The golden age of anti-vaccine conspiracies

Richard A. Stein^{*} Editor

To the dismay, disbelief, and amusement of many, a few months back, in a widely shared social media post, a person planning to travel with their toddler mentioned having heard that certain airline companies vaccinate people through the air conditioning system. The person was reaching out to seek additional information on which airlines were doing this.

Leaving aside the fact that no airborne human vaccines – which would make life so much easier for children and vaccination programs alike – are currently available, this reminded me, yet again, that conspiracy theories on social media are alive and thriving, rejoicing their *golden age*.

One of the most successful interventions in the history of medicine, vaccination led to the global eradication of smallpox, the nearly global eradication of polio, and the drastic decrease in the morbidity and mortality associated with other infectious diseases. As a result of this success, many parents are unaware of the threats and burden that infectious diseases posed for previous generations.¹³ To a great extent, this explains that vaccines have become *victims of their own success.*²

Conspiratorial beliefs have become *endemic* among anti-vaccination groups.⁴ Opposition to vaccination is not new – it dates back to the Victorian age and since the 18th century, fear and controversy accompanied the introduction of every new vaccine.^{5,6} This has been compounded, in recent years, by a decreased trust in the institutions that manufacture or

Article downloaded from www.germs.ro Published December 2017 © GERMS 2017 ISSN 2248 - 2997 ISSN - L = 2248 - 2997 distribute vaccines.² In the United States, about 1-3% of the children have vaccination exemptions, and this can reach 20% in some communities.⁷ An increase in vaccine refusals over time, and the geographic clustering of under-vaccinated or non-vaccinated individuals and communities, were linked to outbreaks of vaccine-preventable infectious diseases in the United States and internationally.^{2,8-10}

The impact of vaccination refusal on public health assumes particularly challenging when dimensions misinformation and disinformation are disseminated through the social media.¹¹ As recently pointed out, a relatively limited number of several categories of "thought influencers" in the anti-vaccine movement, including doctors (many of whom had their theories or articles discredited or certain celebrities, retracted). community organizers, "mommy bloggers", and a few opportunists, collectively attract >7 million Facebook followers, albeit some overlap may exist among these categories.¹² Annually between half and 80% of the people search for healthrelated information online, their number is increasing, and relatively few of them share their findings with healthcare professionals.¹²⁻¹⁶ In addition, web sites that allow interactions among users are also becoming increasingly popular.¹⁶ Thus, the potential for disseminating harmful health-related information through social media seems to be at an all-time high. A study conducted in Italy found an inverse correlation between MMR vaccine coverage and internet search activity, Facebook posts, and tweets.¹⁷ The analysis of HPV vaccine-related information from 258,418 tweets sent over two years revealed that the negative representation of vaccines affected their acceptance and coverage.¹⁸ In a study of 153 YouTube videos about immunization, negative videos were more likely to receive a rating, have higher mean star ratings, and have more views.¹⁹ The most commonly

^{*}MD, PhD, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New York University School of Medicine, 550 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016, USA. richardastein@gmail.com

discussed vaccine in this study was the HPV vaccine, which is particularly underutilized and represents an important target for interventions.^{11,19} These findings are reflected by other studies, which revealed that YouTube videos disapproving of immunization were more viewed, liked, or shared.^{20,21}

In a study conducted in the UK, parents who believed in anti-vaccine conspiracy theories were less likely to vaccinate a fictitious child, suggesting that initiatives to increase vaccine uptake should also address the impact of conspiracy theories.²² Understanding the origin of conspiracy theories and developing initiatives to limit the dissemination of harmful healthrelated information is much more complex and multi-layered than it may appear. The analysis of a completely fictitious 12-item conspiracy ideation about Red Bull revealed that the strongest predictor of belief was the belief in other conspiracy theories.²³ While not very surprising, contradictory and mutually incompatible conspiracy theories regarding the same events may sometimes be positively associated, indicating that conspiracism may be driven not so much by the adherence to any particular conspiracy theory, but by beliefs that support the general idea of a conspiracy.²⁴ Conspiracy theories also have more general social consequences, such as a reduced willingness to engage in politics and to reduce the carbon footprint.²⁵

