

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v8.i12.913

World J Orthop 2017 December 18; 8(12): 913-921

ISSN 2218-5836 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Anterolateral rotatory instability *in vivo* correlates tunnel position after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using bone-patellar tendon-bone graft

Yasutaka Tashiro, Ken Okazaki, Koji Murakami, Hirokazu Matsubara, Kanji Osaki, Yukihide Iwamoto, Yasuharu Nakashima

Yasutaka Tashiro, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States

Yasutaka Tashiro, Ken Okazaki, Koji Murakami, Hirokazu Matsubara, Kanji Osaki, Yukihide Iwamoto, Yasuharu Nakashima, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

Author contributions: Tashiro Y designed the study, performed surgeries and followed up patients; he analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript; Okazaki K assisted designing the study, performed surgeries and followed up patients; he revised the manuscript; Murakami K performed subjective and objective data collection, assisted data analysis and evaluation; Matsubara H and Osaki K performed kinematic data collection, assisted data analysis and evaluation; Iwamoto Y helped grant writing, directed all clinical aspects and co-supervised the entire research; Nakashima Y directed all clinical aspects and supervised the entire research.

Supported by JSPS Fellowships for Research Abroad, No. H27-787; and International Research Fund for Subsidy of Kyushu University School of Medicine Alumni.

Institutional review board statement: This study protocol was approved by the institutional review board (IRB ID: 24-108) of Kyushu University (3-1-1, Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan).

Informed consent statement: All subjects gave their informed consent before they were included to this study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on

different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Yasutaka Tashiro, MD, PhD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan. 11yasu@med.kyushu-u.ac.jp Telephone: +81-92-6425488 Fax: +81-92-6425507

Received: November 22, 2016 Peer-review started: November 23, 2016 First decision: February 17, 2017 Revised: February 23, 2017 Accepted: October 29, 2017 Article in press: October 29, 2017 Published online: December 18, 2017

Abstract

AIM

To quantitatively assess rotatory and anterior-posterior instability *in vivo* after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction using bone-patellar tendon-bone (BTB) autografts, and to clarify the influence of tunnel positions on the knee stability.

METHODS

Single-bundle ACL reconstruction with BTB autograft was performed on 50 patients with a mean age of 28 years using the trans-tibial (TT) (n = 20) and trans-portal (TP) (n = 30) techniques. Femoral and tibial tunnel positions were identified from the high-resolution 3D-CT bone models two weeks after surgery. Anterolateral rotatory translation



WJO | www.wjgnet.com

was examined using a Slocum anterolateral rotatory instability test in open magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) 1.0-1.5 years after surgery, by measuring anterior tibial translation at the medial and lateral compartments on its sagittal images. Anterior-posterior stability was evaluated with a Kneelax3 arthrometer.

RESULTS

A total of 40 patients (80%) were finally followed up. Femoral tunnel positions were shallower (P < 0.01) and higher (P < 0.001), and tibial tunnel positions were more posterior (P < 0.05) in the TT group compared with the TP group. Anterolateral rotatory translations in reconstructed knees were significantly correlated with the shallow femoral tunnel positions (R = 0.42, P < 0.01), and the rotatory translations were greater in the TT group ($3.2 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm}$) than in the TP group ($2.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ mm}$) (P < 0.05). Side-to-side differences of Kneelax3 arthrometer were $1.5 \pm 1.3 \text{ mm}$ in the TT, and $1.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm}$ in the TP group (N.S.). Lysholm scores, KOOS subscales and reinjury rate showed no difference between the two groups.

CONCLUSION

Anterolateral rotatory instability significantly correlated shallow femoral tunnel positions after ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts. Clinical outcomes, rotatory and anterior-posterior stability were overall satisfactory in both techniques, but the TT technique located femoral tunnels in shallower and higher positions, and tibial tunnels in more posterior positions than the TP technique, thus increased the anterolateral rotation. Anatomic ACL reconstruction with BTB autografts may restore knee function and stability.

Key words: Anterior cruciate ligament; Patellar tendon; Bone-patellar tendon-bone; Rotatory instability; Magnetic resonance imaging; Tunnel position; Anatomic; Singlebundle

© **The Author(s) 2017.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Anterolateral rotatory instability was quantitatively assessed in 40 anterior cruciate ligamentreconstructed knees with bone-patellar tendon-bone autografts using a Slocum anterolateral rotatory instability test in open magnetic resonance imaging 1-1.5 years after surgery, and correlated to tunnel positions evaluated by high resolution computed tomography scan 2 wk after surgery. Femoral tunnel positions were shallower (P < 0.01) and higher (P < 0.001), and tibial tunnel positions were more posterior (P < 0.05) in the trans-tibial (TT) group, compared with the trans-portal (TP) group. Anterolateral rotatory translations were significantly correlated with the shallow femoral tunnel positions, and they were greater in the TT group ($3.2 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm}$) than in the TP group ($2.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ mm}$) (P < 0.05).

