

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility and risk factors associated with non-typhoidal *Salmonella* on Ugandan layer hen farms

Terence Odoch^{1,2*}, Yngvild Wasteson², Trine L'Abée-Lund², Adrian Muwonge³, Clovice Kankya¹, Luke Nyakarahuka^{1,2}, Sarah Tegule¹ and Eystein Skjerve²

Abstract

Background: Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) are among the leading global foodborne pathogens and a significant public health threat. Their occurrence in animal reservoirs and their susceptibilities to commonly used antimicrobials are poorly understood in developing countries. The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence, determine antimicrobial susceptibility and identify risk factors associated with NTS presence in laying hen farms in Uganda through a cross-sectional study.

Results: Pooled faecal samples were collected from 237 laying hen farms and these were analysed for NTS following standard laboratory procedures. In total, 49 farms (20.7%; 95% Confidence interval (CI): 15.6–25.6%) were positive for NTS presence. Altogether, ten *Salmonella* serotypes were identified among the confirmed 78 isolates, and the predominant serotypes were *Salmonella* Newport (30.8%), *S. Hadar* (14.1%), *S. Aberdeen* (12.8%), *S. Heidelberg* (12.8%), and *S. Bolton* (12.8%). Phenotypic antimicrobial resistance was detected in 45 (57.7%) of the isolates and the highest resistance was against ciprofloxacin (50.0%) followed by sulphonamides (26.9%) and sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (7.7%). Resistance was significantly associated with sampled districts ($p = 0.034$). Resistance to three or more drugs, multi-drug resistance (MDR) was detected in 12 (15.4%) of the isolates, 9 (75%) of these were from Wakiso district. A multivariable logistic model identified large farm size (OR = 7.0; 95% CI: 2.5–19.8) and the presence of other animal species on the farm (OR = 5.9; 95% CI: 2.1–16.1) as risk factors for NTS prevalence on farms. Having a separate house for birds newly brought to the farms was found to be protective (OR = 0.4; 95% CI: 0.2–0.8).

Conclusion: This study has highlighted a high prevalence and diversity of NTS species in laying hen farms in Uganda and identified associated risk factors. In addition, it has demonstrated high levels of antimicrobial resistance in isolates of NTS. This could be because of overuse or misuse of antimicrobials in poultry production. Also importantly, the insights provided in this study justifies a strong case for strengthening One Health practices and this will contribute to the development of NTS control strategies at local, national and international levels.

Keywords: Non-typhoidal *Salmonella*, Antimicrobial susceptibility, Risk factor, Layer hens, Prevalence

Background

Non-typhoid *Salmonella* (NTS) is one of the leading bacterial causes of food-borne illnesses, posing huge challenges to public health systems around the world

[1–3]. A recent estimate of the global burden of NTS morbidity and mortality showed that enteric NTS cause 93.8 million illnesses with 155,000 deaths annually, while invasive NTS were estimated to cause 3.4 million cases with 681,316 deaths annually [4–6]. African countries have a relatively low level of reported NTS gastroenteritis, but a much higher level of invasive non-enteric NTS infections, estimated at 227 cases per 100,000 persons per year compared to the global average of 49 cases per 100,000 persons per year [4]. This distribution of

* Correspondence: odoch@covab.mak.ac.ug; odochterence@gmail.com

¹Department of Bio-security, Ecosystems and Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Resources and Biosecurity (COVAB), Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

²Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Oslo, Norway
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



salmonellosis makes Africa the leading continent with invasive non-enteric NTS cases accounting for more than half of the global cases [4]. In humans, many of the gastroenteric infections caused by NTS are self-limiting, and thus, many sporadic cases go unnoticed and/or unreported. However, a serious aspect of this situation is that some of the gastroenteric infections may develop into bacteraemia, which is an emerging opportunistic infection in individuals infected with HIV, the elderly and in children [7, 8].

The reservoirs of food-borne NTS are often located in the primary food animal production. Many of these zoonotic NTS are able to colonize the intestinal tract of a variety of animal species, and in most of these cases, the animals are healthy and asymptomatic. Faecally contaminated foodstuffs like meat, eggs, dairy products and sometimes vegetables are the main sources of salmonellosis in humans [2, 3, 9, 10]. The dissemination of NTS is also a growing concern due to increasing cases of drug resistant isolates and their frequent carriage of transmissible antimicrobial resistance genes. Even more worrying is the rising occurrence of multidrug-resistant NTS, including cases reported in some African countries [5, 11]. Because of multidrug-resistance, treatment with first line drugs is often no longer an alternative, and this puts pressure on the use of second or third line drugs. Some limited studies in Africa on antimicrobial resistance in NTS isolates from animal sources have been undertaken, but with varying results [11–13].

Many prevalence and risk factor studies of NTS in layer and broiler populations have been conducted in the USA and Europe [14–17]. A systematic review of risk factors associated with laying hen farms identified multiple risk factors related to biosecurity measures, management factors and the environment [18]. In addition, developed countries have put in place monitoring and surveillance systems for antimicrobial resistance targeting important zoonotic pathogens like NTS. Unfortunately, such systematic surveillance neither exist for NTS nor other food-borne pathogens in most developing countries, including Uganda [19]. Consequently, the role of poultry as a reservoir and source of NTS in developing countries is poorly understood. Furthermore, the development of antibiotic resistance of NTS to commonly used antibiotics in agricultural production needs prompt investigation, commencing with susceptibility testing. The significant data gaps in developing countries hinder development of effective control systems and risk-mitigation strategies at multiple levels.

Since most human NTS infections originate from animal sources, prevention and control at pre-harvest level in the primary production units is an effective way to minimize NTS dissemination and transmission to humans through the food chains [20–22]. The aim of

this study was to estimate the prevalence, test antimicrobial susceptibility and identify risk factors of NTS using production and management information from a sample of commercial laying chicken farms.

