

HHS Public Access

Gen Comp Endocrinol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 January 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2018 January 15; 256: 123–129. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2017.05.023.

Avian genomics lends insights into endocrine function in birds

C.V. Mello* and **P.V. Lovell**

Abstract

The genomics era has brought along the completed sequencing of a large number of bird genomes that cover a broad range of the avian phylogenetic tree (>30 orders), leading to major novel insights into avian biology and evolution. Among recent findings, the discovery that birds lack a large number of protein coding genes that are organized in highly conserved syntenic clusters in other vertebrates is very intriguing, given the physiological importance of many of these genes. A considerable number of them play prominent endocrine roles, suggesting that birds evolved compensatory genetic or physiological mechanisms that allowed them to survive and thrive in spite of these losses. While further studies are needed to establish the exact extent of avian gene losses, these findings point to birds as potentially highly relevant model organisms for exploring the genetic basis and possible therapeutic approaches for a wide range of endocrine functions and disorders.

Keywords

gene loss; endocrine function; lipid metabolism; insulin; diabetes; growth; development; brain dimorphism; NR1H2; APOM; APOE; CPT1C; RETN; RLN3; RLN3; PRKACA; PRSS8; BGN; ESRRA; AVPR2

1. A tale of gains and losses

The availability of bird genomes, initially for chicken and zebra finches, and later for a much larger number of species covering a broad range of the avian phylogenetic tree, has led to remarkable advances in our understanding of avian biology (Hillier et al., 2004; Jarvis et al., 2014; Warren et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014a). Currently >70 avian genomes representing >30 avian orders are available at NCBI, and the number keeps rising. In fact, birds are the vertebrate group with the largest number of completed genome assemblies to date. Besides providing unprecedented insights into avian genome evolution and forming the basis for a major redefinition of avian phylogeny, detailed analyses of these resources have led to numerous novel insights into the genetic basis of avian specific traits.

^{*}Corresponding author: melloc@ohsu.edu, lovellp@ohsu.edu.

Postal Address: Dept. Behavioral Neuroscience, Oregon Health & Science University, L470, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd. Portland, OR 97239

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparative analysis has uncovered several examples of apparent gene duplications and/or family expansions, as well as avian-specific gene losses (Hillier et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2010; Steiger et al., 2009a; Steiger et al., 2009b; Warren et al., 2010; Wirthlin et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014b). Of particular interest, we have identified a large number of genes organized in syntenic clusters that are largely conserved in other vertebrates, including reptiles and mammals, but that are missing in avian genomes (Lovell et al., 2014). The relatively large size of these avian missing gene clusters makes it unlikely that their absence in birds is simply or completely due to incomplete genome sequencing or assembly. Rather, their preferred occurrence in specific chromosomal locations in other vertebrates (Lovell et al., 2014), as well as the fact that the genes that flank these clusters in non-avian genomes are often seen in close synteny in birds (Lovell et al., 2015b; Warren et al., 2017); Mello and Lovell, unpublished observations), support the notion that they were actually lost, in part likely due to chromosomal rearrangements. Because these avian missing genes are largely present in crocodiles (Lovell et al., 2014), it is likely that their loss occurred in an organism ancestral to extant birds, possibly during the course of the evolution of the dinosaurian lineage that originated birds.

We note that determining the exact extent of gene family expansions and contractions in a given species or group can be quite challenging (Denton et al., 2014). In the case of birds, an important limiting factor is that most currently available avian genomes still contain a considerable number of gaps, representing regions that are difficult to sequence and/or assemble. Avian genomes also present a number of sequenced but unplaced segments, and/or tandemly placed repeat segments flanked by gaps that split or duplicate gene prediction in ambiguous manners. Because of these issues, it can sometimes be difficult to differentiate true duplications from assembly artifacts, and true losses from incomplete sequencing or assembly (see details in Lovell et al., 2014; Wirthlin et al., 2014).

