

Plant and animal aquaporins crosstalk: what can be revealed from distinct perspectives

Moira Sutka¹ · Gabriela Amodeo¹  · Marcelo Ozu¹

Received: 20 June 2017 / Accepted: 2 August 2017 / Published online: 4 September 2017
© International Union for Pure and Applied Biophysics (IUPAB) and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Abstract Aquaporins (AQPs) can be revisited from a distinct and complementary perspective: the outcome from analyzing them from both plant and animal studies. (1) *The approach in the study.* Diversity found in both kingdoms contrasts with the limited number of crystal structures determined within each group. While the structure of almost half of mammal AQPs was resolved, only a few were resolved in plants. Strikingly, the animal structures resolved are mainly derived from the AQP2-lineage, due to their important roles in water homeostasis regulation in humans. The difference could be attributed to the approach: relevance in animal research is emphasized on pathology and in consequence drug screening that can lead to potential inhibitors, enhancers and/or regulators. By contrast, studies on plants have been mainly focused on the physiological role that AQPs play in growth, development and stress tolerance. (2) *The transport capacity.* Besides the well-described AQPs with high water transport capacity, large amount of evidence confirms that certain plant AQPs can carry a large list of small solutes. So far, animal AQP list is

more restricted. In both kingdoms, there is a great amount of evidence on gas transport, although there is still an unsolved controversy around gas translocation as well as the role of the central pore of the tetramer. (3) *More roles than expected.* We found it remarkable that the view of AQPs as specific channels has evolved first toward simple transporters to molecules that can experience conformational changes triggered by biochemical and/or mechanical signals, turning them also into signaling components and/or behave as osmosensor molecules.

Keywords Aquaporins · Diversity · Solutes · Gases · Osmosensor

Introduction

The discovery of aquaporins (AQPs) significantly changed the study of water, small solutes and gas transport in living organisms and broadened a newly unexplored field of scientific research. Extensive information is now available covering and integrating approaches such as phylogeny, structure and physiology, in particular transport studies or regulatory mechanisms to understand how the presence of these proteins makes a difference in cell physiology and how this can be extended to tissue/organ/individual levels. Here, we review knowledge on AQPs, conserved transport proteins that belong to the MIP superfamily of transmembrane proteins. Our perspective includes comparing information mainly on plants and animals and discussing current hypotheses and controversy on the role and function of AQPs. This revision is complemented with data from microorganisms and insects.

The first section is dedicated to the evolutive relationship between plant and animal AQPs. The number of identified members in each kingdom is discussed, and contrasted with the number of crystal structures determined. The second

This article is part of a Special Issue on ‘Latin America’ edited by Pietro Ciancaglini and Rosangela Itri.

✉ Gabriela Amodeo
amodeo@bg.fcen.uba.ar

✉ Marcelo Ozu
mozu@bg.fcen.uba.ar

¹ Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Experimental, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires e Instituto de Biodiversidad y Biología Experimental, Universidad de Buenos Aires y Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Buenos Aires, Argentina

section is dedicated to the function and the relationship with physiology and pathology. Here, a difference is remarked on concerning the focus on research approaches in plants and animals. The third section is dedicated to comparing the transport capacities of plant and animal AQPs in terms of permeating molecules. The most outstanding difference is found here. While plant AQPs can transport a broad variety of solutes including non-metals and metals (urea, NH_3 , boron, silice, arsenite, etc.), animal counterparts are more restricted, prevailing a limited list of small no charge solutes. Special attention is placed on the current discussion about the transport of gases and the role of the central pore of the tetramer. The fourth section reviews the regulatory mechanisms of AQPs. Although similarities exist on gating mechanisms and gas transport, some differences on trafficking can be observed. This section is divided into gating and trafficking events. The fifth section revisits the discussion on AQPs as osmosensors within the context of recent evidence. Conformational changes have been observed in AQPs gated by biochemical and mechanical signals, supporting the osmosensor hypothesis. Finally, the study of AQPs is discussed in perspective.

Does the number of AQPs count?

It is usually said that the diversity of aquaporins in plants is higher than in animals. This statement is supported on the fact that there are only 13 types of AQPs in mammals (Verkman et al. 2014) while in specific plant species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Glycine max* or *Gossypium hirsutum*, there are 35, 55, 66 or 71 members, respectively (Johanson et al. 2001; Quigley et al. 2001; Gupta and Sankaramakrishnan 2009; Park et al. 2010; Maurel et al. 2015).

Diversity and evolution of plant and animal AQPs has been widely revisited (Abascal et al. 2014; Maurel et al. 2015; Finn and Cerdà 2015; Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2014; Von Bülow and Beitz 2015; Song et al. 2014). Therefore, we highlight here some recent findings on this subject that are relevant to discuss our knowledge on the number of AQPs. This information is contrasted with the number of resolved crystal structures.

Classification of AQPs in higher plants describes seven subfamilies: the plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs), the tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs), the nodulin 26-like intrinsic proteins (NIPs), the small basic intrinsic proteins (SIPs), the uncategorized (X) intrinsic proteins (XIPs) that are absent in some higher plant species, the hybrid intrinsic proteins (HIPs), and GlpF-like intrinsic proteins (GIPs) (Maurel et al. 2015). On the other hand, just four subfamilies are identified in animals: water-specific channels (AQP0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6), aquaglyceroporins (AQP3, 7, 9, 10), water and ammonium aquaporins (AQP8), and unorthodox aquaporins (AQP11, 12) (Finn et al. 2014).

Besides separate classifications, plant and animal aquaporins are highly conserved and share common ancestors.

Phylogenetic analysis indicates that classical water channels or AQPs and glycerol transporters or aquaglyceroporins (GLPs) split from a common node of ancient membrane integral proteins (MIPs) (Abascal et al. 2014). Then, four ancestral subfamilies gave origin to the PIP-AQP1-like, TIP-AQP8-like, NIP-AQP3-like and SIP-AQP11-like branches, which supports the vertical transfer hypothesis (Soto et al. 2012; Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2014). On the other hand, recent evidence suggests that horizontal gene transfer and genome fusion events would have given origin to other subfamilies. For example, NIP genes from plants would have originated from the nitrite-oxidizing class (AqpN) of Bacteria, before the evolution of Eukaryota (Finn and Cerdà 2015). Although there is no solid evidence to support the horizontal gene transfer hypothesis in general (Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2014), the high diversity found in plant NIPs is thought to be associated with tandem duplication events as well as to the degree of polyploidy found in angiosperms (Finn and Cerdà 2015). The high genetic diversity found in plants also shows examples of loss events, such as GIPs in Dicotyledonae and XIPs in Monocotyledonae (Danielson and Johanson 2008; Finn and Cerdà 2015), a feature attributed to functional redundancy (Maurel et al. 2015). On the other hand, other events produced increments in the number of AQPs. For example, current PIPs are subdivided into PIP1 and PIP2 subfamilies, and current TIPs have five subfamilies in higher plants (Maurel et al. 2015), evidencing that PIPs have greater functional constraints than TIPs (Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2014).

In insects, recent findings indicate that the *glp* genes (which encodes for aquaglyceroporins) disappeared in Holometabolus and Hemiptera (Finn et al. 2015; Van Ekert et al. 2016). In these lineages, glycerol transporters would have evolved by duplication of the *eglp* genes that encodes entomoglyceroporins, which are glycerol-transporting proteins that can also transport water, urea, and other polyols. These glycerol transporters evolved by mutation of the conserved His in the ar/R selectivity filter of water-selective channels and are phylogenetically more closely related to the classical aquaporin 4-type channels than to the GLPs (Finn et al. 2015).

In tetrapods, the lineage of water-specific channels would have evolved from AQP4, which appeared very early, in basal Deuterostomia, during pre-cambrian, a period with an unexpected diversity of AQPs (Finn et al. 2014). This study also revealed unknown subfamilies of water channels in Vertebrata: AQP14, -15 and -16. These lineages disappeared along tetrapods evolution and are absent in current vertebrates (Finn et al. 2014). The lineage of AQP2–5 is absent in Actinopterygian fishes, and appeared later by positive selection in basal Sarcopterygii. This lineage constitutes a genomic apomorphy and its appearance highlights the pivotal role that AQPs played for terrestrial adaptation (Finn et al. 2014; Finn and Cerdà 2015).

Altogether, these evidences indicate that evolution of plant AQP was less constricted than in animals, which could be related to terrestrial forms of life.

To date, the crystal structure of AQPs from ten different sub-families has been resolved: AQP0 (Gonen et al. 2004; Palanivelu et al. 2006; Hite et al. 2010; Reichow et al. 2013), AQP1 (Murata et al. 2000; Sui et al. 2001; Ren et al. 2000; de Groot et al. 2001; Ruiz Carrillo et al. 2014), AQP2 (Frick et al. 2014), AQP4 (Hiroaki et al. 2006; Ho et al. 2009; Tani et al. 2009; Mitsuma et al. 2010), AQP5 (Horsefield et al. 2008; Kitchen et al. 2015a), AQPM from *Methanothermobacter marburgensis* (Lee et al. 2005), GlpF (Fu et al. 2000; Tajkhorshid et al. 2002), AQPZ from *Escherichia coli* (Jiang et al. 2006; Savage et al. 2003), PfAQP from *Plasmodium falciparum* (Newby et al. 2008), Aqy1 from *Pichia pastoris* (Fischer et al. 2009; Kosinska Eriksson et al. 2013), SoPIP2;1 from *Spinacia oleracea* (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2006; Nyblom et al. 2009; Frick et al. 2013), and AtTIP 2;1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Kirscht et al. 2016).

Although current AQPs show higher diversity in plants than in animals, recent evidence has revealed that the latter groups showed originally a high diversity in earlier mammals. It should be emphasized that structural studies predominate in animals. While the structure of almost 50% of mammal AQPs have been resolved, the structure of only two AQPs has been resolved in plants. Strikingly, these structures correspond to AQPs derived from the AQP2-lineage, which play important roles in water homeostasis regulation. This difference in the number of structural studies could be related to the different approach that drives research on each subfamily. While relevance of research in animal AQPs is put on pathology and the associated search for drugs that can act as inhibitors and regulators (Verkman et al. 2014), studies on plants are focused on the physiological role that AQPs play in the whole plant (Maurel et al. 2015).

It is evident that structural studies are needed in plant AQPs. Structural determinations already performed allowed seeing the same AQP in different conformations (for example SoPIP2;1), evidencing the possibility of a gating mechanism. Furthermore, the structural resolution of the pore supported the needed information to perform molecular dynamics simulations. These *in silico* experiments confirmed the single file hypothesis and, at the same time predict the inversion of the water molecule when translocating through the channel (de Groot and Grubmüller 2001).

Thus, these approaches will also lead to valuable information on, for example, structural clues about the function of other AQPs as metalloporins.

Physiology versus pathology

Almost at the same time as the discovery of the first aquaporin in animal cells (Preston et al. 1992), there was evidence of a

protein highly expressed in seeds structurally related to the bacterial glycerol facilitator GlpF (Johnson et al. 1989, 1990). A few years later, the activity of the first tonoplast water channel was described (Maurel et al. 1993).

Since water movements occur through biological membranes by simple diffusion, the discovery of water channels offered a novel pathway, which increases the membrane water permeability from 10 to 100 times more than in their absence. But the real impact of AQPs was their regulatory capacity at different levels, which is more restricted for the lipid bilayer (Calamita 2005). Therefore, the main approach in plants was to study the water transport capacity of tissues/organs where AQPs are highly expressed, focusing on the relationship between the function of water channels and a specific physiological process. Some of these processes, such as seed germination, water transport in roots and leaves, stomatal closure and other processes associated with circadian rhythms and stress conditions, are summarized in this section.

In animals, the most studied AQPs are the 13 members identified in mammals, which have tissue- and organ-specific expression (for a recent review, see Day et al. 2014). Although their role in physiological processes has been widely studied, the approach was generally driven by interest on human diseases, with a substantial number of articles using aquaporin-KO transgenic mice and analyzing the possible function due to the lack of a particular water channel. Since detailed reviews on mammal AQPs exist (Noda et al. 2010; Rutkovskiy et al. 2013; Sasaki et al. 2014; Ribatti et al. 2014; Nagaraju et al. 2016), we briefly summarize here the pathology-associated role of water-specific channels and aquaglyceroporins in organs such as heart, brain, liver, kidney, skin and eye.

The physiological focus in plants

Seed germination requires a rapid water uptake to the imbibition of tissues. Water entry is also required for the development of the embryo. Experiments with pea showed that water channels may participate in the first water-uptake events (Veselova et al. 2003), whereas AQPs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* or *Vicia faba* would contribute to growth of the embryo (Vander Willigen et al. 2006; Novikova et al. 2014).

In roots, aquaporin expression was investigated in different species, such as *Arabidopsis*, maize, rice and barley, showing specific patterns for different isoforms (Javot et al. 2003; Gattolin et al. 2009; Hachez et al. 2006; Sakurai et al. 2008; Knipfer and Fricke 2011). The contribution of AQPs to water transport in roots was studied using mercury chloride as inhibitor. These results showed that hydraulic conductivity (L_{pr}) can be reduced by up to 47% and 64% in *Populus* and *Arabidopsis*, respectively (Wan and Zwiazek 1999; Sutka et al. 2011).

Concerning leaves, AQPs were detected in guard cells of many species, such as *Helianthus annuus* (Sarda et al. 1997), *Vicia faba* (Sun et al. 2001), *Nicotiana glauca* (Smart et al. 2001), *Picea abies* (Oliviussou et al. 2001), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Leonhardt et al. 2004; Prasch et al. 2015), *Spinacia oleracea* (Frayssé et al. 2005) and *Zea mays* (Heinen et al. 2014). AQPs were also detected in other tissues in the elongating zone of leaves, in the vascular bundles and in the mesophyll (Besse et al. 2011; Hachez et al. 2008; Prado et al. 2013).

