25420609]
- Maccioni P, Zaru A, Loi B, Lobina C, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, Capra A, Mugnaini C, Pasquini S, Corelli F, Hyytiä P, Lumeng L, Colombo G. Comparison of the effect of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor agonist, baclofen, and the positive allosteric modulator of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, GS39783, on alcohol self-administration in three different lines of alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2012; 36:1748–1766. [PubMed: 22486245]
- Mackenzie CS, El-Gabalaway R, Chou K-L, Sareen J. Prevalence and predictors of persistent versus remitting mood, anxiety, and substance disorders in a national sample of older adults. *Amer J. Ger. Psych.* 2014; 22:854–865.
- MacKillop, J., Acker, J. Enhancing addiction genetics via behavioral economic intermediate phenotypes. In: MacKillop, J., Munafo, MR., editors. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach.* MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013. p. 157-187.
- MacKillop, J., Munafo, MR. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach.* MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013.
- MacNamara A, Phan KL. Psychobiological operationalization of RDoC constructs: methodological and conceptual opportunities and challenges. *Psychophysiology.* 2016; 53:406–409. [PubMed: 26877133]
- Maldonado-Devincci, AM., Stevens, SM., Jr, Kirstein, CL. Investigation of age-specific behavioral and proteomic changes in an animal model of chronic ethanol exposure. In: Kobeissy, FH., editor. *Psychiatric Disorders: Methods and Protocols.* Springer Humana Press; New York: 2012. p. 471-485.
- Malinen H, Hyytiä P. Ethanol self-administration is regulated by CB1 receptors in the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental area in alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008; 32:1976–1983. [PubMed: 18782338]

- Marczinski, CA., Grant, EC., Grant, VJ. Binge Drinking in Adolescents and College Students. Nova Science Publishers; New York: 2009.
- Mardones J, Segovia-Riquelme N. Thirty-two years of selection of rats by ethanol preference: UChA and UChB strains. *Neurobehav. Toxicol. Terat.* 1983; 5:171–178.
- Martin-Fardon, R., Weiss, F. Modeling relapse in animals. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 403-432.
- Martinic, M., Measham, F. *Swimming with Crocodiles: the Culture of Extreme Drinking*. Routledge/Taylor and Francis Group; New York: 2008.
- Mason GA, Rezvani AH, Grady DR, Garbutt JC. The subchronic effects of the TRH analog TA-0910 and bromocriptine on alcohol preference in alcohol-preferring rats: development of tolerance and cross-tolerance. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1994; 18:1196–1201. [PubMed: 7847606]
- Mason GA, Rezvani AH, Overstreet DH, Harmidi M, Walker CH, Yang Y, Garbutt JC. Involvement of dopamine D2 receptors in the suppressive effect of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone analog TA-0910 on alcohol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1997; 21:1623–1629. [PubMed: 9438522]
- Mayfield J, Arends MA, Harris RA, Blednov YA. Genes and alcohol consumption: studies with mutant mice. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 2016; 126:293–355. [PubMed: 27055617]
- McArthur, RA., Borsini, F. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Psychiatric Disorders*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2008a.
- McArthur, RA., Borsini, F. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Neurological Disorders*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2008b.
- McArthur, RA., Borsini, F. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Reward Deficit Disorders*. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2008c.
- McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Edenberg HJ, Liang T, Rodd ZA, Bell RL. Changes in gene expression within the extended amygdala following binge-like alcohol drinking by adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2014a; 117:52–60. [PubMed: 24355552]
- McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Hauser SR, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL, Rodd ZA. Changes in gene expression within the ventral tegmental area following repeated excessive binge-like alcohol drinking by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2013a; 47:367–380. [PubMed: 23714385]
- McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Hyytia P, Colombo G, Edenberg HJ, Lumeng L, Bell RL. Gene expression in the ventral tegmental area of 5 pairs of rat lines selectively bred for high or low ethanol consumption. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2012; 102:275–285. [PubMed: 22579914]
- McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, McClintick JN, Ding Z-M, Hyytia P, Colombo G, Liang T, Edenberg HJ, Lumeng L, Bell RL. Gene expression within the extended amygdala of 5 pairs of rat lines selectively bred for high or low ethanol consumption. *Alcohol.* 2013b; 47:517–529. [PubMed: 24157127]
- McBride WJ, Kimpel MW, Schultz JA, McClintick JN, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL. Changes in gene expression in regions of the extended amygdala of alcohol-preferring rats after binge-like alcohol drinking. *Alcohol.* 2010; 44:171–183. [PubMed: 20116196]
- McBride WJ, Li T-K. Animal models of alcoholism: neurobiology of high alcohol-drinking behavior in rodents. *Crit. Rev. Neurobiol.* 1998; 12:339–369. [PubMed: 10348615]
- McBride WJ, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of Ro 15-4513, fluoxetine and desipramine on the intake of ethanol, water and food by the alcohol-preferring (P) and –nonpreferring (NP) lines of rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1988; 30:1045–1050. [PubMed: 3265788]
- McBride WJ, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li TK. Spiroxa-trine augments fluoxetine-induced reduction of ethanol intake by the P line of rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1989; 34:381–386. [PubMed: 2533688]
- McBride WJ, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Serotonin, dopamine and GABA involvement in alcohol drinking of selectively bred rats. *Alcohol.* 1990; 7:199–205. [PubMed: 2184832]

- McBride WJ, Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Lumeng L, Li T-K. The alcohol-preferring (P) and high-alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats—animal models of alcoholism. *Alcohol*. 2014b; 48:209–215. [PubMed: 24268381]
- McClintick JN, McBride WJ, Bell RL, Ding Z-M, Liu Y, Xuei X, Edenberg HJ. Gene expression changes in serotonin, GABA-A receptors, neuropeptides and ion channels in the dorsal raphe nucleus of adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats following binge-like alcohol drinking. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2015; 129:87–96. [PubMed: 25542586]
- McClintick JN, McBride WJ, Bell RL, Ding Z-M, Liu Y, Xiaoling X, Edenberg HJ. Gene expression changes in glutamate and GABA-A receptors, neuropeptides, ion channels and cholesterol synthesis in the periaqueductal gray following binge-like alcohol drinking by adolescent alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2016; 40:955–968. [PubMed: 27061086]
- McKay PF, Foster KL, Mason D, Cummings R, Garcia M, Williams LS, Grey C, McCane S, He X, Cook JM, June HL. A high affinity ligand for GABAA-receptor containing alpha5 subunit antagonizes ethanol's neurobehavioral effects in Long-Evans rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2004; 172:455–462. [PubMed: 14666398]
- McKinney, WT. *Models of Mental Disorders: a New Comparative Psychiatry*. Plenum Medical Book Company; New York: 1988.
- McKinney WT. Overview of the past contributions of animal models and their changing place in psychiatry. *Sem. Clin. Psych.* 2001; 6:68–78.
- McKinzie DL, Nowak KL, Yorger L, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. The alcohol deprivation effect in the alcohol-preferring P rat under free- drinking and operant access conditions. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1998; 22:1170–1176. [PubMed: 9726292]
- Meisch RA. The function of schedule-induced polydipsia in establishing ethanol as a positive reinforcer. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 1975; 27:465–473. [PubMed: 1223913]
- Meisch RA. Oral drug self-administration: an overview of laboratory animal studies. *Alcohol*. 2001; 24:117–128. [PubMed: 11522433]
- Melendez RI, Rodd ZA, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. Dopamine receptor regulation of ethanol intake and extracellular dopamine levels in the ventral pallidum of alcohol preferring (P) rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2005; 77:293–301. [PubMed: 15734229]
- Melendez RI, Rodd-Henricks ZA, Engleman EA, Li T-K, McBride WJ, Murphy JM. Microdialysis of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens of alcohol-preferring (P) rats during anticipation and operant self-administration of ethanol. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:318–325. [PubMed: 11923583]
- Mello NK, Mendelson MD. Drinking patterns during work-contingent and noncontingent alcohol acquisition. *Psychosom. Med.* 1972; 34:139–164. [PubMed: 5017102]
- Merikangas, KR., Merikangas, AK. Contribution of genetic epidemiology to our understanding of psychiatric disorders. In: Lehner, T.Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 27-50.
- Metten P, Iancu OD, Spence SE, Walter NA, Oberbeck D, Harrington CA, Colville A, McWeeney S, Phillips TJ, Buck KJ, Crabbe JC, Belknap JK, Hitzemann RJ. Dual-trait selection for ethanol consumption and withdrawal: genetic and transcriptional network effects. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:2915–2924. [PubMed: 25581648]
- Meyerhoff, DJ., Durazzo, TC., Ende, G. Chronic alcohol consumption, abstinence and relapse: brain proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies in animals and humans. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 511-540.
- Miczek, KA. Challenges for translational psychopharmacology research: the need for conceptual principles. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Psychiatric Disorders*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2008. p. 97-115.
- Millan, MJ. The discovery and development of pharmacotherapy for psychiatric disorders: a critical survey of animal and translational models and perspectives for their improvement. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. *Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Psychiatric Disorders*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2008. p. 1-57.
- Miller, ET., Turner, AP., Marlatt, GA. The harm reduction approach to the secondary prevention of alcohol problems in adolescents and young adults: considerations across a developmental

- spectrum. In: Monti, PM, Colby, SM., O'Leary, TA., editors. *Adolescents, Alcohol, and Substance Abuse: Reaching Teens through Brief Interventions*. Guilford Press; New York: 2001. p. 58-79.
- Moeller SJ, London ED, Northoff G. Neuroimaging markers of glutamatergic and GABAergic systems in drug addiction: relationships to resting-state functional connectivity. *Neurosci. Biobehav Rev.* 2016; 61:35–52. [PubMed: 26657968]
- Mokdad A, Marks J, Stroup D, Gerberding J. Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2004; 291:1238–1245.
- Monti, PM., Colby, SM., O'Leary, TA. *Adolescents, Alcohol, and Substance Abuse: Reaching Teens through Brief Interventions*. The Guilford Press; New York: 2001.
- Moore EM, Boehm SL. Site-specific microinjection of baclofen into the anterior ventral tegmental area reduces binge-like ethanol intake in male C57BL/6J mice. *Behav. Neurosci.* 2009; 123:555–563. [PubMed: 19485562]
- Morzorati SL, Ramchandani VA, Flury L, Li T-K, O'Connor S. Self-reported subjective perception of intoxication reflects family history of alcoholism when breath alcohol levels are constant. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002; 26:1299–1306. [PubMed: 12198408]
- Muller, DJ., Likhodi, O., Heinz, A. Neural markers of genetic vulnerability to drug addiction. In: Self, DW., Staley, JK., editors. *Behavioral Neuroscience of Drug Addiction*. Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2010. p. 277-299.
- Muller-Oehring EM, Jung YC, Pfefferbaum A, Sullivan EV, Schulte T. The resting brain of alcoholics. *Cereb. Cort.* 2015a; 25:4155–4168.
- Muller-Oehring EM, Sullivan EV, Pfefferbaum A, Huang NC, Poston KL, Bronte-Stewart HM, Schulte T. Task-rest modulation of basal ganglia connectivity in mild to moderate Parkinson's disease. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2015b; 9:619–638. [PubMed: 25280970]
- Murphy JM, Gatto GJ, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Operant responding for oral ethanol in the alcohol-preferring P and alcohol-nonpreferring NP lines of rats. *Alcohol.* 1989; 6:127–131. [PubMed: 2713085]
- Murphy JM, Gatto GJ, Waller MB, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of scheduled access on ethanol intake by the alcohol-preferring (P) line of rats. *Alcohol.* 1986; 3:331–336. [PubMed: 3778650]
- Murphy JM, Stewart RB, Bell RL, Badia-Elder NE, Carr LG, McBride WJ, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of the Indiana University rat lines selectively bred for high and low alcohol preference. *Behav. Genet.* 2002; 32:363–388. [PubMed: 12405517]
- Murphy JM, Waller MB, Gatto GJ, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of fluoxetine on the intragastric self-administration of ethanol in the alcohol preferring P line of rats. *Alcohol.* 1988; 5:283–286. [PubMed: 3265874]
- Murphy JM, Waller MB, Gatto GJ, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Monoamine uptake inhibitors attenuate ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 1985; 2:349–352. [PubMed: 3925968]
- Nader, MA. Animal models for addiction medicine: from vulnerable phenotypes to addicted individuals. In: Ekhtiari, H., Paulus, MP., editors. *Neuroscience for Addiction Medicine: from Prevention to Rehabilitation—methods and Interventions*. Elsevier; Cambridge, MA: 2016. p. 3-24.
- Navarro M, Cubero I, Thiele TE. Deletion of agouti-related protein blunts ethanol self-administration and binge-like drinking in mice. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2009; 8:450–458. [PubMed: 19566712]
- Nelson CB, Heath AC, Kessler RC. Temporal progression of alcohol dependence symptoms in the U.S. household population: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. *J. Consult Clin. Psychol.* 1998; 66:474–483. [PubMed: 9642885]
- Nestler EJ, Hyman SE. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2010; 13:1161–1169. [PubMed: 20877280]
- Newlin DB, Thomson JB. Alcohol challenge with sons of alcoholics: a critical review and analysis. *Psychol. Bull.* 1990; 108:383–402. [PubMed: 2270234]
- Newlin DB, Thomson JB. Chronic tolerance and sensitization to alcohol in sons of alcoholics: II. Replication and reanalysis. *Exp. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 1999; 7:234–243. [PubMed: 10472511]

- NIAAA. Underage drinking. NIAAA fact sheet. 2012. Available at: [http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/UnderageDrinking/Underage\\_Fact.pdf](http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/UnderageDrinking/Underage_Fact.pdf). Published March 2012
- NIAAA National Advisory Council. NIAAA Council approves definition of binge drinking. NIAAA Newsl. 2004; 3:5.
- Noronha, ABC., Cui, C., Harris, RA., Crabbe, JC. Neurobiology of Alcohol Dependence. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2014.
- Nowak KL, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Blocking GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in the anterior ventral tegmental area attenuates ethanol intake of the alcohol-preferring P rat. Psychopharmacology. 1998; 139:108–116. [PubMed: 9768548]
- Nowak KL, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Involvement of dopamine D<sub>2</sub> autoreceptors in the ventral tegmental area on alcohol and saccharin intake of the alcohol-preferring P rat. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2000; 24:476–483. [PubMed: 10798583]
- Nurnberger, JI., Jr, Berrettini, WH. Principles of Psychiatric Genetics. Cambridge University Press; New York: 2012.
- Obara I, Bell RL, Gouilding SP, Reyes CM, Larson LA, Ary AW, Truitt WA, Szumlinski KK. Differential effects of chronic ethanol consumption and withdrawal on Homer/glutamate receptor expression in subregions of the accumbens and amygdala of P rats. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2009; 33:1924–1934. [PubMed: 19673743]
- Oberlin B, Best C, Matson L, Henderson A, Grahame N. Derivation and characterization of replicate high- and low-alcohol preferring lines of mice and a high-drinking crossed HAP line. Behav. Genet. 2011; 41:288–302. [PubMed: 20853157]
- Ocaranza P, Quintanilla ME, Tampier L, Karahanian E, Sapag A, Israel Y. Gene therapy reduces ethanol intake in an animal model of alcohol dependence. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2008; 32:52–57. [PubMed: 18070247]
- Olmstead, MC. Animal Models of Drug Addiction. Springer Science; New York: 2011.
- O'Neil ML, Beckwith LE, Kincaid CL, Rasmussen DD. The alpha1-adrenergic receptor antagonist, doxazosin, reduces alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2013; 37:202–212. [PubMed: 22758213]
- Orr TE, Whitford-Stoddard JL, Elkins RL. Taste aversion prone (TAP) rats and taste aversion resistant (TAR) rats differ in ethanol self-administration, but not in ethanol clearance or general consumption. Alcohol. 2004; 33:1–7. [PubMed: 15353168]
- Orrù A, Lai P, Lobina C, Maccioni P, Piras P, Scanu L, et al. Reducing effect of the positive allosteric modulators of the GABA-B receptor, CGP7930 and GS39783, on alcohol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2005; 525:105–111. [PubMed: 16289452]
- Oster SM, Toalston JE, Kuc KA, Pommer TJ, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of multiple alcohol deprivations on operant ethanol self-administration by the high alcohol-drinking (HAD) replicate rat lines. Alcohol. 2006; 38:155–164. [PubMed: 16905441]
- Ostroumov A, Thomas AM, Dani JA, Doyon WM. Cigarettes and alcohol: the influence of nicotine on operant alcohol self-administration and the mesolimbic dopamine system. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2015; 97:550–557. [PubMed: 26253689]
- O'Tousa D, Grahame N. Habit formation: implications for alcoholism research. Alcohol. 2014; 48:327–335. [PubMed: 24835007]
- Overstreet DH, Knapp CJ, Breese GR. Drug challenges reveal differences in mediation of stress facilitation of voluntary alcohol drinking and withdrawal-induced anxiety in alcohol-preferring P rats. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2007; 31:1473–1481. [PubMed: 17624999]
- Overstreet DH, McArthur RA, Rezvani AH, Post C. Selective inhibition of alcohol intake in diverse alcohol-preferring rats strains by 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonists amperozide and FG 5974. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 1997; 21:1448–1454. [PubMed: 9394117]
- Overstreet DH, Rezvani AH, Cowen M, Chen F, Lawrence AJ. Modulation of high alcohol drinking in the inbred Fawn-Hooded (FH/Wjd) rat strain: implications for treatment. Addict. Biol. 2006; 11:356–373. [PubMed: 16961764]
- Paivarinta P, Korpi ER. Voluntary ethanol drinking increases locomotor activity in alcohol-preferring AA rats. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 1993; 44:127–132. [PubMed: 8094249]

