



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Subst Abuse Treat. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Subst Abuse Treat. 2017 June ; 77: 31–37. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2017.03.007.

Past-year prevalence of prescription opioid misuse among those 11 to 30 years of age in the United States: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Ashly E Jordan^{1,2}, Natalie A Blackburn³, Don C Des Jarlais^{2,4}, and Holly Hagan^{1,2}

¹New York University, Rory Meyers College of Nursing, New York, New York

²Center for Drug Use and HIV Research, New York, New York

³University of North Carolina, Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

⁴Icahn School of Medicine Mount Sinai, Mount Sinai Beth Israel, New York, New York

Abstract

Background—There are high levels of prescription and consumption of prescription opioids in the US. Misuse of prescription opioids has been shown to be highly correlated with prescription opioid-related morbidity and mortality including fatal and non-fatal overdose. We characterized the past-year prevalence of prescription opioid misuse among those 11–30 years of age in the US.

Methods—A systematic review and meta-analysis were carried out following a published protocol and PRISMA guidelines. We searched electronic databases; reports were eligible if they were published between 1/1/1990-5/30/2014, and included data on individuals 11 – 30 years of age from the US. Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Results—A total of 3,211 abstracts were reviewed for inclusion; after discarding duplicates and identifying non-eligible reports, a total of 19 unique reports, providing 34 estimates, were included in the final systematic review and meta-analysis. The range of past-year prescription opioid misuse prevalence the reports was 0.7% – 16.3%. An increase in prevalence of 0.4% was observed over the years of data collection.

Conclusions—This systematic review and meta-analysis found a high prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse among individuals 11–30 years of age. Importantly, we identified an increase in past-year prevalence 1990–2014. Misuse of prescription opioids has played an important role in national increases of fatal and non-fatal drug overdose, heroin use and injection, and HIV and HCV infection among young people. The observed high and increasing prevalence of prescription opioid misuse is an urgent public health issue.

*Corresponding author: Ashly E Jordan, MPH, Associate Research Scientist, Project Director, HCV Synthesis Project, Rory Meyers College of Nursing, Center for Drug Use and HIV Research, New York University, 433 First Avenue Floor 7, New York, New York 10010, Desk phone: (212) 992-7180, aj924@nyu.edu.

Disclosures: The authors declare that they have no competing interests or disclosures to make.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

prescription opioid misuse; adolescents; transition to injection; systematic review; meta-analysis

1.1 Introduction

There are high levels of prescription and consumption of prescription opioids in the US. The US market accounts for nearly 100 percent of the world's hydrocodone and roughly 80% of the global consumption of oxycodone. (INCB, 2008) Since 1990, prescriptions of opioids tripled globally; in the United States, prescribing of opioids has risen 350% since 1999 and the per capita dispensing of prescription opioids rose from 73.6 to 369.3 milligrams per person per year between the mid-1990s and mid-2000s. (SAMSHA, 2011; Fischer, Keates, Buhninger, Reimer, & Rehm, 2014; Imtiaz, Shield, Fischer, & Rehm, 2014; Katz et al., 2007; Rosenblatt & Catlin, 2012) Non-medical prescription opioid consumption has been demonstrated to be highly correlated with prescription opioid-related morbidity and mortality (including substance abuse treatment admissions and fatal and non-fatal overdose). (Green et al., 2012; Imtiaz et al., 2014; Tanne, 2013) In 2013, 37% of drug overdose deaths in the US were attributed to prescription opioids in the US. (He et al., 2013) Sales of prescription opioids, associated deaths, and treatment admissions have increased proportionally. (CDC, 2012a; Imtiaz et al., 2014)

In the past decade, the prevalence of past-year non-medical prescription opioid use has risen to almost 5% among the general US population. (Imtiaz et al., 2014) Among adolescents and young adults, the prevalence of lifetime and past-year use has also risen. From the early 1990s to the early 2000s, the rate of misuse rose from 12–13 per 1000 persons to 30–50 per 1000 persons among those 12 to 25 years old. (Maxwell, 2005) As of 2010, 3.4 million 18 to 25 year olds were estimated to have misused prescription opioids. (Fiellin, Tetrault, Becker, Fiellin, & Hoff, 2013) A recent national survey of suburban adolescents and early adults reported that one-third had misused prescription opioids by the age of 21. (Catalano, White, Fleming, & Haggerty, 2011) In response to such data, the White House announced initiatives and proposed funding to expand prescription drug monitoring and access to drug abuse treatment. (The White House, 2016)

There have been no systematic reviews that have addressed past-year prescription opioid misuse among those 30 years of age and younger in the US nor have there been efforts to quantify the prevalence of misuse among this age group in meta-analysis. Use and misuse of prescription opioids at younger ages has been found to be associated with a higher likelihood of a diagnosis of opioid abuse/dependence. (Edlund, et al., 2010; McCabe, 2007) Therefore, the need to synthesize and quantify the burden of misuse among this population is critical for informing public health interventions and resource allocation to address prescription opioid misuse in adolescence and young adulthood.