Functional studies involved AQPs in leaf hydraulics (Postaire et al. 2010; Prado et al. 2013). Recently, it was reported that *AtPIP2;1* participates in stomatal closure (Grondin et al. 2015). The authors of this work proposed a model where the ABA-triggered phosphorylation of PIP2;1 at Ser-121 increases the water permeability of the guard cells inducing stomatal closure (Grondin et al. 2015; Maurel et al. 2016).

Contributions have also been made to show how water channels might participate in the shoot–root relationship. It has been reported that transpiratory demand can regulate both the expression of root AQPs and the root hydraulic conductivity (Laur and Hacke 2013; Vandeleur et al. 2014).

In addition, the role of AQPs on plant physiology was studied in association with circadian rhythms. For instance, the regulation of L_{pr} oscillations that occur during the day was studied in some plant species (Lopez et al. 2003; Vandeleur et al. 2009). In *Mimosa pudica*, an increased expression of a γ -TIP was correlated with pulvinal movements (Fleurat-Lessard et al. 1997), and in motor cells from *Samanea saman*, the expression pattern of the gene that codifies for *SsAQP2* showed the same diurnal rhythm as pulvinal movements (Moshelion et al. 2002).

Other physiological processes that implicate water and solute movements, and where AQPs play a relevant role includes color development in some flowers (Negishi et al. 2012), nutrient soil absorption (Ma et al. 2006; Takano et al. 2006), rhizobium–legume symbiosis (Rivers et al. 1997; Hwang et al. 2010) and mycorrhizae (Ruiz-Lozano et al. 2009; Barzana et al. 2014).

Besides analyzing plant growth and development, the contribution of water channels under stress conditions has also been extensively investigated. As an example, in trees species it has been demonstrated that in winter or under drought, air bubbles may form within the vascular system (embolism) and some reports suggest that AQPs can contribute to embolism refilling in trees (Sakr et al. 2003; Secchi and Zwieniecki 2010). For a recent review on plant AQPs and stress, see Sade and Moshelion (2017).

The pathological focus in animals

As most mammal water channels, AQP1 is expressed in many organs. For example, AQP1 was found in kidney (Nielsen

et al. 1993; Ishibashi et al. 1994), skin (Sougrat et al. 2002), liver (Marinelli and LaRusso 1997), pancreas (Hurley et al. 2001), brain (Shields et al. 2007; Arcienega et al. 2010), heart (Butler et al. 2006), and vascular endothelial cells, where it is the most expressed AQP (Verkman 2002).

High expression of AQP1 was early reported in tumor of microvessels (Endo et al. 1999). Following studies showed that deletion of AQP1 reduces the growth and vascularity of implanted tumors (Saadoun et al. 2005), and that water channels expressed in tumor cells improve their capacity to extravasate across blood vessels and to invade locally neighbor tissues (Hu and Verkman 2006). Over the years, other AQPs have been reported to be involved in many types of cancer, with implications in tumor edema formation and angiogenesis. Since information about the pivotal role that AQPs play in cancer is very extensive, we do not dedicate more than this brief mention to this subject as the topic has been previously reviewed in detail (Verkman et al. 2008; Verkman 2011; Ribatti et al. 2014; Nagaraju et al. 2016).

Water transport and AQPs function has been extensively studied in kidney where several water channels are expressed (AQP1, AQP2, AQP3 and AQP4). Most water reabsorption occurs in the proximal tubule through AQP1, whereas AQP2 is key in the fine regulation of water permeability in the apical membrane of principal cells of the collecting duct (Fushimi et al. 1993). Regulation of AQP2 expression in the apical membrane is mediated by the arginine vasopressin hormone, through its receptor located in the basolateral membrane (Marples et al. 1995; Yui et al. 2012). AQP3 and AQP4, which are constitutively expressed in the basolateral membrane of principal cells, facilitate the outflow of water to the blood. The regulation mechanism of AQP2 is one of the best known. For a detailed description, see Noda et al. (2010) and Sasaki et al. (2014).

While AQP1 knock-out mice showed defective fluid absorption (Schnermann et al. 1998), AQP2 mutations in humans cause nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (Deen et al. 1994), which results in urinary hypo-osmolality. Moreover, a polycystic kidney phenotype is observed in AQP11-deficient mice (Morishita et al. 2005).

In the brain, AQP4 is highly expressed in the plasma membrane of astrocytes, which are the most abundant glial cells (He and Sun 2007). In astrocytes, AQP4 is involved in the water exchange mechanism of the blood–brain barrier, and its absence produces decreased water uptake in mice brain (Haj-Yasein et al. 2011).

An interesting pathology concerns the role of AQP4 in neuromyelitis optica. As recently reviewed, this autoimmune disease is characterized by specific recognition of AQP4 by an autoantibody with preferential affinity for the M1 isoform (Pittock and Lucchinetti 2016).

The role of both AQP1 and AQP4 is now been studied in edema developmental events in brain and heart. AQP4 is a bidirectional water channel that facilitates both the water accumulation in brains that suffer cytotoxic edema (as ischemic stroke and bacterial meningitis) (Manley et al. 2000; Papadopoulos and Verkman 2005,) and the clearance of excess brain water in vasogenic edema (as obstructive hydrocephalus) (Bloch et al. 2006).

AQP1, -4, and -6 seem to play distinct roles in myocardial infarction (MI) in mouse hearts. While the time-dependent pattern of the observed up-regulated expression of AQP4 in MI coincides with that of myocardial edema (ME) and cardiac dysfunction, the expression of AQP1 and AQP6 persistently increases (Zhang et al. 2013).

Analysis of evidence from rat (Page et al. 1998), mice (Montiel et al. 2014) and goats (Ding et al. 2013; Yan et al. 2013) suggests that AQP1, which colocalizes with Caveolin-1, would play a key role on the regulation of Connexin 43 during ME. On the other hand, a study with AQP1 knock-out mice reported microcardia (decreased myocyte dimensions) and low blood pressure (Montiel et al. 2014). The abnormalities caused by AQP4 knock-out on calcium-modulating proteins is associated with exacerbation of risk for cardiac arrhythmias and failure in mice heart (Cheng et al. 2012). A recent review on myocardial AQPs suggests that AQP4 is involved in calcium handling and may constitute an osmosensory apparatus in heart muscle (Rutkovskiy et al. 2013).

AQP0 show particular features within the water-transporting channels. In the eye, lens fiber cells are specialized to form a tightly transparent layer that minimizes the amount of incident light to support the function of the eye. Expression of AQP0 was reported in these cells (Kumari et al. 2011), where they assemble into large arrays forming functional microdomains that dynamically associate and dissociate (Scheuring et al. 2007). Mutations of AQP0 cause congenital cataracts in humans and mice by a mechanism that would involve loss of the cell packing required to minimize light scattering (Berry et al. 2000; Chepelinsky 2009).

Aquaglyceroporins have been well studied in adipocytes, skin and liver. In adipocytes. AQP7 modulates the glycerol membrane permeability and controls the fat cell size mediated by triglyceride accumulation (Hara-Chikuma et al. 2005; Duncan et al. 2007). AQP9 has been proposed as an important pivot for hepatic glycerol uptake (Carbrey et al. 2003). From analyses of this evidence arose the suggestion that both the fat-specific AQP7 and the liver-specific AQP9 act as key coordinated regulators in diabetes and obesity (Maeda et al. 2009). Interestingly, AQP7-null mice show lower levels of glycerol and ATP in heart, and accelerated hypertrophy following aortic constriction (Hibuse et al. 2009), indicating that AQP7 could play a key role in metabolism in heart (Gladka et al. 2009).

In skin, AQP3 mediates the glycerol transport determining the hydration status of the epidermis and the stratum corneum (Ma et al. 2002).

Besides studies in plants and animals that have been separately presented, there are features that can be compared, such as those described in the reproductive systems. In plants, specific pollen AQPs have been reported, such as TIP1;3, TIP5;1, NIP4;1 and NIP4;2 (Soto et al. 2008; Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2016a, 2016b). These reproduction-associated AQPs showed low water permeability but the capacity to transport glycerol and urea, and have been suggested to be involved in the nitrogen metabolic pathway during pollen tube growth (Soto et al. 2008, 2010; Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2016a, b).

In the human reproductive system, AQP3 and AQP7 have been identified in sperm (Chen and Duan 2011; Ishibashi et al. 1997) and AQP9 in epididymis (Tsukaguchi et al. 1998). Like in plants, transepithelial solute fluxes have been described. In particular, glycerol, urea, mannitol and sorbitol are mediated by these aquaglyceroporins (Pastor-Soler et al. 2002). Even, a recent report highlights the importance of AQP7 to protect mouse oocytes from hyperosmotic stress during cryopreservation by vitrification (Tan et al. 2015). This evidence confirms that AQPs as solute transporters play important physiological roles associated with the transport of glycerol, urea and other small solutes in the reproductive systems of both plants and animals.

Water, solute and now... gases

The diversity of AQPs shows that the classification is not simple. Moreover, experimental evidence has been adding increasing amounts of information indicating that AQPs are not only water channels. Now, it is known that AQPs can transport water, small solutes and also gases. There are recent and detailed reviews on this issue (Bienert et al. 2008; Verkman 2011; Rambow et al. 2014; Verdoucq et al. 2014; Kitchen et al. 2015b; Maurel et al. 2015). Therefore, we will focus on some features that open the discussion about the physiological role of AQPs.

In previous years, intense controversy was maintained concerning the possibility of ions translocation through animal AQPs. Contradictory evidence led to the publication of brief letters written by referent researchers in the same number of science magazine (Agre et al. 1997). Evidence on this subject, as well as the mechanism of proton exclusion, can be found in many reviews (Ozu et al. 2013; Kreida and Törnroth-Horsefield 2015). While animal AQPs do not transport ions, an increase amount of evidence shows that plant counterparts can also transport metals. In particular, NIPs mediate the transport of boron, silicon and selenium that are beneficial for plant growth, or arsenic and antimony that are toxic metalloids (Bienert et al. 2008; Zhao et al. 2010). The

role of *AtNIP1;1* in the sensitivity of *Arabidopsis* to arsenite was tested by expressing mutants in *Xenopus* oocytes (Kamiya et al. 2009). Other works have shown that arsenite and silicon translocate through the same pathway in *OsNIP2;1*, which was associated with the high capacity of rice to accumulate arsenite (Ma et al. 2008). In addition, *OsNIP2;1* mediates selenium uptake in rice (Zhao et al. 2010), and XIPs are also permeable to metalloids (Bienert et al. 2011). This evidence not only indicates that NIPs would be involved in plant health and food quality (Maurel et al. 2015) but also highlights the great differences between plant and animal AQPs in their transport capacities.

The fact that animal AQP1 is expressed in tissues involved in gas but not water exchange, like the pulmonary capillaries, epithelium, vascular smooth muscle, and red blood cells (Effros et al. 1997; Preston and Agre 1991; Shanahan et al. 1999; Verkman 2006), supported the hypothesis that AQP1 could function as a gas channel. The first experimental evidence indicating that AQP1 could act as a gas channel was obtained studying the CO₂ transport in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing AQP1 (Nakhoul et al. 1998). Later works indicated that AQP1 could also increase the NO influx across cell membranes (Herrera et al. 2006), and that the relaxation of endothelial smooth muscle required AQP1-dependent transport of NO across cell membranes (Herrera and Garvin 2007). In addition, molecular dynamic simulations suggested that aquaporin-4 can also transport NO (Wang et al. 2007). However, the possibility that gases could cross membranes through AQPs was questioned by theoretical analysis (Missner and Pohl 2009). According to the authors, the experimental methods used to study CO₂, O₂ or NH₃ transport through AQPs are not always reliable. This analysis was in line with results from molecular dynamic simulations which concluded that CO₂ permeation through AQP1 can be expected only in membranes with low intrinsic CO₂ permeability, because the energetic barrier through the water channel can be higher than through the membrane, depending on the bilayer composition (Hub and de Groot 2006). Almost simultaneously, other molecular dynamic simulations showed that the free energy barrier for CO₂ and O₂ permeation through the central hydrophobic pore of the AQP1 is considerably smaller than the permeation barrier through the water pore of the monomer (Wang et al. 2007). The same group reported later that the central pore of the AQP4 tetramer can transport CO₂ and NO, which provides an energetically more favorable pathway than in AQP1 (Wang and Tajkhorshid 2010). Previous experimental results indicated that the pathway through the central pore of the tetramer could be regulated by interactions of cytoplasmic loops with cGMP (Yu et al. 2006). Other mammalian AQPs that could transport CO₂ would be AQP0, 5, 6 and 9 (Geyer et al. 2013).

In the last years, increasing evidences for the putative role of AQPs as gas channels have been accumulating in all

kingdoms. The transport of CO₂ through AQPs has also been reported in microorganisms (Nehls and Dietz 2014). *TcAQP1* from *Terfezia clavervyi* facilitates water and CO₂ diffusion (Navarro-Ródenas et al. 2011).

In plants, members of the PIP1 and PIP2 subfamilies show different CO₂ transport capacity. The CO₂ transport properties of PIP2 from *Hordeum vulgare L.*, tested in *Xenopus* oocytes, show that *HvPIP2;1*, *HvPIP2;2*, *HvPIP2;3* and *HvPIP2;5* facilitated CO₂ transport but *HvPIP2;4* did not. This latter member of the PIP2 subfamily in barley has a methionine in position 254 instead of the conserved isoleucine present in the other members. This conserved isoleucine is fundamental for CO₂ selectivity (Mori et al. 2014). Interestingly, the CO₂ transport capacity of monomeric and tetrameric arrangements has also been investigated in plants. Experiments performed in yeast expressing PIP1 from *Nicotiana tabacum* (*NtAQP1*) showed that tetramers exhibit higher CO₂ transport rates than monomers, supporting the hypothesis that CO₂ permeates through the central pore of the tetramer (Otto et al. 2010). CO₂ permeation was also demonstrated with *AtPIP1;2*, but not with *AtPIP2;3* (Heckwolf et al. 2011).

