



Fetal overgrowth in women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus

Linnea Ladfors¹, Nael Shaat^{2,3}, Nana Wiberg^{1,4}, Anastasia Katasarou^{2,3}, Kerstin Berntorp^{2,3}, Karl Kristensen^{1,4}*

- 1 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, 2 Department of Endocrinology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, 3 Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden, 4 Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
- * karl.kristensen@med.lu.se



Objectives

Despite improved glycemic control, the rate of large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infants remains high in pregnancies complicated by diabetes mellitus type 1 (T1DM) and type 2 (T2DM). Poor glycemic control, obesity, and excessive gestational weight gain are the main risk factors. The aim of this study was to determine the relative contribution of these risk factors for LGA in women with T1DM and T2DM, after controlling for important confounders such as age, smoking, and parity.

Methods

In this retrospective chart review study, we analyzed the medical files of pregnant women with T1DM and T2DM who attended the antenatal care program at Skåne University Hospital during the years 2006 to 2016. HbA1c was used as a measure of glycemic control. Maternal weight in early pregnancy and at term was registered. LGA was defined as birth weight > 2 standard deviations of the mean. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratios (OR's) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for LGA.

Results

Over the 11-year period, we identified 308 singleton pregnancies in 221 women with T1DM and in 87 women with T2DM. The rate of LGA was 50% in women with T1DM and 23% in women with T2DM. The multivariable regression model identified gestational weight gain and second-trimester HbA1c as risk factors for LGA in T1DM pregnancies (OR = 1.107, 95% CI: 1.044–1.17, and OR = 1.047, 95% CI: 1.015–1.080, respectively) and gestational weight gain as a risk factor in T2DM pregnancies (OR = 1.175, 95% CI: 1.048–1.318), independent of body mass index.

Conclusions

Gestational weight gain was associated with LGA in women with T1DM and T2DM, independent of maternal body mass index. The findings suggest that monitoring and regulation of





Citation: Ladfors L, Shaat N, Wiberg N, Katasarou A, Berntorp K, Kristensen K (2017) Fetal overgrowth in women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. PLoS ONE 12(11): e0187917. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187917

Editor: Maria Cristina Vinci, Centro Cardiologico Monzino, ITALY

Received: May 21, 2017

Accepted: October 27, 2017

Published: November 9, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Ladfors et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was supported by grants from the research funds of Skåne University Hospital and from the Skåne County Council and Development Foundation.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.



gestational weight gain is important in the clinical care of these women, to minimize the risk of fetal overgrowth.

Introduction

Due to improved antenatal and intrapartum care, the outcomes in pregnant women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T1DM and T2DM) have improved in recent decades. However, the prevalence of fetal overgrowth (macrosomia and large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infants) remains high, resulting in an increased risk of maternal and neonatal complications [1, 2]. The maternal complications include prolonged labor, third- and fourth-degree perineal tears, post-partum hemorrhage, and cesarean section [3–5]. The neonatal complications include hypoglycemia, respiratory disorders, hyperbilirubinemia, and shoulder dystocia [6].

Some adverse consequences of being LGA may persist into later life. There are study results which suggest that being born LGA predispose to overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence, and also to subsequent comorbidities such as T2DM and cardiovascular disease [7, 8].

The fetal overgrowth is believed to be caused mainly by the placental transfer of maternal glucose, leading to fetal hyperinsulinemia, which drives fetal fat accumulation [9]. However, in spite of improved glycemic control in pregnant women with diabetes, the risk of fetal overgrowth remains high, suggesting that other contributory factors may be involved. The contribution of obesity and gestational weight gain (GWG) to LGA and macrosomia has been highlighted in several studies [10–13]. The body mass index- (BMI-) adjusted recommendations for GWG were issued by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) with the intention of reducing the risk of fetal overgrowth and associated complications [14]. Since the underlying pathophysiology of T1DM and T2DM is fundamentally different, it is reasonable to assume that factors known to affect fetal growth may have different roles in T1DM and T2DM pregnancies.

The aim of this study was to determine the relative contribution of the major risk factors for LGA and macrosomia, and to evaluate the BMI-adjusted recommendations for GWG in women with T1DM and T2DM.

