

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Mol Cell*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 October 31.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Cell. 2015 January 08; 57(1): 179–190. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.11.003.

The *Streptococcus mutans irvA* gene encodes a *trans*-acting riboregulatory mRNA

Nan Liu^{1,6}, Guoqing Niu^{1,4}, Zhoujie Xie¹, Zhiyun Chen¹, Andreas Itzek^{1,5}, Jens Kreth^{1,2}, Allison Gillaspy¹, Lin Zeng³, Robert Burne³, Fengxia Qi^{1,2}, and Justin Merritt^{1,2,6,*} ¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK 71304

²Division of Oral Biology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, OK 73104

³Department of Oral Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610

SUMMARY

In both prokaryotes and eukaryotes insight into gene function is typically obtained by *in silico* homology searches and/or phenotypic analyses of strains bearing mutations within open reading frames. However, the studies herein illustrate how mRNA function is not limited to the expression of a cognate protein. We demonstrate that a stress-induced protein-encoding mRNA (*irvA*) from the dental caries pathogen *Streptococcus mutans* directly modulates target mRNA (*gbpC*) stability through seed pairing interactions. The 5' untranslated region of *irvA* mRNA is a *trans*-riboregulator of *gbpC* and a critical activator of the DDAG stress response, whereas IrvA functions independently in the regulation of natural competence. The *irvA* riboregulatory domain controls GbpC production by forming *irvA-gbpC* hybrid mRNA duplexes that prevent *gbpC* degradation by an RNase J2-mediated pathway. These studies implicate a potentially ubiquitous role for typical protein-encoding mRNAs as riboregulators, which could alter current concepts in gene regulation.

INTRODUCTION

With the recent explosion of bacterial RNA-seq studies, it is apparent that bacteria produce a surprising abundance of uncharacterized noncoding RNAs (Li et al., 2013; Romby and Charpentier, 2010; Toffano-Nioche et al., 2013). It has also recently become evident that small noncoding RNA regulators (sRNAs) are ubiquitously employed as critical nodes within bacterial genetic networks and can regulate gene expression through a highly diverse array of regulatory mechanisms (Storz et al., 2011). Consequently, RNA regulators are vital

^{*}Corresponding author: University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center BRC364 975 NE 10th St, Oklahoma City, OK 73104-5419, Phone: (405) 271-2324 ext. 2, justin-merritt@ouhsc.edu. ⁴Present address: State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

⁴Present address: State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101 China

⁵Present address: Helmholtz Center for Infection Research, Department of Medical Microbiology, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany ⁶Present address: Department of Restorative Dentistry, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR 97239

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

for the regulation of gene expression: perhaps of equal or greater importance to protein regulators. This function has thus far been solely attributed to sRNAs. There is also a significant pool of mRNAs in the cell containing 5' untranslated regions (UTRs) that regulate translation in *cis* by folding into complex secondary structures (Gripenland et al., 2010; Romby and Charpentier, 2010). In addition, mRNAs may contain decoy sites that sequester riboregulatory RNAs (Figueroa-Bossi et al., 2009; Overgaard et al., 2009; Plumbridge et al., 2014). However, mRNAs have not been traditionally recognized as *trans* regulators of heterologous mRNA stability or translation.

Most *trans*-acting riboregulatory sRNAs indirectly control gene expression either positively or negatively through complementary base pairing interactions (seed pairing) that modulate translation initiation, since translation has an inherent stabilizing effect upon mRNAs (Frohlich and Vogel, 2009; Gottesman, 2011). In some instances, sRNA interactions may directly alter target mRNA stability by modifying target accessibility to RNases (Bandyra et al., 2012; Desnoyers et al., 2009; Papenfort et al., 2013; Pfeiffer et al., 2009; Rice et al., 2012). In addition, a small subset of riboregulatory sRNAs, referred to as dual-function sRNAs, also contains translated open reading frames (ORFs) (Vanderpool et al., 2011). The ORFs of dual-function sRNAs all encode peptides ranging in size from 26 - 53 amino acids and only a fraction have known functions (Balaban and Novick, 1995; Berghoff et al., 2009; Mangold et al., 2004; Roberts and Scott, 2007; Shimizu et al., 2002; Sonnleitner et al., 2011; Wadler and Vanderpool, 2007). The existence of such sRNAs implies that a single RNA molecule can serve as both a *trans*-acting riboregulator and a template for translation. It remains to be determined whether dual-function sRNAs are simply an unusual subset of otherwise noncoding riboregulatory RNAs or they are indicative of a wider regulatory role for translated RNAs.

The *irvA* gene of *S. mutans* encodes a putative transcription repressor and was originally discovered due to its induction by a variety of genetic mutations. In a wild-type background, *irvA* gene expression is extremely low, but its expression increases >100-fold in various mutant backgrounds (Merritt et al., 2005; Tsang et al., 2006). Besides triggering irvA expression, these mutations also share a variety of common phenotypes, such as deficiencies in lantibiotic bacteriocin production and natural genetic competence (Merritt et al., 2005; Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008). The key regulator of *irvA* transcription, *irvR*, is located directly adjacent on the chromosome and encodes a LexA-like self-cleaving transcription repressor responsible for preventing *irvA* expression under normal growth conditions (Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008). A mutation of *irvR* constitutively derepresses *irvA* and triggers each of the previously described *irvA*-dependent phenotypes in addition to the constitutive activation of the dextran-dependent aggregation (DDAG) stress response (Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008). In a wild-type background, the characteristic cellular aggregation phenotype of the DDAG stress response is only detectable in the presence of the various environmental stresses that trigger the production of a critical surface exposed lectin called GbpC (Sato et al., 2002; Sato et al., 1997). It is unknown how stress activates GbpC production, but its lectin activity normally serves as a major adhesin for biofilm development (Banas and Vickerman, 2003; Idone et al., 2003; Lynch et al., 2007). This is presumably due to its high affinity for the dextran and glucan polymers within the exopolysaccharide matrix of S. mutans biofilms. In an *irvR* mutant background, GbpC

production is constitutively activated in an *irvA*-dependent manner implicating IrvA as its regulator (Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008).

Our current analysis of *irvA* unexpectedly revealed that the regulation of GbpC production is in fact, not due to the putative transcription regulatory function of the IrvA protein. Remarkably, the entire process is mediated solely by a *trans*-acting riboregulatory function encoded within the 5' UTR of *irvA* mRNA. Therefore, *irvA* is a dual-function mRNA serving as both a template for translation and as a seed pairing posttranscriptional regulator via interactions with its 5' UTR. These results implicate a much broader role for mRNAs as highly versatile regulators of gene expression in addition to their traditionally assigned role as templates for translation.

