



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 07.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2015 November ; 24(11): 1796–1800. doi:
10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0543.

Genome-wide association study of prostate cancer-specific survival

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Background—Unnecessary intervention and overtreatment of indolent disease are common challenges in clinical management of prostate cancer. Improved tools to distinguish lethal from indolent disease are critical.

Methods—We performed a genome-wide survival analysis of cause-specific death in 24,023 prostate cancer patients (3,513 disease-specific deaths) from the PRACTICAL and BPC3 consortia. Top findings were assessed for replication in a Norwegian cohort (CONOR).

Results—We observed no significant association between genetic variants and prostate cancer survival.

Conclusions—Common genetic variants with large impact on prostate cancer survival were not observed in this study.

Impact—Future studies should be designed for identification of rare variants with large effect sizes or common variants with small effect sizes.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death among men in the developed world. Randomized trials have shown that PSA-based screening can reduce prostate cancer mortality up to 40%, though at the cost of considerable over-diagnosis and over-treatment of indolent disease(1). Thus, improved tools to distinguish lethal from indolent disease to guide clinicians in treatment decisions are critical. Epidemiological studies support the existence of a genetic component to prostate cancer prognosis(2). The purpose of this study was to identify Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with prostate cancer specific survival. We performed a genome-wide search among individuals from two large prostate cancer genetics consortia (PRACTICAL(3) and BPC3(4) with replication of top findings in a Norwegian prostate cancer cohort (CONOR)(5).

*Corresponding author: Robert Szulkin, Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Box 281, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel: +46 8 524 822 21. Fax: +46 8 31 49 75. robert.szulkin@ki.se.

**Full list of participants of The PRACTICAL Consortium is provided in the Supplementary Notes

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Materials and Methods

Study populations and genotyping

In total, 24,023 prostate cancer patients with follow-up on cause specific death from the PRACTICAL (n = 21,241) and BPC3 (n = 2,782) consortia were included in the present study (Table 1). All men from BPC3 have an aggressive disease, defined by a tumor Gleason score of eight or above. Participants had either been genotyped on a custom designed SNP chip (iCOGS) with 211,155 markers or on standard genome-wide arrays (Table 1). Imputation was performed using a cosmopolitan panel from the 1000 Genomes Project (March 2012) to increase the genetic coverage. Only SNPs that had an imputation quality above 0.75 and minor allele frequency (MAF) above 1% were assessed (1.2–9.5 million SNPs in each separate study, Table 1). Detailed information regarding study populations, genotyping and imputation is found in (3) and (4).

Statistical analysis

Within each study, SNPs were assessed for association with disease survival, assuming an additive genetic effect, in a Cox regression model allowing for left truncation and right censoring of observational times. Results were combined in fixed-effects meta-analysis. In the discovery stage, we considered an association to be genome-wide significant if the overall meta-analysis achieved $p < 5E-08$ and the test for heterogeneity across studies was non-significant ($p > 0.05$). We also adjusted the most associated SNPs for population structure (principal components), age at diagnosis, diagnostic PSA and Gleason score but we did not observe any confounding (data not shown).

Replication

Genome-wide significant SNPs in the discovery stage were directly genotyped in 1,783 individuals from the UKGPCS1 study (Table 1) using TaqMan assays to verify imputation quality, evaluated as the concordance rate between imputed and genotyped data (percentage of individuals correctly classified by imputation). Significant SNPs from the discovery stage with satisfactory imputation qualities were assessed for replication in a Norwegian case-cohort study (CONOR(5)) comprising 1,496 prostate cancer cases of which 791 died due to prostate cancer during follow-up. Genotypes were derived through TaqMan assays and analyzed in a proportional hazards model for case-cohort designs(6) with adjustment for age at diagnosis.

Results

Among the 24,023 prostate cancer patients included in the discovery stage, we observed 3,513 deaths due to prostate cancer (Table 1). No inflation was observed in the combined meta-analysis ($\lambda_{1000} = 1.02$)(7). Ten SNPs reached genome-wide significance, two common variants (MAF 7–8%) and eight rare variants (MAF 1–2%, Table 2). Six of these SNPs failed genotyping in the UKGPCS1 sample (either because of unsuccessful assay design, failed clustering or monomorphism) while the remaining four SNPs (rs114997855 on chromosome 2, rs76010824 on chromosome 3, rs140659849 and rs723557 on chromosome X) had an excellent concordance rate (98–99%) between genotyped and imputed data. These

four SNPs were put forward for replication in the Norwegian CONOR cohort. None of the four SNPs showed any evidence of association in the Norwegian cohort ($p > 0.05$) and inclusion of these results in the meta-analysis resulted in non-genome-wide significance levels for each SNP (Table 2).