Methods

Study design and study subjects

This retrospective chart review study included a total of 308 women with pre-gestational T1DM and T2DM who attended antenatal care and gave birth at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Skåne University Hospital (in Lund and Malmö) between 2006 and 2016. We included singleton pregnancies for which medical records for antenatal care and delivery were available. Women with multiple gestations, miscarriages, or intrauterine fetal deaths were not included. Pregnancies complicated by chromosomal disorders, major fetal anomalies, or syndromes were excluded.

The T2DM pregnancies were managed according to our routine procedures for T1DM pregnancies. Prior to 2015, treatment with oral antidiabetic drugs was discontinued at the first antenatal visit and replaced with insulin. From 2015, metformin was an accepted treatment option. If glucose targets were not achieved on diet and metformin alone, additional treatment with insulin was given. In all, 7 of 87 women with T2DM continued with metformin.



Variables

The following data were retrieved from the medical files: date of birth; ethnicity; date of delivery; HbA1c levels in the first trimester (weeks 10-14); in the second trimester (weeks 22-26), and in the third trimester (weeks 32-36); type of diabetes and treatment; parity; weight and height at the initial visit; smoking habits; weight at term; gestational age at delivery; mode of delivery; birth weight; gender of offspring; and Apgar score at 5 minutes. Maternal complications such as pre-eclampsia and pregnancy-induced hypertension were recorded, as were neonatal complications such as hypoglycemia, jaundice, and shoulder dystocia. The maternal BMI was calculated at the pre-pregnancy visit or at the first visit to the antenatal clinic at 6–8 weeks. All pregnancies were dated by ultrasound in the first trimester.

HbA1c was measured with ion-exchange chromatography. Values given in % (Mono S) were converted to IFCC units (mmol/mol) using the regression equations developed by the IFCC Working Group [15].

LGA was defined as birth weight > 2 standard deviations (SD's) of the expected birth weight for gestational age according to the Swedish standard for intrauterine growth [16]. Macrosomia was defined as birth weight > 4,000 g [17].

Excessive GWG was defined as weight gain exceeding the IOM recommendations for maternal weight gain during pregnancy, after adjustment for the maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. For women with normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9), a weight gain of 11.3–15.9 kg is recommended. For those who are overweight (BMI 25–29.9), a weight gain of 6.8–11.3 kg is recommended; and for women who are obese (BMI \geq 30), a weight gain of 5–9.1 kg is recommended [14].

Statistical analysis

The t-test for independent samples was used to compare normally distributed continuous variables, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare data that were not normally distributed. The Chi-squared test was used to compare categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to analyze the effect of the clinical predictors on LGA. Univariable binary regression was used for each variable followed by a multivariable logistic regression model where all interesting variables were retained while controlling for important confounders such as age (continuous variable), smoking (yes/no), and parity (1/>1). Odds ratios (OR's) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Two-sided p-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. IBM SPSS Statistics version 24.0 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) was used for analysis.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lund University (LU 48–2012) and was conducted in accordance with the Swedish Act on Ethics Review of Research Involving Humans (2003:460) and the Swedish Act on Personal Data (2008:355), revised 2009 (2009:525). The Ethics committee advised that no informed consent was deemed necessary for this study as data was used for quality control and collected as part of routine clinical care.

Results

The cohort consisted of 221 women with T1DM and 87 women with T2DM. The maternal characteristics are shown in <u>Table 1</u>. The women with T1DM were generally younger and leaner than the women with T2DM. The women with T1DM gained significantly more weight during pregnancy, exceeding the recommendations by IOM in 51% of the cases. They also had higher HbA1c levels in all three trimesters compared to the women with T2DM. A higher



Table 1. Maternal characteristics.

