#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Functional and DNA–protein binding studies of WRKY transcription factors and their expression analysis in response to biotic and abiotic stress in wheat (***Triticum aestivum* **L.)**

**Lopamudra Satapathy<sup>1</sup> · Dhananjay Kumar1 · Manish Kumar1 · Kunal Mukhopadhyay[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2213-8134)**

Received: 26 September 2017 / Accepted: 21 December 2017 / Published online: 29 December 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2017

#### **Abstract**

WRKY, a plant-specifc transcription factor family, plays vital roles in pathogen defense, abiotic stress, and phytohormone signalling. Little is known about the roles and function of WRKY transcription factors in response to rust diseases in wheat. In the present study, three *TaWRKY* genes encoding complete protein sequences were cloned. They belonged to class II and III WRKY based on the number of WRKY domains and the pattern of zinc fnger structures. Twenty-two DNA–protein binding docking complexes predicted stable interactions of WRKY domain with W-box. Quantitative real-time-PCR using wheat near-isogenic lines with or without *Lr28* gene revealed differential up- or down-regulation in response to biotic and abiotic stress treatments which could be responsible for their functional divergence in wheat. *TaWRKY62* was found to be induced upon treatment with JA, MJ, and SA and reduced after ABA treatments. Maximum induction of six out of seven genes occurred at 48 h post inoculation due to pathogen inoculation. Hence, *TaWRKY* (49, *50*, *52*, *55*, *57,* and *62*) can be considered as potential candidate genes for further functional validation as well as for crop improvement programs for stress resistance. The results of the present study will enhance knowledge towards understanding the molecular basis of mode of action of WRKY transcription factor genes in wheat and their role during leaf rust pathogenesis in particular.

**Keywords** Wheat · Transcription factor · WRKY · Leaf rust · Abiotic stress

#### **Abbreviations**



triggered immunity

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-1064-3\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-1064-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Kunal Mukhopadhyay kmukhopadhyay@bitmesra.ac.in; mkunalus@yahoo.com

 $1$  Department of Bio-Engineering, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, Jharkhand 835215, India



# **Introduction**

The WRKY (single amino acid letters that code for tryptophan, arginine, lysine and tyrosine) transcription factors (TFs) belong to a large superfamily WRKY-GCM1 TFs (Babu et al. [2006](#page-15-0); Wei et al. [2012](#page-17-0)). Members of this family contain approximately 60 amino acids comprising at least one conserved DNA-binding region, designated the WRKY domain that consists of the highly conserved WRKYGQK heptapeptide sequence and a zinc fnger-like motif. Based on the number of WRKY domains and the type of zinc fngerlike motif, WRKY TFs have been classifed into three classes (Eulgem et al. [2000\)](#page-16-0). WRKY proteins with two WRKY domains were classifed as class I. Class II WRKY is marked by the presence of one WRKY domain with  $C_2H_2$  type zincfnger structure. This class is further divided into subclasses a–e based upon additional amino acid motifs present outside the WRKY domain. The class III WRKY proteins also



carry a single domain but difer from class I and II in its altered C<sub>2</sub>HC zinc finger motif  $(C-X_7-C-X_{23}-H-X-C)$ (Ulker and Somssich [2004\)](#page-17-1). The WRKY zinc fnger motif (C–X*m*–C–X*n*–H–X–H/C), in class I and subclass IIc members had an m value of four while n ranged from 21 to 23. The subclass IIa, IIb, IId and IIe proteins had an *m* value of fve and *n* value of either 23 or 24. The subclass IIIa had an altered motif of  $C-X_7-C-X_{23}-H-X-C$ . The motif in the subclass IIIb proteins are rather variable, with the value of m ranging from six to nine, and *n* ranged from 23 to 28 (Zhu et al. [2013](#page-17-2); Pan and Jiang [2014](#page-16-1)). WRKY factors have a stereotypic binding preference to a DNA element termed the W-box that comprises of sequences 5′TTGACC/T3′. The binding of WRKY proteins to their W-boxes is a characteristic feature involving biotic and abiotic stress responses. Several studies have revealed crucial roles of WRKY TFs in several biotic as well as diferent types of abiotic stresses (Okay et al. [2014;](#page-16-2) Satapathy et al. [2014](#page-17-3); Kayum et al. [2015](#page-16-3); Ding et al. [2016;](#page-16-4) He et al. [2016](#page-16-5)).

Plants have developed diverse defense mechanisms to fght infections and to combat diseases (Glazebrook and Ton [2007](#page-16-6)). The plant innate immunity is mainly triggered against any pathogen attack and a response is generated in the form of either PTI [pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity] or ETI (effector-triggered immunity), driven by plant disease resistance proteins (Chisholm et al. [2006\)](#page-16-7). Both PTI and ETI can generate local as well as systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Durrant and Dong [2004](#page-16-8)). Host resistance is governed by hypersensitive response (HR) at the site of invasion involving genetically controlled cell death, hence ofering resistance by isolating the pathogen infested cells from rest of undamaged host tissue. The defense response is a complex signalling network which may be triggered by defense signalling molecules. Also, an extensive and complex transcriptional reprogramming generates responses leading towards activation and repression of numerous defense-related genes and TFs like WRKY (Eulgem and Somssich [2007;](#page-16-9) Pandey and Somssich [2009;](#page-16-10) Choura et al. [2015](#page-16-11)). WRKY TFs act as a key regulator of pathogen-triggered alterations in gene expression and are governed by MAPKs (Kuhn et al. [2016](#page-16-12)). WRKY TFs can act as both activators and repressors, before or after hormone (SA/JA/ET) signalling pathways. Many *WRKY* genes have been extensively identifed and characterised in several plant species including the model plant *Arabidopsis* (Eulgem et al. [2000](#page-16-0)), rice (Wu et al. [2005\)](#page-17-4), *Brachypodium distachyon* (Tripathi et al. [2012\)](#page-17-5), physic nut (Xiong et al. [2013\)](#page-17-6) and cotton (Ding et al. [2015](#page-16-13)). To date, 74 WRKY members have been identifed in *Arabidopsis* genome (Eulgem et al. [2000](#page-16-0)), 102 in rice (Wu et al. [2005](#page-17-4)), 55 in cucumber (Ling et al. [2011](#page-16-14)) and 105 in poplar (He et al. [2012](#page-16-15)). It has been proposed that majority of *WRKY* gene belonging to class I and II emerged before the divergence of monocot and dicot plants, whereas group III genes appeared relatively later (Wu et al. [2005](#page-17-4)). High levels of microsynteny of WRKY class I DNA sequences among four Gramineae plants *B*. *distachyon*, *Oryza sativa*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Zea mays* revealed the origin, evolution and gene duplication events (Jin et al. [2016\)](#page-16-16).

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) provides one-ffth of food calories and proteins to the world population (FAO [2016\)](#page-16-17) and its demand is expected to rise by 60% in the developing countries by 2050 (Rosegrant and Agcaoili [2010\)](#page-17-7). The large allohexaploid  $(2n = 6x = 42)$  bread wheat genome (~ 16.94 Gb) comprising of three homoeologous A, B and D sub-genomes that originated from related progenitor species, provide signifcant challenges for molecular and functional genomics-based improvement of wheat (Choulet et al. [2010\)](#page-16-18). The rust diseases have often threatened wheat production worldwide (McIntosh and Pretorius [2011\)](#page-16-19) and mainly leaf rust, caused by *Puccinia triticina* Eriks., is recognized as a devastating pathogen, accounting for  $\sim 10\%$  yield loss annually (Dean et al. [2012\)](#page-16-20). The obligate parasitic biotrophic lifestyle of rust fungi establishes a long-term feeding relationship with living host cells by minimizing damage to host tissue. Biotrophic pathogens avoid or suppress plant defense response and take control of host cell organization and metabolism. After penetration of cell wall of living host cells, a specialized structure haustorium is formed that does not breach, but invaginates the host plasma membrane. The pathogen derives nutrients from the living host cell through haustoria and secretes 'effectors' and other signalling molecules that mask and manipulate the host immune response (Koeck et al. [2011](#page-16-21)).

