

HHS Public Access

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 05.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Chem Commun (Camb). 2017 October 05; 53(80): 11012–11015. doi:10.1039/c7cc05403c.

Helicobacter pylori α**1–3/4-fucosyltransferase (Hp3/4FT) catalyzed one-pot multienzyme (OPME) synthesis of Lewis antigens and human milk fucosides**

Hai Yu‡,a, **Yanhong Li**‡,a, **Zhigang Wu**‡,b, **Lei Li**b, **Jie Zeng**a,c , **Chao Zhao**a,d, **Yijing Wu**a,d, **Nova Tasnima**a, **Jing Wang**a,e, **Huaide Liu**a,f , **Madhusudhan Reddy Gadi**b, **Wanyi Guan**b,g, Peng G. Wang^b, and Xi Chen^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, 95616, USA. xiichen@ucdavis.edu; Tel: +1 530 754-6037; Fax: +1 530 752-8995

bDepartment of Chemistry and Center of Diagnostics & Therapeutics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA. pwang11@gsu.edu; Tel: +1 404 413-3591; Fax: +1 404 413-5505

^cSchool of Food Science, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, Henan 453003, China

^dCollege of Food Science, Fujiang Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 35002, China

^eKey Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 7 Nanhai Road, Qingdao 266071, China

^fSchool of Life Sciences, Nantong University, Seyuan Road 9, Nantong 226019, China

^gCollege of Life Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050024, China

Abstract

Helicobacter pylori α1–3/4-fucosyltransferase (Hp3/4FT) was expressed in *Escherichia coli* at a level of 30 mg/L culture and used as a diverse catalyst in a one-pot multienzyme (OPME) system for high-yield production of L-fucose-containing carbohydrates including Lewis antigens such as Lewis a, b, x, O-sulfated Lewis x, and sialyl Lewis x as well as human milk fucosides such as 3 fucosyllactose (3-FL), lacto-N-fucopentaose (LNFP) III, lacto-N-difuco-hexaose (LNDFH) II and III. Noticeably, while difucosylation of tetrasaccharides was readily achieved using an excess amount of donor, synthesis of LNFP III was achieved by Hp3/4FT-catalyzed selective fucosylation of the N-acetyllactoamine (LacNAc) component in lacto-N-neotetraose (LNnT).

Graphical abstract

Lewis x, a, b, O-sulfated and sialyl Lewis x antigens, and fucosylated human milk oligosaccharides such as 3-FL, LNFP III, LNDFH II, and LNDFH III were efficiently produced using an Hp3/4FT-catalyzed one-pot multienzyme (OPME) fucosylation system.

Correspondence to: Peng G. Wang; Xi Chen.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

Lewis antigens such as Lewis a (Le^a), Le^b, Le^x, and Le^y are Lfucose-containing glycans¹ presented abundantly on the surface of human red blood cells, endothelium and epithelium of various tissues such as kidney, genitourinary, and gastrointestinal tract.^{2, 3} Many of them are the binding targets for pathogenic bacteria that led to infection.¹ Le^a and Le^b are fucosylated type I chains containing a Galβ3GlcNAc disaccharide sequence and are mainly expressed in surface epithelia.⁴ In comparison, Le^x and Le^y are fucosylated type II chains containing a Galβ4GlcNAc disaccharide sequence⁵ and are expressed in deep glands.⁶ The α 1–3- and α 1–4-linked Lfucoses are essential structure components of Le^x/Le^y and Le^a/Le^b antigens, respectively.

 α 1–3/4-Linked fucosides are also abundant in human milk oligosaccharides (HMOS)⁷ where they have been found to have prebiotic, anti-adhesive antimicrobial, and immunomodulating activities which contribute significantly to the benefits of breast feeding.⁸⁻¹² In general, α 1–3-fucosylated HMOS were found to be protective for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-exposed uninfected children during breastfeeding.13 Lewis antigen-type HMOS were shown to reduce selectin-mediated cell-cell interactions.^{14, 15}

Fucosylated glycans have received great attention due to their potential applications as nutraceuticals and pharmaceuticals. Various synthetic methods have been explored. Compared to chemical fucosylation approaches which require tedious hydroxyl group protection and deprotection, efficient and environmentally friendly enzymatic fucosylation via fucosyltransferase-catalyzed reactions is a preferred route.

