Published in final edited form as: *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2015 May ; 86: 24–34. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.003.

# Multi-locus tree and species tree approaches toward resolving a complex clade of downy mildews (Straminipila, Oomycota), including pathogens of beet and spinach

Young-Joon Choi<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Steven J. Klosterman<sup>c</sup>, Volker Kummer<sup>d</sup>, Hermann Voglmayr<sup>e,f</sup>, Hyeon-Dong Shin<sup>g</sup>, and Marco Thines<sup>a,b,h,i</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Faculty of Biosciences, Institute of Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, Max-von-Laue-Str. 9, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

<sup>b</sup>Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

°USDA-ARS, 1636 E. Alisal St, Salinas, CA, USA

<sup>d</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Maulbeerallee 1, D-14469 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>e</sup>BOKU-University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Institute of Forest Entomology, Forest Pathology and Forest Protection, Department of Forest and Soil Sciences, Peter Jordan-Straße 82, 1190 Vienna, Austria

<sup>f</sup>University of Vienna, Division of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, Rennweg 14, 1030 Wien, Austria

<sup>g</sup>Korea University, Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, Seoul 136-701, Republic of Korea

<sup>h</sup>Integrative Fungal Research Cluster (IPF), Georg-Voigt-Str. 14-16, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

<sup>i</sup>Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Senckenberganlage 25, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

# Abstract

Accurate species determination of plant pathogens is a prerequisite for their control and quarantine, and further for assessing their potential threat to crops. The family Peronosporaceae (Straminipila; Oomycota) consists of obligate biotrophic pathogens that cause downy mildew disease on angiosperms, including a large number of cultivated plants. In the largest downy mildew genus *Peronospora*, a phylogenetically complex clade includes the economically important downy mildew pathogens of spinach and beet, as well as the type species of the genus *Peronospora*. To resolve this complex clade at the species level and to infer evolutionary relationships among them, we used multi-locus phylogenetic analysis and species tree estimation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author at: Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. mycochoi@gmail.com (Y.-J. Choi).

Both approaches discriminated all nine currently accepted species and revealed four previously unrecognized lineages, which are specific to a host genus or species. This is in line with a narrow species concept, i.e. that a downy mildew species is associated with only a particular host plant genus or species. Instead of applying the dubious name *Peronospora farinosa*, which has been proposed for formal rejection, our results provide strong evidence that *Peronospora schachtii* is an independent species from lineages on *Atriplex* and apparently occurs exclusively on *Beta vulgaris*. The members of the clade investigated, the *Peronospora rumicis* clade, associate with three different host plant families, Amaranthaceae, Caryophyllaceae, and Polygonaceae, suggesting that they may have speciated following at least two recent inter-family host shifts, rather than contemporary cospeciation with the host plants.

### **Keywords**

Cospeciation; Host shift; Multi-locus phylogeny; Oomycetes; Peronospora farinosa; Species tree

## 1 Introduction

Downy mildew is one of the most important oomycete plant diseases and causes serious damage to a variety of cultivated and ornamental plants. In the cultivation of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) and spinach (Spinacia oleracea), downy mildew is probably the most widespread and a potentially destructive disease worldwide (Byford, 1981). Although occurrences of this disease have been reported in most countries where these crops are cultivated, a proper nomenclature and the identity of these pathogens has remained controversial (Francis and Byford, 1983; Brandenberger et al., 1991), reflected by the plethora of taxonomic changes that have previously been proposed (Yerkes and Shaw, 1959; Byford, 1967). Fifty-three Peronospora names were validly published for species occurring on particular genera or species of plants included in the family Chenopodiaceae s. str. (Constantinescu, 1991), including the species occurring on Beta and Spinacia. Since Yerkes and Shaw (1959) advocated the synonymization of all of these names under a single species, Peronospora farinosa (Fr.) Fr., the oldest available name thought to be attributable to a Peronospora species on Chenopodiaceae (but see Choi and Thines (2014) for a discussion of its status), *P. farinosa* has often been regarded as the sole parasitic fungus causing downy mildew disease on the host family. However, this view has been challenged with the advent of phylogenetic approaches, which revealed that *Peronospora* samples from various chenopodiaceous hosts represent phylogenetically distinct lineages according to the specific host genera or host species and together even do not form a monophyletic group (Voglmayr, 2003; Choi et al., 2007c, 2008a, 2010). This is in line with the narrow species concept of Gäumann (1919, 1923). As a result, Choi et al. (2007c) have reinstated the name P. effusa (Grev.) Rabenh. for Peronospora specimens on S. oleracea, and Choi et al. (2008a) noted the existence of host-specific Peronospora species infecting Chenopodium, that are distinct from lineages occurring on Atriplex, the type host genus for P. farinosa. Importantly, the Latin description provided by Fries (1832) for *P. farinosa*, for which apparently no type material is extant, has insufficient detail for the name to be associated with any particular species of Peronospora. In addition, it describes several features that are atypical for a Peronospora species (Choi and Thines, 2014). Therefore, the name Botrytis farinosa Fr. (Peronospora

*farinosa*) has recently been proposed to be rejected to enable a detailed taxonomic revision of the more than fifty species of downy mildew pathogens to which the name *P. farinosa* has often been misapplied (Choi and Thines, 2014).

Beet downy mildew (BDM; Peronospora strains parasitic to sugar beet and other varieties of Beta vulgaris) occurs in almost every country where sugar beet and other varieties of Beta vulgaris are cultivated. However, the nomenclature of BDM has not yet been settled with respect to species designation, being mostly still designated as *P. farinosa* f. sp. betae Byford. This is again due to the two conflicting views regarding species differentiation in downy mildews as referred to above. The name Peronospora schachtii Fuckel was first introduced to accommodate the pathogen on Beta vulgaris (Fuckel, 1866). Adopting this narrow species concept, most monographs reported the causal agent of BDM as *P. schachtii* (Gustavsson, 1959; Kochman and Majewski, 1970; Ul'yanishchev et al., 1985; Vanev et al., 1993; Mazelaitis and Staneviciené, 1995). Conversely, Yerkes and Shaw (1959) proposed the synonymization of *P. schachtii* under *P. farinosa*, which was widely accepted by plant pathologists, but also some taxonomists. As a consequence, most studies erroneously referred to BDM as P. farinosa (or P. farinosa f. sp. betae) (Byford, 1981; Constantinescu and Negrean, 1983; Francis and Byford, 1983; Francis and Waterhouse, 1988; Yu et al., 1998; García-Blázquez et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2010). Hence, the latter name is widely used when referring to BDM (11,500 hits in Google using the search string "Peronospora farinosa" AND beet, 3510 hits in Google using the search string "Peronospora schachtii" AND beet, at 18 Aug 2014).

In a previous ITS rDNA sequence-based study (Choi et al., 2007c), BDM isolates formed a monophyletic cluster with three other Peronospora species, P. effusa parasitic to spinach (Spinacia oleracea), P. rumicis Corda (the type species of the genus Peronospora) parasitic to Rumex spp., and P. obovata Bonord. parasitic to Spergula arvensis. Additionally, five other *Peronospora* species were also placed within the same clade in a preliminary survey; *P*. lepigoni Fuckel parasitic to Spergularia rubra, P. polycarponis Mayor & Vienn.-Bourg. to Polycarpon diphyllum, P. minor (Casp.) Gäum. to Atriplex patula, P. atriplicis-hastatae S vul. & Rayss to A. prostrata, and P. litoralis Gäum. to A. littoralis. The close phylogenetic relationship among these nine species is not surprising. Morphologically, these species share several similarities: all show monopodial branching pattern in the conidiophores, all have straight to sub-straight branches, and all produce ellipsoidal to broadly ellipsoidal and brownish conidia. The morphological similarity between BDM and P. effusa has been previously described (Berlese and De Toni, 1888; Wilson, 1914). Choi et al. (2007c) also recognized this similarity, although they also found a slight difference in the length to width ratio of the conidia. Nonetheless, a decision regarding the conspecificity or distinctiveness of the species could not be reached, as too few specimens and loci were included. However, it became apparent that at least P. effusa was separate from all of the closely related species (Choi et al., 2007c).

For the usefulness in identification, taxonomy, and phylogeny of oomycetes and fungi, the ITS rDNA region has become the standard nuclear DNA barcode marker (Schoch et al., 2012). However, the lack of ITS variability does not always automatically confirm their conspecifity; there are several cases like the one investigated here (Choi et al., 2007c) where

the ITS region exhibits insufficient variability for phylogenetic distinction in closely related species, e.g. in *Peronospora* (Voglmayr et al., 2014b), *Pseudoperonospora* (Choi et al., 2005) and *Phytophthora* (Goodwin et al., 1999; Cooke et al., 2000; Jung and Burgess, 2009). Due to the limitations of the ITS region, further multi-locus phylogenies are required. Therefore, in the current study concatenation-based phylogenetic analyses of two nuclear (ITS, heat shock protein 90 [*hsp90*]) and five mitochondrial loci (cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit II and I [*cox2* and *cox1*], a spacer region between *cox2* and *cox1* genes [coxS], NADH dehydrogenase subunit I [*nad1*], ribosomal protein S10 and its flanking region [*rps10*]) were conducted. As there are concerns about the accuracy of species relationships inferred from concatenation method (Edwards et al., 2007; Kubatko and Degnan, 2007; Edwards, 2009; Blair et al., 2012), a coalescent-based approach to estimates gene trees and species tree topologies simultaneously (Heled and Drummond, 2010; Bouckaert et al., 2014) was additionally performed. The aim of the present study was to resolve one of the most complex phylogenetic clades of downy mildews using multi-locus sequence data, and to develop evolutionary hypotheses related to speciation and host shifts in this group.