	T1DM (n = 221)	T2DM (n = 87)	<i>p</i> -value 0.000	
Age, years	31.9 ± 5.0	34.7 ± 4.9		
Smokers	25 (11)	11 (13)	0.742	
Nordic-Caucasian	192 (87%)	57 (65%)	0.000	
Primipara	99 (45)	16 (18)	0.000	
BMI in early pregnancy, kg/m ²	24.6 (22.7–28.1)	31.1 (27.1–36.1)	0.000	
Gestational weight gain, kg	13 (10–17)	10 (6–13)	0.000	
Excessive weight gain	112 (51)	32 (37)	0.000	
HbA1c trimester 1, mmol/mol	50 ± 13 44 ±		0.002	
HbA1c trimester 2, mmol/mol	39 ± 12	36 ± 9	0.032	
HbA1c trimester 3, mmol/mol	41 ± 10	36 ± 8	0.002	

T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index.

Results are given as n (%), mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range).

Differences in means were tested by t-test and differences in medians were tested by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Frequencies were compared using the Chisquared test. Missing data were below 5% for all variables, except for weight gain (7%) and HbA1c (11% in trimester 1, 10% in trimester 2, and 29% in trimester 3).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187917.t001

proportion of women with T2DM were of Non-Nordic origin (with women from the Middle East, Africa, and Asia comprising the largest groups).

Maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with T1DM and T2DM are presented in Table 2. Despite earlier delivery in general, the mean birth weight of the offspring was more than 200 g higher in T1DM pregnancies than in T2DM pregnancies. Similarly, the incidences of macrosomia, LGA, and neonatal hypoglycemia were significantly higher in T1DM offspring.

The results of the univariable logistic regression analysis of variables tested for associations with LGA are presented in <u>Table 3</u> (T1DM) and <u>Table 4</u> (T2DM). In both groups of women, GWG per se (and also excessive GWG according to IOM guidelines) was significantly

Table 2. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in T1DM and T2DM pregnancies.

, g					
	T1DM (n = 221)	T2DM (n = 87)	<i>p</i> -value		
Pre-eclampsia/PIH	22 (10)	9 (10)	0.928		
Cesarean section	92 (42)	30 (35)	0.237		
Gestational age at delivery, weeks	37.1 ± 2.1	38 ± 2.2	0.000		
Pre-term birth	63 (29)	11 (13)	0.003		
Female infant	104 (47)	43 (49)	0.708		
LGA infant	111 (50)	20 (23)	0.000		
Birth weight, g	3746 ± 711	3531 ± 778	0.020		
Macrosomia (> 4,000 g)	87 (39)	19 (22)	0.003		
Apgar score < 7 at 5 min	9 (4)	5 (6)	0.536		
Neonatal hypoglycemia	61 (28)	11 (13)	0.005		
Shoulder dystocia	4 (2)	1 (1)	0.680		

T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; PIH, pregnancy-induced hypertension; LGA, large for gestational age. Results are given as n (%) or mean ± SD.

Differences in means were tested by t-test. Frequencies were compared using the Chi-squared test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187917.t002



Table 3. Results of univariable logistic regression analysis of variables tested for associations with LGA in women with T1DM.

	LGA (n = 111)	Non-LGA (n = 109)	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age at delivery, years	31.1 ± 5.1	30.7 ± 5.0	1.015	0.963-1.071	0.577
Smokers	12 (11)	13 (12)	0.904	0.392-2.082	0.812
Primipara	41 (37)	57 (52)	0.534	0.312-0.915	0.023
Weight in early pregnancy, kg	74 ± 12	72 ± 12	1.017	0.994-1.040	0.159
BMI in early pregnancy, kg/m ²	25.8 ± 4.0	25.5 ± 3.7	1.021	0.952-1.094	0.562
Gestational weight gain, kg	15 ± 6	13 ± 5	1.091	1.034–1.151	0.001
Excessive weight gain	64 (58)	48 (44)	2.148	1.228-3.759	0.007
HbA1c trimester 1, mmol/mol	52 ± 13	48 ± 13	1.024	1.001-1.047	0.039
	(104) ^a	(101) ^a			
HbA1c trimester 2, mmol/mol	41 ± 12	37 ± 11	1.033	1.006-1.060	0.015
	(103) ^a	(99) ^a			
HbA1c trimester 3, mmol/mol	42 ± 10	39 ± 11	1.037	1.004–10.71	0.026
	(80) ^a	(77) ^a			

T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; LGA, large for gestational age; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Results are given as n (%) or mean ± SD.