The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to synthesize the data on the prevalence of prescription opioid misuse among those 11–30 years of age in the US. Drug use trends among adolescents and young adults up to age 30 – the ages when misuse is more

likely to lead to abuse or dependence – foreshadows future trends in problem drug use. (McCabe, West, Morales, Cranford, & Boyd, 2007; Toumbourou et al., 2007)

2.1 Methods

Data presented in this paper were collected as part of a series of linked systematic reviews of the HCV Synthesis Project. The aim of the HCV Synthesis Project is to synthesize burden of disease data on both key populations of incipient risk for HCV acquisition (e.g., persons who misuse prescription opioids) and populations with persistent, long-standing HCV epidemics (e.g., persons who inject drugs) in an effort to inform evidence-based policy to address preventable morbidity and mortality due to HCV infection.

Methods of data collection and extraction are presented elsewhere (Jordan, Jarlais, & Hagan, 2014); as such, only a summary is presented here. The study protocol was also registered with the International Register of Prospective Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration number 42014008870). The methods of this study follow PRISMA guidelines. (Shamseer et al., 2015)

2.2 Search strategy

A medical librarian was consulted for the development of the search strategy. Automated searches were carried out with the use of a sensitive search string with keywords including prescription opioid misuse, in the following electronic bibliographic databases and citation indices: PubMed, Ovid MEDLINE, Web of Knowledge, and PsychINFO. Manual search methods were also used and included hand-searches of public health and medical conference databases and reference lists of reviews and related articles for relevant reports, abstracts or presentations.

2.3 Inclusion criteria

Reports were considered for eligibility if they were: 1) published between 1/1/1990 and 5/30/2014; 2) were in English (no potentially eligible, non-English language reports were encountered); 3) included measures of prevalence of prescription opioid misuse or prescription opioid abuse, dependence or substance use-related disorder as defined by the DSM-IV criteria; and 4) report prevalence among adolescents and young adults aged 11–30 years. All reports were required to report data on prevalence of prescription opioid misuse in the past 12 months; reports that provided prevalence data for any other timeframe were excluded. Review of potentially eligible reports was a multi-step process; this process is depicted in the PRISMA flow diagram of Figure 1. An abstract screening pilot was conducted where both the Project Director (PD, AEJ) and the principal investigator (PI, HH) reviewed several sets of abstracts and compared exclusion/inclusion results; pilots were carried out until consensus between reviewers was reached and there was 100% agreement. In the final abstract screening, the PD and PI each reviewed one half of the abstracts.

2.4 Data extraction

Two researchers carried out data extraction. As with abstract screening, a pilot procedure was done with the data extraction process to both refine the data extraction form and to ensure 100% agreement between data extractors with respect to which data were eligible for

extraction. All data extraction was reviewed by the PD for completeness and to field questions that emerged during data extraction. Any unresolved issues were discussed to reach consensus, and when necessary, the PI was brought in to discuss issues related to methodology and study design.

Data were extracted onto coding forms that included items related to study methods and characteristics of the sample. Study years, location and description of location (e.g., population density), study design elements, and characteristics of the study sample were abstracted from each report. Prevalence, measures of variance (e.g., confidence intervals), and crude and adjusted measures of association between misuse and exposures examined in each report were extracted.

2.5 Quality ratings

Assessments for report-level quality were informed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (Wells et al., 2009). In an effort to reduce the likelihood of introducing bias with respect to misclassification of the outcome, we employed strict entry criteria requiring that studies present data prescription opioid misuse in the past one year. For this analysis, our emphasis was on assessing the risk due to selection bias due that may arise from methods to sample study participants. Thus, quality assessment was a one domain dichotomous variable where reports were either classified as being of higher quality and lower risk of selection bias if they employed probability or other non-probability weighted systematic sampling methods, and of lower quality and higher risk of selection bias if convenience sampling was employed.

Misclassification of the outcome of interest, prescription opioid misuse, was also of particular importance to this study. In order to account for variability in the defining of prescription opioid misuse in the included reports, we recorded the studies' definitions of misuse (e.g., "use of medication that was not prescribed to the individual or use only for the experience or feeling it causes"), and classified reports by their operational definitions. (Katz et al., 2007) Throughout this report we use the term "misuse" to characterize all prevalence data.

2.6 Data analysis

We first sought to determine an overall pooled prevalence of prescription opioid misuse among all the included reports. We calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) around the pooled prevalence estimates assuming a normal distribution. Cochran's Q statistic was calculated to ascertain whether or not heterogeneity was observed across estimates and whether the heterogeneity was compatible with chance. I^2 was calculated to quantify inconsistency in the prevalence measures. Meta-regression was conducted to estimate the prevalence of prescription opioid misuse over time. Analyses were conducted using both R and SPSS (version 23.0). (Blasiolo, Shinkunas, Labrecque, Arnold, & Zickmund, 2006; IMB, 2013; R Development Core Team, 2008)

Data synthesis began with the search for homogeneous subsets across included reports. Examinations of study-level covariates were ultimately limited by the available information presented in each study. Lack of consistency in the reporting of covariates was also a

limiting factor. However, calendar time, geographic region, and selection bias could be examined as study-level moderators.

When reports presented data collected across a number of years, spans of 4 years or less were assigned a temporal midpoint for the period of data collection in analyses of changes in prevalence over time. The assignment of a temporal midpoint to reports that presented data over multiple year periods permitted us to include these estimates, along with estimates reported in individual years, in moderator analyses.