Discussion

We performed a genome-wide search for SNPs associated with prostate cancer survival by combining data from the PRACTICAL and BPC3 consortia. Our null finding is in line with previous smaller studies(8) and implicates that the existence of common genetic variants with large effect sizes is unlikely. We would however like to stress that our analysis was based on imputed data and some areas of the genome were not well represented due to a low number of SNPs with good imputation quality.

Despite a reasonably large replication sample we saw no evidence of association among the four SNPs that were initially found to be genome-wide significant ($p < 5E-08$). Two of these SNPs were rare, in which spurious associations occur more easily. It is however more surprising that the two common SNPs (MAF=7–8%) were false positives. This underlines the importance of independent replication in genetic association studies.

From this study, we conclude that the search for SNPs that are associated with prostate cancer survival should focus on the identification of rare variants with large effect sizes or common variants with small effect sizes. Large study populations with complete follow-up information regarding survival are warranted to successfully achieve this task.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Robert Szulkin^{1,2,*}, Robert Karlsson¹, Thomas Whittington¹, Markus Aly¹, Henrik Gronberg¹, Rosalind A. Eeles^{3,4}, Douglas F. Easton⁵, Zsafia Kote-Jarai³, Ali Amin Al Olama⁵, Sara Benlloch⁵, Kenneth Muir^{6,7}, Graham G. Giles^{8,9}, Melissa C. Southey¹⁰, Liesel M. FitzGerald⁸, Brian E. Henderson¹¹, Fredrick R. Schumacher¹¹, Christopher A. Haiman¹¹, Csilla Sipeky¹², Teuvo LJ Tammela¹⁴, Børge G. Nordestgaard^{15,16}, Timothy J. Key¹⁷, Ruth C. Travis¹⁷, David E. Neal^{18,19}, Jenny L. Donovan²⁰, Freddie C. Hamdy²¹, Paul D.P. Pharoah²², Nora Pashayan^{23,22}, Kay-Tee Khaw²⁴, Janet L. Stanford^{25,26}, Stephen N. Thibodeau²⁷, Shannon K. McDonnell²⁷, Daniel J. Schaid²⁷, Christiane Maier²⁸, Walther Vogel²⁹, Manuel Luedeke²⁸, Kathleen Herkommer³⁰, Adam S. Kibel³¹, Cezary Cybulski³², Jan Lubinski³², Wojciech Klu niak³², Lisa Cannon-Albright^{33,34}, Hermann Brenner^{35,36,37}, Volker Herrmann³⁵, Bernd Holleczek³⁸, Jong Y. Park³⁹, Thomas A. Sellers³⁹, Hui-Yi Lim⁴⁰, Chavdar Slavov⁴¹, Radka P. Kaneva⁴², Vanio I. Mitev⁴², Amanda Spurdle⁴³, Manuel R. Teixeira^{44,45}, Paula Paulo⁴⁴, Sofia Maia⁴⁴, Hardev Pandha⁴⁶, Agnieszka Michael⁴⁶, Andrzej Kierzek^{46,**} **on behalf of the PRACTICAL consortium**, Jyotsna Batra⁴⁷, Judith A. Clements^{47,47,48} **on behalf of The**

Australian Prostate Cancer BioResource, Demetrius Albanes⁴⁹, Gerald L. Andriole⁵⁰, Sonja I. Berndt⁴⁹, Stephen Chanock^{49,51}, Susan M. Gapstur⁵², Edward L. Giovannucci^{53,54}, David J. Hunter⁵⁵, Peter Kraft⁵⁵, Loic Le Marchand⁵⁶, Jing Ma^{54,57,58}, Alison M. Mondul⁴⁹, Kathryn L. Penney^{54,57,58}, Meir J. Stampfer⁵⁸, Victoria L. Stevens⁵², Stephanie J. Weinstein⁴⁹, Antonia Trichopoulou^{59,60,61}, Bas H. Bueno-de-Mesquita^{62,63,64,65}, Anne Tjonneland⁶⁶, David G. Cox^{67,68} **on behalf of the BPC3 consortium**, Lovise Maehle⁶⁹, Johanna Schleutker^{12,13}, Sara Lindström⁵⁵, and Fredrik Wiklund¹