An online survey of US parents found that 28% ever refused and an additional 8% delayed getting the HPV vaccine for their child.²⁶ The two groups had distinct beliefs with respect to vaccination behaviors and communication preferences. Vaccination refusal was associated with lower overall confidence in adolescent vaccination, lower perceived vaccine effectiveness, and higher perceived harms. On the other hand, delaying vaccination was mostly associated with the need for more information, indicating that the communication strategies targeting the two groups need to be different.²⁶

Vaccine hesitancy and vaccine refusal are extremely complex social issues that require interventions at the individual, provider, health care system, and national levels.² Social media,

while referred to as a hotbed of activity for antivaccine activists, also emerges as a platform instrumental towards forging better opportunities to explore vaccine hesitancy and refusal.^{27,32} Human and financial resources devoted to ensure the availability of experts and health officials on social media are critical elements of these initiatives.¹⁵ While today's anti-vaccination movement shares certain similarities with the one in the 19th century, the two are also distinct in a number of ways.^{33,34} One of these distinctions is that social networks, in addition to powerfully shaping the doctorpatient interaction, have profoundly changed the way in which information is disseminated.³⁵ These similarities and differences, collectively, critical learning provide points, and incorporating them communication into strategies to overcome challenges and build opportunities represents one of the acute needs in public health.

Conflicts of interest: None to declare.

References

- 1. Ventola CL. Immunization in the United States: Recommendations, barriers, and measures to improve compliance: Part 1: Childhood vaccinations. P T. 2016;41:426-36. [PubMed] [FullText]
- Salmon DA, Dudley MZ, Glanz JM, Omer SB. Vaccine hesitancy: Causes, consequences, and a call to action. Vaccine 2015;33 Suppl 4:D66-71. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Spencer JP, Trondsen Pawlowski RH, Thomas S. Vaccine adverse events: Separating myth from reality. Am Fam Physician 2017;95:786-94. [PubMed]
- 4. Grimes DR. On the viability of conspiratorial beliefs. PloS One 2016;11:e0147905. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 5. Poland GA, Jacobson RM. The age-old struggle against the antivaccinationists. N Engl J Med 2011;364:97-9. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Di Pietro ML, Poscia A, Teleman AA, Maged D, Ricciardi W. Vaccine hesitancy: parental, professional and public responsibility. Ann Ist Super Sanita 2017;53:157-62. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Sharts-Hopko NC. Issues in pediatric immunization. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs 2009;34:80-8; quiz 89-90. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Omer SB, Salmon DA, Orenstein WA, deHart MP, Halsey N. Vaccine refusal, mandatory immunization, and the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases. N Engl J Med 2009;360:1981-8. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 9. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an overview. Hum Vaccin

Immunother 2013;9:1763-73. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]