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Oomycete specimens

In total 90 specimens of *Peronospora* originating from three host families, Amaranthaceae (including Chenopodiaceae), Caryophyllaceae, and Polygonaceae, which all belong to the order Caryophyllales, were included in the present study. On the Amaranthaceae, 12 beet (Beta vulgaris var. cicla and var. saccharifera) specimens, originating from Asia, Europe, North and South America, and 24 spinach (Spinacia oleracea) specimens from Asia, Europe, North America, and Oceania were analyzed, along with eight samples occurring on Atriplex. In addition, three species parasitic on the Caryophyllaceae, P. polycarponis, P. lepigoni, and *P. obovata*, and the *P. rumicis* complex parasitic on the Polygonaceae were included for phylogenetic study. Peronospora boni-henrici Gäum. (parasitic to Chenopodium bonus*henricus*) was used as an outgroup. The host plants and geographic samplings of downy mildew specimens were summarized in Table S1, in addition with taxonomic changes of Peronospora names by this study. The samples were either collected by the authors or loaned from herbaria BPI, DM, GLM, HMAS, HMR, KUS-F, UPS, VPRI, and WU. Herbarium abbreviations are according to Thiers (2014). Acronyms of private collections include those of the following: DML, from the USDA ARS laboratory of Steven Klosterman in Salinas California, USA; RD, R. Delhey in the Phytopathology Lab of Bahía Blanca, Argentina; JK-F, Julia Kruse in Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Frankfurt am Main, Germany; VK, Volker Kummer in University Potsdam, Germany. Information on the specimens sequenced in this study is shown in Table 1.

### 2.2 DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

In total, 5–20 mg of infected plant tissue from herbarium specimens were disrupted in a mixer mill (MM2, Retsch, Germany), using three iron beads of each 3 mm and 1 mm diameters per sample and shaking at maximum speed for 3 min. Genomic DNA was extracted using the BioSprint 96 DNA Plant Kit (Qiagen) on a KingFisher Flex (Thermo Scientific) robot or using the NucleoSpin<sup>®</sup> Plant II kit (Machery-Nagel). Five mitochondrial

and two nuclear markers were amplified by PCR using combinations of Oomycete-specific primers (Table S2); primers ITS1-O (Bachofer, 2004) and LR-0 (reverse complementary to LR-0R (Moncalvo et al., 1995)) for the complete ITS rDNA (amplicon length of 854 bp), HSP90-F1 (Blair et al., 2008) and HSP90-R1C (designed here:

ACMCCCTTGACRAASGABAGRTAC) for *hsp90* nDNA (926 bp), cox2-F (Hudspeth et al., 2000) and FMPh-10b (Martin et al., 2004) for *cox2* mtDNA and the spacer region between *cox2* and *cox1* genes (1015–1089 bp), OomCox1-levup and OomCox1-levlo (Robideau et al., 2011) for the *cox*1 mtDNA (680 bp), Prv9-F and Prv9-R (Blair et al., 2012) for *rps10* mtDNA (approx. 601 bp), NADHF3C (designed here:

AGGWGCDTTAAGATCAACDGCWCA) and NADHR1 (Kroon et al., 2004) for *nad1* mtDNA (548 bp). In some cases, the *cox2* gene and the spacer region had to be separately amplified with two primer sets, cox2-F & cox2-R (Hudspeth et al., 2000) and FM79 & FMPh-10b (Martin et al., 2004). Amplification reactions were carried out in 25  $\mu$ L with genomic DNA from 1 to 3 ng, 1× Mango PCR Buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8 mg/mL BSA, 0.4  $\mu$ M forward and reverse primers, and 0.5 Unit Mango Taq Polymerase (Bioline GmbH, Luckenwalde, Germany). PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 4 min; 36 cycles of 95 °C for 40 s, primer set specific annealing temperature for 40 s (see Table S2), 72 °C extension for 60 s; final extension of 5 min at 72 °C. For *hsp90* gene and a spanning region of *cox2* and cox2-1 spacer, the extension time was extended to 90 s. For *rps10* gene, the extension time was shortened to 40 s, and the cycle numbers were increased to 40 cycles. Amplicons were sequenced at the Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F) laboratory using primers identical to those used for amplifications.

### 2.3 Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences were edited using the DNAStar software package (DNAStar, Inc., Madison, Wis., USA), version 5.05. An alignment of each locus was performed using MAFFT 7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) employing the Q-INS-i algorithm (Katoh and Toh, 2008). SequenceMatrix 1.7.8 (Vaidya et al., 2011) was used for concatenating individual gene sequences and for checking unusually similar or divergent sequences. We made concatenation alignments for each nuclear (ITS and hsp90), mitochondrial (cox2, cox5, cox1, nad1, rps10), and all seven loci. To assess the relative stability of trees with respect to different inference methods, we used four different tree construction methods: Maximum Likelihood (ML), Minimum Evolution (ME), Maximum Parsimony (MP), and Bayesian inference (MCMC). The best-fit substitution models were identified for each locus in MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013); these were the general time reversible (GTR) model for mitochondrial loci and the Tamura-Nei (TN) for nuclear loci. Evolutionary rates were estimated at a value of 1.0. For ML analyses, 1000 rounds of random addition of sequences as well as 1000 fast bootstrap replicates were performed using RAxML 7.0.3 (Stamatakis, 2006) as implemented in raxmlGUI 1.3 (Silvestro and Michalak, 2012) using the GTRCAT variant. ME analysis was done using MEGA 6.0, with the default settings of the program, except for using the TN model instead of the maximum composite likelihood model. MP analysis was performed in PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002), using 1000 replicates of heuristic search with random addition of sequences and subsequent TBR branch swapping. All sites were treated as unordered and unweighted, with gaps treated as missing data. The reproducibility of the internal nodes of

the resulting trees was tested by bootstrap analysis using 1000 replications. MCMC analysis was performed using MrBayes, version 3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012), with the GTR model and gamma-distributed substitution rates. Four incrementally heated simultaneous Markov chains were run for 10 M generations, with a tree saved every 1000th generation. We assessed convergence and the effective sample size exceeding 200 for each parameter every run, as implemented in Tracer v.1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2009). The AWTY software (Nylander et al., 2008) was also used to confirm the convergence graphically. After checking for convergence and ESS, we discarded the first 10% of trees as a burn-in.

### 2.4 Species tree estimation

Uncertainty in phylogenetic relationships resulting from the multi-locus concatenation was estimated with \*BEAST 2 (Heled and Drummond, 2010). Individual Bayesian trees were inferred for each locus in three datasets (nuclear, mitochondrial, and all seven loci), and relationships based on the combined dataset were estimated using Bayesian analysis. BEAUTi 2 (Bouckaert et al., 2014) was used to create the XML-formatted input files for \*BEAST 2. Individual assignments to taxonomic units followed the specific host plant, as well as the clades inferred from the concatenation analysis, under the Taxon sets tab. For the dataset partitioned by locus, the best-fit substitution models and evolutionary rates were identical with ones of the above phylogenetic analysis. The topology and support values were compared under two tree priors (Yule versus birth-death process) and molecular clock models (strict versus relaxed). All datasets were run for 100 M generations, sampling every 5000th generation. Topological convergence and adequate ESS were checked using Tracer and AWTY, as outlined above. After removing the first 25% of trees, a maximum clade credibility tree with the 95% highest probability density was produced by using TreeAnnotator version 2.1.2 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2010), and visualized in FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). We used DensiTree (Bouckaert, 2010) to jointly visualize the posterior distribution of the species trees from \*BEAST 2. As this program uses transparent lines, thus in areas where many of the trees agree with respect to topology and branch length, there will be many lines drawn and the screen will show a darker color for the respective branch.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 ITS rDNA phylogeny

The phylogenetic relationship of ITS sequences was inferred using ML, ME, MP, and MCMC analyses. Since all four analyses did not show support for divergent topologies, only the ML tree is presented in Fig. S1, with the addition of the support values of the other analyses. The *Peronospora* isolates originating from the genera *Beta, Emex, Rumex, Spergula, Spergularia, Polycarpon,* and *Spinacia* grouped together with high support values in all analyses. Within this groups, most sequences were identical, thus relationships in this group could not be resolved. Even though ITS sequence showed a sufficient resolution to distinguish *P. effusa* isolates ex *Spinacia oleracea* from other isolates with varying support, discrimination between *Peronospora* specimens from *Polycarpon, Rumex, Spergula, and Spergularia* was not possible. Although a group including all BDM specimens was obtained, it also included specimens from *Emex spinosa*. The two groups representing *P. effusa* and

BDM (including *P*. sp. ex *Emex*) revealed a unique single-base substitution in the ITS2 region and the 5.8S gene, respectively. On *Atriplex*, two distinct lineages representing *P*. *litoralis* and *P. minor/P. atriplicis-hastatae* were found, which were sister to all other groups.