Among reported α 1–3, α 1–4, and α 1–3/4-fucosyltransferases,¹⁶⁻²⁶ Helicobacter pylori UA948 α1–3/4-fucosyltransferase (Hp3/4FT) that can use both type I and type II glycans as acceptors^{22, 25} draw our attention as an attractive catalyst. Nevertheless, its application in synthesizing fucosylated glycans has not been fully explored. Here, we report the use of Hp3/4FT in a highly efficient one-pot three-enzyme (OP3E) fucosylation system for the synthesis of diverse α 1–3- and α 1–4-linked fucosides, including Le^a, Le^b, Le^x, O-sulfated Le^x, sialyl Le^x, and human milk fucosides 3-FL, LNFP III, LNDFH II and III.

To obtain an active C-His₆-tagged Hp3/4FT, the gene of C-terminal 34 amino acid-truncated Hp3/4FT from H. pylori strain UA948 (GenBank Accession number AAF35291.2, full length 462 aa) was codon optimized and cloned into $pET22b(+)$ vector. Expression in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells led to about 30 mg of soluble protein that could be routinely purified from 1 liter culture using a Ni²⁺-column (Figure S1, ESI†). As other bacterial α 1–3-, α 1–4-, and

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details for enzyme characterization and glycan synthesis, NMR and HRMS data, and NMR spectra.

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 05.

α1–3-/4-fucosyltransferases, Hp3/4FT belongs to glycosyltransferase family 10 (GT10) in the Carbohydrate Active Enzyme (CAZy) database (www.cazy.org).^{27, 28} It shares more than 78% sequence identity with other FTs from H. pylori (Figure S2, ESI†).

Using fluorophore 2-anthranilic acid (2AA)-labeled disaccharides (LacβPro2AA, LacNAcβPro2AA, and Galβ3GlcNAcβPro2AA) as acceptor substrates in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) assays, pH profiles (Figure S3, ESI†) showed similar patterns although optimal activity of Hp3/4FT was observed in a broader pH range when LacNAcβ2AA was used as the acceptor (6.0–9.0) compared to that (pH 7.0–9.0) for LacβPro2AA and Galβ3GlcNAcβPro2AA. In addition, Hp3/4FT was more efficient in using LacNAcβPro2AA and Galβ3GlcNAcβPro2AA as acceptors and a lower amount of enzyme (0.24 μg) was used in the assays compared to LacβPro2AA (1.9 μg enzyme). The fucosyltransferase activity decreased dramatically when the pH was below 6.0 or above 9.0 (for Lacβ2AA and Galβ3GlcNAcβPro2AA) or 10.0 (for LacNAcβPro2AA). The thermal stability assays of Hp3/4FT indicated that the enzyme was stable at 30 °C or lower for at least 60 min. The enzyme activity decreased dramatically by incubation at 37 °C and an almost complete loss of activity was observed at 40 °C (Figure S4, ESI†). At 4 °C, Hp3/4FT was stable for at least two months without activity decrease.

A metal ion was not required for the fucosyltransferase activity of Hp3/4FT as 10 mM of EDTA did not alter its activity significantly (Figure S5, ESI†). Nevertheless, the presence of 10 mM of divalent metal ions such as Ca^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , or Ni^{2+} increased the enzyme activity slightly while the presence of Cu^{2+} decreased the activity about three-fold. Although Hp3/4FT has three cysteine residues, the presence of 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) did not affect the activity of the enzyme.