The WRKY TFs have also been studied in wheat with respect to phylogeny, biotic and abiotic stresses (Jiang et al. [2017](#page-16-22)). Functional analysis of wheat *WRKY1* gene exposed to simulated drought and ABA stress condition signifcantly up-regulated the gene *TaWRKY1* that mediates stomata movement and leaf water retention capacity (Ding et al. [2016](#page-16-4)). Ectopic over-expression of the gene in tobacco along with knockdown of an ABA receptor gene suggested stomatal movement-mediated water regulation activity of TaW-RKY1. Forty-eight putative drought-induced *WRKY* genes were identifed from de novo transcriptome sequencing of wheat plants subjected to or without drought stress (He et al. [2016](#page-16-5)). Of these 48 genes, two genes (*TaWRKY1* and *TaW-RKY33*) were found to respond to multiple abiotic stresses. Overexpression of these genes in *Arabidopsis* activated several stress-related downstream genes. Okay et al. ([2014\)](#page-16-2) identifed 160 WRKY proteins using in silico approaches; of them 10 WRKY TFs were found to be drought responsive as studied from an RNA-Seq dataset. Seven out of 10 of these *TaWRKY* genes showed diferential relative expression in leaf and root tissues of drought-tolerant and -susceptible wheat cultivars. In one of our earlier studies, *TaWRKY1B*

was functionally characterised (Kumar et al. [2014](#page-16-23)). Infection with a virulent race of leaf rust pathogen to wheat nearisogenic lines (NILs) carrying *Lr28* (resistant) or without *Lr28* (susceptible), resulted in 146 and 12-fold increase, respectively, of the *TaWRKY1B* gene expression indicating a protective role of the gene. In another previous study, 100 WRKY TFs were identifed from wheat transcriptomes of which 45 were already known, and the rest 55 were novel (Satapathy et al. [2014\)](#page-17-3). Of the novel *TaWRKY* genes, 22 were complete, whereas 17 were devoid of initiation/termination codons and 16 lacked the zinc-fnger motif, which is essential for binding to W-box. Fifteen of these complete *TaWRKY* genes belonged to class II, whereas seven belonged to class III WRKY TF.

The present study was undertaken with the aim to characterize some of the *WRKY* genes identifed in our earlier study (Satapathy et al. [2014](#page-17-3)) both at the molecular and functional level and study their response to induced biotic and abiotic stresses. Here, we describe the alterations of *WRKY* gene expression in wheat NILs in response to leaf rust as well as to several abiotic stress. A comprehensive network analysis of the selected *WRKY* genes revealed their functional partners.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **RNA isolation and cDNA conversion**

The wheat HD2329 line containing seedling leaf rust resistant gene *Lr28* was used to extract RNA for gene characterization studies. Seeds were germinated and grown in a greenhouse at BIT Mesra maintained at optimal conditions (22 °C, 16 h light at 300 lx; and 8 h of darkness). RNA was isolated from 12-day-old whole wheat plants using TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc., USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. The integrity of the isolated RNAs was confrmed by electrophoresis on denaturing formaldehyde-1.2% agarose gel. The isolated RNA was treated with 1 unit of deoxyribonuclease I (Thermo Scientifc, Lithuania) at 37 °C for 30 min. RNA quantifcation was performed on a BioPhotometer (Eppendorf AG, Germany). 2 µg of total RNA was used for the frst-strand cDNA synthesis using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions.

# **Primer designing, molecular cloning and sequencing**

Primers were designed using Primer Express 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems, USA) and checked for specifcity by Primer Blast using sequences of *T*. *aestivum* WRKY TFs (TaWRKY56, TaWRKY60 and TaWRKY62) whose

complete coding sequences are available at NCBI [\(http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)). DNA amplifcation reactions were assembled in 20 µl containing 100 ng of cDNA as template, 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Fermentas GmbH, Germany), and 10 pmol of forward and reverse primers each (Online Resource 1). DNA amplifcations were performed at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing for TaWRKY56 and TaWRKY60 at 60 °C and TaWRKY62 at 50 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a fnal extension at 72 °C for 30 min. The amplifed products were gel purifed, cloned in pTZ57R/T (Thermo Scientifc, Lithuania) via T/A cloning and plasmids from fve independent clones of each transformation were sequenced from both ends using M13 primers. The phylogenetic tree was constructed for TaWRKY proteins using UPGMA hierarchical clustering method and Poisson model was selected. Bootstrap values were calculated from 1000 iterations and based on the phylogenetic tree, representative WRKY domain proteins were classifed.

#### **Networking of proteins from cloned** *WRKY* **genes**

A functional interacting network of proteins was performed for the in silico translated protein sequences obtained from cloned *TaWRKY56*, *60* and *62* genes using STRING 10 soft-ware (Franceschini et al. [2013\)](#page-16-24) with a confidence value of 0.15. Since specifc functions of these wheat *WRKY* genes are not yet assigned and are also not available in STRING database, the networking was performed with structural analogous sequences of *Arabidopsis thaliana WRKY* genes.

## **Estimation of synonymous and non‑synonymous substitution rates**

Synonymous  $(K_s)$  and non-synonymous  $(K_a)$  substitution rates were calculated for orthologous genes by the PAL-2NAL server [\(http://www.bork.embl.de/pal2nal/\)](http://www.bork.embl.de/pal2nal/) and period of divergence was calculated using the equation  $T = K_s/$  $(2 \times 9.1 \times 10^{-9}) \times 10^{-6}$  million years ago (Mya) (Wang et al. [2015a\)](#page-17-8).

## **Homology modelling of TaWRKY proteins**

The 22 newly identifed TaWRKY TF protein sequences in our earlier study (Satapathy et al. [2014\)](#page-17-3) were used for protein modelling. The amino acid sequences were retrieved in fasta format. The total length of 22 TaWRKY proteins is listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The initial 3D model of WRKY domain of *T. aestivum* was constructed by MODWEB ([https://modbase.](https://modbase.compbio.ucsf.edu/modweb/) [compbio.ucsf.edu/modweb/\)](https://modbase.compbio.ucsf.edu/modweb/) using the alignment between WRKY domain and the template protein. Modweb is used for homology or comparative modelling of protein threedimensional structure that accepts one or more sequences





dependent statistical score dependent statistical score

The more negative values indicate better models The more negative values indicate better models

ي مدينة الملك عبدالعزيز<br>المعلوم والتقنية KACST

<span id="page-3-0"></span> $\sqrt{}$ 

**Table 1** Detailed information on 22 modelled TaWRKY proteins

Table 1 Detailed information on 22 modelled TaWRKY proteins

to calculate models based on the best available template structure at protein data bank (PDB). The templates used along with PDB IDs for each of the 22 TaWRKY proteins are listed in Table [1](#page-3-0). It was observed that in 17 TaWRKY proteins (TaWRKY46- 48, TaWRKY53-65 and 67) the template used was *Arabidopsis* WRKY with PDB ID-2ayd; TaWRKY49 and TaWRKY52 used templates with PDB ID-2dic; TaWRKY51 and 66 proteins matched with templates of PDB ID-iwj2, whereas TaWRKY50 matched with template of PDB ID-4ke2. The Discrete Optimized Protein Energy (DOPE) score was considered in selecting the most reliable model.

## **Validation of the models**

Each model was validated using the Structural Analysis and Verifcation Server [\(http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/\)](http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) (Cheatham et al. [1995](#page-15-1)). Stereochemical quality and accuracy of the new modelled structure (model3.pdb) were evaluated with PROCHECK (Laskowski et al. [1993](#page-16-25)) by Ramachandran plot analysis. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) between the backbone atoms of TaWRKY proteins and those of the template was calculated and stereochemical qualities of modelled protein structures were checked by inspection of the Psi/Phi Ramachandran plot using PROCHECK. The compatibility of the model with its sequence was measured by verify-3D graph (Eisenberg et al. [1997](#page-16-26)).