As well as CO₂, both in animals and plants, AQPs can transport reactive oxygen species, which make them important players in redox signaling and detoxification. Specific aquaporin isoforms facilitate the passive diffusion of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) across biological membranes and control H₂O₂ signaling in living organisms (Bienert and Chaumont 2014).

Molecular dynamic simulations show that both mammalian and plant aquaporin models may transport not only H₂O₂ but also highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (HO) and the protonated form of superoxide radicals (HO₂) that can reach the pore interior and oxidize amino acids responsible for channel selectivity (Cordeiro 2015).

In teleost fishes, AQP8b, an orthologue of human aquaporin-8, is phosphorylated and inserted into the inner mitochondrial membrane of activated spermatozoa. AQP8b facilitates H₂O₂ efflux from the mitochondria, in an important detoxification mechanism for the maintenance of flagellar motility (Chauvigné et al. 2015).

H₂O₂ translocation through AQPs is an important signal for the onset of immunological responses in animals and plants. In mammals, the transport of H₂O₂ through AQP3 contributes to the intracellular signaling in response to epidermal growth factor (Miller et al. 2010), and mediates the signal transduction that triggers the inflammatory response against the intestinal pathogen *Citrobacter rodentium* in the colonic epithelium (Thiagarajah et al. 2017).

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, evidence supports that H₂O₂ transport through *AtPIP1;4* is necessary for the cytosolic import of apoplasmic H₂O₂ induced by bacterial pathogens, indicating that the function of *AtPIP1;4* as a H₂O₂ channel is involved in the apo-cytosolic signal transduction in immunity pathways (Tian et al. 2016).

Other studies in plants highlight the key role that AQPs play for tolerance under stress conditions. Transgenic tobacco overexpressing a wheat PIP2 homolog (*TaAQP7*) showed enhanced tolerance to drought stress by reducing ROS accumulation (Zhou et al. 2012). Other recent works show that transcript levels of specific PIPs increase under stress by hypoxia (*NtPIP1;3*) (Zwiasek et al. 2017) or boron (*OsPIP1;3* and *OsPIP2;6*) (Mosa et al. 2016). Other PIPs, NIPs, and also TIPs have been previously involved in boron uptake or tolerance in *Arabidopsis*, barley, rice, and maize (Takano et al. 2006; Tanaka et al. 2008; Schnurbusch et al. 2010; Bogacki et al. 2013; Pang et al. 2010; Dordas and Brown 2001; Fitzpatrick and Reid 2009; Kumar et al. 2014).

By means of quantitative phenotypic assay, H₂O₂ permeability of both the human aquaammonia porin AQP8 and the prototypical orthodox water channel AQP1 from rat have been confirmed. Correlation of H₂O₂ permeability with water permeability and with pore diameter (rAQP1, hAQP8 and PfAQP from malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*) suggests that all water-permeable AQPs are H₂O₂ channels, and that H₂O₂ permeability varies with the isoform (Almasalmeh et al. 2014).

As revealed by the great amount of evidence on gas transport through AQPs, research on this subject is comparable in both plants and animals. In plants, relevance is related to the role of CO₂ associated with gas exchange and signal transduction. In animals, relevance is related to the physiological processes of gas exchange in lungs and detoxification mechanisms.

Conserved versus particular/specific regulatory mechanisms

Many regulatory mechanisms are known in AQPs. Since recent detailed reviews have been dedicated to them (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2010; Verdoucq et al. 2014; Kreida and Törnroth-Horsefield 2015; Chevalier and Chaumont 2015), we mention here the most relevant features for comparison between plants and animals.

Gating

It has been reported that aquaporin activities can be regulated by phosphorylation, pH and calcium. In plants, a gating mechanism induced by acidic pH was observed in PIPs (Tournaire-Roux et al. 2003; Alleva et al. 2006; Bellati et al. 2010). It was described that protonation of a highly-conserved residue of *loop D* (His197) was responsible of gating in *SoPIP2;1*. A molecular mechanism was proposed based on high-resolution structures of the water channel in open and close states (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2006). At low pH,

protonation of His193 from *loop D* produces a conformational change of this intracellular loop, which in consequence caps the channel from the cytosol and occludes the pore. His193 interacts with Asp28, Glu31 and Ser115 from *loop B*, to stabilize *loop D* in a closed pore conformation (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2006; Frick et al. 2013).

In *Fragaria ananassa*, *FaPIP2;1-FaPIP1;1* heterotetramers modify both the water permeability and the pH sensitivity by combining subunits with different transport properties. While *FaPIP2;1* homotetramers reach the plasma membrane and are inhibited at low pH, *FaPIP1;1* homotetramers do not reach the plasma membrane (Yanef et al. 2014, 2016). Interestingly, heterotetramers promote a change in sensing cytosolic by shifting the EC₅₀ value (Yanef et al. 2014).

There have also been reports of inhibition by acidic pH in TIPs (Soto et al. 2010; Leitaõ et al. 2012). Although a His residue localized in the intravacuolar *loop C* was demonstrated to be involved, the mechanism in TIPs is unknown.

While the known mechanism of pH regulation seems to be highly conserved among plant PIPs (Tournaire-Roux et al. 2003; Alleva et al. 2006; Frick et al. 2013), the scenario seems to be more variable in animal water channels, where pH regulatory effects have been observed but in contrasting ways. While water and glycerol permeability in oocytes expressing AQP3 was inhibited at acidic pH (Zeuthen and Klaerke 1999), low pH increased the water permeability of AQP6 (Yasui et al. 1999) and AQP0 (Németh-Cahalan and Hall 2000). Németh-Cahalan showed that mutations on His40 from *loop A* produces loss of pH sensitivity in AQP0, suggesting a key role of this amino acid in facilitating the regulation of water permeability. A later study comparing pH effects on water permeability of different AQPs (bAQP0, MIPfun, hAQP1 and rAQP4) indicated that the position of external histidines from *loops A* and *C* can modify the pH dependence (Németh-Cahalan et al. 2004), for example, alkaline pH increases the rAQP4 water permeability.

The electron diffraction structure of AQP0 has been reported with different resolutions (Harries et al. 2004; Gonen et al. 2004, 2005) and the comparison showed different conformations of the extracellular *loop A*, with small movements of some residues that make the water pore narrower near the ar/R constriction site.

Thus, the mechanism proposed for aquaporin gating by pH in animals depends on slight movements of some residues that reduce the pore size restricting the passage of water. This is quite different from what it is proposed in plant PIPs.

Water transport through plasma membrane can also be regulated by divalent cations (Gerbeau et al. 2002; Alleva et al. 2006). Besides calcium, evidence for direct gating of PIPs by cadmium and manganese has been reported (Verdoucq et al. 2008). Identified residues located at the N-terminal (Glu31 and Asp28) are involved in both divalent cation- and H⁺-

mediated gating. The mechanism of gating by cations would be the same as that proposed for pH, where *loop D* rearrangement, together with a few residues located at the N-terminal, occludes the pore (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2006, 2010). A second site between *loop D* and the C-terminal has been described in *SoPIP2;1*, reflecting a stabilizing role of the C-terminal in the folding of *loop D* (Frick et al. 2013).

In animal AQPs, a decrease of calcium concentration increased AQP0 water permeability, and a residue located in *loop A* (His40) is required for sensitivity to cations (Németh-Cahalan and Hall 2000). These authors suggested that calcium acts through calmodulin on an internal site of the aquaporin. Co-expression of AQP0 with a mutant of calmodulin showed that sensitivity to calcium was lost but sensitivity to pH was maintained, demonstrating that both modulations are separated and occur at opposite sides of the membrane (Németh-Cahalan et al. 2004). In contrast to plants, the sites for Ca^{2+} and pH inhibition are differentiated in animals. Moreover, both regulation mechanisms seem to be completely separate.

Structure-function studies performed with members of some groups of plant AQPs (TIPs, PIPs, γ NIPs) showed that some residues exposed to cytosol can be phosphorylated and modulate water transport (Maurel et al. 1995; Johansson et al. 1998; Guenther et al. 2003). Structural models based on purified crystals of AQPs showed that phosphorylation of different serine residues modifies the channel width and closes the pore together with modifications of the C-terminal end of the protein (Törnroth-Horsefield et al. 2006).

Other studies using mass spectrometry allowed identifying multiple sites of phosphorylation in plasma membrane AQPs, some of which could be linked to the aquaporin function *in vivo* (Prak et al. 2008). In the same way, several works have identified phosphorylation sites in plant AQPs through mass spectrometry and amino acid sequencing (See Santoni 2017).

Among animal AQPs, phosphorylation of AQP1 (Han and Patil 2000), AQP2 (Deen et al. 1994), AQP5 (Yang et al. 2003) and AQP8 (Garcia et al. 2001) has been reported. In all these AQPs, phosphorylation is involved in protein trafficking (Conner et al. 2010; Noda and Sasaki 2005; Kosugi-Tanaka et al. 2006; Garcia et al. 2001). On the other hand, the activation of a protein kinase C significantly decreased the membrane permeability of kidney cells that express AQP4. But in this case, experiments with GFP-AQP4 revealed that phosphorylation of Ser180 is involved in gating but not in trafficking (Zelenina et al. 2002).

In plants, other co- and post-translational modifications, such as methylation, deamidation, NH_2 -terminal acetylation, ubiquitination and *N*-glycosylation, has been described (Casado-Vela et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2013; Santoni et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2009; Vera-Estrella et al. 2004). In animals, deamination in AQP0 and ubiquitination in AQP2 have been described (Wenke et al. 2015).

The effect of membrane-tension changes had been hypothesized as a possible regulatory mechanism for some AQPs from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Zea mays*, *Chara corallina*, human and *Vitis vinifera* (Soveral et al. 2008; Wan et al. 2004; Ye et al. 2004; Ozu et al. 2011; Leitão et al. 2014). These and other experimental evidence (Niemietz and Tyerman 1997) suggested that cell volume or pressure could be directly involved in the regulation of AQPs under hyper- and hypo-osmotic conditions. Recently, mechanical gating has been probed as a possible mechanism in hAQP1 (Ozu et al. 2013) and *VvTIP2;1* (Leitão et al. 2014), and experimentally probed for *BvTIP2;1* (Goldman et al. 2017). This gating mechanism would be cooperative, maybe involving the four monomers of the tetramer (Ozu et al. 2013). In addition, sensitivity differences could exist between PIPs and TIPs (Goldman et al. 2017). Taken together, this evidence suggests that this mechanism would have existed before divergence of PIP-AQP1-like and TIP-AQP8-like AQPs. Additional emerging questions are whether this mechanism was present in ancestral AQPs and if this could have been an early sense, allowing the first cells to face osmotic changes of environmental medium (already proposed for mechanosensitive ion channels; Booth and Blount 2012).

AQP dynamics: Trafficking and localization

Several recent works on plant and animal AQPs have focused on trafficking mechanisms, which have been reviewed in detail (Luu and Maurel 2013; Verdoucq et al. 2014; Conner et al. 2013; Chevalier and Chaumont 2015; Hachez et al. 2013; Kitchen et al. 2015b; Noda et al. 2010).

In plants, the most studied group is that of PIPs, in which trafficking is closely associated with heterotetramerization. Increasing amounts of evidence demonstrate that different types of PIPs form heterotetramers in the membrane and that the interaction between monomers of different types modifies the final destination of one of them, as well as the permeability properties of the other. Examples of this have been described in *Zea mays*, *Fragaria ananassa* and *Beta vulgaris* (Bienert et al. 2012; Yaneff et al. 2014; Jozefkiewicz et al. 2016). In these cases, homotetrameres of the PIP1 isoform do not reach the plasma membrane while homotetrameres of the PIP2 isoform can. Interestingly, coinjection of both subtype isoforms produces heterotetrameres with different stoichiometry in the membrane (Zelazny et al. 2007; Bienert et al. 2012; Yaneff et al. 2014, 2016; Jozefkiewicz et al. 2016).

The study of structural features indicates that loop A would not be involved in interactions between PIP1 and PIP2 monomers (Bienert et al. 2012; Jozefkiewicz et al. 2013). On the contrary, point mutations on the *ZmPIP1;2* and *ZmPIP2;5* maize isoforms demonstrated that the single P220A mutation in the transmembrane domain 5 activates the water channel

activity of *Zm*PIP1;2 at the same time that it inactivates *Zm*PIP2;5 within a heterotetramer (Berny et al. 2016). On the other hand, a diacidic motif (DXE) found at the N-terminus of some maize and *Arabidopsis* PIP2s (Zelazny et al. 2009) was shown to act as an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) export signal. By keeping the diacidic property in the sequence of *At*PIP2;1, it was shown that a strict DXE motif instead of a generic diacidic motif is required for proper trafficking (Sorieul et al. 2011). This suggests that oligomerization likely happens at the ER membrane during PIP biogenesis and that ER-sorting would act as a regulatory checkpoint after homotetramer or heterotetramer formation (Verdoucq et al. 2014). Furthermore, two SNARE proteins of *Arabidopsis*, *At*SYP61 and *At*SYP121, were recently shown to form a complex that modulates the *At*PIP2;7 post-Golgi trafficking (Hachez et al. 2014). In maize, the homolog *Zm*SYP121 was shown to physically interact with *Zm*PIP2;5, favoring its targeting to the plasma membrane (Besserer et al. 2012).