As shown in Table 1 (kinetic plots in Figure S6, ESI†), among three 2AA-labelled acceptor substrates, Hp3/4FT showed the highest catalytic efficiency for LacNAc β 2AA (k_{cat}/K_M = 10.87 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹) due to the lowest K_M value (0.46±0.07 mM) and a medium range k_{cat} (5.00±0.18 s⁻¹). Galβ3GlcNAcβ2AA had a medium catalytic efficiency (5.89 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹) with the worst K_M (1.32±0.24 mM) and the highest k_{cat} (7.77±0.45 s⁻¹). Lacβ2AA had the lowest catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_M = 0.55$ s⁻¹ mM⁻¹) with a medium K_M value ($k_{cat}/K_M =$ 0.99±0.18 mM) and the lowest k_{cat} value (0.54±0.03 s⁻¹, more than 9-folder lower than the other two). Hp3/4FT also showed different catalytic efficiencies (0.80 s−1 mM−1, 7.01 s−1 mM⁻¹, and 11.19 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹) for guanosine 5′-diphosphate fucose (GDP-Fuc) when different acceptors (Lacβ2AA, LacNAcβ2AA, and Galβ1–3GlcNAcβ2AA0 were used.

With no noticeable fucosidase activity observed when tested using $Le^{\alpha}\beta ProN_3$, $Le^{\alpha}\beta pNP$, or Le^a β ProN₃ as a substrate, Hp3/4FT was readily applied in a one-pot three-enzyme (OP3E) fucosylation system for the synthesis of various α 1–3- and/or α 1–4-linked fucosides (Scheme 1). In this system, GDP-Fuc was formed from L-fucose, adenine 5′-triphosphate (ATP), and guanosine 5′-triphosphate (GTP) using a bifunctional Bacteroides fragilis enzyme (BfFKP) with both L-fucokinase and GDP-Fuc pyrophosphorylase activities.²⁹ *Pasteurella multocida* inorganic pyrophosphatase $(PmPpA)^{30}$ was used to break down the pyrophosphate byproduct to drive the formation of GDPFuc which was used as the donor substrate for Hp3/4FT for the formation of fucosides.

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 05.

As shown in Table 2, all disaccharides (**1**–**6**), and tetrasaccharides (**7-9**) tested were well suited acceptor substrates for Hp3/4FT for the production of mono- or difucosylated products (**11**–**19**). These included type I disaccharide Galβ3GlcNAcβOR (**1**) ³¹ and its analog Galβ3GlcNAcαOR (2)³¹ where R was a propyl azide (ProN₃ aglycon). They were α1–4-fucosylated by Hp3/4FT to produce mono-fucosylated products Galβ3(Fucα4)GlcNAcβProN₃ (11, Le^a antigen) and Galβ3(Fucα4)GlcNAcαProN₃ (12) in excellent 95% and 96% yields, respectively. Type II disaccharide Galβ4GlcNAcβOR (**3**) 30 and its 6-O-sulfated analog Galβ4GlcNAc6SβOR (**4**) ³² were also excellent acceptors for α1-3-fucosylation by Hp3/4FT to produce Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcNAcβProN₃ (13, Le^x antigen) and Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcNAc6SβProN3 (**14**) in 98% and 93% yields, respectively. Monofucosylation of Type VI disaccharide Galβ4GlcβOR (**5**) 33-35 and lactose (**6**) led to the formation of Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcβProN3 (**15**) and 3-fucosyllactose [**16**, 3-FL, Galβ4(Fucα3)Glc] in 92% and 90% yields, respectively. 3-FL is one of the most abundant fucosylated HMOS that could inhibit bacterial or viral adhesion to human epithelial cells.³⁶