RESULTS

The irvA 5' UTR is required for the Dextran-Dependent Aggregation Stress Response

Our previous genetic studies of *irvR* suggested that environmental stress was likely to be the endogenous signal responsible for relieving IrvR repression upon *irvA*. In addition, an *irvR* deletion stimulated the expression of *gbpC* and constitutively activated the dextrandependent aggregation (DDAG) stress response (Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008). From these results, we hypothesized that the unknown endogenous stress- induced DDAG pathway was likely functioning through irvA. Consistent with previous reports (Sato et al., 1997, 2000), we found a range of environmental stresses to be effective at triggering the characteristic cellular aggregation phenotype of the DDAG response including the commonly utilized food sweetener xylitol. However, in contrast to our expectations, the *irvA* mutant exhibited a wild-type DDAG response to xylitol (Fig. 1A), which prompted us to reexamine our previous genetic data. Interestingly, a double mutation of the entire irvR/Alocus yielded a constitutive DDAG⁻ phenotype, whereas separate deletions of the *irvR* and *irvA* open reading frames (ORF) resulted in a constitutive DDAG⁺ phenotype (Figs. 1B and C). We identified the transcription start sites for both *irvR* and *irvA*, and curiously, *irvR* was found to have a minimal 5' UTR (29 nt), whereas *irvA* possesses a 235 nt 5' UTR (Fig. 1C). Using this information, we assayed the DDAG phenotype of an *irvA* deletion mutant devoid of both the 5' UTR and ORF. The more complete deletion of *irvA* rendered the strain fully incapable of engaging the DDAG response in the presence of environmental stress (Fig. 1A). This was in stark contrast to the *irvA* ORF deletion mutant, which behaved similarly as the wild-type (Fig. 1A).

To determine whether the *irvA* 5' UTR was solely responsible for triggering the DDAG response during stress, we replaced the *irvA* ORF with the green fluorescent protein (*gfp*) ORF to create a chimeric *irvA* 5' UTR-*gfp* fusion mRNA (Fig. S1). We also replaced the *gbpC* promoter with that of the constitutive DNA gyrase promoter *gyrAp* to eliminate potentially confounding effects due to changes in *gbpC* transcription. Despite the constitutive DDAG phenotype under normal growth conditions, but still exhibited a stress-inducible DDAG response that was critically dependent upon the *irvA* 5' UTR-*gfp* ORF fusion (Fig. 1D). We also found that the *gbpC*5' UTR was not required for DDAG, but may play a minor inhibitory role (Fig. 1D & E). This indicated that *irvA* activation is highly

unlikely to function via the gbpC5' UTR. In addition, northern blot results demonstrated that the DDAG regulatory function of the *irvA* 5' UTR occurs in the context of a single *irvA* RNA containing both the 5' UTR and *irvA* coding sequence (CDS) (Figs. 1F and S1). Thus, we found no evidence suggesting that the UTR is processed into an sRNA in either normal or stress growth conditions. Though, we did note a substantial increase in *irvA* mRNA abundance due to xylitol stress (Fig. 1F).

The irvA 5' UTR controls GbpC protein production by modulating gbpC mRNA stability

Based upon the results with the gyrA_P-gbpC fusion strain, it appeared that one or more steps occurring after *gbpC* transcription are primarily responsible for controlling the DDAG phenotype. Since gbpCmRNA normally exhibits an extremely short half-life of <1 minute (Biswas et al., 2007), we were curious whether environmental stress might stabilize the message. As shown in figure 2, xylitol stress increased *gbpC* half-life by greater than an order of magnitude in both the wild-type and $gyrA_P$ -gbpC fusion strains (Figs. 2 A & B). This effect was also specifically dependent upon the *irvA* 5' UTR (Fig. 2C). To further examine the correlation between *irvA*, *gbpC*mRNA stability, and the DDAG response, we also compared *gbpC* mRNA stability in cells grown in conditions that we previously determined to trigger three distinct DDAG phenotypes (Figs. 1D & E). Consistent with our previous results, the severity of the DDAG response was directly proportional to the stability of gbpCmRNA and was critically dependent upon the *irvA* 5' UTR (Figs. 2D & E). In addition, the effect upon *gbpC* stability was identical using *trans* expressed *irvA* indicating that gbpC stabilization was not due to irvA cis effects (Fig. 2F). Unlike gbpC, xylitol stress did not elicit a reciprocal increase in *irvA* mRNA stability (Figs. 2G and S2). As expected, changes in *gbpC* mRNA stability directly correlated with protein abundance (Fig. 3A – D).

The *irvA* 5' UTR interacts directly with *gbpC* mRNA

Due to the dominant effect of posttranscriptional control over gbpC expression, we hypothesized that *gbpC* mRNA stability was likely to be controlled through sRNA-like interactions with *irvA*. We began by testing an RNA mobility shift assay using *in vitro* transcribed *irvA* and *gbpC*. While we were able to detect an interaction, the hybrid duplex formed slowly (30 min.) and only constituted a small percentage of the total RNA (data not shown). To further examine the relevance this interaction, we developed an *in vivo* approach for the RNA mobility shift assay using the psoralen crosslinker 4'-aminomethyl-trioxsalen (AMT). By performing northern blots on AMT crosslinked cultures, it was possible to detect distinct mobility shifts specific to the AMT-treated strains expressing both the *irvA* 5' UTR and gbpC(Figs. 4A & B). In the gyrAp-gbpC strain, a larger mobility shift was observed relative to the wild-type because the *irvA* 5' UTR-*gfp* ORF fusion resulted in a larger mRNA than the wild-type *irvA*. Similarly, this was also reflected in the larger size of the uncrosslinked mRNAs in the *irvA* northern blots (Fig. 4B). To detect *irvA* in the gyrApgbpC strain, it was necessary to hybridize with a gfp CDS probe because the *irvA* 5' UTR probe performed poorly with the crosslinked samples (data not shown), presumably due to the presence of crosslinks within the *irvA* 5' UTR. This was not an issue in the wild-type strain because the *irvA* probe targeted the 3' of the *irvA* CDS (Fig. 4B). The mobility shift observed with this probe also provided additional evidence that the full-length *irvA* mRNA

of the *irvA* 5' UTR. To further validate the RNA mobility shift experiments as well as identify the interaction region between *irvA* and *gbpC*, we modified an *in vivo* RNA-RNA mapping assay referred to as "RNA walk" (Lustig et al., 2010). The RNA walk assay takes advantage of the fact that reverse transcription of crosslinked RNA will result in cDNAs that are truncated at crosslinked sites. Thus, *S. mutans* was grown in the presence of xylitol stress, crosslinked *in viro* using AMT and then *irvA abpC*RNA complexes were purified by affinity.

vivo using AMT, and then *irvA-gbpC*RNA complexes were purified by affinity chromatography. RT-PCR amplicons were generated using a nested adaptor primer and a gene-specific primer similarly as in 5' RACE protocols (Fig. 4C & D). Thus, our modified version of the RNA walk procedure would be more appropriately referred to as "RACE walk". After sequencing the PCR amplicons, we determined that the terminal 3' boundary of the *gbpC* crosslinked region occurred 515 nt into the *gbpC* CDS, whereas the *irvA* crosslinked region terminated at the putative Shine-Dalgarno sequence in its 5' UTR. Due to the fewer affinity purifications that could be performed on the samples receiving no AMT treatment, we frequently observed an extra PCR band arising in the uncrosslinked *gbpC*RT-PCR reactions (Fig. 4C). We sequenced this band and confirmed it to be 23S rRNA contamination. Based upon the RACE walk results, we performed an interaction domain swap experiment and were still able to detect identical 3' terminal crosslinked sites in both molecules, whereas the untreated samples yielded full-length cDNAs of the expected sizes for the chimeric mRNAs (Fig. 4C & D). From the RNA mobility shift and RACE walk experiments, it was clear that *irvA* and *gbpC*mRNAs form a stable complex in which all of the elements required to facilitate their interaction are fully contained within the *irvA* 5' UTR and the first 550 nt of gbpC.

