



## Class C G protein-coupled receptors: reviving old couples with new partners

Thor C. Møller<sup>1</sup>, David Moreno-Delgado<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Philippe Pin<sup>1</sup>✉, Julie Kniazeff<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle (IGF), CNRS, INSERM, Univ. Montpellier, 34094 Montpellier, France

Received: 24 October 2016 / Accepted: 11 January 2017 / Published online: 28 February 2017

**Abstract** G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are key players in cell communication and are encoded by the largest family in our genome. As such, GPCRs represent the main targets in drug development programs. Sequence analysis revealed several classes of GPCRs: the class A rhodopsin-like receptors represent the majority, the class B includes the secretin-like and adhesion GPCRs, the class F includes the frizzled receptors, and the class C includes receptors for the main neurotransmitters, glutamate and GABA, and those for sweet and umami taste and calcium receptors. Class C receptors are far more complex than other GPCRs, being mandatory dimers, with each subunit being composed of several domains. In this review, we summarize our actual knowledge regarding the activation mechanism and subunit organization of class C GPCRs, and how this brings information for many other GPCRs.

**Keywords** G protein-coupled receptors, Dimerization, Activation mechanism, Glutamate, GABA

### INTRODUCTION: CLASS C GPCRS AND TOPOLOGY

The class C of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) contains 22 members, including the eight metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlu<sub>1–8</sub>), the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (GABA<sub>B1</sub> and GABA<sub>B2</sub>), the calcium-sensing receptor (CaS) and the taste receptors (T1R1–T1R3) (Fredriksson *et al.* 2003). The mGlu receptors have been further classified into three different groups depending on their similarities in sequence, pharmacology, signalling and localization: Group I includes mGlu<sub>1</sub> and mGlu<sub>5</sub>, Group II mGlu<sub>2</sub> and mGlu<sub>3</sub> and Group III mGlu<sub>4</sub>, mGlu<sub>6</sub>, mGlu<sub>7</sub> and mGlu<sub>8</sub>.

Structurally, most class C GPCRs contain an extracellular so-called Venus flytrap (VFT) domain, a bilobed structure with a crevice between the two lobes that encloses the orthosteric binding site (Fig. 1). Agonist binding stabilizes a conformation with a shorter distance between the two lobes termed the closed conformation (Kunishima *et al.* 2000). The VFT domain is connected to the seven-transmembrane

(7TM) domain through a cysteine-rich domain (CRD), which is notably absent in the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor (Kunishima *et al.* 2000; Muto *et al.* 2007). The 7TM domain shares similarities with other class C GPCRs both in topology and in activating similar G proteins. In addition, class C GPCRs are either homodimers (*e.g.* mGlu receptors) or heterodimers (*e.g.* GABA<sub>B</sub>) (Fig. 1). Here, we summarize the insights into the activation mechanism of this class of dimeric receptors gained in particular from structural and mutagenesis studies, and then we review the emerging evidence for new types of class C GPCR heterodimers or higher order oligomers.


### DIMERIZATION OF CLASS C GPCRS: A NECESSITY FOR SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION

#### Insights from homodimers: mGlu and CaS receptors

mGlu and CaS receptors are prototypical homodimers that are stabilized by an inter-protomer disulphide bond, polar contacts between VFT domains and interactions between 7TM domains. The dimerization of

Thor C. Møller and David Moreno-Delgado have contributed equally to the preparation of this review article.

✉ Correspondence: Jean-philippe.pin@igf.cnrs.fr (J.-P. Pin)



**Homodimers**  
(ex. mGlu)

**Heterodimers**  
(ex. GABA<sub>B</sub>)



● Endogenous ligand

**Fig. 1** Structural model and schematic representation of class C GPCRs. Class C GPCRs are composed of a Venus flytrap (VFT) domain, a cysteine-rich domain (CRD) and a transmembrane (7TM) domain. This class of receptors forms obligatory dimers, either homodimers (e.g. mGlu) or heterodimers (e.g. GABA<sub>B</sub>)


these receptors is critical for promoting the activation mechanism, leading from agonist binding to G protein activation. Indeed, different studies indicate that the conformation of one protomer is relative to the other changes upon activation, and this has been observed in all the structural domains found in class C GPCRs (Pin and Bettler 2016).

