

# A STAT3-dependent transcriptional circuitry inhibits cytotoxic gene expression in T cells

Thomas Ciucci<sup>a</sup>, Melanie S. Vacchio<sup>a</sup>, and Rémy Bosselut<sup>a,1</sup>

a<br>Laboratory of Immune Cell Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892

Edited by Christophe Benoist, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, and approved October 26, 2017 (received for review June 20, 2017)

 $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells are preprogrammed for cytotoxic differentiation in the thymus as they acquire expression of the transcription factor Runx3. However, a subset of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Tc17) produce IL-17 and fail to express cytotoxic genes. Here, we show that the transcription factors directing IL-17 production, STAT3 and RORγt, inhibit cytotoxicity despite persistent Runx3 expression. Cytotoxic gene repression did not require the transcription factor Thpok, which in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells restrains Runx3 functions and cytotoxicity; and STAT3 restrained cytotoxic gene expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells responding to viral infection in vivo. STAT3-induced RORγt represses cytotoxic genes by inhibiting the functions but not the expression of the "cytotoxic" transcription factors T-bet and Eomesodermin. Thus, the transcriptional circuitry directing IL-17 expression inhibits cytotoxic functions. However, by allowing expression of activators of the cytotoxic program, this inhibitory mechanism contributes to the instability of IL-17–producing T cells.

CD8 T cells | cytotoxicity | IL-17 | STAT3 signaling

cells are essential to fight intracellular pathogens, including viruses, bacteria, and protozoans. MHC I-restricted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells differentiate into cytotoxic (Tc1) effectors that produce the cytokine IFNγ and cytolytic molecules, including perforin and granzymes (1). Whereas acquisition of cytotoxic functions is not typical of MHC II-restricted CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, IFNγ secretion by Th1  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$  effector T cells is essential to combat intracellular pathogens (2). The differentiation of both Tc1  $(CD8<sup>+</sup>)$  and Th1  $(CD4<sup>+</sup>)$  T cells involves the transcription factor Runx3 and the T-box factors T-bet or Eomesodermin (Eomes). Runx3 is up-regulated during the differentiation of MHC I-restricted T cells in the thymus (3, 4) and remains expressed in postthymic resting and activated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (5). Although not expressed in naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, Runx3 is induced in differentiating  $CD4^+$  Th1 effectors  $(6, 7)$ . While neither T-bet nor Eomes are expressed in resting T cells, they are upregulated in differentiating Th1 and Tc1 effectors, in which they sustain production of IFNγ and cytotoxic molecules (2, 8, 9).

CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are also involved in the control of extracellular microbes, including bacteria, yeast, and fungi, through their production of IL-17 and related cytokines (10, 11). The differentiation of IL-17–producing  $CD4^+$  T cells (Th17) requires the transcription factors STAT3 and RORγt (12–15). There is evidence that the transcriptional circuitry directing IFNγ and cytotoxic gene expression in Th1 or Tc1 cells inhibits Th17-related gene expression (16). Mechanistically, T-bet and Eomes directly antagonize the expression of RORγt (17–19) and thereby restrain IL-17 production.

Because MHC I molecules typically present peptide antigens synthesized intracellularly, it had been considered that  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells were not involved in IL-17–mediated control of extracellular pathogens. Nonetheless, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing IL-17 (Tc17) are found at effector sites both in humans and in experimental models, and there is evidence that such cells have potential pathogenic properties (20–23). Moreover, the differentiation of Tc17 cells involves STAT3 and RORγt, as does that of Th17 CD4<sup>+</sup> effectors  $(20, 24)$ . This indicates that a common transcriptional circuitry, called "T<sub>eff</sub>17" hereafter, directs IL-17 production in Th17 and Tc17 cells.

It was noted that Tc17 cells show reduced cytotoxic activity and cytotoxic gene expression relative to Tc1 cells (20, 24, 25). However, how this is achieved has not been investigated. Here, we demonstrate that repression of cytotoxic genes is an intrinsic property of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 circuitry, which we show acts in Tc17 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by inhibiting the function but not the expression of Runx3. Such inhibition depends on the transcription factor STAT3, in part through its ability to promote RORγt expression. Accordingly, the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 circuitry represses cytotoxic genes independently of Thpok in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Last, we show that RORγt itself restrains the activation of cytotoxic genes but fails to inhibit the expression of T-bet or Eomes. We propose that such persistent expression of key activators of cytotoxic differentiation contributes to the instability of IL-17–producing T cells.

## Results

T<sub>eff</sub>17 Transcriptional Circuitry Represses Cytotoxic Functions Despite Persistent Runx3 Expression. Upon antigen stimulation, naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells typically differentiate into Tc1 killer cells that express molecules essential for cytotoxicity, including perforin, granzymes A, B, and K, and the cytokine IFN $\gamma$ . In contrast, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells signaled with TGF-β and IL-6 (Tc17 culture conditions) produce IL-17 and show little if any cytotoxic activity (Fig. 1A and [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)A). Of note, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells activated in the presence of either TGF-β or IL-6 alone maintained cytotoxic activity [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)B), suggesting that repression of cytotoxic differentiation is characteristic of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 transcriptional circuitry, rather than resulting from signaling by either cytokine.

To determine the impact of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 transcriptional circuitry on the cytotoxic program, we compared gene expression in Tc1 vs. Tc17 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by microarray analyses. We identified

#### **Significance**

The acquisition of cytotoxic function by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells is critical for antiviral and antitumor responses. While cytotoxic differentiation is preprogrammed during CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell development in the thymus, the regulation of T-cell cytotoxic capacities by inflammatory cues is poorly understood, notably in cases of immune dysfunction observed in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes or during chronic infections. Here, we demonstrate that the program underlying IL-17 production dampens cytotoxic function in both  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T cells. Specifically, we show that two transcription factors involved in IL-17 production, STAT3 and RORγt, repress cytotoxic differentiation. These results highlight the role of the inflammatory environment on T-cell responses and have implications for the development of T cellbased immunotherapies.