## **Modelling of DNA**

DNA model for 26 nucleotide sequences with W-box (CTG ACC) at the center was prepared using 3D DART web server (<http://haddock.chem.uu.nl/dna>) available at HADDOCK software web portal (de Vries et al. [2007\)](#page-16-27) to get diferent conformations of DNA structure. While modelling DNA structure, HADDOCK formatting options at 3D DART were used to obtain a DNA model acceptable at HADDOCK web server for docking. The nucleic acid type and conformation was selected as DNA-duplex and canonical B-form helix, respectively. Parameters set were as follows-the number of repeats 1 and a bend angle of 60°.

#### **Docking of TaWRKY proteins with DNA**

The modelled protein and DNA was docked using HAD-DOCK server's Easy Interface (de Vries et al. [2007](#page-16-27)). Intrinsic fexibility of DNA is a major problem which has hampered the development of efficient protein-DNA docking methods. But HADDOCK server has developed protocols for fexible protein-DNA docking. The protein and DNA PDB fles were uploaded, active residues for both were mentioned and passive residues were automatically defned around the active residues. The protocol comprised of two sequential docking runs: in the semi-fexible refnement state of frst docking run, DNA fexibility is allowed for all nucleotides and the residues of the protein at the predicted interface. The resulting solutions are analysed and subsequently used to generate a library of pre-bent and twisted DNA structures that serve as input for the second docking round. The docked structures were visualized using DIS-COVERY STUDIO 4.1 (Accelrys Software Inc. 2011).

## **Plant material, pathogen inoculation and sample collection**

A pair of wheat NILs involving seedling leaf rust resistant *Lr28* gene in the background of wheat cultivar HD2329, and thus included HD2329 with *Lr28* gene [resistant (Nest Immune infection type: 0–0;)] and HD2329 (susceptible, infection type  $+ 3$ ). The leaf rust resistance gene *Lr28* is derived from *Aegilops speltoides* (Tauschii) and was found to be efective against all pathotypes of the leaf rust pathogen in India (Bipinraj et al. [2011\)](#page-15-2). It is located on long arm of wheat chromosome 4AL. This gene has no undesirable linkage drag and is associated with improved yield and bread-making quality of wheat. Seeds were germinated on autoclaved composite soil (peat, sand, soil, 1:1:1), grown to single leaf stage (approximately 7 days after germination) in growth chamber under ideal conditions of temperature (22 °C), relative humidity (80%), and light periods (16 h day with 300 lx light; 8 h dark periods) at National Phytotron Facility, IARI, New Delhi, India. *Puccinia triticina* pathotype 77–5 was selected as experimental leaf rust pathogen and its inoculum was obtained from the Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Regional Station, Flowerdale, Shimla. The *P. triticina* pathotype 77–5 is the most prominent and devastating race in all parts of the Indian subcontinent, therefore used as a preferred pathogen in this study. The seedling avirulence/virulence formula of this race is P *Lr9*, *Lr18*, *Lr19, Lr24, Lr25, Lr28, Lr29, Lr32, Lr41, Lr45/p Lr1, Lr2, Lr3, Lr10, Lr11, Lr12, Lr13, Lr14, Lr15, Lr16, Lr17, Lr18, Lr20, Lr22, Lr23, Lr26, Lr27? Lr31, Lr33, Lr34, Lr36, Lr37, Lr42, Lr43, Lr44, Lr46, Lr48, Lr49* (Kaur et al. [2008](#page-16-28)).

The pathogen inoculum was prepared by mixing urediniospores of *P. triticina* pathotype 77–5 and talcum powder (ratio 1:1) and applied gently on open moist leaves of HD2329 + *Lr28* and HD2329 plants. Separate sets of plants were also mock inoculated with only talcum powder and used as a control. After inoculation, moisting of the growth chamber was performed and plants were placed under a high humidity of 90% for 24 h post inoculation (hpi) in the dark to facilitate infection and later transferred to the normal growth conditions as mentioned earlier. Leaf samples from fve plants of each treatment were collected at 0 (just before inoculation), 12, 24, 48, 72 and 168 hpi, immediately dipped



in liquid nitrogen and RNA was isolated from individual leaves using TRI-REAGENT.

#### **Abiotic stress treatments**

HD2329 + *Lr28* seeds were aseptically germinated on Murashige and Skoog ([1962\)](#page-16-29) (MS) agar medium in a plant tissue culture room under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod at 23 °C. Stress treatments were given 14 days post germination. Five ml each of 5 µM abscisic acid (ABA), 5 mM methyl jasmonate (MJ), 5 mM Salicylic acid (SA) and 1 mM Jasmonic acid (JA) was sprayed on fully opened leaves. Leaf samples from fve plants of each treatment were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h post phytohormone treatment, immediately dipped in liquid nitrogen and RNA isolation was undertaken from each leaf separately using TRI-REAGENT.

## **Selection of target defense genes and endogenous control**

Seven *WRKY* genes (*TaWRKY49*, *TaWRKY50*, *TaWRKY52*, *TaWRKY53*, *TaWRKY55*, *TaWRKY57* and TaWRKY62) identifed during our earlier study (Satapathy et al. [2014\)](#page-17-3) were selected for expression profling (Online Resource 2). Wheat Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) gene was selected as an endogenous control to normalize differences in input RNAs and check efficiencies of reverse transcription among the various samples.

# **Designing gene‑specifc probes and primers for qRT‑PCR studies**

Full-length mRNA coding sequences for each selected wheat *WRKY* gene was downloaded from NCBI ([http://www.ncbi](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) [.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) and entered in the Universal Probe Library (UPL) assay design centre [\(http://www.universalprobelibrar](http://www.universalprobelibrary.com) [y.com\)](http://www.universalprobelibrary.com) to design 9 nucleotides long Locked Nucleic Acid (LNA) based short hydrolysis probes as well as forward and reverse primers (Online Resource 2). The probes and primers were obtained from Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany.

## **qRT‑PCR reaction conditions for probe‑based Real‑time PCR**

The qRT-PCR of the selected wheat *WRKY* genes was performed for all the samples collected after pathogen inoculation and phytohormone treatment at designated time-points. Complementary DNAs from all the samples were synthesized using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions and were quantifed on a BioPhotometer (Eppendorf



AG, Germany). The qRT-PCR experiments were performed according to Singh et al. ([2012](#page-17-9)) and Kumar et al. ([2014](#page-16-23)). All qRT-PCR experiments were performed using the genespecifc combination of each probe and primer pairs at an optimized concentration (Online Resource 2). The samples were run with three technical and three biological replicates to minimize variations. Instrument operation, data acquisition and processing were performed employing the Sequence Detection System v1.2.2 software (Applied Biosystems, USA). Fluorescence signals were collected at each polymerization step and a threshold constant  $(C<sub>T</sub>)$  value was calculated from the amplifcation curve by selecting the optimal  $\Delta Rn$  in the exponential region of the amplification plot (Paolacci et al. [2009\)](#page-17-10). Gene expression levels were computed relative to the expression of the reference gene *GAPDH* at same time points using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. To emphasize the signifcant diference between resistant (HD2329 + *Lr28*) mock *vs* infected interaction and resistant (HD2329 + *Lr28*) infected *vs* susceptible (HD2329) infected interaction *t* test was performed for all the selected genes.

#### **Annotation of orthologous clusters**

OrthoVenn clustering was performed to identify wheat *WRKY* gene clusters enriched in five plant species including *B*. *distachyon*, *O*. *sativa*, *S. bicolor*, *A. thaliana* and *Z. mays.* Pairwise sequence similarities between all input protein sequences were calculated with an *E* value cut-of of 1e−5. An infation value (−*I*) of 1.5 was used to defne orthologous cluster structure (Wang et al. [2015b](#page-17-11)).