The dimer of VFT domains is in equilibrium between a resting and an active orientation, and agonist binding displaces the equilibrium towards the active state (Fig. 2). This reorientation is directly linked to G protein activation and, as a consequence, has been used to design a FRET-based sensor to monitor the receptor activation (Doumazane *et al.* 2013). Recently, single-molecule analyses using either the isolated dimer of VFT domains or the full-length mGlu<sub>2</sub> receptor dimer have confirmed that the VFT domains oscillate rapidly between the resting and active orientations (Olofsson *et al.* 2014; Vafabakhsh *et al.* 2015). In addition, the studies revealed that agonists with different efficacies diverge in their ability to shift the conformational

equilibrium towards the fully active state, rather than stabilizing intermediate conformations.

Because reorientation of the VFT domain dimer is tightly linked to G protein activation, it is implied that this conformational change is somehow transmitted to the 7TM domains. The CRD has been shown to play a critical role in this process for mGlu receptors. This domain is highly rigid due to four intramolecular disulphide bonds (Muto *et al.* 2007), and disrupting them by mutagenesis impairs the capacity of orthosteric agonists to activate G proteins (Huang *et al.* 2011). In addition, crosslinking the two CRDs in a dimer results in a constitutively active receptor (Huang *et al.* 2011). Altogether, this indicates that the transmission from VFT to 7TM domain is mediated by the rigid CRDs coming into close proximity (Fig. 2).

At the 7TM domain level, activation of the receptor requires a rearrangement of the interface between the



**Fig. 2** Mechanism of activation of homodimers and heterodimers. Both homodimers and heterodimers undergo conformational changes upon activation. The relative orientation of the VFT dimer is changed upon agonist binding; the CRDs (not in GABA<sub>B</sub>) are getting closer and the 7TM dimer changes conformation such that a single 7TM is in the active state

7TM domains in the dimer. Actually, it has been shown for the mGlu<sub>2</sub> receptor that this interface in the inactive state is formed by transmembrane helix 4 (TM4) and TM5 in each protomer, while the two TM6 s are facing each other in the active state (Xue *et al.* 2015). This major change in the dimer interface is required for receptor activity, demonstrated by locking the TM4–TM5 interface, which prevents activation by agonist, and locking the TM6 interface, which leads to a constitutively active receptor (Xue *et al.* 2015). However, the crystal structure of the mGlu<sub>1</sub> receptor 7TM domain in the presence of a negative allosteric modulator (NAM) suggested an alternative dimerization interface involving TM1 (Wu *et al.* 2014). While this difference might be attributed to crystal packing or lack of the VFT domain, further studies are required to determine whether a common mechanism describing the movement of the 7TM domain dimer can be defined for all class C GPCRs.

The data mentioned above suggest that the activation of mGlu receptors relies on the conformational changes of one protomer relative to the other one, which underlies the strict requirement of the mGlu dimerization for glutamate to activate G proteins (Fig. 2). This is further confirmed by experiments showing that glutamate fails to activate an isolated mGlu monomer reconstituted in nanodiscs whereas it activates an mGlu dimer (El Moustaine *et al.* 2012).

Several studies indicate that a single 7TM domain reaches the active conformation in an mGlu homodimer (Goudet *et al.* 2005; Hlavackova *et al.* 2005). In addition, an isolated mGlu monomer purified and reconstituted in nanodiscs activates G proteins when stimulated by a positive allosteric modulator (PAM) (El Moustaine *et al.* 2012). Hence, in the context of a class C GPCR homodimer, G proteins might be activated through the ligand-bound subunit (*cis*-activation) and/or through the other subunit (*trans*-activation). It has been shown for the mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptor that Gq protein can be activated either by *cis*- or *trans*-activation (Brock *et al.* 2007). However, it is also possible that in some cases, depending on whether the receptor is *cis*- or *trans*-activated, the pathways activated may differ. For instance, it has been observed using a combination of glutamate binding-deficient and G protein coupling-deficient receptors that the mGlu<sub>1</sub> receptor triggers Gq-coupled signalling through *cis*- and *trans*-activation, while Gi/o and Gs are exclusively activated through *cis*-activation (Tateyama and Kubo 2011).