Author contributions: T.C. and R.B. designed research; T.C. and M.S.V. performed research; T.C., M.S.V., and R.B. analyzed data; and T.C. and R.B. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license

Data deposition: The data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) (accession nos. [GSE104143](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE104143) and [GSE104144](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE104144)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [remy@helix.nih.gov.](mailto:remy@helix.nih.gov)

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental)



Fig. 1. The Tc17 transcriptional program represses cytotoxic functions. (A) Contour plots of IL-17 vs. IFNγ expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured under Tc1 and Tc17 conditions. (B) Volcano plot displays Tc17/Tc1 expression ratios ( $log<sub>2</sub>$  values, full gene set) vs. P values; each symbol represents a distinct gene. Relevant genes are indicated. Data are from three replicates. Lines represent 1.5-fold change, P value 0.05. (C) Heatmap displays normalized expression on selected genes in Tc1 and Tc17 cells (Z score, color scale at Bottom). Data are from three replicates. (D) Volcano plot (Left) displays expression ratios ( $log<sub>2</sub>$  values, full gene set) vs. P values of differential expression in Runx3<sup>-/-</sup> over wild-type CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells; original data are from ref. 26. The Right volcano plot displays Tc17/Tc1 expression ratio vs. P values of differential expression for genes significantly underexpressed in Runx3<sup>-/−</sup> cells (1.5-fold change,  $P < 0.05$ , gray shading on Left plot). Each symbol represents a gene; relevant genes are indicated. (E) Immunoblot analyses of Runx protein expression in effector CD4<sup>+</sup> (ThN) or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured under Tc1 or Tc17 conditions. Data are representative of five (A) or two  $(B-D)$  mice analyzed in four  $(A)$  or two  $(B-D)$  independent experiments.

269 genes differentially expressed (1.5-fold change,  $P < 0.05$ ) between these two subsets (Fig. 1B). Consistent with previous reports (21, 24), expression of genes associated with IL-17 production, such as Il17a, Il17f, Rorc (encoding RORγt), and Ahr, was higher in Tc17 than Tc1 cells (Fig. 1C). Strikingly, we found that Tc17 differentiation was associated with a broad repression of the cytotoxic program, including genes encoding T-bet (Tbx21, called T-bet here), Eomes (*Eomes*), and cytotoxic molecules (Fig. 1C). Quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) experiments confirmed lower expression in Tc17 than in Tc1 cells of genes encoding granzymes A and B, and perforin (Gzma, Gzmb, and Prf1, respectively) [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)C). These observations suggest that the transcriptional circuitry involved in Tc17 differentiation broadly inhibits cytotoxic gene expression.

The transcription factor Runx3 promotes cytotoxic gene expression and IFN $\gamma$  production in CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T cells (5); in addition, both Runx3 and the related protein Runx1 promote the production of IFN $\gamma$  by "pathogenic" Th17 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (17, 18). Given that Tc17 effectors expressed neither IFNγ nor cytotoxic genes, we predicted that they would express little or no Runx3. Consistent with this idea, many previously identified Runx3 dependent genes (Fig. 1D, Left) (26) were underexpressed in Tc17 compared with Tc1 cells (Fig. 1D, Right), including canonical cytotoxic genes Gzma, Gzmb, Gzmc, Fasl, or Havcr2 (encoding Tim-3). However, and contrary to the prediction, immunoblot analyses showed equivalent amounts of Runx3 protein in Tc1 and Tc17 cells (Fig. 1E); importantly, Runx1 was not detected in either subset. These findings indicate that the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 transcriptional circuitry inhibits Runx3-dependent expression of cytotoxic genes without affecting the expression of Runx3 itself.

The transcription factor Thpok antagonizes Runx-mediated expression of cytotoxic genes in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and is expressed,

although at modest levels, in activated  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells (27–30). Thus, we considered the possibility that Thpok may contribute to cytotoxic gene repression in Tc17 cells. To address this, we assessed wild-type (WT) and Thpok-deficient Tc17 effector cells for the expression of granzyme B, a sensitive marker of Thpok repression in both  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T cells (28, 31). To ensure that Tc17 effectors were MHC I restricted, they were derived from naïve  $CD8^+$  T cells obtained from  $Cd4$ -cre<sup>+</sup> Thpok<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice expressing the MHC I-restricted P14 transgenic TCR. Thpok disruption did not increase granzyme B expression [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)D), supporting the conclusion that the transcriptional circuitry of Tc17 cells overcomes Runx3-mediated activation of the cytotoxic program independently of Thpok.

Stat3 Represses Cytotoxic Gene Expression. The preceding findings suggested that repression of the cytotoxic program was integral to the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 transcriptional circuitry. Because the transcription factor STAT3, activated by IL-6, is required for the differentiation of both Th17 and Tc17 cells (20, 32), we examined whether it represses cytotoxic gene expression. We differentiated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from  $\ddot{C}d4$ -cre<sup>+</sup> Stat3<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice (called here Stat3<sup>-/-</sup>) under Tc17 conditions. To avoid noncell-intrinsic effects, we compared Stat3<sup>-/-</sup> and wild-type CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cocultured in the same environment ([Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A). Unlike control cells in the same coculture, Stat3-deficient  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells failed to produce IL-17, and they displayed increased granzyme B expression (Fig. 2A), suggesting that STAT3 represses cytotoxic genes. To further evaluate this possibility, we performed microarrays on RNAs prepared from Stat3<sup> $-/-$ </sup> and wild-type CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells purified after coculture in Tc17 conditions. In parallel, we analyzed RNAs from wild-type and  $Stat3^{-/-}$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cocultured in Tc1 conditions. Gene expression in  $Stat3^{-/-}$  Tc17 cells was highly similar to that in wild-type Tc1 cells (Fig. 2B). Specifically, Stat3<sup>-/−</sup> Tc17 cells were skewed