# **Results**

## **Cloning and analysis of cloned sequences**

The cloned amplifed products were of 655, 599 and 600 bp for TaWRKY56, TaWRKY60 and TaWRKY62, respectively (Online Resource 3 and 4). The VecScreen program at NCBI was used to remove the vector sequences. The forward and reverse-complement orientations were compared to assemble the best possible contigs and analysed using sequence analysis software Sequencher 5.1 (Gene Codes Corporation, MI, USA). The parameters used for contig assembly were Minimum Match Percentage of 99% and Minimum Overlap of 20 bases. The obtained sequences were compared with sequences available at GenBank using BLASTN. BLASTX was also performed to detect conserved domains (Online Resource 5). All three WRKY proteins showed deviations in amino acid compositions of the conserved WRKY domain. KT865877 and KT865878 contain WRKYGKK domain, whereas KT865879 contain WRKYGEK domain. The cloned cDNA sequences for TaWRKY56, 60 and 62 were submitted to NCBI with Accession IDs-KT865877, KT865878 and KT865879, respectively.

Phylogenetic tree of the cloned sequences along with the other newly identifed 19 TaWRKY proteins was prepared following UPGMA using MEGA5 software, depicted that KT865877 and KT865878 belong to class IIc and KT865879 belong to class IIIb of the WRKY proteins (Fig. [1\)](#page-6-0). The phylogenetic tree comprised of six clades. The major classes found were class IIc, IId, IIIa and IIIb.

#### **Protein–protein networking**

In order to predict functional units that comprised of proteins encoded by diferent genes, direct and indirect interactions between TaWRKY56, 60 and 62 proteins were derived using search tools in STRING functional association databases (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)a). Gene annotations for *TaWRKY56* and *60* genes showed their involvement in stilbenoid, diarylheptanoid, gingerol and secondary metabolite biosynthesis pathways as well as limonene and pinene degradation pathways. Eleven nodes were obtained with 30 edges and clustering coefficient of 0.856 was obtained indicating signifcant interactions in the case of TaWRKY56 and 60. Both proteins showed similarity with *A. thaliana* WRKY50 hence, networking analysis predicted functional partners such as ATG10585 (bHLH protein); AT5G32460 (TF B3 family protein); MYB19 (MYB domain); NFYB10 (component of NF-Y/HAP TF complex); NAC044 (NAC domain protein); WRKY51 (WRKY DNA binding domain [5′-(T)TGAC(CT)-3′]; NTMI (NAC with transmembrane motif 1); AT3G48640 (uncharacterized protein); bHLH92 (TF bHLH contains 247 amino acids) and



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Phylogenetic tree constructed from deduced amino acid sequences of cloned *TaWRKY* genes along with 19 newly identifed WRKY proteins using MEGA5.2 software

PAD3cytP45071B15 (multifunctional enzyme involved in biosynthesis of indole derived phytoalexin camalexin). Biological processes include systemic acquired resistance, SA-mediated signalling pathway, MAPK cascade, regulation of defense response and hypersensitive response, amino acid transport and cytokinin-activated signalling pathway. Molecular function includes nucleic acid binding activity and catalytic activity. Cellular components showed localizations in the nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, intracellular membrane-bounded organelle.

Similarly, for wheat WRKY62, networking was performed using *A. thaliana* WRKY55 protein. Eleven nodes with 27 edges and clustering coefficient of 0.84 were obtained indicating high signifcant interactions in TaWRKY62 (Fig. [2b](#page-7-0)). Functional partners predicted were ATG511400 (protein kinase family protein); ATG4G16162 (leucine rich repeat [LRR] family protein of 176 amino acids); PEPR1 and PEPR2 (PEP1 receptor 1 and 2 acts as receptor for PEP defense peptides, respectively); PROPEP3 (elicitor peptide 3 an elicitor of plant defense); PROPEP7 (elicitor peptide 7, an elicitor of plant defense); WAKL10 (WALL associated kinase Ser/ Thr protein kinase that may function as signalling receptor); ATG5G56960 (bHLH TF); CRK23 [cysteine-rich (receptor like kinase) RLK]; AT4G28460 (uncharacterized protein). TaWRKY62 showed a response to JA, wounding, defense, stress, transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signalling pathway, cellular response to hormone stimulus, signal transduction. Molecular function includes cyclase activity, transmembrane receptor protein serine/threonine kinase activity, phosphotransferase activity. Cellular component displayed localization in the intracellular membrane-bounded organelle, plasma membrane.

## **Synonymous and non‑synonymous substitution rate analysis**

In order to understand the evolutionary constraints acting on wheat *WRKY* gene family,  $K_a/K_s$  ratio was determined for the three cloned *TaWRKY* gene orthologs (Table [2](#page-8-0)). In general,  $K_a/K_s$  ratio of 1 indicates that the genes are drifting neutrally,  $K_a/K_s > 1$  indicates accelerated evolution with positive selection, whereas  $K_a/K_s < 1$  indicates functional constraint with a negative or purifying selection of genes. All the  $K_a/K_s$  ratios from three *TaWRKY* orthologous pairs were less than 1. Hence, these genes have been subjected to strong purifying selection and they are slowly evolving at the protein level.

## **Homology modelling of TaWRKY proteins and validation of the models**

The fnal modelled structure for all novel TaWRKY proteins considered for the study was visualized using the





associations are meant to be specific and meaningful, i.e. proteins jointly contribute to a shared function; this does not necessarily mean they are physically binding each other.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** STRING analysis for **a** TaWRKY56 and TaWRKY60 proteins **b** TaWRKY62 protein. Line colour indicates the type of interactions; line thickness indicates the strength of data support; line shape indicates the predicted mode of action; network nodes represent proteins. Each node represents all proteins produced by a single protein-

€

experimentally determined

encoding gene locus. Coloured nodes represent query proteins of frst shell of interactors. White nodes represent second shell of interactors. Empty nodes represent proteins of unknown 3D structure. Filled nodes represent some 3D structure is known or predicted. Edges represent protein–protein associations

co-expression

protein homology

gene fusions

f

gene co-occurrence



Sl. no.	<i>TaWRKY</i> genes	$K_{\circ}$	$K_{\rm g}/K_{\rm g}$	Time of divergence (Mya)
	TaWRKY56	0.3037	0.188	16.68
2	TaWRKY60	37.2730	0.0089	2047.96
	TaWRKY62	0.7280	0.1274	40.00

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 2** Determination of synonymous  $(K_s)$  substitution rates and divergence time

*Mya* million years ago

Discovery Studio 4.1 software (Online Resource 6). Modelled structures contained four antiparallel β sheets and three random coil structures except for TaWRKY50 protein which has only three coiled structures.

The protein structures obtained were validated by Ramachandran's Plot in SAVES Server (Fig. [3a](#page-8-1) and Online Resource 7). The Ramachandran plots display the phi–psi torsion angles for all residues in the structures. Glycine residues are separately identifed by triangles as they are not restricted to the regions. Darkest areas correspond to the "core" regions representing the most favourable combination of phi–psi values. The percentage of residues in the "core" regions is one of the best guides to stereo-chemical quality. In our study, more than 90% of residues of novel WRKY proteins were in the core region except TaWRKY51 and 62. The model selected was the best one having maximum core region and less disallowed region with minimum energy. The superimposition of TaWRKY protein with its corresponding template provided RMSD values (Table [3](#page-9-0)) along with Ramachandran plot statistics for the 22 TaWRKY proteins.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 3 a** Ramachandran plot for TaWRKY46 protein as visualized by SAVES software. **b** Docked model of TaWRKY46 for protein–DNA interaction using HADDOCK server



The modelled structures were crosschecked and evaluated with diferent online servers. The stereochemical quality and accuracy of newly modelled structure (model3.pdb) were evaluated with PROCHECK. The chosen model was again analysed by VERIFY 3D, which analyzes the compatibility of an atomic model (3D) with its own amino acid sequence (1D). Percentage of the residues that had an average 3D–1D score > 0.2 in VERIFY 3D (Online Resource 8).