### 3.2 Multi-locus phylogeny

Trees based on each concatenated alignment for five mitochondrial and two nuclear loci (Fig. S2) showed no strong conflicting support with respect to a phylogeny based on the concatenated alignment of mitochondrial and nuclear loci (fig 1). A concatenated alignment of all mitochondrial and nuclear loci had 4378 total characters, including 311 variable characters, 123 of which were parsimony informative; *cox2* (708 characters, 65 variable, 30 parsimony informative), the spacer region between *cox2* and *cox1* (272, 22, 9), *cox1* (701, 58, 28), *nad1* (502, 47, 22), *rps10* (541, 19, 4), ITS (799, 41, 10), and *hsp90* (855, 59, 20). The final matrix was deposited in TreeBASE (http://www.treebase.org) and is available under http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S16896.

Since the concatenated dataset for all mitochondrial and nuclear loci revealed no significant conflicts in the tree topologies derived from ML, ME, MP, and MCMC analyses, only the tree from ML inference is shown in Fig. 1. The multi-gene phylogeny showed a high resolution, enabling differentiation between all closely related species of the complex clade, and resolving the uncertainties found in the ITS tree (Fig. S1). The discrimination of the lineages parasitic to specific host genera or species received high to maximum support in all phylogenetic analyses. In the multi-locus tree, all BDM sequences together formed a distinct group, with high support values of 100/100/99/100 in four analyses. All sequences, excluding one specimen 'CAL03' (Table 1), were identical to each other, demonstrating the genetic homogeneity of the pathogen causing BDM disease in several regions worldwide. BDM was further distinguishable from *Peronospora* specimens from *Emex spinosa*, which had identical ITS sequences. All sequences of P. effusa grouped together with maximum support in all analyses. Also the resolution for Peronospora specimens from caryophyllaceous plants was much improved in the concatenated dataset, compared to the ITS tree. All Peronospora specimens from the Caryophyllaceae, Polycarpon, Spergula, and Spergularia, grouped together with the high support of 88/100/99/100. They further split into three subgroups, corresponding to a particular host plant; P. polycarponis from Polycarpon diphyllum, P. obovata from Spergula arvensis, and P. lepigoni from Spergularia rubra. A fourth subgroup exists with a previously undescribed lineage of Peronospora occurring on Spergularia marina. All specimens from *Rumex* species formed a monophyletic group with high support values. This group was further subdivided corresponding to particular host species. The type species of *Peronospora*, *P. rumicis*, is likely restricted to *R. acetosella*, as specimens from both R. thyrsiflorus and the other from R. acetosa (including R. arifolius) were highly distinct from P. rumicis from R. acetosella. Peronospora rumicis and Peronospora specimens from R. thyrsiflorus grouped together with maximum support in all analyses. Within specimens identified as *R. thyrsiflorus*, two distinct groups were observed, but based on the specimens investigated in this study, no morphological differences could be found in preliminary screens. The Peronospora isolates from Emex spinosa and Spergularia marina have respectively been considered to be *P. rumicis* and *P. lepigoni*, but are distinct from those species on the basis of the multi-locus phylogeny. Peronospora isolates parasitic

to *Atriplex* were consistently found to be the sister group to all other lineages in the *Peronospora* clade under investigation. The monophyly of this group received low support values in all analyses, probably due to the slightly different placements of *P. litoralis* in nuclear (Fig. S2a) and mitochondrial datasets (Fig. S2b). This group was further divided into three clades corresponding to a particular *Atriplex* species, *A. littoralis*, *A. prostrata*, and *A. patula*, with the latter two clades grouping together with strong support (100/99/98/100).

### 3.3 Species tree estimation

In order to evaluate the results from the concatenated dataset, the species trees of the mitochondrial and nuclear loci were generated by \*BEAST, and summarized in a maximum clade credibility tree (fig 2A) and cloudogram (Fig 2B). With an identical tree topology, which was robust to changes in the tree prior (Yule versus birth-death process) and molecular clock model (strict versus relaxed) tested, the species trees showed largely congruent results with the concatenated dataset, except for the grouping of the Peronospora species occurring on members of Atriplex. The species trees strongly supported a grouping of the Peronospora species from Atriplex, with a posterior probability of 1.00, while it was not significantly supported by the concatenated dataset (Fig 1), in which support values were low in all analyses (ML, ME, MP, and MCMC). In both species trees, P. effusa occupied a basal position to specimens from Caryophyllaceae, Polygonaceae and Beta vulgaris, like in the concatenated tree, but the posterior probability is low (0.68) in the maximum clade credibility tree (Fig 2A), due to a slightly different placement of *P. effusa* and *Peronospora* species from Atriplex in mitochondrial loci (Fig. S3b). The species tree estimations confirmed that the four *Peronospora* lineages from Caryophyllaceae form a monophyletic cluster, but along with the concatenation analysis, the evolutionary relationships within this cluster were unclear with regard to none or only low posterior probabilities.

# 4 Discussion

Despite ITS rDNA being recognized as a potential barcoding marker for identification of Oomycetes (Robideau et al., 2011) and Fungi (Schoch et al., 2012), ITS sequences in some cases may be unsuitable to resolve the phylogenetic relationships among closely related species. In the largest genus of oomycetes, Peronospora, there are a few complex clades containing several distinct species, which were mostly not distinguishable based on ITS sequences, e.g. the *Peronospora arborescens* complex (Voglmayr et al., 2014b), the *P. effusa*rumicis-schachtii complex (Choi et al., 2007c), the P. sparsa complex parasitic to Rosaceae (Cooke et al., 2002; Voglmayr, 2003; Choi et al., 2007a), and the P. viciae complex parasitic to Fabaceae (García-Blázquez et al., 2008). To determine the previously unresolved relationships within the *Peronospora effusa-rumicis-schachtii* complex (Voglmayr, 2003; Choi et al., 2007c), the present study used multi-locus analyses based on a concatenated dataset and species tree estimations. The nearly identical topologies inferred from the concatenated and coalescent-based analyses illustrate the distinctiveness of all Peronospora species included in this study and correspondence to the specific host genus or species that they infect. Additionally, this study revealed some previously unnoticed, host-specific lineages of *Peronospora* and that the type species of this genus is likely restricted to R. acetosella. These results support the view that a narrow species concept reflects the

evolutionary history of downy mildews much better than a broad species concept, which is in line with recent studies for other species complexes, such as *Bremia lactucae* (Voglmayr et al., 2004; Choi et al., 2007b, 2011c), *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* (Choi et al., 2003, 2011b; Göker et al., 2004, 2009; Voglmayr and Göker, 2011; Voglmayr et al., 2014a), *Peronospora arborescens* (Voglmayr et al., 2014b), *Peronospora lamii* (Belbahri et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2009c; Thines et al., 2009b), and *Plasmopara halstedii* (Spring et al., 2003; Komjáti et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2009a).

The taxonomic status of the causal agent for beet downy mildews (BDM) remained obscure due to previous uncertainties on species delimitation of downy mildews. This is due to two conflicting views regarding species differentiation in downy mildews. As a result, BDM is often referred to as either *P. schachtii* (narrow host range) or *P. farinosa* (broad host range). The present phylogenetic study has revealed the distinctiveness of BDM from all other Peronospora species. The independence of BDM agrees with previous works about host specialization as several authors were unable to cross-inoculate between BDM and Peronospora isolates from other chenopodiaceous hosts, suggesting a strict host specificity (Leach, 1931; Richards, 1939; Darpoux and Durgéat, 1962; Byford, 1967; Klosterman et al., 2014). Consequently, we suggest that the name *P. schachtii* should be used for BDM. In addition, *P. farinosa* is a name that cannot be associated with any type specimen and the description contains insufficient detail to ascertain the pathogen and host species; it is even unclear if the original description refers to a downy mildew. Thus, the name *Botrytis* farinosa (the basionym of *P. farinosa*) has been proposed for rejection (Choi and Thines, 2014). None of the species described from *Atriplex* (the type host of the dubious taxon P. farinosa) is closely related to P. schachtii.