irvA and gbpC mRNAs interact directly through seed pairing

To distinguish whether *irvA-gbpC* mRNA complexes form via direct or indirect interactions, we were interested to determine whether there was evidence to implicate seed pairing between the RNAs. Due to the inefficient duplex formed using *in vitro* transcribed *irvA* and *gbpC*, *in vitro* interaction mapping approaches were deemed impractical. Thus, we began by designing a strategy for the high-throughput mutagenesis of *irvA* to first identify the *irvA* nucleotides critical for the DDAG phenotype (see Supplemental Methods). Consistent with our previous results, no critical residues were detected within the irvA ORF, whereas all of the critical bases were found in the latter half of the UTR with the final cluster of critical bases terminating at the identical nucleotide previously identified by RACE walk (Fig. S3). With this information, we used the program RNAhybrid (Rehmsmeier et al., 2004) to model a potential interaction between the RACE walk interaction domain of *gbpC* with only the DDAG-mediating portion of the *irvA* 5' UTR. The predicted duplex occurred well within the gbpCCDS starting 109 nt downstream of the gbpC translation initiation codon (Fig. 5A). This model was consistent with our previous DDAG phenotypic data, which demonstrated that the gbpC5' UTR is not a target of irvA regulation (Fig. 1D & E). Using the irvA mutagenesis data and RNAhybrid model as guides, we created a series of mutant gbpC-gfp reporter strains containing point mutations within key *irvA* residues that were both required for DDAG and predicted to seed pair with gbpC. The corresponding seed pair mutations

were also engineered into gbpC. When point mutations were introduced into predicted seed paired bases of either gbpC or *irvA*, the reporter strain lost the ability to respond to stress (i.e. constitutively dark) (Fig. 5C). In contrast, it was possible to rescue the responsiveness of the reporter by combining both sets of complementary gbpC and *irvA* mutations into the same strain (Fig. 5C). Mutations occurring within the predicted unpaired regions of gbpChad no impact upon the reporter (Fig. 5C). We also tested these seed pair mutations using *in vitro* transcribed *irvA* and gbpC. Mirroring the reporter results, *irvA-gbpC* duplexes were detectable using either wild-type or compensatory double mutant mRNAs, while no interactions occurred with either combination of wild-type + mutant mRNAs (Fig. 5D). These data strongly supported a role for seed pairing as the primary mechanism mediating the interaction between *irvA* and gbpC.

The interaction of *irvA* and *gbpC* mRNA protects *gbpC* from ribonuclease-mediated degradation

Typically, when sRNA-target interactions increase mRNA stability, the interaction changes the secondary structure of the target mRNA to facilitate the loading of ribosomes and ultimately enhance translation initiation (Frohlich and Vogel, 2009; Gottesman, 2011). However, translation did not appear to play an obvious role in regulating gbpC stability (Fig. S4). Thus, we hypothesized that *irvA* must directly protect *gbpC* mRNA from ribonuclease attack. We mutated a variety of predicted exo- and endoribonucleases and assayed for a constitutive DDAG⁺ phenotype. All were dispensable for DDAG except for RNase J2 and to a much lesser extent RNase Y (Fig. S4). In many Gram positive bacteria, the major endoribonuclease and 5'-3' exoribonuclease activities in the cell are catalyzed by RNase Y, RNase J1, and RNase J2 (Condon, 2010; Lehnik-Habrink et al., 2012). To further confirm these results, we measured *gbpC* mRNA stability in the RNase Y, J1, and J2 backgrounds and found that all correlated strongly with the observed DDAG phenotypes (Fig. 6A - C). Furthermore, stress or an RNase J2 mutation triggers a substantial increase in the total abundance of full-length gbpCmRNA along with identical gbpC degradation intermediates (Fig. 6D). These results all implicated RNase J2 as the principal source of *gbpC* instability in normal growth conditions. To test this further, we purified RNase J2 and digested gbpC in vitro. RNase J2 introduced two endonuclease cleavages located within the first 575 nt of gbpC (Fig. 6E). Further cleavage analysis of this region localized the first cut site to within with the predicted *irvA* seed region, whereas the second site is approximately 100 nt further downstream (Figs. 6F and S5). Similarly, the addition of *irvA* RNA to the cleavage reaction only inhibited cleavage at the first site (Fig. S5). The region encompassing the first cleavage site was also required for an *in vitro* interaction between *irvA* and *gbpC* (Figs. 6G and 6H). Therefore, it is highly likely that this RNase J2 cleavage site would be sequestered within the seed region of the *irvA-gbpC* hybrid duplex following the onset of environmental stress.

irvA is a dual-function mRNA

Our studies of the connection between *irvA* and the DDAG response established a clear role for the *irvA* 5' UTR as a riboregulator of *gbpC* mRNA. However, it also indicated that the DDAG response functions entirely independent of the *irvA* CDS. This unusual result prompted us to question whether *irvA* truly functions as a protein-encoding mRNA or if it is simply a noncoding sRNA. Consequently, we confirmed the presence of the IrvA protein via

western blot (Fig. 7A). The next question was whether the IrvA protein has a discernable physiological function in the cell, since it is fully dispensable for the DDAG response. As previously described, *irvA* gene expression inhibits the development of natural competence (Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008). Thus, we were curious whether the IrvA protein might play a role in this phenotype. Indeed, a deficiency in IrvA protein production did impair the ability of *irvA* to inhibit natural competence development (Fig. 7B). It is also apparent that both the *irvA* 5' UTR and ORF are nearly identical in each of 57 recently sequenced strains of S. mutans (Cornejo et al., 2013), which further suggests that both the irvA RNA and protein are functionally conserved components of the core S. mutans genome. However, we did note that the genome reference strain UA159 used in these studies was one of only three strains in the collection encoding a slightly truncated IrvA, due to a frameshift near the 3' end of the *irvA* ORF (Fig. S6). We restored the *irvA* reading frame in UA159 and confirmed that the frameshift had no impact upon competence regulation (Fig. 7B). From these results, we conclude that *irvA* mRNA serves two highly conserved and independent functions: a trans-acting riboregulator and a template for the translation of a Cro-like putative transcription regulator.

DISCUSSION

The regulatory mechanism used to control the DDAG stress response in *S. mutans* reveals a potential role for mRNAs as postranscriptional *trans*-acting riboregulators. Its discovery was based upon an initially puzzling observation in which two separate deletion mutations of *irvA* yielded opposing DDAG phenotypes. The source of this discrepancy was a direct result of the modular two-domain architecture contained within *irvA* mRNA: a *trans*-acting riboregulatory domain in the 5' UTR and the protein-encoding domain of the CDS. This result may have broad implications for genetic studies of other genes unknown to encode dual-function mRNAs, since mutagenesis constructs typically only target ORFs. The *irvA* example illustrates how such an approach could easily leave the riboregulatory function of a gene fully intact, potentially resulting in highly biased or even misleading phenotypes.