- Pankevich, DE., Wizemann, TM., Altevogt, BM. Improving the Utility and Translation of Animal Models for Nervous System Disorders. Institute of Medicine/The National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2013. Forum on Neuroscience and Nervous System Disorders.
- Panocka I, Ciccocioppo R, Mosca M, Polidori C, Massi M. Effects of the dopamine D1 receptor antagonist SCH 39166 on the ingestive behavior of alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 1995a; 120:227–235. [PubMed: 7480557]
- Panocka I, Ciccocioppo R, Polidori C, Pompei P, Massi M. The 5-HT4 receptor antagonist, GR113808, reduces ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1995b; 52:255–259. [PubMed: 8577787]
- Panocka I, Ciccocioppo R, Polidori C, Massi M. The nucleus accumbens is a site of action for the inhibitory effect of ritanserin on ethanol intake in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1993a; 46:857–862. [PubMed: 8309966]
- Panocka I, Ciccocioppo R, Pompei P, Massi M. 5-HT2 receptor antagonists do not reduce ethanol preference in Sardinian alcohol-preferring (sP) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav*. 1993b; 46:853–856. [PubMed: 7508629]
- Panocka I, Pompei P, Massi M. Suppression of alcohol preference in rats reduced by risperidone, a serotonin 5-HT2 and dopamine D2 receptor antagonist. *Brain Res. Bull*. 1993c; 31:595–599. [PubMed: 7684315]
- Panula P, Nuutinen S. Histamine and H<sub>3</sub> receptor in alcohol-related behaviors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther*. 2011; 336:9–16. [PubMed: 20864504]
- Parikshak, NN., Geschwind, DH. Gene networks in neuropsychiatric disease. In: Lehner, T.Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 161-178.
- Parkes JH, Sinclair JD. Reduction of alcohol drinking and upregulation of opioid receptors by oral naltrexone in AA rats. *Alcohol*. 2000; 21:215–221. [PubMed: 11091024]
- Pascual M, Boix J, Felipe V, Guerri C. Repeated alcohol administration during adolescence causes changes in the mesolimbic dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems and promotes alcohol intake in the adult rat. *J. Neurochem*. 2009; 108:920–931. [PubMed: 19077056]
- Pascual M, Pla A, Minarro J, Guerri C. Neuroimmune activation and myelin changes in adolescent rats exposed to high-dose alcohol and associated cognitive dysfunction: a review with reference to human adolescent drinking. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2014; 49:187–192. [PubMed: 24217958]
- Pautassi RM, Camarini R, Quadros IM, Miczek KA, Israel Y. Genetic and environmental influences on ethanol consumption: perspectives from preclinical research. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2010; 34:976–987. [PubMed: 20374217]
- Pepino MY, Mennella JA. Effects of cigarette smoking and family history of alcoholism on sweet taste perception and food cravings in women. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 2007; 31:1891–1899. [PubMed: 17949394]
- Perlis, RH. Psychiatric pharmacogenomics: translating genomics. In: Lehner, T.Miller, BL., State, MW., editors. *Genomics, Circuits, and Pathways in Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. Elsevier Academic Press; New York: 2016. p. 727-747.
- Perry JL, Corroll ME. The role of impulsive behavior in drug abuse. *Psychopharmacology*. 2008; 200:1–26. [PubMed: 18600315]
- Peterson JB, Pihl RO, Gianoulakis C, Conrod P, Finn PR, Stewart SH, et al. Ethanol-induced change in cardiac and endogenous opiate function and risk for alcoholism. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res*. 1996; 20:1542–1552. [PubMed: 8986201]
- Phillips TJ, Reed C, Pastor R. Preclinical evidence implicating corticotropin-releasing factor in ethanol consumption and neuroadaptation. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2015; 14:98–135. [PubMed: 25565358]
- Pierce, RC., Kenny, PJ. *Addiction*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; New York: 2013.
- Piercy KT, Bjork AK, Myers RD. The mixed 5-HT1A/2A receptor drug FG5938 suppresses alcohol drinking while enhancing feeding in P rats. *Alcohol*. 1996; 13:521–527. [PubMed: 8888950]
- Pitkanen T, Lyyra AL, Pulkkinen L. Age of onset of drinking and the use of alcohol in adulthood: a follow-up study from age 8—42 for females and males. *Addict*. 2005; 100:652–661.
- Plant, M., Plant, M. *Binge Britain: Alcohol and the National Response*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2006.

- Ploj K, Roman E, Kask A, Hyytia P, Schloth HB, Wikberg JES, et al. Effects of melanocortin receptor ligands on ethanol intake and opioid peptide levels in alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 2002; 59:97–104. [PubMed: 12379439]
- Polidori C, Geary N, Massi M. Effect of the melanocortin receptor stimulation or inhibition on ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Peptides.* 2006; 27:144–149. [PubMed: 16198024]
- Potgieter AS, Deckers F, Geerlings P. Craving and relapse measurement in alcoholism. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1999; 34:254–260. [PubMed: 10344785]
- Presley CA, Meilman PW, Lyerla R. Development of the core alcohol and drug survey: initial findings and future directions. *J. Amer Coll. Health.* 1994; 42:248–255. [PubMed: 8046164]
- Pritchard D. Classification in psychiatry: from a symptom based to a cause based model? *Psychiatra Danubina.* 2015; 27(Suppl. 1):7–20.
- Quine S, Stephenson JA. Predicting smoking and drinking intentions and behavior of pre-adolescents: the influence of parents, siblings, and peers. *Fam. Sys Med.* 1990; 8:191–200.
- Quintanilla ME, Israel Y, Sapag A, Tampier L. The UChA and UChB rat lines: metabolic and genetic differences influencing ethanol intake. *Addict. Biol.* 2006; 11:310–323. [PubMed: 16961761]
- Quintanilla ME, Israel Y, Sapag A, Tampier L. The UChA and UChB rat lines: metabolic and genetic differences influencing ethanol intake. *Addict. Biol.* 2013; 11:310–323.
- Quintanilla ME, Perez E, Tampier L. Baclofen reduces ethanol intake in high-alcohol-drinking University of Chile bibulous rats. *Addict. Biol.* 2008; 13:326–336. [PubMed: 18422834]
- Quintanilla ME, Tampier L. Place conditioning with ethanol in rats bred for high (UChB) and low (UChA) voluntary alcohol drinking. *Alcohol.* 2011; 45:751–762. [PubMed: 21816560]
- Quintanilla ME, Tampier L, Karahanian E, Rivera-Meza M, Herrera-Marschitz M, Israel Y. Reward and relapse: complete gene-induced dissociation in an animal model of alcohol dependence. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2012; 36:517–522. [PubMed: 21895710]
- Quintanilla ME, Tampier L, Sapag A, Israel Y. Polymorphisms in the mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase gene (*Aldh2*) determine peak blood acetaldehyde levels and voluntary ethanol consumption in rats. *Pharmacogenet Genom.* 2005a; 15:427–431.
- Quintanilla ME, Tampier L, Valle-Prieto A, Sapag A, Israel Y. Complex I regulates mutant mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase activity and voluntary ethanol consumption in rats. *FASEB J.* 2005b; 19:36–42. [PubMed: 15629893]
- Runfleh AM, Alazizi A, Sari Y. Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, attenuates relapse-like ethanol-drinking behavior in alcohol-preferring rats. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 2013; 27:541–549. [PubMed: 23518814]
- Ramsden E. Making animals alcoholic: shifting laboratory models of addiction. *J. Hist. Behav. Sci.* 2015; 51:164–194. [PubMed: 25740698]
- Rao PSS, Goodwani S, Bell RL, Wei Y, Boddu SHS, Sari Y. Effects of ampicillin, cefazolin and cefoperazone treatments on GLT-1 expressions in the mesocorticolimbic system and ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Neurosci.* 2015a; 295:164–174.
- Rao PSS, Sari Y. Effectiveness of ceftriaxone treatment in preventing relapse-like drinking behavior following long-term ethanol dependence in P rats. *J. Addict. Res. Ther.* 2014a; 5:1000183. [PubMed: 25685609]
- Rao PSS, Sari Y. Effects of ceftriaxone on chronic ethanol consumption: a potential role for xCT and GLT1 modulation of glutamate levels in male P rats. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 2014b; 54:71–77. [PubMed: 24535561]
- Rao PSS, Saternos H, Goodwani S, Sari Y. Effects of ceftriaxone on GLT1 isoforms, xCT and associated signaling pathways in P rats exposed to ethanol. *Psychopharmacology.* 2015b; 232:2333–2342. [PubMed: 25619881]
- Rasmussen DD, Beckwith LE, Kincaid CL, Froehlich JC. Combining the alpha-1 adrenergic receptor antagonist, prazosin, with the beta-adrenergic receptor antagonist, propranolol, reduces alcohol drinking more effectively than either drug alone. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:1532–1539. [PubMed: 24891220]
- Rasmussen DD, Kincaid CL, Froehlich JC. Prazosin + naltrexone decreases alcohol drinking more effectively than does either drug alone in P rats with a protracted history of extensive voluntary



- alcohol drinking, dependence, and multiple withdrawals. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2015; 39:1832–1841. [PubMed: 26260061]
- Ray, LA., Heilig, M. Subjective responses to alcohol as an endophenotype: implications for alcoholism etiology and treatment development. In: MacKillop, J., Munafo, MR., editors. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach*. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013. p. 97-120.
- Reed T, Page WF, Viken RJ, Christian JC. Genetic predisposition to organ-specific endpoints of alcoholism. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1996; 20:1528–1533. [PubMed: 8986199]
- Rehm J, Room R, Graham K, Monteiro M, Gmel G, Sempos CT. The relationship of average volume of alcohol consumption and patterns of drinking to burden of disease: an overview. *Addict.* 2003; 98:1209–1228.
- Reich T, Edenberg HJ, Goate A, Williams JT, Rice JP, VanEerdewegh P, Foroud T, Hesselbrock V, Schuckit MA, Bucholz K, Porjesz B, Li T-K, Conneally PM, Nurnberger JI Jr, Tischfield JA, Crowe R, Cloninger CR, Wu W, Shears S, Carr K, Crose C, Willig C, Begleiter H. Genome-wide search for genes affecting the risk for alcohol dependence. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 1998; 81:207–215. [PubMed: 9603606]
- Research Society on Alcoholism. *Impact of Alcoholism and Alcohol Induced Disease and Disorders on America*. Research Society on Alcoholism; Austin, TX: 2011.
- Research Society on Alcoholism. *Impact of Alcoholism and Alcohol Induced Disease and Disorders on America*. Research Society on Alcoholism; Austin, TX: 2015.
- Rezvani AH, Cauley MC, Levin ED. Lorcaserin, a selective 5-HT(2C) receptor agonist, decreases alcohol intake in female alcohol preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem Behav.* 2014; 125:8–14.
- Rezvani AH, Garbutt JC, Shimoda K, Garges PL, Janowsky DS, Mason GA. Attenuation of alcohol preference in alcohol-preferring rats by a novel TRH analogue, TA-0910. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1992; 16:326–330. [PubMed: 1590553]
- Rezvani AH, Overstreet DH, Janowsky DS. Reduction in ethanol preference following injection of centrally and peripherally acting antimuscarinic agents. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1990; 25:3–7. [PubMed: 2334493]
- Rezvani AH, Overstreet DH, Lee Y-W. Attenuation of alcohol intake by ibogaine in three strains of alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1995; 52:615–620. [PubMed: 8545483]
- Rezvani AH, Overstreet DH, Mason GA, Janowsky DS, Hamed M, Clark E, Yang Y. Combination pharmacotherapy: a mixture of small doses of naltrexone, fluoxetine, and a thyrotropin-releasing hormone analogue reduces alcohol intake in three strains of alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2000; 35:76–83. [PubMed: 10684782]
- Rezvani AH, Overstreet DH, Vaidya AH, Zhao B, Levin ED. Carisbamate, a novel antiepileptic candidate compound, attenuates alcohol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2009; 33:1366–1373. [PubMed: 19413647]
- Rezvani AH, Slade S, Wells C, Petro A, Lumeng L, Li T-K, et al. Effects of sazetidine-A, a selective alpha-4-beta-2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor desensitizing agent, on alcohol and nicotine self-administration in selectively bred alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2010; 211:161–174. [PubMed: 20535453]
- Rhodes JS, Best K, Belknap JK, Finn DA, Crabbe JC. Evaluation of a simple model of ethanol drinking to intoxication in C57BL/6J mice. *Physiol. Behav.* 2005; 84:53–63. [PubMed: 15642607]
- Rietschel M, Treutlein J. The genetics of alcohol dependence. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2013; 1282:39–70. [PubMed: 23170934]
- Ritz MC, Garcia JM, Protz D, George FR. Operant ethanol-reinforced behavior in P, NP, HAD, and LAD rats bred for high versus low ethanol preference. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1994a; 18:1406–1415. [PubMed: 7695037]
- Ritz MC, Garcia JM, Protz D, Rael AM, George FR. Ethanol-reinforced behavior in P, NP, HAD, and LAD rats: differential genetic regulation of reinforcement and motivation. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 1994b; 5:521–531. [PubMed: 11224304]
- Ritz MC, George FR, de Fiebre CM, Meisch RA. Genetic differences in the establishment of ethanol as a reinforcer. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1986; 24:1089–1094. [PubMed: 3714766]

- Rivera-Meza M, Quintanilla ME, Bustamante D, Delgado R, Buscaglia M, Herrera-Marschitz M. Overexpression of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channels into the ventral tegmental area increases the rewarding effects of ethanol in UChB drinking rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2014; 38:911–920. [PubMed: 24460767]
- Rivera-Meza M, Quintanilla ME, Tampier L, Mura CV, Sapag A, Israel Y. Mechanism of protection against alcoholism by an alcohol dehydrogenase polymorphism: development of an animal model. *FASEB J.* 2010; 24:266–274. [PubMed: 19710201]
- Roberts AJ, Heyser CJ, Cole M, Griffin P, Koob GF. Excessive ethanol drinking following a history of dependence: animal model of allostasis. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2000; 22:581–594.
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li T-K, et al. Effects of repeated alcohol deprivations on operant ethanol self-administration by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2003; 28:1614–1621. [PubMed: 12799615]
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, McBride WJ. Effects of concurrent access to multiple ethanol concentrations and repeated deprivations on alcohol intake of high alcohol-drinking (HAD) rats. *Addict. Biol.* 2009; 14:152–164. [PubMed: 19076927]
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, McKinzie DL, Webster AA, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li T-K, McBride WJ. Low-dose stimulatory effects of ethanol during adolescence in rat lines selectively-bred for high alcohol intake. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2004a; 28:535–543. [PubMed: 15100603]
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, McQueen VK, Davids MR, Hsu CC, Murphy JM, Li T-K, Lumeng L, McBride WJ. Prolonged increase in the sensitivity of the posterior ventral tegmental area to the reinforcing effects of ethanol following repeated exposure to cycles of ethanol access and deprivation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2005; 315:648–657. [PubMed: 16076936]
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Oster SM, Toalston JE, Pommer TJ, McBride WJ, et al. Serotonin-3 receptors in the posterior ventral tegmental area regulate ethanol self-administration of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2010; 44:245–255. [PubMed: 20682192]
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Sable HJK, Murphy JM, McBride WJ. Recent advances in animal models of alcohol craving and relapse. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2004b; 79:439–450. [PubMed: 15582015]
- Rodd ZA, Bertsch BA, Strother WN, Le-Niculescu H, Balaraman Y, Hayden E, et al. Candidate genes, pathways and mechanisms for alcoholism: an expanded convergent functional genomics approach. *Pharmacogen J.* 2007; 7:222–256.
- Rodd ZA, Kimpel MK, Edenberg HJ, Bell RL, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Carr LG, Liang T, McBride WJ. Differential gene expression in the nucleus accumbens with ethanol self-administration in inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2008; 89:481–498. [PubMed: 18405950]
- Rodd ZA, McKinzie DL, Bell RL, McQueen VK, Murphy JM, Schoepp DD, et al. The metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonist LY404039 reduces alcohol-seeking but not alcohol self-administration in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2006; 171:207–215. [PubMed: 16678921]
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of ethanol exposure on subsequent acquisition and extinction of ethanol self-administration and expression of alcohol-seeking behavior in adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats: I. Periadolescent exposure. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002a; 26:1632–1641. [PubMed: 12436051]
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of ethanol exposure on subsequent acquisition and extinction of ethanol self-administration and expression of alcohol-seeking behavior in adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats: II. Adult exposure. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002b; 26:1642–1652. [PubMed: 12436052]
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of concurrent access to multiple ethanol concentrations and repeated deprivations on alcohol intake of alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2001; 24:747–753.
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, McKinzie DL, Edmundson VE, Dagon CL, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Effects of 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists on daily alcohol intake under acquisition, maintenance, and relapse conditions in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2000a; 21:73–85. [PubMed: 10946160]

- Rodd-Henricks ZA, McKinzie DL, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. The expression of an alcohol deprivation effect in the high-alcohol-drinking replicate rat lines is dependent on repeated deprivations. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2000b; 24:747–753. [PubMed: 10888060]
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, McKinzie DL, Shaikh SR, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. The alcohol deprivation effect is prolonged in the alcohol preferring (P) rat following repeated deprivations. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2000c; 24:8–16. [PubMed: 10656186]
- Rohra, DK., Qazi, Y. Reliability of rodent models. In: Conn, PM., editor. *Source Book of Models for Biomedical Research*. Humana Press; Totowa, NJ: 2008. p. 213-217.
- Rohsenow DJ, Howland J, Winter M, Bliss CA, Littlefield CA, Heeren TC, Calise TV. Hangover sensitivity after controlled alcohol administration as predictor of post-college drinking. *J. Abnorm Psychol.* 2012; 121:270–275. [PubMed: 21859168]
- Rok-Bujko P, Dyr W, Kostowski W. Operant self-administration of ethanol in Warsaw high-preferring (WHP) and Warsaw low-preferring (WLP) lines of rats. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2006; 58:931–935. [PubMed: 17220552]
- Romer, D., Walker, EF. *Adolescent Psychopathology and the Developing Brain: Integrating Brain and Prevention Science*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2007.
- Rorick-Kehn LM, Ciccocioppo R, Wong CJ, Witkin JM, Martinez-Grau MA, Stopponi S, Adams BL, Katner JS, Perry KW, Toledo MA, Diaz N, Lafuente C, Jimenez A, Benito A, Pedregal C, Weiss F, Statnick MA. A novel, bioavailable nociception receptor antagonist, LY2940094, reduces ethanol self-administration and ethanol-seeking in animal models. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2016; 40:945–954. [PubMed: 27084498]
- Rosner, R. *Clinical Handbook of Adolescent Addiction*. John Wiley & Sons; Oxford, UK: 2013.
- Rossow I, Kuntsche E. Early onset of drinking and risk for heavy drinking in young adulthood—a 13-year prospective study. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2013; 37:E297–E304. [PubMed: 22974121]
- Russell RN, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Apomorphine and 7-OH-DPAT reduce ethanol intake of P and HAD rats. *Alcohol.* 1996; 13:515–519. [PubMed: 8888949]
- Ryabinin AE. Evolutionary perspective on animal models of addiction: diverse models are welcome. *J. Addict. Res. Ther.* 2012; 3:4.
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Blasio A, Iyer MR, Steardo L, Rice KC, Conti B, Koob GF, Zorrilla EP. Activation of sigma-receptors induces binge-like drinking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2011; 36:1207–1218. [PubMed: 21346735]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Steardo L, Schmidhammer H, Zorrilla EP. 14-methoxymetopon, a highly potent mu-opioid agonist, biphasically affects ethanol intake in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2007; 192:537–546. [PubMed: 17345066]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Zhao Y, Iyer MR, Steardo L Jr, Steardo L, et al. The sigma-receptor antagonist BD-1063 decreases ethanol intake and reinforcement in animal models of excessive drinking. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2009a; 34:1482–1493. [PubMed: 18946467]
- Sabino V, Cottone P, Zhao Y, Steardo L, Koob GF, Zorrilla EP. Selective reduction of alcohol drinking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats by a sigma-1 receptor antagonist. *Psychopharmacology.* 2009b; 205:327–335. [PubMed: 19440699]
- Sable HJK, Bell RL, Rodd ZA, McBride WJ. Effects of naltrexone on the acquisition of alcohol intake in male and female periadolescent and adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Intl J. Adol Med. Health.* 2006; 18:139–149.
- SAMHSA. Report to congress on the prevention and reduction of underage drinking. 2012. Available at: <http://store.samhsa.gov/product/Report-to-Congress-on-the-Prevention-and-Reduction-of-Underage-Drinking-2012/PEP12-RTCUD>. Published November 2012
- Samson HH. Initiation of ethanol reinforcement using a sucrose-substitution procedures in food- and water-sated rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1986; 10:436–442. [PubMed: 3530023]
- Samson HH, Files FJ, Denning C, Marvin S. Comparison of alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring selectively bred rat lines. I. Ethanol initiation and limited access operant self-administration. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1998; 22:2133–2146. [PubMed: 9884162]
- Samson HH, Czachowski CL. Behavioral measures of alcohol self-administration and intake control: rodent models. *Intl Rev. Neurobiol.* 2003; 54:107–143.