Methods

Study area and recruitment of farms

The study was carried out between June 2015 and August 2016 in the districts of Wakiso, Masaka and Lira in Uganda. Wakiso district (00°24'N, 32° 29' E) and Masaka district (00° 30' S, 31° 45' E) are located in the central region of Uganda, while Lira district is located in northern region of Uganda (02°20'N, 33°06' E). These districts were purposively selected because of their high commercial poultry households that make them the hub of poultry industry in Uganda. The sampling frame was generated from a list of farmers participating in National Agricultural Advisory Services (NAADS) program in the three selected districts of Uganda. In Uganda, the majority of poultry farmers are smallholders, and therefore the inclusion criterion was that for a farm to participate, it should have a minimum number of 50 chicken. A sample size calculator, FreeCalc sample size calculation for imperfect tests (www.epitools.ausvet.com.au, accessed on 3rd, June, 2015) was used. The required sample size for demonstrating disease freedom was calculated as previously described [23, 24]. The calculator had the following input; population size (Masaka = 147, Lira = 145, Wakiso = 77), sensitivity (60%), specificity (100%), design prevalence (5%), and the desired type 1 and type 2 errors were all assumed at 0.05. Because of small populations, the modified hypergeometric option was used. A total sample size of 237 (Masaka = 85, Lira = 84, Wakiso = 68) was calculated for individual districts. Computer-generated random numbers were used to select farms. After selection, farmers (respondents) were asked for their cooperation and willingness to participate, and after that a verbal consent was obtained. Those who were not willing to participate were replaced by random selection of others from the same list. Questionnaires were administered to all participating farms and faecal samples were taken for bacteriological analysis.

Sample collection

Flocks and poultry house sizes varied a lot in the study areas; and because of this, the sampling scheme was standardized. In cases where a farm had more than one poultry house, but with the same age group of birds in each house, one house was randomly selected and sampled. On the other hand, if a farm had more than one house but with different age groups, all the houses were sampled. Each house was divided into sectors of about 5 m by 5 m (25 m²), an approach adapted from previous studies [25, 26]. One sample was collected from each

sector using sterile gloves and boot swabs. The samples from each house were pooled together, transferred to a properly labelled sterile container and put in a cool box with ice packs. The samples were transported to the laboratory within less than 8 h and processing began immediately. All flocks were sampled once.

Bacterial diagnostics and identification of *Salmonella* serotypes

Culture and isolation of *Salmonella* spp. followed standard procedures according to *ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007 Annex D: Detection of Salmonella spp. in animal faeces and in environmental samples from the primary production* [27]. Briefly, pooled samples were homogenized, 25 g weighed and added to 225 ml of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) for pre-enrichment and incubated for 20 h at 37 °C. The culture obtained was subjected to selective Modified Semisolid Rappaport Vassiliadis (MSRV) agar plates and incubated at 41.5 °C for 24–48 h. One colony from each culture indicative of NTS was further plated on selective Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Presumptive NTS colonies were stored at –20 °C in Mueller-Hinton agar. The samples were later transported to Norway where they were sub-cultured on blood agar plates and stored at 4 °C. Biochemical confirmatory tests were done by using the API-20E (BioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) identification system. All isolates were serotyped according to the Kauffman–White–Le–Minor technique [28] at the Norwegian Veterinary Institute.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing of all identified isolates was performed on 13 antibiotics (NEO-SENSITABS™, Rosco, Denmark) using the standard Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion methods on Muller-Hinton agar. Interpretation of sensitive (S), intermediate (I) or resistant (R) was done according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [29], except for ciprofloxacin (CIPR1µg) which was interpreted using CLSI [30]. The 13 antibiotics were selected based on the common antibiotics used in Uganda and those recommended by World Health Organization (WHO) for routine integrated antimicrobial resistance monitoring [31]. These were gentamycin (GEN10µg), sulfonamide (SULFA240µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SxT 25 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIPR1µg), cefotaxime (CTX 30 µg), meropenem (MPR 10 µg), chloramphenicol (CLR30µg), ceftazidime (CAZ30 µg), ampicillin (AMP10µg), amoxicillin clavulanic acid (AMC30µg), trimethoprim (TRIM5µg), tetracycline (TET 30µg), and enrofloxacin (ENROF10µg). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was used as quality control. NTS isolates showing resistance to three or more antibiotics were classified as multidrug-resistant.

Questionnaire administration

All questionnaires were directly administered onsite after pre-testing. Pre-testing of the questionnaire was done by three trained research assistants in neighbouring districts of Kampala and Mukono in central Uganda and Kole in northern Uganda. These districts have similar production and management systems to the study districts. After pre-testing, the research team reviewed the questionnaires before final administration. The final questionnaire had 80% close-ended questions and was used to collect variables to determine risk factors. The questionnaires collected information on general farm management practices and characteristics, disease prevention, control and management as well as demographic data of the farmers and managers. The questionnaire was written in English, but the research assistants would determine whether the respondent was competent in English or not. Where the respondent was not competent in English, the research assistant would translate in the local language of Luganda (in the case of Wakiso and Masaka districts) and Lwo (in the case of Lira district). The selected households were identified with the help of the local veterinary personnel and chairpersons of local council one. Local council one is the smallest administrative unit in Uganda. Data entry was done by a trained assistant at the College of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Resources and Biosecurity, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.

Data management and analysis

After establishing the database, data were exported to the Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS, version 20) computer program for further data analyses. A farm was considered positive if one of the pooled faecal samples taken tested positive for NTS. An initial descriptive statistics using tables and chi-square testing was performed to assess the association of each variable independently. This was followed by a multivariable logistic model built based upon variables with p -values < 0.20 in the initial analyses. All candidate variables were tested for collinearity with other variables using tabulation, and if collinearity was found, the most biologically relevant variable was chosen. The model was built utilizing a backward selection among the candidate variables ($p < 0.20$ from initial analyses) strategy using the Likelihood Ratio test with for comparing models [32]. The final model was assessed for fit using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test [33].