Fig. 2. STAT3 represses cytotoxic gene expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. (A) Contour plots of IL-17 vs. granzyme B intracellular expression in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cocultured under Tc17 conditions as shown in [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A. Data are gated on WT CD45.1<sup>+</sup> or Stat3<sup>-/−</sup> CD45.2<sup>+</sup> cells and are representative of three mice per genotype analyzed in three independent experiments. (B) Scatterplots show microarray gene expression (log<sub>2</sub> values, full gene set) in indicated cell populations after sorting from mixed cultures set as in [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A. Genes with 1.5-fold or greater expression change in wild-type Tc17 vs. Tc1 cells ( $P < 0.05$ ) are defined in the Top plot and shown in red and blue in all three plots. Relevant genes are indicated. Data are from three replicates. (C) Heatmap displays normalized expression on selected genes in Tc1 WT, Tc17 WT, and Tc17 Stat3<sup>-/-</sup> cells (Z score, color scale at Bottom). Data are from three replicates.

toward expression of cytotoxic genes, including those encoding granzymes B, C, and K, T-bet, and Eomes, in addition to their impaired expression of canonical Tc17 genes, including Rorc, Il17a, or Il17f (Fig. 2C). In contrast STAT3 disruption had no detectable effect on the transcriptome of in vitro Tc1 effectors, which display high-level expression of cytotoxic genes [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)B).

To examine whether STAT3 restrains cytotoxic gene expression in vivo, we evaluated the response of STAT3-deficient  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells during infection by the Armstrong strain of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV). While LCMV Armstrong is cleared by a strong cytotoxic  $CD8^+$  T-cell response (16, 33), it causes acute IL-6 production (34), allowing us to assess the potential impact of STAT3 activation on cytotoxic genes. Consistent with our hypothesis, disruption of Stat3 increased Eomes expression and IFN $\gamma$  production in effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells at the peak of the LCMV response (Fig.  $3A$  and B); analyses in mixed bone-marrow chimeras (Stat3 deficient: wild type; 1:1) showed that this effect is cell intrinsic (Fig.  $S2 C$  and D).

This suggested that STAT3 represses cytotoxic genes in vivo. Accordingly, we speculated that ectopic activation of STAT3 in Tc1 cells should counteract their cytotoxic differentiation. To test this, we used a Cre-inducible allele  $(Rosa26^{\text{Stat3C-GFP}})$ in which the Rosa26 locus contains a floxed transcription termination site followed by a bicistronic insert encoding both a constitutively active version of STAT3 (STAT3C) and GFP as a reporter for Cre expression (35). To avoid constitutive STAT3 activity in developing thymocytes and resting T cells, we generated  $Rosa26^{\text{Stat3C-GFP/+}}$  mice carrying  $Ox40$ -cre, which is expressed in 10–15% of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells after LCMV infection (Fig.  $S2E$ ) but not in naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. As controls, we used  $Ro$ sa26<sup>YFP/+</sup>Ox40-cre mice, in which YFP identifies cells



Fig. 3. STAT3 opposes CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell cytotoxic differentiation in vivo. (A and B) CD8<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup> T cells were sorted from the spleen of Stat3<sup>-/-</sup> or control mice 7 d after LCMV infection. (A) Contour plots show intracellular expression of granzyme B vs. IFN<sub>Y</sub> (Left) and Eomes vs. T-bet (Right) in CD44<sup>hi</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. (B) Percentage of cells with no detectable expression of the indicated protein among CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. (C and *D*) GFP<sup>+</sup> or YFP<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>hi</sup> T cells were sorted from the spleen of Ox40-cre $^+$  Rosa26 $^{\rm Stat3G-GFP}$  (S $t$ at3C) or Ox40-cre $^+$ Rosa26<sup>YFP</sup> (Ctrl) animals 7 d after LCMV infection. (C) Contour plots show intracellular expression of granzyme B vs. IFNγ (Left) or Eomes vs. T-bet (Right). (D) Percentage of cells with no detectable expression of the indicated protein among CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Note that, in control animals, a greater fraction of YFP<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> cells express granzyme B and IFN<sub>Y</sub>, relative to YFP<sup>-</sup>  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  cells in the same mouse (which represent the vast majority of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$ responders) [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)E). This is consistent with the preferential expression of Ox40 on highly activated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (73). (A-D) Data are representative of two independent experiments, each with two mice of each genotype.  $*P < 0.05$ ; ns, not significant.

with a history of Cre expression [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)E). In LCMV-infected Rosa26<sup>Stat3C-GFP/+</sup> Ox40-cre<sup>+</sup> mice, expression of STAT3C resulted in a significant inhibition of canonical Tc1 markers, as shown by the reduced frequency of cells expressing granzyme B, IFNγ, T-bet, and Eomes (Fig. 3 C and D). Thus, both loss- and gain-offunction experiments support the conclusion that STAT3 inhibits cytotoxic gene expression in  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T in vivo.

STAT3 Target RORγt Represses Cytotoxic Effector Genes. In addition to STAT3, expression of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 genes involves the transcription factors Irf4, Batf, and RORγt (13, 36–40). Both Irf4 and Batf are expressed in Tc1 cells and promote IFNγ and cytotoxic gene expression in vivo during viral infection (41–44). In contrast, RORγt is specific to the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 program. Because STAT3 promotes RORγt expression, we considered the possibility that RORγt would repress cytotoxic genes. To evaluate this, we expressed RORγt in Stat3<sup>-/-</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cocultured with WT CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells under Tc17 conditions. Enforced RORγt expression failed to restore IL-17 production to wild-type levels, but strongly repressed Gzmk and to a lesser extent Gzmb (Fig. 4 A and B). However, even though it inhibited expression of IFNγ, a prototypical T-bet target (Fig. 4C), RORγt failed to affect expression of T-bet or Eomes, the "master regulators" of cytotoxic genes (Fig. 4D). Consistent with these results, reanalysis of previously published ChIP-seq data from Th17  $CD4^+$  T cells detected STAT3 binding at *T-bet*, but little or no binding at *Ifng*, Gzmb, and Gzmk, which were bound by RORγt [\(Fig. S3\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3). Of note, RORγt binding sites also recruited T-bet in Th1 cells (45) ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)).