- Sanchis-Segura C, Borchardt T, Vengeliene V, Zghoul T, Bachteler D, Gass P, Sprengel R, Spanagel R. Involvement of the AMPA receptor GluR-C subunit in alcohol-seeking behavior and relapse. *J. Neurosci.* 2006; 26:1231–1238. [PubMed: 16436610]
- Sandi C, Borrell J, Guaza C. Enkephalins interfere with early phases of voluntary ethanol drinking. *Peptides.* 1990; 11:697–702. [PubMed: 2235679]
- Sari Y, Bell RL, Zhou FC. Effects of chronic alcohol and repeated deprivations on dopamine D1 and D2 receptor levels in the extended amygdala of inbred alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2006; 30:46–56. [PubMed: 16433731]
- Sari Y, Franklin KM, Alazizi A, Rao PSS, Bell RL. Effects of ceftriaxone on the acquisition and maintenance of ethanol drinking in peri-adolescent and adult female alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Neurosci.* 2013a; 241:229–238.
- Sari Y, Sakai M, Weedman JM, Rebec GV, Bell RL. Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, reduces ethanol consumption in alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2011; 46:239–246. [PubMed: 21422004]
- Sari Y, Sreemantula SN. Neuroimmunophilin GPI-1046 reduces ethanol consumption in part through activation of GLT1 in alcohol-preferring rats. *Neurosci.* 2012; 227:327–335.
- Sari Y, Sreemantula SN, Lee MR, Choi DS. Ceftriaxone treatment affects the levels of GLT1 and ENT1 as well as ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 2013b; 51:779–787. [PubMed: 23893122]
- Sari Y, Toalston JE, Rao PS, Bell RL. Effects of ceftriaxone on ethanol, nicotine, or sucrose intake by alcohol-preferring (P) rats and its association with GLT-1 expression. *Neurosci.* 2016; 326:117–125.
- Sartor CE, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J. Rate of progression from first use to dependence on cocaine or opioids: a cross-substance examination of associated demographic, psychiatric, and childhood risk factors. *Addict. Behav.* 2014; 39:473–479. [PubMed: 24238782]
- Schank JR, Nelson BS, Damadzic R, Tapocik JD, Yao M, King CE, Rowe KE, Cheng K, Rice KC, Heilig M. Neurokinin-1 receptor antagonism attenuates neuronal activity triggered by stress-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking. *Neuropharmacology.* 2015; 99:106–114. [PubMed: 26188146]
- Schechter MD. Locomotor activity but not conditioned place preference is differentially affected by a moderate dose of ethanol administered to P and NP rats. *Alcohol.* 1992; 9:185–188. [PubMed: 1605883]
- Schroeder JP, Olive F, Koenig H, Hodge CW. Intra-amygdala infusion of the NPY Y1 receptor antagonist BIBP 3226 attenuates operant ethanol self-administration. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:1884–1891. [PubMed: 14691375]
- Schroeder JP, Overstreet DH, Hodge CW. The mGluR5 antagonist MPEP decreases operant ethanol self-administration during maintenance and after repeated alcohol deprivations in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2005a; 179:262–270. [PubMed: 15717208]
- Schroeder JP, Overstreet DH, Hodge CW. The neuropeptide-Y Y5 receptor antagonist L152,804 decreases alcohol self-administration in inbred alcohol-preferring (iP) rats. *Alcohol.* 2005b; 36:179–186. [PubMed: 16377459]
- Schroeder JP, Spanos M, Stevenson JR, Besheer J, Salling M, Hodge CW. Cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior is associated with increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation in specific limbic brain regions: blockade by the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP. *Neuropharmacology.* 2008; 55:546–554. [PubMed: 18619984]
- Schuckit MA. Genetic aspects of alcoholism. *Ann. Emerg. Med.* 1986; 15:991–996.
- Schuckit MA, Gold EO. A simultaneous evaluation of multiple markers of ethanol/placebo challenges in sons of alcoholics and controls. *Arch. Gen. Psych.* 1988; 45:211–216.
- Schuckit MA, Smith TL, Paulus MP, Tapert SF, Simmons AN, Tolentino NJ, Shafir A. The ability of functional magnetic resonance imaging to predict heavy drinking and alcohol problems 5 years later. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2016; 40:206–213. [PubMed: 26727535]
- Scofield MD, Heinsbroek JA, Gipson CD, Kupchik YM, Spencer S, Smith ACW, Roberts-Wolfe D, Kalivas PW. The nucleus accumbens: mechanisms of addiction across drug classes reflect the importance of glutamate homeostasis. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2016; 68:816–871. [PubMed: 27363441]

- Scuppa G, Cippitelli A, Toll L, Ciccocioppo R, Ubaldi M. Varenicline decreases nicotine but not alcohol self-administration in genetically selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-preferring (msP) rats. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015; 156:126–132. [PubMed: 26383997]
- Self, DW., Staley, JK. *Behavioral Neuroscience of Drug Addiction.* Springer-Verlag; Berlin: 2010.
- Serra S, Carai MAM, Brunetti G, Gomez R, Melis S, Vacca G, et al. The cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR 141716 prevents acquisition of drinking behaviour in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2001; 430:369–371. [PubMed: 11711056]
- Serra S, Brunetti G, Vacca G, Lobina C, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, et al. Stable preference for high ethanol concentrations after alcohol deprivation in Sardinian alcohol-preferring (sP) rats. *Alcohol.* 2003; 29:101–108. [PubMed: 12782251]
- Sher, KJ. *Children of Alcoholics: a Critical Appraisal of Theory and Research.* The University of Chicago Press; Chicago, IL, USA: 1991.
- Shorter E. The history of nosology and the rise of the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. *Dial. Clin. Neurosci.* 2015; 17:59–67.
- Siegel, A. *The Neurobiology of Aggression and Rage.* CRC Press; New York: 2005.
- Siegmund S, Vengeliene V, Singer MV, Spanagel R. Influence of age at drinking onset on long-term ethanol self-administration with deprivation and stress phases. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2005; 29:1139–1145. [PubMed: 16046868]
- Sinclair JD. The alcohol-deprivation effect in monkeys. *Psychon. Sci.* 1971; 25:1–22.
- Sinclair JD, Li T-K. Long and short alcohol deprivation: effects on AA and P alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol.* 1989; 6:505–509. [PubMed: 2597353]
- Sinclair JD, Senter RJ. Increased preference for ethanol in rats following deprivation. *Psychon. Sci.* 1967; 8:11–12.
- Sinclair JD, Tiihonen K. Lack of alcohol-deprivation effect in AA rats. *Alcohol.* 1988; 5:85–87. [PubMed: 3355673]
- Sinclair JD, Walker S, Jordan W. Behavioral and physiological changes associated with various durations of alcohol deprivation in rats. *Q. J. Stud. Alcohol.* 1973; 34:744–757. [PubMed: 4795453]
- Sjoerds Z, van den Brink W, Beekman AT, Penninx BW, Veltman DJ. Response inhibition in alcohol-dependent patients and patients with depression/anxiety: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Psychol. Med.* 2014; 44:1713–1725. [PubMed: 24016382]
- Smith RF. Animal models of periadolescent substance abuse. *Neurotox. Terat.* 2003; 25:291–301.
- Sommer W, Hyytia P, Kiianmaa K. The alcohol-preferring AA and alcohol-avoiding ANA rats: neurobiology of the regulation of alcohol drinking. *Addict. Biol.* 2006; 11:289–309. [PubMed: 16961760]
- Sorbel J, Morzorati S, O'Connor S, Li T-K, Christian JC. Alcohol effects on the heritability of EEG spectral power. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1996; 20:1523–1527. [PubMed: 8986198]
- Sotomayor-Zarate R, Gysling K, Busto UE, Cassels BK, Tampier L, Quintanilla ME. Varenicline and cytosine: two nicotinic acetylcholine receptor ligands reduce ethanol intake in University of Chile bibulous rats. *Psychopharmacology.* 2013; 227:287–298. [PubMed: 23344555]
- Spanagel R. Alcoholism: a systems approach from molecular physiology to addictive behavior. *Physiol. Rev.* 2009; 89:649–705. [PubMed: 19342616]
- Spanagel R, Holter SM. Long-term alcohol self-administration with repeated alcohol deprivation phases: an animal model of alcoholism? *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1999; 34:231–243. [PubMed: 10344783]
- Spanagel R, Noori HR, Heilig M. Stress and alcohol interactions: animal studies and clinical significance. *Trends Neurosci.* 2014a; 37:219–227. [PubMed: 24636458]
- Spanagel R, Vengeliene V, Jandeleit B, Fischer WN, Grindstaff K, Zhang X, Gallop MA, Krstew EV, Lawrence AJ, Kiefer F. Acamprosate produces its anti-relapse effects via calcium. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2014b; 39:783–791. [PubMed: 24081303]
- Spear LP. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. *Neurosci. Biobehav Rev.* 2000; 24:417–463. [PubMed: 10817843]
- Spear, LP. *The Behavioral Neuroscience of Adolescence.* WW Norton; New York: 2010.

- Spear LP. Adolescent neurodevelopment. *J. Adol Health.* 2013; 52:S7–S13.
- Spear LP. Adolescents and alcohol: acute sensitivities, enhanced intake, and later consequences. *Neurotox. Teratol.* 2014; 41:51–59.
- Spear LP. Adolescent alcohol exposure: are there separable vulnerable periods within adolescence? *Physiol. Behav.* 2015; 148:122–130. [PubMed: 25624108]
- Spear, LP., Varlinskaya, EI. Adolescence: alcohol sensitivity, tolerance, and intake. In: Galanter, M., editor. *Alcohol Problems in Adolescents and Young Adults.* Springer; New York: 2006. p. 143-159.
- Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Tapert SF. The effect of alcohol use on human adolescent brain structures and systems. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 2014; 125:501–510. [PubMed: 25307592]
- Stankiewicz AM, Goscik J, Dyr W, Juszczak GR, Ryglewicz D, Swiergiel AH, Wieczorek M, Stefanski R. Novel candidate genes for alcoholism—transcriptomic analysis of prefrontal medial cortex, hippocampus and nucleus accumbens of Warsaw alcohol-preferring and non-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2015; 139(Pt A):27–38. [PubMed: 26455281]
- Stephens, DN., Crombag, HS., Duka, T. The challenges of studying parallel behaviors in humans and animal models. In: Sommer, WH., Spanagel, R., editors. *Behavioral Neurobiology of Alcohol Addiction.* Springer Verlag; Berlin: 2013. p. 611-645.
- Stewart, J. The neurobiology of relapse. In: Robbins, TW, Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. *The Neurobiology of Addiction.* Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 61-82.
- Stewart RB, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K, Murphy JM. Chronic alcohol consumption in alcohol-preferring P rats attenuates subsequent conditioned taste aversion produced by ethanol injections. *Psychopharmacology.* 1991; 105:530–534. [PubMed: 1771221]
- Tampier L, Quintanilla ME. Effect of concurrent saccharin intake on ethanol consumption by high-alcohol-drinking (UChB) rats. *Addict. Biol.* 2009; 14:276–282. [PubMed: 19413565]
- Tampier L, Quintanilla ME. Effect of ethanol deprivation and re-exposure on the ethanol drinking behaviour of the high-alcohol-drinker (UChB) rats. *J. Behav. Brain Sci.* 2011; 1:1–5.
- Tampier L, Quintanilla ME, Israel Y. Tolerance to disulfiram induced by chronic alcohol intake in the rat. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2008; 32:937–941. [PubMed: 18445101]
- Thanos PK, Katana JM, Ashby CR Jr, Michaelides M, Gardner EL, Heidbreder CA, et al. The selective dopamine D3 receptor antagonist SB-277011-A attenuates ethanol consumption in ethanol preferring (P) and non-preferring (NP) rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2005; 81:190–197. [PubMed: 15894078]
- Thorsell A. Brain neuropeptide Y and corticotropin-releasing hormone in mediating stress and anxiety. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 2010; 235:1163–1167.
- Toalston JE, Deehan JA Jr, Hauser SR, Engleman EA, Bell RL, Murphy JM, Truitt WA, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. The reinforcing properties and neurochemical response of ethanol within the posterior ventral tegmental area are enhanced in adulthood by peri-adolescent ethanol consumption. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2014; 351:317–326. [PubMed: 25150280]
- Toalston JE, Deehan GA, Hauser SR, Engleman EA, Bell RL, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Rodd ZA. The reinforcing properties of ethanol are quantitatively enhanced in adulthood by peri-adolescent ethanol, but not saccharin, consumption in female alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2015; 49:513–518. [PubMed: 26074425]
- Toalston JE, Oster SM, Kuc KA, Ding Z-M, Pommer TJ, Murphy JM, et al. Effects of alcohol and saccharin deprivations on concurrent ethanol and saccharin operant self-administration by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol.* 2008; 42:277–284. [PubMed: 18400451]
- Tomczyk S, Isensee B, Hanewinkel R. Latent classes of polysubstance use among adolescents—a systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2016; 160:12–29. [PubMed: 26794683]
- Trim RS, Simmons AN, Tolentino NJ, Hall SA, Matthews SC, Robinson SK, Smith TL, Padula CB, Paulus MP, Tapert SF, Schuckit MA. Acute ethanol effects on brain activation in low- and high-level responders to alcohol. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2010; 34:1162–1170. [PubMed: 20477775]
- Uhari-Vaananen J, Raasmaja A, Backstrom P, Oinio V, Airavaara M, Piepponen P, Kiianmaa K. Accumbal mu-opioid receptors modulate ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring Alko Alcohol rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2016; 40:2114–2123. [PubMed: 27508965]

- Vacca G, Serra S, Brunetti G, Carai MAM, Gessa GL, Colombo G. Boosting effect of morphine on alcohol drinking is suppressed not only by naloxone but also by the cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist, SR 141716. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2002a; 445:55–59. [PubMed: 12065194]
- Vacca G, Serra S, Brunetti G, Carai MAM, Samson HH, Gessa GL, et al. Operant self-administration of ethanol in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2002b; 26:1678–1685. [PubMed: 12436056]
- Vanderschuren, LJMJ., Ahmed, SH. Animal studies of addictive behavior. In: Pierce, RC., Kenny, PJ., editors. *Addiction*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; New York: 2013. p. 9-22.
- Van Rizen MJ, Dishion TJ. Adolescent deviant peer clustering as an amplifying mechanism underlying the progression from early substance use to late adolescent dependence. *J. Child. Psychol. Psych.* 2014; 55:1153–1161.
- Varlinkaya EI, Spear LP. Ethanol-induced social facilitation in adolescent rats: role of endogenous activity at mu opioid receptors. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2009; 33:991–1000. [PubMed: 19302088]
- Varlinkaya EI, Spear LP. Sensitization to social anxiolytic effects of ethanol in adolescent and adult Sprague-Dawley rats after repeated ethanol exposure. *Alcohol.* 2010; 44:99–110. [PubMed: 20113878]
- Vendruscolo LF, Roberts AJ. Operant alcohol self-administration in dependent rats: focus on the vapor model. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:277–286. [PubMed: 24290310]
- Vengeliene V, Bachteler D, Danysz W, Spanagel R. The role of the NMDA receptor in alcohol relapse: a pharmacological mapping study using the alcohol deprivation effect. *Neuropharmacology.* 2005; 48:822–829. [PubMed: 15829254]
- Vengeliene V, Bilbao A, Spanagel R. The alcohol deprivation effect model for studying relapse behavior: a comparison between rats and mice. *Alcohol.* 2014; 48:313–320. [PubMed: 24811155]
- Vengeliene V, Cannella N, Takahashi T, Spanagel R. Metabolic shift of the kynurenine pathway impairs alcohol and cocaine seeking and relapse. *Psychopharmacology.* 2016a; 233:3449–3459. [PubMed: 27475106]
- Vengeliene V, Celerier E, Chaskiel L, Penzo F, Spanagel R. Compulsive alcohol drinking in rodents. *Addict. Biol.* 2009; 14:384–396. [PubMed: 19740366]
- Vengeliene V, Heidbreder CA, Spanagel R. The effect of lamotrigine on alcohol seeking and relapse. *Neuropharmacology.* 2007; 53:951–957. [PubMed: 17976664]
- Vengeliene V, Leonardi-Essmann F, Perreau-Lenz S, Gebicke-Haerte P, Drescher K, Gross G, Spanagel R. The dopamine D3 receptor plays an essential role in alcohol-seeking and relapse. *FASEB J.* 2006; 20:2223–2233. [PubMed: 17077299]
- Vengeliene V, Leonardi-Essmann F, Sommer WH, Marston HM, Spanagel R. Glycine transporter-1 blockade leads to persistently reduced relapse-like alcohol drinking in rats. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2010; 68:704–711. [PubMed: 20655511]
- Vengeliene V, Moeller A, Meinhardt MW, Beardsley PM, Sommer WH, Spanagel R, Bespalov A. The calpain inhibitor A-705253 attenuates alcohol-seeking and relapse with low side-effect profile. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016b; 41:979–988. [PubMed: 26216521]
- Vengeliene V, Noori HR, Spanagel R. Activation of melatonin receptors reduces relapse-like alcohol consumption. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2015a; 40:2897–2906. [PubMed: 25994077]
- Vengeliene V, Olevska A, Spanagel R. Long-lasting effect of NMDA receptor antagonist memantine on ethanol-cue association and relapse. *J. Neurochem.* 2015b; 135:1080–1085. [PubMed: 26342155]
- Vengeliene V, Siegmund S, Singer MV, Sinclair JD, Li TK, Spanagel R. A comparative study on alcohol-preferring rat lines: effects of deprivation and stress phases on voluntary alcohol intake. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:1048–1054. [PubMed: 12878910]
- Verma, AS., Singh, A. *Animal Biotechnology: Models in Discovery and Translation*. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2014.
- Verplaetse TL, Rasmussen DD, Froehlich JC, Czachowski CL. Effects of prazosin, an  $\alpha_1$ -adrenergic receptor antagonist, on the seeking and intake of alcohol and sucrose in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2012; 36:881–886. [PubMed: 21981346]