Another point to acknowledge when considering class C GPCR homodimers is cooperativity. It has been observed that although glutamate binding to one protomer could induce receptor activation, binding to both protomers was required for full activity (Kniazeff *et al.*

2004). In addition, binding to one protomer can induce negative cooperativity to the second protomer (Suzuki *et al.* 2004), which suggests additional complexity in mGlu receptor pharmacology.

Altogether, in class C GPCR homodimers, G protein activation can be achieved upon binding of a single agonist and by a single protomer with an active 7TM domain. However, the dimeric structure is an absolute prerequisite for the conformational transitions from endogenous agonist binding to G protein activation and thus for physiological receptor function.

### Insights from heterodimers: GABA<sub>B</sub> and T1Rs

The GABA<sub>B</sub>, sweet taste and umami taste receptors are prototypical class C heterodimers: two different subunits are required to activate G proteins upon agonist binding, confirmed *in vivo* for the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor by the disappearance of all physiological responses attributed to the heterodimer when either of the two subunits is knocked out (Prosser *et al.* 2001; Schuler *et al.* 2001; Queva *et al.* 2003; Zhao *et al.* 2003; Gassmann *et al.* 2004). The GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor is a non-covalently linked obligatory heterodimer composed of the subunits GABA<sub>B1</sub> and GABA<sub>B2</sub> (Jones *et al.* 1998; Kaupmann *et al.* 1998; White *et al.* 1998), while the taste receptors are composed of T1R3 and either T1R1 or T1R2 resulting in umami or sweet taste receptors, respectively (Nelson *et al.* 2001, 2002) (Fig. 1). For the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, the GABA<sub>B1</sub> subunit contains the binding site for orthosteric ligands (Galvez *et al.* 1999, 2000), while the GABA<sub>B2</sub> subunit is necessary for G protein activation (Margeta-Mitrovic *et al.* 2001a; Robbins *et al.* 2001; Duthey *et al.* 2002), confirming the absolute necessity of heterodimer formation. In contrast, the T1R2 subunit seems to be responsible for binding of most ligands and for G protein activation in the sweet taste receptor (Xu *et al.* 2004). Interestingly, the attempt to create a homomeric receptor by fusing the ligand binding and the G protein coupling domains from GABA<sub>B</sub> resulted in a non-functional receptor (Galvez *et al.* 2001), indicating a unique activation mechanism for these heterodimeric receptors.

In the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, the correct assembly of the heterodimer is ensured by the C-terminal tail: when expressed alone, GABA<sub>B1</sub> is retained in the ER due to a RSRR retention motif located in its C-terminal tail (Couve *et al.* 1998; Margeta-Mitrovic *et al.* 2000; Pagano *et al.* 2001). In the presence of GABA<sub>B2</sub>, the retention motif is masked by a coiled-coil interaction between the subunits, thus ensuring that only correctly assembled heterodimers are trafficked to the cell surface (Margeta-Mitrovic *et al.* 2000; Pagano *et al.* 2001). However, functional GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors can assemble on the cell

surface independently of the coiled-coil domains (Pagano *et al.* 2001). In addition to the coiled-coil domain interaction, the GABA<sub>B</sub> subunits form interactions between the VFT domains (Geng *et al.* 2013) and most likely also the 7TM domains. Crystal structures of the GABA<sub>B</sub> VFT dimer show that in the resting state, they interact exclusively *via* a tight interface involving only one lobe, whereas in the active state a reorientation of the GABA<sub>B1</sub> VFT domain facilitates an additional, looser interaction between the second lobes (Geng *et al.* 2013).

During activation, agonists bind to the VFT domain of one protomer and promote active conformation of the 7TM domain of the other protomer (Galvez *et al.* 2001; Margeta-Mitrovic *et al.* 2001b), implying that *trans*-activation is the main process for G protein activation in these heterodimers (Fig. 2). Compared to homodimers, this results from a slightly different mechanism. For example, in the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, the GABA<sub>B2</sub> VFT domain is unable to bind ligands (Kniazeff *et al.* 2002) and its closed conformation is not necessary for full activation (Geng *et al.* 2012). Total deletion of the GABA<sub>B2</sub> VFT domain results in a functional receptor suggesting that the signal can proceed from the GABA<sub>B1</sub> VFT domain to the GABA<sub>B2</sub> 7TM domain through the GABA<sub>B1</sub> 7TM domain (Monnier *et al.* 2011). On the other hand, replacing the GABA<sub>B1</sub> 7TM domain by a single transmembrane helix also produced a functional receptor, suggesting that the signal may also be transmitted through the GABA<sub>B2</sub> VFT domain (Monnier *et al.* 2011). Altogether, these data propose that two ways of activation exist in the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor.