Tashiro Y, Okazaki K, Murakami K, Matsubara H, Osaki K, Iwamoto Y, Nakashima Y. Anterolateral rotatory instability *in*

vivo correlates tunnel position after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using bone-patellar tendon-bone graft. *World J Orthop* 2017; 8(12): 913-921 Available from: URL: http://www. wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v8/i12/913.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.5312/wjo.v8.i12.913

INTRODUCTION

It is the goal of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction to restore normal knee function and kinematics, finally achieving patient's return to sports and daily activities. Recently, anatomic ACL reconstruction which reproduces dimensions, fiber orientations and insertion sites of the native ACL has been reported to improve knee stability and clinical outcomes after surgery^[1-4]. Oblique fiber orientation based on anatomical location of bone tunnels is more favorable for controlling rotation, as well as resisting anterior tibial force, compared with a vertical graft orientation^[5,6]. ACL reconstruction creating femoral tunnels independently from tibial tunnels has been shown to locate femoral tunnels more closely to anatomical footprint than the trans-tibial (TT) technique^[7-9]. A doublebundle technique has been one of the popular methods to perform anatomic ACL reconstruction, principally using soft tissue grafts such as hamstring tendon^[10-13]. However, anatomic single-bundle technique has developed recently, showing comparable outcomes as double-bundle techniques^[14-17]. Therefore, it may be possible that singlebundle ACL reconstruction with bone-patellar tendonbone (BTB) grafts, which is based on the modern concept of ACL anatomy^[18-21], could restore close to normal ACL function.

One of the great advantages of BTB autograft is its better graft-tunnel healing, as well as the stable initial fixation with bone block, compared with other soft tissue grafts^[22-25]. Although several original studies have reported kinematics after ACL reconstruction with BTB grafts, they were based on cadaveric specimens measured by testing machine or robotic system^[5,6,26-28], which could not reflect better graft-tunnel healing of BTB grafts. Recent in vivo studies using BTB grafts have introduced the anatomic single-bundle technique, which locates bone tunnels within the native insertion site, and have shown favorable clinical results after for ACL reconstruction, but the degree of rotatory instability was mainly assessed by manual pivot-shift test^[18,29-31], not quantitatively. Only a few studies from limited research groups so far have reported quantitative results of rotatory instability after anatomic ACL reconstruction using BTB grafts^[32-34]. Therefore, it would be clinically relevant to assess in vivo rotatory instability objectively after ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts.

For the surgical technique of creating femoral tunnels, we had used the TT technique until 2010, modifying the position and orientation of the graft more obliquely^[12,35,36]. But this technique sometimes made it difficult for us to place femoral tunnels within the

Tashiro Y et al. Anterolateral rotato	y instability after ACL r	reconstruction
---------------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------

Table 1 Baseline data of the two groups				
	TT group	TP group	Significance	
п	20	30		
Period of surgery	Apr 2009-	Aug 2010-Mar		
	Dec 2010	2013		
Age	29 ± 9	27 ± 9	NS	
Height (cm)	171.3 ± 7.1	171.7 ± 6.0	NS	
Weight (kg)	73.8 ± 6.9	75.5 ± 12.2	NS	
Lysholm score	65 ± 11	63 ± 14	NS	

Mean ± SD is shown. TT: Trans-tibial; TP: Trans-portal; NS: Not significantly.

anatomical footprint^[9,37-40], thus since the late 2010, we've shifted to the trans-portal (TP) technique, which enables femoral tunnel placement independently from tibial tunnels^[8,41,42]. In addition, we have utilized open MRI to assess anterolateral rotatory instability of ACL-deficient and ACL-reconstructed knees since 2005, and have shown its usefulness in quantification^[35,43-45].

The purpose of this study was to: (1) Compare the knee stability *in vivo* after ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts *via* TT and TP techniques; and (2) clarify the influence of tunnel position on the knee stability. We hypothesized that: (1) The TP technique would show less instability; and (2) tunnel positions may affect knee stability after single-bundle ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From April 2009 to March 2013, single-bundle primary ACL reconstruction was performed on 52 knees with a BTB autograft. Patients with any history of significant injury to other knee ligaments, articular cartilage and bilateral ACL cases (2 knees) were excluded. Consequently, 50 patients with a mean age of 28 years (range: 17-45) were enrolled. All patients were male. TT technique was used in 20 knees from April 2009 to 2010, and TP technique was used in 30 patients from August 2010 to March 2013 (Table 1). A computed tomography (CT) scan was performed with 1-2 mm slices in order to determine tunnel positions 2 wk after surgery. Anterolateral rotatory instability in vivo was assessed quantitatively in 40 patients (80%) using open MRI an average of 1.2 years (range: 1.0-1.5 years) after surgery. All aspects of this study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of our university (ID: 24-108), and all subjects gave their informed consent before they were included.

Surgical technique

The subjects underwent arthroscopic ACL reconstruction at a median of 6 wk after the injury. An arthroscopic leg holder was utilized to hold the affected knee in 90° of flexion. A 10-mm BTB autograft was harvested. The anterolateral portal was positioned as high as the inferior pole of the patella so that it gave an excellent arthroscopic view over the tibial footprint of the ACL.



Figure 1 Arthroscopic techniques for creating the femoral tunnel. A: Arthroscopic view of trans-tibial technique in left knee is shown. The femoral guide wire was centered at the 1:30-2:00 o'clock position; B: Left knee. In transportal technique, the anteromedial portal was used to visualize the lateral wall of the intercondylar notch. The far medial accessory portal was used to directly access to the center of the anterior cruciate ligament femoral insertion site.