- Viken RJ, Rose RJ, Morzorati SL, Christian JC, Li T-K. Subjective intoxication in response to alcohol challenge: heritability and covariation with personality, breath alcohol level, and drinking history. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2003; 27:795–803. [PubMed: 12766624]
- Vinod KY, Maccioni P, Garcia-Gutierrez MS, Femenia T, Xie S, Carai MAM, et al. Innate difference in the endocannabinoid signaling and its modulation by alcohol consumption in alcohol-preferring sP rats. *Addict. Biol.* 2012; 17:62–75. [PubMed: 21309960]
- Volkow ND, Li TK. Drugs and alcohol: treating and preventing abuse, addiction and their medical consequences. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2005; 108:3–17. [PubMed: 16098597]
- Von der Goltz C, Vengeliene V, Bilbao A, Perreau-Lenz S, Pawlak CR, Kiefer F, Spanagel R. Cue-induced alcohol-seeking behavior is reduced by disrupting the reconsolidation of alcohol-related memories. *Psychopharmacology.* 2009; 205:389–397. [PubMed: 19418040]
- Wagner, EF., Waldron, HB. *Innovations in Adolescent Substance Abuse Interventions.* Elsevier/Pergamon Press; New York: 2001.
- Wall, TL., Luczak, SE., Orlowska, D., Pandika, D. Differential metabolism of alcohol as an intermediate phenotype of risk for alcohol use disorders: alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase variants. In: MacKillop, J., Munafò, MR., editors. *Genetic Influences on Addiction: an Intermediate Phenotype Approach.* MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 2013. p. 41–63.
- Waller MB, McBride WJ, Gatto GJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Intragastric self-administration of ethanol by ethanol-preferring and –nonpreferring lines of rats. *Science.* 1984; 225:78–80. [PubMed: 6539502]
- Waller MB, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Induction of dependence on ethanol by free-choice drinking in alcohol-preferring rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1982; 16:501–507. [PubMed: 7200611]
- Warnick, JE., Kalueff, AV. *Translational Neuroscience in Animal Research: Advancement, Challenges, and Research Ethics.* Nova Science Publications; New York: 2010.
- Warnock KT, Yang AR, Yi HS, June HL Jr, Kelly T, Basile AS, Skolnick P, June HL. Amitifadine, a triple monoamine uptake inhibitor, reduces binge drinking and negative affect in an animal model of co-occurring alcoholism and depression symptomatology. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2012; 103:111–118. [PubMed: 22884707]
- Wechsler H, Lee JE, Kuo M, Lee H. College binge drinking in the 1990s: a continuing problem. Results of the harvard school of public health 1999 college alcohol study. *J. Amer Coll. Health.* 2000; 48:199–210. [PubMed: 10778020]
- Wegelius K, Halonen T, Korpi ER. Gamma-vinyl GABA decreases voluntary alcohol consumption in alcohol-preferring AA rats. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 1993; 73:150–152. [PubMed: 8265518]
- Wegelius K, Honkanen A, Korpi ER. Benzodiazepine receptor ligands modulate ethanol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1994; 263:141–147. [PubMed: 7821345]
- Weiss, F. Alcohol self-administration. In: Olmstead, MC., editor. *Animal Models of Drug Addiction.* Springer Science; New York: 2011. p. 133–165.
- Weiss F, Mitchiner M, Bloom FE, Koob GF. Free-choice ethanol responding for ethanol versus water in alcohol-preferring (P) and unselected Wistar rats is differentially modified by naloxone, bromocriptine, and methysergide. *Psychopharmacology.* 1990; 101:178–186. [PubMed: 2349359]
- Weiss JM, West CH, Emery MS, Bonsall RW, Moore JP, Boss-Williams KA. Rats selectively bred for behavior related to affective disorders: proclivity for intake of alcohol and drugs of abuse, and measures of brain monoamines. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2008; 75:134–159. [PubMed: 18053966]
- West CH, Boss-Williams KA, Weiss JM. Effects of fenfluramine, 8-OH-DPAT, and tryptophan-enriched on the high ethanol intake by rats bred for susceptibility to stress. *Alcohol.* 2011; 45:739–749. [PubMed: 21924578]
- White AM, Kraus CL, Swartzwelder H. Many college freshmen drink at levels far beyond the binge threshold. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2006; 30:1006–1010. [PubMed: 16737459]
- Wilsnack SC, Klassen AD, Schur BE, Wilsnack RW. Predicting onset and chronicity of women's problem drinking: a five-year longitudinal analysis. *Am. J. Public Health.* 1991; 81:305–318. [PubMed: 1994739]



- Windle, M., Searles, JS. Children of Alcoholics: Critical Perspectives. The Guilford Press; New York: 1990.
- Winsky, L., Driscoll, J., Brady, L. Drug discovery and development initiatives at the National Institute of Mental Health: from cell-based systems to proof-of-concept. In: McArthur, RA., Borsini, F., editors. Animal and Translational Models for CNS Drug Discovery: Psychiatric Disorders. Academic Press/Elsevier; New York: 2008. p. 59-74.
- Winters, KC. Assessing adolescent substance use problems and other areas of functioning: state of the art. In: Monti, PM.Colby, SM., O'Leary, TA., editors. Adolescents, Alcohol, and Substance Abuse: Reaching Teens through Brief Interventions. Guilford Press; New York: 2001. p. 80-108.
- Wise RA, Bozarth MA. A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. Psychol. Rev. 1987; 94:469–492. [PubMed: 3317472]
- Witt ED. Mechanisms of alcohol abuse and alcoholism in adolescents: a case for developing animal models. Behav. Neural Biol. 1994; 62:168–177. [PubMed: 7857239]
- Witt ED. Research on alcohol and adolescent brain development: opportunities and future directions. Alcohol. 2010; 44:119–124. [PubMed: 20113880]
- Wolffgramm J, Heyne A. From controlled drug intake to loss of control: the irreversible development of drug addiction in the rat. Behav. Brain Res. 1995; 70:77–94. [PubMed: 8519431]
- Wong, CCY., Clarke, T-K., Schumann, G. Genetics of addiction: strategies for addressing heterogeneity and polygenicity of substance use disorders. In: Robbins, TW.Everitt, BJ., Nutt, DJ., editors. The Neurobiology of Addiction. Oxford University Press; New York: 2010. p. 171-185.
- Wong CCY, Schumann G. Genetics of addictions: strategies for addressing heterogeneity and polygenicity of substance use disorders. Phil Trans. R. Soc. B. 2008; 363:3213–3222. [PubMed: 18640915]
- Wong G, Sarviharju M, Toropainen M, Matecka D, Korpi ER. Pharmacologic actions of subtype selective and novel GABAergic ligands in rat lines with differential sensitivity to ethanol. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 1996; 53:723–730. [PubMed: 8866977]
- World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health. Author; Geneva, Switzerland: 2011.
- Yamaguchi K, Kandel DB. Patterns of drug use from adolescence to young adulthood: II. Sequences of progression. Amer J. Public Health. 1984; 74:668–672. [PubMed: 6742252]
- Yan J, Aliev F, Webb BT, Kendler KS, Williamson VS, Edenberg HJ, Agrawal A, Kos MZ, Almasy L, Nurnberger JI, Schuckit MA, Kramer JR, Rice JP, Kuperman S, Goate AM, Tischfield JA, Porjesz B, Dick DM. Using genetic information from candidate gene and genome-wide association studies in risk prediction for alcohol dependence. Addict. Biol. 2014; 19:708–721. [PubMed: 23362995]
- Yang ARST, Yi HS, Warnock KT, Mamczarz J, June HL Jr, Mallick N, Krieter PA, Tonelli L, Skolnick P, Basile AS, June HL Sr. Effects of the triple monoamine uptake inhibitor DOV 102,677 on alcohol-motivated responding and antidepressant activity in alcohol-preferring (P) rats. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2012; 36:863–873. [PubMed: 22150508]
- Zahr, NM., Peterson, ET. Imaging the Addicted Brain. Elsevier/Academic Press; New York: 2016.
- Zalewska-Kaszubska J, Bajer B, Czarnecka E, Dyr W, Gorska D. Voluntary alcohol consumption and plasma beta-endorphin levels in alcohol preferring rats chronically treated with levetiracetam: a preliminary study. Physiol. Behav. 2011; 102:538–541. [PubMed: 21187108]
- Zalewska-Kaszubska J, Gorska D, Dyr W, Czarnecka E. Effect of chronic acamprosate treatment on voluntary alcohol intake and beta-endorphin plasma levels in rats selectively bred for high alcohol preference. Neurosci. Lett. 2008a; 431:221–225. [PubMed: 18162308]
- Zalewska-Kaszubska J, Gorska D, Dyr W, Czarnecka E. Voluntary alcohol consumption and plasma beta-endorphin levels in alcohol-preferring rats chronically treated with naltrexone. Physiol. Behav. 2008b; 93:1005–1010. [PubMed: 18262210]
- Zhang H, Sakharkar AJ, Shi G, Ugale R, Prakash A, Pandey SC. Neuropeptide Y signaling in the central nucleus of amygdala regulates alcohol-drinking and anxiety-like behaviors of alcohol-preferring rats. Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res. 2010; 34:451–461. [PubMed: 20028368]

- Zhou Y, Colombo G, Carai MAM, Ho A, Gessa GL, Kreek MJ. Involvement of arginine vasopressin and V1b receptor in alcohol drinking in Sardinian alcohol-preferring rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 2011; 35:1876–1883. [PubMed: 21575018]
- Zhou FC, McKinzie DL, Patel TD, Lumeng L, Li T-K. Additive reduction of alcohol drinking by 5-HT1A antagonist WAY 100635 and serotonin uptake blocker fluoxetine in alcohol-preferring P rats. *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 1998; 22:266–269. [PubMed: 9514317]
- Zorrilla EP, Logrip ML, Koob GF. Corticotropin releasing factor: a key role in the neurobiology of addiction. *Front. Neuroendocr.* 2014; 35:234–244.

**Table 1**

Approximate parallel ages between the human and rat equivalents.

Human Ages (Years)									
	0 to 6	6	7 to 12	13 to 18	18 to 21	21 to 24	25 to 28		
-3 to 0 Months									
Neonate	Prejuvenile	Weaning	Juvenile	Adolescent	Emerging Adulthood	Early Young Adult	Young Adult		
Rat Ages [Post-Natal Days (PNDs)]									
1 to 7	8 to 21	21	22 to 27	28 to 42	43 to 60	61 to 75	76 to 90		
Rat Body Weights (g)									
Male: 6 to 15	16 to 40	40	40 to 70	70-155	155-260	260-335	335-390		
Female: 6 to 15	16 to 38	38	38 to 65	65-130	130-180	180-210	210-250		

**Table 2**  
Criteria for an animal model of alcoholism that each of the high alcohol-consuming selected lines successfully meets.

Rat Line		AA	HAD	P	sP	UChB	msP	WHP
1) Ethanol is orally self-administered under free-choice conditions (>5 g of ethanol/kg bodyweight/day)	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes <sup>9</sup>	Yes <sup>16</sup>	Yes <sup>31</sup>	Yes <sup>39</sup>	Yes <sup>45</sup>	Yes <sup>54</sup>	
2) Pharmacologically relevant BACs are achieved with self-administration (50–200 mg %)	Yes <sup>2</sup>	Yes <sup>10</sup>	Yes <sup>17</sup>	Yes <sup>32</sup>	Yes <sup>40</sup>	Yes <sup>46</sup>	Yes <sup>55</sup>	
3) Ethanol is consumed for its post-ingestive effects and not for taste or calories only (administered by non-oral routes of administration)	NK	NK	Yes <sup>18</sup>	NK	NK	Yes <sup>47</sup>	NK	
4a) Ethanol is rewarding as indicated by behavioral and/or autonomic activation	Yes <sup>3</sup>	Yes <sup>11</sup>	Yes <sup>19</sup>	Yes <sup>33</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>48</sup>	NK	
4b) Ethanol is rewarding as indicated by a conditioned place preference (CPP)	NK	NK	No <sup>20</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>41</sup>	Yes <sup>49</sup>	NK	
5) Ethanol is positively reinforcing (the animal operantly works for access)	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes <sup>12</sup>	Yes <sup>21</sup>	Yes <sup>34</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>50</sup>	Yes <sup>56</sup>	
6a) Chronic consumption leads to metabolic tolerance	Yes <sup>5</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>22</sup>	NK	NK	NK	Yes <sup>57</sup>	
6b) Chronic consumption leads to functional tolerance	NK	NK	Yes <sup>23</sup>	Yes <sup>35</sup>	Yes <sup>42</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>58</sup>	
7) Chronic consumption leads to dependence (withdrawal-like signs seen)	NK	NK	Yes <sup>24</sup>	Yes <sup>36</sup>	NK	NK	Yes <sup>59</sup>	
8) Relapse behavior is displayed	Yes <sup>6</sup>	Yes <sup>13</sup>	Yes <sup>25</sup>	Yes <sup>37</sup>	Yes <sup>43</sup>	Yes <sup>51</sup>	NK	
9) Serve as an animal model of adolescent alcohol abuse	NK	Yes <sup>14</sup>	Yes <sup>26</sup>	NK	NK	NK	NK	
10a) Self-administer or consume other drugs of abuse—nicotine, including line differences in self-administration	NK	NK	Yes <sup>27</sup>	NK	NK	Yes <sup>52</sup>	NK	
10a) Self-administer or consume other drugs of abuse—cocaine, including line differences in self-administration	Yes <sup>7</sup>	NK	Yes <sup>28</sup>	NK	NK	NK	Yes <sup>60</sup>	
11a) Gene expression differences between high and low consuming lines	Yes <sup>8</sup> Ach, VTA, CeA, Advillin NFKB signaling	Yes <sup>15</sup> Ach, VTA, CeA Glu, ILK signal Ankrd12 NFKB signaling	Yes <sup>29</sup> Ach, VTA, CeA DA, GABA, Glu, NPY, CRF NFKB signaling Gsta4	Yes <sup>38</sup> Ach, VTA, CeA Glu, NPY Ankrd12 Gsta4	Yes <sup>44</sup> VTA, ALDH2, ADH1B	Yes <sup>53</sup> Extended Amyg CRFR1, GABA	Yes <sup>61</sup> mPFC, Hipp, Ach Gabra4, DA	
11a) Gene and protein expression differences expressed after ethanol intake	NK	NK	Yes <sup>30</sup> Ach, VTA, CeA DA, GABA, Glu, 5HT, peptides	NK	Yes <sup>44</sup>	Yes <sup>53</sup>	NK	

AA = ALKO Alcohol-Accepting rat lines; HAD (HAD1 and HAD2) = High Alcohol Drinking rat lines; P = Sardinian Alcohol-Preferring rat line; sP = Sardinian Alcohol-Preferring rat line; UChB = University of Chile B, high ethanol-consuming, rat line; msP = Marchigian Sardinian Alcohol-Preferring rat line; WHP = Warsaw High Preferring, high ethanol-consuming, rat line; NK = Not Known; BACs = Blood

Alcohol Concentrations; Acb = Nucleus Accumbens; VTA = Ventral Tegmental Area; CeA = Central Amygdala; Glu = Glutamate; NF- $\kappa$ B = Nuclear Factor Kappa-Light-Chain-Enhancer of Activated B Cells; ILK = Interleukin; Ankr12 = Ankyrin Repeat Domain 12; Gsta4 = Glutathione S-Transferase A4; DA = Dopamine; GABA = Gamma Amino Butyric Acid; NPY = Neuropeptide Y; CRF = Corticotrophin Releasing Factor; ALDH2 = Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 2; ADH1B = Alcohol Dehydrogenase 1B; CRFR1 = CRF Receptor1; mPFC = Medial Prefrontal Cortex; Hipp = Hippocampus; Gabra4 = GABA-A Receptor containing alpha-4 subunit; 5HT = Serotonin;

- <sup>1</sup> Ritz et al., 1986;
- <sup>2</sup> Aalto, 1986;
- <sup>3</sup> Paavrinta and Korpi, 1993;
- <sup>4</sup> Files et al., 1997, 1998; Samson et al., 1998;
- <sup>5</sup> Forsander and Sinclair, 1992;
- <sup>6</sup> Sinclair and Li, 1989;
- <sup>7</sup> Hyttä and Sinclair, 1993;
- <sup>8</sup> McBride et al., 2012, 2013b;
- <sup>9</sup> Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a;
- <sup>10</sup> Bell et al., 2008a, b; Murphy et al., 2002; Oster et al., 2006;
- <sup>11</sup> Krimmer and Schechter, 1991; Rodd et al., 2004a;
- <sup>12</sup> Files et al., 1998; Oster et al., 2006; Samson et al., 1998;
- <sup>13</sup> Oster et al., 2006; Rodd et al., 2009; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000b;
- <sup>14</sup> Bell et al., 2004;
- <sup>15</sup> McBride et al., 2012, 2013b;
- <sup>16</sup> Li et al., 1987;
- <sup>17</sup> Bell et al., 2006a, 2008a, 2011; Murphy et al., 1986, 2002; Rodd et al., 2003;
- <sup>18</sup> Murphy et al., 1988; Wällner et al., 1984;
- <sup>19</sup> Bell et al., 2002, 2008b; Melendez et al., 2002; Rodd et al., 2004a;
- <sup>20</sup> Schechter, 1992;
- <sup>21</sup> Files et al., 1998; Murphy et al., 1989; Rodd et al., 2003; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a, 2002b; Samson et al., 1998; Toalston et al., 2008;
- <sup>22</sup> Lumeng and Li, 1986;
- <sup>23</sup> Gatto et al., 1987; Stewart et al., 1991;

- <sup>24</sup> Karpov-Polevoy et al., 2000; Waller et al., 1982;
- <sup>25</sup> Rodd et al., 2003; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a, 2000b, 2000c, 2001;
- <sup>26</sup> Bell et al., 2003, 2011, 2013; Toalston et al., 2014, 2015;
- <sup>27</sup> Hauser et al., 2012a, 2014a; Lê et al., 2006; Rezvani et al., 2010;
- <sup>28</sup> Katner et al., 2011; Hauser et al., 2014b; Rodd et al., 2007;
- <sup>29</sup> Bell et al., 2016; McBride et al., 2012, 2013b;
- <sup>30</sup> Bell et al., 2006a, 2009, 2016; McBride et al., 2010, 2013a, 2014a; McClintick et al., 2015, 2016; Obara et al., 2009; Rodd et al., 2008; Sari et al., 2006;
- <sup>31</sup> Agabio et al., 1996;
- <sup>32</sup> Colombo et al., 2006; Lobina et al., 1997;
- <sup>33</sup> Agabio et al., 2001; Colombo et al., 1998b;
- <sup>34</sup> Vacca et al., 2002a, b;
- <sup>35</sup> Colombo et al., 2006;
- <sup>36</sup> Loi et al., 2010;
- <sup>37</sup> Agabio et al., 2000; Serra et al., 2003;
- <sup>38</sup> McBride et al., 2012, 2013b;
- <sup>39</sup> Mardones and Segovia-Riquelme, 1983; Quintanilla et al., 2006;
- <sup>40</sup> Quintanilla et al., 2008;
- <sup>41</sup> Quintanilla and Tampier, 2011;
- <sup>42</sup> Quintanilla and Tampier, 2011; Tampier et al., 2008;
- <sup>43</sup> Tampier and Quintanilla, 2011;
- <sup>44</sup> Israel et al., 2006; Ocaranza et al., 2008; Quintanilla et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2006, 2012; Rivera-Meza et al., 2010;
- <sup>45</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 2006;
- <sup>46</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 2006;
- <sup>47</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 1999a;
- <sup>48</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 1999b;

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

- <sup>49</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 1999a;
- <sup>50</sup> Cannella et al., 2016; Ciccocioppo et al., 2004; Cippitelli et al., 2005; Rorick-Kehn et al., 2016;
- <sup>51</sup> Ciccocioppo et al., 2006;
- <sup>52</sup> Scuppa et al., 2015;
- <sup>53</sup> Ayanwuyi et al., 2013; Cannella et al., 2016; Ciccocioppo et al., 2006;
- <sup>54</sup> Dyr and Kostowski, 2000, 2004, 2008;
- <sup>55</sup> Dyr and Kostowski, 2004;
- <sup>56</sup> Dyr and Kostowski, 2008; Rok-Bujko et al., 2006;
- <sup>57</sup> Dyr and Taracha, 2012;
- <sup>58</sup> Dyr and Taracha, 2012;
- <sup>59</sup> Dyr and Taracha, 2012;
- <sup>60</sup> Acewicz et al., 2012;
- <sup>61</sup> Stankiewicz et al., 2015.