Variables marked with bold italics were significant in the final multivariable model.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187917.t003

associated with fetal overgrowth (LGA). T1DM women with fetal overgrowth also had significantly higher levels of HbA1c in all three trimesters, but similar BMI in early pregnancy. In contrast, HbA1c levels were not associated with LGA in women with T2DM whereas BMI in early pregnancy was. Of the women with T1DM who gave birth to LGA infants, 50% were classified as having normal weight, 32% as overweight, and 18% as obese, as compared to 57%,

Table 4. Results of univariable logistic regression analysis of variables tested for associations with LGA in women with T2DM.

	LGA (n = 20)	Non-LGA (n = 67)	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age at delivery, years	34.2 ± 5.4	34.5 ± 4.8	0.974	0.877-1.083	0.630
Smokers	0	11 (16)	-	-	-
Primipara	3 (15)	13 (19)	0.656	0.187–2.881	0.656
Weight in early pregnancy, kg	96 ± 24	81 ± 18	1.038	1.010–1.066	0.006
BMI in early pregnancy, kg/m ²	34.3 ± 6.8	31.0 ± 5.8	1.095	1.006–1.192	0.036
Gestational weight gain, kg	14 ± 7	9 ± 6	1.130	1.028–1.241	0.011
Excessive weight gain	12 (60)	20 (30)	3.525	1.250-9.937	0.017
HbA1c trimester 1, mmol/mol	45 ± 12	44 ± 13	1.006	0.960-1.053	0.810
	(14) ^a	(55) ^a			
HbA1c trimester 2, mmol/mol	39 ± 9	35 ± 10	1.036	0.977-1.099	0.240
	(17) ^a	(56) ^a			
HbA1c trimester 3, mmol/mol	36 ± 7	36 ± 8	1.013	0.942-1.089	0.722
	(16) ^a	(46) ^a			

T2DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; LGA, large for gestational-age; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Results are given as n (%) or mean ± SD.

The variable marked with bold italics was significant in the final multivariable model.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187917.t004

^aNumber of samples available.

^aNumber of samples available.



29%, and 14% in T1DM women who gave birth to non-LGA infants (not significant). The corresponding figures for women with T2DM were 10%, 25%, and 65% as opposed to 10%, 40%, and 49%, respectively (not significant).

Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to determine the independent risk of variables tested for an association with LGA in univariable analysis. All variables were included in the respective model with the exception of third-trimester HbA1c in T1DM (due to a large number of missing values) and HbA1c (all trimesters) in T2DM (due to an overall large number of missing samples). The multivariable model identified GWG (OR = 1.107, 95% CI: 1.044-1.17, p=0.001) and second-trimester HbA1c (OR = 1.047, 95% CI: 1.015-1.080, p=0.004) as independent risk factors for LGA in women with T1DM. GWG was also identified as an independent risk factor for LGA in women with T2DM (OR = 1.175, 95% CI: 1.048-1.318, p=0.006), whereas BMI in early pregnancy and excessive GWG were not found to be associated with LGA in T1DM or T2DM pregnancies.

Discussion

In agreement with other studies, the proportion of offspring with fetal overgrowth from women with T1DM and T2DM was high [2, 10], and higher in T1DM pregnancies than in T2DM pregnancies. Irrespective of diabetes type, GWG was identified as a major risk factor for fetal overgrowth, as recently shown by others [11, 12]. Furthermore, glycemic control (expressed as HbA1c) was identified as a risk factor for LGA in women with T1DM, whereas no associations with LGA were found in women with T2DM.

HbA1c is a crude measure of glucose control and does not take postprandial changes in glucose into account, which are known to affect the growth of the fetus [18]. Interestingly, Damm et al. reported that plasma glucose > 11 mmol/L and per cent glucose values outside the normal range in the third trimester were significant predictors of LGA and macrosomia in T1DM women [19]. Unfortunately, we did not have access to individual glucose measurements in the present material. Previous studies have shown that higher HbA1c levels in the second and third trimesters relate to adverse outcomes of pregnancy in women with pre-existing T1DM and T2DM [20]. A prospective study of 725 women with T1DM showed significantly increased rates of LGA babies with a maternal HbA1c of \geq 42 mmol/mol at 26 and 34 weeks of gestation [21]. Moreover, Glinianaia et al. found increasing third-trimester HbA1c to be a stronger predictor of increased birth weight than second-trimester HbA1c in women with T1DM and T2DM [1]. However, this has not been a universal finding in all studies, as some have shown a stronger effect of HbA1c obtained in early pregnancy rather than in late pregnancy [22–25]. Due to a large number of missing values, third-trimester HbA1c was not included in our prediction model, but in line with some of the previous studies we found that second-trimester HbA1c, but not first-trimester HbA1c, was an independent risk factor for LGA in women with T1DM.