Cooperativity between protomers exists also in class C GPCR heterodimers. It has been shown in the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor that although the GABA<sub>B2</sub> VFT and the GABA<sub>B1</sub> 7TM domains are not directly involved in ligand binding and G protein activation, they play a key role in defining the activation potency. Indeed, when expressed alone, GABA<sub>B1</sub> exhibits low-affinity agonists binding; however, when co-expressed with GABA<sub>B2</sub>, the interaction with the GABA<sub>B2</sub> VFT domain increases agonist affinity tenfold (Kaupmann *et al.* 1998). Along the same lines, the GABA<sub>B1</sub> 7TM domain improves the G protein coupling efficiency of GABA<sub>B2</sub> (Galvez *et al.* 2001).

Altogether, in class C GPCR heterodimers, such as the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, one subunit contains the ligand binding domain, but the other subunit is critical for high-affinity agonist binding and functional responses. For the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor, the necessity of dimerization and allosteric transition is ensured by specific targeting of the heterodimer to the cell surface.

## NEW FOLKS IN CLASS C GPCR OLIGOMERS

### Heterodimers of mGlu receptors

In addition to homodimers, mGlu receptors have recently been reported to possibly form eleven different heterodimers in heterologous systems: the mGlu<sub>1</sub> and mGlu<sub>5</sub> receptors can only heteromerize between them, whereas all combinations are possible among five other mGlu receptors (mGlu<sub>2</sub>, mGlu<sub>3</sub>, mGlu<sub>4</sub>, mGlu<sub>7</sub> and mGlu<sub>8</sub>) (Doumazane *et al.* 2011). These various combinations are likely strict heterodimers and not the result of association of two homodimers as well demonstrated for the mGlu<sub>2</sub>-mGlu<sub>4</sub> combination (Yin *et al.* 2014; Niswender *et al.* 2016). Interestingly, all possible combinations were found between receptors that share neuronal localization and G protein coupling, which suggests that heterodimer formation is not an artefact of receptor co-expression, but a specific process controlled by structural and functional properties of the receptors.

The study of mGlu heterodimers is a difficult issue to address due to two main points: the lack of specific ligands (especially for receptors from the same group) and the presence of both homodimers and heterodimers in cells co-expressing two mGlu receptors (Doumazane *et al.* 2011). However, some studies have tried to address the topic focusing on heterodimers between mGlu<sub>2</sub> and mGlu<sub>4</sub> receptors. Although the precise function and pharmacological properties of these heterodimers in native tissues remain open questions, mGlu<sub>2</sub> and mGlu<sub>4</sub> receptors were found to co-immunoprecipitate in rat dorsal striatum and medial prefrontal cortex (Yin *et al.* 2014). Regarding orthosteric agonist activation, partial agonists such as DCG-IV seem to have a reduced effect in heterodimer activation in comparison with mGlu<sub>2</sub> homodimers (Kammermeier 2012), and full agonists such as LY379268 seem to be less potent in activating mGlu<sub>2</sub>-mGlu<sub>4</sub> heterodimers and exhibit dose-response curves with reduced slope (Yin *et al.* 2014). Regarding heterodimer activation by PAMs, mGlu<sub>2</sub> PAMs do not activate the heterodimer (Kammermeier 2012), whereas the effect of mGlu<sub>4</sub> PAMs depends strongly on their scaffold: VU0155041 seems to activate the heterodimer, but not PHCCC (Yin *et al.* 2014) or VU0418506 (Niswender *et al.* 2016). Further research in the binding site of these PAMs could lead to compounds activating only mGlu<sub>2/4</sub> heterodimers, which could help to understand their physiological role.