See Table 1 for other abbreviations.

Table 3

Rat studies on the acquisition of alcohol intake and its pharmacological disruption.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Treatment site	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
24 h 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adolescent PND 30 In Adulthood PND 75	Pre-exposure			Readily acquired drinking during adolescence Increased operant acquisition rate in adulthood	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a
<b>Adrenergic</b>								
LA 2BFC 15% (2 h)	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Prazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1Rs	Reduced acquisition	Froehlich et al., 2013a
<b>Cannabinoid</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	SR 141716 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	Reduced acquisition	Serra et al., 2001
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	SR147778 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	Reduced acquisition	Gessa et al., 2005
<b>GABAergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	Baclofen Agonist CGP44532 Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Both reduced acquisition	Colombo et al., 2002a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	CGP7930 PAM GS39783 PAM	Systemic	GABRB	Both reduced acquisition	Orrù et al., 2005
<b>Glutamatergic</b>								
24 h 3BFC 15%, 30%	F	P	Adolescent PND 30 Adult PND 75	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF reduced acquisition in both adolescents and adults	Sari et al., 2013a
<b>Opioid</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%		P	Adult	Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Dose-dependently reduced acquisition	Badia-Elder et al., 1999
24 h 2BFC 15%	M&F	P	Adolescent PND 30	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Dose-dependently reduced acquisition	Sable et al., 2006
24 h 2BFC 15%	M&F	P	Adult > PND 75	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Dose-dependently reduced acquisition	Sable et al., 2006
<b>Serotonergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MDL 72222 Antagonist ICS205-930 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR3	Both reduced acquisition	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	ICS 205-930 Antagonist	pVTA	HTR3	Dose-dependently and acutely reduced acquisition	Rodd et al., 2010
24 h 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adolescent Postnatal Day (PND) 30	MDL 72222 Antagonist ICS205-930 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR3	Both reduced acquisition	c.f. Bell et al., 2012



Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/ Strain	Age	Drug	Treatment site	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
<b>Multiple Neurotransmitter and Neuromodulator Studies</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	Naltrexone Antagonist Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR GABRB	Low doses of each had no effect; The combination reduced acquisition	Colombo et al., 2005

2BFC = 2-Bottle Free-Choice; LA OFC = Limited Access Operant Frontal Choice; F = Female; P = Alcohol-Preferring rat line; PND = Post-Natal Day; M = Male; sP = Sardinian Alcohol-Preferring rat line; GABRB = Gamma Amino Butyric Acid-B Receptor; PAM = Positive Allosteric Modulator; 3BFC = 3 Bottle Free-Choice; GLTI = Glutamate Transporter1; EAAT2 = Excitatory Amino Acid Transporter2; CEF = Ceftriaxone; MOR = Mu Opioid Receptor; DOR = Delta Opioid Receptor; KOR = Kappa Opioid Receptor; HTR3 = Serotonin-3 Receptor;

See Tables 1 and 2 for other abbreviations.

Table 4

Rat studies of binge-like (most rely on original authors' interpretation) behavior and its pharmacological disruption.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
<b>Cholinergic</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Carbachol Agonist Methylscopolamine-bromide Antagonist	PPN VTA	AChRs mAChRs	Both compounds in both regions decreased intake	Kamer et al., 1997
<b>Dopaminergic</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	SCH 23390 Antagonist Sulpiride Antagonist	Acb	D1R D2R, D3R, GHBR	SCH in Acb did not affect intake; Sulpiride in Acb reduced intake	Levy et al., 1991
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Clozapine Antagonist, partial agonist Olanzapine Inverse agonist, antagonist	Systemic	D2R, HTR2, GRIN, GLTI HTR2, H1R, mAChR4/5, D2R	Clozapine did not alter intake; Olanzapine nonselectively reduced intake	Ingman and Korpi, 2006
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 75	Anipiprazole Partial agonist	Systemic	D2R, D3R, D4R, HTR1A, HTR2C, HTR7	Anipiprazole reduced intake at doses that also suppressed locomotor activity	Ingman et al., 2006
<b>GABAergic</b>								
LA Drinking-in-the-dark (DID) 10%	?	P	Adult > PND 90	GABRA $\alpha$ 2 siRNA GABRA $\alpha$ 1 siRNA TLR4-siRNA	CeA VP CeA	GABRA $\alpha$ 2 GABAR $\alpha$ 1R TLR4	GABA-A $\alpha$ 2R and TLR4 viral vector in CeA reduced intake; GABAA $\alpha$ 1R siRNA in VP reduced intake	Liu et al., 2011
<b>Monoamine Reuptake Inhibitor</b>								
LA DID 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Amitifadine Inhibitor Imipramine Inhibitor, antagonist	Systemic	SERT, NET, DAT SERT, NET, D2R, mAChR2, H1R, MOR?	Amitifadine reduced intake; Imipramine nonselectively reduced intake	Warmock et al., 2012

PPN = Pedunculopontine Nucleus; mAChRs = Muscarinic Acetylcholine Receptors; D1R = Dopamine-1 Receptor; GHBR = Gamma Hydroxybutyrate Receptor; GRIN = Glutamate Ionotropic Receptor—N-Methyl-D-Aspartate subtype; TLR4 = Toll-Like Receptor 4; VP = Ventral Pallidum; H1R = Histamine-1 Receptor;

See Tables 1 through 3 for other abbreviations.

Table 5

Rat studies on the maintenance of ethanol intake and its disruption.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
Continuous access (24 h) 2 bottle free-choice (2BFC; water and 10% ethanol); 4 h limited access (LA) 2BFC 10%; 1 h LA every 3 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90				Blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) limit intake; Scheduled LA increases intake per access session	Murphy et al., 1986
1 h LA every 3 h 2BFC multiple concentrations (MC: 5%, 10%, 15%)								
LA operant free-choice (OFC; water and 5%–30% with increasing concentration across days)	M	P & NP	Adult > PND 90				NP rats fail to self-administer any concentration; P rats readily self-administer all concentrations; Even when adulterated with a non-preferred flavor	Murphy et al., 1986
24 h 2BFC 3%–30% vs water	M	P & NP	Adult > PND 90				NP rats consume more 3% ethanol than P rats, but the reverse is true for all other concentrations; P rats maintain high intake even in the presence of other palatable solutions	Lankford et al., 1991
24 h 2BFC Nutrasweet vs 10%								
24 h 2BFC Slender (chocolate drink) vs 10%								
LA OFC 8%	M	P vs NP HAD vs LAD	Adult > PND 120				P > HAD > LAD > NP responding	Ritz et al., 1994a,b
24 h 2BFC 10% LA OFC 10%, 15%, 30%	M	sP vs sNP	Adult > PND 90				sP self-administered all concentrations; But sNP did not self-administer the different concentrations	Vacca et al., 2002b
24 h 2BFC 10% 24 h 3BFC 0.2% saccharin	F	UChB	?				A third solution of saccharin reduces intake	Tampier and Quintanilla, 2009
<b>Adrenergic</b>								

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Medetomidine Agonist Atipamezole Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha2Rs	Atipamezole increased drinking; medetomidine did not alter drinking	Korpi, 1990
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P & HAD1	Adult > PND 90	Uncontrollable stress		HPA activity Adrenergic activity	Stress moderately decreased intake by Ps and HAD1s; Post-stress increased intake in P, but not HAD1, rats	Chester et al., 2004
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Prazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1Rs	Prazosin reduced responding	Verplaetse et al., 2012
LA OFC 10%	M	P & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Yohimbine Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1Rs, Alpha2Rs	Yohimbine enhanced self-administration	Bertholomey et al., 2013
Appetitive vs Consummatory Responding								
LA 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Prazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1Rs	Lack of tolerance to chronic prazosin-induced reductions in drinking	Froehlich et al., 2013a
LA 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Doxazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1Rs	Doxazosin reduced drinking	O'Neil et al., 2013
<b>Cannabinoid</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	SR-141716 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR-141716 dose-dependently reduced intake	Colombo et al., 1998a
LA OFC 10%	M	msP & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	SR141716 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR141716A reduced responding in both lines	Cippitelli et al., 2005
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	SR147778 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR147778 reduced intake	Gessa et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%	F	AA & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	SR141716 Antagonist URB597- fatty acid amido-hydrolase FAAH Inhibitor	Systemic PFC striatum	CB1R CB1Rs	SR141716A systemically and in the PFC, but not striatum, decreased responding; URB597 increased operant responding	Hansson et al., 2007
LA 2BFC 10%	M	WHP	Adult > PND 180	SR141716 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR141716A reduced intake	Dyr et al., 2008
LA OFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	SR141716A Antagonist WIN55,212-2 Agonist	Systemic VTA Acb	CB1R	Systemic administration exerted biphasic change in responding; Only SR141716A was effective in VTA and Acb	Malinen and Hyytia 2008
LA OFC 15%		P	Adult > PND 90	SR141716A Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR141716A transiently reduced responding	Getachew et al., 2011
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP & snP	Adult > PND 70	SR141716A Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR141716A reduced intake	Vinod et al., 2012
<b>Cholinergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P & NP	Adult > PND 90	Scopolamine Antagonist Methscopolamine Antagonist	Systemic	mAChRs	Scopolamine and methscopolamine reduced intake and preference in P; Scopolamine did not alter intake in NP;	Rezvani et al., 1990

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Carbachol Agonist Methylscopolamine bromide Antagonist Oxotremorine Agonist	PPN VTA	Cholinergic Rs AChR mAChRs	Methscopolamine nonselectively reduced intake in NP Carbachol in VTA and scopolamine in PPN decreased intake; Carbachol in PPN and methylscopolamine in VTA nonselectively decreased intake	Katner et al., 1997
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	HAD-2	Adult > PND 90	Cytisine Partial agonist Lobeline Mixed agonist-antagonist	Systemic	Alpha4beta2 subunit containing nAChRs	Cytisine and lobeline dose-dependently reduced intake	Bell et al., 2009a,b
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Sazetidine-A Desensitizer	Systemic	Alpha4beta2 subunit containing nAChRs	Sazetidine-A reduced intake	Rezvani et al., 2010
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	UChB	Young-Adult > PND 60	Varenicline Cytisine Partial agonists	Systemic	Alpha4beta2 subunit containing nAChRs	Both partial agonists reduced intake	Sotomayor-Zarate et al., 2013
<b>Dopaminergic</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	SCH 23390 Antagonist Sulpiride Antagonist	Acb	D1R D2R	SCH23390 did not alter intake; Sulpiride decreased intake	Levy et al., 1991
LA 2BFC 10%	F	HAD	Adult > PND 90	SKF-38393 agonist SCH-23390 Antagonist Quinpirole Agonist Spiperone Antagonist	Systemic	D1R D2R	D1 and D2 agonists as well as D1 antagonist reduced intake; D2 antagonist increased intake	Dyr et al., 1993
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	SCH 39166 Antagonist	Systemic	D1R	SCH 39166 non-specifically reduced intake	Panocka et al., 1995a
LA 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Quinpirole Agonist Quinelorane Agonist Sulpiride Antagonist	aVTA pVTA	D2R	Quinpirole and quinelorane, but not sulpiride, in aVTA reduced intake; Quinpirole in pVTA had nonspecific effects	Nowak et al., 2000
LA 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	SCH-23390 Antagonist Sulpiride Antagonist	VP	D1R D2R	Sulpiride increased intake; SCH-23390 did not alter intake	Melendez et al., 2005
24 h 2BFC 15%	M	P & NP	Adult > PND 90	SB-277011-A Antagonist	Systemic	D3R	SB-277011-A reduced intake and lick responses	Thanos et al., 2005
<b>GABAergic</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	Ro19-4603 Partial inverse agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Ro19-4603 (3 x daily) reduced intake	Balakleevsky et al., 1990
LA 2BFC 10%	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adult > PND 90	Ro15-4513 Partial inverse BDZ agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Ro15-4513 reduced intake	June et al., 1991
LA 2BFC 10%	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adult > PND 90	Ro15-4513 Partial inverse agonist Ro15-1788 Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Ro15-4513 reduced intake and the antagonist Ro15-1788 (Flumazenil) blocked these effects	June et al., 1992
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Gamma-vinyl GABA Agonist	Systemic	GABRA	Gamma-vinyl GABA decreased intake	Wegelius et al., 1993

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Ro19-4603 Inverse agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Ro19-4603 reduced intake	June et al., 1994b
LA 2BFC (2–11%)	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adult > PND 90	Ro15-4513 Partial inverse agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Both Ro15-4513 and Ro15-1788 reduced self-administration	June et al., 1994a
LA 2BFC 10%	M	NP	Adult > PND 90	Ro19-4603 Inverse agonist FG 7142 (R016-6028) Partial agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	RO19-4603 reduced intake; FG 7142 and DMCM had non-selective effects; Bretazenil increased intake at higher doses	June et al., 1996b
LA 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	RO19-4603- Inverse agonist CGS 8216- Antagonist Flumazenil- Antagonist ZK 93426- Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	RO19-4603 reduced intake and CGS 8216 reversed these effects; Neither flumazenil nor ZK 93426 reversed RO19-4603's effects	June et al., 1996a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 180	Gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) Agonist	Systemic	GABRA GHR	GHB reduced intake	Agabio et al., 1998
LA OFC 10%	M&F	P & NP	Adult > PND 90	RO19-4603 Inverse agonist	Systemic Acb, CPU, VTA	GABRA-BDZ complex	Systemic and Acb infusions of Ro-19-4603 reduced self-administration; Ro19-4603 in the VTA or CPU did not alter responding	June et al., 1998e
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P & NP	Adult > PND 90	CGS 8216 Antagonist ZK 93426 Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	CGS 8216 and ZK 93426 dose-dependently reduced drinking with some specificity over saccharin	June et al., 1998b
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 75	Ru 34000 Inverse agonist Flumazenil Antagonist Ru 40410 Antagonist	Systemic VTA	GABRA-BDZ complex	Ru 34000 via sc, ip, oral, VTA nonselectively decreased responding; Flumazenil, but not Ru40410, reversed the effects of Ru34000	June et al., 1998c
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Flumazenil Antagonist CGS 8216 Antagonist ZK 93426 Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	All antagonists reduced self-administration	June et al., 1998f
LA 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Picrotoxin Antagonist Muscimol Agonist Bicutelline Antagonist	aVTA	GABRA-BDZ complex GABRA GABRA	Picrotoxin and bicuculline into the aVTA reduced intake and responding; Muscimol reversed the effects of picrotoxin	Nowak et al., 1998
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	RY023 Inverse agonist ZK 93426 Antagonist	Hipp. Acb, VTA	GABRA5-BDZ complex	RY023 dose-dependently reduced responding; ZK93426 reversed these effects	June et al., 2001; Cook et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	3-propoxy-beta-carboline hydro-chloride (3-PBC) Mixed agonist-antagonist	VP, Acb, CPU	GABRA1-BDZ complex	3-PBC in aVP and mVP, but not Acb or CPU, reduced responding	Harvey et al., 2002
LA OFC 10%	F	P & HADI	Adult > PND 90	bCCt Mixed agonist-antagonist Chlordiazepoxide-ide PAM	Systemic VP AcbShell AcbCore CPU	GABRA1-BDZ complex GABRA-BDZ complex	bCCt systemic or VP reduced responding; bCCt into the Acb or CPU did not alter responding; bCCt reversed chlordiazepoxide-induced sedation	June et al., 2003
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > PND 90	RY024 Inverse agonist	Systemic	GABRA5-BDZ complex	RY024 reduced responding, antagonized motor impairment, and sedative effects	McKay et al., 2004

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	RY023 Inverse agonist	Systemic Hipp	GABRA5	RY023 dose-dependently reduced responding	Cook et al., 2005
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	CGP7930 PAM GS39783 PAM	Systemic	GABRB	Both positive allosteric modulators reduced intake	Orrù et al., 2005
LA OFC 15%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Baclofen dose-dependently reduced responding	Maccioni et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%	M	iP	Adult > PND 90	CGP7930 Allosteric modulator Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	CGP7930 and baclofen reduced responding; Combination of subthreshold doses reduced responding	Liang et al., 2006
LA OFC 15%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	GS39783 PAM	Systemic	GABRB	GS39,783 dose-dependently reduced responding	Maccioni et al., 2007b
24 h 2BFC 10%	M&F	UChB	Early-Adult > PND 60	Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Baclofen reduced intake	Quintanilla et al., 2008
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	BHF177 PAM	Systemic	GABRB	BHF177 reduced self-administration	Maccioni et al., 2009
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	rac-BHFF [(R,S)-5,7-di- <i>tert</i> -butyl-1,3-hydroxy-3-tri-fluor omethyl-3H-benzofuran-2-one]-PAM	Systemic	GABRB	rac-BHFF reduced self-administration	Maccioni et al., 2010a,b
LA OFC 10%	M	P & sP & AA	Adult > PND 90	GS39783 PAM Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Baclofen and GS39783 reduced FR and PR responding; Rank of potency for both drugs P > sP > AA rats	Maccioni et al., 2012
LA OFC 15%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	GS39783 PAM BHFF PAM	Systemic	GABRB	Both GABRB positive allosteric modulators reduced responding without tolerance and potentiated baclofen's effects	Maccioni et al., 2015
<b>Glutamatergic</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > PND 90	LY379268 Agonist (S)-3,4-DCPG [(S)-3,4-dicar-boxyphenylglycine]-agonist	Systemic	GRM2/3 GRM8	LY379268 and (S)-3, 4- DCPG reduced responding	Backstrom and Hyttia 2005
LA OFC 10%	M	iP & AA & Fawn-Hooded	Adult > PND 90	MTEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MTEP reduced responding in all strains/lines, Sedation seen in iP rats	Cowen et al., 2005b
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	2-methyl-6-(phenylethyl)-pyridine (MPEP) Antagonist LY-341495 Antagonist CPCCOEt	Systemic	GRM5 GRM2/3 GRM1	MPEP and LY341495 reduced responding	Schroeder et al., 2005a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M&F	UChB	Adult > PND 120	DCD Synthetic polyamine	Systemic	GRIN1/GRIN2	DCD reduced intake with lack of tolerance; absence of disulfiram effect	Bilbeny et al., 2005
24 h 2BFC 10%	M&F	UChB	Adult > PND 90	DCD Synthetic polyamine	Systemic	GRIN1/GRIN2	DCD reduced intake with lack of tolerance; absence of disulfiram effect	Font et al., 2005
LA OFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	LY404039 Agonist	Systemic	GRM2/3	LY404039 did not alter responding	Rodd et al., 2006
LA OFC 15%	M	iP	Adult > PND 90	JNJ16259685 Antagonist MPEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM1 GRM5	Both JNJ16259685 and MPEP reduced self-administration	Besheer et al., 2008a, 2008b