Alongside the increasing prevalence, there has been an increasing interest in pregnancies complicated by T2DM in recent years. Despite the fact that they have a milder glycemic disturbance, women with T2DM have perinatal outcomes that are comparable to those of women with T1DM [26]. In line with this, Clausen et al. reported worse perinatal outcomes in women with T2DM than in those with T1DM and in the background population [27]. As with our findings, a higher proportion of women with T2DM were older, had higher BMI, were multiparous and were of non-Nordic origin, but had lower HbA1c levels than those with T1DM. It has been hypothesized that substrates other than glucose also have a role in the pathogenesis of fetal overgrowth, including insulin resistance, other components of the metabolic syndrome, and hypertriglyceridemia [28]. In the present study cohort, there were few T2DM women with



LGA outcome (n = 20), and there were a rather large number of missing values for HbA1c, making it difficult to test for an association in the multivariable model. In the univariable analysis, however, HbA1c had no effect on the incidence of LGA in T2DM women.

Pre-term birth was more prevalent in T1DM women (29%) than in T2DM women (13%). Despite this, the mean birth weight of the offspring of T1DM women was higher. The rate of cesarean section followed the same trend (42% and 34%, respectively), which can be compared to an overall rate of 17.7% in Sweden [29]. Women with T1DM gave birth at a mean of 37 weeks, most often through the decision of the antenatal team to deliver by induction of labor or cesarean section when signs of maternal or fetal complications developed.

It is notable that a higher proportion of women with T1DM gave birth to LGA infants (50%) than women with T2DM (23%). They generally gained more weight during pregnancy also, exceeding the IOM guidelines in 51% of cases as compared to 37% in women with T2DM. The reason for this discrepancy is not known, but it has been shown that lean pregnant women accrue significantly more fat mass than obese women [30]. However, this finding has not been confirmed by others [11, 31]. Moreover, it is important to note that the significant association between GWG and LGA was unrelated to BMI in the present study.

The majority of the women with T1DM had achieved near-normal HbA1c levels in the second trimester. Such strict glycemic control is challenging to achieve in T1DM due to the increased risk of hypoglycemia. "Overeating" due to frequent episodes of hypoglycemia could possibly partially account for the increased weight gain in women with T1DM. Another contributory factor may be lowering of maternal glucose levels driven by the fetus through a process called the "fetoplacental glucose steal phenomenon". Early establishment of fetal hyperinsulinemia will increase the glucose gradient across the placenta through its effect on lowering of fetal glycaemia, and consequently lead to an increased flow of glucose to the fetus [32]. Poor glycemic control early in pregnancy will result in the establishment of fetal hyperinsulinemia, causing an exaggerated uptake of glucose by the fetus.

Treatment with metformin may help reduce maternal weight gain in women with T2DM but it does not appear to have an effect on fetal growth [33]. Since only a small proportion of women with T2DM were treated with metformin, an effect of metformin on maternal weight gain and fetal growth seems less likely. However, the fact that a higher proportion of T2DM women had a mixed ethnic background may have influenced the results.