Both Galβ3/4GlcNAc and Galβ4Glc components in lacto-N-tetraose (**7**, LNT, Galβ3GlcNAcβ3Galβ4Glc) and lacto-N-neotetraoside (**9**, LNnTβProN3, Gal β 4GlcNAc β 3Gal β 4Glc β ProN₃)^{37, 38} are suitable fucosylation sites for Hp3/4FT. Fucosylation with an excess amount of Lfucose, ATP, and GTP (3 equivalents each) led to the formation of difucosylated hexasaccharides Galβ3(Fucα4)GlcNAcβ3Galβ4(Fucα3)Glc (**17**) and Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcNAcβ3Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcβProN3 (**19**) in excellent 98% and 99% yields, respectively. Compound 17 (lacto-N-difuco-hexaose II, or LNDFH II)³⁹ is a hexasaccharide containing both α1–3- and α1–4-linked fucose and is presented in human milk at a level of 0.08–0.1 g L^{-1} .⁴⁰ While compound 19 is the glycoside of another human milk hexasaccharide lacto-N-neodifucohexaose II (LNnDFH II or LNDFH III).¹² Quite remarkably, if the ratio of GDP-Fuc to lacto-N-neotetraose (**8**, LNnT) was set to 1.2:1, Hp3/4FT selectively catalyzed the transfer of fucose to the LacNAc (instead of lactose) component in LNnT and led to the formation of LNFP III (**18**) as characterized by MALDI-TOF tandem mass spectrometry and 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (Figure S7, ESI†). This acceptor site preference was consistent with the kinetics study results.

Sialylated type II glycan Neu5Acα3Galβ4GlcNAcβOR was also a good acceptor for β1–3 fucosylation by Hp3/4FT to produce Neu5Acα3Galβ4(Fucα3)GlcNAcβProN3 (**20**, sialyl Le^x antigen) in 84% yield. In comparison, Neu5Acα3Galβ4GlcβOR and sialyl type I glycan Neu5Acα3Galβ3GlcNAcβOR were poor acceptors for Hp3/4FT.

The Hp3/4FT was also used for the synthesis of a Le^b antigen which has both α 1–2- and α1–4-linked fucose residues (Scheme 2). The α1–2-fucose was installed to type I disaccharide Galβ3GlcNAcβProN₃ (1) to produce H type I trisaccharide²² Fucα2Galβ3GlcNAcβProN3 (**21**) by a one-pot three-enzyme fucosylation system containing BfFKP, PmPpA, and a highly efficient α1–2-fucosyltransferase cloned from Thermosynechococcus elongatus (Te2FT).⁴¹ Le^b tetrasaccharide Fucα2Galβ3(Fucα4)GlcNAcβProN₃ (22) was successfully obtained in 98% yield, indicating α1–2-fucosylation of type I glycan did not affect its recognition by Hp3/4FT as an acceptor. However, attempts to alter the fucosylation sequence by performing Te2FT-

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 05.

catalyzed α 1–2-fucosylation of Le^a (11) was not successful, indicating that α 1–3fucosylation of type I glycan blocked Te2FT activity. The result was similar to that reported previously.²²

In conclusion, the recombinant Hp3/4FT was readily expressed in E , coli and purified with a high expression level (30 mg/L culture). It was used as an efficient catalyst in an OP3E fucosylation system for synthesizing various α 1–3- and/or α 1–4-linked mono- and difucosylated compounds including Lewis x, a, and b antigens, sialyl Le^x , and fucosylated human milk oligosaccharides such as 3-FL, LNFP III, LNDFH II, and LNDFH III. These fucosides are important probes for biological studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Common Fund grants U01GM120419 and U01GM0116263 as well as NIH grant R01HD065122. Bruker Avance-800 NMR spectrometer was funded by National Science Foundation grant DBIO-722538. Y.L., H.Y., and X.C. are co-founders of Glycohub, Inc., a company focused on the development of carbohydrate-based reagents, diagnostics, and therapeutics. Glycohub, Inc. played no role in the design, execution, interpretation, or publication of this study.