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MPEP Antagonist LY379268 Agonist	Acb	GRM5 GRM2/3	MPEP in Acb reduced responding but not activity; LY379268 in Acb reduced responding and motor activity	Besheer et al., 2010b
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF reduced intake; CEF increased GLT1 in Acb and PFC	Sari et al., 2011
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	GPI-1046 Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	GPI-1046 reduced intake; GPI-1046 increased GLT1 in AcbCo and PFC	Sari and Sreemantula, 2012
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	Ethanol reduced GLT1 and increased ENT1 in the AcbSh and AcbCo; CEF reversed these effects as well as reducing intake	Sari et al., 2013b
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	F	P	Adult > PND 90 Initiated PND 30 or PND 75	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF reduced intake as adults in both rats initiating intake at PND 30 or PND 75; CEF increased GLT1 in Acb and PFC of both groups as well	Sari et al., 2013a
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF reduced chronic intake; CEF increased GLT1 and xCT in Acb, PFC, and Amyg	Rao and Sari, 2014b
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MS-153 Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	MS-153 increased GLT1, NFKB-65 and pAKT; but reduced IRBa1pha in Acb; MS-153 reduced intake	Alhaddad et al., 2014b
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MS-153 Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2) xCT	Ethanol reduced GLT1a, GLT1b and xCT in Amyg and Hipp; MS-153 increased GLT1a, GLT1b and xCT in Amyg and Hipp; MS-153 reduced intake	Aal-Aaboda et al., 2015
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ampicillin Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2) xCT	AMP increased GLT1 and xCT in Acb and PFC; AMP reduced intake	Alasmari et al., 2015
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator Dihydrokainic acid (DHK) Blocker	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	Ethanol reduced GLT1 and increased extra-cellular glutamate in Acb; CEF increased GLT1 and glutamine synthetase activity while reducing extra-cellular glutamate in Acb; DHK reversed CEF's effects on GLT1 levels and extra-cellular glutamate; Ceftriaxone reduced intake	Das et al., 2015
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Amoxicillin Up-regulator Amoxicillin/Clavulanate (Augmentin) Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	AUG increased GLT1 and pAKT in Acb and mPFC; AMOX increased GLT1 and pAKT in Acb; Aug and AMOX reduced intake	Goodwani et al., 2015
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ampicillin, Cefazolin, and Cefoperazone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	AMP, CEFA, and CEFO reduced intake and increased both GLT1 and pAKT in Acb and PFC	Rao et al., 2015a



Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF increased GLT1, GLT1a, GLT1b and xCT in the Acb and PFC	Rao et al., 2015b
(a) 24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%;	F	P	Adult > 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF reduced ethanol, ethanol + nicotine, nicotine + sucrose, and sucrose intake to varying degrees	Sari et al., 2016
(b) 24 h 3BFC (10% sucrose + 0.07 mg/ml nicotine and 10% sucrose + 0.14 mg/ml nicotine);								
(c) 24 h 3BFC (15% ethanol + 0.07 mg/ml nicotine and 30% ethanol + 0.14 mg/ml nicotine);								
(d) 24 h 3BFC (10% sucrose + 10% sucrose)								
<b>Histaminergic</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	AA & ANA	Adult > 90	clobenpropit Antagonist	Systemic	Histamine H3R and H1R	H3 antagonists reduced responding; H3 agonists increased responding; H1 antagonist did not alter responding	Lintunen et al., 2001
<b>Opioid</b>								
LA 2BFC 10%	M	HAD	Adult > 90	Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naloxone dose-dependently decreased intake	Froehlich et al., 1990
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	HAD	Adult > 90	Naloxone Antagonist ICI 174864 Antagonist Thiorphan Inhibitor	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR DOR Enkephalinase	Naloxone and ICI 174864 reduced intake; Thiorphan increased intake; Hydrocinnamic acid did not alter intake	Froehlich et al., 1991
LA OFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Acute and repeated Naltrexone reduced responding	Hyttia and Sinclair 1993
24 h 2BFC %	M&F	AA	Adult > 90	CTOP Antagonist ICI 174,864 Antagonist	ICV	MOR DOR	CTOP decreased intake; ICI 174,864 did not alter drinking	Hyttia, 1993
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > 90	Naloxonazine Naltrindole	Systemic	MOR-mu1 DOR	Naloxonazine had non-specific effects Naltrindole had no effect on intake	Honkanen et al., 1996
LA 2BFC (2–11%)	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adult > 90	Buprenorphine Partial agonist	Systemic	MOR & KOR	Buprenorphine reduced intake	June et al., 1998a
LA OFC 10%	M&F	P & Wistar	Early Adulthood > PND 60	Nalmefene, Naltrexone	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Nalmefene was more potent than naltrexone; The SC route was extremely more potent than the PO; Nalmefene's	June et al., 1998d

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA 2BFC 10%	M	HAD	Adult > PND 90	Beta-FNA Antagonist	Systemic	MOR-specific	effects were greater in P than Wistar rats Beta-FNA dose-dependently reduced intake	Krishnan-Sarin et al., 1998
LA OFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	DOR-62 MOR, DOR, KOR	Both naltrexone and naloxone reduced responding	June et al., 1999
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naltrexone reduced intake	Parkes and Sinclair, 2000
LA OFC 10%	M	AA & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Naloxone Antagonist CTOP-Antagonist Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic ICV Acb BLA VTA	MOR, DOR, KOR MOR DOR	Subcutaneous naloxone and ICV CTOP and naltrexone reduced self-administration equally in AA and Wistar rats; Naltrexone administered ICV, Acb, or BLA reduced Wistar responding, whereas CTOP was effective only in the BLA	Hyttia and Kiianmaa, 2001
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	AA & P	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	High doses of naltrexone reduced palatability for AA, but not P; rats; Reduced intake by both lines	Coonfield et al., 2004
LA OFC 10%		P	Adult > PND 90	Nalmefene Antagonist	Hipp Acb VTA	MOR, DOR, KOR	Nalmefene in the Acb and VTA reduced responding; Higher doses required for effects in VTA; Non-specific effects after nalmefene in Hipp	June et al., 2004
24 h 2BFC 15%	M&F	P	Adolescent > PND 30 Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Lower doses of naltrexone more effective in adolescents vs adults; Adult rats displayed greater tolerance to naltrexone's effects vs periadolescent	Sable et al., 2006
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	14-methoxy-metopon-Agonist Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic ICV	MOR MOR, DOR, KOR	14-MM dose-dependently, and time-dependently affected intake; 14-MM decreased intake at 30 min but increased intake at 60–240 min; naltrexone blocked 14-MM enhancing effects on intake	Sabino et al., 2007
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	WHP	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naltrexone reduced intake	Zalewska-Kasubaska et al., 2008a,b
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist LY25582 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR MOR	Both naltrexone and LY reduced responding	Dhafer et al., 2012a
24 h 2BFC 10% LA OFC 10%	M	P & HAD	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist GSK1521498 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR MOR	Naltrexone and GSK1521498 reduced intake & responding; GSK1521498 was more effective	Giuliano et al., 2015
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Emerging adulthood > PND 60	CTOP Antagonist DAMGO Agonist Morphine Antagonist U50488H Antagonist	AcbSh	MOR MOR MOR, DOR, KOR KOR	CTOP increased intake; DAMGO had a trend to decrease intake; Morphine and U50488H had no effect	Uhari-Vaananen et al., 2016

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
<b>Serotonergic</b>								
Intragastric (IG) FC 20%	M	P	Adult > 90	Fluoxetine Inhibitor	Systemic	SERT	Fluoxetine reduced IG self-administration	Murphy et al., 1988
LA 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > 90	Spiroxitrine Antagonist Fluoxetine Inhibitor Agonist	Systemic	HTR1A SERT HTR1A	Fluoxetine reduced intake; Spiroxitrine had a modest effect on intake; Fluoxetine and spiroxitrine had a synergistic reduction; DPAT also augmented fluoxetine's effects on intake	McBride et al., 1989
LA 2BFC 10%	F	sP	Adult > 85	MDL 72222 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR3	MDL 72222 reduced intake	Fadda et al., 1991
LA 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 90	GR113808 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR4	GR113808 reduced intake	Panocka et al., 1995b
24 h 3BFC (3–30%)	M	P	Adult > 90	Amperozide Antagonist FG5893 Mixed antagonist FG5974 Mixed antagonist	Systemic	HTR2A HTR1A	Amperozide and FG5974 reduced intake	Lankford et al., 1996a
24 h 3BFC (3–30%)	M	HAD	Adult > 90	FG5865 Mixed agonist/antagonist	Systemic	HTR1A/2	FG5865 reduced intake	Long et al., 1996
24 h 2BFC (3–30%)	M	P	Adult > 90	FG5865 Mixed agonist/antagonist	Systemic	HTR1A/2	FG5938 reduced intake	Piercy et al., 1996
24 h 2BFC 10% LA 2BFC 10%	?	P & AA & Fawn-Hooded	Adult > 70	Amperozide Antagonist FG 5974 Mixed antagonist/agonist	Systemic	HTR2A HTR1A/2A	Amperozide dose-dependently reduced 24 h and LA intake; FG 5974 modestly reduced 24 h intake but increased LA intake with non-specific effects	Oversstreet et al., 1997
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > 90	WAY 100635 Antagonist Fluoxetine Inhibitor	Systemic	HTR1A SERT	WAY and fluoxetine alone and together additively reduced intake	Zhou et al., 1998
24 h 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > 90	MDL 72222 Antagonist ICS205–930 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR3	Both MDL and ICS reduced drinking	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > 90	ICS 205–930 Antagonist	pVTA aVTA	HTR3	ICS in the pVTA, but not aVTA, increased responding	Rodd et al., 2010
LA 1B Test 2%	M	Swim Test Susceptible (SUS) Rat	Adult > 90	Fenfluramine Agonist 8-OH-DPAT Agonist	Systemic	SERT SERT, HTR1A, HTR7	Fenfluramine dose-dependently reduced intake; Biphasic effects of 8-OH-DPAT lower doses increased and higher doses decreased intake	West et al., 2011
24 h 2BFC 12%	F	P	Adult > 90	Lorcaserin Agonist	Systemic	HTR2C	Lorcaserin reduced intake, with some non-specificity	Rezvani et al., 2014
<b>Neuropeptidergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 90	SSR149415 Antagonist	Systemic	Arginine vasopressin (AVP) V1bR	SSR149415 reduced intake	Zhou et al., 2011
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	AA	Adult > 90	HS014 Antagonist MTII Agonist	ICV	Melanocortin MC4R MC3/4R	HS014 did not alter intake; MTII non-specifically reduced intake	Ploj et al., 2002

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA 2BFC 10%	M	msP	Adult > PND 90	AgRP Antagonist SHU9119 Antagonist MTII Agonist	ICV	MCRs MC3/4Rs	AgRP did not affect intake; SHU9119 did not affect intake; MTII nonselectively reduced intake, although tolerance developed to this effect	Polidori et al., 2006
LA 2BFC 8%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	NH <sub>2</sub> -SENK Agonist SENK Agonist [MePhe <sup>7</sup> ]NKB Agonist Sar <sup>9</sup> Met(02)]SP Agonist GR64349 Agonist	ICV	Neurokinin Rs NK3R NK3R NK3R NK1R NK2R	NK3R, but not NK1R or NK2R, agonists reduced intake	Ciccocioppo et al., 1994
LA 2BFC (2–11%)	M	P & NP & Wistars	Adult > PND 90	NPY Agonist	ICV	NPYRs	NPY reduced intake in P but not NP or Wistars	Badia-Elder et al., 2001
LA 2BFC (2–11%)	F	HAD & LAD	Adult > PND 90	NPY Agonist	ICV	NPYRs	NPY reduced intake in HAD but not LAD	Badia-Elder et al., 2003
LA OFC 10%	M	Long Evans	Adult > PND 90	BIBP 3226 Antagonist	CeA	NPY-Y1R	BIBP 3226 reduced self-administration	Schroeder et al., 2003
24 h 2BFC 15%	F	HAD1	Adult > PND 90	NPY Agonist	PVN-Hyp	NPYRs	NPY dose-dependently increased intake	Gilpin et al., 2004
24 h 2BFC 10% LA OFC 10%	M	iP	Adult > PND 90	L-152,804 Antagonist	Systemic	NPY-Y5R	L-152,804 reduced intake and self-administration	Schroeder et al., 2005b
24 h 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	NPY Agonist	CeA	NPYRs	NPY did not affect intake	Gilpin et al., 2008
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	NPY Agonist	CeA	NPYRs	NPY reduced intake	Zhang et al., 2010
24 h 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	NPS Agonist	ICV	NPSRs	NPS reduced intake	Badia-Elder et al., 2008
LA OFC 10%	M	msP	Adult > PND 90	N/OFQ Agonist	Systemic	Noiceptin/orphanin FQ; N/OFQ & NOPR	N/OFQ reduced intake and progressive ratio (PR) responding	Ciccocioppo et al., 2004
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	TA-0910 Agonist	Systemic	TRHR	TA-0910 dose-dependently reduced intake	Rezvani et al., 1992
<b>Other Systems</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	UChB	Early Adult > PND 60	Disulfiram Inhibitor Cyanamide Inhibitor	Systemic	ALDH2	Chronic ethanol induced tolerance to effects of ALDH2 inhibitors	Tampier et al., 2008
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	UChB	Early Adult > PND 60	Anti- <i>Alch2</i> Antisense gene	IV	ALDH2	Antisense induced a long-term reduction in intake	Ocaranza et al., 2008
24 h 2BFC 10% LA OFC 10%	M	iP & Fawn-Hooded & Long-Evans	Adult > PND 90	CVT-10216 Inhibitor	Systemic	ALDH2	CVT-10216 reduced intake in FH; CVT-10216 reduced responding in FH, iP, and LE	Aroffo et al., 2009
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	WHP	Adult > PND 90	Levetiracetam Inhibitor	Systemic	Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein SV2A Ca+	Levetiracetam reduced intake	Zalewska-Kaszubska et al., 2011
24 h 2BFC 5%	F	UChB	Adult > PND 120	HCN-2 Lenti virus overexpression	Intra-VTA	Hyperpolarization activated cyclic	HCN increased intake; HCN increased CPP; HCN increased LMA	Rivera-Meza et al., 2014

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	UChB	Young-Adult > PND 60	Fenofibrate PPAR agonist	Systemic	nucleotide-gated (HCN-2) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)	Fenofibrate reduced intake	Karahanian et al., 2014
24 h 2BFC 15%	M&F	HAD1 & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Ivermectin PAM <i>P2rx4</i> shRNA lentivirus	Systemic ICV pVTA	Purinergic P2X4 receptor P2RX4	Ivermectin reduced intake in both; <i>P2rx4</i> knockdown in pVTA reduced intake by HAD1	Franklin et al., 2015a
<b>Multiple Neurotransmitter/Neuromodulator System Studies</b>								
LA 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Prazosin Antagonist Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1Rs MOR, DOR, KOR	Combination of threshold doses of naltrexone and prazosin reduced drinking	Froehlich et al., 2013b
LA 2BFC 20%	M	P	Adult > PND 270	Prazosin Antagonist Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1Rs MOR, DOR, KOR	Combination of Prazosin and naltrexone was more effective than each alone in reducing drinking	Rasmussen et al., 2015
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 180	WIN 55,212-2 Agonist CP 55,940 Agonist SR 141716 Antagonist Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R MOR, DOR, KOR	CB1 agonists increased drinking; CB1 and MOR/DOR/KOR antagonists reduced CB1 agonist effects	Colombo et al., 2002b
LA 2BFC 10%	M	P & HAD	Adult > PND 90	Apomorphine Agonist, Antagonist 7-OH-DPAT Agonist	Systemic	D1R, D2R HTR2, Alpha1s D3R, HTRs	Apomorphine and 7-OH-DPAT reduced intake in Ps and HADs	Russell et al., 1996
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	7-OH-DPAT Agonist	Systemic	D3R, HTRs	7-OH-DPAT reduced intake	Mason et al., 1997
24 h 2BFC 10%	?	P	Adult > PND 90	GBR 12909 Antagonist Amphetamine DAT modulator Homocryptine-Agonist Ro 15-4513 Inverse agonist	Systemic	D2R DAT D2R GABRA-BDZ complex	All DA modulators and Ro 15-4513 reduced intake	McBride et al., 1990
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	SCH 23390 Antagonist Eticlopride Antagonist Naltrexone Antagonist	BNST	D1R D2R MOR, DOR, KOR	SCH23390 reduced responding but nonspecifically; Eticlopride and naltrexone did not alter responding	Eiler et al., 2003
LA OFC 10%	M&F	P	Adult > PND 90	Eticlopride Antagonist SR95531 Antagonist	VTA-BNST VTA-Acb	D2R GABRAs	Eticlopride in the VTA reduced responding; SR95531 in the Acb, but not BNST, reduced responding; The combination had no effect on responding	Eiler & June 2007
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	sodium- <i>N</i> -acetyl-homotaurinate (Na-AOTA) calcium-bis( <i>N</i> -acetylhomotaurinate)-(Ca-AOTA) Partial agonists	Systemic	GABRA/GABRB GRIN, GRM1, GRM5	Ca-AOTA, but not NA-AOTA, reduced responding; Suggesting calcium salt effects of acamprostate	Spanagel et al., 2014a,b
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	ZK 91296 PAM CGS 9895 PAM Ro 15-4513 Inverse agonist Ro 19-4603 Inverse agonist Bretazenil Agonist Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex GABRA-BDZ complex GABRA-BDZ complex GABRA-BDZ complex MOR, DOR, KOR	ZK 91296 and CGS 9895 modestly reduced intake; Ro15-4513 and Ro19-4603 reduced intake; Bretazenil modestly reduced intake; Naloxone decreased intake	Wegelius et al., 1994
LA OFC 10% 24 h 2BFC 5% 24 h 3BFC 5% & 20%	M	iP & AA & Fawn-Hooded	Adult > PND 90	Acamprostate Modulator	Systemic	GABA/Glu Ca2+ channel	Acamprostate decreased IP and FH responding, tolerance developed to these effects; Acamprostate decreased	Cowen et al., 2005a