Results

Number of samples, NTS prevalence and serotypes

A total of 237 farms participated in the study (Wakiso, $n = 68$; Lira, $n = 84$; Masaka, $n = 85$). Sampling according to the standardized sampling scheme resulted in 366

pooled samples from the 237 farms. Of the 237 farms, 49 (20.7%; 95% CI = 15.6,-25.6%) were positive for NTS. *Salmonella* isolates were recovered from 78 of the 366 samples (21.3%).

Ten *Salmonella* serotypes were identified from the 78 isolates recovered (Table 1). Of the 49 NTS-positive farms, five farms were contaminated with two different serotypes. All these farms had three or more poultry houses and the serotypes were from different houses. The farms were from the two districts of Wakiso and Masaka representing the central part of Uganda.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of NTS

Forty five, 57.7% (95% CI: 47.4–67.9%) of the 78 isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic in the disc diffusion test. Resistance varied significantly by district ($p = 0.034$); highest in Wakiso with 75.9% of the isolates from the district resistant to at least one of the tested antibiotics; this was followed by Lira with 52.0% and Masaka with 41.7% of the isolates resistant. The highest resistance was against ciprofloxacin (50.0% of the isolates) followed by sulphonamide (26.9%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (7.7%), trimethoprim (7.7%), and tetracycline (5.1%), then ampicillin (5.1%), chloramphenicol (5.1%), and enrofloxacin (5.1%). All the isolates were susceptible to meropenem, gentamycin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ceftazidime, and cefotaxime. Multidrug-resistance was seen in 12 (15.4%) of the isolates and five multidrug-resistant phenotypes were identified (Table 2).

Factors associated with NTS

Some key demographic factors, farm management, disease prevention and control practices showing significant association with the prevalence of NTS on farms are presented in Table 3. These were included as candidate variables for the multivariable logistic model (insert Table 3).

Table 1 Distribution of NTS serotypes in layer hen farms in Uganda

Serotype	Number of farms	Percentage (%) of positive farms	Percentage (%) of farms investigated
S. Newport	13	26.5	5.5
S. Hadar	7	3.0	3.0
S. Aberdeen	10	20.4	4.2
S. Heidelberg	7	3.0	3.0
S. Bolton	6	6.0	2.5
S. Enteritidis	4	8.2	1.7
S. Mbandaka	3	6.1	1.3
S. Kampala	2	4.1	0.8
S. Typhimurium	1	2.0	0.4
S. Uganda	1	2.0	0.4

A final multivariable logistic model identified some risk factors for presence of *Salmonella* spp. (Table 4). Due to collinearity between some variables, type of poultry house and number of houses were dropped (collinear with farm size). Having a written biosecurity plan, and having a separate house for sick birds were also dropped (collinear with having a separate house for new chicken). The final multivariate logistic model found that larger farms had significantly more NTS. Similarly, presence of other livestock species like cattle, goats, sheep, pigs were significantly associated with presence of NTS (Table 4). Another variable that came out to be associated with presence of NTS was keeping of records. On the other hand, the use of separate houses for birds newly brought into the farms reduced the probability for presence of NTS. The model fit was, however, limited, as shown by the Hosmer Lemeshow test ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

This study represents, to our knowledge, the first estimate of the prevalence of NTS in laying hen farms in Uganda. NTS prevalence was estimated at 21% of the farms with ten different serotypes identified. High phenotypic resistance to antimicrobials was found among the isolates with almost 58% of the isolates found resistant. In this study, the logistic regression model identified large farm size, presence of other poultry, and keeping of records as factors associated with occurrence of NTS in Uganda.

NTS prevalence of 21% is not surprisingly high considering how the poultry industry operates in the country, where disease control efforts are poor and deficient. In Uganda, chickens are not vaccinated against NTS. The available vaccines is for fowl typhoid targeting *Salmonella Gallinarum* and the protocol used is not ideal for identification of *S. Gallinarum*. Vaccinations of poultry in Uganda are not mandatory. Most commercial layer hen farms include fowl typhoid vaccinations in their routine vaccination schedules. A similar study in Senegal reported detection of NTS in faecal samples in 35.1% of farms [34] and in Nigeria, a related study reported isolation of NTS in

Table 2 Multidrug resistant profiles of NTS isolates from Wakiso, Lira and Masaka districts, Uganda 2017

Serotype	Resistance profile	No of isolates	District where isolates were recovered
S. Bolton	CIPR, SULFA, TET	1	Lira
S. Mbandaka	CIPR, CLR, AMP	4	Masaka (2), Wakiso (2)
S. Hadar	SULFA, TRIM, SxT	4	Wakiso
S. Hadar	CIPR, SUL, TRIM, SxT	2	Wakiso
S. Newport	CIPR, SULFA, TET, ENROF	1	Wakiso

AMP Ampicillin, CIPR Ciprofloxacin, CLR Chloramphenicol, ENROF Enrofloxacin, SULFA Sulphonamides, SxT Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, TET Tetracycline, TRIM Trimethoprim

Table 3 Key demographic factors, disease management practices and farm characteristics associated with the prevalence of *Salmonella*, with *p*-values from simple chi-square analyses