In spite of the economic importance of beet downy mildew, the genetic diversity of P. schachtii has not yet been investigated. In this study, all but one specimens originating from geographically diverse countries (Argentina, China, France, Germany, Korea, and the USA) were identical to each other in ITS, cox2, cox1, nad1, rps10, and hsp90 loci. The one strain (CAL03) that was not identical had only a single base substitution in the spacer region between cox2 and cox1. The Peronospora samples of the two varieties of Beta vulgaris, namely, var. saccharifera (sugar beet) and var. cicla (Swiss chard) did thus not show genetic differentiation from each other in the loci investigated. The beet crop is thought to be native to the Mediterranean (De Bock, 1985; Hanelt, 2001), and has been domesticated over thousands of years from a wild form without swollen roots. Its potential as a source of sugar was not discovered until 1747, and only in the early part of the 19th century when its breeding reached high sugar levels, the beet became a popular vegetable in Europe (Rolph, 1917). In other parts of the world, cultivation has started only recently. This suggests that P. schachtii may have spread together with the crop, possibly starting from an originally very small pathogen population. The resulting comparatively short period of time the crop is grown worldwide might explain the genetic homogeneity of the *P. schachtii* isolates.

The present study consistently supports a monophyletic grouping of specimens occurring on spinach, but *P. effusa* isolates appear to be genetically much more heterogeneous compared to *P. schachtii*. Spinach originated from the Southwest Asian region and has cultivated longer cultivation history outside of its native area. It has become an established vegetable in

Europe in the early part of the 16th century, although it has been grown in China since the 7th or 8th century (Sturtevant, 1890). Consequently, the downy mildew pathogens have undergone a recent and major expansion in geographic range throughout the past centuries, possibly also starting from a small area.

The present study also sheds some light on the phylogeny of a taxonomically important species, *P. rumicis*, the type species of the genus *Peronospora*. Although *Peronospora* specimens from *Rumex* species formed a monophyletic group in all phylogenetic analyses, they are differentiated into several distinct, highly supported lineages. It is noteworthy that the *Peronospora* specimens on *R. acetosa* s.l. are genetically distinct from *P. rumicis* on the type host, *R. acetosella*. This suggests that the name *P. rumicis* should not be applied to downy mildew pathogens other than from *R. acetosella*, even though it has commonly been used to refer to downy mildew pathogens of members of the genus *Rumex* and closely related genera (Hall, 1994; Constantinescu and Fatehi, 2002).

The multilocus phylogeny and species tree estimations provide additional evidence that distinct species of downy mildews can generally infect only a narrow range of closely related host plants or, sometimes, only a particular host species. However, there is increasing evidence that this is not a curious exception in obligate biotrophic fungi. As these organisms live in intimate and specific associations with their host, their phylogeny often mirrors the phylogeny of their hosts (Fahrenholz, 1913), which has sometimes been referred to as "Fungi as plant taxonomists" (Hijwegen, 1979). Similarly, for the white blister rusts (Albuginaceae), another obligate biotrophic family of oomycetes, several distinct species of Albugo and Pustula were observed to exist on specific host species of the Brassicaceae (Choi et al., 2006, 2007d, 2008b; Thines et al., 2009a; Ploch et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2011a) and Asteridae (Ploch et al., 2011; Rost and Thines, 2012), respectively. Also, in several wellknown obligate biotrophic fungi such as rusts (Uredinales), smuts (Ustilaginales), and powdery mildews (Erysiphales), specific associations have been widely recorded, resulting in a high species diversity of about 7000, 1600, and 900 species, respectively (Ainsworth, 2008; Braun and Cook, 2012; Vánky, 2012), which by far surpasses facultative or necrotrophic pathogens. However, there are also a few exceptions from this, such as Pseudoperonospora cubensis in case of the downy mildews (Runge et al., 2011) or Albugo candida in case of the white blister rusts (Choi et al., 2007d, 2008b, 2009b; Thines et al., 2009a; Ploch et al., 2010).

The macro-evolutionary patterns in downy mildews and other biotrophic pathogens are still vastly unexplored. But from the various hosts parasitized by some closely related downy mildew species, e.g. in *Peronospora* (Voglmayr, 2003) or *Hyaloperonospora* (Göker et al., 2004), it becomes apparent that downy mildews and their hosts cannot have co-diverged on larger evolutionary scales. In this study, the molecular affinities among *Peronospora* species roughly mirror that their host plants, but the congruence of downy mildew and host phylogenies is mostly biased to the terminal branches. This phenomenon can probably be explained by the recent radiation and speciation of downy mildews following at least two independent host shifts from Amaranthaceae to two other families, Caryophyllaceae and Polygonaceae, rather than contemporary cospeciation with host plants. This pattern of host shifts and subsequent radiation has also been observed in the downy mildew genus *Bremia* 

(Choi & Thines, unpublished). These results suggest that host shifts and radiation are the main evolutionary driving forces for the speciation of downy mildews. Mostly, host shifts occur between closely related species and genera within a host family, which can at first sight be misinterpreted as cospeciation. However, also within the parasites of a specific host family, host and parasite phylogenies usually do not match completely, providing clear evidence for radiation after host shifts in a range of related hosts. Similarly, in white blister rusts (Choi et al., 2007d, 2008b, 2011d; Thines et al., 2009a), powdery mildews (Matsuda and Takamatsu, 2003; Inuma et al., 2007), rusts (Savile, 1979; Van der Merwe et al., 2008) and smuts (Antonovics et al., 2002; Lopez-Villavicencio et al., 2005), it was shown that host shifts may occur frequently throughout the evolutionary history of plant pathogens, although the underlying mechanisms still remain obscure. In summary, it can be assumed that the downy mildews and probably also other biotrophic pathogens evolve by (1) host shifts that expend their host ranges to taxonomically closely related but more rarely to distantly related plants, and (2) subsequent radiation and speciation in a group of closely related hosts that eventually lead to specialization and dependence on a specific host genus or even a single species. This pattern of repeated rare major host jumps followed by radiation might enable biotrophic pathogens to persist in their hosts groups, as this helps to evade effector-triggered immunity. Arguably, this type of immunity becomes more pronounced with increasing time of host-pathogen co-existence, and if the pathogen has a negative effect on plant fitness, it could eventually drive most pathogenic species to extinction. This might explain, why most of the deep-rooted downy mildew genera exhibit broad host ranges, like in Peronospora or *Plasmopara*, or consist of only a single or few species, like in the case of *Benua* or Plasmoverna, respectively. Further studies are required to evaluate if this pattern also holds up for other biotrophic pathogens, such as powdery mildew, rust, or smut fungi.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to curators of BPI (USA), DM (Japan), BRNM (Czech Republic), GLM (Germany), HMAS (China), KUS-F (South Korea), LE (Russia), UPS (Sweden), VPRI (Australia), and WU (Austral) for providing *Peronospora* specimens. YJC was supported by a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation. SJK was supported in part by the California Leafy Greens Research Program. Financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF; project P22739-B20) to HV is gratefully acknowledged. This study was supported by the LOEWE excellence program of the German state of Hessen, in the framework of the Integrative Fungal Research Cluster (IPF) and the Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F). YJC and MT conceived the study with a contribution from SJK. HDS, HV, VK, and YJC provided materials. YJC conducted experiments. YJC analysed and interpreted the data with contributions from MT. YJC and MT wrote the manuscript with contributions from HV, SJK, HDS, VK.

### References

- Ainsworth, GC. Ainsworth & Bisby's Dictionary of the Fungi. CAB International; Wallingford: 2008. Antonovics J, Hood M, Partain J. The ecology and genetics of a host shift: *Microbotryum* as a model system. Am Nat. 2002; 160:S40–S53. [PubMed: 18707452]
- Bachofer, M. Molekularbiologische Populationsstudien an *Plasmopara halstedii*, dem Falschen Mehltau der Sonnenblume. University of Hohenheim; Stuttgart: 2004.