#### **DNA modelling**

Twenty-six nucleotide sequences that include various W-Box variants and expected to bind to the conserved WRKY domain were selected for DNA modelling by 3D-DART (Online Resource 9). DNA model was visualized through the Discovery Studio software (Online Resource 10). Diferent conformations of DNA structures were obtained of which the most stable form was used for docking. 3D-structural models of DNA are required to serve as templates for homology modelling, as starting structures for macro-molecular docking or as a scafold for NMR structure calculations (Table [4\)](#page-9-1).

#### **DNA–protein interaction study**

The optimized model for protein and DNA were docked to illustrate the interaction between W-Box and WRKY domain using HADDOCK Easy Interface (Fig. [3](#page-8-1)b and Online Resource 11). The values obtained for different parameters are within the acceptable range as described at HADDOCK web server which performed the docking process keeping in consideration the highly flexible nature of DNA molecule and suitable protocols were

#### <span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 4** Docking results of TaWRKY46

Parameters	Value
<b>HADDOCK</b> score	$-126.8 \pm 1.2$
Cluster size	111
RMSD from the overall lowest-energy structure	$1.3 + 0.8$
Van der Waals energy	$-50.2 + 3.6$
Electrostatic energy	$-499.6 \pm 32.0$
Desolvation energy	$23.0 \pm 4.5$
Restraints violation energy	$3.4 \pm 1.36$
Buried surface area	$1210.6 + 48.2$
Z score	$-1.5$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 3** *Z*-score RMS and Ramachandran plot statistics for 22 modelled TaWRKY proteins





chosen for the process accordingly (Table [4](#page-9-1) and Online Resource 12). The process of docking resulted in different docked clusters that were ranked in order of their stability. According to HADDOCK, the top cluster is the most reliable. Its *Z*-score estimates the number of times the standard deviations are from the average of the cluster is located in terms of the score (the more negative the better).

# **Expression analysis of** *TaWRKY* **genes in response to leaf rust pathogenesis**

The expression of six *TaWRKY* genes (*TaWRKY49*, *50*, *52*, *55*, *57* and *62*) used for qRT-PCR study was induced at 48 hpi in the resistant NIL showing 7- to 1481-fold increase in transcript abundance; thereafter expression declined rapidly (Fig. [4a](#page-12-0)–c, e–g). In the susceptible counterpart, transcripts (Ta*WRKY49* and *55*) and (*TaWRKY52*, *57* and *62*) showed maximum up-regulation at 48 and 168 hpi, respectively. Another transcript (*TaWRKY50*) showed up-regulation of the corresponding gene after pathogen inoculation in the resistant NIL compared with the susceptible NIL, and its expression gradually increased from 48 hpi, peaked at 72 hpi and declined at 168 hpi. Similarly, transcript (*TaWRKY53*) showed up-regulation after leaf rust inoculation in the resistant NIL compared with the susceptible NIL, and its expression peaked at 12 hpi and declined at 24 hpi. *TaWRKY62* showed up-regulation at 24 and 72 hpi in case of susceptible and resistant counterparts. Only one transcript (*TaWRKY53*) was not induced upon pathogen inoculation and hence exhibited more or less generalized expression, which is apparently not associated with the pathogenesis (Fig. [4](#page-12-0)d). Clearly, seven transcripts (Ta*WRKY49*, *50*, *51*, *52*, *55, 57* and *62*) are responsive to the virulent pathogen in the resistant NIL. The temporal expression at diferent time points during compatible and incompatible interactions in susceptible and resistant NILs after inoculation also generated diferential expression patterns. The details of maximum expression for each of the six target genes are presented in Table [5](#page-13-0).  $\Delta C_T$ (mean ± SD values) of each *TaWRKY* genes in susceptible and resistant plants (mock and pathogen-inoculated) are presented in Online Resource 13. The *t* values of targeted genes at the point of maximum expression in selected plants are summarized in Online Resource 14 where critical *t* value is 4.604 at a confdence level of *P* < 0.01. The obtained *t* values showed a signifcant diference from a range of critical *t* value, indicating a signifcant diference in expression of the targeted genes as defense response at the point of maximum expression in selected plants.

#### **Expression analysis in response to abiotic stress**

As wheat plants manifest many abiotic stress tolerance characteristics, we studied the expression pattern of *TaWRKY62* gene during JA, MJ, SA and ABA treatments (Fig. [5\)](#page-13-1). *TaW-RKY62* showed maximum expression at 4 h post treatment with JA and SA phytohormones, while 2 h post treatment with the phytohormone MJ (Fig.  $5a-c$  $5a-c$ ). Whereas, the gene was down-regulated up on treatment with ABA (Fig. [5](#page-13-1)d). It was observed that expression gradually declined at 8, 12 and 24 h post phytohormone treatment with JA and SA treatments. In case of MJ treatment, expression declined at 4, 8, 12 and 24 h (Fig. [5a](#page-13-1)–c).  $\Delta C_T$  (mean  $\pm$  SD values) of *TaW*-*RKY62* gene is presented in Online Resource 15. The results suggest that *TaWRKY62* is induced upon treatment with JA, MJ and SA and reduced after ABA treatments. Since fewer reports were available in the study of WRKY expression patterns during abiotic stress in wheat, this comprehensive expression profle would invoke investigations on the role of WRKY in imparting phytohormone treatment based stress tolerance in wheat.

#### **Annotation of orthologous clusters**

WRKY proteins of 103 *O. sativa*, 82 *B*. *distachyon*, 94 *S. bicolor* and 125 *Z. mays* were retrieved from GRASSIUS TF database and 72 WRKY proteins of *A. thaliana* were retrieved from AGRIS database for identifcation of orthologous wheat *WRKY* gene clusters. The species formed 47 clusters and 42 orthologous clusters. Clusters formed in diferent species were 13 in wheat, 28 in *A. thaliana*, 23 in *Brachypodium*, 27 in *O. sativa*, 29 in *Sorghum* and 32 in *Z. mays* (Fig. [6](#page-14-0)). The information obtained from comparisons of orthologous clusters can serve as raw material for taxonomic classifcation and phylogenetic studies of organisms, hence shedding light on the mechanisms underlying the molecular evolution of genes and genomes.

## **Discussion**

The present study was carried out to reveal DNA–protein interactions and expression pattern of WRKY TF genes using wheat NILs in response to leaf rust infection and abiotic stresses. A network of WRKY proteins was also developed in this study. DNA–protein interactions play vital roles in key biological process and regulation of gene expression. Transcriptional regulation is one among these processes in which TFs bind to specifc DNA-binding sequences to either activate or repress the expression of their regulated genes. Previous studies have shown that molecular docking can obtain accurate complex structures for protein–protein, protein–peptide, and protein–ligand interactions (Wang et al.













3 Biotech (2018) 8:40

 $\sqrt{}$ 1 3 <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Relative gene expression profles of *WRKY genes* **a** *WRKY49*, ◂**b** *WRKY50*, **c** *WRKY52*, **d** *WRKY 53*, **e** *WRKY55,* **f** *WRKY57,* **g** *WRKY62* during pathogen-induced biotic stress. Expression profles of susceptible (HD2329) and resistant (HD2329 + *Lr*28) wheat plants in response to leaf rust infection compared with mock-inoculated controls. The time points correlated with the formation and development of diferent infection structures as described by Hu and Rijkenberg ([1998\)](#page-16-30) are shown at the bottom. Relative expression is expressed as fold changes relative to mock-inoculated controls. The values on the *y*-axis indicate relative expression, whereas the *x*-axis denotes the selected time points (hour post inoculation). Data represent means of two replicate reactions from three biological repeats with error bars indicating standard deviations (SD)

[2015a\)](#page-17-8). But, DNA–protein docking still lags behind due to limited knowledge of the interactions and remains one of the challenging problems in the feld of structural bioinformatics. DNA certainly exhibits large conformational changes upon binding to a protein, which can greatly alter the shape of the interaction surface. The DNA–protein docking study predicted stable interactions of all 22 WRKY proteins with W-Box in the present study.