Variable	Category	<i>Salmonella</i> positive farms (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Sex of farmer	Male (<i>n</i> = 108)	31 (28.7)	0.004
	Female (<i>n</i> = 129)	18 (14.0)	
Sex of manager	Male (<i>n</i> = 85)	27 (31.89)	< 0.001
	Female (<i>n</i> = 115)	12 (10.4)	
	Not applicable (<i>n</i> = 37)		
Age of the manager	< 20 years (<i>n</i> = 5)	4 (80.0)	0.001
	20–35 years (<i>n</i> = 103)	18 (17.5)	
	36–50 years (<i>n</i> = 85)	23 (27.1)	
	> 50 years (<i>n</i> = 35)	3 (8.6)	
Education level of the farmer	Missing (<i>n</i> = 9)		0.012
	Primary (<i>n</i> = 44)	5 (11.4)	
	Secondary (<i>n</i> = 76)	12 (15.8)	
	Tertiary (<i>n</i> = 102)	31 (30.4)	
Farm size (no. of birds)	Missing (<i>n</i> = 15)		< 0.001
	Small (50–500) (<i>n</i> = 162)	19 (11.7)	
	Medium (501–1000) (<i>n</i> = 33)	14 (42.4)	
	Large (> 1000) (<i>n</i> = 38)	14 (36.8)	
Number of poultry houses	Missing (<i>n</i> = 4)		< 0.001
	One house (<i>n</i> = 135)	12 (8.9)	
	Two houses (<i>n</i> = 45)	8 (17.8)	
	Three houses (<i>n</i> = 32)	21 (65.6)	
Management system	> 3 houses (<i>n</i> = 25)	8 (32.0)	< 0.001
	Free range (<i>n</i> = 47)	2 (4.3)	
	Semi intensive (<i>n</i> = 90)	11 (12.2)	
	Intensive (<i>n</i> = 98)	35 (35.7)	
Use of protective clothing	Others (<i>n</i> = 2)		0.031
	Yes (<i>n</i> = 136)	35 (25.7)	
	No (<i>n</i> = 99)	14 (14.1)	
Who does vaccination	Missing (<i>n</i> = 2)		0.029
	Private (<i>n</i> = 88)	19 (21.6)	
	Self/family (<i>n</i> = 136)	25 (18.4)	
	Employee (<i>n</i> = 9)	5 (55.6)	
Reuse of egg trays	Missing (<i>n</i> = 4)		0.034
	Yes (<i>n</i> = 105)	29 (27.6)	
	No (<i>n</i> = 119)	19 (16.0)	
Who treats the birds	Missing (<i>n</i> = 13)		< 0.001
	Self (<i>n</i> = 155)	22 (14.2)	
	Government/Animal Health Worker (<i>n</i> = 11)	0 (0.0)	
	Private/Animal Health worker (<i>n</i> = 52)	24 (46.2)	
Presence of other livestock	Missing (<i>n</i> = 19)		0.097
	Present (<i>n</i> = 101)	26 (25.7)	
	Not present (<i>n</i> = 136)	23 (16.9)	

Table 3 Key demographic factors, disease management practices and farm characteristics associated with the prevalence of *Salmonella*, with *p*-values from simple chi-square analyses (Continued)

Variable	Category	<i>Salmonella</i> positive farms (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Having a separate house for new birds	Yes (n = 136)	24 (17.6)	0.134
	No (n = 97)	25 (25.8)	
	Missing (n = 4)		
Disposal of dead birds	Burying (n = 109)	21 (19.3)	< 0.001
	Burning (n = 17)	12 (70.6)	
	Throw away (n = 45)	9 (20.0)	
	Giving to animals (dogs and pigs) (n = 32)	4 (12.5)	
	Drop in a pit (n = 24)	3 (12.5)	
	Missing (n = 10)		
Keeping of pets	Yes (n = 137)	35 (25.5)	0.025
	No (n = 99)	14 (14.1)	
	Missing (n = 1)		
If yes, species of pets	Dogs (n = 62)	20 (32.3)	0.006
	Cats (n = 24)	0 (0.0)	
	Both dogs and cats (n = 51)	15 (29.4)	
Keeping of records	Yes (n = 153)	43 (28.1)	< 0.001
	No (n = 80)	5 (6.2)	

12.5% of poultry droppings [35]. A more recent study in Nigeria by Fagbamilla et al. [36] estimated NTS prevalence of 43.6% in commercial poultry farms. In Algeria, a study in laying hen flocks by Bouzidi et al. [37] found that eight out of 18 flocks were contaminated with NTS.

The identification of ten different serotypes can be regarded as a manifestation of the heterogeneous reservoirs and sources of NTS contamination. *S. Newport* was the most prevalent serotype, compared to the more commonly reported *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* in poultry isolates [37–40]. All the NTS serotypes identified in this study are zoonotic, and are known to have caused human disease outbreaks elsewhere. Consequently, this high prevalence of zoonotic NTS in the poultry reservoir constitutes a public health threat. *S. Typhimurium*, *S. Newport*, *S. Hadar* and *S. Heidelberg* have also been reported by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as the most threatening serotypes to public health because of their association with multidrug-resistance [41]. *S. Mbandaka* has been reported in many poultry

products across the world [41–45]. A recent publication by Afema et al. [40] reported detection of mainly *S. Kentucky*, *S. Heidelberg*, *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *S. Virchow* from poultry faeces. Also another study by Ikwap et al. [46] reported most of the serotypes of this study in isolates from piggeries in Uganda.