Affiliations

¹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden ²Academic Primary Healthcare Center, Stockholm county council, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden ³The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK ⁴Royal Marsden National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust, London and Sutton, UK ⁵Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Strangeways Research Laboratory, Worts Causeway, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK ⁶Institute of Population Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK ⁷Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK ⁸Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, 615 St Kilda Road, Melbourne Victoria, Australia ⁹Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ¹⁰Genetic Epidemiology Laboratory, Department of Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Grattan Street, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia ¹¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California, US ¹²Department of Medical Biochemistry and Genetics, Institute of Biomedicine Kiinamyllynkatu 10, FI-20014 University of Turku, Finland ¹³BioMediTech, University of Tampere and FimLab Laboratories, Tampere, Finland. ¹⁴Department of Urology, Tampere University Hospital and Medical School, University of Tampere, Finland ¹⁵Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev Ringvej 75, DK-2730 Herlev, Denmark ¹⁶Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen ¹⁷Cancer Epidemiology, Nuffield Department of Population Health University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ¹⁸University of Cambridge, Department of Oncology, Box 279, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road Cambridge CB2 0QQ ¹⁹Cancer Research UK Cambridge Research Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre, Cambridge, UK ²⁰School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road, Bristol, BS8 2PS, UK ²¹Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, Faculty of Medical Science, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK ²²Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Strangeways Research Laboratory, Worts Causeway, Cambridge, UK ²³University College London, Department of Applied Health Research, 1-19 Torrington Place, London, WC1E 7HB ²⁴Clinical Gerontology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge UK ²⁵Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle,

Washington, 98109-1024, USA ²⁶Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, US ²⁷Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA ²⁸Department of Urology, University Hospital Ulm, Germany ²⁹Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Ulm ³⁰Department of Urology, Klinikum rechts der Isar der Technischen Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany ³¹Division of Urologic Surgery, Brigham and Womens Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA ³²International Hereditary Cancer Center, Department of Genetics and Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland ³³Division of Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA ³⁴George E. Wahlen Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, US ³⁵Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany ³⁶Division of Preventive Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg Germany ³⁷German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany ³⁸Saarland Cancer Registry, Saarbrücken, Germany ³⁹Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Moffitt Cancer Center, 12902 Magnolia Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA ⁴⁰Biostatistics Program, Moffitt Cancer Center, 12902 Magnolia Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA ⁴¹Department of Urology and Alexandrovskia University Hospital, Medical University, Sofia, Bulgaria ⁴²Department of Medical Chemistry and Biochemistry, Molecular Medicine Center, Medical University, Sofia, 2 Zdrave Str., 1431 Sofia, Bulgaria ⁴³Molecular Cancer Epidemiology Laboratory, Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Australia ⁴⁴Department of Genetics, Portuguese Oncology Institute, Porto, Portugal ⁴⁵Biomedical Sciences Institute (ICBAS), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal ⁴⁶The University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH ⁴⁷Australian Prostate Cancer Research Centre-Qld, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation and School of Biomedical Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia ⁴⁸Australian Prostate Cancer BioResource, Brisbane, QLD ⁴⁹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA ⁵⁰Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA ⁵¹Core Genotyping Facility, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Gaithersburg MD, USA ⁵²Epidemiology Research Program, American Cancer Society, 250 Williams Street, Atlanta Georgia 30303, USA ⁵³Departments of Epidemiology and Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston MA, 02115, USA ⁵⁴Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA, 02115, USA ⁵⁵Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA ⁵⁶Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA ⁵⁷Department of Epidemiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital Channing Laboratory, Boston MA 02115, USA ⁵⁸Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115 ⁵⁹Hellenic Health Foundation, 13 Kaisareias Street, Athens, GR-115 27, Greece ⁶⁰Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, 75 M. Asias Street, Goudi, GR-115 27,

Athens, Greece ⁶¹Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, 23 Alexandroupoleos Street, Athens, GR-115 27, Greece ⁶²Dt. for Determinants of Chronic Diseases (DCD), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands ⁶³Dt. of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands ⁶⁴Dt. of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom ⁶⁵Dt. of Social & Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁶⁶Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark ⁶⁷Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London ⁶⁸Cancer Research Center of Lyon, INSERM UMR1052, Center Léon Bérard, Lyon, France ⁶⁹Inherited Cancer Research Group, Department for Medical Genetics, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, 0310, Oslo, Norway

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments are found in the supplementary notes.

