


SHORT REPORT

Open Access



Zika virus can be venereally transmitted between *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes

Stéphanie Silva Campos¹, Rosilainy Surubi Fernandes¹, Alexandre Araujo Cunha dos Santos², Rafaella Moraes de Miranda¹, Erich Loza Telleria³, Anielly Ferreira-de-Brito¹, Marcia Gonçalves de Castro¹, Anna-Bella Failloux⁴, Myrna C. Bonaldo² and Ricardo Lourenço-de-Oliveira^{1*} 

Abstract

Background: Alternative transmission routes have been described for Zika virus (ZIKV). Here, we assessed for the first time the venereal transmission of ZIKV between *Aedes aegypti* under laboratory conditions.

Results: Orally-infected mosquito females were able to transmit the virus to males venereally, and males inoculated intrathoracically were capable of infecting females during mating. The genome of venereally-transmitted virus recovered from males was identical to that of ZIKV ingested by mated females.

Conclusion: We conclude that venereal transmission between *Aedes* mosquitoes might contribute to Zika virus maintenance in nature.

Keywords: Zika virus, *Aedes aegypti*, Venereal transmission

Background

Zika virus (ZIKV, *Flavivirus*, *Flaviviridae*) is an arbovirus that has undergone a rapid spread in the Pacific region and across tropical America since 2015, causing a severe pandemic in 2015–2016 [1–3]. Moreover, microcephaly and other congenital neurological malformations and disorders were associated with ZIKV infections worldwide, which have made this virus currently one of the most significant public health issues. ZIKV transmission to people is primarily through the bite of an infected *Aedes* mosquitoes, mainly *Aedes aegypti* [1, 4]. However, the description of other modes of transmission, like inter-human contamination, may also explain ZIKV emergence, its efficient spread and maintenance in nature [5–8]. Moreover, inter-mosquito transmission through the vertical route is also likely to play a role. Therefore, we experimentally demonstrated that ZIKV could be venereally transmitted between *Ae. aegypti*, a phenomenon that could help in perpetuating ZIKV in nature.

Methods

We experimentally assessed venereal transmission in two populations of Brazilian *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes: Urca (URC; F2 generation), Rio de Janeiro, coastal Southeast region, and Goiânia (GOI; F1 generation), Goiás, inland Central-West region. Their F0 generation tested negative for ZIKV by qRT-PCR as described previously [9]. Mosquitoes were reared at 26 ± 1 °C, 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle and 70 ± 10% humidity (standardized environmental condition, SEC) as previously described [9, 10]. Mosquitoes were sexed at the pupal stage, and the emerged adults of each gender were maintained in distinct cages supplied with a 10% sucrose solution at SEC. Virgin *Ae. aegypti* females aged 5–7 days post-emergence were orally challenged with two ZIKV strains belonging to the Asian lineage, namely ZIKV-Rio-U1 (GenBank: KU926309) and ZIKV-PE243 (GenBank: KX197192), respectively, isolated from humans in Southeast [11] and Northeast [12] Brazil. These ZIKV strains display 99% nucleotide and amino acid identity and may similarly infect Brazilian *Ae. aegypti* [9–12]. Accordingly, females were provided with a mixture containing two parts of washed rabbit erythrocytes and one part of viral suspension at a final titer of 10⁶ PFU/ml as described previously [9]. We incubated sets of fully

* Correspondence: lourenco@ioc.fiocruz.br

¹Laboratório de Mosquitos Transmissores de Hematozoários, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