The tibial tunnel was targeted in the center of the native ACL insertion site, avoiding impingement during knee extension.

In the TT group, a femoral guide wire was inserted via the tibial tunnel, and then it was centered at the 1:30-2:00 o'clock position for the left knees (10:00-10:30 for right) (Figure 1A). The femoral tunnel was drilled trans-tibially with the knee in 90° of flexion. In the TP group, the anteromedial portal was used to allow optimal visualization of the lateral wall of the intercondylar notch, including the ACL femoral insertion site^[13,41]. In addition, the accessory medial portal was established far medially, just above the anterior horn of the medial meniscus, in a position allowing direct access to the center of the ACL femoral insertion site and avoiding damage to articular cartilage during femoral drilling (Figure 1B). A guide wire was introduced through the accessory medial portal and placed at the center of femoral insertion site. The femoral tunnel was drilled using a 2.4-mm straight guide pin and rigid drills, with the knee kept in maximal flexion.

In all cases, the BTB graft was fixed to the femur using extracortical fixation (EndoButton CL BTB, Smith and Nephew Endoscopy). Tibial side was fixed with interference screws (Softsilk 1.5 Fixation Screws, Smith and Nephew Endoscopy). A notch plasty was not performed in any of our patients. All of the patients underwent a standard rehabilitation program with early Tashiro Y et al. Anterolateral rotatory instability after ACL reconstruction



Figure 2 Evaluation of tunnel positions in femur and tibia. A: 3D CT-based model of a femoral bone tunnel after an ACL reconstruction. Tunnel position was assessed according to the quadrant method^[46]. Depth = (distance from the posterior edge to tunnel center along Blumensaat's line/total length of the lateral condyle) \times 100%. Height = (distance from Blumensaat's line to tunnel center/total height of the intercondylar roof) \times 100%; B: For tibial side, Staubli's technique was used^[47]. Anterior-posterior position = (a/b) \times 100%. a: Distance from anterior edge to tunnel center; b: Anteroposterior length of the tibia plateau. ACL: Anterior cruciate ligament.



Figure 3 The anterior translation of the tibia with respect to the femoral condyle was measured on sagittal MR images of the (A) lateral compartment and (B) medial compartment, respectively. As a landmark for the center of the lateral compartment, slices that included the medial edge of the fibula were selected. For the center of the medial compartment, slices with the attachment of the medial head of the gastrocnemius were selected.

weight bearing and range of motion exercise. Sports activities were permitted 9 mo after the reconstruction, if the patients had regained functional strength and stability.

The locations of the femoral and tibial tunnel aperture centers were identified from 3D bone models generated from the high-resolution CT scan two weeks after surgery. Femoral tunnel positions were measured according to the quadrant method (Figure 2A)^[46]. For the tibial side, the technique of Staubli and Rauschning was used for the measurement (Figure 2B)^[47]. A commercially available medical imaging software (Real INTAGE, Cybernet Systems Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was used in these analysis.

Evaluation of anterolateral rotatory instability

The assessment of *in vivo* anterolateral rotatory instability (ALRI) was performed by applying the Slocum ALRI test^[48] to stress the tibia rotating anteriorly and internally in a horizontal open MRI Scanner, as previously described^[35,43-45]. The MRI system used in this study was an open MRI at 0.4 T (APERTO, Hitachi Medical Co, Tokyo, Japan). Briefly, the patient was kept in a semilateral recumbent position on the table. The hip and knee of the contra lateral side were flexed. The affected knee was placed in 10° of flexion and the medial side of the foot was rested on a pad so that the weight of the leg was borne on the heel and the knee sagged into valgus. The examiner placed his one hand on the distal femur and the other hand on the proximal tibia from the posterior side. He pushed the fibular head anteriorly with his thumb to increase the stress that makes the tibia rotate anteriorly and internally.

The anterior translation of the tibia with respect to the femoral condyle was measured on sagittal images scanned at each center of the medial and lateral compartments, respectively, in order to evaluate rotatory instability (Figure 3). The image plane scanned under stress was adjusted to the same sagittal plane scanned before stress, using the Interactive Scan Control (ISC) software program. The ISC program determines the image plane interactively on the basis of fluoroscopic images displayed on a user interface with an update time of 2 s, including the scan time. The MRI operator can change the image plane, oblique angle and phase encoding direction during the scan. It usually takes less than 3 min from applying stress to completing the scan, including the fine-tuning of the plane, when the ISC is used. The anterolateral rotatory translation, determined from anterolateral minus anteromedial tibial translation, was calculated to assess ALRI. Side-to-side differences of anterolateral tibial translation and anteromedial tibial translation were also analyzed, respectively. High intraand inter-observer reproducibility (correlation coefficient = 0.98, 0.91, respectively) have been demonstrated between 2 successive examinations in our previous study, using this assessment technique^[43].

The subjective knee function was assessed with the Lysholm scores and Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) scales^[49,50]. Anterior-posterior



WJO www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Tunnel positions of the femur and the tibia by postoperative computed tomography					
		TT technique (%)	TP technique (%)	Significance	
Femur	Depth	34.0 ± 4.9	29.7 ± 4.9	P < 0.01	
	Height	30.3 ± 5.6	39.3 ± 7.3	P < 0.001	
Tibia	Anterior-posterior	47.1 ± 7.5	42.0 ± 4.9	P < 0.05	

Mean ± SD. TT: Trans-tibial; TP: Trans-portal.

