

The ‘missing millions’: Where do we find them?

Nawar Diar Bakerly and Glenn Cardwell

Improving rates for early diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) remains a challenge. As the natural history of the disease is largely insidious, permanent airway limitation has already occurred before symptoms of dyspnoea, cough and lower respiratory tract infections are present. Existing studies put the number diagnosed in the United Kingdom at 900,000 but also suggest a further two million people have the disease without a confirmed diagnosis.¹ Furthermore, the rate of underdiagnoses in COPD is higher when compared to other chronic diseases such as hypertension and hyperlipidemia.²

Therefore, strategies on how to find the “missing millions” are still being evaluated. The Copenhagen COPD screening project used a questionnaire with high response rates (81.2%) and detected undiagnosed COPD in 18% of the total participants.³ Using a telephone questionnaire to stratify patient into moderate and high risk of having COPD followed by spirometry yielded a COPD diagnosis in 15.7% and 30.6%, respectively.⁴ Similar efforts to find undiagnosed cases in primary care practices in Scotland by carrying out spirometry in high-risk subjects had a yield of 19%.⁵ Others focused on capturing potential COPD subjects through community pharmacies to identify high-risk patients through five-item questionnaires, capturing up to 24% of subjects with a Forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1)/Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) ratio <0.7.⁶

The complex nature of comorbidity in COPD is well recognized⁷ with studies reporting up to 69% of COPD patients having two other comorbidities.⁸ Therefore, the use of screening questionnaires followed by spirometry in high-risk groups to find undiagnosed cases in patients visiting clinics for these comorbidities had been explored. A recent study on patients attending HIV clinics found that 25% of high-risk subjects had evidence of airflow limitation on spirometry.⁹

Targeting non-respiratory chronic conditions makes practical sense; 22.6% of patients with

established cardiovascular disease were found to have airflow limitation indicative of COPD not previously diagnosed,¹⁰ while 19.8–32.1% of patients with heart failure also have COPD.¹¹ In patients with hypertension, 16.1%¹² have comorbid COPD. Therefore, a case finding programme for undiagnosed COPD among subjects with long-term conditions appears to be a realistic prospect. The article in this issue by Halpin et al.¹³ took the approach of basing their selection criteria from attendees at long-term conditions clinics in primary care as the case-finding setting. Initial steps screened ever smokers for symptoms using a validated questionnaire, followed by the use of microspirometry in those with high questionnaire scores. Of the 1133 participants, 46% had high symptom scores and 22% had an FEV1 below the lower limit of normal. The majority of these (78%) had an FEV1 of 50–80%, which is perhaps not entirely surprising; however, 17% had FEV1 of 30–50% (severe airflow limitation). The diagnostic yield for this approach is comparable to that of other case-finding studies. Although participants with evidence of low FEV1 on microspirometry did not have full spirometry evaluations using the gold standard method, the correlation between the two methods had previously been tested.^{14,15} It is also likely that the 22% yield was slightly overexpressed due to the lack of pretest bronchodilation.

Irrespective of these considerations, there remains an unmet need in COPD diagnosis and case-finding interventions certainly increase the diagnostic yield, but the exact methodology on the best approach to achieve best results remains the subject of deliberation. The balance between effectiveness, cost, and

Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Stott Lane, Salford, UK

Corresponding author:

Nawar Diar Bakerly, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Stott Lane, Salford M6 8HD, UK.
Email: nawar.bakerly@srgt.nhs.uk

reliability remains poorly defined in screening/case-finding studies and more is needed to better understand this relationship to then apply best interventions. The paradox of low availability of spirometry in primary care still needs to be addressed.

References

1. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16 s: diagnosis and management., <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg101/chapter/introduction> (accessed 13 June 2016).
2. Soriano JB, Zielinski J and Price D. Screening for and early detection of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Lancet* 2009; 374(9691): 721–732.
3. Lyngso AM, Backer V, Gottlieb V, et al. Early detection of COPD in primary care: the Copenhagen COPD screening project. *COPD* 2013; 10(2): 208–215.
4. Dirvan JA, Muris JW and van Schayck CP. COPD screening in general practice using a telephone questionnaire. *COPD* 2010; 7(5): 352–359.
5. Tinkelman DG, Price D and Nordyke RJ. COPD screening efforts in primary care: what is the yield? *Prim Care Respir J* 2007; 16(1): 41–48.
6. Castillo D, Guayta R, Giner J, et al. COPD case finding by spirometry in high-risk customers of urban community pharmacies: a pilot study. *Respir Med* 2009; 103(6): 839–845.
7. Soriano JB and Price D. Controversies in COPD. *European Respiratory Society Monograph* 2015; 70: 1–26.
8. Dal Negro RW, Bonadiman L and Turco P. Prevalence of different comorbidities in COPD patients by gender and GOLD stage. *Multidiscip Respir Med* 2015; 10(1): 24.
9. Lambert AA, Drummond MB, Kisalu A, et al. Implementation of a COPD screening Questionnaire in an Outpatient HIV Clinic. *COPD* 2016; 20: 1–6.
10. Loh LC, Selvarajah N, Mohan S, et al. Undiagnosed COPD in patients with established cardiovascular diseases: prevalence, symptoms profiling and functional status. *J Pulm Respir Med* 2011; 1: 107.
11. Minasian AG, van den Elshout FJ, Dekhuijzen PN, et al. COPD in chronic heart failure: less common than previously thought? *Heart Lung* 2013; 42(5): 365–371.
12. Rabahi MF, Pereira SA, Silva Junior JL, et al. Prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among patient with systemic arterial hypertension without respiratory symptoms. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2015; 10: 1525–1529.
13. Halpin DMG, Holmes S, Calvert J, et al. Case finding for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in people attending long-term condition clinics in primary care. *Chron Respir Dis* 2016. DOI: 10.1177/1479972316643011.
14. Rytila P, Helin T and Kinnula V. The use of micro-spirometry in detecting lowered FEV1 values in current or former cigarette smokers. *Prim Care Respir J* 2008; 17(4): 232–237.
15. Represas-Represas C, Fernandez-Villar A, Ruano-Ravina A, et al. Screening for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: validity and reliability of a portable device in non-specialised healthcare settings. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11(1). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0145571.