Gene expression patterns offer indications for determining the function of genes. The results obtained in the present study indicated that due to pathogen inoculation, maximum induction of six out of seven genes occurred at 48 hpi, whereas for *TaWRKY53* expression levels were reduced when compared to mock-inoculated controls. Further, the expressions of four genes (*TaWRKY49*, *50*, *55* and *62*) were highly expressed in the presence of *Lr28* than in its absence. The obtained expression pattern of the genes was assigned probable functions according to coordinated expression level and sites of action during *P. triticana* pathogenesis; which involved formation and development of diferent infection structures (Hu and Rijkenberg [1998](#page-16-30)). The sequencial events occurring during leaf rust infestation involves formation of sub-stmatal vesicles (SSVs) at 12 hpi, primary infection hyphae at 24 hpi and haustorial mother cell (HMC) at 48 hpi. The appresoria collapses at 72 hpi and intracellular hyphal development starts. The SSVs ramify to adjacent cells at 168 hpi and thereby infest wheat leaves in susceptible wheat culticars that eventually afects plant growth and grain flling. Presence of leaf rust resistance genes defer or prohibit ingression of the leaf rust pathogen during incompatible interactions, thus shielding the plant. The present study was focused on the events taking place immediately after the inoculation, with more intermediate time-points. Therefore, gene expression patterns in leaves of wheat NILs during different stages of infection progression bestowed knowledge on the protective roles of WRKY TFs.

Based on the obtained results a working model has been proposed for the selected *WRKY* genes (Fig. [7](#page-15-3)). Cellular defense signalling is triggered by recognition of pathogenderived PAMPs via distinct plasma membrane receptors. These plasma membrane-localized receptors present on leaf surface initiate mechano-sensory responses that activate local defense reactions to stimulate systemic responses, including activation of early responsive genes (Singh et al. [2012](#page-17-9)). These early responsive genes regulate  $Ca^{2+}$  ion concentration and trigger MAP kinase cascades that govern *WRKY* and other TF genes. These genes, in turn, actuate defense and stress-responsive genes. Subsequently, ROS in association with SA mediates the establishment of systemic defenses (SAR). The rapidity of ROS production and the potential for  $H_2O_2$  to freely diffuse across membranes suggest that ROS could function as an intercellular or intracellular second messenger that establishes diferent defensive barriers against the pathogens. The stress-responsive genes further stimulate the downstream genes in terms of cell death, resistance, PR proteins and HR based on up- and down-regulation of respective genes. Also, the plant NBS-LRR proteins detect pathogen-associated effector molecules and subsequently activate host defense (Chandra et al. [2016\)](#page-15-4) (Fig. [7\)](#page-15-3). The WRKY Group III has already been considered to be associated with various defense responses in *Arabidopsis* (Kalde et al. [2003\)](#page-16-31), rice (Shimono et al. [2007](#page-17-12)) and wheat (Bahrini et al. [2011;](#page-15-5) Kumar et al. [2014](#page-16-23)).

A better understanding of the molecular function of *WRKY* TF genes may allow coordination of the defense activity of genes during infection progression and help in the development of efective strategies for durable rust resistance. Several approaches including cDNA-amplifed fragment length polymorphism (cDNA-AFLP) profling (Zhang et al. [2003](#page-17-13); Dhariwal et al. [2011](#page-16-32)), suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) profling (Thara et al. [2003](#page-17-14)), expressed sequence tag (EST) profling (Hu et al. [2007](#page-16-33)), high-throughput microarray profiling (Fofana et al. [2007\)](#page-16-34) and serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) profling (Poole et al. [2008](#page-17-15)) have been employed to characterize the key defense genes involved in rust resistance. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) has also been successfully utilized to detect and quantify specifc transcripts in response to leaf rust in our earlier studies (Singh et al. [2012;](#page-17-9) Kumar et al. [2014](#page-16-23)).

TaWRKY62 was induced by SA, MJ and JA suggesting its possible role in plant development via diferent phytohormone signalling pathways. In response to SA treatment, TaWRKY62 expresses threefold higher than control at 2 h post-treatment. The same WRKY gene expressed 31.56-fold higher than control at 4 h post-JA treatment and threefold higher than control at 4 h post-MJ treatment. Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance statistical test presented a *P* value of 0.423 indicating a signifcant diference in expression of target genes on phytohormone-treated and control plants. *WRKY* genes are well recognized as signifcant players in plant responses to abiotic stresses; thus, suggesting *TaWRKY* genes might also be involved in defense-related processes or stress responses (Okay et al. [2014;](#page-16-2) He et al.



Target gene	HD2329 mock	HD2329 inoculated	$HD2329 + Lr28$ mock	$HD2329 + Lr28$ inoculated	Figure 4				
TaWRKY49	Onefold, 0 hpi	Sixfold, 48 hpi	Onefold, 72 hpi	35-fold, 48 hpi	a				
TaWRKY50		Tenfold, 72 hpi		556-fold, 48 hpi	b				
TaWRKY52	1.6-fold, 0 hpi	111-fold, 168 hpi	3.6-fold, 24 hpi	16-fold, 48 hpi	c				
TaWRKY53		55-fold, 12 hpi	24 hpi, Eightfold		d				
TaWRKY55	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	3.5-fold, 48 hpi	Onefold, 24 hpi	Sevenfold, 48 hpi	e				
TaWRKY57		20-fold, 168 hpi	Onefold, 0 hpi	15.5-fold, 48 hpi					
TaWRKY62	2.6-fold, 72 hpi	52-fold, 48 hpi	46-fold, 48 hpi	1481-fold, 48 hpi	g				

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 5** Summary of results of expression profling of seven target genes in the wheat NILs (HD2329, HD2329 + *Lr 28*) under mock and pathogen-inoculated conditions

Maximum expression (fold) and time-point (hpi) are mentioned





<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Relative expression levels of TaWRKY62 on treatment with **a** JA, **b** MJ, **c** SA and **d** ABA Relative expression is expressed as fold changes relative to mock-inoculated controls. The values on the *y*-axis indicate relative expression, whereas the *x*-axis denotes the selected

time points (hour post inoculation). Data represent means of two replicate reactions from three biological repeats with error bars indicating standard deviations (SD)



<span id="page-14-0"></span>



[2016](#page-16-5); Ding et al. [2016](#page-16-4)). Protein networking of *TaWRKY56*, *60* and *62* TF genes in this study indicated their probable roles in defense response in plants. Identifying the overlap among orthologous clusters of TaWRKY proteins enabled to elucidate the function and evolution of WRKY proteins across multiple species.