engorged females at SEC in cylindrical carton cages (20 × 16 cm) daily supplied with a 10% sucrose solution. Groups of 10–30 females corresponding to each combination of the mosquito population and virus strain were randomly killed, and body homogenates in culture medium were examined by RT-qPCR for determining infection rates (IR) on the 10th and 14th day post-infection (dpi) as described elsewhere [9]. At this same dpi, virgin males of the same population, generation and age were introduced into the respective female-infected cages at two sex ratios (Table 1). Then, distinct groups of mosquitoes were allowed to freely mate for 30 h devoid of any food source, to avoid oral contamination, or for 5 days provided with a piece of cotton mesh imbibed with 10% sucrose solution on the top of the cage. In the last case, samples of the sucrose solution probed by mosquitoes were examined daily for the presence of ZIKV by RT-qPCR, and have always tested ZIKV-negative. On completion of the above-mentioned contact periods, samples of 30 females were dissected to determine insemination rates, that is, the proportion of females with spermatheca containing spermatozooids [13]. RNA was extracted from male entire body homogenates for determining IR by RT-qPCR and whole viral genome sequencing on the 14th day after mating as described [9, 11]. Due to the scanty number of health or surviving males that mated with infected females, we decide to intrathoracically inject virgin males with ZIKV to access venereal transmission from male to female. Thus, the supernatant of the body homogenate of one venereally contaminated URC male was inoculated in other URC virgin males. After 10 and 14 days of incubation at SEC, URC virgin females were left to mate for 30 h (devoid of any food source) at a sex ratio of 1 male:2 females, and incubated for 14 days at SEC. Male and female entire body homogenates were tested by RT-qPCR [9]. Aiming to investigate possible genetic changes in the viral genome occurring during the venereal transmission, we also extracted RNA and sequenced the whole genome from the virus of the infectious meal taken by females as well as from a pool of five infected

females that mated with the venereally contaminated males. Nucleotide sequences were aligned, edited and compared as described previously [11].

Results

Insemination rates were 50–90% and 100% in females kept in contact with males for 30 h and 5 days, respectively. IRs in orally challenged females ranged from 46% to 70% in URC mosquitoes challenged with ZIKV-PE243 and ZIKV-Rio-U1, respectively (Table 1). Venereal transmission to males was achieved in mosquito groups whose females were infected with ZIKV-Rio-U1, where IRs in males was 0.9% in URC and 33% in GOI (median of viral loads in bodies of 1.5×10^6 RNA copies/ml; $CT \leq 17.2$). No venereal transmission was detected in the mosquito groups infected with ZIKV-PE243, whose females coincidentally had the lowest insemination rates. IRs of intrathoracically inoculated males were 87.5 and 97.9% at 10 and 14 days, respectively (Table 2). Viral load in bodies of males at 10 and 14 days after injection reached 3.6×10^6 and 9.8×10^6 RNA copies/ml (median: 3.3×10^6 and 3.6×10^6 ; $CT \leq 15.6$), respectively. Males with 10 days after inoculation could not venereally transmit the virus. However, the venereal transmission was detected in 50% of females mated by males with 14 days after intrathoracic injection (viral load in bodies of positive females ranging from 3.4×10^2 to 9.8×10^2 RNA copies/ml; $CT \leq 28.8$). The compared ZIKV genomes were identical, with the virus recovered from a venereally contaminated male (URC-ZIKVRioU1) presenting a single nucleotide substitution at position 1115 (protein E) in which a thymine was replaced by a cytosine, without generating amino acid change.

Discussion

Male *Aedes* mosquitoes have been found naturally infected with ZIKV in Africa [14] and South America [4], providing evidence that inter-mosquito contamination occurs in nature and this phenomenon may play some role in virus maintenance and viral evolution [15]. Being non-hematophagous, male mosquitoes may acquire an

Table 1 Infection rates in *Aedes aegypti* females orally challenged with ZIKV and males contaminated by the venereal route

Mosquito population	ZIKV strain	Sex ratio female: male (insemination rate) ^a	Female orally challenged		Male venereal transmission	
			n	IR (%)	n	IR (%)
Urca	RioU1	256:256 (90%) ^b	30	63	110	0.9
	RioU1	37:19 (97%) ^c	10	70	20	0
	PE243	76:76 (50%) ^b	30	46	38	0
Goiânia	RioU1	90:45 (100%) ^c	10	50	6	33.0

Abbreviations: n number of tested mosquitoes, IR infection rate referring to the proportion of mosquitoes with infected body among tested ones

^aInsemination rate is the proportion of females with spermathecal containing spermatozooids