Trafficking studies in animal AQPs have a little different focus. Most of the AQPs studied in this subject belong to the water transport subgroup: AQP1, AQP2, AQP4, and AQP5. And their trafficking mechanisms show common features (Noda et al. 2010; Sasaki et al. 2014; Kitchen et al. 2015b). Phosphorylation events of certain serines are induced by osmolarity changes and mediate the trafficking of these AQPs to the plasma membrane. In astrocytes and AQP4-transfected HEK293 cells, phosphorylation of S276, via PKA, is associated with calcium influx and calmodulin activation (Kitchen et al. 2015a). In AQP5-transfected HEK293 cells, phosphorylations of S156 and PKA are involved, but a conformational change of the C-terminal end was discarded (Kitchen et al. 2015c). In astrocytes and AQP1-transfected HEK293 cells, the hypotonic stimulus produces increments of the intracellular calcium concentration, calmodulin activation and phosphorylation of AQP1 by PKC (but not PKA) at T157 and T239 simultaneously (Conner et al. 2012). Moreover, this type of trafficking mechanism seems to be mediated by microtubules but not the actin network, as was previously reported for AQP1-transfected HEK293 cells (Conner et al. 2010) and AQP5 MDCK cells (Karabasil et al. 2009). Another recent work shows that activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChR) induces the reversible translocation of AQP5 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and the apical and basolateral membranes of parotid acinar cells (Cho et al. 2015).

Regarding structural features, loss of tetramerization does not affect the single channel permeability of AQP1, AQP4 and the aquaglyceroporin AQP3. These observations support the hypothesis that loop D-mediated inter-monomer interactions may control the formation of the signature quaternary structure of the family, but seems not to be necessary for trafficking to the plasma membrane (Kitchen et al. 2016).

AQP4 exists in more than one isoform in mammals: M1-AQP4, M23-AQP4 and Mz-AQP4. Recent evidence shows

that M1 and M23 have distinct aggregation properties that produce differences in their cellular localization and functions (Smith et al. 2014). While M1-homotetramers can diffuse along the plasma membrane and incorporate to lamellipodia regions in migrating astrocytes, M23-arrays are unable to diffuse rapidly enough to enter lamellipodia, being excluded from the leading edge of migrating astrocytes, and at the same time stabilizing the binding to adhesion complexes *in vivo*. Both the differential diffusion capacity and function of M1 and M23 isoforms are associated with the capacity of M23 to form orthogonal arrays (OAPs) (Jin et al. 2011), which can be constituted by more than 100 tetramers. Like the cases of PIPs from plants, M1 and M23 can form heterotetramers, which exhibit a variable capacity to diffuse and bind to adhesion complexes (Smith et al. 2014).

Trafficking research in AQPs show differences between plants and animals. While in plants the focus has been put on structural determinants, in animals it has been put on signal transduction and the role of the cytoskeleton. To our knowledge, studies on signal transduction-associated trafficking constitutes an open field for both research areas. In particular, expression of different plant PIP subgroups in the same membrane justifies the study of heterotetramerization. This type of study in animals is performed with AQP4 isoforms. Studies on both PIPs and AQP4 indicate that intra- and extra-cellular loops (A and D, respectively) would not be involved in tetramer stabilization and trafficking. Future works will elucidate important details of these two different mechanisms observed in plant PIPs and AQP4 from animals.

What are AQPs for

Since the first water channel report (Preston et al. 1992), the discovery of AQPs had a significant impact on the study of water and solute transport. However, the view of AQPs as specific water channels has been changing due to large amount of evidence showing that some AQPs carry small solutes or gases, and others are expressed in tissues where water flow dynamics appear to be less relevant (Bienert et al. 2008; Kitchen et al. 2015b; Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2016a, 2016b).

As was reviewed by Hill et al. (2004), the first studies with knock-out mice performed by Alan Verkman's laboratory revealed negligible effects upon AQPs deletion; for example: AQP5 in Type-1 pneumocytes (Ma et al. 2000), AQP1 in the epithelium secreting bile (Mennone et al. 2002), AQP1, 3, 4 or 5 on the rates of tear fluid production (Moore et al. 2000), AQP1 on the rates of fluid equilibration in endothelial cells (Verkman 2002), AQP4 on the stimulated secretion of parietal cells from gastric glands (Wang et al. 2000), and AQP1 on water movements across the corneal epithelium (Kuang et al. 2004). This evidence suggested that AQPs could have a regulating role in transepithelial water transport rather than just

being the water pathway (Fischbarg 2010). In line with this, the fact that transepithelial water transport can occur against the osmotic gradient generated doubts about the role of AQPs (Zeuthen 2010), since AQPs are just channels where water translocation is driven by passive forces and occurs by a stochastic mechanism (Zeuthen et al. 2013). Furthermore, it has recently been demonstrated that significant water fluxes are maintained by cotransport with sodium and glucose, through the sodium glucose cotransporter SGLT1 of the brush border membrane of the mouse small intestine, where orthodox AQPs are absent but the SGLT1 is abundant (Zeuthen et al. 2016). This recent report adds to the water-solute cotransport evidence extensively described before (Zeuthen 2010).

The body of evidence obtained by animal physiologists led to the hypothesis that AQPs would be osmosensors (Hill et al. 2004), acting as both sensors and signal transducers (Hill and Shachar-Hill 2006). According to this hypothesis, each monomer could have two reversible states (open and closed) that can be reached by conformational changes induced by osmotic pressure differences. In addition, the conformational changes in a tetramer would occur by a cooperative mechanism involving the four monomers (Hill et al. 2004; Hill and Shachar-Hill 2015).

The role of an osmosensor was satisfactorily tested by mathematical modeling and simulation for isotonic fluid transport across animal epithelia (Hill and Shachar-Hill 2006). In animal epithelia, the AQP would function like a thermostat by sensing the transepithelial gradient and regulating-via a cell signaling system-the magnitude of the paracellular flow to approach an osmotic set point (Hill and Shachar-Hill 2006). In addition, a model combining mechanical parameters with osmotic dynamics developed for growing pollen tubes suggests the existence of a molecule acting as an osmosensor (Hill et al. 2012). The following experimental evidence suggested that AQPs could be an osmosensor located in the plasma membrane of growing pollen tubes of *Lilium longiflorum* (Shachar-Hill et al. 2013). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the most expressed AQPs in pollen are non-orthodox and seem not to be involved in water transport (Pérez Di Giorgio et al. 2016a, 2016b). So far, their role is more complex and needs to be elucidated.

As we have seen in previous sections, increasing evidence is emerging on regulatory mechanisms in plant and animal AQPs supporting the assumptions of the osmosensor hypothesis. For example, interactions between monomers can modify the transport properties of the tetramer (Bienert et al. 2012; Yaneff et al. 2014; Jozefkowicz et al. 2016), which opens the possibility for cooperative interaction between subunits. Moreover, cooperative regulation mechanisms have been both predicted for closure of hAQP1 mediated by membrane tension increments (Ozu et al. 2013) and proposed for closure of the cytoplasmic gate of AQP0 mediated by the binding of calmodulin (CaM) to the C-terminal domain (Reichow et al. 2013).

The case of AQP0 is interesting for several reasons. Each monomer forms a channel with very low water permeability (comparable to lipid bilayer) and can be gated by acidification (Németh-Cahalan and Hall 2000). AQP0 also forms gap junctions, and monomers in these arrays exhibit a closed conformation that do not transport water because two tyrosines (T23 and T149) occlude the water path (Gonen et al. 2004). Management of forces between the protein and the surrounding lipids could also be part of a signaling mechanism. A very recent work has shown that the hydrophobic mismatch between the protein and the lipid bilayer is compensated by stretching of the annular layer of lipids around the surface of AQP0 (Briones et al. 2017). In addition, this compensation induces specific fluid- and gel-phase prone areas, allowing the speculation that these areas might guide the AQP0 lipid sorting interactions with other membrane components (Briones et al. 2017).

Evidence from parasitic and free-living microorganisms highlights the role of AQPs in osmoregulation (Von Bülow and Beitz 2015). For example, the recently cloned aquaglyceroporin *SjAQP* from *Schistosoma japonicum* plays a fundamental role in osmoregulation, especially during cercariae transformation, when this human parasite faces extreme osmolality changes because of its living cycle stage in fresh water (Huang et al. 2016). In other parasitic organisms, AQPs are located in the membranes of the complex of flagello, so it has been proposed that they are involved in the mechanisms of osmotaxis, as well as in osmoregulation (Von Bülow and Beitz 2015).

Perspectives

The amount of accumulated information provides detailed descriptions on some features of AQPs. However, gaps still exist at the molecular level when addressing their function in both plant and animal sub-families. More crystallographic studies are needed for a better understanding of plant APQs. These would provide substantial information to comprehend distinctive isoforms as metalloporins. In addition, structural details are critical to test hypotheses related to conformational changes, and only by combining this information with experimental approaches can unsolved issues be properly unravelled. Open questions include whether AQPs are osmosensors, molecular features of the mechanical gating, and gas transport capacity.

Finally, deeper knowledge on molecular features, in combination with novel functional information, will provide important data to obtain more precise information regarding their complex diversity and evolutionary constraints.

Summary

Since its discovery, research interest on AQPs has experienced an exponential increase. Plant and animal AQPs are also probably the most studied channels due to their impact in terms of economical interests: growth, development and fitness when addressing the plant kingdom, and mainly human health and disease in the case of animal AQPs. However, diversity and phylogenetic approaches have broadened our perspective as a whole. We have summarized a crosstalk of the most notable features of plant and animal AQPs and compared the known information provided by phylogenetic, structural and functional studies. This revision reflects differences in research approaches as well as regulatory mechanisms. Current hypotheses about its cellular role as possible osmosensors have also been revisited.

Compliance with ethical standards

Funding This work is supported by Agencia Nacional para la Promoción Científica y Técnica [Préstamo BID PICT14-0744] and Universidad de Buenos Aires [UBACyT14-17], all grants to G.A.

Conflict of interest Moira Sutka declares that she has no conflicts of interest. Gabriela Amodeo declares that she has no conflicts of interest. Marcelo Ozu declares that he has no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Abascal F, Irrisari I, Zardoya R (2014) Diversity and evolution of membrane intrinsic proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:1468–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.12.001>
- Agre P, Lee MD, Devidas S, Guggino WB (1997) Aquaporins and ion conductance. *Science* 275:1490–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5305.1490>
- Alleva K, Niemietz CM, Sutka M, Maurel C, Parisi M, Tyerman SD, Amodeo G (2006) Plasma membrane of Beta Vulgaris storage root shows high water channel activity regulated by cytoplasmic pH and a dual range of calcium concentrations. *J Exp Bot* 57:609–621
- Almasalmeh A, Krenc D, Wu B, Beitz E (2014) Structural determinants of the hydrogen peroxide permeability of aquaporins. *FEBS J* 281:647–656. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.12653>
- Arcienega II, Brunet JF, Bloch J, Badaut J (2010) Cell locations for AQP1, AQP4 and 9 in the non-human primate brain. *Neuroscience* 167:1103–1114
- Barzana G, Aroca R, Bienert GP, Chaumont F, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2014) New insights into the regulation of aquaporins by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in maize plants under drought stress and possible implications for plant performance. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 27:349–363
- Bellati J, Alleva K, Soto G, Vitali V, Jozefkowicz C, Amodeo G (2010) Intracellular pH sensing is altered by plasma membrane PIP aquaporin co-expression. *Plant Mol Biol* 74:105–118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9658-8>
- Berny MC, Gilis D, Rooman M, Chaumont F (2016) Single mutations in the transmembrane domains of maize plasma membrane Aquaporins affect the activity of monomers within a Heterotetramer. *Mol Plant* 9:986–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2016.04.006>
- Berry V, Francis P, Kaushal S, Moore A, Bhattacharya S (2000) Missense mutations in MIP underlie autosomal dominant ‘polymorphic’ and lamellar cataracts linked to 12q. *Nat Genet* 25:15–17
- Besse M, Knipfer T, Miller AJ, Verdeil JL, Jahn TP, Fricke W (2011) Developmental pattern of aquaporin expression in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) leaves. *J Exp Bot* 62:4127–4142
- Besserer A, Burnotte E, Bienert GP, Chevalier AS, Errachid A, Grefen C, Blatt MR, Chaumont F (2012) Selective regulation of maize plasma membrane aquaporin trafficking and activity by the SNARE SYP121. *Plant Cell* 24:3463–3481
- Bienert GP, Chaumont F (2014) Aquaporin-facilitated transmembrane diffusion of hydrogen peroxide. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:1596–1604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.09.017>
- Bienert GP, Schüssler MD, Jahn TP (2008) Metalloids: essential, beneficial or toxic? Major intrinsic proteins sort it out. *Trends Biochem Sci* 33:20–26
- Bienert GP, Bienert MD, Jahn TP, Boutry M, Chaumont F (2011) Solanaceae XIPs are plasma membrane aquaporins that facilitate the transport of many uncharged substrates. *Plant J* 66:306–317
- Bienert GP, Cavez D, Besserer A, Berny MC, Gilis D, Rooman M, Chaumont F (2012) A conserved cysteine residue is involved in disulfide bond formation between plant plasma membrane aquaporin monomers. *Biochem J* 445:101–111
- Bloch O, Manley GT, Verkman AS (2006) Accelerated progression of kaolin-induced hydrocephalus in aquaporin-4 deficient mice. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 26:1527–1537
- Bogacki P, Peck DM, Nair RM, Howie J, Oldach KH (2013) Genetic analysis of tolerance to boron toxicity in the legume *Medicago truncatula*. *BMC Plant Biol* 13:54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-13-54>
- Booth IR, Blount P (2012) The MscS and MscL families of mechanosensitive channels act as microbial emergency release valves. *J Bacteriol* 194:4802–4809
- Briones R, Aponte-Santamaría C, de Groot BL (2017) Localization and ordering of lipids around aquaporin-0: protein and lipid mobility effects. *Front Physiol* 8:124. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00124> eCollection 2017
- Butler TL, Au CG, Yang B, Egan JR, Tan YM (2006) Cardiac aquaporin expression in humans, rats, and mice. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 291:H705–H713
- Calamita G (2005) Aquaporins: highways for cells to recycle water with the outside world. *Biol Cell* 97:351–353
- Carbrey JM, Gorelick-Feldman DA, Kozono D, Praetorius J, Nielsen S, Agre P (2003) Aquaglyceroporin AQP9: solute permeation and metabolic control of expression in liver. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:2945–2950
- Casado-Vela J, Muries B, Carvajal M, Iloro I, Elortza F, Martínez-Ballesta MC (2010) Analysis of root plasma membrane aquaporins from Brassica Oleracea: post-translational modifications, de novo sequencing and detection of isoforms by high resolution mass spectrometry. *J Proteome Res* 9:3479–3494
- Chauvigné F, Boj M, Finn RN, Cerdà J (2015) Mitochondrial aquaporin-8-mediated hydrogen peroxide transport is essential for teleost spermatozoon motility. *Sci Rep* 5:7789. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07789>
- Chen Q, Duan EK (2011) Aquaporins in sperm osmoadaptation: an emerging role for volume regulation. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 32:721–724
- Cheng YS, Tang YQ, Dai DZ, Dai Y (2012) AQP4 knockout mice manifest abnormal expressions of calcium handling proteins possibly