- Belbahri L, Calmin G, Pawlowski J, Lefort F. Phylogenetic analysis and real time PCR detection of a presumably undescribed *Peronospora* species on sweet basil and sage. Mycol Res. 2005; 109:1276– 1287. [PubMed: 16279421]
- Berlese, AN., De Toni, JB. Peronosporaceae. Saccardo, PA., editor. Sylloge Fungorum; Padua: 1888. p. 233-264.
- Blair JE, Coffey MD, Park SY, Geiser DM, Kang S. A multi-locus phylogeny for *Phytophthora* utilizing markers derived from complete genome sequences. Fungal Genet Biol. 2008; 45:266–277. [PubMed: 18039586]
- Blair JE, Coffey MD, Martin FN. Species tree estimation for the late blight pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*, and close relatives. PloS One. 2012; 7:e37003. [PubMed: 22615869]
- Bouckaert RR. DensiTree: making sense of sets of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:1372–1373. [PubMed: 20228129]
- Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kuhnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Comp Biol. 2014; 10:e1003537.
- Brandenberger LP, Correll JC, Morelock TE. Nomenclature of the downy mildew fungus on spinach. Mycotaxon. 1991; 41:157–160.
- Braun, U., Cook, RT. Taxonomic Manual of the Erysiphales (powdery mildews). CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre Utrecht; The Netherlands: 2012.
- Byford WJ. Host specialization of *Peronospora farinosa* on *Beta, Spinacia* and *Chenopodium*. Trans Brit Mycol Soc. 1967; 50:603–607.
- Byford, WJ. Downy mildews of beet and spinach. The Downy Mildews. Spencer, DM., editor. Academic Press; London, New York, San Francisco: 1981. p. 531-543.
- Choi YJ, Thines M. (2288) Proposal to reject the name *Botrytis farinosa* (*Peronospora farinosa*) (Peronosporaceae: Oomycetes). Taxon. 2014; 63:675–676.
- Choi YJ, Hong SB, Shin HD. Diversity of the *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* complex from core brassicaceous hosts based on ITS rDNA sequences. Mycol Res. 2003; 107:1314–1322. [PubMed: 15000233]
- Choi YJ, Hong SB, Shin HD. A reconsideration of *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* and *Ps. humuli* based on molecular and morpholgical data. Mycol Res. 2005; 109:841–848. [PubMed: 16121571]
- Choi YJ, Hong SB, Shin HD. Genetic diversity within the *Albugo candida* complex (Peronosporales, Oomycota) inferred from phylogenetic analysis of ITS rDNA and COX2 mtDNA sequences. Mol Phylogen Evol. 2006; 40:400–409.
- Choi YJ, Constantinescu O, Shin HD. A new downy-mildew of the Rosaceae: *Peronospora oblatispora* sp. nov. (Chromista, Peronosporales). Nova Hedwigia. 2007a; 85:93–101.
- Choi YJ, Hong SB, Shin HD. Extreme size and sequence variation in the ITS rDNA of *Bremia lactucae*. Mycopathologia. 2007b; 163:91–95. [PubMed: 17286165]
- Choi YJ, Hong SB, Shin HD. Re-consideration of *Peronospora farinosa* infecting *Spinacia oleracea* as distinct species, *Peronospora effusa*. Mycol Res. 2007c; 111:381–391. [PubMed: 17509849]
- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Hong SB, Thines M. Morphological and molecular discrimination among *Albugo* candida materials infecting Capsella bursa-pastoris world-wide. Fungal Divers. 2007d; 27:11–34.
- Choi YJ, Denchev CM, Shin HD. Morphological and molecular analyses support the existence of hostspecific *Peronospora* species infecting *Chenopodium*. Mycopathologia. 2008a; 165:155–164. [PubMed: 18270803]
- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Ploch S, Thines M. Evidence for uncharted biodiversity in *Albugo candida* complex, with the description of a new species. Mycol Res. 2008b; 112:1327–1334. [PubMed: 18951775]
- Choi YJ, Kiss L, Vajna L, Shin HD. Characterization of a *Plasmopara* species on *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*, and notes on *P. halstedii*, based on morphology and multiple gene phylogenies. Mycol Res. 2009a; 113:1127–1136. [PubMed: 19619650]
- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Thines M. The host range of *Albugo candida* extends from Brassicaceae through Cleomaceae to Capparaceae. Mycol Prog. 2009b; 8:329–335.

- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Thines M. Two novel *Peronospora* species are associated with recent reports of downy mildew on sages. Mycol Res. 2009c; 113:1340–1350. [PubMed: 19699301]
- Choi YJ, Danielsen S, Lübeck M, Hong SB, Delhey R, Shin HD. Morphological and molecular characterization of the causal agent of downy mildew on Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*). Mycopathologia. 2010; 169:403–412. [PubMed: 20101524]
- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Ploch S, Thines M. Three new phylogenetic lineages are the closest relatives of the widespread species *Albugo candida*. Fungal Biol. 2011a; 115:598–607. [PubMed: 21724165]
- Choi YJ, Shin HD, Voglmayr H. Reclassification of two *Peronospora* species parasitic on *Draba* in *Hyaloperonospora* based on morphological molecular and phylogenetic data. Mycopathologia. 2011b; 171:151–159. [PubMed: 20585864]
- Choi YJ, Thines M, Runge F, Hong SB, Telle S, Shin HD. Evidence for high degrees of specialisation, evolutionary diversity, and morphological distinctiveness in the genus *Bremia*. Fungal Biol. 2011c; 115:102–111. [PubMed: 21315308]
- Choi YJ, Thines M, Shin HD. A new perspective on the evolution of white blister rusts: *Albugo* s. str. (Albuginales; Oomycota) is not restricted to Brassicales but also present on Fabales. Org Divers Evol. 2011d; 11:193–199.
- Constantinescu O. An annotated list of Peronospora names. Thunbergia. 1991; 15:1-110.
- Constantinescu O, Fatehi J. *Peronospora*-like fungi (Chromista, Peronosporales) parasitic on Brassicaceae and related hosts. Nova Hedwigia. 2002; 74:291–338.
- Constantinescu O, Negrean G. Check-list of Romanian Peronosporales. Mycotaxon. 1983; 16:537–556.
- Cooke DEL, Drenth A, Duncan JM, Wagels G, Brasier CM. A molecular phylogeny of *Phytophthora* and related oomycetes. Fungal Genet Biol. 2000; 30:17–32. [PubMed: 10955905]
- Cooke, DEL., Williams, NA., Williamson, B., Duncan, J. An ITS based phylogenetic analysis of the relationships between *Peronospora* and *Phytophthora*. Advances in Downy Mildew Research. Spencer-Phillips, PTN.Gisi, U., Lebeda, A., editors. Vol. 1. Kluwer Academic; Dordrecht, Boston, London: 2002. p. 161-165.
- Darpoux, H., Durgéat, L. Studies on the downy mildew of sugar beet; 25th Winter Congress of the Institut International de Recherches Betteraviéres; 1962.
- De Bock, TS. The genus *Beta*: domestication, taxonomy and interspecific hybridization for plant breeding; I International Symposium on Taxonomy of Cultivated Plants; 1985. p. 335-344.
- Edwards SV. Is a new and general theory of molecular systematics emerging? Evolution. 2009; 63:1– 19. [PubMed: 19146594]
- Edwards SV, Liu L, Pearl DK. High-resolution species trees without concatenation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:5936–5941. [PubMed: 17392434]
- Fahrenholz H. Ectoparasiten und abstammungslehre. Zool Anz. 1913; 41:371-374.
- Francis, SM., Byford, WJ. Peronospora farinosa f. sp. betae. Commonwealth Mycological Institute; Kew: 1983.
- Francis SM, Waterhouse GM. List of Peronosporaceae reported from the British Isles. Trans Brit Mycol Soc. 1988; 91:1–62.
- Fries, E. Systema Mycologicum. E. Mauritius; Greifswald: 1832.
- Fuckel L. Fungi Rhenani exsiccati. Cent 12-17, No. 1101-1700. Hedwigia. 1866; 5:14-16.
- García-Blázquez G, Constantinescu O, Tellería MT, Martín MP. Preliminary check list of Albuginales and Peronosporales (Chromista) reported from the Iberian Peninsula and Balearic Islands. Mycotaxon. 2006; 98:185–188.
- García-Blázquez G, Göker M, Voglmayr H, Martín MP, Tellería MT, Oberwinkler F. Phylogeny of *Peronospora*, parasitic on Fabaceae, based on ITS sequences. Mycol Res. 2008; 112:502–512. [PubMed: 18406121]
- Gäumann E. Zur Kenntnis der Chenopodiaceen bewohnenden *Peronospora*-Arten. Mittheilungen der naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Bern. 1919:45–66.
- Gäumann E. Beiträge zu einer Monographie der Gattung *Peronospora* Corda. Beiträge zur Kryptogamenflora der Schweiz. 1923; 5:1–360.