This suggested that RORγt inhibits the function of T-bet or Eomes rather than their expression and prompted us to examine whether ectopic expression of RORγt in WT Tc1 cells, which express T-bet and Eomes, would dampen the cytotoxic program. Indeed, retroviral RORγt transduction impaired both granzyme B and IFNγ expression in wild-type Tc1 CD8<sup>+</sup> effectors (Fig. 4 E and F). We conclude from these experiments that ROR $\gamma t$  inhibits cytotoxic differentiation at least in part independently of STAT3, and that it acts by restraining the function but not the expression of T-bet and Eomes.

 $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 Effector Program Represses Cytotoxic Differentiation in CD4<sup>+</sup> T Cells. While CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are preprogrammed for cytotoxic differentiation, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are preprogrammed to repress cytotoxic genes by their expression of Thpok, which inhibits Runx3 expression and functions (28, 29, 46–48). Accordingly, we previously showed that postthymic Thpok deletion diverts Th1 and Th2 CD4<sup>+</sup> effectors toward cytotoxic differentiation (29). However, the preceding findings raised the possibility that Th17 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, which also express STAT3 and ROR $\gamma t$  (12– 14, 49), would restrain cytotoxic gene expression independently of Thpok. We addressed this question by activating naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from  $Ox40$ -cre<sup>+</sup> Thpok<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice (called Thpok<sup>AD</sup> for "activation deleted") in which *Thpok* disruption occurs during CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell activation (50, 51). In line with previous results (29, 52), Thpok disruption did not impair IL-17 production [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)A). Importantly, Thpok was dispensable for the repression of Prf1, Gzma, and Gzmb in Th17- but not in Th1-activated cells (Fig. 5A). We previously reported that Thpok prevents CD8α reexpression in naïve and Th1 effector CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (29). In contrast, Th17 effector CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells restrained CD8 $\alpha$  expression despite Thpok disruption (Fig. 5B). To determine whether repression of cytotoxic genes requires Thpok in Th17 effectors in vivo, we examined the small intestine lamina propria (siLP), a site highly enriched in effector T cells in unmanipulated mice. Using cytokine capture assays, we isolated T cells producing IFN $\gamma$  (Th1) or IL-17 (Th17) [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)B). Similar to in vitro analyses, repression of *Gzma* and *Prf1* in Th17 cells was independent of Thpok, unlike in Th1 cells in which both genes were up-regulated after



Fig. 4. ROR<sub>Y</sub>t antagonizes cytotoxic functions in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. (A and D) RT $qPCR$  experiments assess expression of Gzmb and Gzmk (A) or T-bet and Eomes (D) from WT (black bars) or Stat3<sup>-/-</sup> (gray bars) cells cocultured in Tc17 conditions as described in [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A, transduced with RORγt or control (empty) retroviruses, and sorted for CD45 allele expression before RNA preparation. Data are expressed relative to expression in WT Tc17 cells transduced with control virus (set to 1) and is representative of two mice per genotype analyzed in two independent experiments. (B) Contour plots show intracellular expression of IL-17 vs. granzyme B on WT or Stat3−/<sup>−</sup> cells cocultured in Tc17 conditions as described in [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)A and retrovirally transduced as indicated. Data are gated on transduced cells and representative of three mice per genotype in three independent experiments. (C) Overlaid histograms show intracellular granzyme B and IFNγ expression in WT (gray shaded) or Stat3−/<sup>−</sup> (transduced as indicated) CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells assessed as in B. Data are representative of three mice per genotype in three independent experiments. ( $E$  and  $F$ ) WT CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were transduced with RORγt or control Thy1.1-expressing retrovirus and cultured in Tc1 conditions. (E) Contour plots show intracellular expression of IL-17 vs. granzyme B, gated on retrovirus-expressing (Thy1.1<sup>+</sup>) cells. (F) Before–after plots compare intracellular granzyme B and IFN<sub>Y</sub> expression in Thy1.1<sup>+</sup> (empty squares) and Thy1.1– (filled circles) cells within the same culture. Data [mean fluorescent intensity (MFI)] is expressed relative to that in Thy1.1<sup>-</sup> cells in control virustransduced cultures, set to 100 within each mouse. Each pair of symbols represents a separate culture; data are from five mice analyzed in three independent experiments  $*P < 0.05$ ; ns, not significant.

Thpok disruption (Fig. 5C); the same was true of repression of CD8 $α$  (Fig. 5D).

The preceding findings demonstrate that Th17 effectors repress cytotoxic genes independently of Thpok, both in vitro and in vivo. To examine the potential role of STAT3 in such repression, we compared expression of IFNγ and granzyme B in Stat3<sup> $-/-$ </sup> and control CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured under Th17 conditions. STAT3 disruption increased expression of both molecules [\(Fig.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4) [S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)C), a result consistent with previous transcriptome analyses (36, 53). However, the up-regulation of granzyme B and IFNγ expression in  $Stat3^{-/-}$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was lower than in  $Stat3^{-/-}$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cultured in the same conditions [\(Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4)C), consistent with a STAT3-independent inhibition by Thpok.

In addition to repressing cytotoxic genes, Thpok promotes expression of genes characteristic of the helper program, including Cd40lg, encoding a surface protein essential for helper activity. In Th1 cells, Thpok activation of Cd40lg is mediated in part through antagonism of Runx functions (29). In contrast to Th1 cells, Thpok was dispensable for CD40L expression in Th17 effectors (Fig. 5E), supporting the conclusion that the Th17 effector program of  $CD4^+$  T cells antagonizes Runx functions independently of Thpok.