- due to exacerbating pro-inflammatory factors in the heart. *Biochem Pharmacol* 83:97–105
- Chepelinsky AB (2009) Structural function of MIP/aquaporin 0 in the eye lens; genetic defects lead to congenital inherited cataracts. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 190:265–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79885-9_14
- Chevalier AS, Chaumont F (2015) Trafficking of plant plasma membrane aquaporins: multiple regulation levels and complex sorting signals. *Plant Cell Physiol* 56:819–829. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcu203>
- Cho G, Bragiel AM, Wang D, Pieczonka TD, Skowronski MT, Shono M, Nielsen S, Ishikawa Y (2015) Activation of muscarinic receptors in rat parotid acinar cells induces AQP5 trafficking to nuclei and apical plasma membrane. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1850:784–793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2015.01.009>
- Conner AC, Brown JE, Bill RM (2010) Membrane trafficking of aquaporin 1 is mediated by protein kinase C via microtubules and regulated by tonicity. *Biochemistry* 49:821–823
- Conner MT, Conner AC, Bland CE, Taylor LHJ, Brown JEP, Parri HR, Bill RM (2012) Rapid aquaporin translocation regulates cellular water flow - mechanism of hypotonicity-induced subcellular localization of aquaporin 1 water channel. *J Biol Chem* 287(14):11516–11525. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.329219>
- Conner AC, Bill RM, Conner MT (2013) An emerging consensus on aquaporin translocation as a regulatory mechanism. *Mol Membr Biol* 30(1):101–112. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09687688.2012.743194>
- Cordeiro RM (2015) Molecular dynamics simulations of the transport of reactive oxygen species by mammalian and plant aquaporins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1850:1786–1794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2015.05.007>
- Danielson JÅ, Johanson U (2008) Unexpected complexity of the aquaporin gene family in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *BMC Plant Biol* 8:45
- Day RE, Kitchen P, Owen DS, Bland C, Marshall L, Conner AC, Bill RM, Conner MT (2014) Human aquaporins: regulators of transcellular water flow. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:1492–1506
- Deen PM, Verdijk MA, Knoers NV, Wieringa B, Monnens LA, van Os CH, van Oost BA (1994) Requirement of human renal water channel aquaporin-2 for vasopressin-dependent concentration of urine. *Science* 264:92–95
- De Groot BL, Grubmüller H (2001). Water permeation across biological membranes: mechanism and dynamics of aquaporin-1 and GlpF. *Science* 294:2353–2357 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062459>
- Ding FB, Yan YM, Huang JB, Mei J, Zhu JQ (2013) The involvement of AQP1 in heart oedema induced by global myocardial ischemia. *Cell Biochem Funct* 31:60–64
- Dordas C, Brown PH (2001) Evidence for channel mediated transport of boric acid in squash (*Cucurbita pepo*). *Plant Soil* 235:95–103
- Duncan RE, Ahmadian M, Jaworski K, Sarkadi-Nagy E, Sul HS (2007) Regulation of lipolysis in adipocytes. *Annu Rev Nutr* 27:79–101
- Effros RM, Darin C, Jacobs ER, Rogers RA, Krenz G, Schneeberger EE (1997) Water transport and the distribution of aquaporin-1 in pulmonary air spaces. *J Appl Physiol* 83:1002–1016
- Endo M, Jain RK, Witwer B, Brown D (1999) Water channel (aquaporin 1) expression and distribution in mammary carcinomas and glioblastomas. *Microvasc Res* 58:89–98
- Finn RN, Cerdà J (2015) Evolution and functional diversity of Aquaporins. *Biol Bull* 229:6–23. <https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv229n1p6>
- Finn RN, Chauvigné F, Hlidberg JB, Cutler CP, Cerdà J (2014) The lineage-specific evolution of aquaporin gene clusters facilitated tetraploid terrestrial adaptation. *PLoS ONE* 9:e113686. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113686> eCollection 2014
- Finn RN, Chauvigné F, Stavang JA, Belles X, Cerdà K (2015) Insect glycerol transporters evolved by functional co-option and gene replacement. *Nature Com* 6:7814–7878. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8814>
- Fischbarg J (2010) Fluid transport across leaky epithelia: central role of the tight junction and supporting role of aquaporins. *Physiol Rev* 90:1271–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00025.2009>
- Fischer G, Kosinska-Eriksson U, Aponte-Santamaria C, Palmgren M, Geijer C, Hedfalk K, Hohmann S, de Groot BL, Neutze R, Lindkvist-Petersson K (2009) Crystal structure of a yeast aquaporin at 1.15 angstrom reveals a novel gating mechanism. *PLoS Biol* 7:e1000130. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000130>
- Fitzpatrick KL, Reid RJ (2009) The involvement of aqaglyceroporins in transport of boron in barley roots. *Plant Cell Environ* 32:1357–1365
- Fleurat-Lessard P, Frangne N, Maeshima M, Ratajczak R, Bonnemain JL, Martinoia E (1997) Increased expression of vacuolar aquaporin and H⁺-ATPase related to motor cell function in *Mimosa pudica* L. *Plant Physiol* 114:827–834
- Fraysse L, Wells B, McCann MC, Kjellbom P (2005) Specific plasma membrane aquaporins of the PIP1 subfamily are expressed in sieve elements and guard cells. *Biol Cell* 97:519–534
- Frick A, Järvå M, Törnroth-Horsefield S (2013) Structural basis for pH gating of plant aquaporins. *FEBS Lett* 587:989–993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2013.02.038>
- Frick A, Eriksson UK, de Mattia F, Oberg F, Hedfalk K, Neutze R, de Grip WJ, Deen PM, Törnroth-Horsefield S (2014) X-ray structure of human aquaporin 2 and its implications for nephrogenic diabetes insipidus and trafficking. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:6305–6310. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321406111>
- Fu D, Libson A, Miercke LJ, Weitzman C, Nollert P, Krucinski J, Stroud RM (2000) Structure of a glycerol-conducting channel and the basis for its selectivity. *Science* 290:481–486
- Fushimi K, Uchida S, Hara Y, Hirata Y, Marumo F, Sasaki S (1993) Cloning and expression of apical membrane water channel of rat kidney collecting tubule. *Nature* 361:549–552
- Garcia F, Kierbel A, Larocca MC, Gradilone SA, Splinter P, Larusso NF, Marinelli RA (2001) The water channel aquaporin-8 is mainly intracellular in rat hepatocytes, and its plasma membrane insertion is stimulated by cyclic AMP. *J Biol Chem* 276:12147–12152
- Gattolin S, Sorieu M, Hunter PR, Khonsari RH, Frigerio L (2009) In vivo imaging of the tonoplast intrinsic protein family in Arabidopsis roots. *BMC Plant Biol* 9:133
- Gerbeau P, Amodeo G, Henzler T, Santoni V, Ripoche P, Maurel C (2002) The water permeability of Arabidopsis plasma membrane is regulated by divalent cations and pH. *Plant J* 30:71–81
- Geyer RR, Musa-Aziz R, Qin BWF (2013) Relative CO₂/NH₃ selectivities of mammalian aquaporins 0–9. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 304:C985–C994
- Gładka M, El Azzouzi H, De Windt LJ, da Costa Martins PA (2009) Aquaporin 7: the glycerol aqueduct in the heart. *Cardiovasc Res* 83:3–4. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvp147>
- Goldman RP, Jozefkowicz C, Canessa Fortuna A, Sutka M, Alleva K, Ozu M (2017) Tonoplast (BvTIP1;2) and plasma membrane (BvPIP2;1) aquaporins show different mechanosensitive properties. *FEBS Lett*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12671>
- Gonen T, Sliz P, Kistler J, Cheng Y, Walz T (2004) Aquaporin-0 membrane junctions reveal the structure of a closed water pore. *Nature* 429:193–197. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02503>
- Gonen T, Cheng Y, Sliz P, Hiroaki Y, Fujiyoshi Y, Harrison SC, Walz T (2005) Lipid-protein interactions in double-layered two-dimensional AQP0 crystals. *Nature* 438:633–638
- Grondin A, Rodrigues O, Verdoucq L, Merlot S, Leonhardt N, Maurel C (2015) Aquaporins contribute to ABA-triggered stomatal closure through OST1-mediated phosphorylation. *Plant Cell* 27:1945–1954
- de Groot BL, Engel A, Grubmüller H (2001) A refined structure of human aquaporin 1. *FEBS Lett* 504:206–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793\(01\)02743-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(01)02743-0)

- Guenther JF, Chanmanivone N, Galetovic MP, Wallace IS, Cobb JA, Roberts DM (2003) Phosphorylation of soybean nodulin 26 on serine 262 enhances water permeability and is regulated developmentally and by osmotic signals. *Plant Cell* 15:981–991
- Gupta AB, Sankaramakrishnan R (2009) Genome-wide analysis of major intrinsic proteins in the tree plant *Populus Trichocarpa*: characterization of XIP subfamily of aquaporins from evolutionary perspective. *BMC Plant Biol* 9:134
- Hachez C, Moshelion M, Zelazny E, Cavez D, Chaumont F (2006) Localization and quantification of plasma membrane aquaporin expression in maize primary root: a clue to understanding their role as cellular plumbers. *Plant Mol Biol* 62:305–323
- Hachez C, Heinen RB, Draye X, Chaumont F (2008) The expression pattern of plasma membrane aquaporins in maize leaf highlights their role in hydraulic regulation. *Plant Mol Biol* 68:337–353
- Hachez C, Besserer A, Chevalier AS, Chaumont F (2013) Insights into plant plasma membrane aquaporin trafficking. *Trends Plant Sci* 18(6):p344–p352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.12.003>
- Hachez C, Laloux T, Reinhardt H, Cavez D, Degand H, Grefen C, De Rycke R, Inze D, Blatt MR, Russinova E (2014) Arabidopsis SNAREs SYP61 and SYP121 coordinate the trafficking of plasma membrane aquaporin PIP2;7 to modulate the cell membrane water permeability. *Plant Cell* 26:3132–3147
- Haj-Yasein NN, Vindedal GF, Eilert-Olsen M, Gundersen GA, Skare O, Laake P, Klungland A, Thoren AE, Burkhardt JM, Ottersen OP, Nagelhus EA (2011) Glial-conditional deletion of aquaporin-4 (Aqp4) reduces blood–brain water uptake and confers barrier function on perivascular astrocyte endfeet. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:17815–17820
- Han Z, Patil RV (2000) Protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of aquaporin-1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 273:328–332
- Hara-Chikuma M, Sohara E, Rai T, Ikawa M, Okabe M, Sasaki S, Uchida S, Verkman AS (2005) Progressive adipocyte hypertrophy in aquaporin-7-deficient mice: adipocyte glycerol permeability as a novel regulator of fat accumulation. *J Biol Chem* 280:15493–15496
- Harries WE, Akhavan D, Miercke LJ, Khademi S, Stroud RM (2004) The channel architecture of aquaporin 0 at a 2.2-Å resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:14045–14050
- He F, Sun YE (2007) Glial cells more than support cells? *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 39:661–665
- Heckwolf M, Pater D, Hanson DT, Kaldenhoff R (2011) The Arabidopsis *Thaliana* aquaporin AtPIP1;2 is a physiologically relevant CO₂ transport facilitator. *Plant J* 67:795–804
- Heinen RB, Bienert GP, Cohen D, Chevalier AS, Uehlein N, Hachez C, Chaumont F (2014) Expression and characterization of plasma membrane aquaporins in stomatal complexes of *Zea mays*. *Plant Mol Biol* 86:335–350
- Herrera M, Garvin JL (2007) Novel role of AQP-1 in NO- dependent vasorelaxation. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 292:F1443–F1451
- Herrera M, Hong NJ, Garvin JL (2006) Aquaporin-1 transports NO across cell membranes. *Hypertension* 48(1):157–164
- Hibuse T, Maeda N, Nakatsuji H, Tochino Y, Fujita K, Kihara S, Funahashi T, Shimomura I (2009) The heart requires glycerol as an energy substrate through aquaporin 7, a glycerol facilitator. *Cardiovasc Res* 83:34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvp095>
- Hill AE, Shachar-Hill B (2006) A new approach to epithelial isotonic fluid transport: an osmosensor feedback model. *J Membr Biol* 210:77–90
- Hill AE, Shachar-Hill Y (2015) Are Aquaporins the missing transmembrane Osmosensors? *J Membr Biol* 248:753–765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-015-9790-0>
- Hill AE, Shachar-Hill B, Shachar-Hill Y (2004) What are aquaporins for? *J Membr Biol* 197:1–32
- Hill AE, Shachar-Hill B, Skepper JN, Powell J, Shachar-Hill Y (2012) An osmotic model of the growing pollen tube. *PLoS ONE* 7(5):e36585. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036585>
- Hiroaki Y, Tani K, Kamegawa A, Gyobu N, Nishikawa K, Suzuki H, Walz T, Sasaki S, Mitsuoka K, Kimura K, Mizoguchi A, Fujiyoshi Y (2006) Implications of the aquaporin-4 structure on array formation and cell adhesion. *J Mol Biol* 355:628–639
- Hite RK, Li Z, Walz T (2010) Principles of membrane protein interactions with annular lipids deduced from aquaporin-0 2D crystals. *EMBO J* 29:1652–1658. <https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2010.68>
- Ho JD, Yeh R, Sandstrom A, Chorny I, Harries WE, Robbins RA, Miercke LJ, Stroud RM (2009) Crystal structure of human aquaporin 4 at 1.8 Å and its mechanism of conductance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:7437–7442. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902725106>
- Horsefield R, Nordén K, Fellert M, Backmark A, Tömroth-Horsefield S, Terwisscha van Scheltinga AC, Kvassman J, Kjellbom P, Johanson U, Neutze R (2008) High-resolution x-ray structure of human aquaporin 5. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:13327–13332. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801466105>
- Hu J, Verkman AS (2006) Increased migration and metastatic potential of tumor cells expressing aquaporin water channels. *FASEB J* 20:1892–1894
- Huang Y, Li W, Lu W, Xiong C, Yang Y, Yan H, Cao P (2016) Cloning and in vitro characterization of a *Schistosoma Japonicum* aquaglyceroporin that functions in osmoregulation. *Sci Rep* 6:35030. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35030>
- Hub JS, de Groot BL (2006) Does CO₂ permeate through aquaporin-1? *Biophys J* 91:842–848
- Hurley PT, Ferguson CJ, Kwon TH, Andersen ML, Norman AG, Steward MC, Nielsen S, Case RM (2001) Expression and immunolocalization of aquaporin water channels in rat exocrine pancreas. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 280:G701–G709
- Hwang JH, Ellingson SR, Roberts DM (2010) Ammonia permeability of the soybean nodulin 26 channel. *FEBS Lett* 584:4339–4343
- Ishibashi K, Sasaki S, Fushimi K, Uchida S, Kuwahara M, Saito H, Furukawa T, Nakajima K, Yamaguchi Y, Gojobori T (1994) Molecular cloning and expression of a member of the aquaporin family with permeability to glycerol and urea in addition to water expressed at the basolateral membrane of kidney collecting duct cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91:6269–6273
- Ishibashi K, Kuwahara M, Gu Y, Kageyama Y, Tohsaka A, Suzuki F, Marumo F, Sasaki S (1997) Cloning and functional expression of a new water channel abundantly expressed in the testis permeable to water, glycerol, and urea. *J Biol Chem* 272:20782–20786
- Javot H, Lauergeat V, Santoni V, Martin-Laurent F, Guclu J, Vinh J, Heyes J, Franck KI, Schäffner AR, Bouchez D, Maurel C (2003) Role of a single aquaporin isoform in root water uptake. *Plant Cell* 15:509–522 2003
- Jiang J, Daniels BV, Fu D (2006) Crystal structure of AqpZ tetramer reveals two distinct Arg-189 conformations associated with water permeation through the narrowest constriction of the water-conducting channel. *J Biol Chem* 281:454–460
- Jin BJ, Rossi A, Verkman AS (2011) Model of aquaporin-4 supramolecular assembly in orthogonal arrays based on heterotetrameric association of M1-M23 isoforms. *Biophys J* 100:2936–2945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.05.012>
- Johanson U, Karlsson M, Gustavsson S, Sjövall S, Fraysse L, Weig AR, Kjellbom P (2001) The complete set of genes encoding major intrinsic proteins in Arabidopsis provides a framework for a new nomenclature for major intrinsic proteins in plants. *Plant Physiol* 126:1358–1369
- Johansson I, Karlsson M, Shukla VK, Chrispeels MJ, Larsson C, Kjellbom P (1998) Water transport activity of the plasma membrane aquaporin PM28A is regulated by phosphorylation. *Plant Cell* 10:451–459