Mechanism of gbpC stabilization

Of the characterized sRNA-mRNA target interactions, most trigger the repression of gene expression. Only a handful of examples implicate *trans*-acting sRNAs as activators, and of these, most stabilize mRNA indirectly by remodeling ribosome binding site-occluding secondary structures (Frohlich and Vogel, 2009). Other sRNAs, such as SgrS and RydC in *S. typhimurium*, directly activate target gene expression by preventing access to endogenous RNase cleavage sites (Frohlich et al., 2013; Papenfort et al., 2013). This mechanism is highly analogous to the *irvA-gbpC* interaction, where the *S. mutans* RNase J2 mutation triggered a potent *irvA*-independent stabilization of *gbpC* mRNA. Since translation was not found to influence *gbpC* mRNA stability, the principal function of *irvA* is likely to directly alter *gbpC* accessibility to RNase J2-mediated degradation. Surprisingly, this was found to occur through a unique mechanism involving seed pairing far within the *gbpC* CDS. Other characterized sRNA interactions occurring within coding regions serve almost exclusively in target destabilization (De Lay et al., 2013; Romby and Charpentier, 2010; Storz et al., 2011; Vanderpool et al., 2011). To the best of our knowledge, the aforementioned SgrS sRNA is

the only other example in which CDS seed pairing activates gene expression (Papenfort et al., 2013). However, unlike *irvA*, SgrS specifically stabilizes the downstream CDS, rather than its target. Given the location of the *irvA-gbpC* hybrid duplex, it might also be expected that seed pairing would simultaneously hinder GbpC translation by preventing ribosome progression. However, *in vitro* translation studies have demonstrated that the ribosome has intrinsic helicase activity and can read through RNA duplexes containing stretches of 18 paired bases (Takyar et al., 2005). The RNAhybrid model of the *irvA-gbpC* duplex (Fig. 5B) predicts stretches of complementarity well below this threshold.

Model for RNase J2 control of the DDAG stress response

Most firmicutes such as S. mutans, B. subtilis, S. pyogenes, and Enterococcus faecalis encode two RNase J paralogs referred to as RNase J1 and RNase J2 (Bugrysheva and Scott, 2010; Even et al., 2005; Gao et al., 2010). In B. subtilis, RNase J1 is a pleiotropic regulator of mRNA stability, whereas the specific function of RNase J2 has remained enigmatic (Lehnik-Habrink et al., 2012). This makes RNase J2 a particularly intriguing component of the DDAG pathway. In in vitro RNase cleavage assays, the B. subtilis RNase J2 exhibits exceptionally weak 5'-3' exoribonuclease activity (Condon, 2010; Even et al., 2005; Mathy et al., 2010) and regulates the activity of RNase J1 in heteromeric RNase J1/J2 complexes (Mader et al., 2008; Mathy et al., 2010). Consequently, it has been speculated that RNase J2 serves more of a structural and/or regulatory role for RNase J1 enzymatic activity (Figaro et al., 2013). The S. mutans DDAG response was critically dependent upon RNase J2, yet we were unable to discern an obvious role for RNase J1, which strongly argues against the possibility that the RNase J2 DDAG phenotype is a consequence of disrupted RNase J1/J2 heteromeric complexes. Consistent with this scenario, we tested D73K/D160K as well as H69A/H71A loss of function point mutations in RNase J2 (Li de la Sierra-Gallay et al., 2008) and observed constitutive DDAG phenotypes (data not shown). In addition to stabilizing *gbpC* mRNA, the RNase J2 mutation also triggered a distinct degradation pattern for gbpCmRNA. Likewise, gbpC is cleavable by RNase J2 in *in vitro* digests, making it among the first endogenous substrates known for this enzyme.

Based upon these results, we propose the following regulatory model. Under normal growth conditions, *gbpC* mRNA serves as a high affinity substrate for RNase J2 cleavage(s) catalyzing its rapid degradation. In response to environmental stress, *irvA-gbpC* hybrid duplexes form and are resistant to the initial cleavage event, thereby inhibiting the RNase J2-mediated degradation pathway (Fig. 6). However, *gbpC* is still subject to RNase degradation, as evidenced by the identical *gbpC* cleavage products produced specifically in response to environmental stress or by an RNase J2 mutation. Presumably, *gbpC* is a poorer substrate for this alternate RNase J2-independent degradation pathway, which would account for the observed increase in *gbpC* mRNA abundance and its reduced turnover rate. Whereas genetic switches are a classic feature of many regulatory circuits, the *irvA* regulatory mechanism is more analogous to a genetic rheostat, whereby the abundance of GbpC is ultimately adjusted by the severity of stress placed upon the cell. The equilibrium between the unstable (free *gbpC*) and stable (duplex *gbpC*) states of *gbpC* mRNA can be altered proportionally by the *irvA* transcription rate, which is itself controlled by the stress state of the cell. Given the lack of an avid *in vitro* interaction between *irvA* and *gbpC*, it is also possible that the equilibrium

of this interaction is further influenced by an as yet unidentified component catalyzing the reaction *in vivo*. Presumably, this component would be activated by stress, since *irvA* overexpression does not increase *gbpC* stability under normal growth conditions [Unpublished and (Zhu et al., 2009)]. As the surface lectin activity of GbpC serves to anchor *S. mutans* cells to the biofilm (Lynch et al., 2007), the *irvA* rheostat mechanism is likely utilized to direct the appropriate cellular resources towards bolstering biofilm integrity during episodes of increased environmental stress. Concurrently, *irvA* expression also redirects cellular resources away from many accessory gene pathways, such as bacteriocin

production and competence development (Merritt et al., 2005; Niu et al., 2010; Niu et al., 2008), since these functions are both metabolically expensive and provide little survival advantage in such conditions.

mRNA as a source of trans-acting riboregulatory molecules

While the majority of known *trans*-acting riboregulatory RNAs are derived from noncoding transcripts, it is clear that both eukaryotes and prokaryotes frequently utilize translated mRNAs as a source of posttranscriptional regulators. In eukaryotes, such activity is provided by the recently described class of microRNAs (miRNAs) referred to as mirtrons. While most pre-miRNAs are derived from Drosha enzyme processing of noncoding RNAs, mirtrons utilize RNA splicing mechanisms to generate pre-miRNAs derived from the introns of mRNAs (Curtis et al., 2012; Westholm and Lai, 2011). In bacteria, posttranscriptional processing of mRNA UTRs similarly provides an abundant source of riboregulatory RNAs (Caldelari et al., 2013; Chao et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2014; Loh et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2003). In addition, the ORFs of prokaryotic genes may also contain internal promoter sequences yielding sRNA regulators (Chao et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2014). For irvA, its riboregulatory and template functions are contiguous within the same molecule (Fig. 1F), which implies that many other protein-encoding mRNAs could similarly serve as *trans*acting riboregulators. Considering this possibility along with the large diversity of known mRNA-derived riboregulators, perhaps this is indicative of a much broader and more intriguing role for mRNAs as trans-acting posttranscriptional regulatory molecules, rather than simply passive templates for the production of proteins.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

DNA manipulation and strain construction

Details of strain construction are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Strains and plasmids are listed in Table S1, while primer sequences can be found Table S2. The *S. mutans* genome reference strain UA159 is referred to as the wild type strain throughout this study.

DDAG assay

The DDAG assay was performed similarly as previously described by Sato *et al.* (Sato et al., 1997). Each pair of tubes was swirled briefly and aggregation was observed as obvious clumping and cell precipitation. A robust DDAG response develops within 2 min. of dextran addition.