# **Conclusions**

Diferential gene expression analysis performed in this study distinctly indicated that wheat plants responded to leaf rust pathogen in a coordinated manner. During the interaction between wheat and *P. triticina*, maximum activity involving the highest expression of the target genes occurred at 48 hpi in pathogen-inoculated resistant plants. Hence these *TaWRKY* genes during incompatible interactions exhibited their positive roles against *P. triticina-*induced leaf-rust in wheat. Hence it can be assumed that the TaWRKY49, 50, 52, 55, 57 and 62 might also have a function in leaf-rust induced biotic stress. It might be imperative that these WRKY proteins are regulatory proteins that bind to W-Boxes of other WRKY and defense proteins to control their expression. This current investigation of *WRKY* genes provides a platform for further exploring the function of *WRKY* genes in order to suggest



<span id="page-15-3"></span>**Fig. 7** A model depicting the role of *WRKY* genes in response to leaf rust fungal pathogenesis. Cellular defense signalling is triggered by recognition of pathogen-derived PAMPs via distinct plasma membranelocalized receptors. Mechanosensory responses transduce MAP kinase cascades that regulate *WRKY* genes that subsequently activate defense gene expression. *SSV* substomatal vesicles, *HMC* haustorial mother cell, *G-protein* guanine nucleotide-binding proteins, *PAMP* pathogen-associated molecular pattern, *PR proteins* pathogenesis-related proteins, *TFs* transcription factors, *RER* rough endoplasmic reticulum, *NB-LRR proteins* nucleotide binding-leucine-rich repeat proteins. [1] Jones and Dangl ([2006\)](#page-16-35), [2] Bolton et al. ([2008\)](#page-15-6), [3] Gao et al. ([2014\)](#page-16-36), [4] Pandey and Somssich ([2009\)](#page-16-10), [5] Adachi et al. ([2015\)](#page-15-7), [6] Yoshioka et al. ([2011\)](#page-17-16), [7] Cao et al. [\(2011](#page-15-8)), [8] Durant and Dong ([2004\)](#page-16-8), [9] De Young and Innes ([2006\)](#page-16-37), [10] Zvereva and Pooggin ([2012\)](#page-17-17), [11] Coll et al. [\(2011](#page-16-38)), [12] Gawehns et al. [\(2013](#page-16-39)), [13] Rushton et al. ([2010\)](#page-17-18), [14] Satapathy et al. ([2014\)](#page-17-3)



**PLASMA MEMBRANE** 

candidate genes for enhancing biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in wheat crops.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by BTIS Net Sub DIC (BT/BI/04/065/04) and CoE-TEQIP-II (Grant no. NPIU/TEQIP II/ FIN/31/158). LS is grateful to Department of Science and Technology-INSPIRE (Fellowship/2011/318) and DK to Council of Scientifc and Industrial Research [9/554 (0026) 2010-EMR-I] for Ph.D. fellowship. The authors acknowledge Dr. KV Prabhu for providing phytotron facility at IARI, New Delhi, India.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **References**

<span id="page-15-7"></span>Adachi H, Nakano T, Miyagawa N, Ishihama N, Yoshioka M, Katou Y, Yaeno T, Shirasu K, Yoshioka H (2015) WRKY transcription factors phosphorylated by MAPK regulate a plant immune NADPH oxidase in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant Cell 27:2645–2663



- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Babu MM, Iyer LM, Balaji S, Aravind L (2006) The natural history of the WRKY-GCM1 zinc fngers and the relationship between transcription factors and transposons. Nucl Acids Res 34:6505–6520
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Bahrini I, Sugisawa M, Kikuchi R, Ogawa T, Kawahigashi H, Ban T, Handa H (2011) Characterization of wheat transcription factor, *TaWRKY45*, and its efect on *Fusarium* head blight resistance in transgenic wheat plants. Breed Sci 61:121–129
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Bipinraj A, Honrao B, Prashar M, Bhardwaj S, Rao S, Tamhankar S (2011) Validation and identifcation of molecular markers linked to the leaf rust resistance gene *Lr28* in wheat. J Appl Genet 52:171–175
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Bolton MD, Kolmer JA, Garvin DF (2008) Wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina*. Mol Plant Pathol 9:563–575
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Cao FY, Yoshioka K, Desveaux D (2011) The role of ABA in plantpathogen interactions. J Plant Res 489–499:2011
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Chandra S, Singh D, Pathak J, Kumari S, Kumar M, Poddar R, Balyan HS, Gupta PK, Prabhu KV, Mukhopadhyay K (2016) De novo assembled wheat transcriptomes delineate diferentially expressed host genes in response to leaf rust infection. PLoS One 11(2):e0148453.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148453>
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Cheatham TI, Miller J, Fox T, Darden T, Kollman P (1995) Molecular dynamics simulations on solvated biomolecular systems: the particle mesh Ewald method leads to stable trajectories of DNA, RNA, and proteins. J Am Chem Soc 117:4193–4194
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Chisholm ST, Coaker G, Day B, Staskawicz BJ (2006) Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. Cell 124(4):803–814
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Choulet F, Wicker T, Rustenholz C, Paux E, Salse J, Leroy P, Schlub S, Paslier MCL, Magdelenat G, Gonthier C, Couloux A, Budak H, Breen J, Pumphrey M, Liu S, Kong X, Jia J, Gut M, Brunel D, Anderson JA, Gill BS, Appels R, Keller B, Feuillet C (2010) Megabase level sequencing reveals contrasted organization and evolution patterns of the wheat gene and transposable element spaces. Plant Cell 22:1686–1701
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Choura M, Rebai A, Masmoudi K (2015) Unraveling the WRKY transcription factors network in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by an integrative approach. Netw Biol 5:55–61
- <span id="page-16-38"></span>Coll NS, Epple P, Dangl J (2011) Programmed cell death in the plant immune system. Cell Death Difer 18:1247–1256
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>de Vries SJ, Van D, Krzeminski ADJ, Dijk M, Van TM, Hsu A, Wassennar V, Bovin AMJJ (2007) HADDOCK versus HADDOCK: new features and performance of HADDOCK2.0 on the CAPRI targets. Proteins Struct Funct Bioinform 69:726–733
- <span id="page-16-37"></span>De Young BXJ, Innes RW (2006) Plant NBS-LRR proteins in pathogen sensing and host defense. Nat Immunol 7:1243–1249
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Dean R, Van-Kan JAL, Pretorius ZA, Hammond-Kosack KE, Pietro AD, Spanu PD, Rudd JJ, Dickman M, Kahmann R, Ellis J, Foster GD (2012) The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 13:414–430
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>Dhariwal R, Vyas S, Bhaganagare GR, Jha SK, Khurana JP, Tyagi AK, Prabhu KV, Balyan HS, Gupta PK (2011) Analysis of differentially expressed genes in leaf rust infected bread wheat involving seedling resistance gene *Lr28*. Funct Plant Biol 38:1–14
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Ding M, Chen J, Jiang Y, Lin L, Cao Y, Wang M, Zhang Y, Rong J, Ye W (2015) Genome-wide investigation and transcriptome analysis of the *WRKY* gene family in *Gossypium*. Mol Genet Genom 290:151–171
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Ding W, Fang W, Shi S, Zhao Y, Li X, Xiao K (2016) Wheat WRKY type transcription factor gene *TaWRKY1* is essential in mediating drought tolerance associated with an ABA-dependent pathway. Plant Mol Biol Rep.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-016-0991-1>
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Durrant WE, Dong X (2004) Systemic acquired resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 42:185–209
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>Eisenberg D, Lüthy R, Bowie JU (1997) VERIFY3D: assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profles. Methods Enzymol 277:396–404
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Eulgem T, Somssich IE (2007) Networks of WRKY transcription factors in defense signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10(4):366–371
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE (2000) The WRKY super family of plant transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci 5:199–206
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>FAO (2016) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://www.fao.org>. Accessed 25 Oct 2016
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>Fofana B, Banks TW, McCallum B, Strelkov SE, Cloutier S (2007) Temporal gene expression profling of the wheat leaf rust pathosystem using cDNA microarray reveals diferences in compatible and incompatible defense pathways. Int J Plant Genom 2007:17542
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Franceschini A, Szklarczyk D, Frankild S, Kuhn M, Simonovic M, Roth A, Lin J, Minguez P, Bork P, von Mering C, Jensen LJ (2013) STRING v9.1: protein–protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D808–D815
- <span id="page-16-36"></span>Gao X, Cox KL Jr, He P (2014) Functions of calcium—dependant kinases in plant innate immunity. Plants 3:160–176
- <span id="page-16-39"></span>Gawehns F, Cornelissen BJ, Takken FL (2013) The potential of efector-target genes in breeding for plant innate immunity. Microb Biotechnol 6:223–229
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Glazebrook J, Ton J (2007) Biotic interactions recurring themes and expanding scales. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:331–334
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>He H, Dong Q, Shao Y, Jiang H, Zhu S, Chen B, Xiang Y (2012) Genome-wide survey and characterization of the *WRKY* gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. Plant Cell Rep 31:1199–1217
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>He GH, Xu JY, Wang YX, Liu JM, Li PS, Chen M, Ma YZ, Xu ZS (2016) Drought-responsive WRKY transcription factor genes*TaWRKY1* and *TaWRKY33* from wheat confer drought and/ or heat resistance in *Arabidopsis*. BMC Plant Biol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0806-4) [org/10.1186/s12870-016-0806-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0806-4)
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Hu G, Rijkenberg FHJ (1998) Scanning electron microscopy of early infection structure formation by *Puccinia recondita* f. sp. *tritici* on and in susceptible and resistant wheat lines. Mycol Res 102:391–399
- <span id="page-16-33"></span>Hu G, Linning R, McCallum B, Banks T, Cloutier S, Butterfeld Y, Liu J, Kirkpatrick R, Stott J, Yang G, Smailus D, Jones S, Marra M, Schein J, Bakkeren G, Yamazaki Y (2007) Generation of a wheat leaf rust, *Puccinia triticina*, EST database from stage-specifc cDNA libraries. Mol Plant Pathol 8:451–467
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Jiang J, Ma S, Ye N, Jiang M, Cao J, Zhang J (2017) WRKY transcription factors in plant responses to stresses. JIPB 59:86–101
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Jin J, Kong J, Qiu J, Zhu H, Peng Y, Jiang H (2016) High level of microsynteny and purifying selection affect the evolution of WRKY family in Gramineae. Dev Genes Evol 226:15–25
- <span id="page-16-35"></span>Jones JDG, Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature 444:323–329
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>Kalde M, Barth M, Somssich IE, Lippok B (2003) Members of the *Arabidopsis* WRKY group III transcription factors are part of diferent plant defense signaling pathways. Mol Plant-Microb Interact 16(4):295–305
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Kaur S, Bansal UK, Khanna R, Saini RG (2008) Genetics of leaf and stripe rust resistance in a bread wheat cultivar Tonichi. J Genet 87:191–194
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Kayum MA, Jung HJ, Park JI, Ahmed N, Saha G, Yang TJ, Nou IS (2015) Identifcation and expression analysis of WRKY genes under biotic and abiotic stresses in *B. rapa*. Mol Genet Genome 290:79–95
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>Koeck M, Hardham AR, Dodds PN (2011) The role of efectors of biotrophic and hemibiotrophic fungi in infection. Cell Microbiol 13:1849–1857
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Kuhn H, Kwaaitaal M, Kusch S, Acevedo-Garcia J, Wu H, Panstruga R (2016) Biotrophy at its best: novel fndings and unsolved mysteries of the *Arabidopsis*-powdery mildew pathosystem. Arabidopsis Book 14:e0184.<https://doi.org/10.1199/tab.0184>
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Kumar D, Kapoor A, Singh D, Satapathy L, Singh AK, Kumar M, Prabhu KV, Mukhopadhyay K (2014) Functional characterization of a WRKY transcription factor of wheat and its expression analysis during leaf rust pathogenesis. Funct Plant Biol 41:1295–1309
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM (1993) PRO-CHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Cryst 26:283–291
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Ling J, Jiang WJ, Zhang Y, Yu HJ, Mao ZC, Gu XF, Huang SW, Xie BY (2011) Genome-wide analysis of WRKY gene family in *Cucumis sativus*. BMC Genom 12:471
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>McIntosh RA, Pretorius ZA (2011) Borlaug global rust initiative provides momentum for wheat rust research. Euphytica 179:1–2
- <span id="page-16-29"></span>Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15:473–497
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Okay S, Derelli E, Unver T (2014) Transcriptome-wide identifcation of bread wheat WRKY transcription factors in response to drought stress. Mol Genet Genom 289:769–781
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Pan LJ, Jiang L (2014) Identifcation and expression of the WRKY transcription factors of *Carina papaya* in response to abiotic and biotic stresses. Mol Biol Rep 41:1215–1225
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Pandey SP, Somssich IE (2009) The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant immunity. Plant Physiol 150(4):1648–1655



- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Paolacci AR, Tanzarella OA, Porceddu E, Ciaffi M (2009) Identification and validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat. BMC Mol Biol 10:11
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Poole RL, Barker GL, Werner K, Biggi GF, Coghill J, Gibbings JG, Berry S, Dunwell JM, Edwards KJ (2008) Analysis of wheat SAGE tags reveals evidence for widespread antisense transcription. BMC Genom 9:475
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Rosegrant MW, Agcaoili M (2010) Global food demand, supply, and price prospects to 2010. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Rushton PJ, Somssich IE, Ringler P, Shen QJ (2010) WRKY Transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci 15:247–258
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Satapathy L, Singh D, Ranjan P, Kumar D, Kumar M, Prabhu KV, Mukhopadhyay K (2014) Transcriptome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription factors in wheat and their leaf rust responsive expression profling. Mol Genet Genom 289:1289–1306
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Shimono M, Sugano S, Nakayama A, Jiang CJ, Ono K, Toki S, Takatsuji H (2007) Rice *WRKY45* plays a crucial role in benzothiadiazole inducible blast resistance. Plant Cell 19:2064–2076
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Singh D, Bhaganagare G, Bandopadhyay R, Prabhu KV, Gupta PK, Mukhopadhyay K (2012) Targeted spatiotemporal expression based characterization of state of infection and time-point of maximum defense in wheat NILs during leaf rust infection. Mol Biol Rep 39:9373–9382
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Thara VK, Fellers JP, Zhou JM (2003) In planta induced genes of *Puccinia triticina*. Mol Plant Pathol 4:51–56
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Tripathi P, Rabara RC, Langum TJ, Boken AK, Rushton DL, Boomsma DD, Rinerson CI, Rabara J, Reese RN, Chen X, Rohila JS, Rushton PJ (2012) The WRKY transcription factor family in *Brachypodium distachyon*. BMC Genom 13:270
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Ulker B, Somssich IE (2004) WRKY transcription factors: from DNA binding towards biological function. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7(5):491–498
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Wang C, Liu J, Luo F, Deng Z, Hu QN (2015a) Predicting target-ligand interactions using the protein-ligand binding site and ligand sub structures. BMC Syst Biol. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509](https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-9-S1-S2) [-9-S1-S2](https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-9-S1-S2)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Wang Y, Feng L, Zhu Y, Li Y, Yan H, Xiang Y (2015b) Comparative genomic analysis of the WRKY III gene family in *Populus*, grape, Arabidopsis and rice. Biol Direct 10:48
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Wei KF, Chen J, Chen YF, Wu LJ, Xie DX (2012) Molecular phylogenetic and expression analysis of the complete WRKY transcription factor family in maize. DNA Res 19:153–164
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Wu KL, Guo ZJ, Wang HH, Li J (2005) The WRKY family of transcription factors in rice and *Arabidopsis* and their origins. DNA Res 12:9–26
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Xiong W, Xu X, Zhang L, Wu P, Chen Y, Li M, Jiang H, Wu G (2013) Genome-wide analysis of the *WRKY* gene family in physic nut (*Jatropha curcas* L.). Gene 524:124–132
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Yoshioka H, Mase K, Yoshioka M, Kobayashi M, Asai S (2011) Regulatory mechanisms of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species generation and their role in plant immunity. Nitric Oxide 25:216–222
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Zhang L, Meakin H, Dickinson M (2003) Isolation of genes expressed during compatible interactions between leaf rust (*Puccinia triticina*) and wheat using cDNA-AFLP. Mol Plant Pathol 4:469–477
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Zhu X, Liu S, Meng C, Qin L, Kong L, Guangmin X (2013) WRKY transcription factors in wheat and their induction by biotic and abiotic stress. Plant Mol Biol Rep 31:1053–1067
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Zvereva AS, Pooggin MM (2012) Silencing and innate immunity in plant defense against viral and non-viral pathogens. Viruses 4:2578–2597