- Göker M, Riethmüller A, Voglmayr H, Weiß M, Oberwinkler F. Phylogeny of *Hyaloperonospora* based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences. Mycol Prog. 2004; 3:83–94.
- Göker M, Voglmayr H, García-Blázquez G, Oberwinkler F. Species delimitation in downy mildews: the case of *Hyaloperonospora* in the light of nuclear ribosomal ITS and LSU sequences. Mycol Res. 2009; 113:308–325. [PubMed: 19061957]
- Goodwin SB, Legard DE, Smart CD, Levy M, Fry WE. Gene flow analysis of molecular markers confirms that *Phytophthora mirabilis* and *P infestans* are separate species. Mycologia. 1999; 91:796–810.
- Gustavsson A. Studies on Nordic Peronosporas. I. Taxonomic revision. Opera Bot. 1959; 3:1-271.
- Hall G. *Peronospora rumicis*. IMI descriptions of fungi and Bacteria No 1199. Mycopathologia. 1994; 126:59–60.
- Hanelt, P. Mansfeld's Encyclopedia of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops:(except ornamentals). Springer Press; Berlin, Germany: 2001.
- Heled J, Drummond AJ. Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Mol Biol Evol. 2010; 27:570–580. [PubMed: 19906793]
- Hijwegen T. Fungi as plant taxonomists. Symb Bot Upsal. 1979; 22:146–165.
- Hudspeth DSS, Nadler SA, Hudspeth MES. A COX2 molecular phylogeny of the Peronosporomycetes. Mycologia. 2000; 92:674–684.
- Inuma T, Khodaparast SA, Takamatsu S. Multilocus phylogenetic analyses within *Blumeria graminis*, a powdery mildew fungus of cereals. Mol Phylogen Evol. 2007; 44:741–751.
- Jung T, Burgess TI. Re-evaluation of *Phytophthora citricola* isolates from multiple woody hosts in Europe and North America reveals a new species, *Phytophthora plurivora* sp. nov. Persoonia. 2009; 22:95–110. [PubMed: 20198142]
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013; 30:772–780. [PubMed: 23329690]
- Katoh K, Toh H. Improved accuracy of multiple ncRNA alignment by incorporating structural information into a MAFFT-based framework. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008; 9:212. [PubMed: 18439255]
- Kim JY, Choi YJ, Shin HD. Downy mildew caused by *Peronospora farinosa* f. sp. *betae* newly reported on Swiss chard in Korea. Plant Pathol. 2010; 59:405.
- Klosterman SJ, Anchieta AG, McRoberts N, Koike ST, Subbarao KV, VoglMayr H, Choi YJ, Thines M, Martin FN. Coupling spore traps and quantitative PCR assays for detection of the downy mildew pathogens of spinach (*Peronospora effusa*) and beet (*Peronospora schachtii*). Phytopathology. 2014; 104:1349–1359. [PubMed: 24964150]
- Kochman, J., Majewski, T. Glonowce (Phycomycetes), Wroslikowe (Peronosporales). Panítwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe; Warszawa: 1970.
- Komjáti H, Walcz I, Virányi F, Zipper R, Thines M, Spring O. Characteristics of a *Plasmopara angustiterminalis* isolate from Xanthium strumarium. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2007; 119:421–428.
- Kroon LPNM, Bakker FT, Bosch GBMvd, Bonants PJM, Fliera WG. Phylogenetic analysis of *Phytophthora* species based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. Fungal Genet Biol. 2004; 41:766–782. [PubMed: 15219561]
- Kubatko LS, Degnan JH. Inconsistency of phylogenetic estimates from concatenated data under coalescence. Syst Biol. 2007; 56:17–24. [PubMed: 17366134]
- Leach LD. Downy mildew of the beet, caused by *Peronospora schachtii* Fuckel. Hilgardia. 1931; 6:203–251.
- Lopez-Villavicencio M, Enjalbert J, Hood ME, Shykoff JA, Raquin C, Giraud T. The anther smut disease on *Gypsophila repens*: a case of parasite sub-optimal performance following a recent host shift? J Evol Biol. 2005; 18:1293–1303. [PubMed: 16135124]
- Martin FN, Tooley PW, Blomquist C. Molecular detection of *Phytophthora ramorum*, the causal agent of sudden oak death in California, and two additional species commonly recovered from diseased plant material. Phytopathology. 2004; 94:621–631. [PubMed: 18943487]
- Matsuda S, Takamatsu S. Evolution of host-parasite relationships of *Golovinomyces* (Ascomycete: Erysiphaceae) inferred from nuclear rDNA sequences. Mol Phylogen Evol. 2003; 27:314–327.

- Mazelaitis, J., Staneviciené, S. Gleivunai (Myxomycota), Peronosporieciai (Peronosporales). Mokslo ir Enciklopediju Leidykla; Vilnius: 1995.
- Moncalvo JM, Wang HH, Hseu RS. Phylogenetic relationships in *Ganoderma* Inferred from the internal transcribed spacers and 25s ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycologia. 1995; 87:223–238.
- Nylander JAA, Wilgenbusch JC, Warren DL, Swofford DL. AWTY (are we there yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. Bioinformatics. 2008; 24:581–583. [PubMed: 17766271]
- Ploch S, Choi YJ, Rost C, Shin HD, Schilling E, Thines M. Evolution of diversity in *Albugo* is driven by high host specificity and multiple speciation events on closely related Brassicaceae. Mol Phylogen Evol. 2010; 57:812–820.
- Ploch S, Telle S, Choi YJ, Cunnington J, Priest M, Rost C, Shin HD, Thines M. The molecular phylogeny of the white blister rust genus *Pustula* reveals a case of underestimated biodiversity with several undescribed species on ornamentals and crop plants. Fungal Biol. 2011; 115:214–219. [PubMed: 21354527]
- Rambaut, A., Drummond, AJ. Tracer 1.5, 2009. MCMC Trace File Analyser. 2009. <a href="http://trace.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/">http://tracer/</a>
- Rambaut, A., Drummond, AJ. TreeAnnotator, Version 1.7.4. MCMC Output Analysis. 2010. <a href="http://www.beast2.org/wiki/index.php/TreeAnnotator">http://www.beast2.org/wiki/index.php/TreeAnnotator</a>
- Richards, MC. Downy mildew of spinach and its control. Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station; Ithaca, New York: 1939.
- Robideau GP, de Cock AWAM, Coffey MD, Voglmayr H, Brouwer H, Bala K, Chitty DW, Desaulniers N, Eggertson QA, Gachon CMM, Hu CH, et al. DNA barcoding of oomycetes with cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and internal transcribed spacer. Mol Ecol Resour. 2011; 11:1002–1011. [PubMed: 21689384]
- Rolph, GM. Something About Sugar: Its History, Growth, Manufacture and Distribution. JJ Newbegin; San Francisco: 1917.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol. 2012; 61:539–542. [PubMed: 22357727]
- Rost C, Thines M. A new species of *Pustula* (Oomycetes, Albuginales) is the causal agent of sunflower white rust. Mycol Prog. 2012; 11:351–359.
- Runge F, Choi YJ, Thines M. Phylogenetic investigations in the genus *Pseudoperonospora* reveal overlooked species and cryptic diversity in the *P. cubensis* species cluster. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2011; 129:135–146.
- Savile DBO. Fungi as aids in higher plant classification. Bot Rev. 1979; 45:377–503.
- Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012; 109:6241–6246. [PubMed: 22454494]
- Silvestro D, Michalak I. RaxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Org Divers Evol. 2012; 12:335–337.
- Spring O, Voglmayr H, Riethmüller A, Oberwinkler F. Characterization of a *Plasmopara* isolate from Helianthus × *laetiflorus* based on cross infection, morphological, fatty acids and molecular phylogenetic data. Mycol Prog. 2003; 2:163–170.
- Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics. 2006; 22:2688–2690. [PubMed: 16928733]
- Sturtevant EL. The history of garden vegetables (continued). Am Nat. 1890:719-744.
- Swofford, D. PAUP 4.0 b10: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony. Sinauer Associates; Sunderland, MA, USA: 2002.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol. 2013; 30:2725–2729. [PubMed: 24132122]
- Thiers B. Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. 2014 <<u>http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/></u> (Retrieved June 2014).

- Thines M, Choi YJ, Kemen E, Ploch S, Holub EB, Shin HD, Jones JDG. A new species of *Albugo* parasitic to *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals new evolutionary patterns in white blister rusts (Albuginaceae). Persoonia. 2009a; 22:123–128. [PubMed: 20198144]
- Thines M, Telle S, Ploch S, Runge M. Identity of the downy mildew pathogens of basil, coleus, and sage with implications for quarantine measures. Mycol Res. 2009b; 113:532–540. [PubMed: 19272326]
- Ul'yanishchev, VI., Osipyan, LL., Kanchaveli, LA., Akhundov, TM. Peronosporovye Griby. Osipyan, LL., editor. Erevan University; Erevan: 1985.
- Vaidya G, Lohman DJ, Meier R. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics. 2011; 27:171–180.
- Van der Merwe MM, Walker J, Ericson L, Burdon JJ. Coevolution with higher taxonomic host groups within the *Puccinia/Uromyces* rust lineage obscured by host jumps. Mycol Res. 2008; 112:1387– 1408. [PubMed: 18675350]
- Vanev, SG., Dimitrova, EG., Ilieva, EI. Razred Peronosporales. Gbite w Bulgarija 2 tom. Fakirova, V., editor. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences; Sofia: 1993.
- Vánky, K. Smut fungi of the world. APS Press St. Paul; Minnesota: 2012.
- Voglmayr H. Phylogenetic relationships of *Peronospora* and related genera based on nuclear ribosomal ITS sequences. Mycol Res. 2003; 107:1132–1142. [PubMed: 14635762]
- Voglmayr H, Göker M. Morphology and phylogeny of *Hyaloperonospora erophilae* and *H. praecox* sp. nov., two downy mildew species co-occurring on *Draba verna* sensu lato. Mycol Prog. 2011; 10:283–292.
- Voglmayr H, Riethmüller A, Göker M, Weiß M, Oberwinkler F. Phylogenetic relationships of *Plasmopara, Bremia* and other genera of downy mildews with pyriform haustoria based on Bayesian analysis of partial LSU rDNA sequence data. Mycol Res. 2004; 108:1011–1024. [PubMed: 15506014]
- Voglmayr H, Choi YJ, Shin HD. Multigene phylogeny, taxonomy and reclassification of *Hyaloperonospora* on *Cardamine*. Mycol Prog. 2014a; 13:131–144. [PubMed: 24587792]
- Voglmayr H, Montes-Borrego M, Landa BB. Disentangling *Peronospora* on *Papaver*: phylogenetics, taxonomy, nomenclature and host range of downy mildew of opium poppy (*Papaver somniferum*) and related species. PloS One. 2014b; 9:e96838. [PubMed: 24806292]
- Wilson GW. Studies in North American Peronosporales. VI. Notes on miscellaneous species. Mycologia. 1914; 6:192–210.
- Yerkes WD, Shaw CG. Taxonomy of the *Peronospora* species on Cruciferae and Chenopodiaceae. Phytopathology. 1959; 49:499–507.
- Yu, Y., Zhuang, W., Liu, X., Ma, G., Yang, Z., Tao, J., Shen, Y., Zhang, Z., Wang, Y., Liu, Y. Peronosporales. Flora Fungorum Sinicorum. Yu, Y., editor. Vol. 6. Science Press; Beijing: 1998.