Financial support:

Fredrik Wiklund was recipient of the Swedish Cancer Society grant 2012/823 and Swedish Research Council grant 2014/2269.

References

1. Hugosson J, Carlsson S, Aus G, Bergdahl S, Khatami A, Lodding P, et al. Mortality results from the Goteborg randomised population-based prostate-cancer screening trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010; 11:725–32. [PubMed: 20598634]
2. Lindstrom LS, Hall P, Hartman M, Wiklund F, Gronberg H, Czene K. Familial concordance in cancer survival: a Swedish population-based study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2007; 8:1001–6. [PubMed: 17921068]
3. Al Olama AA, Kote-Jarai Z, Berndt SI, Conti DV, Schumacher F, Han Y, et al. A meta-analysis of 87,040 individuals identifies 23 new susceptibility loci for prostate cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2014; 46:1103–9. [PubMed: 25217961]
4. Schumacher FR, Berndt SI, Siddiq A, Jacobs KB, Wang Z, Lindstrom S, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies new prostate cancer susceptibility loci. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2011; 20:3867–75. [PubMed: 21743057]
5. Naess O, Sogaard AJ, Arnesen E, Beckstrom AC, Bjertness E, Engeland A, et al. Cohort profile: cohort of Norway (CONOR). *International journal of epidemiology.* 2008; 37:481–5. [PubMed: 17984119]
6. Therneau TM, Li H. Computing the Cox model for case cohort designs. *Lifetime data analysis.* 1999; 5:99–112. [PubMed: 10408179]
7. de Bakker PI, Ferreira MA, Jia X, Neale BM, Raychaudhuri S, Voight BF. Practical aspects of imputation-driven meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2008; 17:R122–8. [PubMed: 18852200]
8. Penney KL, Pyne S, Schumacher FR, Sinnott JA, Mucci LA, Kraft PL, et al. Genome-wide association study of prostate cancer mortality. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2010; 19:2869–76. [PubMed: 20978177]

Table 1

Patient characteristics of included study populations.

Study	N	No of prostate cancer deaths	Total person-years	Person-years at risk median (min-max)	Number of SNPs with imputation quality ≥ 0.75
PRACTICAL					
CAPS	412	49	3 476.6	9.3 (0.4–11.8)	5,752,274 ^f
CAPSI	492	214	3 120.2	7.1 (0.1–11.8)	8,933,855 ^{\$}
CAPS2	1493	331	11 644.6	9.1 (0–11.8)	8,715,366 ^{\$}
CPCS	925	97	1 772.1	1.3 (0.1–18.1)	5,550,954 ^f
EPIC	404	35	467.4	0 (0–13.2)	5,503,395 ^f
ESTHER	300	22	2 179.9	7.7 (0.1–9.8)	5,495,692 ^f
FHCRC	760	46	7 716.6	8.1 (0.2–18.1)	5,532,283 ^f
MAYO	737	40	4 612.8	6.8 (0.1–13.9)	5,555,791 ^f
MCCS_PCFS	1663	139	41 656.4	22.6 (0–71.2)	5,425,082 ^f
MEC	581	15	3 531.5	5.8 (0.1–13.1)	5,462,203 ^f
PCMUS	57	7	68.9	1.1 (0.1–4.0)	5,359,978 ^f
SEARCH	1369	70	4 966.3	3.7 (0.1–4.5)	5,510,831 ^f
STHMI	2199	71	8 247.2	3.9 (0–4.3)	5,724,947 ^f
TAMPERE	2463	248	21 037.6	7.8 (0.8–20.9)	6,455,082 ^f
UKGPCS	4344	826	22 906.2	4.3 (0–27.3)	5,485,041 ^f
UKGPCS1	1783	457	13 689.0	7.0 (0.1–30.0)	9,536,409 ^V
UKGPCS2	772	189	6 961.8	9.1 (0–24.9)	1,235,003 ^T
ULM	365	32	3 151.9	9.0 (0.6–22.0)	5,457,321 ^f
UTAH	122	27	603.7	4.0 (0.1–26.9)	5,641,408 ^f
BPC3					
ATBC	245	133	1 426.2	5.8 (0–19.9)	8,232,459 ^{\$}