Studies of antibacterial susceptibility in NTS from African countries show highly variable results. An occurrence of almost 58% of isolates resistant to at least one antibiotic, is higher than what was reported in a similar study in Chad, that found overall resistance to 16 antibiotics tested at 33% [13]. However, it is lower compared to a study in Sudan that reported antibiotic resistance in NTS isolates at 98% [47] and similar to a more recent one in Ghana that reported resistance at 60.6% [48]. In Ethiopia, a study on NTS isolates from dairy cattle by Eguale et al. [49] found resistance at 30%. This high level of resistance could be associated with overuse and misuse of antibiotics in poultry farming. The significantly higher resistance level of NTS from Wakiso, which is

Table 4 Results from multivariable logistic regression showing identified factors associated with *Salmonella* spp. prevalence, with odds ratios with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) and corresponding *p*-values for the variables

Variable	Level	Odds Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Farm size (no. of birds)	Medium vs small	7.0	2.5–19.8	< 0.001
	Large vs small	5.9	2.1–16.1	0.001
Presence of other animal species	Present vs absent	5.0	2.1–16.1	< 0.001
Houses for new birds	Present vs absent	0.4	0.2–0.8	0.014
Records	Present vs absent	6.7	2.2–20.2	0.001

the immediate district surrounding the capital Kampala should be of concern. Kampala is the biggest hub of trade and movements of people, animals and animal products in the country, and resistant bacteria can potentially spread from here to all regions in the country.

Multidrug-resistance was seen in *S. Bolton*, *S. Mbandaka*, *S. Hadar*, and *S. Newport* isolates. High levels of multidrug-resistance have been reported elsewhere in Africa [11, 12, 48, 50]. The bacteria expressing resistance towards antimicrobials of which some are commonly used in humans and animals exposes a daunting challenge. Increasing development of antimicrobial resistance against commonly used drugs like ciprofloxacin, tetracyclines, sulphonamides sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (co-trimoxazole) in Uganda poses a great threat to public health and economy. Tetracyclines and sulphonamides are among the most widely used drugs for treatment and prophylaxis in food animals [51]. Increasing resistance toward these antimicrobials will render them less available leaving farmers with no cheaper options. In Uganda, ciprofloxacin is not licensed for use in poultry production, but is widely used for treatment of many human infections, including salmonellosis. The mechanisms behind the observed high resistance to ciprofloxacin in this study needs to be investigated.

Resistance to sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (co-trimoxazole) was also seen in this study. Co-trimoxazole is a drug that is used in Uganda for controlling opportunistic infections in persons living with HIV/AIDS [52, 53]. This causes concern as many of these patients will succumb to opportunistic infections [52–54] and also considering that most patients cannot afford other options of antimicrobials. All isolates were susceptible to the extended-spectrum beta-lactams (meropenem, cefotaxime, ceftazidime) that were tested. Efforts should be put in place to maintain this status. Strategies to reduce antimicrobial resistance in Ugandan farm settings should focus on improving management, biosecurity, and sensitization of key stakeholders such as farmers, farm workers, policy makers, drug dealers, animal health workers and veterinarians.

At univariate analysis, a number of demographic farm management and production variables were associated with occurrence of NTS on farms. The final logistic regression model built identified large farm size, presence of other poultry species, and keeping of records as factors associated with NTS in Uganda. The final model was also tested for the random effect of village to assess the degree of independence. While initial analyses indicated that village had some effect, the final model revealed no such effect – indicating that the factors found were stable across the study districts. A large farm was one of the risk factors associated with NTS determined by the model. This is in concordance with the fact that farm size has been significantly associated with presence of NTS in studies

conducted in Britain [26], Trinidad and Tobago, Grenada, and St. Lucia [55], France [15, 56] and Belgium [57]. A previous study of presence of NTS in layer and broiler flocks in the Kampala region in Uganda by Nasinyama et al. [58] identified bird type, flock size and downtime as significant risk factors. In the Ugandan setting, bigger farms tend to attract many activities; visitors and the obtaining of feeds, feed ingredients, chickens and other supplies from multiple sources, many of which informal and unregulated. Under these situations with low biosecurity practices, keeping adequate hygiene standards can be difficult. In addition, most routine operations like mixing of feeds, feeding of birds, watering and vaccinations are manual requiring many workers, some of them coming from outside the farms. Some farms have a limited workforce and are therefore less effective in keeping high standards of routine hygienic practices. All these factors can be further complicated by farms experiencing erratic outage of power and water supplies. As a range of management factors are related to farm size, we may not have identified the most biologically important causal factors.

The current study identified presence of other animal species as another risk factor for NTS. When present on farms, other animal species will most likely share water feeds and space with the chicken and thereby increasing the opportunities for the spread of the bacteria due to direct or indirect contacts. The other animal species may be reservoirs of NTS and thus, contribute to the maintenance of high prevalence of NTS at a farm.

Surprisingly, keeping records emerged as a factor increasing the risk of infection. This could be because in Uganda record keeping is poor among smallholder farmers, and this study found a significant association of NTS with large farms. Keeping records is therefore probably correlating with large farms. It remains open if this variable should be in the model, but leaving out this variable did not affect the estimates for the other variable much. The variable is therefore not considered to be a confounder, but it may perhaps represent other factors.

Having separate housing for birds newly introduced in the farm was associated with lower levels of NTS. The lower level of NTS in farms using separate houses for new chicken is as expected and may represent other factors related to general hygiene, potentially reducing the risk of introduction and maintenance of NTS at farms. Normally housing new birds separately provides an opportunity to observe and provide timely treatment before they are released to mix with other birds on the farms.

The prevalence estimate in the current study is associated with several uncertainties. The study was a cross-sectional study including only one sampling occasion per farm. Depending on the infection levels, it is possible that in some farms a small proportion of birds shed NTS in faeces and this is normally intermittent [59].

Consequently, the prevalence and number of NTS in faecal droppings may therefore change over time and analysis of this requires a longitudinal monitoring scheme. The sampling of faecal droppings only, in order to determine the presence or absence of NTS, may be a limiting factor as NTS in farm settings can be carried in litter, feeds and water as well. However, pooled faecal and environmental sampling of poultry houses is still better than sampling individual birds for detection of NTS on farms as reported in previous studies [60, 61]. In addition, this study targeted commercial egg laying farms who are registered, and yet a lot of farmers are not registered with NAADS. However, these results provide an important insight into the occurrence of NTS in Ugandan poultry, particularly in the absence of previous similar studies. In this study, data on the sources of day old chicks were not captured and this could be included in future studies of NTS in Uganda as sources of day old chicks are potential risk factors for *Salmonella*. In addition, the sampling strategies limited identification of more than one serotype in small farms with only one house as only one sample was taken and one colony was picked for serotyping.

Conclusions

A high prevalence and high levels of antimicrobial resistant NTS in commercial laying chicken farms in Uganda was revealed in this study. Large farms and presence of other animal species at the farm were identified as risk factors for NTS. Both these risk factors are associated with biosecurity challenges. Although limited, this study should pave way for informing the establishment of proper NTS control systems based on empirical scientific evidence.

Further characterization of NTS from the poultry reservoir that is documented through the present work will be necessary in order to elucidate the transmission dynamics and dissemination of these important zoonotic bacteria. Particular emphasis needs to be given to the determination of antimicrobial resistance genes and their mobility in future studies.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Questionnaire used for study data collection. (DOCX 33 kb)

Abbreviations

CDC: Centre for Disease Control and Prevention; CI: Confidence interval; MDR: Multi-drug resistance; MSRV: Modified Semi solid Rappaport Vassiliadis (MSRV); NAADS: National Agricultural Advisory Services; NTS: Non-typhoidal *Salmonella*; UNCST: Uganda National Council of Science and Technology; WHO: World Health Organization; XLD: Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all farmers, farm managers, district authorities and research assistants for their various contributions including their valuable time and knowledge. We are equally very grateful for the support from the researchers and technicians at the Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology at NMBU, particularly Toril Lindbäck and Kristin O' Sullivan. We would like to acknowledge Nasinyama George of Kampala International University for the advice and guidance at the conception of this study. Our thanks to Bergsjø Bjarne of Norwegian Veterinary Institute for serotyping the isolates.

Funding

This work was supported by the Norwegian Programme for Capacity Development in Higher Education and Research for Development (NORHED project No.UGA-13/0031) based at Makerere University, Kampala Uganda and Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Oslo.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset from which these results were generated are not publically available at this point as this study is part of an on-going PhD research at Norwegian University of Life Sciences and the university takes responsibility of storing the primary data. But this can be availed on reasonable request from the first author. The questionnaire can be accessed as additional file (see Additional file 1).

Authors' contributions

TO was the principal investigator, contributed to conception, design, data collection, analysis and drafting of the manuscript. YW contributed to conception design, supervision, editing and approval. TML supervised the lab analysis, participated in drafting and reviewing of the manuscript. AM contributed to the design and review of the manuscript. CK helped with the acquisition of funding, supervision, editing and reviewing the manuscript. LN helped with field data collection and writing. ST participated in field data collection, isolation and writing. ES contributed to acquisition of funding, design, data analysis, writing and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved and granted permission (number A532) by Uganda National Council of Science and Technology (UNCST). All farmers (respondents) who participated were asked for verbal consent before being interviewed. According to UNCST this is acceptable especially for the purpose of not excluding illiterate respondents and where no samples were to be taken from humans and live chicken.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Bio-security, Ecosystems and Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Resources and Biosecurity (COVAB), Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda. ²Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Oslo, Norway. ³Divisions of Genetics and Genomics, Roslin Institute, The Royal (Dick) Vet School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

Received: 6 June 2017 Accepted: 17 November 2017

Published online: 29 November 2017

References

1. Routh JA, Pringle J, Mohr M, Bidol S, Arends K, Adams-Cameron M, Hancock WT, Kissler B, Rickert R, Folster J, et al. Nationwide outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Heidelberg infections associated with ground turkey: United States, 2011. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2015;143:3227–34.

2. Painter JA, Hoekstra RM, Ayers T, Tauxe RV, Braden CR, Angulo FJ, Griffin PM. Attribution of foodborne illnesses, hospitalizations, and deaths to food commodities by using outbreak data, United States, 1998-2008. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2013;19:407-15.
3. Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy SL, Jones JL, Griffin PM. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States-major pathogens. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17:7-15.
4. Ao TT, Feasey NA, Gordon MA, Keddy KH, Angulo FJ, Crump JA. Global burden of invasive nontyphoidal *Salmonella* disease, 2010(1). *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2015;21:941-9.
5. Feasey NA, Dougan G, Kingsley RA, Heyderman RS, Gordon MA. Invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* disease: an emerging and neglected tropical disease in Africa. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9835):2489-99.
6. Majowicz SE, Musto J, Scallan E, Angulo FJ, Kirk M, O'Brien SJ, Jones TF, Fazil A, Hoekstra RM. International collaboration on enteric disease 'Burden of illness S: the global burden of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* gastroenteritis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50:882-9.
7. Graham SM, Molyneux EM, Walsh AL, Cheesbrough JS, Molyneux ME, Hart CA. Nontyphoidal *Salmonella* infections of children in tropical Africa. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2000;19:1189-96.
8. Gordon MA, Graham SM, Walsh AL, Wilson L, Phiri A, Molyneux E, Zijlstra EE, Heyderman RS, Hart CA, Molyneux ME. Epidemics of invasive *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis and *S-enterica* serovar typhimurium infection associated with multidrug resistance among adults and children in Malawi. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;46:963-9.
9. Dechet AM, Herman KM, Chen Parker C, Taormina P, Johanson J, Tauxe RV, Mahon BE. Outbreaks caused by sprouts, United States, 1998-2010: lessons learned and solutions needed. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2014;11:635-44.
10. Callejon RM, Rodriguez-Naranjo MI, Ubeda C, Hornedo-Ortega R, Garcia-Parrilla MC, Troncoso AM. Reported foodborne outbreaks due to fresh produce in the United States and European Union: trends and causes. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2015;12:32-8.
11. Abdel-Maksoud M, Abdel-Khalek R, El-Gendy A, Gamal RF, Abdelhady HM, House BL. Genetic characterisation of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotypes isolated from poultry in Cairo, Egypt. *Afr J Lab Med*. 2015;4(1):1-7.
12. Ahmed AM, Shimamoto T, Shimamoto T. Characterization of integrons and resistance genes in multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolated from meat and dairy products in Egypt. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2014;189:39-44.
13. Tabo DA, Diguimbaye CD, Granier SA, Moury F, Brisabois A, Elgroud R, Millemann Y. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from laying hens and broiler chicken farms in N'Djamena. *Chad Vet Microbiol*. 2013;166:293-8.
14. Henzler DJ, Kradel DC, Sischo WM. Management and environmental risk factors for *Salmonella enteritidis* contamination of eggs. *Am J Vet Res*. 1998;59:824-9.
15. Adeline HS, Marianne C, Sophie L, Françoise L, Isabelle P, Sandra R, Virginie M, Philippe F, Nicolas R. Risk factors for *Salmonella enterica* subsp *enterica* contamination in 519 French laying hen flocks at the end of the laying period. *Prev Vet Med*. 2009;89:51-8.
16. Mollenhorst H, van Woudenberg CJ, Bokkers EGM, de Boer IJM. Risk factors for *Salmonella enteritidis* infections in laying hens. *Poult Sci*. 2005;84:1308-13.
17. Schulz J, Van Hoorebeke S, Hald B, Hartung J, Van Immerseel F, Radtke I, Kabell S, Dewulf J. The dynamics of *Salmonella* occurrence in commercial laying hen flocks throughout a laying period. *Avian Pathol*. 2011;40:243-8.
18. Denagamage T, Jayarao B, Patterson P, Wallner-Pendleton E, Kariyawasam S. Risk factors associated with *Salmonella* in laying hen farms: systematic review of observational studies. *Avian Dis*. 2015;59:291-302.
19. Kirk MD, Pires SM, Black RE, Caipo M, Crump JA, Devleeschauwer B, Dopfer D, Fazil A, Fischer-Walker CL, Hald T, et al. World Health Organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 22 foodborne bacterial, protozoal, and viral diseases, 2010: a data synthesis. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(12):e1001921.
20. Bouchrif B, Le Hello S, Pardo M, Karraouan B, Perrier-Gros-Claude JD, Ennaji MM, Timinouni M, Weill FX. Ceftriaxime-resistant *Salmonella enterica*. *Morocco Emerg Infect Dis*. 2009;15(10):1693-5.
21. De Knecht LV, Pires SM, Hald T. Attributing foodborne salmonellosis in humans to animal reservoirs in the European Union using a multi-country stochastic model. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2015;143(6):1175-86.
22. Moffatt CRM, Musto J, Pingault N, Miller M, Stafford R, Gregory J, Polkinghorne BG, Kirk MD. *Salmonella* Typhimurium and outbreaks of egg-associated disease in Australia, 2001 to 2011. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2016;13(7):379-85.
23. Cameron A. Survey toolbox for livestock diseases : a practical manual and software package for active surveillance in developing countries. 1st ed. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research: Canberra; 1999.
24. Cameron AR, Baldock FC. A new probability formula for surveys to substantiate freedom from disease. *Prev Vet Med*. 1998;34(1):1-17.
25. Van Hoorebeke S, Van Immerseel F, De Vylder J, Ducatelle R, Haesebrouck F, Pasmans F, de Kruijff A, Dewulf J. Faecal sampling underestimates the actual prevalence of *Salmonella* in laying hen flocks. *Zoonoses Public Health*. 2009;56:471-6.
26. Snow LC, Davies RH, Christiansen KH, Carrique-Mas JJ, Cook AJC, Evans SJ. Investigation of risk factors for *Salmonella* on commercial egg-laying farms in Great Britain, 2004-2005. *Vet Rec*. 2010;166:579-86.
27. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007: detection of *Salmonella* spp. in animal faeces and in environmental samples from the primary production stage, amendment 1, annex D. In: Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization; 2007.
28. Grimont PAD, Weill F-X. Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars, World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on *Salmonella* 2007. 9th ed. Paris: Institut Pasteur; 2007. p. 167.
29. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-fifth informational supplement. Wayne: Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.
30. CLSI. Interpretation of the Antibiogramme with Neo-Sensitabs MIC break-points according to CLSI (M31-A4/M31-S2 2013) Inoculum according to Kirby-Bauer / confluent colonies. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2013.
31. WHO. Integrated surveillance of antimicrobial resistance: guidance from a WHO Advisory Group. Geneva: WHO; 2013. http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/agisar_guidance/en/. Accessed on 31 May 2017
32. Dohoo I, Martin W, Stryhn H. Veterinary epidemiologic research. 2nd ed. Chantlotown: VER inc; 2009.
33. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. Hoboken: Wiley; 2000.
34. Dione MM, Ieven M, Garin B, Marcotty T, Geerts S. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from broiler farms, chicken carcasses, and street-vended restaurants in Casamance, Senegal. *J Food Prot*. 2009;72:2423-7.
35. Orji MU, Onuigbo HC, Mbata TI. Isolation of *Salmonella* from poultry droppings and other environmental sources in Awka, Nigeria. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2005;9:86-9.
36. Fagbamila IO, Barco L, Mancin M, Kwaga J, Ngulukun SS, Zavagnin P, Lettini AA, Lorenzetto M, Abdu PA, Kabir J, et al. *Salmonella* serovars and their distribution in Nigerian commercial chicken layer farms. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(3):e0173097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>.
37. Bouzidi N, Aoun L, Zeghdoudi M, Bensouilah M, Elgroud R, Oucief I, Granier SA, Brisabois A, Desquilbet L, Millemann Y. *Salmonella* contamination of laying-hen flocks in two regions of Algeria. *Food Res Int*. 2012;45:897-904.
38. Alvarez-Fernandez E, Alonso-Calleja C, Garcia-Fernandez C, Capita R. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from poultry in Spain: comparison between 1993 and 2006. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2012;153:281-7.
39. Garber L, Smeltzer M, Fedorka-Cray P, Ladely S, Ferris K. *Salmonella enterica* serotype enteritidis in table egg layer house environments and in mice in US layer houses and associated risk factors. *Avian Dis*. 2003;47:134-42.
40. Afema JA, Byarugaba DK, Shah DH, Atukwase E, Nambi M, Sischo WM. Potential sources and transmission of salmonella and antimicrobial resistance in Kampala, Uganda. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0152130.
41. Olobatoke RY, Mulugeta SD. Incidence of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* in poultry products in the North West Province, South Africa. *S Afr J Sci*. 2015;111:70-6.
42. Im MC, Jeong SJ, Kwon Y-K, Jeong O-M, Kang M-S, Lee YJ. Prevalence and characteristics of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from commercial layer farms in Korea. *Poult Sci*. 2015;94:1691-8.