### Higher order GABA<sub>B</sub> oligomers

The existence of higher order oligomers of GPCRs is still a topic open for discussion, especially because most of



the observations have been done in heterologous cells and not validated in native tissues (Vischer *et al.* 2015). However, increasing experimental evidence suggests that the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor forms oligomers larger than heterodimers. First, in time-resolved FRET experiments, a strong FRET signal was measured between GABA<sub>B1</sub> subunits, whereas the signal between GABA<sub>B2</sub> subunits was weak. This led to the proposal that the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor forms at least dimers of heterodimers associated through the GABA<sub>B1</sub> subunits (Maurel *et al.* 2008; Comps-Agrar *et al.* 2011, 2012). Notably, the FRET/receptor ratio was constant over a wide range of receptor densities, including expression levels similar to the endogenous levels in the brain (Maurel *et al.* 2008). In addition, the existence of oligomers larger than tetramers was suggested by single-molecule microscopy experiments in CHO cells, which showed that at low densities, the majority of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors were dimers with a smaller population (~30%) of tetramers, whereas at higher densities the dimer population disappeared and complexes larger than tetramers appeared, representing ~60% at the highest density (Calebiro *et al.* 2013).

In native tissues, the existence of GABA<sub>B</sub> oligomers is more complex to prove, but some evidence supports the proposal. Indeed, a FRET signal between anti-GABA<sub>B1a</sub> antibodies was detected in brain membrane from wild-type animals, but not from GABA<sub>B1a</sub> knockout animals (Comps-Agrar *et al.* 2011), and the migration of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors from brain membranes on native gels is consistent with complexes larger than dimers (Schwenk *et al.* 2010).

A possible function of the oligomerization of the GABA<sub>B</sub> heterodimer is modulation of receptor signalling. Indeed, it was found that inhibiting GABA<sub>B1</sub>-GABA<sub>B1</sub> interactions using either a non-functional GABA<sub>B1</sub> subunit as competitor or introducing a mutation in the GABA<sub>B1</sub> VFT domain increased signalling efficacy by approximately 50% (Maurel *et al.* 2008; Comps-Agrar *et al.* 2011). It was further shown that one ligand or one G protein per oligomer was sufficient to achieve full activation, suggesting negative cooperativity between heterodimers (Comps-Agrar *et al.* 2011).

## CONCLUSIONS

Class C GPCRs are acknowledged to be dimeric. Over the last two decades, an increasing number of studies have shed light on the necessity of this dimerization for their mechanism of activation. These studies also proposed general concepts for the activation of GPCR dimers. In recent years, new combinations of class C GPCRs with

specific pharmacological properties have been reported in heterologous systems and may reveal an even higher complexity of the glutamatergic and GABAergic modulation of the synaptic activity.

## Abbreviations

7TM domain	Seven-transmembrane domain
CaS receptor	Calcium-sensing receptor
CRD	Cysteine-rich domain
GABA	γ-Aminobutyric acid
GPCR	G protein-coupled receptor
mGlu receptor	Metabotropic glutamate receptor
NAM	Negative allosteric modulator
PAM	Positive allosteric modulator
TM	Transmembrane
VFT	Venus flytrap

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the CNRS, INSERM and Univ Montpellier, and by grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-12-BSV2-0015; ANR-13-RPIB-0009), the Fondation Recherche Médicale (FRM DEQ 20130326522). TCM was supported by a funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 627227.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** Thor C. Møller, David Moreno-Delgado, Jean-Philippe Pin and Julie Kniazeff declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Human and animal rights and informed consent** This review article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Brock C, Oueslati N, Soler S, Boudier L, Rondard P, Pin JP (2007) Activation of a dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor by intersubunit rearrangement. *J Biol Chem* 282:33000–33008
- Calebiro D, Rieken F, Wagner J, Sungkaworn T, Zabel U, Borzi A, Cocucci E, Zurn A, Lohse MJ (2013) Single-molecule analysis of fluorescently labeled G-protein-coupled receptors reveals complexes with distinct dynamics and organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:743–748
- Comps-Agrar L, Kniazeff J, Nørskov-Lauritsen L, Maurel D, Gassmann M, Gregor N, Prézeau L, Bettler B, Durroux T,