5' RACE for the determination of irvR, irvA, and gyrA transcription start sites

Amplification of the 5' ends of cDNA was performed using the FirstChoice® RLM-RACE Kit (Ambion) according the manufacturer's instructions. The primers used for *irvR*, *irvA*, and *gyrA* and 5' end determination are listed in Table S2.

mRNA stability assays

S. mutans cells were grown to mid log phase in BTR-G medium +/– 0.6% (wt/vol) xylitol. Rifampicin was added to the culture to a final concentration of 500 μ g/ml. Aliquots of the culture were withdrawn at different time points after the addition of rifampicin, rapidly chilled to 4 °C by mixing with 10 ml crushed ice, and harvested by centrifugation (4000×g, 10 min, 4°C). The transcript abundance of a particular gene at each time point was determined by Northern blot analysis.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was carried out using the WesternDot 625 western blot kit (Invitrogen). Following SDS- PAGE, the separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman) using the mini Trans- Blot electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-Rad) for 1 hr (200mA). The subsequent immunodetection was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. GbpC antiserum was kindly provided by Dr. Yutaka Sato and diluted 1:10,000 for detection. IrvA was detected used the FLAG primary antibody (Sigma) diluted 1:20,000, while LDH was detected using the HA primary antibody diluted 1:10,000 (Invitrogen).

In vivo AMT cross-linking of S. mutans cultures

Cross-linking was performed essentially as described in (Liu et al., 2003). 50 ml cultures of *S. mutans* cells were harvested at mid log phase and washed twice with PBS. Cells were concentrated to a density of 5×10^9 CFU/ml. 4'-Aminomethyl-trioxsalen hydrochloride (AMT) (Sigma) was added to the cells at a concentration of 0.2 mg ml⁻¹. Cells treated with AMT were kept on ice for 30 min. and then irradiated using a UV lamp at 365 nm for 1 hr at 4 °C (UVP, 115V, 60Hz).

Affinity purification of *gbpC-irvA* mRNA coprecipitates using 5'- biotinylated oligonucleotides

50 ml mid-log phase cultures were grown in BTR-G medium + 0.6% (wt/vol) xylitol and resuspended in 1 ml hybridization buffer (20 mM pH 8.5 HEPES, 5 mM MgCl₂, 300 mM KCl, 0.01% NP40, 1 mM DTT) containing 5 μ l (200 U) RNaseOut ribonuclease inhibitor (Invitrogen). Cells were disrupted with glass beads using three cycles of 30 sec. homogenization with an MP FastPrep-24. Next, lysates were centrifuged at 16,000×g at 4 °C to pellet the cell debris and the supernatants were combined with 50 μ L anti-*gbpC* or anti*irvA* neutravidin beads. The neutravidin affinity matrix (Pierce) was prepared using the manufacturer's protocol and affinity chromatography was performed as previously described (Lustig et al., 2010). Samples receiving AMT crosslinking were washed three times, whereas uncrosslinked samples received one wash. RNA was extracted from the affinity matrix using TRI reagent (Sigma).

5' RACE of affinity purified RNA ("RACE walk")

Details of cDNA synthesis can be found in Supplemental Experimental Procedures, while RACE walk primer sequences can be found in Table S2. The protocol is slightly modified from a previously published protocol (Lustig et al., 2010). cDNA samples were treated with 2 U RNaseH for 30 min at 37 °C and then purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). 5 μ l of purified cDNA was ligated with 2 μ l (10 mM) T3 adaptor primer in a 10 μ l reaction consisting of 10 U of T4 RNA ligase I (NEB) and 1 μ l buffer and then incubated at 22 °C overnight. After heat inactivation at 65 °C for 15 min., the reaction was used as a template for PCR. Two rounds of PCR were performed using two sets of nested primers. The resulting PCR products from the second round PCR reactions were subsequently cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and sequenced.

In vivo RNA mobility shift assay

After UV crosslinking with AMT, total RNA was extracted from the *S. mutans* cells. 20 μ g total RNA was treated with 5 U Turbo DNase (Ambion) at 37 °C for 30 min. to remove genomic DNA contamination. Next, 3 μ l of 20 mg ml⁻¹ proteinase K solution (Invitrogen) was added to the sample and incubated at 42 °C for 60 min. 20 μ g of total RNA was separated in a 1% agarose 0.66 M formaldehyde gel and then visualized by northern blot.

In vitro RNA mobility shift assay

In vitro synthesized *gbpC* and *irvA* transcripts were purified from 6% urea polyacrylamide gels with RNA elution buffer [0.1 M sodium acetate, 0.1% (wt/vol) SDS, 10 mM EDTA]. Duplex formation was performed at 37 °C in TMN buffer (20 mM pH 7.5 Tris acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 100 mM NaCl) for 30 min. 5 nM *gbpC* transcript (553 nt and 528 nt) was incubated with either 1000 nM *irvA* transcript or a range of transcripts consisting of 250 nM, 500 nM, 750 nM, and 1000 nM. Challenge experiments were performed with increasing amounts of competitor oligonucleotide (5 nM, 10 nM, 20 nM, 40 nM) in TMN buffer at 37 °C for 30 min. Duplexes were separated in 6% native polyacrylamide gels in TBE buffer and visualized using via northern blot.

In vitro RNase J2 digestion of gbpC

In vitro RNase J2 cleavage assays were modified from a previously published protocol (Condon et al., 2008). RNase J2 endonuclease activity was assayed in a 10 μ l reaction volume containing 20 mM pH 8.0 Tris-HCl, 8 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NH₄Cl, 0.1 mM DTT, 20 U RNasin (Promega), and 2 g RNase J2 at 37 °C. Reactions were incubated with 250 nM *gbpC* for 15 or 30 minutes and stopped by adding RNA loading buffer and separated on 5%, 6%, or 8% urea-polyacrylamide gels.

FLAsH labeling and fluorescence microscopy

The green fluorescent FLAsH-EDT₂ labeling reagent was used according to a previously described protocol (Lei et al., 2011). Stationary phase starter cultures were diluted in BTR-G +/-0.6% xylitol and grown to mid-log phase before fluorescence imaging using identical exposure settings with an Olympus BX61 epifluorescence microscope.

Natural competence assay

Determination of *S. mutans* transformation efficiency was performed using a previously described methodology (Niu et al., 2008). Data from three independent experiments were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. An F-test of the group means was followed by a post-hoc analysis using the Fisher's protected least significant difference (PLSD) test to assess the variance between group means.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to Drs. Y. Sato and J. Banas for their generous gifts of GbpC antibodies. We also acknowledge the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center Laboratory for Molecular Biology and Cytometry Research for their efforts with the deep sequencing of the *irvA* mutant library. We greatly appreciate and thank Drs. D. Dubnau, R. Tweten, and J. Vogel for their critical reading and insightful comments regarding this manuscript. This work was supported by an NIH NIDCR DE018893 grant to J.M.