In  $CD4^+$  T cells, Thpok serves in part redundantly with the related transcription factor LRF (encoded by Zbtb7a, called Lrf here) (29, 54). Thus, we considered that LRF could repress cytotoxic genes in Thpok-deficient Th17 effectors. To address this question, we cultured  $CD4^+$  T cells that postthymically delete both Thpok and LRF [from CD2-cre Thpok<sup>fl/fl</sup> Lrf<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice (29)] under Th1 and Th17 conditions. Double-deficient Th17 cells fully repressed granzyme B expression (Fig. 5F) and, as previously reported (29), produced IL-17. In contrast, doubledeficient Th1 cells failed to repress the expression of cytotoxic molecules compared with controls. Thus, repression of cytotoxic gene expression requires neither Thpok nor LRF in Th17 cells, unlike in other helper effector subtypes (29).

# Discussion

The present report demonstrates that the transcriptional circuitry involved in IL-17 production in T cells broadly represses



Fig. 5. The  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 effector program represses cytotoxic gene expression in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. (A and C) RT-qPCR expression of Gzma, Gzmb, and Prf1 on Th1 or Th17 cells from Thpo $k^{AD}$  (Ox40-cre<sup>+</sup> Thpo $k^{f\{H\}}$ , gray bars) or control  $(Ox40$ -cre<sup>+</sup> Thpok<sup>+/+</sup>, black bars) mice. Data are shown for effectors derived in vitro from naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (A) or for CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells isolated from the siLP (C), and is shown relative to gene expression values in control Th1 cells, set to 1 (except in C for Prf1, set to 1 on Thpo $k^{AD}$  Th1 cells). (B) Bar graphs show the MFI of surface CD8 $\alpha$  expression on effectors CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells derived as in A. (D) Contour plot show CD8 $\alpha$  vs. CD4 expression on TCR $\beta^+$  CD4<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> IFN $\gamma^+$ (Th1) and IL-17<sup>+</sup> (Th17) siLP cells from control or *Thpok*<sup>AD</sup> animals; data are gated on YFP<sup>+</sup> cells (as an indicator of Cre activity; mice carried a Rosa26YFP allele). (E) Bar graphs show the MFI of surface CD40L expression or RT-qPCR expression of Cd40lg (encoding CD40L) on effector CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells derived in vitro as in A. Data are expressed relative to values in control Th1 cells, set to 1. (F) Contour plots show intracellular expression of IFNγ (Left) or IL-17 (Right) vs. granzyme B in CD4<sup>+</sup> effectors derived in Th1 or Th17 culture conditions from CD2-cre<sup>+</sup> Thpok<sup>fl/fl</sup> Lrf<sup>fl/fl</sup> or control (CD2-cre<sup>-</sup> Thpok<sup>fl/fl</sup> Lrf<sup>fl/fl</sup>). Data are representative of two (A, E, and F) or three (B-D) mice per genotype analyzed in two (A, B, E, and F) or three (C and D) independent experiments.  $*P < 0.05$ ; nd, not detected; ns, not significant.

cytotoxic functions. Such repression is dependent on the transcription factor STAT3, in part via the induction of RORγt. Importantly, RORγt represses expression of cytotoxic effector genes despite persistent expression of canonical transcription factors Runx3, T-bet, and Eomes, implying that persistent inhibition of cytotoxic functions in Tc17 cells is highly dependent on cytokine-activated STAT3.

While T-bet and Eomes had been shown to restrain RORγt expression and thereby Th17 or Tc17 differentiation (16–18), whether STAT3 or RORγt reciprocally inhibit cytotoxic gene expression had not been elucidated. Although Th1-related and cytotoxic genes are not expressed in Th17  $CD4+$  T cells (36, 55), this observation did not imply repression by the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 circuitry because Th17 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells express Thpok, which itself inhibits expression of cytotoxic genes (28, 29). In fact, Batf and Irf4, key components of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 circuitry, are also needed for proper Tc1 responses to viral infection (41–44). Here, we demonstrate that a STAT3–ROR $\gamma$ t-based T<sub>eff</sub>17 transcriptional circuitry represses cytotoxic gene expression and the development of cytotoxic functions in Tc17 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.

While RORγt represses effector genes (including those encoding granzymes), it does not inhibit T-bet or Eomes expression, in contrast to T-bet inhibition of RORγt gene expression. Such an asymmetric control has important functional implications. Whereas T-bet repression of RORγt stabilizes Tc1 differentiation, the inability of RORγt to repress T-bet, Eomes, and Runx3 compromises the stability of IL-17–producing T cells. In circumstances where STAT3 activation is not sustained (e.g., by IL-6 signaling), or is counteracted through signaling by other cytokines (e.g., IL-12), the persistent expression of T-bet, Eomes, and Runx3 would favor the reemergence of cytotoxic gene expression.

Consistent with this asymmetric antagonism, IFNγ and IL-17 double-producing  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells are found in experimental colitis (22). Similar dual producers contribute to graft versus host disease (GVHD) after allogeneic stem cell transplantation (21), and therefore are presumably equivalent to pathogenic Th17 cells described in experimental models of colitis and multiple sclerosis (18, 56, 57). While these IFN<sub> $\gamma$ -</sub> and IL-17–producing  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells expressed T-bet, they showed reduced expression of Eomes and cytotoxic genes, including Gzmb. Consistent with the idea that Tc17 cells are unstable, they were shown by fatemapping analyses to revert to a cytotoxic fate (21).

In contrast to Tc17  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells, in which inhibition of cytotoxic gene expression relies on the STAT3-driven  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 17 circuitry, both that circuitry and the CD4<sup>+</sup> lineage-specific transcription factor Thpok contribute to restrain cytotoxic genes in Th17 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Of note, Thpok-mediated repression of IFNγ can be overcome by Th1-inducing environmental cues, despite persistent Thpok expression (28, 29, 58). Accordingly, Th17 effectors, which harbor epigenetic marks of activity at Th1 loci, can acquire IFNγ production and contribute to immunopathology during inflammation (59, 60).