- Trinquet E, Pin JP (2011) The oligomeric state sets GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor signalling efficacy. *EMBO J* 30:2336–2349
- Comps-Agrar L, Kniazeff J, Brock C, Trinquet E, Pin JP (2012) Stability of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor oligomers revealed by dual TR-FRET and drug-induced cell surface targeting. *FASEB J* 26:3430–3439
- Couve A, Filippov AK, Connolly CN, Bettler B, Brown DA, Moss SJ (1998) Intracellular retention of recombinant GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. *J Biol Chem* 273:26361–26367
- Doumazane E, Scholler P, Zwier JM, Trinquet E, Rondard P, Pin JP (2011) A new approach to analyze cell surface protein complexes reveals specific heterodimeric metabotropic glutamate receptors. *FASEB J* 25:66–77
- Doumazane E, Scholler P, Fabre L, Zwier JM, Trinquet E, Pin JP, Rondard P (2013) Illuminating the activation mechanisms and allosteric properties of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:E1416–E1425
- Duthey B, Caudron S, Perroy J, Bettler B, Fagni L, Pin JP, Prezeau L (2002) A single subunit (GB2) is required for G-protein activation by the heterodimeric GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor. *J Biol Chem* 277:3236–3241
- El Moustaine D, Granier S, Doumazane E, Scholler P, Rahme H, Bron P, Mouillac B, Baneres JL, Rondard P, Pin JP (2012) Distinct roles of metabotropic glutamate receptor dimerization in agonist activation and G-protein coupling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:16342–16347
- Fredriksson R, Lagerstrom MC, Lundin LG, Schioth HB (2003) The G-protein-coupled receptors in the human genome form five main families. Phylogenetic analysis, paralogon groups, and fingerprints. *Mol Pharmacol* 63:1256–1272
- Galvez T, Parmentier ML, Joly C, Malitschek B, Kaupmann K, Kuhn R, Bittiger H, Froestl W, Bettler B, Pin JP (1999) Mutagenesis and modeling of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor extracellular domain support a venus flytrap mechanism for ligand binding. *J Biol Chem* 274:13362–13369
- Galvez T, Prézeau L, Milioti G, Franek M, Joly C, Froestl W, Bettler B, Bertrand HO, Blahos J, Pin JP (2000) Mapping the agonist-binding site of GABA<sub>B</sub> type 1 subunit sheds light on the activation process of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. *J Biol Chem* 275:41166–41174
- Galvez T, Duthey B, Kniazeff J, Blahos J, Rovelli G, Bettler B, Prézeau L, Pin JP (2001) Allosteric interactions between GB1 and GB2 subunits are required for optimal GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor function. *EMBO J* 20:2152–2159
- Gassmann M, Shaban H, Vigot R, Sansig G, Haller C, Barbieri S, Humeau Y, Schuler V, Muller M, Kinzel B, Klebs K, Schmutz M, Froestl W, Heid J, Kelly PH, Gentry C, Jatou AL, Van der Putten H, Mombereau C, Lecourtier L, Mosbacher J, Cryan JF, Fritschy JM, Lüthi A, Kaupmann K, Bettler B (2004) Redistribution of GABA<sub>B(1)</sub> protein and atypical GABA<sub>B</sub> responses in GABA<sub>B(2)</sub>-deficient mice. *J Neurosci* 24:6086–6097
- Geng Y, Xiong D, Mosyak L, Malito DL, Kniazeff J, Chen Y, Burmakina S, Quick M, Bush M, Javitch JA, Pin JP, Fan QR (2012) Structure and functional interaction of the extracellular domain of human GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor GBR2. *Nat Neurosci* 15:970–978
- Geng Y, Bush M, Mosyak L, Wang F, Fan QR (2013) Structural mechanism of ligand activation in human GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor. *Nature* 504:254–259
- Goudet C, Kniazeff J, Hlavackova V, Malhaire F, Maurel D, Acher F, Blahos J, Prezeau L, Pin JP (2005) Asymmetric functioning of dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptors disclosed by positive allosteric modulators. *J Biol Chem* 280:24380–24385
- Hlavackova V, Goudet C, Kniazeff J, Zikova A, Maurel D, Vol C, Trojanova J, Prézeau L, Pin JP, Blahos J (2005) Evidence for a single heptahelical domain being turned on upon activation of a dimeric GPCR. *EMBO J* 24:499–509