References

- 1. Becker DJ, Lowe JB. Glycobiology. 2003; 13:41R–53R.
- 2. Marionneau S, Cailleau-Thomas A, Rocher J, Le Moullac-Vaidye B, Ruvoen N, Clement M, Le Pendu J. Biochimie. 2001; 83:565–573. [PubMed: 11522384]
- 3. Holgersson J, Breimer ME, Samuelsson BE. APMIS Supplementum. 1992; 27:18–27. [PubMed: 1520526]
- 4. Inagaki H, Sakamoto J, Nakazato H, Bishop AE, Yura J. J Surg Oncol. 1990; 44:208–213. [PubMed: 2385097]
- 5. Green C. FEMS Microbiol Immunol. 1989; 1:321–330. [PubMed: 2698728]
- 6. Kobayashi K, Sakamoto J, Kito T, Yamamura Y, Koshikawa T, Fujita M, Watanabe T, Nakazato H. Am J Gastroenterol. 1993; 88:919–924. [PubMed: 8503388]
- 7. Wu S, Tao N, German JB, Grimm R, Lebrilla CB. J Proteome Res. 2010; 9:4138–4151. [PubMed: 20578730]
- 8. Newburg DS, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Morrow AL. Annu Rev Nutr. 2005; 25:37–58. [PubMed: 16011458]
- 9. Fei Y, Sun YS, Li Y, Yu H, Lau K, Landry JP, Luo Z, Baumgarth N, Chen X, Zhu X. Biomolecules. 2015; 5:1480–1498. [PubMed: 26193329]
- 10. Kunz C, Rudloff S, Baier W, Klein N, Strobel S. Annu Rev Nutr. 2000; 20:699–722. [PubMed: 10940350]
- 11. Smilowitz JT, Lebrilla CB, Mills DA, German JB, Freeman SL. Annu Rev Nutr. 2014; 34:143– 169. [PubMed: 24850388]
- 12. Chen X. Adv Carbohydr Chem Biochem. 2015; 72:113–190. [PubMed: 26613816]
- 13. Kuhn L, Kim HY, Hsiao L, Nissan C, Kankasa C, Mwiya M, Thea DM, Aldrovandi GM, Bode L. J Nutr. 2015; 145:66–72. [PubMed: 25527660]
- 14. Bode L, Kunz C, Muhly-Reinholz M, Mayer K, Seeger W, Rudloff S. Thromb Haemost. 2004; 92:1402–1410. [PubMed: 15583750]
- 15. Bode L, Rudloff S, Kunz C, Strobel S, Klein N. J Leukoc Biol. 2004; 76:820–826. [PubMed: 15240751]

Chem Commun (Camb). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 October 05.

- 16. Dumon C, Samain E, Priem B. Biotechnol Prog. 2004; 20:412–419. [PubMed: 15058985]
- 17. Choi YH, Kim JH, Park BS, Kim BG. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016; 113:1666–1675. [PubMed: 26804479]
- 18. Baisch G, Ohrlein R, Streiff M, Kolbinger F. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 1998; 8:755–758. [PubMed: 9871536]
- 19. Martin SL, Edbrooke MR, Hodgman TC, van den Eijnden DH, Bird MI. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:21349–21356. [PubMed: 9261148]
- 20. Sugiarto G, Lau K, Yu H, Vuong S, Thon V, Li Y, Huang S, Chen X. Glycobiology. 2011; 21:387– 396. [PubMed: 20978012]
- 21. Lin SW, Yuan TM, Li JR, Lin CH. Biochemistry. 2006; 45:8108–8116. [PubMed: 16800635]
- 22. Rasko DA, Wang G, Palcic MM, Taylor DE. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:4988–4994. [PubMed: 10671538]
- 23. Leonard R, Lhernould S, Carlue M, Fleurat P, Maftah A, Costa G. Glycoconj J. 2005; 22:71–78. [PubMed: 15864437]
- 24. Rabbani S, Miksa V, Wipf B, Ernst B. Glycobiology. 2005; 15:1076–1083. [PubMed: 16000696]
- 25. Ma B, Audette GF, Lin S, Palcic MM, Hazes B, Taylor DE. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:6385–6394. [PubMed: 16407247]
- 26. Lee JH, Pandey RP, Kim D, Sohng JK. Biotechnol Bioproc E. 2013; 18:843–849.
- 27. Campbell JA, Davies GJ, Bulone V, Henrissat B. Biochem J. 1997; 326(Pt 3):929–939. [PubMed: 9334165]
- 28. Coutinho PM, Deleury E, Davies GJ, Henrissat B. J Mol Biol. 2003; 328:307–317. [PubMed: 12691742]
- 29. Yi W, Liu X, Li Y, Li J, Xia C, Zhou G, Zhang W, Zhao W, Chen X, Wang PG. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:4207–4212. [PubMed: 19251666]
- 30. Lau K, Thon V, Yu H, Ding L, Chen Y, Muthana MM, Wong D, Huang R, Chen X. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:6066–6068.
- 31. Yu H, Thon V, Lau K, Cai L, Chen Y, Mu S, Li Y, Wang PG, Chen X. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:7507–7509.
- 32. Santra A, Yu H, Tasnima N, Muthana MM, Li Y, Zeng J, Kenyon NJ, Louie AY, Chen X. Chem Sci. 2016; 7:2827–2831. [PubMed: 28138383]
- 33. de Mattos LC. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2016; 38:331–340. [PubMed: 27863762]
- 34. Ye J, Liu X, Peng P, Yi W, Chen X, Wang F, Cao H. ACS Catal. 2016; 6:8140–8144.
- 35. Yu H, Chokhawala H, Karpel R, Yu H, Wu B, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Jia Q, Chen X. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:17618–17619. [PubMed: 16351087]
- 36. Montreuil J. C R Hebd Seances Acad Sci. 1956; 242:192–193.
- 37. McArthur JB, Yu H, Zeng J, Chen X. Org Biomol Chem. 2017; 15:1700–1709. [PubMed: 28134951]
- 38. Yu H, Zeng J, Li Y, Thon V, Shi B, Chen X. Org Biomol Chem. 2016; 14:8586–8597. [PubMed: 27548611]
- 39. Kuhn R, Gauhe A. Chem Ber-Recl. 1960; 93:647–651.
- 40. Chaturvedi P, Warren CD, Altaye M, Morrow AL, Ruiz-Palacios G, Pickering LK, Newburg DS. Glycobiology. 2001; 11:365–372. [PubMed: 11425797]
- 41. Zhao C, Wu Y, Yu H, Shah IM, Li Y, Zeng J, Liu B, Mills DA, Chen X. Chem Commun. 2016; 52:3899–3902.