STAT3 and RORγt may inhibit cytotoxic genes hierarchically, as suggested by ChIP binding results: in this scenario, STAT3 acts on transcriptional regulators T-bet, Eomes, and RORγt, which themselves control cytotoxic effector genes. Mechanistically, STAT3 may serve by opposing the positive effect of STAT5 on cytotoxic genes, including *T-bet* and *Eomes* (61). As STAT3 competes with STAT5 for DNA binding genome-wide (62), sustained STAT3 activation may displace STAT5 and thereby inhibit expression of cytotoxic genes. Additionally, because STAT5 and Runx3 molecules directly interact (63), the competition between STAT3 and STAT5 may affect Runx3-dependent genes, including Eomes (5).

In cells that coexpress RORγt and T-bet or Eomes, the present study indicates that RORγt can counteract T-bet and Eomes and restrain cytotoxic gene expression. The binding of RORγt to Ifng, Gzmb, and Gzmk cis-regulatory regions suggests that such an effect could be direct, through RORγt recruitment to these genes. Because RORγt binds cis-regulatory elements that can also recruit T-bet, it is possible that competition between these factors for DNA binding controls cytotoxic gene expression. Challenging this idea, RORγt and T-bet recognize distinct DNA sequences (36, 64). Alternatively, RORγt could inhibit T-bet or Eomes without affecting their DNA binding, e.g., by affecting their recruitment of transcriptional coactivators.

Cytotoxic gene repression by STAT3 and RORγt is expected to reduce the antitumor potential of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells in inflammatory tumor microenvironments. Indeed, Stat3 disruption promotes responses against experimental tumors (65). Even though the exact mechanisms by which STAT3 inhibits antitumoral activity remain to be elucidated, a growing number of reports suggest a critical role of STAT3 and IL-6 signaling in T cells and natural killer cells, consistent with an effect on cytotoxic gene expression (66–69). Thus, the ability to manipulate and target this pathway might be a valuable approach to enhance antitumor responses in cancer immunotherapy strategies.

## Materials and Methods

Mice. Mice carrying floxed alleles for Thpok (28), Stat3 (70), Rosa26<sup>Stat3C-GFP</sup> (35), or Lrf (71) were from our own colony or obtained from J. O'Shea (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda), S. Koralov (New York University, New York), and P. P. Pandolfi (Harvard University, Boston), respectively. Additional strains are described in [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT). Animal procedures were approved by the National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee.

In Vitro Cell Procedures. Sorted naïve (CD44<sup>lo</sup>) T cells were activated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28, in the presence of T cell-depleted irradiated WT splenocytes and cytokines and anti-cytokines antibodies as described in [SI Materials](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT) [and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT). Retroviral transductions were performed as previously described (31), using either MIGR-RORγt-Thy1.1 or PMRX-Thy1.1 retroviruses (72). In vitro cytotoxicity was determined using pan-T-depleted WT splenocytes coated with relevant GP33 (KAVYNFATM) or irrelevant (SIIFNEKL) peptides, labeled with distinct CFSE concentrations, and cocultured with in vitro derived CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T cells for 24 h.

Microarrays and ChIP-Seq Data. Affymetrix Mouse Exon 2.0 ST arrays were processed as described in [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT) and analyzed with Partek Genomic Suite; data are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession nos. GSE104143 and GSE104144. The Runx3 dataset (26) was obtained from the GEO (accession no. GSE50131). The STAT3 and RORγt (36) and T-bet ChIP-seq datasets (45) were obtained from the GEO (GSE40918 and GSE40623, respectively), aligned to the mouse genome (mm10 release) using the Bowtie package and analyzed with Partek Flow on the National Institutes of Health high-performance computing Biowulf cluster.

Statistical Analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism software. Bars in graphs indicate average  $\pm$  SEM. Comparisons were performed by two-tailed unpaired t test. \*P values <0.05.

Additional information is available in [SI Materials and Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1711160114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201711160SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank E. Castro, H. Kwak, and T.-A. Lewis for expert animal care and genotyping; Q. Xiao for technical assistance and genotyping; N. Killeen, S. Koralov, A. Laurence, D. McGavern, J. O'Shea, and J. Zhu for mice and reagents; X. Wu for microarray analyses; and G. Abou Ezzi, Y. Belkaid, J. Brenchley, C. Harly, and V. Lazarevic for reading the manuscript. Supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, National Institutes of Health.

<sup>1.</sup> Glimcher LH, Townsend MJ, Sullivan BM, Lord GM (2004) Recent developments in the transcriptional regulation of cytolytic effector cells. Nat Rev Immunol 4:900–911.

<sup>2.</sup> Lazarevic V, Glimcher LH, Lord GM (2013) T-bet: A bridge between innate and adaptive immunity. Nat Rev Immunol 13:777–789.

<sup>3.</sup> Taniuchi I, et al. (2002) Differential requirements for Runx proteins in CD4 repression and epigenetic silencing during T lymphocyte development. Cell 111:621–633.

<sup>4.</sup> Woolf E, et al. (2003) Runx3 and Runx1 are required for CD8 T cell development during thymopoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:7731–7736.