References

- Balaban N, Novick RP. Translation of RNAIII, the Staphylococcus aureus agr regulatory RNA molecule, can be activated by a 3'-end deletion. FEMS microbiology letters. 1995; 133:155–161. [PubMed: 8566701]
- Banas JA, Vickerman MM. Glucan-binding proteins of the oral streptococci. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2003; 14:89–99. [PubMed: 12764072]
- Bandyra KJ, Said N, Pfeiffer V, Gorna MW, Vogel J, Luisi BF. The seed region of a small RNA drives the controlled destruction of the target mRNA by the endoribonuclease RNase E. Molecular cell. 2012; 47:943–953. [PubMed: 22902561]
- Berghoff BA, Glaeser J, Sharma CM, Vogel J, Klug G. Photooxidative stress-induced and abundant small RNAs in Rhodobacter sphaeroides. Molecular microbiology. 2009; 74:1497–1512. [PubMed: 19906181]
- Biswas I, Drake L, Biswas S. Regulation of gbpC expression in Streptococcus mutans. Journal of bacteriology. 2007; 189:6521–6531. [PubMed: 17616585]
- Bugrysheva JV, Scott JR. The ribonucleases J1 and J2 are essential for growth and have independent roles in mRNA decay in Streptococcus pyogenes. Molecular microbiology. 2010; 75:731–743. [PubMed: 20025665]
- Caldelari I, Chao Y, Romby P, Vogel J. RNA-Mediated Regulation in Pathogenic Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine. 2013; 3
- Chao Y, Papenfort K, Reinhardt R, Sharma CM, Vogel J. An atlas of Hfq-bound transcripts reveals 3' UTRs as a genomic reservoir of regulatory small RNAs. The EMBO journal. 2012; 31:4005–4019. [PubMed: 22922465]
- Condon C. What is the role of RNase J in mRNA turnover? RNA biology. 2010; 7:316–321. [PubMed: 20458164]
- Condon C, Pellegrini O, Mathy N, Benard L, Redko Y, Oussenko IA, Deikus G, Bechhofer DH. Assay of Bacillus subtilis ribonucleases in vitro. Methods in enzymology. 2008; 447:277–308. [PubMed: 19161849]
- Cornejo OE, Lefebure T, Bitar PD, Lang P, Richards VP, Eilertson K, Do T, Beighton D, Zeng L, Ahn SJ, et al. Evolutionary and population genomics of the cavity causing bacteria Streptococcus mutans. Molecular biology and evolution. 2013; 30:881–893. [PubMed: 23228887]
- Curtis HJ, Sibley CR, Wood MJ. Mirtrons, an emerging class of atypical miRNA. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. 2012; 3:617–632. [PubMed: 22733569]

- De Lay N, Schu DJ, Gottesman S. Bacterial small RNA-based negative regulation: Hfq and its accomplices. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288:7996–8003. [PubMed: 23362267]
- Desnoyers G, Morissette A, Prevost K, Masse E. Small RNA-induced differential degradation of the polycistronic mRNA iscRSUA. The EMBO journal. 2009; 28:1551–1561. [PubMed: 19407815]
- Even S, Pellegrini O, Zig L, Labas V, Vinh J, Brechemmier-Baey D, Putzer H. Ribonucleases J1 and J2: two novel endoribonucleases in B.subtilis with functional homology to E.coli RNase E. Nucleic acids research. 2005; 33:2141–2152. [PubMed: 15831787]
- Figaro S, Durand S, Gilet L, Cayet N, Sachse M, Condon C. Bacillus subtilis mutants with knockouts of the genes encoding ribonucleases RNase Y and RNase J1 are viable, with major defects in cell morphology, sporulation, and competence. Journal of bacteriology. 2013; 195:2340–2348. [PubMed: 23504012]
- Figueroa-Bossi N, Valentini M, Malleret L, Fiorini F, Bossi L. Caught at its own game: regulatory small RNA inactivated by an inducible transcript mimicking its target. Genes & development. 2009; 23:2004–2015. [PubMed: 19638370]
- Frohlich KS, Papenfort K, Fekete A, Vogel J. A small RNA activates CFA synthase by isoformspecific mRNA stabilization. The EMBO journal. 2013
- Frohlich KS, Vogel J. Activation of gene expression by small RNA. Current opinion in microbiology. 2009; 12:674–682. [PubMed: 19880344]
- Gao P, Pinkston KL, Nallapareddy SR, van Hoof A, Murray BE, Harvey BR. Enterococcus faecalis rnjB is required for pilin gene expression and biofilm formation. Journal of bacteriology. 2010; 192:5489–5498. [PubMed: 20729365]
- Gottesman, S. Roles of mRNA stability, translational regulation, and small RNAs in stress response regulation. 2. Washington D.C.: ASM Press; 2011.
- Gripenland J, Netterling S, Loh E, Tiensuu T, Toledo-Arana A, Johansson J. RNAs: regulators of bacterial virulence. Nature reviews. 2010; 8:857–866.
- Guo MS, Updegrove TB, Gogol EB, Shabalina SA, Gross CA, Storz G. MicL, a new sigmaEdependent sRNA, combats envelope stress by repressing synthesis of Lpp, the major outer membrane lipoprotein. Genes & development. 2014; 28:1620–1634. [PubMed: 25030700]
- Idone V, Brendtro S, Gillespie R, Kocaj S, Peterson E, Rendi M, Warren W, Michalek S, Krastel K, Cvitkovitch D, et al. Effect of an orphan response regulator on Streptococcus mutans sucrosedependent adherence and cariogenesis. Infection and immunity. 2003; 71:4351–4360. [PubMed: 12874312]
- Kim HM, Shin JH, Cho YB, Roe JH. Inverse regulation of Fe- and Ni-containing SOD genes by a Fur family regulator Nur through small RNA processed from 3'UTR of the sodF mRNA. Nucleic acids research. 2014; 42:2003–2014. [PubMed: 24234448]
- Lehnik-Habrink M, Lewis RJ, Mader U, Stulke J. RNA degradation in Bacillus subtilis: an interplay of essential endo- and exoribonucleases. Molecular microbiology. 2012; 84:1005–1017. [PubMed: 22568516]
- Lei Y, Zhang Y, Guenther BD, Kreth J, Herzberg MC. Mechanism of adhesion maintenance by methionine sulphoxide reductase in Streptococcus gordonii. Molecular microbiology. 2011; 80:726–738. [PubMed: 21410565]
- Li de la Sierra-Gallay I, Zig L, Jamalli A, Putzer H. Structural insights into the dual activity of RNase J. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2008; 15:206–212.
- Li L, Huang D, Cheung MK, Nong W, Huang Q, Kwan HS. BSRD: a repository for bacterial small regulatory RNA. Nucleic acids research. 2013; 41:D233–238. [PubMed: 23203879]
- Liu L, Ben-Shlomo H, Xu YX, Stern MZ, Goncharov I, Zhang Y, Michaeli S. The trypanosomatid signal recognition particle consists of two RNA molecules, a 7SL RNA homologue and a novel tRNA-like molecule. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2003; 278:18271–18280. [PubMed: 12606550]
- Loh E, Dussurget O, Gripenland J, Vaitkevicius K, Tiensuu T, Mandin P, Repoila F, Buchrieser C, Cossart P, Johansson J. A trans-acting riboswitch controls expression of the virulence regulator PrfA in Listeria monocytogenes. Cell. 2009; 139:770–779. [PubMed: 19914169]