Table 3 Clinical outcomes and knee stability parameters

	TT technique	TP technique	Significance
Lysholm score	94 ± 7	95 ± 7	NS
KOOS subscale			
Symptoms	89 ± 9	90 ± 12	NS
Pain	87 ± 7	89 ± 8	NS
ADL	92 ± 12	96 ± 10	NS
Sport/Rec	82 ± 14	84 ± 9	NS
QoL	78 ± 13	80 ± 11	NS
Re-injury (ipsilateral)	0	0	NS
Kneelax3			NS
Side-to-side diff. (mm)	1.5 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 1.6	
MRI analysis			
Anterolateral rotatory translation			
Affected side (mm)	3.2 ± 1.6	2.0 ± 1.8	P < 0.05
Contra-lateral side (mm)	2.4 ± 1.6	2.5 ± 2.7	NS
Side-to-side diff. (mm) of			
Anteromedial tibial translation	0.6 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 2.3	NS
Anterolateral tibial translation	1.4 ± 1.6	0.9 ± 1.9	NS

Mean ± SD is shown. TT: Trans-tibial; TP: Trans-portal; Anterolateral rotatory translation: Anterolateral minus anteromedial tibial translation; NS: Not significantly.

stability was evaluated with a Kneelax3 arthrometer (MR Systems, Haarlem, The Netherlands) at 134 N anterior force.

Statistical analysis

Femoral and tibial tunnel positions were compared between TT and TP groups using Student's *t*-test. The side-to-side differences of tibial translations, anterolateral rotatory translation and clinical outcomes were also compared between the 2 groups using Student's *t*-test. The relationships between tunnel positions and knee stability parameters were analyzed using Pearson's correlations. For those statistical analyses, the StatView 5.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States) was used with a significance level of P < 0.05. All statistical analyses of this study were reviewed by a biomedical statistican.

RESULTS

Femoral tunnels were located significantly shallower (P < 0.01) and higher (P < 0.001) in the TT group, compared with the TP group. Tibial tunnel positions in the TT group were significantly posterior than those of the TP group (P < 0.05) (Table 2).

In open MRI analysis, the anterolateral rotatory

translation (= anterolateral minus anteromedial tibial translation) of the affected knees were 3.2 ± 1.6 mm in the TT group and 2.0 ± 1.8 mm in the TP group, and significantly larger in the TT group (P < 0.05). The side-to-side differences of anterolateral tibial translation were 1.4 ± 1.6 mm in the TT group and 0.9 ± 1.9 mm in the TP group (N.S.). There was no significant difference in the side-to-side difference of Kneelax3 arthrometer, Lysholm scores, KOOS and re-injury rate between the two groups (Table 3).

The anterolateral rotatory translation were significantly correlated with the shallow (distal and anterior in anatomy) femoral tunnel position (R = 0.42, P < 0.01), while the correlation between the side-to-side differences of Kneelax3 arthrometer and shallow femoral tunnel positions was weak and not statistically significant (R = 0.27, P = 0.14) (Table 4). Femoral and tibial tunnel positions are plotted in both groups, according to the quadrant method and Staubli's technique, together with the relationship with stability results of MRI and Kneelax3 arthrometer (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

We aimed to clarify in vivo rotatory knee stability as well as the anterior-posterior stability after ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts, and correlate knee stability to tunnel positions. The most important findings of this study were that the anterolateral rotatory translations (= anterolateral minus anteromedial tibial translation) were significantly correlated with the shallow (distal and anterior in anatomy) femoral tunnel positions. A previous in vivo study has also reported that ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts with non-anatomic tunnel position resulted in significantly increased positive pivot-shift test cases, compared with those with anatomic tunnel positions at 1-year follow-up^[30]. Another robotic study using cadaveric knees has reported that anatomic ACL reconstruction with rectangular BTB grafts restored knee kinematics better than the one with oval femoral tunnels located in shallower and higher positions^[6], and these were consistent with our study.

Comparison between TT and TP groups showed shallower and higher femoral tunnel positions, more posterior tibial tunnel positions and increased anterolateral rotatory translation in the TT group. Previous studies have reported that it is more difficult for TT technique to locate femoral tunnels anatomically and restore normal kinematics, compared with TP technique^[7-9,37,41,42],

Tashiro Y et al. Anterolateral rotatory instability after ACL reconstruction

Table 4 Correlations between tunnel positions and knee stability					
		Femu	Femur		
		Shallow (+)-Deep (-)	Low (+)-High (-)	Posterior (+)-Anterior (-)	
Kneelax3	Corr (R)	0.27	-0.02	0.15	
side-to-side differences	Significance	NS ($P = 0.14$)	NS	NS	
MRI analysis					
Anterolateral	Corr (R)	0.42	-0.13	0.12	
rotatory translation	Significance	<i>P</i> < 0.01	NS	NS	

Anterolateral rotatory translation: Difference of anterior tibial translation between lateral minus medial compartment; NS: Not significantly.