3.1 Results

3.1 General findings

After conducting both an automated and manual search, a total of 3,211 abstracts were reviewed for duplicates; and after removing 1,933 duplicate abstracts, 1,278 were screened for initial eligibility. Figure 1 depicts the process of abstract and full-text review. A total of 19 reports, including 34 independent non-overlapping estimates of prevalence, reflecting 503,845 unique participants, met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review. In total, the 19 reports provided 34 separate estimates of prescription opioid misuse. 18 estimates presented data for a single year. 17 estimates presented data over a range of years; these estimates were assigned a midpoint in calendar time analysis.

Of the 34 estimates, 21 estimates were derived from probability samples. Eight reports presented data using probability survey methods, and the remaining 11 reports presented data using other methods such as convenience or systematic sampling in observational research. Table 1 depicts the included estimates by sampling method.

3.2 Prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse

The range of past-year prescription opioid misuse prevalence among the 19 reports was 0.7%–16.3%. Figure 2 presents a Forest Plot of the reports ordered by prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals). Reports presenting data from probability-based surveys presented a range of prevalence estimates from 3.9–12.7% and non-probability samples presented a range of prevalence estimates from 0.7–16.3%. There was significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 99.61\%$; Q -statistic = 7619.34, p -value $<.0001$) in the data; efforts were made to address heterogeneity separating the data based on similar sampling method ($I^2 = 99.48\%$; Q -statistic = 2505.65, p -value $<.0001$, for probability samples, and $I^2 = 99.63\%$; Q -statistic = 1666.05, p -value $<.0001$ for non-probability samples). We attempted to address high heterogeneity by examining studies by study location. There were 13 estimates that provided misuse data from education settings (e.g., high school, college); these estimates had a pooled prevalence of 7.39% (95% CI 5.84 – 8.94). Again, high heterogeneity was present ($I^2 = 99.13\%$; Q -statistic = 950.16, p -value $<.0001$) which reflects the high variability as shown by the wide confidence intervals (Table 1). Given high heterogeneity, we were not able to pool prevalence estimates; therefore we present the range of prevalence estimates from probability and non-probability samples.

Individual reports using probability sampling generally had narrower confidence intervals for their estimates of prevalence than non-probability based reports due to larger sample

sizes (n range 236 – 11,521). The probability-based samples were predominately national household surveys; in contrast, the reports employing non-probability sampling were typically smaller (n range 20 – 543), recruited from community settings and these had higher variability in estimates.

Eleven different definitions of prescription opioid misuse were used in 19 reports; however, these definitions differed only slightly. The National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) definition (“Have you ever, even once, used a prescription pain reliever that was not prescribed for you or that you took only for the experience or feeling it caused?”) was the most frequently used definition ($n = 8$ estimates in 5 reports) (Table 1). Given the variability in misuse definition there was no meaningful way to statistically examine the extent to which misclassification bias may or may not have affected the prevalence estimates as a function of definition of prescription opioid misuse.

3.3 Prevalence of prescription opioid misuse over time

Among the 34 estimates contributing to the analysis of the change in prevalence of prescription opioid misuse over time, the majority (31 of 34) present data from 2001 – 2010. For the data characterizing prescription opioid misuse prevalence (all sampling methods), prevalence over the years of data collection (from 1993 through to 2010) was shown to increase by 0.40% (p -value $<.001$) each year. Similar statistically significant increases in prevalence over time were also observed among those reports using probability and non-probability sampling methods (0.25% and 0.05%, respectively; p -values $<.000$).

4.1 Discussion

The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis which included 34 independent estimates from 503,845 unique persons aged 11 – 30 years in the US, demonstrate a high and rising prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse. Reports presenting data from probability-based surveys identified a more narrow range of prevalence (range interval spanned 8.8 units) than did reports relying on non-probability samples (range interval spanned 15.6). That the probability samples presented a narrower prevalence estimates was likely due to the large sample sizes compared to the non-probability samples.

A key finding of this meta-analysis was the statistically significant increase in the prevalence of prescription opioid misuse among those 11 – 30 years of age from 1993 through 2010. The rise in prevalence we observed is consistent with a National Institute of Drug Abuse report documenting a rise in the incidence of prescription opioid misuse over the period 1994 – 2001.⁽¹⁹⁾ These rates rose from approximately 12 – 13 per 1,000 persons for those aged 12 – 17 years and 18 – 25 years to nearly 50 per 1,000 among 12 – 17 year olds and to over 30 for those in the 18 – 25 age group in 2001. (CEWG, 2005) In 2014, national estimates for past 30-day prescription opioid misuse among those in the age groups 12–17 and 18–25 were lower than estimates from the time period 2002–2012; however, similar to the 2013 estimates. (NSDUH, 2014)