- Johnson KD, Herman EM, Chrispeels MJ (1989) An abundant, highly conserved tonoplast protein in seeds. *Plant Physiol* 91:1006–1013
- Johnson KD, Höfte H, Chrispeels MJ (1990) An intrinsic tonoplast protein of protein storage vacuoles in seeds is structurally related to a bacterial solute transporter (GIPF). *Plant Cell* 2:525–532
- Jozefkiewicz C, Rosi P, Sigaut L, Soto G, Pietrasanta LI, Amodeo G, Alleva K (2013) Loop a is critical for the functional interaction of two Beta Vulgaris PIP Aquaporins. *PLoS ONE* 8(3):e57993. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057993>
- Jozefkiewicz C, Sigaut L, Scochera F, Soto G, Ayub N, Pietrasanta LI, Amodeo G, González Flecha FL, Alleva K (2016) PIP water transport and its pH dependence are regulated by tetramer stoichiometry. *Biophys J* 110:1312–1321
- Kamiya T, Tanaka M, Mitani N, Ma JF, Maeshima M, Fujiwara T (2009) NIP1;1, an aquaporin homolog, determines the arsenite sensitivity of Arabidopsis Thaliana. *J Biol Chem* 284:2114–2120
- Karabasil MR, Hasegawa T, Azlina A, Purwanti N, Purevjav J, Yao C (2009) Trafficking of GFP-AQP5 chimeric proteins conferred with unphosphorylated amino acids at their PKA- target motif ((152)SRRTS) in MDCK-II cells. *J Med Investig* 56:55–63
- Kim DY, Scalf M, Smith LM, Vierstra RD (2013) Advanced proteomic analyses yield a deep catalog of ubiquitylation targets in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25:1523–1540
- Kirscht A, Kaptan SS, Bienert GP, Chaumont F, Nissen P, de Groot BL, Kjellbom P, Gourdon P, Johanson U (2016) Crystal structure of an ammonia-permeable aquaporin. *PLoS Biol* 14:e1002411. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002411>
- Kitchen P, Day RE, Salman MM, Conner MT, Bill RM, Conner AC (2015a) Beyond water homeostasis: diverse functional roles of mammalian aquaporins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1850:2410–2421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2015.08.023>
- Kitchen P, Day RE, Taylor LH, Salman MM, Bill RM, Conner MT, Conner AC (2015b) Identification and molecular mechanisms of the rapid tonicity-induced Relocalization of the aquaporin 4 channel. *J Biol Chem* 290:16873–16881. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.646034>
- Kitchen P, Öberg F, Sjöhamn J, Hedfalk K, Bill RM, Conner AC, Conner MT, Tömroth-Horsefield S (2015c) Plasma membrane abundance of human aquaporin 5 is dynamically regulated by multiple pathways. *PLoS ONE* 10(11):e0143027. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143027>
- Kitchen P, Conner MT, Bill RM, Conner AC (2016) Structural determinants of oligomerization of the aquaporin-4 channel. *J Biol Chem* 291:6858–6871. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.694729>
- Knipfer T, Fricke W (2011) Water uptake by seminal and adventitious roots in relation to whole-plant water flow in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) *J Exp Bot* 62:717–733
- Kosinska Eriksson U, Fischer G, Friemann R, Enkavi G, Tajkhorshid E, Neutze R (2013) Subangstrom resolution X-ray structure details aquaporin-water interactions. *Science* 340:1346–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234306>
- Kosugi-Tanaka C, Li X, Yao C, Akamatsu T, Kanamori N, Hosoi K (2006) Protein kinase A-regulated membrane trafficking of a green fluorescent protein-aquaporin 5 chimera in MDCK cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1763:337–344
- Kreida S, Tömroth-Horsefield S (2015) Structural insights into aquaporin selectivity and regulation. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 33:126–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2015.08.004>
- Kuang K, Yiming M, Wen Q, Li Y, Ma L, Iserovich P, Verkman AS, Fischberg J (2004) Fluid transport across cultured layers of corneal endothelium from aquaporin-1 null mice. *Exp Eye Res* 78:791–798
- Kumar K, Mosa KA, Chhikara S, Musante C, White JC, Dhankher OP (2014) Two rice plasma membrane intrinsic proteins, OsPIP2;4 and OsPIP2;7, are involved in transport and providing tolerance to boron toxicity. *Planta* 239:187–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-013-1969-y>
- Kumari SS, Eswaramoorthy S, Mathias RT, Varadaraj K (2011) Unique and analogous functions of aquaporin 0 for fiber cell architecture and ocular lens transparency. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1812:1089–1097
- Laur J, Hacke UG (2013) Transpirational demand affects aquaporin expression in poplar roots. *J Exp Bot* 64:2283–2293
- Lee JK, Kozono D, Remis J, Kitagawa Y, Agre P, Stroud RM (2005) Structural basis for conductance by the archaeal aquaporin AqpM at 1.68 Å. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:18932–18937
- Lee HK, Cho SK, Son O, Xu Z, Hwang I, Kim WT (2009) Drought stress-induced Rma1H1, a RING membrane-anchor E3 ubiquitin ligase homolog, regulates aquaporin levels via ubiquitination in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Cell* 21:622–641
- Leitão L, Prista C, Moura TF, Loureiro-Dias MC, Soveral G (2012) Grapevine Aquaporins: gating of a tonoplast intrinsic protein (TIP2;1) by cytosolic pH. *PLoS ONE* 7(3):e33219. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033219>
- Leitão L, Prista C, Loureiro-Dias MC, Moura TF, Soveral G (2014) The grapevine tonoplast aquaporin TIP2;1 is a pressure gated water channel. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 450:289–294
- Leonhardt N, Kwak JM, Robert N, Waner D, Leonhardt SJI (2004) Microarray expression analyses of Arabidopsis guard cells and isolation of a recessive abscisic acid hypersensitive protein phosphatase 2C mutant. *Plant Cell* 16:596–615
- Lopez F, Bousser A, Sissoeff I, Gaspar M, Lachaise B, Hoarau J, Mahe A (2003) Diurnal regulation of water transport and aquaporin gene expression in maize roots: contribution of PIP2 proteins. *Plant Cell Physiol* 44:1384–1395
- Luu DT, Maurel C (2013) Aquaporin trafficking in plant cells: an emerging membrane-protein model. *Traffic* 14:629–635. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12062>
- Ma TH, Fukuda N, Song YL, Matthay MA, Verkman AS (2000) Lung fluid transport in aquaporin-5 knock-out mice. *J Clin Invest* 105:93–100
- Ma T, Hara M, Sougrat R, Verbavatz JM, Verkman AS (2002) Impaired stratum corneum hydration in mice lacking epidermal water channel aquaporin-3. *J Biol Chem* 277:17147–17153
- Ma JF, Tamai K, Yamaji N, Mitani N, Konishi S, Katsuhara M, Ishiguro M, Murata Y, Yano M (2006) A silicon transporter in rice. *Nature* 440:688–691
- Ma JF, Yamaji N, Mitani N, Xu XY, Su YH, McGrath SP, Zhao FJ (2008) Transporters of arsenite in rice and their role in arsenic accumulation in rice grain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:9931–9935
- Maeda N, Hibuse T, Funahashi T (2009) Role of aquaporin-7 and aquaporin-9 in glycerol metabolism; involvement in obesity. In Springer Berlin Heidelberg (ed) *Aquaporins*, *Handb Exp Pharmacol* (190) pp 233–249. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79885-9_12
- Manley GT, Fujimura M, Ma T, Noshita N, Filiz F, Bollen AW, Chan P, Verkman AS (2000) Aquaporin-4 deletion in mice reduces brain edema after acute water intoxication and ischemic stroke. *Nat Med* 6:159–163
- Marinelli RA, LaRusso NF (1997) Aquaporin water channels in liver: their significance in bile formation. *Hepatology* 26:1081–1084
- Marples D, Knepper MA, Christensen EI, Nielsen S (1995) Redistribution of aquaporin-2 water channels induced by vasopressin in rat kidney inner medullary collecting duct. *Am J Phys* 269: C655–C664
- Maurel C, Reizer J, Schroeder JI, Chrispeels MJ (1993) The vacuolar membrane protein gamma-TIP creates water specific channels in *Xenopus* oocytes. *EMBO J* 12:2241–2247
- Maurel C, Kado RT, Guern J, Chrispeels MJ (1995) Phosphorylation regulates the water channel activity of the seed-specific aquaporin alpha-TIP. *EMBO J* 14:3028–3035