- 10. Williams SE. What are the factors that contribute to parental vaccine-hesitancy and what can we do about it? Hum Vaccin Immunother 2014;10:2584-96. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 11. Scherer LD, Shaffer VA, Patel N, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Can the vaccine adverse event reporting system be used to increase vaccine acceptance and trust? Vaccine 2016;34:2424-9. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 12. Smith TC. Vaccine rejection and hesitancy: A review and call to action. Open Forum Infect Dis 2017;4:ofx146. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- Kwon JH, Kye SY, Park EY, Oh KH, Park K. What predicts the trust of online health information? Epidemiol Health 2015;37:e2015030. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 14. Lee K, Hoti K, Hughes JD, Emmerton LM. Consumer use of "Dr Google": A survey on health informationseeking behaviors and navigational needs. J Med Internet Res 2015;17:e288. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 15. Orr D, Baram-Tsabari A, Landsman K. Social media as a platform for health-related public debates and discussions: the Polio vaccine on Facebook. Isr J Health Policy Res 2016;5:34. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- Betsch C, Brewer NT, Brocard P, et al. Opportunities and challenges of Web 2.0 for vaccination decisions. Vaccine 2012;30:3727-33. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 17. Aquino F, Donzelli G, De Franco E, Privitera G, Lopalco PL, Carducci A. The web and public confidence in MMR vaccination in Italy. Vaccine 2017;35:4494-8. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Dunn AG, Surian D, Leask J, Dey A, Mandl KD, Coiera E. Mapping information exposure on social media to explain differences in HPV vaccine coverage in the United States. Vaccine 2017;35:3033-40. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Keelan J, Pavri-Garcia V, Tomlinson G, Wilson K. YouTube as a source of information on immunization: a content analysis. JAMA 2007;298:2482.4. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Tuells J, Martinez-Martinez PJ, Duro-Torrijos JL, Caballero P, Fraga-Freijeiro P, Navarro-López V. [Characteristics of the videos in Spanish posted on Youtube about human papillomavirus vaccines]. Rev Esp Salud Publica 2015;89:107-15. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 21. Covolo L, Ceretti E, Passeri C, Boletti M, Gelatti U. What arguments on vaccinations run through YouTube videos in Italy? A content analysis. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2017;13:1693-9. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 22. Jolley D, Douglas KM. The effects of anti-vaccine conspiracy theories on vaccination intentions. PloS one 2014;9:e89177. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]

- 23. Swami V, Coles R, Stieger S, et al. Conspiracist ideation in Britain and Austria: evidence of a monological belief system and associations between individual psychological differences and real-world and fictitious conspiracy theories. Br J Psychol 2011;102:443-63. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 24. Wood MJ, Douglas KM, Sutton RM. Dead and alive: Beliefs in contradictory conspiracy theories. Soc Psychol Personal Sci 2012;3:767-73. [Crossref]
- 25. Jolley D, Douglas KM. The social consequences of conspiracism: Exposure to conspiracy theories decreases intentions to engage in politics and to reduce one's carbon footprint. Br J Psychol 2014;105:35-56. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 26. Gilkey MB, Calo WA, Marciniak MW, Brewer NT. Parents who refuse or delay HPV vaccine: Differences in vaccination behavior, beliefs, and clinical communication preferences. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2017;13:680-6. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 27. Kata A. Anti-vaccine activists, Web 2.0, and the postmodern paradigm-an overview of tactics and tropes used online by the anti-vaccination movement. Vaccine 2012;30:3778-89. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- Dredze M, Broniatowski DA, Smith MC, Hilyard KM. Understanding vaccine refusal: Why we need social media now. Am J Prev Med 2016;50:550-2. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- Opel DJ, Marcuse EK. Window or mirror: social networks' role in immunization decisions. Pediatrics 2013;131:e1619-20. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- Brunson EK. The impact of social networks on parents' vaccination decisions. Pediatrics 2013;131:e1397:404. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 31. Tustin JL, Crowcroft NS, Gesink D, Johnson I, Keelan J, Lachapelle B. Facebook recruitment of vaccine-hesitant Canadian parents: Cross-sectional study. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2017;3:e47. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 32. Tangherlini TR, Roychowdhury V, Glenn B, et al. "Mommy Blogs" and the vaccination exemption narrative: Results from a machine-learning approach for story aggregation on parenting social media sites. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2016;2:e166. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- 33. Wolfe RM, Sharp LK. Anti-vaccinationists past and present. BMJ 2002;325:430-2. [Crossref] [PubMed] [FullText]
- Poland GA, Jacobson RM. The clinician's guide to the anti-vaccinationists' galaxy. Hum Immunol 2012;73:859-66. [Crossref] [PubMed]
- 35. Stahl JP, Cohen R, Denis F, et al. The impact of the web and social networks on vaccination. New challenges and opportunities offered to fight against vaccine hesitancy. Med Mal Infect 2016;46:117-22. [Crossref] [PubMed]

Please cite this article as:

Stein RA. The golden age of anti-vaccine conspiracies. GERMS. 2017;7(4):168-170. doi: 10.18683/germs.2017.1122