There have been several efforts to address the prescription opioid misuse epidemic in the US. These efforts have included strategies to increase the use of tamper-resistant pill

containers to avoid diversion to adolescents and others, and interventions at the provider level (Gilson, Fishman, Wilsey, Casamalhuapa, & Baxi, 2012; Griggs & Weiner, 2011; Miller, Miller, & Franger, 2009) such as prescription monitoring systems (Brady et al., 2014) and improved guidelines for the use of prescription opioids. (Manchikanti et al., 2012) There have also been efforts to develop prescription opioids that resist being rendered into a form that is easily inhaled or injected. (Romach, Schoedel, & Sellers, 2013) Regulating prescription opioids more tightly, and making formulations less readily injectable, in the absence of easy access to drug treatment, may unintentionally accelerate the transition to heroin injection drug use among already dependent persons. (Cicero, Ellis, & Surratt, 2012; Mars, Bourgois, Karandinos, Montero, & Ciccarone, 2014)

The critical importance of addressing drug dependence in adolescents and young adulthood cannot be understated. Use and misuse of prescription opioids at younger ages has been found to be associated with a higher likelihood of a diagnosis of opioid abuse/dependence. Edlund, *et al.* demonstrated a strong inverse relationship between age and post-misuse opioid abuse/dependence, where a particularly high likelihood of opioid abuse/dependence diagnoses were found among those aged 18–30 compared to those over 65 years (OR=9.08 (95% CI 3.38–24.1)). (Edlund et al., 2010) A national sample reported that 36% of adolescents 12–17 years misusing prescription drugs (including opiates, stimulants and tranquilizers/sedatives) exhibited one or more signs of dependence including tolerance, spending a great deal of time acquiring, using or recovering from the drug, and experiencing withdrawal. (Schepis et al., 2008)

Early intervention is key to preventing the development of dependence and abuse among all misusers, but there is greater urgency for prioritizing early intervention among young people. Public health policy must effectively and systematically address the availability and accessibility of evidence-based treatment of opioid abuse and dependence (e.g., methadone and buprenorphine) to respond to the current opioid epidemic. (Maremmani & Gerra, 2010; Perlman et al., 2015) Programs to prevent fatal overdoses, such as naloxone distribution programs, are critical in addressing preventable drug use-related deaths. (CDC, 2012b) Transition to heroin is an additional and key concern related particularly to misuse of prescription opioids; heroin use has increased in the US by 145% since 2007, and fatal overdoses due to heroin have increased 5-fold during the same time period. (NSDUH, 2014)

4.2 Limitations

Several important limitations to our study are worth mentioning. First, both the generalizability and validity of our pooled estimate are dependent on the quality of the data provided in each individual report, thus, we were only able to present prevalence ranges. In order to account for the varying quality of individual estimates we employed an NOS-informed quality rating scale to assess study-level quality and explored the effect of study quality on prevalence in moderator analysis. We found that prevalence did not vary meaningfully in relation to quality assessment scores.

While we were able to document an ongoing increase in prevalence among those aged 11–30 from the 1990s to 2010, we were not able to examine trends in specific age groups across reports because of inconsistencies in the way age groups were constructed in the included

reports. However, Sung, *et al.* demonstrated both an increase in lifetime misuse prevalence and in the number of new misusers among youth aged 12 – 17 from 1968 – 2002. (Sung, Richter, Vaughan, Johnson, & Thom, 2005)

In moderator analysis we were able to explore the role of year of data collection on prevalence. One limitation regarding categorizing calendar year was that it relied on interpolation for half of the estimates and thus, may have introduced error in the observed trends in prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse over time. However, as already discussed, the observed increase in prevalence over time is consistent with other published data not included in this report. (CEWG, 2005; Imtiaz et al., 2014) Another potential limitation is that the included reports used a variety of different definitions of prescription opioid misuse (based on slightly different questions of those surveyed); because of inconsistencies in definitions we were unable to formally examine the potential contribution of differential classification to heterogeneity in prevalence estimates. This highlights the need for consistency in definitions in future studies to ensure that estimates are reliable and valid. (DeVellis, 2012) In addition, we were not able to prevalence over time either among specific age strata or geographic region or urban, suburban, or rural classification because data available in the reports were not provided in formats allowing these analyses. These would be important areas for future research and public health monitoring.

Lastly, the estimates used in our meta-analysis are only as reliable as the estimates provided in the included studies. However, effort was undertaken both through rigorously applied inclusion criteria and quality ratings assessments to ensure that the estimates ultimately used in analyses were of the highest quality and as reliable as possible.

5.0 Conclusion

Our data confirm both a high and a rising prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse among those 11 – 30 years of age in the United States. This rising prevalence is an urgent public health problem the response to which requires effort to expand access to and engagement in evidence-based prevention and treatment interventions such as opioid agonist treatment of drug dependence, sterile syringe and injection equipment access, and efforts to reduce misuse and diversion of prescription opioids.

Acknowledgments

Funding: The HCV Synthesis Project is supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (RO1DA034637-01). Support was also received from the New York University Center for Drug Use and HIV Research, an NIH P30 Center (P30 DA011041).