- Maurel C, Boursiac Y, Luu DT, Santoni V, Shahzad Z, Verdoucq L (2015) Aquaporins in plants. *Physiol Rev* 95:1321–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00008.2015>
- Maurel C, Verdoucq L, Rodrigues O (2016) Aquaporins and plant transpiration. *Plant Cell Env* 39:2580–2587. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12814>
- Mennone A, Verkman AS, Boyer JL (2002) Unimpaired osmotic water permeability and fluid secretion in bile duct epithelia of AQP1 null mice. *Am J Phys* 283:G739–G746
- Miller EW, Dickinson BC, Chang CJ (2010) Aquaporin-3 mediates hydrogen peroxide uptake to regulate downstream intracellular signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107:15681–15686
- Missner A, Pohl P (2009) 110 years of the Meyer–Overton rule: predicting membrane permeability of gases and other small compounds. *Chem Phys Chem* 10:1405–1414. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.200900270>
- Mitsuma T, Tani K, Hiroaki Y, Kamegawa A, Suzuki H, Hibino H, Kurachi Y, Fujiyoshi Y (2010) Influence of the cytoplasmic domains of aquaporin-4 on water conduction and array formation. *J Mol Biol* 402:669–681. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.07.060>
- Montiel V, Gomez EL, Bouzin C, Esfahani H, Romero Perez M (2014) Genetic deletion of aquaporin-1 results in microcardia and low blood pressure in mouse with intact nitric oxide-dependent relaxation, but enhanced prostanoids-dependent relaxation. *Pflugers Arch Eur J Physiol* 466:237–251
- Moore M, Ma TH, Yang BX, Verkman AS (2000) Tear secretion by lacrimal glands in transgenic mice lacking water channels AQP1, AQP3, AQP4 and AQP5. *Exp Eye Res* 70:557–562
- Mori IC, Rhee J, Shibasaki M, Sasano S, Kaneko T, Horie T, Katsuhara M (2014) CO₂ transport by PIP2 aquaporins of barley. *Plant Cell Physiol* 55:251–257. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcu003>
- Morishita Y, Matsuzaki T, Hara-chikuma M, Andoo A, Shimono M, Matsuki A, Kobayashi K, Ikeda M, Yamamoto T, Verkman AS (2005) Disruption of aquaporin-11 produces polycystic kidneys following vacuolization of the proximal tubule. *Mol Cell Biol* 25:7770–7779
- Mosa KA, Kumar K, Chhikara S, Musante C, White JC, Dhankher OP (2016) Enhanced boron tolerance in plants mediated by bidirectional transport through plasma membrane intrinsic proteins. *Sci Rep* 6:21640. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21640>
- Moshelion M, Becker D, Biela A, Uehlein N, Hedrich R, Otto B, Levi H, Moran N, Kaldenhoff R (2002) Plasma membrane aquaporins in the motor cells of *Samanea Saman*: diurnal and circadian regulation. *Plant Cell* 14:727–739
- Murata K, Mitsuoka K, Hirai T, Walz T, Agre P, Heymann JB, Engel A, Fujiyoshi Y (2000) Structural determinants of water permeation through aquaporin-1. *Nature* 407:599–605. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35036519>
- Nagaraju GP, Basha R, Rajitha B, Alese OB, Alam A, Pattnaik S, El-Rayes B (2016) Aquaporins: their role in gastrointestinal malignancies. *Cancer Lett* 373:12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2016.01.003>
- Nakhoul NL, Davis BA, Romero MF, Boron WF (1998) Effect of expressing the water channel aquaporin-1 on the CO₂ permeability of *Xenopus* oocytes. *Am J Phys* 274(2 Pt 1):C543–C548
- Navarro-Ródenas A, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Kaldenhoff R, Morte A (2011) The aquaporin *TcAQP1* of the desert truffle *Terfezia clavervyi* is a membrane pore for water and CO₂ transport. *Molec Plant Micobe Interact* 25(2):259–266
- Negishi T, Oshima K, Hattori M, Kanai M, Mano S, Nishimura M, Yoshida K (2012) Tonoplast- and plasma membrane-localized aquaporin-family transporters in blue hydrangea sepals of aluminum hyperaccumulating plant. *PLoS ONE* 7:e43189
- Nehls U, Dietz S (2014) Fungal aquaporins: cellular functions and eco-physiological perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. Springer, Berlin. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-6049-0>
- Németh-Cahalan KL, Hall JE (2000) pH and calcium regulate the water permeability of aquaporin 0. *J Biol Chem* 275:6777–6782
- Németh-Cahalan KL, Kalman K, Hall JE (2004) Molecular basis of pH and Ca²⁺ regulation of aquaporin water permeability. *J Gen Physiol* 123:573–580
- Newby ZE, O’Connell J 3rd, Robles-Colmenares Y, Khademi S, Miercke LJ, Stroud RM (2008) Crystal structure of the aquaglyceroporin PfAQP from the malarial parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 15:619–625. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1431>
- Nielsen S, Smith BL, Christensen EI, Knepper MA, Agre P (1993) CHIP28 water channels are localized in constitutively water-permeable segments of the nephron. *J Cell Biol* 120:371–383
- Niemietz CM, Tyerman SD (1997) Characterization of water channels in wheat root membrane vesicles. *Plant Physiol* 115:561–567
- Noda Y, Sasaki S (2005) Trafficking mechanism of water channel aquaporin-2. *Biol Cell* 97:885–892
- Noda Y, Sahara E, Ohta E, Sasaki S (2010) Aquaporins in kidney pathophysiology. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 6:168–178
- Novikova GV, Tournaire-Roux C, Sinkevich IA, Lityagina SV, Maurel C, Obroucheva N (2014) Vacuolar biogenesis and aquaporin expression at early germination of broad bean seeds. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 82:123–132
- Nyblom M, Frick A, Wang Y, Ekvall M, Hallgren K, Hedfalk K, Neutze R, Tajkhorshid E, Tömroth-Horsefield S (2009) Structural and functional analysis of SoPIP2;1 mutants add insight into plant aquaporin gating. *J Mol Biol* 387:653–668. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.01.065>
- Oliviusson P, Salaj J, Hakman I (2001) Expression pattern of transcripts encoding water channel-like proteins in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). *Plant Mol Biol* 46:289–299
- Otto B, Uehlein N, Sdorra S, Fischer M, Ayaz M, Belastegui-Macadam X, Heckwolf M, Lachnit M, Pede N, Priem N, Reinhard A, Siegfart S, Urban M, Kaldenhoff R (2010) Aquaporin tetramer composition modifies the function of tobacco aquaporins. *J Biol Chem* 285:31253–31260
- Ozu M, Dorr RA, Politi MT, Parisi M, Toriano R (2011) Water flux through human aquaporin 1: inhibition by intracellular furosemide and maximal response with high osmotic gradients. *Eur Biophys J* 40:737–746
- Ozu M, Dorr RA, Gutiérrez F, Politi MT, Toriano R (2013) Human AQP1 is a constitutively open channel that closes by a membrane-tension-mediated mechanism. *Biophys J* 104:85–95
- Page E, Winterfield J, Goings G, Bastawrous A, Upshaw-Earley J (1998) Water channel proteins in rat cardiac myocyte caveolae: osmolarity-dependent reversible internalization. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 274:H1988–H2000
- Palanivelu DV, Kozono DE, Engel A, Suda K, Lustig A, Agre P, Schirmer T (2006) Co-axial Association of Recombinant eye Lens Aquaporin-0 observed in loosely packed 3D-crystals. *J Mol Biol* 355:605–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMB.2005.10.032>
- Pang Y, Li L, Ren F, Lu P, Wei P, Cai J, Xin L, Zhang J, Chen J, Wang X (2010) Overexpression of the tonoplast aquaporin AtTIP5;1 conferred tolerance to boron toxicity in Arabidopsis. *J Genet Genom* 37(389–397):1–2. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1673-8527\(09\)60057-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1673-8527(09)60057-6)
- Papadopoulos MC, Verkman AS (2005) Aquaporin-4 gene disruption in mice reduces brain swelling and mortality in pneumococcal meningitis. *J Biol Chem* 280:13906–13912
- Park W, Scheffler BE, Bauer PJ, Campbell BT (2010) Identification of the family of aquaporin genes and their expression in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *BMC Plant Biol* 10:142
- Pastor-Soler N, Isnard-Bagnis C, Herak-Kramberger C, Sabolic I, Van Hoek A, Brown D, Breton S (2002) Expression of aquaporin 9 in the adult rat epididymal epithelium is modulated by androgens. *Biol Reprod* 66:1716–1722

- Pérez Di Giorgio J, Soto G, Alleva K, Jozefkiewicz C, Amodeo G, Muschietti JP, Ayub ND (2014) Prediction of aquaporin function by integrating evolutionary and functional analyses. *J Memb Biol* 247:107–125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-013-9618-8>
- Pérez Di Giorgio J, Bienert GP, Ayub ND, Yaneff A, Barberini ML, Mecchia MA, Amodeo G, Soto GC, Muschietti JP (2016a) Pollen-specific aquaporins NIP4;1 and NIP4;2 are required for reproduction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 28:1053–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00776>
- Pérez Di Giorgio JA, Soto GC, Muschietti JP, Amodeo G (2016b) Pollen Aquaporins: the solute factor. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1659 eCollection 2016
- Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF (2016) Neuromyelitis optica and the evolving spectrum of autoimmune aquaporin-4 channelopathies: a decade later. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1366:20–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12794>
- Postaire O, Tournaire-Roux C, Grondin A, Boursiac Y, Morillon R, Schäffner T, Maurel C (2010) A PIP1 aquaporin contributes to hydrostatic pressure-induced water transport in both the root and rosette of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 152:1418–1430
- Prado K, Boursiac Y, Tournaire-Roux C, Monneuse JM, Postaire O, Da Ines O, Schäffner AR, Hem S, Santoni V, Maurel C (2013) Regulation of *Arabidopsis* leaf hydraulics involves light-dependent phosphorylation of aquaporins in veins. *Plant Cell* 25:1029–1039
- Prak S, Hem S, Boudet J, Viennois G, Sommerer N, Rossignol M, Maurel C, Santoni V (2008) Multiple phosphorylations in the C-terminal tail of plant plasma membrane aquaporins: role in subcellular trafficking of AtPIP2;1 in response to salt stress. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 7:1019–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M700566-MCP200>
- Prasch CM, Ott KV, Bauer H, Ache P, Hedrich R, Sonnewald U (2015) β -amylase1 mutant *Arabidopsis* plants show improved drought tolerance due to reduced starch breakdown in guard cells. *J Exp Botany* 66:6059–6067
- Preston GM, Agre P (1991) Isolation of the cDNA for erythrocyte integral membrane protein of 28 kilodaltons: member of an ancient channel family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88:11110–11114
- Preston GM, Carroll TP, Guggino WB, Agre P (1992) Appearance of water channels in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing red cell CHIP28 protein. *Science* 256:385–387. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.256.5055.385>
- Quigley F, Rosenberg JM, Shachar-Hill Y, Bohnert HJ (2001) From genome to function: the *Arabidopsis* aquaporins. *Genome Biol* 3:1–17
- Rambow J, Wu B, Rönfeldt D, Beitz E (2014) Aquaporins with anion/monocarboxylate permeability: mechanisms, relevance for pathogen-host interactions. *Front Pharmacol* 5:199. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2014.00199>
- Reichow SL, Clemens DM, Freites JA, Németh-Cahalan KL, Heyden M, Tobias DJ, Hall JE, Gonen T (2013) Allosteric mechanism of water-channel gating by Ca^{2+} -calmodulin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20:1085–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2630>
- Ren G, Cheng A, Reddy V, Melnyk P, Mitra AK (2000) Three-dimensional fold of the human AQP1 water channel determined at 4 Å resolution by electron crystallography of two-dimensional crystals embedded in ice. *J Mol Biol* 301:369–387
- Ribatti D, Ranieri G, Annese T, Nico B (2014) Aquaporins in cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:1550–1553
- Rivers RL, Dean RM, Chandry G, Hall JE, Roberts DM, Zeidel ML (1997) Functional analysis of nodulin 26, an aquaporin in soybean root nodule symbiosomes. *J Biol Chem* 272:16256–16261
- Ruiz Carrillo D, To Yiu Ying J, Darwis D, Soon CH, Cornvik T, Torres J, Lescar J (2014) Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis of human aquaporin 1 at a resolution of 3.28 Å. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F* 70:1657–1663. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053230X14024558>
- Ruiz-Lozano JM, del Mar AM, Barzana G, Vernieri P, Aroca R (2009) Exogenous ABA accentuates the differences in root hydraulic properties between mycorrhizal and non mycorrhizal maize plants through regulation of PIP aquaporins. *Plant Mol Biol* 70:565–579
- Rutkovskiy A, Valen G, Vaage J (2013) Cardiac aquaporins. *Basic Res Cardiol* 108:393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-013-0393-6>
- Saadoun S, Papadopoulos MC, Hara-Chikuma M, Verkman AS (2005) Impairment of angiogenesis and cell migration by targeted aquaporin-1 gene disruption. *Nature* 434:786–792
- Sade N, Moshelion M (2017) Plant Aquaporins and Abiotic Stress in: Chaumont Tyerman (ed) Plant aquaporins, from transport to signaling. Springer, Berlin, pp 185–206
- Sakr S, Alves G, Morillon R, Maurel K, Decourteix M, Guillot A, Fleurat-Lessard P, Julien JL, Chrispeels MJ (2003) Plasma membrane aquaporins are involved in winter embolism recovery in walnut tree. *Plant Physiol* 133:630–641
- Sakurai J, Ahamed A, Murai M, Maeshima M, Uemura M (2008) Tissue and cell-specific localization of rice aquaporins and their water transport activities. *Plant Cell Physiol* 49:30–39
- Santoni V (2017) Plant Aquaporin Posttranslational Regulation in: Chaumont Tyerman (ed) Plant aquaporins, from transport to signaling. Springer, Berlin, pp 83–106
- Santoni V, Verdoucq L, Sommerer N, Vinh J, Pflieger D, Maurel C (2006) Methylation of aquaporins in plant plasma membrane. *Biochem J* 400:189–197
- Sarda X, Tousch D, Ferrare K, Legrand E, Dupuis JM, Casse-Delbart F, Lamaze T (1997) Two TIP-like genes encoding aquaporins are expressed in sunflower guard cells. *Plant J* 12:1103–1111
- Sasaki S, Yui N, Noda Y (2014) Actin directly interacts with different membrane channel proteins and influences channel activities: AQP2 as a model. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1838:514–520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.06.004>
- Savage DF, Egea PF, Robles-Colmenares Y, O'Connell JD 3rd, Stroud RM (2003) Architecture and selectivity in aquaporins: 2.5 Å X-ray structure of aquaporin Z. *PLoS Biol* 1:E72
- Scheuring S, Buzhynskyy N, Jaroslowski S, Goncalves RP, Hite RK, Walz T (2007) Structural models of the supramolecular organization of AQP0 and connexons in junctional microdomains. *J Struct Biol* 160:385–394
- Schnermann J, Chou CL, Ma T, Traynor T, Knepper MA, Verkman AS (1998) Defective proximal tubular fluid reabsorption in transgenic aquaporin-1 null mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95:9660–9664
- Schnurbusch T, Hayes J, Hrmova M, Baumann U, Ramesh SA, Tyerman SD, Langridge P, Sutton T (2010) Boron toxicity tolerance in barley through reduced expression of the multifunctional aquaporin HvNIP2;1. *Plant Physiol* 153:1706–1715. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158832>
- Secchi F, Zwieniecki MA (2010) Patterns of PIP gene expression in *Populus Trichocarpa* during recovery from xylem embolism suggest a major role for the PIP1 aquaporin subfamily as moderators of refilling process. *Plant Cell Environ* 33:1285–1297
- Shachar-Hill B, Hill AE, Powell J, Skepper JN, Shachar-Hill Y (2013) Mercury-sensitive water channels as possible sensors of water potentials in pollen. *J Exp Bot* 64:5195–5205. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert311>
- Shanahan CM, Connolly DL, Tyson KL, Cary NR, Osbourn JK, Agre P, Weissberg PL (1999) Aquaporin-1 is expressed by vascular smooth muscle cells and mediates rapid water transport across vascular cell membranes. *J Vasc Res* 36:353–362
- Shields SD, Mazario J, Skinner K, Basbaum AI (2007) Anatomical and functional analysis of aquaporin 1, a water channel in primary afferent neurons. *Pain* 131:8–20
- Smart LB, Moskal WA, Cameron KD, Bennett AB (2001) MIP genes are down-regulated under drought stress in *Nicotiana glauca*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 42:686–693
- Smith AJ, Jin BJ, Ratelade J, Verkman AS (2014) Aggregation state determines the localization and function of M1- and M23-