Figure 4 Tunnel positions in trans-tibial and trans-portal group are plotted for the femur and the tibia. A: Blue and red markers mean the side-to-side differences of Kneelax3 arthrometer of the case were under 3 mm (blue) and over 3 mm (red), respectively; B: Blue and red markers mean the side-to-side differences of anterolateral tibial translation were under 3 mm (blue) and over 3 mm (red), respectively. TT: Trans-tibial; TP: Trans-portal.

whereas no significant difference was found in side-toside differences of Kneelax3 measurement, anterolateral and anteromedial tibial translation in MRI, or other clinical outcomes. The reasons why these stability parameters and clinical outcomes showed no difference between the two techniques may be that the TT-techniques we used did not locate femoral tunnels in "high-noon" isometric position, but located them in oblique positions which are mostly within the femoral footprint, as shown in Figure 4, thus the two groups resulted in less than 2 mm of mean side-to-side difference of anterolateral tibial translation and Kneelax3 measurement with small differences. A recent study using modified TT technique has reported similar anatomic femoral tunnel positions and good clinical results which are comparable to TP technique^[51], although TT technique still runs a risk of creating posterior tibial tunnels and resulting vertical graft orientation^[52,53]. A vertical graft orientation, created by shallow femoral tunnels and posterior tibial tunnels, may result in residual rotatory knee instability^[40,54].

It is well known that merits of using a BTB autograft are its stable initial fixation and good bone-graft healing^[23-25]. BTB cases in our cohort also showed sufficient stability within 2 mm of mean side-to-side difference of anterior tibial translation in rotatory and anteriorposterior evaluation and excellent clinical outcomes. To our knowledge, only a few studies so far have reported quantitative assessment of rotatory instability *in vivo* after anatomic ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts^[32-34]. Most of the previous studies about BTB grafts were *in vitro* kinematic study using cadaveric specimens^[5,6,26-28], or *in vivo* study evaluated by manual testing of pivot-shift^[18,29-31]. We added the quantitatively assessed evidence of rotatory instability after anatomic ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts to the current knowledge. Our results suggest that anatomical placement of BTB autografts would restore knee stability and function after ACL reconstruction.

One of the limitations of this study was that all the subjects included were male patients, thus it might have affected the results^[55]. However, recent large cohort studies have reported gender is not a risk factor for knee instability or revision after ACL reconstruction^[56-58]. Secondly, our sample size was relatively small. It was because we usually used hamstring grafts for female patients and for those who had habits of frequent kneeling. The size might not be enough to detect small differences of anterolateral tibial translation between the two techniques.

Anterolateral rotatory instability *in vivo* significantly correlated shallow (distal and anterior in anatomy) femoral tunnel positions after ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts. TT technique located femoral tunnels in shallower and higher positions, and tibial tunnels in more posterior positions than the TP technique, thus increased the anterolateral rotation in reconstructed knees. Clinical outcomes and knee stability in both techniques were overall satisfactory with less than 2 mm of side-to-side differences in rotatory and anteriorposterior instability. As for clinical relevance, anatomic reconstruction of the ACL using BTB autografts may restore knee function and stability.

COMMENTS

Background

Anatomic single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction using bone-patellar tendon-bone (BTB) autograft may restore close to normal ACL function. However, quantitative studies showing *in vivo* rotatory instability after anatomic ACL reconstruction using BTB graft are sparse.

Research frontiers

In vivo anterolateral rotatory instability (ALRI) can be assessed quantitatively by applying the Slocum ALRI test in a horizontal open MRI Scanner.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This study added the quantitatively assessed evidence of rotatory instability after anatomic ACL reconstruction using BTB autografts to the current knowledge.

Applications

It was suggested that anatomical placement of BTB autografts would restore knee stability and function after ACL reconstruction.

Terminology

ALRI: Anterolateral rotatory instability.

Peer-review

The manuscript is well-written.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Brandon Marshall PhD (University of Pittsburgh) for his assistance in editing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Kondo E, Merican AM, Yasuda K, Amis AA. Biomechanical comparison of anatomic double-bundle, anatomic single-bundle, and nonanatomic single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions. *Am J Sports Med* 2011; 39: 279-288 [PMID: 21239692 DOI: 10.1177/ 0363546510392350]
- 2 Zantop T, Diermann N, Schumacher T, Schanz S, Fu FH, Petersen W. Anatomical and nonanatomical double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: importance of femoral tunnel location on knee kinematics. *Am J Sports Med* 2008; **36**: 678-685 [PMID: 18296542 DOI: 10.1177/0363546508314414]
- 3 Forsythe B, Kopf S, Wong AK, Martins CA, Anderst W, Tashman S, Fu FH. The location of femoral and tibial tunnels in anatomic doublebundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction analyzed by threedimensional computed tomography models. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2010; 92: 1418-1426 [PMID: 20516317 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.I.00654]
- 4 Rayan F, Nanjayan SK, Quah C, Ramoutar D, Konan S, Haddad FS. Review of evolution of tunnel position in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *World J Orthop* 2015; 6: 252-262 [PMID: 25793165 DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v6.i2.252]
- 5 Loh JC, Fukuda Y, Tsuda E, Steadman RJ, Fu FH, Woo SL. Knee stability and graft function following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Comparison between 11 o'clock and 10 o'clock femoral tunnel placement. 2002 Richard O'Connor Award paper.