In this study, we could not confirm that BMI (pre-pregnancy or in early pregnancy) is an independent risk factor for LGA in women with T1DM or T2DM. This could be explained by differences in study group characteristics, including the fact that the women in the cohort were generally leaner than in some of the previous studies [10, 34, 35]. Excessive GWG was more common in women who gave birth to LGA offspring, but excessive GWG was not identified as a predictor of LGA in the corresponding multivariable model. Considering the IOM guidelines on GWG, our results suggest that the weight gain in itself is of greater importance for the risk of LGA than GWG exceeding the IOM recommendations. However, since the numbers of women in each BMI category became rather small when dividing GWG into the different BMI classes (normal weight, overweight and obese) there may have been a lack of statistical power when trying to establish whether there was significance. In this context, it is important to note that LGA was defined as birth weight above > 2 standard deviations of the expected birth weight according to the Swedish reference curve (approximately equivalent to the 97.5th percentile), and not above the 90th percentile for the reference population, which is the most commonly used definition. This may have affected the results.

The present findings highlight the importance of counselling and of assisting diabetic women with dietary changes and changes in exercise during pregnancy [36]. Women should be advised about the IOM recommendations for GWG, and the risk of fetal overgrowth when



GWG exceeds the recommendations. It has even been suggested that GWG within the lower range of the values recommended by the IOM might be appropriate for women with pre-gestational diabetes [13, 31]. A low-glycemic index diet during pregnancy aimed at avoiding excessive weight gain has been recommended [37, 38]. Leisure-time physical activity, defined as 30 minutes of moderate exercise on most days of the week, has been shown to reduce the risk of LGA [39]. Moreover, regular exercise (a cycling program) from the twentieth week of gestation until delivery has been associated with lower birth weight [40]. Whether or not these recommendations are also appropriate for women with pre-gestational diabetes should be investigated.

This study was limited by its retrospective nature and its sample size, with a rather large number of missing values for HbA1c. The strengths of the study were the inclusion of consecutive pregnancies from a geographically well-defined area and the fact that all the data were retrieved from electronic medical files and were therefore not affected by recall bias. Data were obtained from hospital scales, blood results, or patient record notes taken in the antenatal care unit/delivery ward. Furthermore, information on important confounders was available and was controlled for in the logistic regression models. It has previously been shown by our group that maternal characteristics such as age, parity, smoking, BMI, and pre-existing diabetes influence fetal growth during the last trimester of pregnancy [41].

Conclusions

Higher GWG was found to be associated with higher birth weight of the offspring, independent of maternal BMI in women with T1DM and T2DM, and independent of maternal glycemic control in women with T1DM. Our findings suggest that one should concentrate more on weight gain in the clinical care of these women, to minimize the risk of fetal overgrowth in pregnancy.

Supporting information

S1 File. T1DMdata. Data-set. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the research funds of Skåne University Hospital and from the Skåne County Council and Development Foundation. We are indebted to Per-Erik Isberg for statistical support.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Anastasia Katasarou, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Data curation: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Karl Kristensen.

Formal analysis: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Funding acquisition: Kerstin Berntorp.

Investigation: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Anastasia Katasarou, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl

Kristensen.

Methodology: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Nana Wiberg, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Project administration: Karl Kristensen.



Resources: Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Software: Karl Kristensen.

Supervision: Nael Shaat, Nana Wiberg, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Validation: Linnea Ladfors, Karl Kristensen.

Visualization: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Anastasia Katasarou, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Writing – original draft: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Anastasia Katasarou, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

Writing – review & editing: Linnea Ladfors, Nael Shaat, Nana Wiberg, Anastasia Katasarou, Kerstin Berntorp, Karl Kristensen.