- aquaporin-4 in astrocytes. *J Cell Biol* 204:559–573. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201308118>
- Song J, Mak E, Wu B, Beitz E (2014) Parasite Aquaporins: current developments in drug facilitation and resistance. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840:1566–1573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.10.014>
- Sorieul M, Santoni V, Maurel C, Luu DT (2011) Mechanisms and effects of retention of over-expressed aquaporin AtPIP2;1 in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Traffic* 12:473–482
- Soto G, Alleva K, Mazzella MA, Amodeo G, Muschietti JP (2008) AtTIP1;3 and AtTIP5;1, the only highly expressed Arabidopsis pollen-specific aquaporins, transport water and urea. *FEBS Lett* 582:4077–4082. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2008.11.002>
- Soto G, Fox R, Ayub N, Alleva K, Guaimas F, Jares Erijman E, Mazzella A, Amodeo G, Muschietti J (2010) TIP5;1 is an aquaporin specifically targeted to pollen mitochondria and is probably involved in nitrogen remobilization in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* 64:1038–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04395.x>
- Soto G, Alleva K, Amodeo G, Muschietti J, Ayub ND (2012) New insight into the evolution of aquaporins from flowering plants and vertebrates: orthologous identification and functional transfer is possible. *Gene* 503:165–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2012.04.021>
- Sougrat R, Morand M, Gondran C, Barre P, Gobin R, Bonte F, Dumas M, Verbavatz JM (2002) Functional expression of AQP3 in human skin epidermis and reconstructed epidermis. *J Invest Dermatol* 118:678–685
- Sever G, Madeira A, Loureiro-Dias MC, Moura TF (2008) Membrane tension regulates water transport in yeast. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1778:2573–2579
- Sui H, Han NG, Lee JK, Walian P, Jap BK (2001) Structural basis of water-specific transport through the AQP1 water channel. *Nature* 414:872–878. <https://doi.org/10.1038/414872a>
- Sun MH, Xu W, Zhu YF, Su WA, Tang ZC (2001) A simple method for in situ hybridization to RNA in guard cells of *Vicia faba* L.: the expression of aquaporins in guard cells. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 19:129–135
- Sutka M, Li G, Boudet J, Boursiac Y, Doumas P, Maurel C (2011) Natural variation of root hydraulics in Arabidopsis grown in normal and salt stress conditions. *Plant Physiol* 155:1264–1276
- Tajkhorshid E, Nollert P, Jensen MØ, Miercke LJ, O’Connell J, Stroud RM, Schulten K (2002) Control of the selectivity of the aquaporin water channel family by global orientational tuning. *Science* 296:525–530
- Takano J, Wada M, Ludewig U, Schaaf G, von Wiren N, Fujiwara T (2006) The Arabidopsis Major intrinsic protein NIP5;1 is essential for efficient boron uptake and plant development under boron limitation. *Plant Cell* 18:1498–1509
- Tan YJ, Zhang XY, Ding GL, Li R, Wang L, Jin L, Lin XH, Gao L, Sheng JZ, Huang HF (2015) Aquaporin7 plays a crucial role in tolerance to hyperosmotic stress and in the survival of oocytes during cryopreservation. *Sci Rep* 5:17741. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17741>
- Tanaka M, Wallace IS, Takano J, Roberts DM, Fujiwara T (2008) NIP6; 1 is a boric acid channel for preferential transport of boron to growing shoot tissues in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 20:2860–2875
- Tani K, Mitsuma T, Hiroaki Y, Kamegawa A, Nishikawa K, Tanimura Y, Fujiyoshi Y (2009) Mechanism of aquaporin-4’s fast and highly selective water conduction and proton exclusion. *J Mol Biol* 389(4):694–706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.04.049>
- Thiagarajah JR, Chang J, Goettel JA, Verkman AS, Lencer WI (2017) Aquaporin-3 mediates hydrogen peroxide-dependent responses to environmental stress in colonic epithelia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:568–573. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612921114>
- Tian S, Wang X, Li P, Wang H, Ji H, Xie J, Dong H (2016) Plant aquaporin AtPIP1;4 links Apoplastic H₂O₂ induction to disease immunity pathways. *Plant Physiol* 171:1635–1650. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01237>
- Törnroth-Horsefield S, Wang Y, Hedfalk K, Johanson U, Karlsson M, Tajkhorshid E, Neutze R, Kjellbom P (2006) Structural mechanism of plant aquaporin gating. *Nature* 439:688–694. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04316>
- Törnroth-Horsefield S, Hedfalk K, Fischer G, Lindkvist-Petersson K, Neutze R (2010) Structural insights into eukaryotic aquaporin regulation. *FEBS Lett* 584:2580–2588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.04.037>
- Tournaire-Roux C, Sutka M, Javot H, Gout E, Gerbeau P, Luu D, Bligny R, Maurel C (2003) Cytosolic pH regulates root water transport during anoxic stress through gating of aquaporins. *Nature* 425:393–397
- Tsukaguchi H, Shayakul C, Berger UV, Mackenzie B, Devidas S, Guggino WB, van Hoek AN, Hediger MA (1998) Molecular characterization of a broad selectivity neutral solute channel. *J Biol Chem* 273:24737–24743
- Van Ekert E, Chauvigné F, Finn RN, Mathew LG, Hull JJ, Cerdà J, Fabrick JA (2016) Molecular and functional characterization of Bemisia Tabaci aquaporins reveals the water channel diversity of hemipteran insects. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 77:39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.07.010>
- Vandeleur RK, Mayo G, Shelden MC, Gilliam M, Kaiser BN, Tyerman SD (2009) The role of plasma membrane intrinsic protein aquaporins in water transport through roots: diurnal and drought stress responses reveal different strategies between isohydric and anisohydric cultivars of grapevine. *Plant Physiol* 149:445–460
- Vandeleur RK, Sullivan W, Athman A, Jordans C, Gilliam M, Kaiser BN, Tyerman SD (2014) Rapid shoot-to-root signalling regulates root hydraulic conductance via aquaporins. *Plant Cell Environ* 37:520–538
- Vander Willigen C, Postaire O, Tournaire-Roux C, Boursiac Y, Maurel C (2006) Expression and inhibition of aquaporins in germinating Arabidopsis seeds. *Plant Cell Physiol* 47:1241–1250
- Vera-Estrella R, Barkla BJ, Bohnert HJ, Pantoja O (2004) Novel regulation of aquaporins during osmotic stress. *Plant Physiol* 135:2318–2329
- Verdoucq L, Grondin A, Maurel C (2008) Structure-function analysis of plant aquaporin AtPIP2;1 gating by divalent cations and protons. *Biochem J* 415:409–416. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20080275>
- Verdoucq L, Rodrigues O, Martiniè A, Luu DT, Maurel C, Martinière A, Maurel C (2014) Plant aquaporins on the move: reversible phosphorylation, lateral motion and cycling. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 22:101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2014.09.011>
- Verkman AS (2002) Aquaporin water channels and endothelial cell function. *J Anat* 200:617–627
- Verkman AS (2006) Aquaporins in endothelia. *Kidney Int* 69:1120–1123
- Verkman AS (2011) Aquaporins at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 124:2107–2112. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.079467>
- Verkman AS, Hara-Chikuma M, Papadopoulos MC (2008) Aquaporins: new players in cancer biology. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 86:523–529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-008-0303-9>
- Verkman AS, Anderson MO, Papadopoulos MC (2014) Aquaporins: important but elusive drug targets. *Nature Rev Drug Disc* 13:259–277. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4226>
- Veselova TV, Veselovskii VA, Usmanov PD, Usmanova OV, Kozar VI (2003) Hypoxia and imbibition injuries to aging seeds. *Russ J Plant Physiol* 50:835–842
- Von Bülow J, Beitz E (2015) Number and regulation of protozoan aquaporins reflect environmental complexity. *Biol Bull* 229:38–46. <https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv229n1p38>
- Wan X, Zwiazek JJ (1999) Mercuric chloride effects on root water transport in aspen seedlings. *Plant Physiol* 121:939–946
- Wan X, Steudle E, Hartung W (2004) Gating of water channels (aquaporins) in cortical cells of young corn roots by mechanical stimuli (pressure pulses): effects of ABA and of HgCl₂. *J Exp Bot* 55:411–422

- Wang Y, Tajkhorshid E (2010) Nitric oxide conduction by the brain aquaporin AQP4. *Proteins* 78:661–670. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.22595>
- Wang KS, Komar AR, Ma TH, Filiz F, McLeroy J, Hoda H, Verkman AS, Bastidas JA (2000) Gastric acid secretion in aquaporin-4 knockout mice. *Am J Phys* 279:G448–G453
- Wang Y, Cohen J, Boron WF, Schulten K, Tajkhorshid E (2007) Exploring gas permeability of cellular membranes and membrane channels with molecular dynamics. *J Struct Biol* 157:534–544
- Wenke JL, Rose KL, Spraggins JM, Schey KL (2015) MALDI imaging mass spectrometry spatially maps age-related Deamidation and truncation of human lens aquaporin-0. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 56:7398–7405. <https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18117>
- Yan Y, Huang J, Ding F, Mei J, Zhu J (2013) Aquaporin 1 plays an important role in myocardial edema caused by cardiopulmonary bypass surgery in goat. *Int J Mol Med* 31:637–643
- Yaneff A, Sigaut L, Marquez M, Alleva K, Pietrasanta LI, Amodeo G (2014) Heteromerization of PIP aquaporins affects their intrinsic permeability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:231–236. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316537111>
- Yaneff A, Sigaut L, Gómez N, Aliaga Fandiño C, Alleva K, Pietrasanta LI, Amodeo G (2016) Loop B serine of a plasma membrane aquaporin type PIP2 but not PIP1 plays a key role in pH sensing. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1858:2778–2787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.08.002>
- Yang F, Kawedia JD, Menon AG (2003) Cyclic AMP regulates aquaporin 5 expression at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels through a protein-kinase pathway. *J Biol Chem* 278:2775–2779
- Yasui M, Hazama A, Kwon TH, Nielsen S, Guggino WB, Agre P (1999) Rapid gating and anion permeability of an intracellular aquaporin. *Nature* 402:184–187
- Ye Q, Wiera B, Steudle E (2004) A cohesion/tension mechanism explains the gating of water channels (aquaporins) in Chara internodes by high concentration. *J Exp Bot* 55:449–461
- Yu J, Yool AJ, Schulten K, Tajkhorshid E (2006) Mechanism of gating and ion conductivity of a possible tetrameric pore in aquaporin-1. *Structure* 14:1411–1423
- Yui N, Lu HJ, Bouley R, Brown D (2012) AQP2 is necessary for vasopressin- and forskolin-mediated filamentous actin depolymerization in renal epithelial cells. *Biol Open* 1:101–118. <https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.2011042>
- Zelazny E, Borst JW, Muylaert M, Batoko H, Hemminga MA, Chaumont F (2007) FRET imaging in living maize cells reveals that plasma membrane aquaporins interact to regulate their subcellular localization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:12359–12364
- Zelazny E, Miecielica U, Borst JW, Hemminga MA, Chaumont F (2009) An N-terminal diacidic motif is required for the trafficking of maize aquaporins ZmPIP2;4 and ZmPIP2;5 to the plasma membrane. *Plant J* 57:346–355
- Zelenina M, Zelenin S, Bondar AA, Brismar H, Aperia A (2002) Water permeability of aquaporin-4 is decreased by protein kinase C and dopamine. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 283:F309–F318
- Zeuthen T (2010) Water-transporting proteins. *J Membr Biol* 234:57–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-009-9216-y>
- Zeuthen T, Klaerke DA (1999) Transport of water and glycerol in aquaporin 3 is gated by H(+). *J Biol Chem* 274:21631–21636
- Zeuthen T, Alsterfjord M, Beitz E, MacAulay N (2013) Osmotic water transport in aquaporins: evidence for a stochastic mechanism. *J Physiol* 591:5017–5029. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2013.261321>
- Zeuthen T, Gorraitz E, Her K, Wright EM, Loo DD (2016) Structural and functional significance of water permeation through cotransporters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:E6887–E6894
- Zhang HZ, Kim MH, Lim JH, Bae HR (2013) Time-dependent expression patterns of cardiac aquaporins following myocardial infarction. *J Korean Med Sci* 28:402–408
- Zhao XQ, Mitani N, Yamaji N, Shen RF, Ma JF (2010) Involvement of silicon influx transporter OsNIP2;1 in selenite uptake in rice. *Plant Physiol* 153:1871–1877
- Zhou S, Hu W, Deng X, Ma Z, Chen L, Huang C, Wang C, Wang J, He Y, Yang G, He G (2012) Overexpression of the wheat aquaporin gene, TaAQP7, enhances drought tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *PLoS ONE* 7:e52439. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052439>
- Zwiazek JJ, Xu H, Tan X, Navarro-Ródenas A, Morte A (2017) Significance of oxygen transport through aquaporins. *Sci Rep* 7:40411. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40411>