The potential of low cost, limited function prostheses

Modern industrial fabrication, particularly with injection moulded plastics, can create lightweight, low cost components with sufficient function for limited walking, and this might be quite sufficient for today's typical elderly amputee. Some designs may also be made moisture resistant and therefore suitable for use in the shower or on the beach. The lower manufacturing costs of such devices may permit their use in developing economies, where the cost of more complex technology is prohibitive. The Shower Limb, developed by Blatchford, is an example of this trend. The company has also developed a special line of plastic Atlas Prostheses designed specifically for use in tropical climates.

The International Committee of the Red Cross has established an initiative to produce low cost polypropylene plastic prostheses, made by unskilled local workers, for areas where conflict or environmental catastrophes have resulted in large numbers of traumatic amputations (see www.icrc.org). These devices are well accepted clinically, although some problems have been reported with their durability.^{17 18}

Future developments

The future development of prostheses will depend greatly on demand. The market for low cost, limited function devices will continue to expand in an effort to meet the needs of the developing world as well as the funding restrictions that are increasingly common in all economies. At the same time, innovative technologies will continue to be adapted from the aerospace and computer industries and applied to high performance artificial limbs whose function will more and more closely approximate to the missing limb.

Initially, prosthetic innovations are often used sparingly, primarily by amputees with private fundingparticularly those who are competitive athletes. As experience is gained, manufacturers discover how to apply the same principles to moderate cost devices intended for less active individuals, and the performance of prostheses in general will gradually improve as a result.

Similarly, some of the newer materials and applications will be used for the benefit of amputees in developing countries, despite differences in the cause of amputation and people's needs. It is really financial constraints that limit the rate of advancement in prosthetic rehabilitation, and one of the greatest challenges for the new millennium will be to find the will and the way to fund widespread application of prosthetic innovations.

Competing interests: JWM has been employed by Otto Bock. Since 1999, he has been an independent consultant in prosthetics and orthotics and therefore may have a consulting relationship with any of the companies mentioned in this article. He has received payment for organising educational programmes, speaking, or consulting from Otto Bock and from Flex-Foot, which was recently acquired by Ossur.

- The amputee statistical database for the United Kingdom 1998/99. Edinburgh: 1 ISD Publications, 2000.
- Islinger RB, Kulko TR, McHale KA. A review of orthopedic injuries in the three recent US military conflicts. Mil Med 2000;165:463-5.
- Meade P, Mirocha J. Civilian landmine injuries in Sri Lanka. J Trauma 2000;48:735-9.

Additional information on limb prostheses

- Bowker JH, Michael JW, eds. Atlas of limb prosthetics:
- second edition. St Louis, MO: Mosby, 1992
- Internet Gateway. www.oandp.com
- · British Association of Prosthetists and Orthotists website. www.bapo.com/companies.htm
- International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics website. www.i-s-p-o.org
- Limbless Association website.
- www.limbless-association.org
- Kristinsson O. The ICEROSS concept: a discussion of a philosophy. Prosthet Orthot Int 1993:17:49-55.
- Heim M, Wershavski M, Zwas ST, Siev Nev I, Nadvorna H, Azaria M. Silicone suspension of external prostheses: a new era in artificial limb usage. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1997;79B:638-40.
 Hsu M-J, Nielsen DH, Yack HJ, Shurr DG. Physiological measurement of
- walking and running in people with transitibial amputations with 3 differ-ent prostheses. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 1999;29:526-33. Powers CM, Torburn L, Perry J, Ayyappa E. Influence of prosthetic foot
- design on sound limb loading in adults with unilateral below-knee ampu-tations. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1994;75:825-9.
- Lehmann JF, Price R, Boswell-Bessette S, Dralle A, Questad K, De Lateur BJ. Comprehensive analysis of energy storing prosthetic feet: Flex foot and Seattle foot versus standard SACH foot. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1993;74:1225-31.
- 9 Miller LA, Childress DS. Vertical compliance in prosthetic feet: a preliminary investigation[abstract]. In: Proceedings of the 8th world congress of the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics. Melbourne, Australia: International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics, 1995:1-8.
- 10 Fisher LD, Lord M. Bouncy knee in a semi-automatic knee lock prosthesis. Prosthet Orthot Int 1986;10:35-9.
- Buckley JG, Spence WD, Solomonidis S. Energy cost of walking: comparison of "intelligent prosthesis" with conventional mechanism. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:330-3.
- 12 Taylor MB, Clark E, Offord EA. A comparison of energy expenditure by a
- Initial Product and Provide Territory of the Intelligent Prosthesis and Conventionally damped prosthetic limbs. *Prosthet Orthon Int* 1996;20:116-21.
 Kastner J, Nimmervoll R, Wagner IP. "Was kann das C-leg?" Ganganalytischer vergleich von C-leg, 38:45 und 38:80 (What are the benefits of the Otto Bock C-leg? A comparative gait analysis of C-leg. 2016;20:101-2017. 3R45 and 3R80). Med Orthop Tech 1999;119:131-7
- 14 Dietl H, Bargehr H. Der einsatz von elektronik bei prothesen zur versorgung der unteren extremitat (The application of electronics in rosthetics for lower extremities). Med Orthop Tech 1997;117:31-5.
- 15 Lundborg G, Branemark P-I, Rosen B. Osseointegrated thumb prosthe-ses: a concept for fixation of digit prosthetic devices. J Hand Surg 1996:21A:216-21.
- 16 Buckner H, Michael JW. Options for finger prostheses. J Prosthet Orthot 1994;6:10-9.
- 17 Verhoeff TT, Poetsma PA, Gasser L, TungH. Evaluation of use and dura-bility of polypropylene trans-tibial prostheses. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 1999;23:249-55.
- 18 Steen Jensen J, Heim S. Evaluation of polypropylene prostheses designed by the International Committee of the Red Cross for trans-tibial amputees. Prosthet Orthot Int 2000;24:47-54

Corrections and clarifications

Life expectancy rates show health inequalities In this news article by Lynn Eaton (1 September, p 471) some of the data accompanying the map of life expectancy rates in Britain were wrong. For the lowest five health authorities we inadvertently listed (and showed on the map) the authorities ranked 10 to 5 in the lowest 10. The lowest five authorities are in fact: for men, Manchester 70.2, Greater Glasgow 70.4, Western Isles 70.9, Argyll and Clyde 71.2, Liverpool 71.7; and for women, Greater Glasgow 76.5, Manchester 76.6, Liverpool 77.0, Lanarkshire 77.3, Argyll and Clyde 77.7. The text in the article was correct.

The public health benefits of mobile phones Owing to a misunderstanding, we raised the status of Debbie Lawlor, the author of this Filler piece (25 August, p 447), to senior lecturer, whereas she is in fact a lecturer. Thanks to Dr Lawlor for alerting us to this.