Arthroscopy 2003; 19: 297-304 [PMID: 12627155 DOI: 10.1053/ jars.2003.50084]

- 6 Suzuki T, Shino K, Otsubo H, Suzuki D, Mae T, Fujimiya M, Yamashita T, Fujie H. Biomechanical comparison between the rectangular-tunnel and the round-tunnel anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedures with a bone-patellar tendon-bone graft. *Arthroscopy* 2014; **30**: 1294-1302 [PMID: 25064752 DOI: 10.1016/ j.arthro.2014.05.027]
- 7 Abebe ES, Kim JP, Utturkar GM, Taylor DC, Spritzer CE, Moorman CT, Garrett WE, DeFrate LE. The effect of femoral tunnel placement on ACL graft orientation and length during in vivo knee flexion. J Biomech 2011; 44: 1914-1920 [PMID: 21570688 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.04.030]
- 8 Bedi A, Musahl V, Steuber V, Kendoff D, Choi D, Allen AA, Pearle AD, Altchek DW. Transtibial versus anteromedial portal reaming in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: an anatomic and biomechanical evaluation of surgical technique. *Arthroscopy* 2011; 27: 380-390 [PMID: 21035990 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2010.07.018]
- 9 Wang H, Fleischli JE, Zheng NN. Transtibial versus anteromedial portal technique in single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: outcomes of knee joint kinematics during walking. Am J Sports Med 2013; 41: 1847-1856 [PMID: 23752955 DOI: 10.1177/0 363546513490663]
- 10 Shen W, Forsythe B, Ingham SM, Honkamp NJ, Fu FH. Application of the anatomic double-bundle reconstruction concept to revision and augmentation anterior cruciate ligament surgeries. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008; 90 Suppl 4: 20-34 [PMID: 18984715 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS. H.00919]
- 11 Shaerf DA, Pastides PS, Sarraf KM, Willis-Owen CA. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction best practice: A review of graft choice. *World J Orthop* 2014; 5: 23-29 [PMID: 24649411 DOI: 10.5312/wjo. v5.i1.23]
- 12 Yasuda K, Kondo E, Ichiyama H, Tanabe Y, Tohyama H. Clinical evaluation of anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedure using hamstring tendon grafts: comparisons among 3 different procedures. *Arthroscopy* 2006; 22: 240-251 [PMID: 16517306 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2005.12.017]
- 13 van Eck CF, Lesniak BP, Schreiber VM, Fu FH. Anatomic singleand double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction flowchart. *Arthroscopy* 2010; 26: 258-268 [PMID: 20141990 DOI: 10.1016/ j.arthro.2009.07.027]
- 14 Porter MD, Shadbolt B. "Anatomic" single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction reduces both anterior translation and internal rotation during the pivot shift. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; 42: 2948-2954 [PMID: 25239931 DOI: 10.1177/0363546514549938]
- 15 Hussein M, van Eck CF, Cretnik A, Dinevski D, Fu FH. Individualized anterior cruciate ligament surgery: a prospective study comparing anatomic single- and double-bundle reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40: 1781-1788 [PMID: 22593091 DOI: 10.1177/03 63546512446928]
- 16 Claes S, Neven E, Callewaert B, Desloovere K, Bellemans J. Tibial rotation in single- and double-bundle ACL reconstruction: a kinematic 3-D in vivo analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011; 19 Suppl 1: S115-S121 [PMID: 21667089 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-011-1568-z]
- 17 Tsuda E, Ishibashi Y, Fukuda A, Tsukada H, Toh S. Comparable results between lateralized single- and double-bundle ACL reconstructions. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2009; 467: 1042-1055 [PMID: 18989731 DOI: 10.1007/s11999-008-0604-x]
- 18 Sasaki S, Tsuda E, Hiraga Y, Yamamoto Y, Maeda S, Sasaki E, Ishibashi Y. Prospective Randomized Study of Objective and Subjective Clinical Results Between Double-Bundle and Single-Bundle Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44: 855-864 [PMID: 26838934 DOI: 10.1177/0363546515624471]
- 19 Shino K, Mae T, Tachibana Y. Anatomic ACL reconstruction: rectangular tunnel/bone-patellar tendon-bone or triple-bundle/ semitendinosus tendon grafting. *J Orthop Sci* 2015; 20: 457-468 [PMID: 25753837 DOI: 10.1007/s00776-015-0705-9]
- 20 **Domnick C**, Raschke MJ, Herbort M. Biomechanics of the anterior cruciate ligament: Physiology, rupture and reconstruction techniques.

World J Orthop 2016; 7: 82-93 [PMID: 26925379 DOI: 10.5312/wjo. v7.i2.82]