Study	N	No of prostate cancer deaths	Total person-years	Person-years at risk median (min-max)	Number of SNPs with imputation quality ≥ 0.75
PRACTICAL					
CPSII	636	79	5 859,4	9.2 (0.3–16.3)	7,448,367 [§]
EPIC	431	159	2 197,3	5.2 (0–14.3)	7,612,553 [§]
HPFS	214	37	1 616.6	7.6 (0.1–14.4)	7,539,277 [§]
MEC	244	23	1 868,3	7.7 (0.9–15.4)	7,571,269 [§]
PHS	298	97	2 811,8	9.4 (0–24.7)	7,569,352 [§]
PLCO	714	70	4 664,5	6.7 (0.1–12.9)	7,526,690 [§]
Total	24023	3513	182 254,8		
<hr/>					
CONOR	1496	791	8741,4	5.0 (0.08–20.8)	

^f Genotyped on a custom Illumina SNP infirmum chip (iCOGS) with 211,155 SNPs, enriched in regions associated with incidence of prostate, breast and ovarian cancer.

^g Genotyped on Illumina Infinium HumanHap 550 Array.

^h Genotyped on Illumina iSELECT in 43,671 SNPs.

ⁱ Genotyped on Affymetrix GeneChip 5.0K or 500K.

^j Genotyped on Illumina Human 610 or 610K.

Table 2

Genome-wide assessment of prostate cancer survival.

SNP CHR:BP	Alleles ^T MAF	PRACTICAL and BPC3		CONOR		All studies ^S	
		Total number No of PC/deaths	HR (95% CI) P-value	HR (95% CI) P-value	HR (95% CI) P-value		
rs190087062	G/A	2,416/704	2.83 (1.99–4.02)				
1:115063785	0.02		6.5E-09				
rs114997855	A/G	20,051/2,729	1.75 (1.44–2.13)	0.88 (0.42–1.85)	1.67 (1.38–2.03)		
2:30622824	0.02		2.6E-08	0.73	1.20E-07		
rs76010824	A/G	23,251/3,324	1.29 (1.18–1.41)	1.01 (0.76–1.35)	1.26 (1.16–1.38)		
3:67442642	0.07		2.8E-08	0.94	1.10E-07		
rs184342703	T/C	6,812/832	2.36 (1.73–3.20)				
4:135989066	0.02		4.2E-08				
rs192864713	G/A	1,738/464	3.54 (2.31–5.43)				
5:27429220	0.01		7.3E-09				
rs111414857	G/A	17,146/2,236	1.98 (1.56–2.50)				
7:126639415	0.01		1.7E-08				
rs149470135	A/T	4,725/599	3.09 (2.09–4.59)				
8:86472701	0.01		2.0E-08				
rs117643112	C/A	6,306/1,577	1.93 (1.53–2.43)				
12:81746712	0.02		3.1E-08				
rs140659849 ^P	A/G	2,702/271	3.00 (2.06–4.36)	0.75 (0.24–2.33)	2.61 (1.83–3.73)		
X:50194937	0.01		9.6E-09	0.62	1.20E-07		
rs723557Y	G/T	23,251/3,324	1.17 (1.10–1.24)	1.00 (0.84–1.19)	1.15 (1.09–1.22)		
X:126653357	0.08		1.5E-07	0.98	6.10E-07		

Abbreviations: CHR=Chromosome, BP=Base position (Genome build 37), MAF=Minor allele frequency, HR=Hazard ratio, 95% CI=95% confidence interval.

^TMinor allele/Major allele. Minor allele used as effect allele (major as reference) in analysis.

^SMeta-analysis between PRACTICAL, BPC3 and CONOR.

^PProxy for rs13440791 (p=2.7E-08 in PRACTICAL and BPC3).

Proxy for rs190977150 (p=9.5E-09 in PRACTICAL and BPC3).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript