

Frequent nonallelic gene conversion on the human lineage and its effect on the divergence of gene duplicates

Arbel Harpak^{a,1,2}, Xun Lan^{b,1}, Ziyue Gao^{b,c}, and Jonathan K. Pritchard^{a,b,c,2}

^aDepartment of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; ^bDepartment of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; and ^cHoward Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Edited by Adam Siepel, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, and accepted by Editorial Board Member Daniel L. Hartl October 12, 2017 (received for review May 17, 2017)

Gene conversion is the copying of a genetic sequence from a "donor" region to an "acceptor." In nonallelic gene conversion (NAGC), the donor and the acceptor are at distinct genetic loci. Despite the role NAGC plays in various genetic diseases and the concerted evolution of gene families, the parameters that govern NAGC are not well characterized. Here, we survey duplicate gene families and identify converted tracts in 46% of them. These conversions reflect a large GC bias of NAGC. We develop a sequence evolution model that leverages substantially more information in duplicate sequences than used by previous methods and use it to estimate the parameters that govern NAGC in humans: a mean converted tract length of 250 bp and a probability of 2.5 \times 10⁻⁷ per generation for a nucleotide to be converted (an order of magnitude higher than the point mutation rate). Despite this high baseline rate, we show that NAGC slows down as duplicate sequences diverge—until an eventual "escape" of the sequences from its influence. As a result, NAGC has a small average effect on the sequence divergence of duplicates. This work improves our understanding of the NAGC mechanism and the role that it plays in the evolution of gene duplicates.

gene conversion | gene duplicates | sequence evolution | GC bias | mutation rate

As a result of recombination, distinct alleles that originate from the two homologous chromosomes may end up on the two strands of the same chromosome. This mismatch ("heteroduplex") is then repaired by synthesizing a DNA segment to overwrite the sequence on one strand, using the other strand as a template. This process is called gene conversion.

Although gene conversion is not an error but rather a natural part of recombination, it can result in the nonreciprocal transfer of alleles from one sequence to another, and can therefore be thought of as a "copy and paste" mutation. Gene conversion typically occurs between allelic regions (allelic gene conversion, AGC) (1). However, nonallelic gene conversion (NAGC) between distinct genetic loci can also occur when paralogous sequences are accidentally aligned during recombination because they are highly similar (2)—as is often the case with young tandem gene duplicates (3).

NAGC is implicated as a driver of over 20 diseases (2, 4, 5). The transfer of alleles between tandemly duplicated genes or pseudogenes—can cause nonsynonymous mutations (6, 7), frameshifting (8), or aberrant splicing (9)—resulting in functional impairment of the acceptor gene. A recent study showed that alleles introduced by NAGC are found in 1% of genes associated with inherited diseases (5).

NAGC is also considered to be a dominant force restricting the evolution of gene duplicates (10–12). It was noticed half a century ago that duplicated genes can be highly similar within one species, even when they differ greatly from their orthologs in other species (13–16). This phenomenon has been termed "concerted evolution" (17). NAGC is an immediate suspect for driving concerted evolution, because it homogenizes paralogous sequences by reversing differences that accumulate through other mutational mechanisms (10, 13, 14, 18). Another possible driver of concerted evolution is natural selection. Both purifying and positive selection may restrict sequence evolution to be similar in paralogs (3, 11, 19–24). Importantly, if NAGC is indeed slowing down sequence divergence, it puts in question the fidelity of molecular clocks for gene duplicates (3, 25). To develop expectations for sequence and function evolution in duplicates, we must characterize NAGC and its interplay with other mutations.

In attempting to link NAGC mutations to sequence evolution, we need to know two key parameters: (*i*) the rate of NAGC and (*ii*) the converted tract length. These parameters have been mostly probed in nonhuman organisms with mutation accumulation experiments limited to single genes—typically, artificially inserted DNA sequences (26, 27). The mean tract length has been estimated fairly consistently across organisms and experiments to be a few hundred base pairs (28). However, estimates of the rate of NAGC vary by as much as eight orders of magnitude (26, 29–32)—presumably due to key determinants of the rate that vary across experiments, such as genomic location, sequence similarity of the duplicate sequences and the distance between them, and experimental variability (27, 33). Alternatively, evolutionary-based approaches (19, 34) tend to be less variable: NAGC has been estimated to be 10 to 100 times faster than point mutation in

Significance

Nonallelic gene conversion (NAGC) is a driver of more than 20 diseases. It is also thought to drive the "concerted evolution" of gene duplicates because it acts to eliminate any differences that accumulate between them. Despite its importance, the parameters that govern NAGC are not well characterized. We developed statistical tools to study NAGC and its consequences for human gene duplicates. We find that the baseline rate of NAGC in humans is 20 times faster than the point mutation rate. Despite this high rate, NAGC has a surprisingly small effect on the average sequence divergence of human duplicates—and concerted evolution is not as pervasive as previously thought.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. A.S. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

Published under the PNAS license.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1708151114/-/DCSupplemental.

Author contributions: A.H., X.L., Z.G., and J.K.P. designed research; A.H., X.L., Z.G., and J.K.P. performed research; A.H., X.L., Z.G., and J.K.P. contributed new analytic tools; A.H., X.L., Z.G., and J.K.P. analyzed data; and A.H. wrote the paper.

¹A.H. and X.L. contributed equally to this work.

 $^{^2 \}text{To}$ whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: <code>arbelh@stanford.edu</code> or <code>pritch@stanford.edu</code>.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (35), Drosophila melanogaster (36, 37), and human (19, 38–40). These estimates are typically based on single loci (but see refs. 41, 42). Recent family studies (43–45) have estimated the rate of AGC to be 5.9×10^{-6} per base pair per generation. This is likely an upper bound on the rate of NAGC, since NAGC requires a misalignment of homologous chromosomes during recombination, while AGC does not.

Here, we estimate the parameters governing NAGC with a sequence evolution model. Our method is not based on direct empirical observations, but it leverages substantially more information than previous experimental and computational methods: We use data from a large set of segmental duplicates in multiple species, and exploit information from a long evolutionary history. We estimate that the rate of NAGC in newborn duplicates is an order of magnitude higher than the point mutation rate in humans. Surprisingly, we show that this high rate does not necessarily imply that NAGC distorts the molecular clock.

Results

To investigate NAGC in duplicate sequences across primates, we used a set of gene duplicate pairs in humans that we had assembled previously (46). We focused on young pairs where we estimate that the duplication occurred after the human-mouse split, and identified their orthologs in the reference genomes of chimpanzee, gorilla, orangutan, macaque, and mouse. We required that each gene pair have both orthologs in at least one nonhuman primate and exactly one ortholog in mouse. Since our inference methods implicitly assume neutral sequence evolution, we focused our analysis on intronic sequence at least 50 bp away from intron–exon junctions. After applying these filters, our data consisted of 97, 055 bp of sequence in 169 intronic regions from 75 gene families (*SI Appendix*).

We examined divergence patterns (the partition of alleles in gene copies across primates) in these gene families. We noticed that some divergence patterns are rare and clustered in specific regions. We hypothesized that NAGC might be driving this clustering. To illustrate this, consider a family of two duplicates in human and macaque which resulted from a duplication followed by a speciation event—as illustrated in Fig. 1B ("Null tree"). Under this genealogy, we expect certain divergence patterns across the four genes to occur more frequently than others. For example, the gray sites in Fig. 1C can be parsimoniously explained by one substitution under the null genealogy. They should therefore be much more common than purple sites, as purple sites require at least two mutations. However, if we consider sites in which an NAGC event occurred after speciation (Fig. 1A and "NAGC tree" in Fig. 1B), our expectation for divergence patterns changes: Now, purple sites are much more likely than gray sites.

Mapping Recent NAGC Events. We developed a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) which exploits the fact that observed local changes in divergence patterns may point to hidden local changes in the genealogy of a gene family (Fig. 1 *B* and *C*). In our model, genealogy switches occur along the sequence at some rate; the likelihood of a given divergence pattern at a site then depends only on its own genealogy and nucleotide substitution rates. Our method is similar to others that are based on incongruency of inferred genealogies along a sequence (47–49), but it is model-based and robust to substitution rate variation across genes (*SI Appendix*).

We applied the HMM to a subset of the gene families that we described above: families of four genes consisting of two duplicates in human and a nonhuman primate. Since the HMM assumes that the duplication preceded the speciation, we required that the overall intronic divergence patterns support this genealogy, using the software MrBayes (50). This requirement decreased the number of gene families considered to 39.





Fig. 1. NAGC alters divergence patterns. (*A*) NAGC can drive otherwise rare divergence patterns, like the sharing of alleles between paralogs but not orthologs. (*B*) An example of a local change in genealogy, caused by NAGC. (C) Examples of divergence patterns in a small multigene family. Some divergence patterns—such as the one highlighted in purple—were both rare and spatially clustered. We hypothesized that underlying these changes are local changes in genealogy, caused by NAGC. (*D*) Genealogy map (null genealogy marked by white, NAGC marked by purple tracts) inferred by our HMM based on observed divergence patterns (stars). Two different gene families are shown. For simplicity, only the most informative patterns (purple and gray sites, as exemplified in C) are plotted.

Applying our HMM, we identified putatively converted tracts in 18/39 (46%) of the gene families, affecting 25.8% of the intronic sequence (Fig. 2*A*; see complete list of identified tracts in Datasets S1–S4). Previous studies estimate that only several percent of the sequence is affected by NAGC, but the definition of "affected sequence" statistic is arguably method-dependendent and therefore not directly comparable (41, 51, 52). Fig. 1*D* shows an example of the maximum likelihood genealogy maps for two gene families. The average length of the detected converted tracts is 880 bp (Fig. 2*B*). As previously discussed for other methods (27), this is likely an overestimate of the mean tract length of NAGC, because some identified NAGC tracts result from multiple NAGC events occurring in close proximity (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2).

When an AT/GC heteroduplex DNA arises during AGC, it is preferentially repaired toward GC alleles (53, 54). We sought to examine whether the same bias can be observed for NAGC (53, 55–57). We found that converted regions have a high GC content (percentage of bases that are either guanine or cytosine): 48.9%, compared with 39.6% in matched unconverted regions ($p = 4 \times 10^{-5}$, two-sided t test; Fig. 2C). This



Properties of HMM-inferred converted tracts. (A) Number of tracts Fig. 2. per intron. (B) Tract length distribution. (C) The purple dot shows the average GC content in converted regions. The gray dot shows the average for random unconverted regions, matched in length and within the same gene as the converted regions. The lines show GC content for symmetric 200 bp bins centered at the respective regions (excluding the focal tract). Shaded regions show 95% confidence intervals. The black line shows the intronic average for human genes with no identified paralogs. (D) In purple sites (Fig. 1C) that are most likely to be a direct result of NAGC (right bar), $AT \rightarrow GC$ substitutions are significantly more common than GC AT substitutions. The left bar shows the estimated proportion of AT→GC substitutions through point mutations and AGC in unconverted regions, which we used to derive the expected proportion for unbiased NAGC (pink line) after accounting for their different GC contents. Error bars show two standard errors around the point estimates. (E) Point estimate of GC bias. The dashed purple line shows the estimated probability of resolving a GC/AT heteroduplex in favor of the G/C allele. The color dots show simulation results under three different mechanistic models of biased gene conversion. The solid colored lines show linear fits. The gray-shaded area is a 95% binomial confidence interval for the "tract" model with no GC bias.

base composition difference has been previously observed for histone paralogs (55). However, the difference could be a driver and/or a result of NAGC. To test whether NAGC preferentially repairs AT/GC heteroduplexes toward GC, we focused on sites that carry the strongest evidence of nucleotide substitution by NAGC-these are the sites with the "purple" divergence pattern as before (Fig. 1C). Using a parsimony consideration, we inferred the directionality of such substitutions involving both weak (A/T) and strong (G/C) nucleotides. We found that 61%of these changes were weak to strong changes, compared with an expectation of 44% through point mutation differences and GCbiased AGC alone (exact binomial test $p = 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$, and see SI Appendix and Fig. 2D). We estimate that this observed difference corresponds to a probability of 67.3% in favor of strong alleles when correcting strong/weak heteroduplexes. Our estimate agrees with the GC bias estimated for AGC (43, 44). Among several possible repair mechanisms that could underlie biased gene conversion that we consider in a simulation study (58, 59),

the most likely to underlie such a large bias is the base excision repair mechanism—in which the choice of strand to repair is independent for each heteroduplex (*SI Appendix* and Fig. 2*E*). Conversely, it has been shown that the dominant driver of GC bias in yeast acts over long tracts (like the mismatch repair mechanism) (58). This could suggest that different mechanisms drive GC bias in different species (as also suggested by ref. 59).

The power of our HMM is likely limited to recent conversions, where local divergence patterns show clear disagreement with the global intron-wide patterns; it is therefore applicable only in cases where NAGC is not so pervasive that it would have a global effect on divergence patterns (28, 60). Next, we describe a method that allowed us to estimate NAGC parameters without making this implicit assumption.

NAGC Is an Order of Magnitude Faster than Point Mutation. To estimate the rate and the tract length distribution of NAGC, we developed a two-site model of sequence evolution with point mutation and NAGC (Methods). This model is inspired by the rationale that guided Hudson (61) and McVean et al. (62) in estimating recombination rates: While computing the full likelihood of a sequence evolving through both point mutation and NAGC is intractable, we were able to model the likelihood of the observed divergence between paralogs at a pair of nucleotides at a time. In short, mutation acts to increase-while NAGC acts to decrease-sequence divergence between paralogs. When the two sites under consideration are close by (with respect to the NAGC mean tract length), NAGC events affecting one site are likely to incorporate the other (Fig. 3A). Our model makes no prior assumptions on the frequency of NAGC: Unlike the tract detection method, multiple hits are accounted for in the likelihood of the two-site model.

For each pair of sites in each intron in our data, we computed the likelihood of the observed alleles in all available species, over a grid of NAGC rate and mean tract length values (Fig. 3*B* and *SI Appendix*). We then obtained maximum composite likelihood estimates (MLE) over all pairs of sites (ignoring the dependence between pairs).

We first estimated MLEs for each intron separately, and matched these estimates with ds (16) in exons of the respective gene. We found that NAGC rate estimates decrease as dsincreases (Spearman $p = 1 \times 10^{-5}$, Fig. 3C). This trend is likely due to a slowdown in NAGC rate, or its complete stop, as the duplicates diverge in sequence. Since our model assumes a constant NAGC rate, we concluded that the model would be most applicable to lowly diverged genes and therefore limited our parameter estimation to introns with ds < 5%.

We define NAGC rate as the probability that a random nucleotide is converted per base pair per generation. We estimate this rate to be 2.5×10^{-7} ($[0.8 \times 10^{-7}, 5.0 \times 10^{-7}]$ 95% nonparametric bootstrap CI, Fig. 3D). This estimate accords with previous estimates based on smaller sample sizes using polymorphism data (19, 27) and is an order of magnitude slower than the AGC rate (43, 44). We simultaneously estimated a mean NAGC tract length of 250 bp ([63, 1,000] nonparametric bootstrap CI)—consistent with estimates for AGC (43, 63) and with a metaanalysis of many NAGC mutation accumulation experiments and NAGC-driven diseases (27).

Live Fast, Stay Young? The Effect of NAGC on Neutral Sequence Divergence. We next consider the implications of our results on the divergence dynamics of paralogs post duplication. In light of the high rate we infer, the question arises: If the divergence of paralogous sequences through point mutation is much slower than the elimination of divergence by NAGC (64, 65), should we expect gene duplicates never to diverge in sequence?

We considered several models of sequence divergence (*SI Appendix*). First, we considered a model where NAGC acts at the



Fig. 3. Estimation of NAGC parameters. (A) The two-site sequence evolution model exploits the correlated effect of NAGC on nearby sites (near with respect to the mean tract length). In this illustration, orange squares represent focal sites. Point substitutions are shown by the red points, and a converted tract is shown by the purple rectangle. (B) Illustration of a single datum on which we compute the full likelihood, composed of two sites in two duplicates across multiple species (except for the mouse outgroup for which only one ortholog exists). (C) MLE rate estimates for each intron (orange points). MLEs of zero are plotted at the bottom. The solid line shows a natural cubic spline fit. The rate decreases with sequence divergence (ds). We therefore only use lowly diverged genes ($ds \le 5\%$) to get point estimates of the baseline rate. (D) Composite likelihood estimates. The black point is centered at our point estimates for $ds \leq 5\%$ genes. The blue points show 1,000 nonparametric bootstrap estimates, where the intensity of each point corresponds to the number of bootstrap samples. The corresponding 95%marginal confidence intervals are shown by black lines.

constant rate that we estimated throughout the duplicates' evolution ("continuous NAGC"). In this case, divergence is expected to plateau around 4.5%, and concerted evolution continues for a long time [red line in Fig. 4; in practice, there will eventually be an "escape" through a chance rapid accumulation of multiple mutations (11, 66)]. However, NAGC is hypothesized to be contingent on high sequence similarity between paralogs.

We therefore considered two alternative models of NAGC dynamics: first, a model in which NAGC acts only while the sequence divergence between the paralogs is below some threshold ("global threshold"); second, a model in which the initiation of NAGC at a site is contingent on perfect sequence homology at a short 400-bp flanking region upstream from the site ["local threshold", (2, 27, 67)]. The local threshold model yielded a similar average trajectory to that in the absence of NAGC. A global threshold of as low as 4.5% may lead to an extended period of concerted evolution as in the continuous NAGC model. A global threshold of < 4.5% results in a different trajectory. For example, with a global threshold of 3%, duplicates born at the time of the primates' most recent common ancestor would diverge at 3.9% of their sequence, compared with 5.7% in the absence of NAGC (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Figs. S10-S12 show trajectories for other rates and threshold values).

Lastly, we asked what these results mean for the validity of molecular clocks for gene duplicates. We examined the explanatory power of these different theoretical models for synonymous divergence in human duplicates. We wished to obtain an estimate of the age of duplication that is independent of ds between the human duplicates; we therefore used the extent of sharing of both paralogs in different species as a measure of the duplication time. For example, if a duplicate pair was found in human, gorilla, and orangutan—but only one ortholog was found in macaque—we estimated that the duplication occurred at the time interval between the human–macaque split and the human–orangutan split. Except for the continuous NAGC model (or global threshold $\geq 4.5\%$), all models displayed similar broad agreement with the data (Fig. 4).

The small effect of NAGC on divergence levels is intuitive in retrospect: For identical sequences, NAGC has no effect. Once differences start to accumulate, there is only a small window of opportunity for NAGC to act before the paralogous sequences escape from its hold. This suggests that neutral sequence divergence (e.g., ds) may be an appropriate molecular clock even in the presence of NAGC (as also suggested by refs. 41, 46, and 68).

Discussion

In this work, we identify recently converted regions in humans and other primates, and estimate the parameters that govern NAGC. Previously, it has been somewhat ambiguous whether concerted evolution observations were due to natural selection, abundant NAGC, or a combination of the two (3, 22, 23). Today, equipped with genomic data, we can revisit the pervasiveness of concerted evolution; the data in Fig. 4 suggest that, in humans, duplicates' divergence levels are roughly as expected from the accumulation of point mutations alone. When we plugged in our estimates for NAGC rate, most mechanistic models of NAGC also predicted a small effect on neutral sequence divergence. This result suggests that neutral sequence divergence may be an appropriate molecular clock even in the presence of NAGC.

One important topic left for future investigation is the variation of NAGC parameters. Our model assumes constant action of NAGC through time and across the genome to get a robust

Small effect of NAGC on the divergence of duplicates

Duplication age (log time-scale)

Fig. 4. The effect of NAGC on the divergence of duplicates. The figure shows both data from human paralogs and theoretical predictions of different NAGC models. The blue line shows the expected divergence in the absence of NAGC and the red line shows the expected divergence with NAGC acting continuously. The pink, orange, and red lines show the expected divergence for models in which NAGC initiation is contingent on sequence similarity between the paralogs. The gray horizontal bars correspond to human duplicate pairs. The duplication time for each pair is inferred by examining the nonhuman species that carry orthologs for both of the human paralogs. The *y* axis shows the synonymous sequence dissimilarity between the two human paralogs.

estimate of the mean parameters. However, substantial variation likely exists across gene pairs due to factors such as recombination rate, sequence context, physical distance between paralogs (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9), and sequence similarity. These factors can also have very different distributions in pervasive, highly homologous sequences other than segmental gene duplicates. For example, long terminal repeats comprise several percent of the genome, and experience pervasive NAGC (69).

Our estimates for the parameters that govern the mutational mechanism alone could guide future studies of other forces shaping the evolution of gene duplicates, such as natural selection. Together with contemporary efforts to measure the effects of genomic factors on gene conversion, our results may clarify the potential of NAGC to drive disease, improve the dating of molecular events, and further our understanding of the evolution of gene duplicates.

Methods

Gene Families Data. To investigate NAGC in duplicate sequences, we used a set of 1,444 reciprocal best-matched protein-coding gene pairs in the human reference genome that we had assembled previously (46) using the human reference genome (build 37). We focused on young pairs consistent with a duplication after the human-mouse split, and identified their orthologs in the reference genomes of chimpanzee, gorilla, orangutan, macaque, and mouse (Table S1). We focused our analysis on intronic sequences at least 50 bp away from intron-exon junctions. For each of the two inference tasks, we applied additional method-specific filters (*SI Appendix*)-leaving us with 75 gene families for parameter estimation and 39 gene families for inference tacks.

Two-Site Model Transition Matrix. We consider the evolution of two biallelic sites in two duplicate genes as a discrete homogeneous Markov Process. We describe these four sites with a four-bit vector ("state vector"). The state $I_A I_B I_A r_B \in \{0, 1\}^4$ corresponds to allele I_A at the "left" site in copy A, allele I_B at the left site in copy B, allele r_A at the "right" site in copy A, and allele r_B at the right site in copy B. The labels 0 and 1 are defined with respect to each site separately—the state 0000 does not mean that the left and right sites necessarily have the same allele. We first derive the (per generation) transition probability matrix. There are two possible events that may result in a transition: point mutations which occur at a rate of $\mu = 1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ per site per generation (64) and NAGC. The probability of a site being converted per generation is c. We consider these mutational events to be rare and ignore

- 1. Mitchell MB (1955) Aberrant recombination of pyridoxine mutants of Neurospora. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 41:215–220.
- Chen JM, Cooper DN, Chuzhanova N, Férec C, Patrinos GP (2007) Gene conversion: Mechanisms, evolution and human disease. Nat Rev Genet 8:762–775.
- Innan H, Kondrashov F (2010) The evolution of gene duplications: Classifying and distinguishing between models. Nat Rev Genet 11:97–108.
- Bischoff J, et al. (2006) Genome-wide identification of pseudogenes capable of disease-causing gene conversion. *Hum Mutat* 27:545–552.
- Casola C, Zekonyte U, Phillips AD, Cooper DN, Hahn MW (2012) Interlocus gene conversion events introduce deleterious mutations into at least 1% of human genes associated with inherited disease. *Genome Res* 22:429–435.
- Heinen S, et al. (2006) De novo gene conversion in the RCA gene cluster (1q32) causes mutations in complement factor H associated with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 27:292–293.
- 7. Watnick TJ, Gandolph MA, Weber H, Neumann HP, Germino GG (1998) Gene conversion is a likely cause of mutation in pkd1. *Hum Mol Genet* 7:1239–1243.
- Roesler J, et al. (2000) Recombination events between the p47-phoxgene and its highly homologous pseudogenes are the main cause of autosomal recessive chronic granulomatous disease. *Blood* 95:2150–2156.
- 9. Lorson CL, Hahnen E, Androphy EJ, Wirth B (1999) A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:6307–6311.
- 10. Nei M (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (Columbia Univ Press, New York).
- 11. Fawcett JA, Innan H (2011) Neutral and non-neutral evolution of duplicated genes with gene conversion. *Genes* 2:191–209.
- Hartasánchez Da, Vallès-Codina O, Brasó-Vives M, Navarro A (2014) Interplay of interlocus gene conversion and crossover in segmental duplications under a neutral scenario. G3 (Bethesda) 4:1479–1489.
- 13. Smith GP, Hood L, Fitch WM (1971) Antibody diversity. Annu Rev Biochem 40: 969–1012.
- Smith GP (1974) Unequal crossover and the evolution of multigene families. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology (Cold Spring Harbor Lab Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), Vol 38, pp 507–513.

terms of the order $O(\mu^2)$, $O(c^2)$, and $O(\mu c)$. For example, consider the pergeneration transition probability from 0110 to 0100, for two sites that are *d* bp apart. This transition can happen either through point mutation at the right site of copy A or by NAGC from copy B to copy A involving the right site but not the left. The transition probability is therefore

$$P(0110 \rightarrow 0100) = \mu/3 + c(1 - g(d)) + O(\mu^2) + O(c^2) + O(\mu c)$$

where g(d) is the probability of a conversion event including one of the sites given that it includes the other. Similarly, we can derive the full transition probability matrix **P** (*SI Appendix*). We note that our parameterization ignores possible mutations to (third and fourth) unobserved alleles.

We next derive g(d). Following previous work (28), we model the tract length as geometrically distributed with mean λ . It follows that the probability of a conversion including one site conditional on it includes the other is

$$g_{init}(d) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{a},$$

by the memorylessness of the geometric distribution. In *SI Appendix*, we show that recombination (with a breakpoint between the two sites) has a negligible effect on g_{init} .

Lastly, we turn to compute transition probabilities along evolutionary timescales. Each datum consists of state vectors (corresponding to two biallelic sites in two paralogs) encoding the alleles in the human reference genome and one to four other primate reference genomes. The mouse two-bit state (two sites in one gene) will only be used to set a prior on the root of the tree (*SI Appendix*). We assume a constant tree—namely, a fixed topology and constant edge lengths { t_{ij} } as defined in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S5. We used estimates for primate split times from ref. 70, and assumed a constant generation time of 25 y. Each node corresponds to a state. We assume that—for both mutation types—substitution occurs at a rate equal to the corresponding mutation rate. Therefore, the transition probability matrix $P^*_{(edge ij)}$ for the edge between node i and node j is

$$\mathbf{P}^*_{(\text{edge ii})} = \mathbf{P}^{\tau_{ij}}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Eilon Sharon, Doc Edge, Kelley Harris, David Knowles, Noah Rosenberg, Adam Siepel, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful discussions/comments on the manuscript. This work was funded by National Institutes of Health Grants HG008140 and HG009431 and by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. A.H. and Z.G. were supported, in part, by fellowships from the Stanford Center for Computational, Evolutionary and Human Genomics.

- Brown DD, Sugimoto K (1973) 55 DNAs of Xenopus laevis and Xenopus mulleri: Evolution of a gene family. J Mol Biol 78:397–415.
- Li WH, Graur D (1991) Fundamentals of Molecular Evolution (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA).
- Zimmer E, Martin S, Beverley S, Kan Y, Wilson AC (1980) Rapid duplication and loss of genes coding for the alpha chains of hemoglobin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 77: 2158–2162.
- 18. Ohta T (1990) How gene families evolve. *Theor Popul Biol* 37:213–219.
- Innan H (2003) A two-locus gene conversion model with selection and its application to the human RHCE and RHD genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:8793–8798.
- Teshima KM, Innan H (2007) Neofunctionalization of duplicated genes under the pressure of gene conversion. *Genetics* 1398:1385–1398.
- Storz JF, et al. (2007) Complex signatures of selection and gene conversion in the duplicated globin genes of house mice. *Genetics* 177:481–500.
- Sugino RP, Innan H (2006) Selection for more of the same product as a force to enhance concerted evolution of duplicated genes. *Trends Genet* 22:642–644.
- Mano S, Innan H (2008) The evolutionary rate of duplicated genes under concerted evolution. *Genetics* 180:493–505.
- Hanikenne M, et al. (2013) Hard selective sweep and ectopic gene conversion in a gene cluster affording environmental adaptation. *PLoS Genet* 9:e1003707.
- Casola C, Conant GC, Hahn MW (2012) Very low rate of gene conversion in the yeast genome. Mol Biol Evol 29:3817–3826.
- Jinks-Robertson S, Petes TD (1985) High-frequency meiotic gene conversion between repeated genes on nonhomologous chromosomes in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:3350–3354.
- Mansai SP, Kado T, Innan H (2011) The rate and tract length of gene conversion between duplicated genes. Genes 2:313–331.
- Mansai SP, Innan H (2010) The power of the methods for detecting interlocus gene conversion. *Genetics* 184:517–527.
- Yang D, Waldman AS (1997) Fine-resolution analysis of products of intrachromosomal homeologous recombination in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 17:3614–3628.
- Whelden Cho J, Khalsa GJ, Nickoloff JA (1998) Gene-conversion tract directionality is influenced by the chromosome environment. *Curr Genet* 34:269–279.

EVOLUTION

- Taghian DG, Nickoloff JA (1997) Chromosomal double-strand breaks induce gene conversion at high frequency in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 17:6386–6393.
- Lichten M, Haber J (1989) Position effects in ectopic and allelic mitotic recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 123:261–268.
- Schildkraut E, Miller CA, Nickoloff JA (2005) Gene conversion and deletion frequencies during double-strand break repair in human cells are controlled by the distance between direct repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33:1574–1580.
- Sawyer S (1989) Statistical tests for detecting gene conversion. Mol Biol Evol 6: 526–538.
- Takuno S, Innan H (2009) Selection to maintain paralogous amino acid differences under the pressure of gene conversion in the heat-shock protein genes in yeast. *Mol Biol Evol* 26:2655–2659.
- Thornton K, Long M (2005) Excess of amino acid substitutions relative to polymorphism between X-linked duplications in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol Biol Evol 22:273–284.
- Arguello JR, Chen Y, Yang S, Wang W, Long M (2006) Origination of an X-linked testes chimeric gene by illegitimate recombination in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet* 2:e77.
- Rozen S, et al. (2003) Abundant gene conversion between arms of palindromes in human and ape Y chromosomes. *Nature* 423:873–876.
- Bosch E, Hurles ME, Navarro A, Jobling MA (2004) Dynamics of a human interparalog gene conversion hotspot. Genome Res 14:835–844.
- Hurles ME (2001) Gene conversion homogenizes the CMT1A paralogous repeats. BMC Genomics 2:11.
- Dumont BL, Eichler EE (2013) Signals of historical interlocus gene conversion in human segmental duplications. PLoS One 8:e75949.
- Ji X, Griffing A, Thorne JL (2016) A phylogenetic approach finds abundant interlocus gene conversion in yeast. Mol Biol Evol 33:2469–2476.
- Williams AL, et al. (2015) Non-crossover gene conversions show strong GC bias and unexpected clustering in humans. *Elife* 4:e04637.
- Halldorsson BV, et al. (2016) The rate of meiotic gene conversion varies by sex and age. Nat Genet 48:1377–1384.
- Narasimhan VM, et al. (2017) Estimating the human mutation rate from autozygous segments reveals population differences in human mutational processes. Nat Commun 8:303.
- Lan X, Pritchard JK (2016) Coregulation of tandem duplicate genes slows evolution of subfunctionalization in mammals. *Science* 352:1009–1013.
- Balding DJ, Nichols RA, Hunt DM (1992) Detecting gene conversion: Primate visual pigment genes. Proc Biol Sci 249:275–280.
- Jakobsen IB, Wilson SR, Easteal S (1997) The partition matrix: Exploring variable phylogenetic signals along nucleotide sequence alignments. *Mol Biol Evol* 14:474–484.
- Weiller GF (1998) Phylogenetic profiles: A graphical method for detecting genetic recombinations in homologous sequences. Mol Biol Evol 15:326–335.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17:754–755.
- Jackson MS, et al. (2005) Evidence for widespread reticulate evolution within human duplicons. *Am J Hum Genet* 77:824–840.

- 52. Dennis MY, et al. (2016) The evolution and population diversity of human-specific segmental duplications. Nat Ecol Evol 1:69.
- 53. Duret L, Galtier N (2009) Biased gene conversion and the evolution of mammalian genomic landscapes. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 10:285–311.
- Odenthal-Hesse L, Berg IL, Veselis A, Jeffreys AJ, May CA (2014) Transmission distortion affecting human noncrossover but not crossover recombination: A hidden source of meiotic drive. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004106.
- Galtier N (2003) Gene conversion drives GC content evolution in mammalian histones. Trends Genet 19:65–68.
- Assis R, Kondrashov AS (2011) Nonallelic gene conversion is not GC-biased in Drosophila or primates. Mol Biol Evol 29:1291–1295.
- McGrath CL, Casola C, Hahn MW (2009) Minimal effect of ectopic gene conversion among recent duplicates in four mammalian genomes. *Genetics* 182:615– 622.
- Lesecque Y, Mouchiroud D, Duret L (2013) GC-biased gene conversion in yeast is specifically associated with crossovers: Molecular mechanisms and evolutionary significance. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:1409–1419.
- Arbeithuber B, Betancourt AJ, Ebner T, Tiemann-Boege I (2015) Crossovers are associated with mutation and biased gene conversion at recombination hotspots. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:2109–2114.
- Betrán E, Rozas J, Navarro A, Barbadilla A (1997) The estimation of the number and the length distribution of gene conversion tracts from population DNA sequence data. *Genetics* 146:89–99.
- Hudson RR (2001) Two-locus sampling distributions and their application. Genetics 159:1805–1817.
- McVean G, Awadalla P, Fearnhead P (2002) A coalescent-based method for detecting and estimating recombination from gene sequences. *Genetics* 160:1231– 1241.
- Jeffreys AJ, May CA (2004) Intense and highly localized gene conversion activity in human meiotic crossover hot spots. *Nat Genet* 36:151–156.
- Kong A, et al. (2012) Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father's age to disease risk. *Nature* 488:471–475.
- Ségurel L, Wyman MJ, Przeworski M (2014) Determinants of mutation rate variation in the human germline. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 15:47–70.
- Teshima KM, Innan H (2004) The effect of gene conversion on the divergence between duplicated genes. *Genetics* 166:1553–1560.
- Jinks-Robertson S, Michelitch M, Ramcharan S (1993) Substrate length requirements for efficient mitotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* 13:3937–3950.
- Dumont BL (2015) Interlocus gene conversion explains at least 2.7 % of single nucleotide variants in human segmental duplications. BMC Genomics 16:456.
- Trombetta B, Fantini G, D'Atanasio E, Sellitto D, Cruciani F (2016) Evidence of extensive non-allelic gene conversion among LTR elements in the human genome. Sci Rep 6:28710.
- Scally A, et al. (2012) Insights into hominid evolution from the gorilla genome sequence. Nature 483:169–175.