References

- Glinianaia SV, Tennant PWG, Bilous RW, Rankin J, Bell R. HbA1c and birthweight in women with preconception type 1 and type 2 diabetes: a population-based cohort study. Diabetologia. 2012; 55 (12):3193–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-012-2721-z PMID: 23015260
- Persson M, Norman M, Hanson U. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in type 1 diabetic pregnancies: A large, population-based study. Diabetes Care. 2009; 32(11):2005–9. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-0656 PMID: 19675195
- Jolly MC, Sebire NJ, Harris JP, Regan L, Robinson S. Risk factors for macrosomia and its clinical consequences: a study of 350,311 pregnancies. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 2003; 111(1):9–14.
- 4. Sultan AH, Kamm MA, Hudson CN, Bartram CI. Third degree obstetric anal sphincter tears: risk factors and outcome of primary repair. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 1994; 308(6933):887–91.
- Mollberg M, Hagberg H, Bager B, Lilja H, Ladfors L. High birthweight and shoulder dystocia: the strongest risk factors for obstetrical brachial plexus palsy in a Swedish population-based study. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica. 2005; 84(7):654–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0001-6349.2005.00632.x PMID: 15954875
- 6. Lloreda-Garcia JM, Sevilla-Denia S, Rodriguez-Sanchez A, Munoz-Martinez P, Diaz-Ruiz M. Perinatal outcome of macrosomic infants born to diabetic versus non-diabetic mothers. Endocrinologia y nutricion: organo de la Sociedad Espanola de Endocrinologia y Nutricion. 2016; 63(8):409–13.
- Moore TR. Fetal exposure to gestational diabetes contributes to subsequent adult metabolic syndrome. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2010; 202(6):643–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog. 2010.02.059 PMID: 20430355
- Dabelea D, Crume T. Maternal Environment and the Transgenerational Cycle of Obesity and Diabetes. Diabetes. 2011; 60(7):1849. https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-0400 PMID: 21709280
- Pedersen J. Weight and length at birth of infants of diabetic mothers. Acta Endocrinologica. 1954; 16
 (4):330–42. PMID: 13206643
- Persson M, Pasupathy D, Hanson U, Westgren M, Norman M. Pre-pregnancy body mass index and the risk of adverse outcome in type 1 diabetic pregnancies: a population-based cohort study. BMJ Open. 2012; 2(1):e000601. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000601 PMID: 22334581
- Morrens A, Verhaeghe J, Vanhole C, Devlieger R, Mathieu C, Benhalima K. Risk factors for large-forgestational age infants in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016; 16 (1):162. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0958-0 PMID: 27421257
- Parellada CB, Asbjornsdottir B, Ringholm L, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Fetal growth in relation to gestational weight gain in women with type 2 diabetes: an observational study. Diabet Med. 2014; 31 (12):1681–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12558 PMID: 25081349
- Secher AL, Parellada CB, Ringholm L, Ásbjörnsdóttir B, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Higher gestational weight gain is associated with increasing offspring birth weight independent of maternal glycemic control in women with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37(10):2677–84. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0896 PMID: 25249669
- Committee Opinion No. 548: Weight Gain During Pregnancy. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2013; 121 (1):210–2.



- Hoelzel W, Weykamp C, Jeppsson J- O, Miedema K, Barr JR, Goodall I, et al. IFCC reference system for measurement of hemoglobin A1c in human blood and the national standardization schemes in the United States, Japan, and Sweden: a method-comparison study. Clinical chemistry. 2004; 50(1):166– 74. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2003.024802 PMID: 14709644
- Marsal K, Persson PH, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. Acta Paediatr. 1996; 85(7):843–8. PMID: 8819552
- Allen K, Wallace SVF. Fetal macrosomia. Obstetrics, Gynaecology & Reproductive Medicine. 2013; 23