Abbreviations

| | |
|------------|------------------------------|
| HCV | Hepatitis c virus |
| HIV | Human immunodeficiency virus |

References

- Becker WC, Sullivan LE, Tetrault JM, Desai RA, Fiellin DA. Non-medical use, abuse and dependence on prescription opioids among U.S. adults: Psychiatric, medical and substance use correlates. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2008; 94(1–3):38–47. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.09.018 [PubMed: 18063321]
- Berenson AB, Rahman M. Prevalence and correlates of prescription drug misuse among young, low-income women receiving public healthcare. *J Addictive Dis.* 2011; 30(3):203–215.
- Blasiolo JA, Shinkunas L, Labrecque DR, Arnold RM, Zickmund SL. Mental and physical symptoms associated with lower social support for patients with hepatitis C. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2006; 12(29):4665–4672. [PubMed: 16937437]
- Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Cranford JA, Young A. Prescription drug abuse and diversion among adolescents in a southeast Michigan school district. *Archives of Ped Adolescent Med.* 2007; 161(3):276–281.
- Boyd CJ, Young A, Grey M, McCabe SE. Adolescents' Nonmedical Use of Prescription Medications and Other Problem Behaviors. *J Adolescent Health.* 2009; 45(6):543–550.
- Brady JE, Wunsch H, DiMaggio C, Lang BH, Giglio J, Li G. Prescription drug monitoring and dispensing of prescription opioids. *Public Health Rep.* 2014; 129(2):139–147. [PubMed: 24587548]
- Catalano RF, White HR, Fleming CB, Haggerty KP. Is nonmedical prescription opiate use a unique form of illicit drug use? *Addict Behav.* 2011; 36(1–2):79–86. DOI: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2010.08.028 [PubMed: 20864261]
- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC). Nonpharmaceutical fentanyl-related deaths--multiple states, April 2005–March 2007. *MMWR: Morbidity And Mortality Weekly Report.* 2008; 57(29):793–796. [PubMed: 18650786]
- Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC). CDC grand rounds: prescription drug overdoses-a US epidemic. *MMWR: Morbidity And Mortality Weekly Report.* 2012a; 61(1):10. [PubMed: 22237030]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Community-based opioid overdose prevention programs providing naloxone - United States, 2010. *MMWR: Morbidity And Mortality Weekly Report.* 2012b; 61(6):101–105. [PubMed: 22337174]
- Cerda M, Bordeloin P, Keyes KM, Roberts AL, Martins SS, Reisner SL, ... Koenen KC. Family ties: maternal-offspring attachment and young adult nonmedical prescription opioid use. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014; 142:231–238. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.06.026 [PubMed: 25024105]
- Cicero TJ, Ellis MS, Surratt HL. Effect of abuse-deterrent formulation of OxyContin. *New Eng J Med.* 2012; 367(2):187–189. [PubMed: 22784140]
- DeVellis, RF. Scale development: Theory and applications. Vol. 26. Sage publications; 2012.
- Edlund MJ, Martin BC, Fan M, Devries A, Braden JB, Sullivan MD. Risks for opioid abuse and dependence among recipients of chronic opioid therapy: results from the TROUP study. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2010; 112(1–2):90–98. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.05.017 [PubMed: 20634006]
- Fiellin LE, Tetrault JM, Becker WC, Fiellin DA, Hoff RA. Previous use of alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana and subsequent abuse of prescription opioids in young adults. *J Adolescent Health.* 2013; 52(2):158–163. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.06.010
- Fischer B, Keates A, Buhringer G, Reimer J, Rehm J. Non-medical use of prescription opioids and prescription opioid-related harms: why so markedly higher in North America compared to the rest of the world? *Addiction.* 2014; 109(2):177–181. DOI: 10.1111/add.12224 [PubMed: 23692335]
- Gilson AM, Fishman SM, Wilsey BL, Casamaluha C, Baxi H. Time Series Analysis of California's Prescription Monitoring Program: Impact on Prescribing and Multiple Provider Episodes. *J Pain.* 2012; 13(2):103–111. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpain.2011.09.006 [PubMed: 22112420]
- Green JL, Bucher Bartelson B, Desel H, Ochsenfahrt G, Sesana F, Milanese G, ... Dart RC. Trends in intentional and unintentional prescription opioid exposures reported to poison centers (PC) in Australia, Germany, Italy, Switzerland and the United States, 2007–2012. *Clinical Toxicology.* 2013; 51(7):717–718.