^bContact for 30 h

^cContact for 5 days

Table 2 Infection rates in *Aedes aegypti* males inoculated intrathoracically with ZIKV-RioU1 and female contaminated by the venereal route. Mosquitoes were let to copulate for 30 h devoid of any food source at a sex ratio of: 1 male: 2 females (81:162)

Days after intrathoracic inoculation of males	Male inoculated intrathoracically		Female venereal transmission	
	n	IR-mi (%)	n	IR-mi (%)
10	10	87.5	19	0
14	41	97.9	10	50

Abbreviations: n number of tested mosquitoes, IR Infection rate referring to the proportion of mosquitoes with infected body among tested ones by RT-qPCR

arbovirus infection through the vertical route. Nevertheless, the venereal transmission has also been experimentally demonstrated for several pairings insect-arbovirus [15–17], including other flaviviruses such as Japanese encephalitis, dengue and St Louis encephalitis viruses [18–20]. We demonstrated that ZIKV could be venereally transmitted between *Ae. aegypti* of two geographically-distant populations. Males obtained the infection from females and vice versa. Moreover, the genome of the virus transmitted by the venereal route was identical to those orally absolved as well as infecting females, suggesting that no expressive genetic changes occur in the viral genome during the venereal transmission. The efficiency of the venereal transmission route may be greater than expected. The variation in insemination and infection rates in orally-challenged females suggest that not all of them had sexual contact with males, especially in the groups brought into contact for only 30 h. The higher rate of venereal transmission from infected females to males (33%) was detected in the group of mosquitoes in which contact time was 5 days and insemination rate was of 100%, that is, all females had sexual contact with males. Considering that one male could have inseminated more than one female in such a group [13], it is possible that not all males had sexual contact with infected females, reducing the chance of venereal transmission. These data altogether may explain the large variation in male IRs.

Several factors have been proposed to explain the silent endemic/enzootic maintenance of ZIKV in Africa and Asia as well as the recent fast spread of this virus in the West Hemisphere, but they remain poorly understood. Although the main mode of maintenance of ZIKV in nature is supposed to be the primate-mosquito-primate transmission cycle, evidence of inter-vertebrate (congenital, perinatal, sexual, occupational and others) [1, 5–7] and inter-invertebrate contamination routes (vertical and venereal) [8] may help in explaining the high capacity of ZIKV to be transmitted and spread.

Conclusion

We conclude that together with other modes of transmission, the venereal route in *Aedes* mosquitoes might contribute to ZIKV virus maintenance in nature.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Iule de Souza Bonelly, Keli Antunes Barbosa da Silva, Lidiane M. S. Raphael, Bruno Felix Pimentel Vianna and Maria Ignêz Bersot for technical support. To Luciano Moreira and Marli T. Cordeiro for providing virus isolated from Northeast Brazil.

Funding

This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ZIKAlliance grant 734,548), CAPES-COFECUB Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (grant 799/14), Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (grants E-26/102.351/2013 and E-26/201.335/2016), Conselho Nacional Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (grant 309,577/2013-6) and National Institut of Health (grant 1UO1 AI115595-01). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The data used in the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

SSC and RSF carried out mosquito rearing and experimental infections. SSC and ELT performed mosquito intrathoracic inoculation. SSC, RSF, RMM, MGC and AFB tested mosquito samples by molecular methods. AACS and MCB produced the viral stocks and sequenced and analyzed the whole virus genomes. MCB and ABF participated in producing reagents for mosquito experiments and helped to prepare the text. RLO and SSC conceived the study and analyzed data. RLO wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA-IOC license LW-34/14) at the Instituto Oswaldo Cruz.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Laboratório de Mosquitos Transmissores de Hematozoários, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ²Laboratório de Biologia Molecular de Flavivírus, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ³Laboratório de Biologia Molecular de Parasitos e Vetores, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ⁴Arboviruses and Insect Vectors, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