- 21 Iliopoulos E, Galanis N, Zafeiridis A, Iosifidis M, Papadopoulos P, Potoupnis M, Geladas N, Vrabas IS, Kirkos J. Anatomic single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction improves walking economy: hamstrings tendon versus patellar tendon grafts. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017; 25: 3155-3162 [PMID: 27371291 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-016-4229-4]
- Suzuki T, Shino K, Nakagawa S, Nakata K, Iwahashi T, Kinugasa K, Otsubo H, Yamashita T. Early integration of a bone plug in the femoral tunnel in rectangular tunnel ACL reconstruction with a bone-patellar tendon-bone graft: a prospective computed tomography analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011; **19** Suppl 1: S29-S35 [PMID: 21431371 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-011-1481-5]
- 23 Petersen W, Laprell H. Insertion of autologous tendon grafts to the bone: a histological and immunohistochemical study of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2000; 8: 26-31 [PMID: 10663316 DOI: 10.1007/s001670050006]
- 24 Yoshiya S, Nagano M, Kurosaka M, Muratsu H, Mizuno K. Graft healing in the bone tunnel in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000; **376**: 278-286 [PMID: 10906885]
- 25 Park MJ, Lee MC, Seong SC. A comparative study of the healing of tendon autograft and tendon-bone autograft using patellar tendon in rabbits. *Int Orthop* 2001; 25: 35-39 [PMID: 11374265 DOI: 10.1007/ s002640000199]
- 26 Driscoll MD, Isabell GP, Conditt MA, Ismaily SK, Jupiter DC, Noble PC, Lowe WR. Comparison of 2 femoral tunnel locations in anatomic single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a biomechanical study. *Arthroscopy* 2012; 28: 1481-1489 [PMID: 22796141 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2012.03.019]
- 27 Herbort M, Tecklenburg K, Zantop T, Raschke MJ, Hoser C, Schulze M, Petersen W, Fink C. Single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a biomechanical cadaveric study of a rectangular quadriceps and bone--patellar tendon--bone graft configuration versus a round hamstring graft. *Arthroscopy* 2013; 29: 1981-1990 [PMID: 24140140 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2013.08.030]
- 28 Scopp JM, Jasper LE, Belkoff SM, Moorman CT. The effect of oblique femoral tunnel placement on rotational constraint of the knee reconstructed using patellar tendon autografts. *Arthroscopy* 2004; 20: 294-299 [PMID: 15007318 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2004.01.001]
- 29 Alentorn-Geli E, Samitier G, Alvarez P, Steinbacher G, Cugat R. Anteromedial portal versus transtibial drilling techniques in ACL reconstruction: a blinded cross-sectional study at two- to five-year follow-up. *Int Orthop* 2010; **34**: 747-754 [PMID: 20401753 DOI: 10.1007/s00264-010-1000-1]
- 30 Sadoghi P, Kröpfl A, Jansson V, Müller PE, Pietschmann MF, Fischmeister MF. Impact of tibial and femoral tunnel position on clinical results after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2011; 27: 355-364 [PMID: 21144694 DOI: 10.1016/ j.arthro.2010.08.015]
- 31 Taketomi S, Inui H, Nakamura K, Yamagami R, Tahara K, Sanada T, Masuda H, Tanaka S, Nakagawa T. Secure fixation of femoral bone plug with a suspensory button in anatomical anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with bone-patellar tendon-bone graft. *Joints* 2015; 3: 102-108 [PMID: 26889465 DOI: 10.11138/jts/2015.3.3.102]
- 32 Giotis D, Zampeli F, Pappas E, Mitsionis G, Papadopoulos P, Georgoulis AD. Effects of knee bracing on tibial rotation during high loading activities in anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed knees. *Arthroscopy* 2013; 29: 1644-1652 [PMID: 23993058 DOI: 10.1016/ j.arthro.2013.07.258]
- 33 Zampeli F, Ntoulia A, Giotis D, Stavros R, Mitsionis G, Pappas E, Georgoulis AD. The PCL index is correlated with the control of rotational kinematics that is achieved after anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; **42**: 665-674 [PMID: 24335494 DOI: 10.1177/0363546513512780]
- 34 Chouteau J, Testa R, Viste A, Moyen B. Knee rotational laxity and proprioceptive function 2 years after partial ACL reconstruction. *Knee* Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2012; 20: 762-766 [PMID: 22258650 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-012-1879-8]
- 35 **Tashiro Y**, Okazaki K, Miura H, Matsuda S, Yasunaga T, Hashizume M, Nakanishi Y, Iwamoto Y. Quantitative assessment of rotatory

instability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2009; **37**: 909-916 [PMID: 19261904 DOI: 10.1177/0363546508 330134]