- 5. Cruz-Guilloty F, et al. (2009) Runx3 and T-box proteins cooperate to establish the transcriptional program of effector CTLs. J Exp Med 206:51–59.
- 6. Djuretic IM, et al. (2007) Transcription factors T-bet and Runx3 cooperate to activate Ifng and silence Il4 in T helper type 1 cells. Nat Immunol 8:145–153.
- 7. Naoe Y, et al. (2007) Repression of interleukin-4 in T helper type 1 cells by Runx/Cbf beta binding to the Il4 silencer. J Exp Med 204:1749–1755.
- 8. Pearce EL, et al. (2003) Control of effector CD8+ T cell function by the transcription factor Eomesodermin. Science 302:1041–1043.
- 9. Szabo SJ, et al. (2000) A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. Cell 100:655–669.
- 10. Korn T, Bettelli E, Oukka M, Kuchroo VK (2009) IL-17 and Th17 Cells. Annu Rev Immunol 27:485–517.
- 11. Zhou L, Littman DR (2009) Transcriptional regulatory networks in Th17 cell differentiation. Curr Opin Immunol 21:146–152.
- 12. Laurence A, et al. (2007) Interleukin-2 signaling via STAT5 constrains T helper 17 cell generation. Immunity 26:371–381.
- 13. Ivanov II, et al. (2006) The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+ T helper cells. Cell 126:1121–1133.
- 14. Yang XO, et al. (2007) STAT3 regulates cytokine-mediated generation of inflammatory helper T cells. J Biol Chem 282:9358–9363.
- 15. Harris TJ, et al. (2007) Cutting edge: An in vivo requirement for STAT3 signaling in TH17 development and TH17-dependent autoimmunity. J Immunol 179:4313–4317.
- 16. Intlekofer AM, et al. (2008) Anomalous type 17 response to viral infection by CD8+ T cells lacking T-bet and eomesodermin. Science 321:408–411.
- 17. Lazarevic V, et al. (2011) T-bet represses T(H)17 differentiation by preventing Runx1 mediated activation of the gene encoding RORγt. Nat Immunol 12:96–104.
- 18. Wang Y, et al. (2014) The transcription factors T-bet and Runx are required for the ontogeny of pathogenic interferon-γ-producing T helper 17 cells. Immunity 40:355–366.
- 19. Ichiyama K, et al. (2011) Transcription factor Smad-independent T helper 17 cell induction by transforming-growth factor-β is mediated by suppression of eomesodermin. Immunity 34:741–754.
- 20. Yen HR, et al. (2009) Tc17 CD8 T cells: Functional plasticity and subset diversity. J Immunol 183:7161–7168.
- 21. Gartlan KH, et al. (2015) Tc17 cells are a proinflammatory, plastic lineage of pathogenic CD8+ T cells that induce GVHD without antileukemic effects. Blood 126: 1609–1620.
- 22. Tajima M, et al. (2008) IL-6-dependent spontaneous proliferation is required for the induction of colitogenic IL-17-producing CD8+ T cells. J Exp Med 205:1019–1027.
- 23. Naik S, et al. (2015) Commensal-dendritic-cell interaction specifies a unique protective skin immune signature. Nature 520:104–108.
- 24. Huber M, et al. (2009) A Th17-like developmental process leads to CD8(+) Tc17 cells with reduced cytotoxic activity. Eur J Immunol 39:1716–1725.
- 25. Liu SJ, et al. (2007) Induction of a distinct CD8 Tnc17 subset by transforming growth factor-beta and interleukin-6. J Leukoc Biol 82:354–360.
- 26. Lotem J, et al. (2013) Runx3-mediated transcriptional program in cytotoxic lymphocytes. PLoS One 8:e80467.
- 27. Xiong Y, et al. (2013) Thpok-independent repression of Runx3 by Gata3 during CD4+ T-cell differentiation in the thymus. Eur J Immunol 43:918–928.
- 28. Wang L, et al. (2008) The zinc finger transcription factor Zbtb7b represses CD8-lineage gene expression in peripheral CD4+ T cells. Immunity 29:876–887.
- 29. Vacchio MS, et al. (2014) A ThPOK-LRF transcriptional node maintains the integrity and effector potential of post-thymic CD4+ T cells. Nat Immunol 15:947–956.
- 30. Setoguchi R, Taniuchi I, Bevan MJ (2009) ThPOK derepression is required for robust CD8 T cell responses to viral infection. J Immunol 183:4467–4474.
- 31. Jenkinson SR, et al. (2007) Expression of the transcription factor cKrox in peripheral CD8 T cells reveals substantial postthymic plasticity in CD4-CD8 lineage differentiation. J Exp Med 204:267–272.
- 32. O'Shea J (2015) A first look at TH cell transcriptomes. Nat Rev Immunol 15:668.
- 33. Matloubian M, Concepcion RJ, Ahmed R (1994) CD4+ T cells are required to sustain CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell responses during chronic viral infection. J Virol 68:8056–8063.
- 34. Harker JA, Lewis GM, Mack L, Zuniga EI (2011) Late interleukin-6 escalates T follicular helper cell responses and controls a chronic viral infection. Science 334:825–829.
- 35. Fogli LK, et al. (2013) T cell-derived IL-17 mediates epithelial changes in the airway and drives pulmonary neutrophilia. J Immunol 191:3100–3111, erratum (2013) 191:5318.
- 36. Ciofani M, et al. (2012) A validated regulatory network for Th17 cell specification. Cell 151:289–303.
- 37. Huber M, et al. (2008) IRF4 is essential for IL-21-mediated induction, amplification, and stabilization of the Th17 phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:20846–20851.
- 38. Li P, et al. (2012) BATF-JUN is critical for IRF4-mediated transcription in T cells. Nature 490:543–546.
- 39. Schraml BU, et al. (2009) The AP-1 transcription factor Batf controls T(H)17 differentiation. Nature 460:405–409.
- 40. Huber M, et al. (2013) IL-17A secretion by CD8+ T cells supports Th17-mediated autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Clin Invest 123:247–260.
- 41. Grusdat M, et al. (2014) IRF4 and BATF are critical for CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell function following infection with LCMV. Cell Death Differ 21:1050–1060.
- 42. Xin G, et al. (2015) A critical role of IL-21-induced BATF in sustaining CD8-T-cellmediated chronic viral control. Cell Rep 13:1118–1124.
- 43. Man K, et al. (2013) The transcription factor IRF4 is essential for TCR affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion of T cells. Nat Immunol 14:1155–1165.