- Lustig Y, Wachtel C, Safro M, Liu L, Michaeli S. 'RNA walk' a novel approach to study RNA-RNA interactions between a small RNA and its target. Nucleic acids research. 2010; 38:e5. [PubMed: 19854950]
- Lynch DJ, Fountain TL, Mazurkiewicz JE, Banas JA. Glucan-binding proteins are essential for shaping Streptococcus mutans biofilm architecture. FEMS microbiology letters. 2007; 268:158–165. [PubMed: 17214736]
- Mader U, Zig L, Kretschmer J, Homuth G, Putzer H. mRNA processing by RNases J1 and J2 affects Bacillus subtilis gene expression on a global scale. Molecular microbiology. 2008; 70:183–196. [PubMed: 18713320]
- Mangold M, Siller M, Roppenser B, Vlaminckx BJ, Penfound TA, Klein R, Novak R, Novick RP, Charpentier E. Synthesis of group A streptococcal virulence factors is controlled by a regulatory RNA molecule. Molecular microbiology. 2004; 53:1515–1527. [PubMed: 15387826]
- Mathy N, Hebert A, Mervelet P, Benard L, Dorleans A, Li de la Sierra-Gallay I, Noirot P, Putzer H, Condon C. Bacillus subtilis ribonucleases J1 and J2 form a complex with altered enzyme behaviour. Molecular microbiology. 2010; 75:489–498. [PubMed: 20025672]
- Merritt J, Kreth J, Shi W, Qi F. LuxS controls bacteriocin production in Streptococcus mutans through a novel regulatory component. Molecular microbiology. 2005; 57:960–969. [PubMed: 16091037]
- Niu G, Okinaga T, Qi F, Merritt J. The Streptococcus mutans IrvR repressor is a CI-like regulator that functions through autocleavage and Clp-dependent proteolysis. Journal of bacteriology. 2010; 192:1586–1595. [PubMed: 20038591]
- Niu G, Okinaga T, Zhu L, Banas J, Qi F, Merritt J. Characterization of irvR, a novel regulator of the irvA-dependent pathway required for genetic competence and dextran-dependent aggregation in Streptococcus mutans. Journal of bacteriology. 2008; 190:7268–7274. [PubMed: 18757533]
- Overgaard M, Johansen J, Moller-Jensen J, Valentin-Hansen P. Switching off small RNA regulation with trap-mRNA. Molecular microbiology. 2009; 73:790–800. [PubMed: 19682266]
- Papenfort K, Sun Y, Miyakoshi M, Vanderpool CK, Vogel J. Small RNA-mediated activation of sugar phosphatase mRNA regulates glucose homeostasis. Cell. 2013; 153:426–437. [PubMed: 23582330]
- Pfeiffer V, Papenfort K, Lucchini S, Hinton JC, Vogel J. Coding sequence targeting by MicC RNA reveals bacterial mRNA silencing downstream of translational initiation. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2009; 16:840–846.
- Plumbridge J, Bossi L, Oberto J, Wade JT, Figueroa-Bossi N. Interplay of transcriptional and small RNA-dependent control mechanisms regulates chitosugar uptake in Escherichia coli and Salmonella. Molecular microbiology. 2014; 92:648–658. [PubMed: 24593230]
- Rehmsmeier M, Steffen P, Hochsmann M, Giegerich R. Fast and effective prediction of microRNA/ target duplexes. RNA (New York, NY. 2004; 10:1507–1517.
- Rice JB, Balasubramanian D, Vanderpool CK. Small RNA binding-site multiplicity involved in translational regulation of a polycistronic mRNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:E2691–2698. [PubMed: 22988087]
- Roberts SA, Scott JR. RivR and the small RNA RivX: the missing links between the CovR regulatory cascade and the Mga regulon. Molecular microbiology. 2007; 66:1506–1522. [PubMed: 18005100]
- Romby P, Charpentier E. An overview of RNAs with regulatory functions in gram-positive bacteria. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2010; 67:217–237. [PubMed: 19859665]
- Sato Y, Senpuku H, Okamoto K, Hanada N, Kizaki H. Streptococcus mutans binding to solid phase dextran mediated by the glucan-binding protein C. COral microbiology and immunology. 2002; 17:252–256.
- Sato Y, Yamamoto Y, Kizaki H. Cloning and sequence analysis of the gbpC gene encoding a novel glucan-binding protein of Streptococcus mutans. Infection and immunity. 1997; 65:668–675. [PubMed: 9009329]
- Sato Y, Yamamoto Y, Kizaki H. Xylitol-induced elevated expression of the gbpC gene in a population of Streptococcus mutans cells. European journal of oral sciences. 2000; 108:538–545. [PubMed: 11153929]

- Shimizu T, Yaguchi H, Ohtani K, Banu S, Hayashi H. Clostridial VirR/VirS regulon involves a regulatory RNA molecule for expression of toxins. Molecular microbiology. 2002; 43:257–265. [PubMed: 11849553]
- Sonnleitner E, Gonzalez N, Sorger-Domenigg T, Heeb S, Richter AS, Backofen R, Williams P, Huttenhofer A, Haas D, Blasi U. The small RNA PhrS stimulates synthesis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa quinolone signal. Molecular microbiology. 2011; 80:868–885. [PubMed: 21375594]
- Storz G, Vogel J, Wassarman KM. Regulation by small RNAs in bacteria: expanding frontiers. Molecular cell. 2011; 43:880–891. [PubMed: 21925377]
- Takyar S, Hickerson RP, Noller HF. mRNA helicase activity of the ribosome. Cell. 2005; 120:49–58. [PubMed: 15652481]
- Toffano-Nioche C, Luo Y, Kuchly C, Wallon C, Steinbach D, Zytnicki M, Jacq A, Gautheret D. Detection of non-coding RNA in bacteria and archaea using the DETR'PROK Galaxy pipeline. Methods (San Diego, Calif. 2013; 63:60–65.
- Tsang P, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F. IrvA-dependent and IrvA-independent pathways for mutacin gene regulation in Streptococcus mutans. FEMS microbiology letters. 2006; 261:231–234. [PubMed: 16907725]
- Vanderpool CK, Balasubramanian D, Lloyd CR. Dual-function RNA regulators in bacteria. Biochimie. 2011; 93:1943–1949. [PubMed: 21816203]
- Vogel J, Bartels V, Tang TH, Churakov G, Slagter-Jager JG, Huttenhofer A, Wagner EG. RNomics in Escherichia coli detects new sRNA species and indicates parallel transcriptional output in bacteria. Nucleic acids research. 2003; 31:6435–6443. [PubMed: 14602901]
- Wadler CS, Vanderpool CK. A dual function for a bacterial small RNA: SgrS performs base pairingdependent regulation and encodes a functional polypeptide. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007; 104:20454–20459. [PubMed: 18042713]
- Westholm JO, Lai EC. Mirtrons: microRNA biogenesis via splicing. Biochimie. 2011; 93:1897–1904. [PubMed: 21712066]
- Zhu M, Ajdic D, Liu Y, Lynch D, Merritt J, Banas JA. Role of the Streptococcus mutans irvA gene in GbpC-independent, dextran-dependent aggregation and biofilm formation. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2009; 75:7037–7043. [PubMed: 19783751]

Liu et al.



Fig. 1. The *irvA* 5' UTR mediates the dextran-dependent aggregation (DDAG) stress response A) DDAG response assay performed in the presence of xylitol stress. The strains from left to right are: wild-type, *irvA* ORF deletion, *gbpC* deletion, *irvA* 5' UTR + ORF deletion, and *irvA* 5' UTR + ORF deletion complemented *in trans*. B) DDAG response assay performed in normal growth conditions. The strains from left to right are: wild- type, *irvR* deletion, separate deletions of the *irvR* and *irvA* ORFs, deletion of the entire *irvR/A* locus, and the *irvR/A* locus deletion expressing *irvA in trans*. C) Illustration of the *irvR/A* intergenic region. The *irvR* 5' UTR is shown in blue and the *irvA* 5' UTR in green. D) DDAG response assay performed in the presence of xylitol stress. E) DDAG response assay performed in normal growth conditions at late-log/early stationary phase. F) Northern blots of wild-type *irvA* mRNA in both normal and xylitol stress growth conditions. Probe target locations are listed under the samples. An RNA ladder is shown on the right and the bottom panel is a 16S rRNA loading control. See also Figure S1.