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	WHP	Adult > 90	Acamprosate Modulator	Systemic	GABA/Glu Ca <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> channel	AA and FH intake; tolerance developed to these effects Acamprosate decreased intake	Zalewska-Kaszubska et al., 2008a
LA OFC 15%	M	sP	Adult > 75	Baclofen Agonist Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	GABRB MOR, DOR, KOR	Both baclofen and naloxone reduced responding; Baclofen had non-specific effects	Maccioni et al., 2005
LA OFC 15%	M	iP	Adult > 90	Ganaxolone Neurosteroid analog Pregnenolone Precursor of neurosteroids	Systemic	GABRA GRIN Sigma-1R	Pregnenolone reduced responding but not activity; Ganaxolone reduced responding and activity	Besheer et al., 2010a
24 h 2BFC 10%		P & Wistar	Adult > 90	Topiramate Modulator	Systemic	GABRA Ca <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> channels GRIA/GRIK	Topiramate modestly, but persistently reduced intake in P but not Wistar rats	Breslin et al., 2010
LA OFC 10%		P & Wistar	Adult > 90	Naloxone Antagonist Bromocriptine Agonist Methysergide Partial agonist, antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR D2R HTR1A HTR2B, HTR2C	Naloxone reduced responding but not preference in P; Bromocriptine reduced responding & preference in P; Naloxone and bromocriptine produced smaller reductions in Wistar; Methysergide did not affect responding in either strain	Weiss et al., 1990
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P & AA & Fawn-Hooded	Adult > 90	Ibogaine-indole alkaloid Agonist, partial agonist	Systemic	MOR, KOR, GRIN, HTR3, sigma1R, sigma2R	SC ibogaine altered intake; IP ibogaine reduced intake in all lines; IG ibogaine reduced intake in FH	Rezvani et al., 1995
24 h 2BFC 3–30%	M	HAD	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist Amperozide Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR HTR2A	Dose-dependent reductions in intake by both amperozide and naltrexone	Lankford and Myers 1996
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist Fluoxetine Blocker	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR; 5HT-transporter (SERT)	Naltrexone and fluoxetine reduced responding	Le et al., 1999
24 h 2BFC 10%		P & HAD & Fawn-Hooded	Adult > 90	Naltrexone antagonist Fluoxetine inhibitor TA-0910 Agonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR SERT TRHR	Low doses of naltrexone, fluoxetine, and TA-0910 alone did not alter intake; A combination of these compounds reduced intake	Rezvani et al., 2000
24 h 2BFC 10% LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > 180	6-OHDA lesions of dorsal & ventral Striatum Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	Catechol-aminergic nerve terminals MOR, DOR, KOR	Naltrexone reduced 24 h and LA intake in both the 6-OHDA-treated and the control groups	Koistinen et al., 2001
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 90	Morphine Agonist Naloxone Antagonist SR 141716 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR CB1R	Low dose morphine increased drinking; high dose decreased drinking; naloxone blocked morphine's effects; SR 141716 was only effective against low dose morphine	Vacca et al., 2002a
LA OFC 10%	M&F	P & HAD1	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist betaCCt, mixed BDZ agonist-antagonist	CeA CPU	MOR, DOR, KOR GABRA1-BDZ complex	betaCCt and naltrexone in the CeA reduced responding; whereas betaCCt and naltrexone in the CPU did not alter responding	Foster et al., 2004

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	DTG Agonist BD-1063 Antagonist	Systemic	Sigma 1R, GRIN Sigma 1R, GRIN	DTG increased fixed and progressive ratio BACs; BD-1063 blocked the effects of DTG	Sabino et al., 2011
LA OFC 10%	M	sP & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	BD-1063 Antagonist	Systemic	Sigma 1R, GRIN	BD-1063 dose dependently reduced responding by sP and Wistars	Sabino et al., 2009a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	NE-100 Antagonist	Systemic	Sigma 1R, GRIN	NE-100 dose-dependently reduced intake	Sabino et al., 2009b
24 h 2BFC 8%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	Ritanserin Antagonist Risperidone Mixed antagonist	Systemic	HTR2 HTR1C/D2R	Risperidone, but not ritanserin, dose-dependently reduced preference	Panocka et al., 1993b
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Risperidone Antagonist	Systemic	D1R, D2R, HTR2C	Risperidone reduced intake	Ingman et al., 2003a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Fluoxetine Inhibitor Fluvoxamine Inhibitor Desipramine Inhibitor	Systemic	SERT SERT NET/NET	Fluoxetine, fluvoxamine and desipramine reduced intake	Murphy et al., 1985
LA 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Fluoxetine Inhibitor Desipramine Inhibitor Ro 15-4513 Partial inverse agonist Ro 15-1788 Antagonist	Systemic	SERT SERT/NET GABRA-BDZ complex GABRA-BDZ complex	Fluoxetine, desipramine, and Ro15-4513 reduced intake; Ro15-1788 did not alter intake; Ro15-1788 blocked Ro15-4513's effects	McBride et al., 1988
24 h 2BFC 10%	?	P & HAD	Adult > PND 90	Fluoxetine Inhibitor Fenfluramine Reverser D,L-5-hydroxy-tryptophan Agonist 8-OH DPAT Agonist TEMPP Agonist DOI Agonist GBR 12909 Inhibitor Amphetamine Reverser	Systemic	SERT SERT HTRs HTR1A HTR1A HTR2 DAT DAT D2R	5-HT and DA agents reduced intake in both P and HADs	McBride et al., 1990
24 h 2BFC 3%	M	sP, Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Bromocriptine Agonist Risperidone Antagonist Ritanserin Antagonist Haloperidol Antagonist	Systemic	HTR2/D2R HTR1C D2R	Risperidone, ritanserin, and haloperidol reduced preference; Only lowest dose of risperidone reduced intake	Panocka et al., 1993a, 1993b, 1993c
LA 2BFC 10%	M	AA	Adult > PND 90	Deramciclane Antagonist Midazolam Agonist	Systemic	HTR2 GABRA-BDZ complex Midazolam increased intake	Deramciclane did not alter intake; Midazolam increased intake	Ingman et al., 2004
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Ondansetron Antagonist Topiramate Modulator	Systemic	HT3R GABA/Glu	Topiramate modestly but persistently decreased intake alone and in combination with ondansetron	Lynch et al., 2011
LA OFC 10%	M	P & NP	Adult > PND 90	DOV 102.677 (DOV) Uptake inhibitor	Systemic	SERT, NET, DAT	DOV reduced responding	Yang et al., 2012
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	TA-0910 Agonist 7-OH-DPAT Antagonist R(+)-SCH23390 Antagonist S(-)-eticlopride Antagonist	Systemic	TRHR D3R D1R D2R	TA-0910 reduced intake; 7-OH-DPAT reduced intake; SCH23390 modestly reduced intake; Eticlopride reduced intake; Eticlopride, but not SCH23390 or 7-OH-DPAT, reduced TA-0910's effects	Mason et al., 1997
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	TA-0910 Agonist Bromocriptine Agonist	Systemic	TRHR D2R	TA-0910 reduced intake with tolerance to these effects; TA-0910 reduced bromocriptine's effects	Mason et al., 1994
LA 3BFC 15%, 30% 24 h 3BFC 15%, 30%	M&F	P & HAD1	Adult > PND 90	Rolipram Inhibitor Ro 20-1724- Inhibitor <i>Il-22ra2</i> shRNA lentivirus	Systemic AcbShell	Phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) Interleukin 22 R alpha2 gene	Rolipram and Ro20-1724 reduced intake in both lines; Il22ra2 knockdown in AcbSh reduced intake in P	Franklin et al., 2015b

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line/Strain	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular Target	Findings	Citation
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	iP	Adult > 90	Carisbamate Inhibitor Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	VGSCs for Glu activity MOR, DOR, KOR	Carisbamate selectively reduced intake and was more effective than naltrexone	Rezvani et al., 2009

NP = Alcohol-Non-Preferring rat line; LAD = Low Alcohol Drinking (LAD1 and LAD2) rat lines; sNP = Sardinian Alcohol-Non-Preferring rat line; ANA = ALKO Alcohol-Non-Accepting rat line; iP = Inbred P; HPA = Hypothalamic Pituitary-Adrenal axis; GABRA-BDZ = GABA-A Receptor—Benzodiazepine Receptor complex; sc = subcutaneous; ip = intraperitoneal; CPU = Caudate Putamen; Grm2/3 = Glutamate Metabotropic Receptor 2/3; pAKT = also called Protein Kinase B (PKB); xCT = Cystine/Glutamate Antiporter; ICV = Intra-Cerebro-Ventricular admission; BLA = Basolateral Amygdala; SERT = Serotonin Transporter; NET = Norepinephrine Transporter; DAT = Dopamine Transporter; TRH = Thyrotrophin Releasing Hormone; BNST = Bed Nucleus Stria Terminalis; GRIA = Glutamate Ionotropic Receptor-AMPA (quisqualate) subtype; GRIK = Glutamate Ionotropic Receptor-Kainate subtype.

See Tables 1 through 4 for other abbreviations.



Table 6

Rat studies on relapse behavior (ADE) of alcohol intake and its pharmacological disruption.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citations
24 h 2BFC 10%	M&F	AA	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure			Absence of alcohol deprivation effect (ADE) after long-term deprivation interval	Hilakivi et al., 1984
24 h 2BFC 10%	?	AA	Adult > PND 300	Ethanol re-exposure			Re-exposure did not lead to ADE	Sinclair and Tiihonen 1988
24 h 2BFC 7%	?	AA	Adult PND?	Ethanol re-exposure			Re-exposure led to ADE after 12 h and 24 h, but not longer, deprivations	Sinclair and Li, 1989
LA OFC 10% 24 h 2BFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement	Ethanol re-exposure		Re-instatement led to ADE after 5, 7, 14, 28 days deprivation; Re-exposure led to ADE after 5 days	Heyser et al., 1997
LA OFC 15% 24 h 2BFC 10%	M&F	P	Adult > PND 75 Juvenile > PND 22	Ethanol re-instatement	Ethanol re-exposure		ADE expressed after extended deprivation following ethanol after juvenile or adult initiation	McKinzie et al., 1998
24 h 4BFC 5%, 10%, 20%	M	Wistar	Adult ?PND	Ethanol re-exposure			Repeated short-term deprivations increased ADE	Holter et al., 1998
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 75	Ethanol re-exposure			Absence of ADE during initial 24 h of re-exposure after 3–30 days of deprivation	Agabio et al., 2000
Vapor exposure then LA OFC 10%	M	Wistars	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement			Increased responding after re-instatement; Increased responding remained elevated for 4–8 weeks	Roberts et al., 2000
24 h 2BFC 10%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure			Single concentration (10%) induced ADE and there was prolonged expression for 4 consecutive days	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	HAD1 & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure			Only repeated cycles of deprivation resulted in ADE	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000b
24 h 4BFC 10%, 20%, 30%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure			Multiple concentrations increased ADE over 10% only; induced ADE with higher ethanol intakes there was prolonged expression for 6 consecutive days.	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2001
24 h 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adolescent PND 30–60 Adult > PND 75	After pre-exposure	Ethanol re-instatement		Expression of ADE during reacquisition in adulthood	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a
24 h 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult PND 75–105 135	After pre-exposure	Ethanol re-instatement		Absence of ADE during reacquisition in adulthood	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002b
LA OFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement			Repeated deprivations increased both the magnitude and duration of the ADE	Rodd et al., 2003

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citations
24 h 4BFC 10%, 20%, 30%		sP	Adult > PND 75	Ethanol re-exposure			Modest acute ADE during re-exposure	Serra et al., 2003
24 h 3BFC 5%, 20%	M	P, HAD, AA, Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure	Swim stress Foot shock induced relapse		Wistar, but not selected, rats increased relapse after swim stress; All lines increased relapse after foot shock	Vengeliene et al., 2003
24 h 3BFC 5%, 20%	F	Wistar	Adolescent PND 31 PND 71	Initiation	Swim stress-induced relapse		Relapse drinking similar in both groups; Repeated swim stress increased relapse modestly; Foot-shock increased relapse to a greater extent than swim stress, in the adolescents	Siegmund et al., 2005
24 h 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-exposure	pVTA		Repeated deprivations increased reinforcing effects within pVTA	Rodd et al., 2005
LA OFC 15%	M	HAD1 & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement			Repeated deprivations increased both the magnitude and duration of the ADE.	Oster et al., 2006
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement			Ethanol-associated (+) stimuli increased reinstatement responding	Maccioni et al., 2007b
24 h 4BFC 10%, 20%, 30%	M	P & HAD1 & HAD2	Adult > PND 75	Ethanol re-exposure			HAD rats expressed 24 h ADE after short access and deprivation intervals; P rats displayed a modest 24 h ADE under the same conditions	Bell et al., 2008a
4 cycles of 4 days of deprivation X 4 days of re-exposure								
LA OFC 10%, 20%, 30%	M	HAD-1 & HAD-2	Adult > PND 90	Ethanol re-instatement	Multiple deprivations		Multiple deprivations increased responding/reinforcement; Shifted preference to higher concentrations; Prolonged the duration of the ADE up to 5 days	Rodd et al., 2009
24 h 4BFC 5%, 10%, 20% 3BFC 6%, 16%	M	Wistar	Emerging Adulthood > PND 60	Ethanol re-exposure			Repeated deprivations produced compulsive-like drinking behavior during relapse	Vengeliene et al., 2014
<b>Adrenergic and Mixed</b>								
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Yohimbine Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1Rs, Alpha2Rs	Yohimbine enhanced reinstatement responding	Bertholomey et al., 2013
LA 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 70	Prazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1R BetaR	Prazosin + propranolol reduced relapse drinking	Rasmussen et al., 2014
24 h 3BFC 15%, 30%	P	P	Adult > PND 70	Prazosin Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha1R	Prazosin prevented the expression of an ADE	Froehlich et al., 2015
LA 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 70	Prazosin Antagonist Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha-1R MOR, DOR, KOR	Prazosin + naltrexone reduced relapse drinking	Rasmussen et al., 2015
<b>Cannabinoid</b>								

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citations
2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND75	SR147778 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR147778 reduced relapse drinking	Gessa et al., 2005
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	SR141716A Antagonist CP 55,940 Agonist	Systemic	CB1R CB1R	SR transiently reduced relapse responding; CP increased relapse responding	Getachew et al., 2011
<b>Cholinergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 75	Sazetidine-A Partial agonist	Systemic	a4b2 containing nAChRs	Sazetidine-A and naltrexone reduced relapse drinking	Rezvani et al., 2010
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Nicotine Agonist	Systemic	nAChRs	Nicotine time-dependently enhanced relapse drinking	Hauser et al., 2012a
<b>Corticotropin</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	CP154,526 Antagonist CRA1000 Antagonist	Systemic	CRF1 CRF1	Both CP and CRA reduced relapse drinking	Overstreet et al., 2007
<b>Dopaminergic and Mixed</b>								
24 h 3BFC 5%, 20%	M	P & HAD	Adult > PND 90	BP 897 Partial agonist SB-277011-A Antagonist	Systemic	D3R	BP 897 and SB-277011-A reduced relapse drinking	Vengeliene et al., 2006
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Haloperidol Inverse agonist, Agonist, Antagonist Olanzapine Antagonist Inverse agonist	Systemic	D2R, D3R, D4R Sigma2R, HTR1A, HTR2, HTR7, alpha1, alpha2, HTR3, HTR6, HTR7, alpha1, alpha2, mAChR, D1R, D2R HTR2, HI	Haloperidol and olanzapine reduced relapse drinking	Overstreet et al., 2007
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Cocaine Modulator	Systemic	SERT, DAT, NET	Cocaine enhanced relapse responding if administered 30 min or 4 h prior to test session	Hauser et al., 2014b
<b>GABAergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Flumazenil Antagonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex	Flumazenil reduced relapse drinking	Overstreet et al., 2007
<b>Glutamatergic and Mixed</b>								
24 h 4BFC 5%, 10%, 20%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	MPEP antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MPEP reduced relapse drinking following repeated alcohol deprivations	Backstrom et al., 2004
24 h 4BFC 5%, 10%, 20%	M	Wistar	Emerging Adulthood > PND 60	CGP37849 Competitive antagonist L-701,324 Antagonist Ifenprodil Antagonist Neramexane Antagonist	Systemic	NMDAR Glycine binding site GRIN2B GRIN, nAChR	CGP37849, L-701,324, ifenprodil and neramexane reduced relapse drinking	Vengeliene et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MPEP Antagonist LY-341495 Antagonist CPCCOEt Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5 GRM2/3 GRM1	MPEP reduced relapse responding	Schroeder et al., 2005a
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	LY404039 agonist	Systemic	GRM2/3	LY404039 reduced relapse responding	Rodd et al., 2006
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult	GYKI 52466 Antagonist	Systemic	AMPA	GYKI 52466 dose-dependently reduced relapse responding	Sanchis-Segura et al., 2006

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citations
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 60	Lamotrigine Inhibitor	Systemic	Na <sup>+</sup> Channel control glutamate activity	Lamotrigine reduced relapse responding	Vengeliene et al., 2007
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MPEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MPEP reduced relapse responding	Schroeder et al., 2008
24 h 3BFC 15%, 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	Ceftriaxone reduced relapse drinking; Associated with upregulation of GLT1 in AcbCo and PFC	Orunfleh et al., 2013
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	Ethanol reduced pAKT in Acb; CEF increased GLT1a, GLT1b and xCT in Acb and PFC as well as pAKT in Acb; CEF reduced intake	Alhaddad et al., 2014a
24 h 3BFC 15% & 30%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Ceftriaxone Up-regulator	Systemic	GLT1 (EAAT2)	CEF interfered with relapse intake when given during abstinence	Rao and Sari, 2014a
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Ro61-8048 kynurenine-3-monoxy-genase (KMO) Inhibitor	Systemic	GRIN2B	Ro61-8048 reduced relapse responding	Vengeliene et al., 2016a
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Emerging Adulthood > PND 60	Memantine Antagonist	Systemic	NMDAR	Memantine reduced relapse responding	Vengeliene et al., 2015b
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	sodium-N-acetylhomotaurinate Na-AOTA calcium-bis(N-acetylhomotaurinate) Ca-AOTA	Systemic		Ca-AOTA, but not Na-AOTA, reduced relapse drinking, suggesting a role for calcium salts in acamprosate formulations	Spanagel et al., 2014a,b
2BFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Org25935 Transporter inhibitor Acamprosate	Systemic	GlyT1 GlyT2 GABA/Glu Ca <sup>++</sup>	Org25935 reduced compulsive relapse drinking without tolerance to this effect; Acamprosate reduced compulsive relapse drinking	Vengeliene et al., 2010
3BFC 5%, 20%	F	Wistar	Adolescent PND 31 Adult PND 71	Acamprosate	Systemic	GABA/Glu Ca <sup>++</sup>	No differences in baseline drinking between rats initiating in adolescence vs adulthood; Relapse-like drinking was only seen in the adult initiators; Acamprosate also reduced relapse drinking in this group	Fullgrabe et al., 2007
4BFC 5%, 10%, 20% (ADE) LA OFC 5–10%	?	Wistar	Adult Long-term access	A-705253 Calpain-associated Modulator	Systemic	NMDAR	The calpain inhibitor reduced relapse	Vengeliene et al., 2016b
<b>Opioid and Mixed</b>								
LA 2BFC LA 10%	P	P	Adult	Naloxone antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naloxone dose-dependently reduced relapse drinking	Badia-Elder et al., 1999
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult	Naltrexone antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naltrexone reduced relapse drinking	Rezvani et al., 2010
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult	JDTic Antagonist	Systemic	KOR	JDTic reduced relapse responding	Deehan et al., 2012
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Antagonist LY255582 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR MOR	Both Naltrexone and LY reduced relapse responding	Dhaheer et al., 2012b