- 36 Tsuda E, Ishibashi Y, Fukuda A, Yamamoto Y, Tsukada H, Ono S. Tunnel position and relationship to postoperative knee laxity after double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a transtibial technique. *Am J Sports Med* 2010; **38**: 698-706 [PMID: 20139333 DOI: 10.1177/0363546509351561]
- 37 Tashiro Y, Okazaki K, Uemura M, Toyoda K, Osaki K, Matsubara H, Hashizume M, Iwamoto Y. Comparison of transtibial and transportal techniques in drilling femoral tunnels during anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using 3D-CAD models. *Open Access J Sports Med* 2014; 5: 65-72 [PMID: 24744615 DOI: 10.2147/OAJSM.S59297]
- 38 Gavriilidis I, Motsis EK, Pakos EE, Georgoulis AD, Mitsionis G, Xenakis TA. Transtibial versus anteromedial portal of the femoral tunnel in ACL reconstruction: a cadaveric study. *Knee* 2008; 15: 364-367 [PMID: 18583137 DOI: 10.1016/j.knee.2008.05.004]
- 39 Tompkins M, Milewski MD, Brockmeier SF, Gaskin CM, Hart JM, Miller MD. Anatomic femoral tunnel drilling in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: use of an accessory medial portal versus traditional transtibial drilling. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40: 1313-1321 [PMID: 22523370 DOI: 10.1177/0363546512443047]
- 40 Tudisco C, Bisicchia S. Drilling the femoral tunnel during ACL reconstruction: transtibial versus anteromedial portal techniques. Orthopedics 2012; 35: e1166-e1172 [PMID: 22868600 DOI: 10.3928/ 01477447-20120725-14]
- 41 Kopf S, Pombo MW, Shen W, Irrgang JJ, Fu FH. The ability of 3 different approaches to restore the anatomic anteromedial bundle femoral insertion site during anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2011; 27: 200-206 [PMID: 20970948 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2010.07.010]
- 42 **Lubowitz JH**. Anteromedial portal technique for the anterior cruciate ligament femoral socket: pitfalls and solutions. *Arthroscopy* 2009; **25**: 95-101 [PMID: 19111224 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2008.10.012]
- 43 Okazaki K, Miura H, Matsuda S, Yasunaga T, Nakashima H, Konishi K, Iwamoto Y, Hashizume M. Assessment of anterolateral rotatory instability in the anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee using an open magnetic resonance imaging system. *Am J Sports Med* 2007; 35: 1091-1097 [PMID: 17379920 DOI: 10.1177/0363546507299530]
- 44 Izawa T, Okazaki K, Tashiro Y, Matsubara H, Miura H, Matsuda S, Hashizume M, Iwamoto Y. Comparison of rotatory stability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction between single-bundle and double-bundle techniques. *Am J Sports Med* 2011; **39**: 1470-1477 [PMID: 21350065 DOI: 10.1177/0363546510397172]
- 45 Okazaki K, Tashiro Y, Izawa T, Matsuda S, Iwamoto Y. Rotatory laxity evaluation of the knee using modified Slocum's test in open magnetic resonance imaging. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012; 20: 679-685 [PMID: 22210519 DOI: 10.1007/s00167-011-1861-x]
- 46 Bernard M, Hertel P, Hornung H, Cierpinski T. Femoral insertion of the ACL. Radiographic quadrant method. *Am J Knee Surg* 1997; 10: 14-21; discussion 21-22 [PMID: 9051173]
- 47 Stäubli HU, Rauschning W. Tibial attachment area of the anterior cruciate ligament in the extended knee position. Anatomy and cryosections in vitro complemented by magnetic resonance arthrography in vivo. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 1994; 2: 138-146 [PMID: 7584195]
- 48 Slocum DB, James SL, Larson RL, Singer KM. Clinical test for anterolateral rotary instability of the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1976; 118: 63-69 [PMID: 954292]
- 49 Tegner Y, Lysholm J. Rating systems in the evaluation of knee ligament injuries. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1985; 198: 43-49 [PMID: 4028566]
- 50 Roos EM, Lohmander LS. The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 64 [PMID: 14613558 DOI: 10.1186/1477-7525-1-64]
- 51 **Youm YS**, Cho SD, Lee SH, Youn CH. Modified transibial versus anteromedial portal technique in anatomic single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: comparison of femoral tunnel

position and clinical results. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; **42**: 2941-2947 [PMID: 25269655 DOI: 10.1177/0363546514551922]

- 52 Musahl V. A Modified Transtibial Technique Was Similar to an Anteromedial Portal Technique for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2015; 97: 1373 [PMID: 26290090 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.9716.ebo102]
- 53 Bowers AL, Bedi A, Lipman JD, Potter HG, Rodeo SA, Pearle AD, Warren RF, Altchek DW. Comparison of anterior cruciate ligament tunnel position and graft obliquity with transtibial and anteromedial portal femoral tunnel reaming techniques using high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthroscopy* 2011; 27: 1511-1522 [PMID: 21963097 DOI: 10.1016/j.arthro.2011.07.007]
- 54 Illingworth KD, Hensler D, Working ZM, Macalena JA, Tashman S, Fu FH. A simple evaluation of anterior cruciate ligament femoral tunnel position: the inclination angle and femoral tunnel angle. *Am J Sports Med* 2011; **39**: 2611-2618 [PMID: 21908719 DOI: 10.1177/03 63546511420128]
- 55 Tan SH, Lau BP, Khin LW, Lingaraj K. The Importance of Patient Sex in the Outcomes of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstructions: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44: 242-254 [PMID: 25802119 DOI: 10.1177/0363546515573008]
- 56 Ahn JH, Lee SH. Risk factors for knee instability after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016; 24: 2936-2942 [PMID: 25786822 DOI: 10.1007/ s00167-015-3568-x]
- 57 Pullen WM, Bryant B, Gaskill T, Sicignano N, Evans AM, DeMaio M. Predictors of Revision Surgery After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44: 3140-3145 [PMID: 27519675 DOI: 10.1177/0363546516660062]
- 58 Yabroudi MA, Björnsson H, Lynch AD, Muller B, Samuelsson K, Tarabichi M, Karlsson J, Fu FH, Harner CD, Irrgang JJ. Predictors of Revision Surgery After Primary Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Orthop J Sports Med 2016; 4: 2325967116666039 [PMID: 27734019 DOI: 10.1177/2325967116666039]

P- Reviewer: Jiao C, Luo XH S- Editor: Ji FF L- Editor: A E- Editor: Lu YJ







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com