- 44. Kurachi M, et al. (2014) The transcription factor BATF operates as an essential differentiation checkpoint in early effector CD8+ T cells. Nat Immunol 15:373–383.
- 45. Gökmen MR, et al. (2013) Genome-wide regulatory analysis reveals that T-bet controls Th17 lineage differentiation through direct suppression of IRF4. J Immunol 191: 5925–5932.
- 46. Muroi S, et al. (2008) Cascading suppression of transcriptional silencers by ThPOK seals helper T cell fate. Nat Immunol 9:1113–1121.
- 47. Wildt KF, et al. (2007) The transcription factor Zbtb7b promotes CD4 expression by antagonizing Runx-mediated activation of the CD4 silencer. J Immunol 179: 4405–4414.
- 48. Egawa T, Littman DR (2008) ThPOK acts late in specification of the helper T cell lineage and suppresses Runx-mediated commitment to the cytotoxic T cell lineage. Nat Immunol 9:1131–1139.
- 49. Mathur AN, et al. (2007) Stat3 and Stat4 direct development of IL-17-secreting Th cells. J Immunol 178:4901–4907.
- 50. Zhu J, et al. (2004) Conditional deletion of Gata3 shows its essential function in T(H)1- T(H)2 responses. Nat Immunol 5:1157–1165.
- 51. Klinger M, et al. (2009) Thymic OX40 expression discriminates cells undergoing strong responses to selection ligands. J Immunol 182:4581–4589.
- 52. Reis BS, Rogoz A, Costa-Pinto FA, Taniuchi I, Mucida D (2013) Mutual expression of the transcription factors Runx3 and ThPOK regulates intestinal CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell immunity. Nat Immunol 14:271–280.
- 53. Durant L, et al. (2010) Diverse targets of the transcription factor STAT3 contribute to T cell pathogenicity and homeostasis. Immunity 32:605–615.
- 54. Carpenter AC, et al. (2012) The transcription factors Thpok and LRF are necessary and partly redundant for T helper cell differentiation. Immunity 37:622-633.
- 55. Ray JP, et al. (2014) Transcription factor STAT3 and type I interferons are corepressive insulators for differentiation of follicular helper and T helper 1 cells. Immunity 40: 367–377.
- 56. Mucida D, Salek-Ardakani S (2009) Regulation of TH17 cells in the mucosal surfaces. J Allergy Clin Immunol 123:997–1003.
- 57. Lee Y, et al. (2012) Induction and molecular signature of pathogenic TH17 cells. Nat Immunol 13:991–999.
- 58. Vacchio MS, Bosselut R (2016) What happens in the thymus does not stay in the thymus: How T cells recycle the CD4+-CD8+ lineage commitment transcriptional circuitry to control their function. J Immunol 196:4848–4856.
- 59. Wei G, et al. (2009) Global mapping of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 reveals specificity and plasticity in lineage fate determination of differentiating CD4+ T cells. Immunity 30:155–167.
- 60. Hirota K, et al. (2011) Fate mapping of IL-17-producing T cells in inflammatory responses. Nat Immunol 12:255–263.
- 61. Grange M, et al. (2013) Active STAT5 regulates T-bet and eomesodermin expression in CD8 T cells and imprints a T-bet-dependent Tc1 program with repressed IL-6/TGFβ1 signaling. J Immunol 191:3712–3724.
- 62. Yang XP, et al. (2011) Opposing regulation of the locus encoding IL-17 through direct, reciprocal actions of STAT3 and STAT5. Nat Immunol 12:247–254.
- 63. Ogawa S, Satake M, Ikuta K (2008) Physical and functional interactions between STAT5 and Runx transcription factors. J Biochem 143:695–709.
- 64. Kanhere A, et al. (2012) T-bet and GATA3 orchestrate Th1 and Th2 differentiation through lineage-specific targeting of distal regulatory elements. Nat Commun 3:1268.
- 65. Kortylewski M, et al. (2005) Inhibiting Stat3 signaling in the hematopoietic system elicits multicomponent antitumor immunity. Nat Med 11:1314–1321.
- 66. Gotthardt D, et al. (2014) Loss of STAT3 in murine NK cells enhances NK celldependent tumor surveillance. Blood 124:2370–2379.
- 67. Yue C, et al. (2015) STAT3 in CD8+ T cells inhibits their tumor accumulation by downregulating CXCR3/CXCL10 axis. Cancer Immunol Res 3:864–870.
- 68. Tsukamoto H, Senju S, Matsumura K, Swain SL, Nishimura Y (2015) IL-6-mediated environmental conditioning of defective Th1 differentiation dampens antitumour immune responses in old age. Nat Commun 6:6702.
- 69. Kujawski M, et al. (2010) Targeting STAT3 in adoptively transferred T cells promotes their in vivo expansion and antitumor effects. Cancer Res 70:9599–9610.
- 70. Lee CK, et al. (2002) STAT3 is a negative regulator of granulopoiesis but is not required for G-CSF-dependent differentiation. Immunity 17:63–72.
- 71. Maeda T, et al. (2005) Role of the proto-oncogene Pokemon in cellular transformation and ARF repression. Nature 433:278–285.
- 72. Villarino AV, Gallo E, Abbas AK (2010) STAT1-activating cytokines limit Th17 responses through both T-bet-dependent and -independent mechanisms. J Immunol 185:6461–6471.
- 73. Taraban VY, et al. (2002) Expression and costimulatory effects of the TNF receptor superfamily members CD134 (OX40) and CD137 (4-1BB), and their role in the generation of anti-tumor immune responses. Eur J Immunol 32:3617–3627.
- 74. Srinivas S, et al. (2001) Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of EYFP and ECFP into the ROSA26 locus. BMC Dev Biol 1:4.
- 75. Pircher H, Bürki K, Lang R, Hengartner H, Zinkernagel RM (1989) Tolerance induction in double specific T-cell receptor transgenic mice varies with antigen. Nature 342: 559–561.
- 76. Lee PP, et al. (2001) A critical role for Dnmt1 and DNA methylation in T cell development, function, and survival. Immunity 15:763–774.
- 77. Sun CM, et al. (2007) Small intestine lamina propria dendritic cells promote de novo generation of Foxp3 T reg cells via retinoic acid. J Exp Med 204:1775-1785.
- 78. Ciucci T, et al. (2015) Bone marrow Th17 TNFα cells induce osteoclast differentiation, and link bone destruction to IBD. Gut 64:1072–1081.
- 79. Manna S, et al. (2015) Histone H3 Lysine 27 demethylases Jmjd3 and Utx are required for T-cell differentiation. Nat Commun 6:8152.