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citations
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	sP	Adult	NE-100 antagonist	Systemic	Sigma OR	NE-100 prevented increases in relapse drinking	Sabino et al., 2009b
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Naltrexone Acamprosate	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR GABA/Glu Ca <sup>++</sup>	Chronic administration of naltrexone and the combination of naltrexone + acamprosate reduced relapse responding	Heyser et al., 2003
<b>Peptidergic</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 60	Melatonin Agomelatine Mixed Agonist/Antagonist SB242084 Antagonist	Systemic	MT1R MT2R HTR2C	Melatonin, agomelatine, and SB242084 reduced relapse drinking.	Vengelien et al., 2015a
24 h 2BFC 8%	F	P	Adult	NPY Agonist	ICV	NPY YRs	NPY reduced relapse drinking; Reduced continuous access drinking to a lesser extent	Gilpin et al., 2003
24 h 2BFC 15%	F	P	Adult	NPY Agonist	CeA	NPY YRs	NPY in CeA reduced relapse, but not uninterrupted, drinking	Gilpin et al., 2008
LA OFC 10%	F	P	Adult	NPY Agonist	ICV	NPY YRs	NPY ICV decreased relapse responding	Bertholomey et al., 2011
LA OFC 15%	F	P	Adult > PND 90	SB-334867 Antagonist	Systemic	OX1R	SD-334867 reduced relapse responding	Dhafer et al., 2010
<b>Serotonergic and Mixed</b>								
24 h 2BFC 15%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	MDL 72222-Antagonist ICS205-930-Antagonist	Systemic	HTR3	Reduced relapse drinking.	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Buspirone Partial agonist SB242,084 Antagonist	Systemic	HTR1A, HTR2C	Buspirone and SB242084 reduced relapse drinking	Overstreet et al., 2007
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P & Wistar	Adult > PND 90	Ondansetron Antagonist Topiramate Modulator	Systemic	HTR3 GABRAs, GRIA, GRIK, carbonic anhydrase	Both ondansetron alone and in combination with topiramate blocked relapse drinking; Topiramate reduced relapse drinking but to a lesser extent than the combination	Lynch et al., 2011
<b>Other</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	Fawn-Hooded, Long-Evans, & iP	Adult > PND 90	CVT-10216 Inhibitor	Systemic	ALDH2	CVT-10216 reduced relapse drinking in Fawn-Hooded rats	Arolfo et al., 2009
LA 2BFC 15%	M	P & HAD1	Adult > PND 75	Ibudilast Inhibitor	Systemic	PDE4	Ibudilast reduced relapse drinking in both lines	Bell et al., 2015

GlyT = Glycine Transporter; MTR = Melatonin Receptor; OXR = Orexin Receptor.

See Tables 1 through 5 for other abbreviations.

Table 7

Rat studies on alcohol-seeking behavior and its pharmacological disruption.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P, HAD1, & HAD2	Adult > PND 90				appetitive and consummatory processes are distinct and that P > HAD1 > HAD2 for responding and operant seeking behavior	Czachowski and Samson, 2002
24 h 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adolescent PND 30–60 Adult 30 days after	Pre-exposure Re-instatement			Adolescent pre-exposure interfered with extinction; Adolescent pre-exposure enhanced and prolonged operant seeking behavior	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a
24 h 2BFC 15% LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult PND 75–105 Adult 30 days after	Pre-exposure Re-instatement			Both the adult alcohol-drinking and adult alcohol-naïve groups rapidly acquired EIOH self-Adult pre-exposure did not affect extinction; Adult pre-exposure did not affect seeking behavior; A discriminative odor stimulus (+) enhanced operant seeking behavior; 2 ml 15% ethanol bottle enhanced seeking behavior	Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002b
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > PND 90				Orosensory properties of ethanol (+stimulus) leads to operant seeking behavior	Maccioni et al., 2007a
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P, HAD2, & Long-Evans	Adult > PND 90				Only P rats displayed increased levels of operant delay discounting (a measure of seeking behavior)	Beckwith and Czachowski, 2014
<b>Adrenergic</b> LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P & HAD2	Adult > PND 90	Yohimbine Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1Rs, Alpha2Rs	Yohimbine enhanced operant seeking in both lines.	Bertholomey et al., 2013
<b>Cannabinoid and Mixed</b>								

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar & msP	Adult > 90	SR141716A-Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R	SR141716A reduced seeking behavior	Cippitelli et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%		iP	Adult > 90	SR141716A Antagonist/MTEP Antagonist SCH58261 Antagonist	Systemic	CB1R Grm5 adenosine 2A	SR141716A with MTEP reduced cue-conditioned seeking; SR141716A with SCH58261 did not alter cue-conditioned seeking	Adams et al., 2010
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	SR141716A-antagonist CP 55, 940-agonist	Systemic	CB1R	The CB1R antagonist reduced seeking; The CB1R agonist increased seeking	Getachew et al., 2011
<b>Cholinergic and Mixed</b>								
2BFC 12% LA OFC 12%	M	Long-Evans	Adult PND?	Nicotine Agonist	Systemic	nAChRs	Nicotine increased seeking behavior	Le et al., 2003
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Ethanol + Nicotine			Readily displayed ethanol + nicotine seeking behavior	Hauser et al., 2012a
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Nicotine Agonist	Systemic	nAChRs	Nicotine enhanced seeking behavior	Hauser et al., 2012b
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Nicotine Agonist Mecamylamine Antagonist	pVTA	nAChRs	Nicotine enhanced ethanol-seeking behavior; Mecamylamine attenuated nicotine's effects	Hauser et al., 2014a
<b>Corticotrophin</b>								
2BFC 12% LA OFC 12%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	CP-154,526 Antagonist d-phe-CRF Antagonist	ICV	CRF	d-Phe-CRF and CP-154,526, attenuated stress-induced seeking	Le et al., 2000
<b>Dopaminergic and Mixed</b>								
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	Long-Evans	Adult > 90	Raclopride Antagonist	Systemic	D2R	Raclopride reduced seeking at the low and high dose, but not intermediate, dose; Raclopride also reduced drinking	Czachowski et al., 2001a
24 h 3BFC 5%, 20%	M	P & HAD	Adult > 90	BP 897 Partial agonist SB-277011-A Antagonist	Systemic	D3R	BP 897 and SB-277011-A reduced seeking behavior	Vengeliene et al., 2006
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	SCH23390 Antagonist A-77636 Agonist	AcbSh, AcbCo	D1R	SCH reduced seeking; A-77636 increased seeking in AcbSh, but not the AcbCo	Hauser et al., 2015

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Quinpirole Agonist Ethanol	pVTA	D2R	Quinpirole microinjected into the pVTA reduced seeking; Quinpirole blocked ethanol-induced enhancement of seeking	Hauser et al., 2011
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Cocaine Reverser	Systemic	SERT, NET, DAT	Cocaine dose-dependently increased seeking behavior	Hauser et al., 2014b
<b>GABAergic and Mixed</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > 90	3-propoxy-beta-carboline hydrochloride (3-PBC) Mixed agonist-antagonist	VP Acb CPU	GABRA1-BDZ complex	3-PBC in the anterior and medial VP produced marked reductions in alcohol-maintained responding in a genetically selected rodent model of alcohol drinking	Harvey et al., 2002
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 90	Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Baclofen reduced seeking behavior	Maccioni et al., 2008a
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 75	GS39783 PAM Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRB	Baclofen non-specifically reduced operant breakpoint; GS39783 reduced operant breakpoint	Maccioni et al., 2008b
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory behavior	M	sP	Adult > 60	GS39783 PAM	Systemic	GABRB	GS39783 inhibited both seeking and intake behavior	Maccioni et al., 2010b
<b>Glutamatergic and Mixed</b>								
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	Long Evans	Adult > 90	Acamprosate Modulator	Systemic	GABA/Glu Ca + channel	Acamprosate decreased intake but not seeking behavior	Czachowski et al., 2001b
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > 90	MPEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MPEP reduced cue-induced operant seeking behavior	Backstrom et al., 2004
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > 90	MK-801 Antagonist CGP39551 Antagonist L-701,324 Antagonist CNQX Antagonist	Systemic	GRIN GRIN GRIA/GRIK	L-701,324 and CNQX reduced cue-induced operant seeking behavior	Backstrom and Hyttia, 2004
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > 90	LX379268 Agonist (S)-3,4-DCPG [(S)-3,4-dicarboxyphenyl-glycine] Agonist	Systemic	GRM 2/3 GRM8	Both compounds reduced operant seeking behavior	Backstrom and Hyttia, 2005



Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	Acamprosate Modulator Neramexane Antagonist	Systemic	GABA/Glu NMDAR	Acamprosate dose-dependently reduced (+) cue-induced seeking; Acamprosate did not affect (-) cue-induced seeking; The high dose of neramexane reduced acamprosate-induced (+) and (-) cue-induced seeking	Bachteler et al., 2005
LA OFC 10%	M	sP	Adult > 90	MTEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MTEP reduced operant seeking behavior	Cowen et al., 2005b
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 60	GYKI 52466 Antagonist	Systemic	AMPA	GYKI 52466 dose-dependently reduced cue-induced operant seeking	Sanchis-Segura et al., 2006
LA OFC 15% PSR	M	P	Adult > 90	LY404039 Agonist	Systemic	GRM 2/3	LY404039 reduced operant seeking behavior	Rodd et al., 2006
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 60	Lamotrigine, Inhibitor of voltage-gated Na + channel	Systemic	Na + channel control	Lamotrigine reduced seeking and relapse intake	Vengeliene et al., 2007
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > 90	MPEP Antagonist	Systemic	GRM5	MPEP reduced cue-induced operant seeking behavior and pERK1/2 in AcbSh and BLA	Schroeder et al., 2008
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 60	Anisomycin - protein synthesis inhibitor MK-801 Antagonist Acamprosate Modulator	Systemic ICV	NMDAR GABA/Glu Ca+	Anisomycin and MK-801 reduced cue-induced seeking behavior; Suggesting that memory reconsolidation disruption by these compounds; Acamprosate had no effect	Von der Goltz et al., 2009
LA OFC 15%	M	iP	Adult > 90	Aniracetam Agonist, 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione Antagonist	Systemic	GRIA	Aniracetam potentiated cue-induced operant seeking; Aniracetam's effects were reversed by the antagonist	Cannady et al., 2013
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	sodium-N-acetylhomotaurinate (Na-AOTA) calcium-bis(N-acetylhomotaurinate) (Ca-AOTA)	Systemic		Ca-AOTA, but not Na-AOTA, reduced seeking behavior; Suggesting calcium salts of acamprosate modulate its effects	Spanagel et al., 2014a,b
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 60	Memantine Antagonist	Systemic	NMDAR	Memantine reduced operant seeking behavior	Vengeliene et al., 2015b

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	Ro61-8048- Inhibitor kynurenine-3-monooxygen-ase (KMO)	Systemic	NMDAR	Ro61-8048 reduced operant seeking behavior	Vengeliene et al., 2016a
<b>Neuropeptide Y, Nociceptin/Orphanin, Neurokinin</b>								
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	msP	Adult > 90	N/OFQ Agonist	ICV	Nociceptin/orphanin FQ N/OFQ & NOPR	N/OFQ reduced cue-induced operant seeking behavior	Ciccocioppo et al., 2004
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	F	P	Adult > 90	NPY Agonist	ICV	NPYRs	NPY decreased operant seeking responding	Bertholomey et al., 2011
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	JNJ-31020028 Antagonist	Systemic	NPY Y2R	JNJ altered stress-induced operant seeking behavior	Cippitelli et al., 2011
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	L822429 Antagonist	Systemic	NK1R	L822429 reduced yohimbine (stress)-induced seeking	Schank et al., 2015
<b>Opioid</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > 90	Priming dose of ethanol Naltrexone Antagonist Fluoxetine Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR, SERT	Naltrexone blocked ethanol-, but not stress-, induced operant seeking behavior; Fluoxetine blocked stress-induced more specifically than ethanol-induced operant reinstatement	Le et al., 1999
LA OFC 10%	M	P	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist Naltrindole Antagonist Naloxonazine Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR, DOR MOR	Naltrexone, naltrindole, and naloxonazine inhibited operant seeking behavior; Naloxonazine had non-selective behavioral suppression	Ciccocioppo et al., 2002
LA OFC 10%	M	Long-Evans	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naltrexone reduced cue-induced operant seeking	Backstrom and Hyytia, 2004
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	JD1c Antagonist	Systemic	KOR	JD1c dose-dependently reduced operant seeking	Deehan et al., 2012
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist LY255582 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR, MOR, DOR, KOR	Both Naltrexone and LY reduced operant seeking behavior; with LY being more potent	Dhaer et al., 2012b
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P & Long-Evans	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist Naltrindole Antagonist, U50,488H Agonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR, DOR KOR	Naltrexone, naltrindole and U50,488H reduced intake, responding and seeking nonselectively; P	Henderson-Redmond and Czachowski, 2014

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
LA OFC 10% Appetitive vs Consummatory responding	M	P & NP & HAD	Adult > 90	Naltrexone Antagonist GSK152149 Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR MOR	rats were more sensitive to naltrindole's effects on intake and seeking Naltrexone and GSK dose-dependently reduced cue-induced operant seeking, with GSK being more effective	Giuliano et al., 2015
<b>Orexin</b>								
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	SB-334867 Antagonist	Systemic	Orexin1R	SB-334867 did not alter seeking behavior	Dhaher et al., 2010
LA OFC 10%	M	iP	Adult > 90	SB-334867 Antagonist	Systemic	OX1R	Cue-induced seeking occurred after immediate and protracted abstinence (5 months); SB-334867 reduced immediate and delayed cue-induced seeking as well as cue-induced c-fos expression; SB334867 disrupted progressive-ratio responding for ethanol but not sucrose	Jupp et al., 2011a, 2011b
<b>Serotonin and Mixed</b>								
LA OFC 15% PSR	F	P	Adult > 90	Nicotine Agonist Zacopride Antagonist CPBG Agonist	pVTA	HT3R nAChR	Nicotine-enhanced ethanol-seeking behavior is modulated by HTR3 in pVTA	Hauser et al., 2014a
<b>Aldehyde dehydrogenase</b>								
LA OFC 10%	M	iP & Long-Evans	Adult > 90	CVT-10216 Inhibitor		ALDH2	CVT-10216 reduced seeking behavior in iP and Long-Evans	Arolfo et al., 2009

PSR = Pavlovian Spontaneous Recovery of operant responding.

See Tables 2 through 6 for other abbreviations.

Table 8

Rat studies on alcohol withdrawal behaviors and its pharmacological amelioration.

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
<b>Adrenergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Prazosin Antagonist Propranolol Antagonist	Systemic	Alpha 1R Beta 1R, Beta 2R	Combination of prazosin and propranolol reduced intake after short withdrawal	Rasmussen et al., 2014
<b>Dopaminergic Mixed</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Haloperidol, SB242,084 Inverse agonist, antagonist	Systemic	D2R, D3R, D4R, alpha 1A, HTR2A, HTR2C GABRA-BDZ CRFRI	Haloperidol or SB242,084 failed to reduce anxiety-induced increases in ethanol intake and withdrawal-associated anxiety	Overstreet et al., 2007
<b>GABAergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	P	P	Adult > PND 90	Bicuculline Competitive antagonist,	Systemic	GABRA K+ channels	Symptoms present after 6 week exposure as measured by bicuculline-induced seizures; Dependence resulted in increased intake and increased anxiety	Kampov-Polevoy et al., 2000
24 h 4.5% Ethanol Diet for 5 Day Cycles	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adolescent ~PND50	Flumazenil Antagonist DMCM Negative Allosteric Modulator	CeA	GABRA-BDZ complex	Flumazenil reduced withdrawal-induced anxiety; DMCM exacerbated withdrawal-induced anxiety, which was reversed by flumazenil	Knapp et al., 2007a
24 h 4.5% Ethanol Diet for 5 Day Cycles	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adolescent ~PND50	Diazepam Ca2+ channel blocker Flumazenil Antagonist Baclofen Agonist	Systemic	GABRA-BDZ complex, diazepam binding site, GABRA-BDZ complex GABRB	Diazepam, flumazenil, and baclofen dose-dependently reduced withdrawal-induced anxiety and its sensitization	Knapp et al., 2007b
<b>Opioid</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Naloxone Antagonist	Systemic	MOR, DOR, KOR	Naloxone did not alter withdrawal-induced anxiety	Overstreet et al., 2007

Ethanol access procedures	Sex	Line	Age	Drug	Region	Molecular target	Findings	Citation
<b>Serotonergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	Buspirone Partial agonist SB242,084 Antagonist Olanzapine Inverse agonist antagonist	Systemic	HTR1A, HTR2, D3R, D4R, SigmaR HTR2, H1R, mAChR4/5, D2R	Buspirone reduced withdrawal-induced anxiety; SB242084 did not alter withdrawal-induced anxiety; Olanzapine reduced withdrawal-induced ethanol intake and anxiety	Overstreet et al., 2007
<b>Peptidergic</b>								
24 h 2BFC 10%	M	P	Adult > PND 90	CP154,526 Antagonist CRA1000 Antagonist	Systemic	CRF1	CRA1000 and CP154,526 reduced withdrawal-induced ethanol intake and anxiety	Overstreet et al., 2007
LA OFC 10%	M	Wistar	Adult > PND 90	JNJ-31020028 antagonist	Systemic	NPY Y2R	JNJ reduced withdrawal-induced anxiety	Cippitelli et al., 2011
<b>Neuroimmune</b>								
24 h 4.5% Ethanol Diet for 5 Day Cycles	M	Sprague-Dawley	Adolescent ~PND50	LPS, IL-1-beta, MCP1, TNFalpha Agonist Flumazenil Antagonist	ICV	Cytokine-associated receptors GABRA-BDZ complex	Cytokines sensitized withdrawal-induced anxiety; Flumazenil blocked cytokine sensitization	Breese et al., 2008

See Tables 1 through 7 for abbreviations.