### Fig. 1.

Phylogenetic tree inferred from Maximum Likelihood analysis of a concatenated alignment (4378 nucleotides with 123 parsimony informative sites) of five mitochondrial (*cox2*, coxS, *cox1*, *nad1*, *rps10*) and two nuclear loci (ITS and *hsp90*). Support values (ML BS/ME BS/ MP BS/MCMC PP) higher than 60% are given above or below the branches. The scale bar equals the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. Specimens originating from different host families are marked with black (Amaranthaceae), pink (Polygonaceae), and green (Caryophyllaceae) bars. ML: Maximum Likelihood, ME: Minimum Evolution, MP:

Maximum Parsimony, MCMC: Bayesian inference, BS: Bootstrap support, PP: Posterior Probabilities. (For interpretation of thereferences to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

### Page 19



### Fig. 2.

Species tree estimation from five mitochondrial (*cox2*, coxS, *cox1*, *nad1*, *rps10*) and two nuclear loci (ITS and *hsp90*) using \*BEAST. (a) Maximum clade credibility tree visualized by FigTree. Bars correspond to the 95% highest posterior density range, and posterior probabilities higher than 0.60 are shown above branches. (b) Cloudogram of all trees of the MCMC visualized by DensiTree (Bouckaert, 2010). Each possible topology is shown in green with branch lengths averaged among all trees showing that particular topology. Four alternative interpretations are shown in black for the consensus tree, blue for the most frequently occurring topology, red for second, and yellow for third most frequently occurring topology. Higher levels of uncertainty are represented by lower densities of the lines. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Table 1

Information on downy mildew specimens used in this study.

Taxon	Host plant	Geographic origin	Year collected	Herbarium voucher	<b>DNA</b> accession	GenBank ac	cession numb	er		
						SLI	06dsy	cox2-spacer-cox1a	Ibad	rps10
Peronospora litoralis	Atriplex littoralis	Sweden, Oland	1959	BPI789214	BP69	KP330778	KP330688	KP330598	KP330868	KP330958
P. minor N	Atriplex patula	Sweden, Västergötland	1962	NPS	S29	KP330779	KP330689	KP330599	KP330869	KP330959
P. minor	Atriplex patula	Germany, Sachsen	1993	GLM68024	39	KP330780	KP330690	KP330600	KP330870	KP330960
P. minor	Atriplex patula	Germany, Sachsen	1999	GLM77764	42	KP330781	KP330691	KP330601	KP330871	KP330961
P. minor B.	Atriplex patula	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM73462	37	KP330782	KP330692	KP330602	KP330872	KP330962
P. atriplicity hastatae	Atriplex prostrata	Germany, Schleswig-Holstein	2012	JK-F0359	2663	KP330783	KP330693	KP330603	KP330873	KP330963
P. atriplicis-hastatae	Atriplex prostrata	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM73408	55	KP330784	KP330694	KP330604	KP330874	KP330964
P. atriplicizhastatae	Atriplex prostrata	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	1990	GLM67010	53	KP330785	KP330695	KP330605	KP330875	KP330965
P. schacht	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	Korea, Namyangju	2007	KUS-F23163	2737	KP330786	KP330696	KP330606	KP330876	KP330966
P. schachter	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	Korea, Yongin	2007	KUS-F23152	D335	KP330787	KP330697	KP330607	KP330877	KP330967
P. schachter.	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	Korea, Yongin	2007	KUS-F23153	D336	KP330788	KP330698	KP330608	KP330878	KP330968
P. schacht	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	USA, California	2012	DML3	CAL3	KP330789	KP330699	KP330609	KP330879	KP330969
P. schachter	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	USA, California	2012	DML4	CAL17	KP330790	KP330700	KP330610	KP330880	KP330970
$P. schacht\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\overline{L}}.$	Beta vulgaris var. cicla	USA, California	2012	DML38	CAL38	KP330791	KP330701	KP330611	KP330881	KP330971
P. schachtf.d	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	Argentina, Bahía Blanca	1991	RD864	AG4	KP330792	KP330702	KP330612	KP330882	KP330972
P. schacht#03	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	China, Sichuan	1979	HMAS57030	C3	KP330793	KP330703	KP330613	KP330883	KP330973
P. schachter	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	China, Yunnan	1979	HMAS57033	C3	KP330794	KP330704	KP330614	KP330884	KP330974
P. schachtur.	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	Germany, Nossen	1888	BPI790777	BP106	KP330795	KP330705	KP330615	KP330885	KP330975
P. schachtii	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	USA, California	2012	DML17	CAL4	KP330796	KP330706	KP330616	KP330886	KP330976
P. schachtii	Beta vulgaris var. saccharifera	Unknown	1931	BPI790781	BP109	KP330797	KP330707	KP330617	KP330887	KP330977
<i>P.</i> sp. (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Emex spinosa	Greece, Tsambika	2011	VK 0471/1	2558	KP330798	KP330708	KP330618	KP330888	KP330978
P. sp. (formerly as P. rumicis)	Emex spinosa	Greece, Tsambika	2012	VK 0471/2	2559	KP330799	KP330709	KP330619	KP330889	KP330979
P. polycarponis	Polycarpon diphyllum	France, Montpellier	2001	WU33676	HVF32.2	KP330800	KP330710	KP330620	KP330890	KP330980

•
Europ
De
PMC
H
unders
$\supset$
uthor
7
Ianusc
Π.
pts

Taxon	Host plant	Geographic origin	Year collected	Herbarium voucher	<b>DNA</b> accession	GenBank ac	cession numb	er		
						SLI	hsp90	cox2-spacer-cox1 <sup>a</sup>	nadl	rps10
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex acetosa	Austria, Oberösterreich	2011	WU34310	HV2617	KP330801	KP330711	KP330621	KP330891	KP330981
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex acetosa	Austria, Oberösterreich	2001	WU22925	HV0312	KP330802	KP330712	KP330622	KP330892	KP330982
P. sp. (formerly as P. rumicis)	Rumex acetosa	Austria, Wien	2004	WU33899	HV2117	KP330803	KP330713	KP330623	KP330893	KP330983
P. sp. (fornerly as P. rumicis) (d	Rumex acetosa	England, South Yorkshire	2011	WU34347	HV2657	KP330804	KP330714	KP330624	KP330894	KP330984
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis)	Rumex acetosa	Finland, Nylandia	1960	SqU	S27	KP330805	KP330715	KP330625	KP330895	KP330985
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis) of in	Rumex acetosa	Finland, Nylandia	1970	BPI790739	BP124	KP330806	KP330716	KP330626	KP330896	KP330986
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis)	Rumex acetosa	Germany,Sachsen-Anhalt	2004	GLM62941	1029	KP330807	KP330717	KP330627	KP330897	KP330987
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis)	Rumex acetosa	Germany, Baden-Württemberg	2001	WU33730	HV0852	KP330808	KP330718	KP330628	KP330898	KP330988
<i>P. sp.</i> (for fight $P$ as <i>P. unnicis</i> ) $\frac{1}{2}$	Rumex acetosa	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2005	GLM75948	1031	KP330809	KP330719	KP330629	KP330899	KP330989
<i>P. sp.</i> (fortherly as <i>P. rumicis</i> ) ag	Rumex acetosa	Sweden, Härjedalen	1975	SdU	S25	KP330810	KP330720	KP330630	KP330900	KP330990
P. rumicis al	Rumex acetosella	Denmark, Ulvshale	1913	BPI790753	BP118	KP330811	KP330721	KP330631	KP330901	KP330991
<i>P. sp.</i> (fornerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex arifolius	Austria, Kärnten	2000	WU32786	HV0507	KP330812	KP330722	KP330632	KP330902	KP330992
<i>P. sp.</i> (fortherly as <i>P. tumicis</i> ) 2	Rumex arifolius	Italy, Lombardia	2012	WU35780	HV2939	KP330813	KP330723	KP330633	KP330903	KP330993
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis)	Rumex arifolius	Italy, Valle d'Aosta	2013	JK-F0513	2709	KP330814	KP330724	KP330634	KP330904	KP330994
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> ) $\cdot$	Rumex arifolius	Romania, Harghita	1980	HMR2940	2859	KP330815	KP330725	KP330635	KP330905	KP330995
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Austria, Niederösterreich	2012	WU35760	HV 2908	KP330816	KP330726	KP330636	KP330906	KP330996
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Niedersachsen	2009	JK-F0051	2710	KP330817	KP330727	KP330637	KP330907	KP330997
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen	2008	GLM90812	1023	KP330818	KP330728	KP330638	KP330908	KP330998
P. sp. (formerly as P. rumicis)	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen	2008	GLM90995	1025	KP330819	KP330729	KP330639	KP330909	KP330999