- Griggs CA, Weiner SG. 271 prescription monitoring programs: Current use and impressions. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 2011; 58(4):S268.
- Epidemiologic Trends in Drug Abuse Advance Report (CEWG). Community Epidemiology Work Group. *Epidemiologic Trends in Drug Abuse: Advance Report*. National Institute on Drug Abuse; Washington, DC: US Dept of Health and Human Services National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2005.
- He N, Duan S, Ding Y, Rou K, McGoogan JM, Jia M, Yang Y, Wang J, Montaner JS, Wu Z. Antiretroviral Therapy Reduces HIV Transmission in Discordant Couples in Rural Yunnan, China. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8(11)doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077981
- IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; Released 2013
- Intiaz S, Shield KD, Fischer B, Rehm J. Harms of prescription opioid use in the United States. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2014; 9:43.doi: 10.1186/1747-597x-9-43 [PubMed: 25352167]
- International Narcotics Control Board (INCB). [Last access on October 29, 2016] 2008. Available at: https://www.incb.org/documents/Publications/AnnualReports/AR2008/AR_08_English.pdf
- Jones CM. Heroin use and heroin use risk behaviors among nonmedical users of prescription opioid pain relievers – united states, 2002–2004 and 2008–2010. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013; No Pagination Specified. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.01.007
- Jordan AE, Des Jarlais DC, Hagan H. Prescription opioid misuse and its relation to injection drug use and hepatitis C virus infection: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev*. 2014; 3:95.doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-3-95 [PubMed: 25178433]
- Katz NP, Adams EH, Chilcoat H, Colucci RD, Comer SD, Goliber P, Grudzinskas C, Jasinski D, Lande SD, Passik SD, Schnoll SH, Sellers E, Travers D, Weiss R. Challenges in the development of prescription opioid abuse-deterrent formulations. *Clin J Pain*. 2007; 23(8):648–660. DOI: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e318125c5e8 [PubMed: 17885342]
- Lord S, Brevard J, Budman S. Connecting to young adults: an online social network survey of beliefs and attitudes associated with prescription opioid misuse among college students. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2011; 46(1):66–76. DOI: 10.3109/10826084.2011.521371 [PubMed: 21190407]
- Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, Balog CC, Benyamin RM, Boswell MV, ... Burks PA. American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) guidelines for responsible opioid prescribing in chronic non-cancer pain: Part 2--guidance. *Pain Physician*. 2012; 15(3 Suppl):S67–116. [PubMed: 22786449]
- Maremmani I, Gerra G. Buprenorphine-based regimens and methadone for the medical management of opioid dependence: selecting the appropriate drug for treatment. *Am J Addict*. 2010; 19(6):557–568. DOI: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2010.00086.x [PubMed: 20958853]
- Mars SG, Bourgois P, Karandinos G, Montero F, Ciccarone D. “Every ‘Never’ I Ever Said Came True”: Transitions from opioid pills to heroin injecting. *Intern J Drug Policy*. 2014; 25(2):257–266.
- Martins SS, Fenton MC, Keyes KM, Blanco C, Zhu H, Storr CL. Mood and anxiety disorders and their association with non-medical prescription opioid use and prescription opioid-use disorder: Longitudinal evidence from the National Epidemiologic Study on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychological Medicine*. 2012; 42(6):1261–1272. DOI: 10.1017/s0033291711002145 [PubMed: 21999943]
- McCabe SE, West BT, Boyd CJ. Medical use, medical misuse, and nonmedical use of prescription opioids: Results from a longitudinal study. *Pain*. 2013; 154(5):708–713. DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2013.01.011 [PubMed: 23433943]
- McCabe SE, West BT, Boyd CJ. Leftover prescription opioids and nonmedical use among high school seniors: A multi-cohort national study. *J Adolescent Health*. 2013; 52(4):480–485. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.08.007
- McCabe SE, West BT, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ. Co-ingestion of prescription opioids and other drugs among high school seniors: Results from a national study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2012; 126(1–2):65–70. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.04.0 [PubMed: 22609061]
- McCabe SE, West BT, Morales M, Cranford JA, Boyd CJ. Does early onset of non-medical use of prescription drugs predict subsequent prescription drug abuse and dependence? Results from a national study. *Addiction*. 2007; 102(12):1920–1930. [PubMed: 17916222]

- McCabe SE, West BT, Wechsler H. Trends and college-level characteristics associated with the non-medical use of prescription drugs among US college students from 1993 to 2001. *Addiction*. 2007; 102(3):455–465. DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01733.x [PubMed: 17298654]
- McCabe SE, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ, Knight JR, Wechsler H. Nonmedical use of prescription opioids among U.S. college students: prevalence and correlates from a national survey. *Addict Behav*. 2005; 30(4):789–805. DOI: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2004.08.024 [PubMed: 15833582]
- Miller DC, Miller LW, Franger SM. Reduction of opioid and illicit drug abuse after initiation of state electronic prescription monitoring program. *Pain Medicine*. 2009; 10(1):275–276.
- Nakawaki B, Crano WD. Predicting adolescents' persistence, non-persistence, and recent onset of nonmedical use of opioids and stimulants. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2012; 37(6):716–721. DOI: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.02.011 [PubMed: 22381640]
- National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH). [Accessed on April 5 2016] 2014. p. 155 Available at: <https://nsduhweb.rti.org/respweb/homepage.cfm>
- Perlman DC, Jordan AE, Uuskula A, Huong DT, Masson CL, Schackman BR, Des Jarlais DC. An international perspective on using opioid substitution treatment to improve hepatitis C prevention and care for people who inject drugs: Structural barriers and public health potential. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2015; doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2015.04.015
- R Development Core Team. **R**: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2008.
- Romach MK, Schoedel KA, Sellers EM. Update on tamper-resistant drug formulations. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013; 130(1–3):13–23. DOI: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.12.028 [PubMed: 23415386]
- Rosenblatt RA, Catlin M. Opioids for chronic pain: first do no harm. *Ann Fam Med*. 2012; 10(4):300–301. DOI: 10.1370/afm.1421 [PubMed: 22778116]
- Schepis TS, Krishnan-Sarin S. Characterizing adolescent prescription misusers: A population-based study. *J Am Acad of Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2008; 47(7):745–754. DOI: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e318172ef0d
- Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015; 349:g7647.doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMSHA). Results from the 2010 national survey on drug use and health: Summary of national findings. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; Rockville, MD: 2011. NSDUH Series H-41, HHS Publication No.(SMA) 11–4658
- Sung HE, Richter L, Vaughan R, Johnson PB, Thom B. Nonmedical use of prescription opioids among teenagers in the United States: trends and correlates. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005; 37(1):44–51. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.02.013 [PubMed: 15963906]
- Tanne JH. Deaths from prescription opioids soar in New York. *BMJ*. 2013; 346:f921.doi: 10.1136/bmj.f921 [PubMed: 23401363]
- The White House. [Last access on October 29, 2016] President Obama Proposes \$1.1 Billion in New Funding to Address the Prescription Opioid Abuse and Heroin Use Epidemic. 2016. [Press Release]. Available at: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2016/02/02/president-obama-proposes-11-billion-new-funding-address-prescription>
- Toumbourou JW, Stockwell T, Neighbors C, Marlatt GA, Sturge J, Rehm J. Interventions to reduce harm associated with adolescent substance use. *Lancet*. 2007; 369(9570):1391–1401. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(07)60369-9 [PubMed: 17448826]
- Tetrault JM, Desai RA, Becker WC, Fiellin DA, Concato J, Sullivan LE. Gender and non-medical use of prescription opioids: Results from a national US survey. *Addiction*. 2008; 103(2):258–268. DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.02056.x [PubMed: 18042194]
- Wells, GA., Shea, B., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., Tugwell, P. [Last access on October 29, 2016] The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2009. Available at: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
- Zullig KJ, Divin AL. The association between non-medical prescription drug use, depressive symptoms, and suicidality among college students. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2012; 37(8):890–899. [PubMed: 22541802]