The numbers above the figures indicate the time (min.) after the addition of rifampicin for mRNA stability assays. For each figure, the top panels show target mRNA northern blots, while the bottom panels are 16S rRNA loading controls. Panels A – F probe *gbpC* mRNA. A) Wild-type strain in normal growth conditions (half-life < 1 min.) vs. xylitol stress (half-life > 10 min.). B) *gyrAp-gbpC* strain in normal growth conditions vs. xylitol stress. C) The same experiment is performed as in 2B, but the *irvA* 5' UTR has been deleted. D) *gyrAp-gbpC* strain (without the *gbpC*5' UTR) in mid-log phase, late-log phase, and mid-log phase with added xylitol stress. E) The same experiment performed as in 2D, but both the *gbpC*

and *irvA* 5' UTRs have been deleted from the *gyrAP-gbpC* strain. F) *irvA* was expressed in *trans* from the *ldh* gene locus. Using this strain, *gbpC* mRNA stability was measured both in the absence and presence of xylitol stress. G) The stability of *irvA* mRNA in the wild-type was assayed in the absence or presence of xylitol stress at mid-log phase. See also Figures S1 and S2.



Fig. 3. *gbpC* mRNA stability determines GbpC protein abundance

A) Western blot of GbpC in the wild-type and *gyrA_P-gbpC* strains. Two bands are present because the antibody recognizes both the immature cytoplasmic and mature cell wall anchored forms of the GbpC protein. In the bottom panel, an HA-epitope tagged lactate dehydrogenase protein was used as a loading control for each condition. In panels B-D, GbpC was engineered to express a tetracysteine FlAsH tag to facilitate the *in situ* detection of GbpC with the FlAsH reagent. Strains were grown to mid-log phase in the absence and presence of xylitol stress and imaged using identical exposure settings. B) Wild-type C)

 $gyrA_P$ -gbpC strain D) $gyrA_P$ -gbpC strain with a deletion of the *irvA* 5' UTR. The scalebars indicate 2 µm.

Author Manuscript

Page 21



Fig. 4. *irvA* and *gbpC* RNAs form a complex during stress

A) Samples were grown in the presence or absence of AMT RNA crosslinking agent and then detected with anti-*gbpC* probes via northern blot. The role of the *irvA* 5' UTR was assessed by engineering a small deletion within a critical portion of the 5' UTR required for DDAG. B) The same experiment is performed as in 4A, except an *irvA* CDS probe is used for the wild-type samples and a *gfp* CDS probe is used for the *gyrAp-gbpC* samples. Both probes were mixed in a single hybridization reaction. In panels C and D, the RACE walk procedure was used to probe the interaction region between *irvA* and *gbpC*. In both experiments, the entire procedure was repeated using mutant strains containing swapped *irvA* and *gbpC* interaction domains. The RACE walk results are also illustrated below each set of reactions. Yellow arrows represent 5' RACE primers and blue asterisks mark the locations of the first crosslink sites. C) Anti-*irvA* oligonucleotides are used to proclude to the gbpC. D) Anti-*gbpC* oligonucleotides

are used to precipitate RNA complexes with *irvA*. 5' RACE is performed on *irvA*. See also Figures S1 and S3.



Fig. 5. *irvA* and *gbpC* mRNA seed pairing

A) Illustration of the *irvA-gbpC* hybrid duplex. Block arrows indicate the CDS of the mRNAs, while the thinner lines represent 5' UTR's. The approximate location of the seed region is indicated by black lines between the RNAs. B) The predicted *irvA-gbpC* hybrid mRNA structure is shown with *irvA* RNA in green and *gbpC*RNA in red. Seed pairing bases colored in black (*gbpC*) or orange (*irvA*) as well as unpaired bases colored in blue (*gbpC*) were each targeted for mutagenesis to their complementary bases. C) The effect of these point mutations was tested *in vivo* in the presence of xylitol. GFP fluorescence is indicative of stable *gbpC*mRNA. Strains from left to right: wild-type *gbpC*+ *irvA*; mutant

gbpC(complement of bases shown in black) + wild-type *irvA*; mutant *gbpC*(complement of bases shown in blue) + wild-type *irvA*; wild-type *gbpC*+ mutant *irvA* (complement of orange bases); and mutant *gbpC*(complement of black bases) + mutant *irvA* (complement of orange bases). D) The same mutations were introduced into *in vitro* transcribed *irvA* and *gbpC*mRNA and analyzed by RNA mobility shift assay. The reactions were visualized using an anti-*irvA* probe. See also Figure S3.





Fig. 6. Mechanism of *gbpC* stabilization by the *irvA* 5' UTR

In panels A – C, the RNase J1, Y, and J2 mutants were each compared for gbpC mRNA stability under normal growth conditions in a wild-type *irvA* background (*irvA*+) or *irvA* deletion mutant (*irvA*-). The numbers above the figures signify the time after rifampicin addition and the bottom panels are 16S rRNA loading controls. A) RNase J1 mutant B) RNase Y mutant C) RNase J2 mutant. D) The degradation profile of gbpC mRNA in a wild-type background was compared between normal (N) and stress (S) growth conditions as well as in an RNase J2 mutant (J2) background in normal growth conditions. The full-length gbpC transcript is indicated by an arrow along with multiple mRNA degradation

intermediates. Due to the much weaker *gbpC* signal from the wild-type unstressed sample, we repeated this sample with a longer film exposure (right panel). E) Three fragments of *gbpC* mRNA indicated in the diagram were each *in vitro* transcribed and either left untreated (first lane for each fragment) or treated with increasing amounts of recombinant *S. mutans* RNase J2 (following two lanes). Arrows designate degradation products. F) The sequence of *gbpC* between the +1 site and the predicted seed region with *irvA* is shown. Bases highlighted in yellow correspond to the seed region predicted by RNAhybrid, while the bases highlighted in blue encompass the predicted RNase J2 cleavage site. G) An RNA mobility shift assay was performed using *in vitro* transcribed *gbpC* and increasing amounts of *in vitro* transcribed *irvA* or *irvA* UTR. Wild-type *gbpC* mRNA containing the illustrated RNase J2 cleavage site sequence (CS⁺) was compared to mutant *gbpC* lacking this sequence (CS⁻). The reactions were visualized with anti-*irvA* probes. H) A similar RNA mobility shift assay was performed using fixed quantities of wild-type *irvA* and *gbpC* mRNA together with increasing quantities of a competitor RNA oligo identical to the illustrated RNase J2 cleavage site sequence (CS oligo). See also Figures S4 and S5.



Fig. 7. irvA encodes a dual-function mRNA

A) A FLAG tag was engineered onto the C-terminus of IrvA and visualized by western blot after growing the cultures to mid-log phase. The samples from left to right are: 1. IrvA-FLAG in xylitol stress; 2. IrvA-FLAG in *irvR* background; 3. constitutive *irvA*-FLAG expression strain; and 4. true wild-type with no epitope tags in xylitol stress. The bottom panel is an HA-tagged lactate dehydrogenase loading control. B) Transformation assays of the following strains from left to right: wild-type, *irvR*/A double mutant, *irvR* mutant, *irvR* mutant, *irvR* mutant with *irvA* expressing a frameshift corrected ORF, and *irvR* mutant with *irvA* expressing a translation deficient ORF. Transformation efficiency is defined as the ratio of

transformants to total CFU. Values are listed as the means \pm standard deviations. One-way ANOVA and a Fisher's protected least significance difference post-hoc test were used to compare the means of the groups indicated in the graph (** *P*<0.005). See also Figure S6.