Scheme 1.

Hp3/4FT-catalyzed one-pot three-enzyme (OP3E) synthesis of fucosides. Enzyme abbreviations: FKP, Bacteroides fragilis strain NCTC9343 bifunctional L-fucokinase/GDPfucose pyrophosphorylase; PmPpA, Pasteurella multocida inorganic pyrophosphatase; Hp3/4FT, H. pylori α1–3/4-fucosyltransferase.

Scheme 2.

Enzymatic synthesis of Le^b antigen (22) by Hp3/4FT-catalyzed OP3E fucosylation of H type I trisaccharide (**21**) formed by Te2FT-catalyzed OP3E fucosylation reaction.

Table 1 Apparent kinetic parameters of Hp3/4FT

a Determined using LacβPro2AA as an acceptor

b
Determined using LacNAcβPro2AA as an acceptor

 c Determined using Galβ3GlcNAcβPro2AA as an acceptor.

Entry	Acceptor	Product	Yield (%)
\rm{a}	OH HO OH O HO HO AcHN 1	HO _{OH} HO AcHN 11	95
$\bf b$	HO он -0 но- -0 но- OН HO òн AcH 2	HO _{OH} HO T_0 HO oн AcHŃ N_3 12	96
$\mathbf c$	HO OH - 0 OH o HO oн AcHN 3	HO OH OН HO ò. $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ 13	98
$\mathbf d$	HO OH. OSO ₃ o HO- $_{h\sigma}^{\circ}$ òн AcHN 4	нα oso, ó ъī oi OH 14	93
e	HO ,OH OН o HO йο òн òн 5	HO .OH OН ō ò но OH QZон \mathcal{F}_{tot} 15	92
$\mathbf f$	HO OH OH HO OH HO oн òн 6	HO ΟН OH ō HO. OH oн òн Lон ноон 16	90
g	-0.10 7	17	98
$\,$ h	$\frac{1}{2}$	18	88
$\rm i$		19	99
$\mathbf j$	10	$v_{\rm c}$ 20	84

Table 2 One-pot three-enzyme (OP3E) synthesis of α**1–3/4-linked fucosides**