Highlights

- Misuse of PO is correlated to high morbidity and mortality.
- We present quantification of PO misuse prevalence over time in meta-analysis.
- There is a high prevalence of PO misuse among those 11–30 y.o. in the U.S.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow of information from identification to inclusion of studies




Figure 2. Prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse among those 11–30 years of age

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1
Prevalence of past-year prescription opioid misuse among those 11 – 30 years of age in the United States

| Report | Year of data collection | Sampling method | Survey name (if applicable) | Recruitment location | Definition of prescription opioid "misuse" | Age category | Sample size | Prevalence N, % | 95% Cis |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Becker, 2008 | 2002 – 2004 | Probability | NSDUH* | Households | NSDUH definition ^A | 21 – 25 year olds | 21826 | 2186 (10.0%) | 9.6 – 10.4% |
| Berenson, 2011 | 2008 – 2010 | Convenience | .. | Health clinic | "Have you ever, even once, used a prescription pain reliever that was not prescribed for you or that you took only for the experience or feeling it caused?" [NSDUH informed question] | 16 – 24 year olds | 2976 | 20 (0.7%) | 0.4 – 1.0% |
| Boyd, 2009 | 2007 | Convenience | ... | Educational setting | Nonmedical use was measured with the question: "On how many occasions in the past 12 months have you used the following types of drugs not prescribed to you?" | 13 – 15 year olds | 912 | 91 (10.0%) | 8.1 – 12.1 % |
| Boyd, 2007 | 2005 | Convenience | .. | Educational setting | Use of prescription medication to "get high", to create an altered states, or for reasons (or by routes) other than what the prescribing clinician intended. | 11 – 13 year olds | 290 | 20 (6.9%) | 4.3 – 10.5 % |
| Cerda, 2014 | 2010 | Convenience | GUTS** | Multi-site | "Have you ever used pain killers (e.g., Percocet, Percodan, Oxycontin, Oxycodone, codeine, morphine) without a doctor's prescription?" | 14 – 16 year olds 17 – 19 year olds 23 – 30 year olds | 580 214 7646 | 74 (12.8%) 32 (15.0%) 543 (7.1%) | 10.2 – 15.8 % 10.5 – 20.4 % 6.5 – 7.7% |
| Fiellin, 2013 | 2006 – 2008 | Probability | NSDUH* | Households | NSDUH definition | 18 – 19 year olds | 15079 | 1,916 (12.7%) | 12.2 – 13.2 % |