Received: 5 September 2017 Accepted: 20 November 2017

Published online: 15 December 2017

References

- Weaver SC, Costa MF, Garcia-Blanco A, Ko AI, Ribeiro GS, Saade G, et al. Zika virus: history, emergence, biology, and prospects for control. *Antivir Res.* 2016;130:69–80.
- Samy AM, Thomas SM, Wahed AA, Cohoon KP, Peterson AT. Mapping the global geographical potential of Zika virus spread. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2016;111(9):559–60.
- Possas C, Brasil P, Marzochi MCA, Tanuri A, Martins R, Marques ETA, et al. Zika puzzle in Brazil: peculiar conditions of viral introduction and dissemination - a review. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2017;112(5):319–27.
- Ferreira-de-Brito A, Ribeiro IP, Miranda RM, Fernandes RS, Campos SS, Silva KAB, et al. First detection of natural infection of *Aedes aegypti* with Zika virus in Brazil and throughout South America. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2016;111(10):655–8.
- Barzon L, Pacenti M, Franchin E, Lavezzo E, Trevisan M, Sgarabotto D, et al. Infection dynamics in a traveller with persistent shedding of Zika virus RNA in semen for six months after returning from Haiti to Italy, January 2016. *Euro Surveill.* 2016;21(32):30316.
- Septfons A, Leparç-Goffart I, Couturier E, Franke F, Deniau J, Balestier A, et al. Travel-associated and autochthonous Zika virus infection in mainland France, 1 January to 15 July 2016. *Euro Surveill.* 2016;21(32):30315.
- Aliota MT, Bassit L, Bradrick SS, Cox B, Garcia-Blanco MA, Gavegnano C, et al. Zika in the Americas, year 2: What have we learned? What gaps remain? A report from the Global Virus Network. *Antivir Res.* 2017;144:223–46.
- Ciota AT, Bialosuknia SM, Ehrbar DJ, Kramer LD. Vertical transmission of Zika virus by *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2017;23(5):880–2.
- Fernandes RS, Campos SS, Ferreira-de-Brito A, Miranda MR, Silva KAB, Gonçalves MC, et al. *Culex quinquefasciatus* from Rio de Janeiro is not competent to transmit the local Zika virus. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016;10(9):e0004993.
- Campos SS. Estudo da transmissão vertical e venérea do vírus Zika em mosquitos *Aedes aegypti*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: MSc Thesis. Instituto Oswaldo Cruz; 2017.
- Bonaldo MC, Ribeiro IP, Lima NS, Santos AAC, Menezes LSR, Cruz SOD, et al. Isolation of infective Zika virus from urine and saliva of patients in Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016;10(6):e0004816.
- Donald CL, Brennan B, Cumberworth SL, Rezelj V, Clark JJ, Cordeiro MT, et al. Full genome sequence and sRNA interferon antagonist activity of Zika virus from Recife, Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016;10(10):e0005048.
- Consoli RAGB, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R. Principais mosquitos de importância sanitária no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Fiocruz; 1998.
- Diallo D, Sall AA, Diagne CT, Faye O, Faye O, Ba Y, et al. Zika virus emergence in mosquitoes in southeastern Senegal, 2011. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):e109442.
- Higgs SB, BJ. Natural cycles of vector-borne pathogens. In: Marquardt WC, editor. *Biology of disease vectors*. Burlington: Elsevier Academic Press; 2004.
- Mavale MS, Fulmali PV, Geevarghese G, Arankalle VA, Ghodke YS, Kanojia P, et al. Venereal transmission of Chandipura virus by *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Scopoli). *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2006;75(6):1151–2.
- Mavale M, Parashar D, Sudeep A, Gokhale M, Geevarghese YG, Akhilesh V. Venereal transmission of chikungunya virus by *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010;83(6):1242–4.
- Shroyer DA. Venereal transmission of St. Louis encephalitis virus by *Culex quinquefasciatus* males (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol.* 1990;27(3):334–7.
- Mourya DT, Soman RS. Venereal transmission of Japanese encephalitis virus in *Culex bitaeniorhynchus*. *Indian J Med Res.* 1999;109:202–3.
- Rosen L. Sexual transmission of dengue viruses by *Aedes albopictus*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1987;37:398–402.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