43. Iwabuchi E, Maruyama N, Hara A, Nishimura M, Murakami M, Ochiai T, Hirai K. Nationwide survey of *Salmonella* prevalence in environmental dust from layer Farms in Japan. *J Food Prot.* 2010;73:1993–2000.
44. Kramarenko T, Nurmoja I, Kärssin A, Meremäe K, Hörmann A, Roasto M. The prevalence and serovar diversity of *Salmonella* in various food products in Estonia. *Food Control.* 2014;42:43–7.
45. Zhang J, Yang X, Kuang D, Shi X, Xiao W, Zhang JY, Zheng G, Xu X, Meng JH. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* serovars in retail aquaculture products. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2015;210:47–52.
46. Ikwap K, Erume J, Owiny DO, Nasinyama GW, Melin L, Bengtsson B, Lundeheim N, Fellstrom C, Jacobson M. *Salmonella* species in piglets and weaners from Uganda: prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and herd-level risk factors. *Prev Vet Med.* 2014;115(1–2):39–47.
47. Elmadiena M, El Hussein AA, Muckle CA, Cole L, Wilkie E, Mistry K, Perets A. Antimicrobial susceptibility and multi-drug resistance of *Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica* serovars in Sudan. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* 2013;45(5):1113–8.
48. Andoh LA, Dalgaard A, Obiri-Danso K, Newman MJ, Barco L, Olsen JE. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from poultry in Ghana. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2016;144(15):3288–99.
49. Eguale T, Engidawork E, Gebreyes WA, Asrat D, Alemayehu H, Medhin G, Johnson RP, Gunn JS. Fecal prevalence, serotype distribution and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonellae* in dairy cattle in central Ethiopia. *BMC Microbiol.* 2016;16:11.
50. Fashae K, Ogunsoola F, Aarestrup FM, Hendriksen RS. Antimicrobial susceptibility and serovars of salmonella from chickens and humans in Ibadan, Nigeria. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2010;4(8):484–94.
51. OIE. Annual report on the use of antimicrobial agents in animals - better understanding of the global situation. Paris: OIE; 2016.
52. Kasiye R, Baisley K, Munderi P, Grosskurth H. Effect of cotrimoxazole prophylaxis on malaria occurrence in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa. *Tropical Med Int Health.* 2015;20(5):569–80.
53. Kasiye RP, Baisley K, Munderi P, Levin J, Anywaine Z, Nunn A, Kamali A, Grosskurth H. Incidence of malaria by cotrimoxazole use in HIV-infected Ugandan adults on antiretroviral therapy: a randomised, placebo-controlled study. *AIDS.* 2016;30(4):635–43.
54. Campbell JD, Moore D, Degerman R, Kaharuza F, Were W, Muramuzi E, Odongo G, Wetaka M, Mermin J, Tappero JW. HIV-infected Ugandan adults taking antiretroviral therapy with CD4 counts > 200 cells/ μ L who discontinue Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis have increased risk of malaria and diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;54(8):1204–11.
55. Adesiyun A, Webb L, Musai L, Louison B, Joseph G, Stewart-Johnson A, Samlal S, Rodrigo S. Survey of *Salmonella* contamination in chicken layer farms in three Caribbean countries. *J Food Prot.* 2014;77:1471–80.
56. Chemaly M, Huneau-Salauen A, Labbe A, Houdayer C, Petetin I, Fravallo P. Isolation of salmonella enterica in laying-hen flocks and assessment of eggshell contamination in France. *J Food Prot.* 2009;72:2071–7.
57. Namata H, Meroc E, Aerts M, Faes C, Abrahantes JC, Imberechts H, Mintiens K. *Salmonella* in Belgian laying hens: an identification of risk factors. *Prev Vet Med.* 2008;83:323–36.
58. Nasinyama GW, McEwen SA, Waltner-Toews D, Gyles CL, Wilson J, Opuda-Asibo J, Poppe C. Factors associated with occurrence of *Salmonella* in broiler and layer flocks of chickens in Kampala district, Uganda. *Epidemiol Sante Anim.* 1997;4:31–2.
59. Carrique-Mas JJ, Davies RH. Sampling and bacterial detection *Salmonella* in poultry and poultry premises. *Rev Sci Tech.* 2008;27:665–77.
60. Arnold ME, Carrique-Mas JJ, Davies RH. Sensitivity of environmental sampling methods for detecting *Salmonella* Enteritidis in commercial laying flocks relative to the within-flock prevalence. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2010;138(3):330–9.
61. Arnold ME, Carrique-Mas JJ, McLaren I, Davies RH. A comparison of pooled and individual bird sampling for detection of *Salmonella* in commercial egg laying flocks. *Prev Vet Med.* 2011;99(2):176–84.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