| Report | Year of data collection | Sampling method | Survey name (if applicable) | Recruitment location | Definition of prescription opioid "misuse" | Age category | Sample size | Prevalence N, % | 95% CIs |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | 20 – 21 year olds | 13602 | 1696 (12.5%) | 11.9 – 13.0 % |
| | | | | | | 22 – 23 year olds | 13363 | 1534 (11.5%) | 10.9 – 12.0 % |
| | | | | | | 24 – 25 year olds | 13171 | 1350 (10.3%) | 9.7 – 10.8 % |
| Jones, 2013 | 2002 – 2004 | Probability | NSDUH* | Households | NSDUH definition | 12 – 17 year olds | 24987 | 1860 (7.4%) | 7.1 – 7.8% |
| | | | | | | 18 – 25 year olds | 31648 | 3679 (11.6%) | 11.3 – 12.0% |
| | | | | | | 12 – 17 year olds | 24617 | 1553 (6.3%) | 6.0 – 6.6% |
| | | | | | | 18 – 25 year olds | 33531 | 3758 (11.2%) | 10.1 – 11.6 % |
| Lord, 2011 | 2005 | Convenience | .. | Social network website | “Which of the following prescription pain medications have you ever used for nonmedical reasons? Nonmedical means use of a prescription drug without a prescription or for reasons other than those indicated by a prescription.” | 18 – 25 year olds | 527 | 86 (16.3%) | 13.3 – 19.8% |
| Martins, 2012 | 2004 – 2005 | Probability | NESARC**** | Households | NESARC definition ^{^A} | 18 – 29 year olds | 6719 | 261 (3.9%) | 3.4 – 4.4% |
| McCabe, 2005a | 2001 | Systematic | CAS*** | Educational setting | “How often, if ever, have you used any of the drugs listed below? Do now include anything you used under a doctor’s orders.” [list of pain killers] | < 21 years olds | 5444 | 387 (7.1%) | 6.4 – 7.8% |
| McCabe, 2005b | 2000 – 2002 | Probability | NESARC**** | Households | NESARC definition | 21 – 23 years old | 3959 | 281 (7.1%) | 6.3 – 7.9% |
| | | | | | | 17 – 24 year olds | 5198 | 256 (4.5%) | 4.0 – 5.1% |

| Report | Year of data collection | Sampling method | Survey name (if applicable) | Recruitment location | Definition of prescription opioid "misuse" | Age category | Sample size | Prevalence N, % | 95% Cis |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|
| McCabe, 2007 | 1993 | Systematic | CAS ^{****} | Educational setting | "How often, if ever, have you used any of the drugs listed below? Do now include anything you used under a doctor's orders." [list of pain killers] | 18 – 24 year olds | 15282 | 474 (3.1%) | 2.8 – 3.4% |
| | 1997 | Systematic | CAS ^{****} | Educational setting | | 18 – 24 year olds | 14428 | 544 (3.8%) | 3.5 – 4.1% |
| | 1999 | Systematic | CAS ^{****} | Educational setting | | 18 – 24 year olds | 13953 | 629 (4.5%) | 4.2 – 4.9% |
| | 2001 | Systematic | CAS ^{****} | Educational setting | | 18 – 24 year olds | 10904 | 800 (7.3%) | 6.9 – 7.8% |
| McCabe, 2012 | 2002 – 2006 | Probability | MTF ^{*****} | Educational setting | "Used prescription opioids on their own-that is, without a doctor telling them to take the medicine (e.g., Vicodin, Percodan)" | 17 – 18 year olds | 11274 | 843 (7.5%) | 7.0 – 8.0% |
| McCabe, 2013 | 2007 – 2010 | Probability | MTF ^{*****} | Educational setting | "Used prescription opioids on their own-that is, without a doctor telling them to take the medicine (e.g., Vicodin, Percodan)" | 17 – 18 year olds | 8888 | 647 (7.3%) | 6.7 – 7.8% |
| Nakawai, 2012 | 2003 – 2009 | Probability | NSDUH [*] | Households | NSDUH definition | 12 – 17 year olds | 126764 | 11,521 (12.0%) | 11.8 – 12.2% |
| Sung, 2005 | 2005 | Probability | NSDUH [*] | Households | NSDUH definition | 14 – 15 year olds | 6000 | 450 (7.5%) | 6.8 – 8.2% |
| | | | | | | 16 – 17 year olds | 5554 | 661 (11.9%) | 11.1 – 12.7% |
| Tetrauitl, 2007 | 2003 | Probability | NSDUH [*] | Households | NSDUH definition | 19 – 23 year olds | 11371 | 1341 (11.8%) | 11.2 – 12.4% |
| | | | | | | 24 – 34 year olds | 5228 | 749 (14.3%) | 13.4 – 15.3% |
| Wu, 2008 | 2005 – 2008 | Probability | NSDUH [*] | Households | NSDUH definition | 14 – 15 year olds | 12682 | 799 (6.3%) | 5.9 – 6.7% |

| Report | Year of data collection | Sampling method | Survey name (if applicable) | Recruitment location | Definition of "misuse" | Age category | Sample size | Prevalence N, % | 95% Cis |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Zullig, 2012 | 2008 | Probability | NCHA | Educational setting | "Have you taken any of the following prescription drugs that were not prescribed to you?" [list of painkillers (e.g., OxyCotin, Vicodin)] | 16 – 17 year olds | 12445 | 1394 (11.2%) | 10.7 – 11.8 % |

* National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)

** Growing Up Today Study (GUTS)

*** College Alcohol Survey (CAS)

**** National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC)

***** Monitor the Future (MTF)

***** National College Health Assessment (NCHA)

[^] NSDUH definition: "Have you ever, even once, used a prescription pain reliever that was not prescribed for you or that you took only for the experience or feeling it caused?"

^{^^} NESARC definition: "Now I'd like to ask you about your experiences with medicines and other kinds of drugs that you may have used on your own—that is, either without a doctor's prescription (pause) in greater amounts, more often, or longer than prescribed (pause); or for a reason other than a doctor said you should use them. People use these medicines and drugs on their own to feel more alert, to relax, or quiet their nerves, to feel better, to enjoy themselves, or to get high, or just to see how they would work."