- Huang S, Cao J, Jiang M, Labesse G, Liu J, Pin JP, Rondard P (2011) Interdomain movements in metabotropic glutamate receptor activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:15480–15485
- Jones KA, Borowsky B, Tamm JA, Craig DA, Durkin MM, Dai M, Yao WJ, Johnson M, Gunwaldsen C, Huang LY, Tang C, Shen Q, Salon JA, Morse K, Laz T, Smith KE, Nagarathnam D, Noble SA, Branchek TA, Gerald C (1998) GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors function as a heteromeric assembly of the subunits GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 and GABA<sub>B</sub>R2. *Nature* 396:674–679
- Kammermeier PJ (2012) Functional and pharmacological characteristics of metabotropic glutamate receptors 2/4 heterodimers. *Mol Pharmacol* 82:438–447
- Kaupmann K, Malitschek B, Schuler V, Heid J, Froestl W, Beck P, Mosbacher J, Bischoff S, Kulik A, Shigemoto R, Karschin A, Bettler B (1998) GABA<sub>B</sub>-receptor subtypes assemble into functional heteromeric complexes. *Nature* 396:683–687
- Kniazeff J, Galvez T, Labesse G, Pin JP (2002) No ligand binding in the GB2 subunit of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor is required for activation and allosteric interaction between the subunits. *J Neurosci* 22:7352–7361
- Kniazeff J, Bessis AS, Maurel D, Ansanay H, Prézeau L, Pin JP (2004) Closed state of both binding domains of homodimeric mGlu receptors is required for full activity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 11:706–713
- Kunishima N, Shimada Y, Tsuji Y, Sato T, Yamamoto M, Kumasaka T, Nakanishi S, Jingami H, Morikawa K (2000) Structural basis of glutamate recognition by a dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor. *Nature* 407:971–977
- Margeta-Mitrovic M, Jan YN, Jan LY (2000) A trafficking checkpoint controls GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor heterodimerization. *Neuron* 27:97–106
- Margeta-Mitrovic M, Jan YN, Jan LY (2001a) Function of GB1 and GB2 subunits in G protein coupling of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:14649–14654
- Margeta-Mitrovic M, Jan YN, Jan LY (2001b) Ligand-induced signal transduction within heterodimeric GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:14643–14648
- Maurel D, Comps-Agrar L, Brock C, Rives ML, Bourrier E, Ayoub MA, Bazin H, Tinel N, Durroux T, Prézeau L, Trinquet E, Pin JP (2008) Cell-surface protein–protein interaction analysis with time-resolved FRET and snap-tag technologies: application to GPCR oligomerization. *Nat Methods* 5:561–567
- Monnier C, Tu H, Bourrier E, Vol C, Lamarque L, Trinquet E, Pin JP, Rondard P (2011) Trans-activation between 7TM domains: implication in heterodimeric GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor activation. *EMBO J* 30:32–42
- Muto T, Tsuchiya D, Morikawa K, Jingami H (2007) Structures of the extracellular regions of the group II/III metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:3759–3764
- Nelson G, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Zhang Y, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2001) Mammalian sweet taste receptors. *Cell* 106:381–390
- Nelson G, Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Feng L, Zhao G, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2002) An amino-acid taste receptor. *Nature* 416:199–202
- Niswender CM, Jones CK, Lin X, Bubser M, Thompson Gray A, Blobaum AL, Engers DW, Rodriguez AL, Loch MT, Daniels JS, Lindsley CW, Hopkins CR, Javitch JA, Conn PJ (2016) Development and antiparkinsonian activity of VU0418506, a selective positive allosteric modulator of metabotropic glutamate receptor 4 homomers without activity at mGlu<sub>2/4</sub> heteromers. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 7:1201–1211
- Olofsson L, Felekyan S, Doumazane E, Scholler P, Fabre L, Zwier JM, Rondard P, Seidel CA, Pin JP, Margeat E (2014) Fine tuning of sub-millisecond conformational dynamics controls metabotropic glutamate receptors agonist efficacy. *Nat Commun* 5:5206