Ē
r
H
ĕ
P
$\mathbf{Z}$
()
T
n
<b>Q</b>
0
S
5
H
h
0
r
7
1
H
Ξ
5
2
1.
p
2

$\circ$	
õ	
P	
$\geq$	
$\cap$	
H	
L	
E.	
ō.	
Ы	
H.	
$\mathbf{S}$	
5	
E.	
Z	
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	
<u> </u>	
~	
2	
Ц	
$\sim$	
$\Omega$	
12.	
D	
đ	
0	

Eu

Taxon	Host plant	Geographic origin	Year collected	Herbarium voucher	<b>DNA</b> accession	GenBank ac	cession numb	er		
						STI	hsp90	cox2-spacer-cox1a	nadl	rps10
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen	2003	GLM74313	1035	KP330820	KP330730	KP330640	KP330910	KP331000
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2004	GLM64100	1042	KP330821	KP330731	KP330641	KP330911	KP331001
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. rumicis</i> )	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM73428	1046	KP330822	KP330732	KP330642	KP330912	KP331002
P. sp. (formerly as P. rumicis) (d	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM73434	1041	KP330823	KP330733	KP330643	KP330913	KP331003
P. sp. (fortherly as P. rumicis)	Rumex thyrsiflorus	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM74510	1044	KP330824	KP330734	KP330644	KP330914	KP331004
E obovatad P	Spergula arvensis	Austria, Niederösterreich	2011	WU34372	HV2683	KP330825	KP330735	KP330645	KP330915	KP331005
P. obovata V	Spergula arvensis	Austria, Oberösterreich	2000	WU32858	HV0437	KP330826	KP330736	KP330646	KP330916	KP331006
P. obovatați	Spergula arvensis	Austria, Oberösterreich	2000	WU22914	HV0457	KP330827	KP330737	KP330647	KP330917	KP331007
P. obovatag	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2003	GLM73399	1135	KP330828	KP330738	KP330648	KP330918	KP331008
P. obovata	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2001	GLM73791	1138	KP330829	KP330739	KP330649	KP330919	KP331009
P. obovatali.	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2005	GLM75937	1136	KP330830	KP330740	KP330650	KP330920	KP331010
P. obovatač	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2002	GLM76294	1137	KP330831	KP330741	KP330651	KP330921	KP331011
P. obovatap	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2002	GLM76717	1139	KP330832	KP330742	KP330652	KP330922	KP331012
P. obovatag.	Spergula arvensis	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2000	GLM76830	1133	KP330833	KP330743	KP330653	KP330923	KP331013
<i>P. sp.</i> (fortherly as <i>P. lepigoni</i> )	Spergularia marina	Austria, Burgenland	2001	WU33808	HV1019	KP330834	KP330744	KP330654	KP330924	KP331014
$P. sp. (formerly as P. lepigoni) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{Q}}$	Spergularia marina	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2001	GLM73809	1165	KP330835	KP330745	KP330655	KP330925	KP331015
<i>P. sp.</i> (formerly as <i>P. lepigom</i> )	Spergularia marina	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2005	GLM75894	1164	KP330836	KP330746	KP330656	KP330926	KP331016
P. lepigonia	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen	2005	GLM75718	1153	KP330837	KP330747	KP330657	KP330927	KP331017
P. lepigoni	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen	1998	GLM77927	1154	KP330838	KP330748	KP330658	KP330928	KP331018
P. lepigoni	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2004	GLM64180	1160	KP330839	KP330749	KP330659	KP330929	KP331019
P. lepigoni	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	1991	GLM68688	1161	KP330840	KP330750	KP330660	KP330930	KP331020
P. lepigoni	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2001	GLM73623	1157	KP330841	KP330751	KP330661	KP330931	KP331021
P. lepigoni	Spergularia rubra	Germany, Sachsen-Anhalt	2002	GLM76298	1158	KP330842	KP330752	KP330662	KP330932	KP331022
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Australia, Leppington	2002	VPRI30202	Au6	KP330843	KP330753	KP330663	KP330933	KP331023
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Australia, Turna	2000	VPR122523	Au5	KP330844	KP330754	KP330664	KP330934	KP331024
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Australia, V.I.C.	2003	VPRI31625	Au8	KP330845	KP330755	KP330665	KP330935	KP331025

or Manuscripts

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts	
Europe PMC Funders Authority	

Taxon	Host plant	Geographic origin	Year collected	Herbarium voucher	<b>DNA</b> accession	GenBank ac	cession numb	er		
						STI	hsp90	cox2-spacer-cox1a	nadl	rps10
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	China, Sichuan	1958	HMAS57074	C6	KP330846	KP330756	KP330666	KP330936	KP331026
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Japan, Fukui	2000	DM68	J4	KP330847	KP330757	KP330667	KP330937	KP331027
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Japan, Gifu	Unknown	DM22	11	KP330848	KP330758	KP330668	KP330938	KP331028
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Japan, Hokkaido	2004	DM81	JJ	KP330849	KP330759	KP330669	KP330939	KP331029
P. effusa 👿	Spinacia oleracea	Japan, Shiga	Unknown	DM34	J2	KP330850	KP330760	KP330670	KP330940	KP331030
P. effusa do	Spinacia oleracea	Japan, Shiga	2000	DM65	J3	KP330851	KP330761	KP330671	KP330941	KP331031
P. effusa foo	Spinacia oleracea	Korea, Namyangju	1999	KUS-F15680	2748	KP330852	KP330762	KP330672	KP330942	KP331032
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	Korea, Seoul	2001	KUS-F18808	D53	KP330853	KP330763	KP330673	KP330943	KP331033
P. effusa A	Spinacia oleracea	Korea, Sinan	2001	KUS-F18809	D54	KP330854	KP330764	KP330674	KP330944	KP331034
P. effusa V.	Spinacia oleracea	Mexico, Nogales	1953	BPI788308	BP37	KP330855	KP330765	KP330675	KP330945	KP331035
P. effusa of	Spinacia oleracea	Mexico, Nogales	1949	BPI788314	BP43	KP330856	KP330766	KP330676	KP330946	KP331036
<i>P. effusa</i> m w	Spinacia oleracea	Sweden, Gästrikland	1942	NPS	S19	KP330857	KP330767	KP330677	KP330947	KP331037
P. effusa nu	Spinacia oleracea	USA, California	2012	DML2	CAL2	KP330858	KP330768	KP330678	KP330948	KP331038
<i>P. effusa</i> di	Spinacia oleracea	USA, California	2012	DML39	CAL39	KP330859	KP330769	KP330679	KP330949	KP331039
P. effusa ev	Spinacia oleracea	USA, California	2012	DML40	CAL40	KP330860	KP330770	KP330680	KP330950	KP331040
<i>P. effusa</i> pi	Spinacia oleracea	USA, California	2012	DML5	CAL5	KP330861	KP330771	KP330681	KP330951	KP331041
P. effusa 🗓	Spinacia oleracea	USA, Kingston	1896	NPS	S20	KP330862	KP330772	KP330682	KP330952	KP331042
P. effusa D.	Spinacia oleracea	USA, Maryland	1958	BPI788300	BP29	KP330863	KP330773	KP330683	KP330953	KP331043
P. effusa [00]	Spinacia oleracea	USA, Maryland	1951	BPI788309	BP38	KP330864	KP330774	KP330684	KP330954	KP331044
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	USA, Oklahoma	1943	BPI791055	BP81	KP330865	KP330775	KP330685	KP330955	KP331045
P. effusa	Spinacia oleracea	USA, Virginia	1932	BPI788361	BP57	KP330866	KP330776	KP330686	KP330956	KP331046
P. boni-hearici	Chenopodium bonus-henricus	Austria, Tirol	1991	GLM51002	177	KP330867	KP330777	KP330687	KP330957	KP331047
19.										

 $a_{1}^{a}$  Including cox2, cox1, and the spacer region between cox2 and cox1.