 (6):185–8.
- Jovanovic-Peterson L, Peterson CM, Reed GF, Metzger BE, Mills JL, Knopp RH, et al. Maternal postprandial glucose levels and infant birth weight: the Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development—Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1991; 164(1 Pt 1):103–11.
- 19. Damm P, Mersebach H, Råstam J, Kaaja R, Hod M, McCance DR, et al. Poor pregnancy outcome in women with type 1 diabetes is predicted by elevated HbA1c and spikes of high glucose values in the third trimester. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2014; 27(2):149–54.
- Inkster ME, Fahey TP, Donnan PT, Leese GP, Mires GJ, Murphy DJ. Poor glycated haemoglobin control and adverse pregnancy outcomes in type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review of observational studies. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2006; 6(1):30.
- Maresh MJ, Holmes VA, Patterson CC, Young IS, Pearson DW, Walker JD, et al. Glycemic targets in the second and third trimester of pregnancy for women with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2015; 38 (1):34–42. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-1755 PMID: 25368104
- Raychaudhuri K, Maresh MJ. Glycemic control throughout pregnancy and fetal growth in insulin-dependent diabetes. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2000; 95(2):190–4. PMID: 10674578
- Rey E, Attie C, Bonin A. The effects of first-trimester diabetes control on the incidence of macrosomia.
 Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999; 181(1):202–6. PMID: 10411820
- 24. Gold AE, Reilly R, Little J, Walker JD. The effect of glycemic control in the pre-conception period and early pregnancy on birth weight in women with IDDM. Diabetes Care. 1998; 21(4):535–8. PMID: 9571338
- 25. Colstrup M, Mathiesen ER, Damm P, Jensen DM, Ringholm L. Pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes: Have the goals of St. Vincent declaration been met concerning foetal and neonatal complications? The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2013; 26(17):1682–6.
- 26. Balsells M, Garcia-Patterson A, Gich I, Corcoy R. Maternal and fetal outcome in women with type 2 versus type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2009; 94(11):4284–91. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-1231 PMID: 19808847
- 27. Clausen TD, Mathiesen E, Ekbom P, Hellmuth E, Mandrup-Poulsen T, Damm P. Poor pregnancy outcome in women with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005; 28(2):323–8. PMID: 15677787
- Zawiejska A, Wender-Ozegowska E, Brazert J, Sodowski K. Components of metabolic syndrome and their impact on fetal growth in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. Journal of physiology and pharmacology: an official journal of the Polish Physiological Society. 2008; 59 Suppl 4:5–18.
- 29. The Swedish Medical Birth Register 1973–2014: Pregnancies, births and newborn infants Stockholm: National Board of Health and Welfare; 2015 [Available from: http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/ publikationer 2015/2015-12-27.
- Ehrenberg HM, Huston-Presley L, Catalano PM. The influence of obesity and gestational diabetes mellitus on accretion and the distribution of adipose tissue in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 189 (4):944–8. PMID: 14586331
- Asbjornsdottir B, Rasmussen SS, Kelstrup L, Damm P, Mathiesen ER. Impact of restricted maternal weight gain on fetal growth and perinatal morbidity in obese women with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36(5):1102–6. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-1232 PMID: 23248191
- 32. Desoye G, Nolan CJ. The fetal glucose steal: an underappreciated phenomenon in diabetic pregnancy. Diabetologia. 2016; 59:1089–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3931-6 PMID: 26995651
- Rowan JA, Gao W, Hague WM, McIntyre HD. Glycemia and its relationship to outcomes in the metformin in gestational diabetes trial. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33(1):9–16. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1407 PMID: 19846793
- Gaudet L, Ferraro ZM, Wen SW, Walker M. Maternal Obesity and Occurrence of Fetal Macrosomia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. BioMed Research International. 2014; 2014.
- Olmos PR, Araya-Del-Pino AP, González-Carvello CA, Laso-Ulloa P, Hodgson MI, Irribarra V, et al. Near-optimal glycemic control in Chilean women with pregestational type-2 diabetes: Persistent macrosomia relates to maternal pre-pregnancy overweight. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2009; 85(1):53–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2009.04.015 PMID: 19446354



- **36.** Muktabhant B, Lawrie TA, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M. Diet or exercise, or both, for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2015(6): Cd007145.
- McGowan CA, Walsh JM, Byrne J, Curran S, McAuliffe FM. The influence of a low glycemic index dietary intervention on maternal dietary intake, glycemic index and gestational weight gain during pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. Nutrition Journal. 2013; 12:140. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-12-140 PMID: 24175958
- Wang Q, Xia W, Zhao Z, Zhang H. Effects comparison between low glycemic index diets and high glycemic index diets on HbA1c and fructosamine for patients with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Primary care diabetes. 2015; 9(5):362–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcd.2014.10.008 PMID: 25524422
- Mudd LM, Pivarnik J, Holzman CB, Paneth N, Pfeiffer K, Chung H. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and the birth weight distribution: where is the effect? Journal of physical activity & health. 2012; 9 (8):1168–77.
- 40. Hopkins SA, Baldi JC, Cutfield WS, McCowan L, Hofman PL. Exercise training in pregnancy reduces offspring size without changes in maternal insulin sensitivity. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2010; 95(5):2080–8. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-2255 PMID: 20335449
- 41. Lindell G, Marsal K, Kallen K. Impact of maternal characteristics on fetal growth in the third trimester: a population-based study. Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2012; 40(6):680–7.