- Pagano A, Rovelli G, Mosbacher J, Lohmann T, Duthey B, Stauffer D, Ristig D, Schuler V, Meigel I, Lampert C, Stein T, Prezeau L, Blahos J, Pin J, Froestl W, Kuhn R, Heid J, Kaupmann K, Bettler B (2001) C-terminal interaction is essential for surface trafficking but not for heteromeric assembly of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. *J Neurosci* 21:1189–1202
- Pin JP, Bettler B (2016) Organization and functions of mGlu and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor complexes. *Nature* 540:60–68
- Prosser HM, Gill CH, Hirst WD, Grau E, Robbins M, Calver A, Soffin EM, Farmer CE, Lanneau C, Gray J, Schenck E, Warmerdam BS, Clapham C, Reavill C, Rogers DC, Stean T, Upton N, Humphreys K, Randall A, Geppert M, Davies CH, Pangalos MN (2001) Epileptogenesis and enhanced prepulse inhibition in GABA<sub>B1</sub>-deficient mice. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 17:1059–1070
- Queva C, Bremner-Danielsen M, Edlund A, Ekstrand AJ, Elg S, Erickson S, Johansson T, Lehmann A, Mattsson JP (2003) Effects of GABA agonists on body temperature regulation in GABA<sub>B(1)</sub> mice. *Br J Pharmacol* 140:315–322
- Robbins MJ, Calver AR, Filippov AK, Hirst WD, Russell RB, Wood MD, Nasir S, Couve A, Brown DA, Moss SJ, Pangalos MN (2001) GABA<sub>B2</sub> is essential for g-protein coupling of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor heterodimer. *J Neurosci* 21:8043–8052
- Schuler V, Luscher C, Blanchet C, Klix N, Sansig G, Klebs K, Schmutz M, Heid J, Gentry C, Urban L, Fox A, Spooren W, Jaton AL, Vigouret J, Pozza M, Kelly PH, Mosbacher J, Froestl W, Kaslin E, Korn R, Bischoff S, Kaupmann K, van der Putten H, Bettler B (2001) Epilepsy, hyperalgesia, impaired memory, and loss of pre- and postsynaptic GABA<sub>B</sub> responses in mice lacking GABA<sub>B(1)</sub>. *Neuron* 31:47–58
- Schwenk J, Metz M, Zolles G, Turecek R, Fritzius T, Bildl W, Tarusawa E, Kulik A, Unger A, Ivankova K, Seddik R, Tiao JY, Rajalu M, Trojanova J, Rohde V, Gassmann M, Schulte U, Fakler B, Bettler B (2010) Native GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors are heteromultimers with a family of auxiliary subunits. *Nature* 465:231–235
- Suzuki Y, Moriyoshi E, Tsuchiya D, Jingami H (2004) Negative cooperativity of glutamate binding in the dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 1. *J Biol Chem* 279:35526–35534
- Tateyama M, Kubo Y (2011) The intra-molecular activation mechanisms of the dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 differ depending on the type of G proteins. *Neuropharmacology* 61:832–841
- Vafabakhsh R, Levitz J, Isacoff EY (2015) Conformational dynamics of a class C G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* 524:497–501
- Vischer HF, Castro M, Pin JP (2015) G protein-coupled receptor multimers: a question still open despite the use of novel approaches. *Mol Pharmacol* 88:561–571
- White JH, Wise A, Main MJ, Green A, Fraser NJ, Disney GH, Barnes AA, Emson P, Foord SM, Marshall FH (1998) Heterodimerization is required for the formation of a functional GABAB receptor. *Nature* 396:679–682
- Wu H, Wang C, Gregory KJ, Han GW, Cho HP, Xia Y, Niswender CM, Katritch V, Meiler J, Cherezov V, Conn PJ, Stevens RC (2014) Structure of a class C GPCR metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 bound to an allosteric modulator. *Science* 344:58–64
- Xu H, Staszewski L, Tang H, Adler E, Zoller M, Li X (2004) Different functional roles of T1R subunits in the heteromeric taste receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:14258–14263
- Xue L, Rovira X, Scholler P, Zhao H, Liu J, Pin JP, Rondard P (2015) Major ligand-induced rearrangement of the heptahelical domain interface in a GPCR dimer. *Nat Chem Biol* 11:134–140
- Yin S, Noetzel MJ, Johnson KA, Zamorano R, Jalan-Sakrikar N, Gregory KJ, Conn PJ, Niswender CM (2014) Selective actions of novel allosteric modulators reveal functional heteromers of metabotropic glutamate receptors in the CNS. *J Neurosci* 34:79–94
- Zhao GQ, Zhang Y, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Erlenbach I, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2003) The receptors for mammalian sweet and umami taste. *Cell* 115:255–266