It is also well established that high GC content can make specific genomic regions difficult to sequence, assemble, and/or PCR-amplify, likely due to stable secondary structures, particularly when inverted repeats are also present (e.g., see Chen et al., 2013). Some reports have recently called attention to the possibility that particularly high GC content may have severely limited the ability to sequence and/or correctly assemble a significant number of genes in avian genomes (Hron et al., 2015; Lovell et al., 2015b). In some cases, there is evidence for the presence of specific genes previously thought to be missing based on searches of expression databases (e.g. RNAseq short read archives). Examples are the long sought-after avian leptin (Friedman-Einat et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Millar, 2014; Seroussi et al., 2016), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF; Bornelov et al., 2017; Hong et al., 2006). Transcriptome-based findings need to be taken with caution, though, when they are the sole evidence for the presence of a given gene, due to the lack of synteny evidence to conclusively establish orthology. Indeed, we have identified several instances where closely related genes or paralogs have been incorrectly identified as a gene of interest (e.g. DAT, SERT2; Lovell et al., 2015a; Lovell et al., 2014, Table S5). Importantly, recent advances in genome sequencing have led to significant improvements in the chicken and zebra finch genome assemblies (Warren et al., 2017; Mello, unpublished; Jarvis, unpublished). Such advances are largely due to the emergence of Pacbio-based technology, which is more efficient in resolving regions that are particularly GC-rich or that contain multiple highly

repetitive motifs (Rhoads and Au, 2015). As a result, a small set of genes previously thought to be missing in birds, as well as a much larger set previously found in other birds but missing in the chicken genome only, have now been sequenced, and in many cases placed onto specific chromosomes of the current chicken assembly (galgal5; Warren et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the majority of the avian missing syntenic genes first described by Lovell et al., 2014, remain absent in avian genomes, supporting the core finding of syntenic gene losses in birds (further details on the full set of genes that have now been found in chicken, or that are still missing in chicken or in all birds, can be seen in Tables S3–S6 in Warren et al, 2017). Notably, it is well established that avian genomes are on average 1/3 of the size of the genomes of reptiles or mammals, which is largely attributed to shortening of intergenic and intronic regions (Hillier et al., 2004; Hughes and Piontkivska, 2005; Zhang et al., 2014b). Intriguingly, this reduction in genome size is thought to have already occurred in the dinosaur lineage that led to extant birds, possibly associated with the metabolic demands of flight but likely preceding the emergence of this trait (Organ et al., 2007). The finding of missing gene clusters (Lovell et al., 2014) provides evidence that the evolution of bird genomes was also marked by the loss of a considerable number of protein coding genes that are otherwise conserved in most vertebrates.

These avian gene losses are intriguing because a large number of the missing genes play important, even essential roles in organisms like mammals, where most available data on the function of these genes derives from (as detailed in Lovell et al. 2014). Thus, birds must have somehow developed compensations that allowed them to survive the loss of these genes. Theoretically, compensatory mechanisms could range from genetic to physiological ones. For example, novel paralogs or duplications/expansions of related family members, as well as modifications of more distantly related genes and proteins, could have provided molecular compensation for the gene losses (Lovell et al., 2014; Table S5). Alternatively, birds may have evolved physiological modifications that allowed them to adapt to specific losses of genes and related pathways. In some cases, the recently discovered gene losses may provide an explanation for avian-specific or characteristic traits whose genetic basis was previously unclear (Lovell et al., 2014, Tables S7 and S15). Importantly, investigation of such possible adaptations and compensations could lead to novel insights into the genetic basis of the specific molecular pathways or physiological traits, and in some cases help establish birds as a particularly suitable or informative model to study phenotypes related to human genetic diseases and syndromes.

2. Gene losses with implications for endocrine function

Bioinformatics analysis of the missing gene clusters described in the preceding section provides important insights into the possible functional consequences of avian gene losses. Many of these genes were found to be involved in a wide range of physiological roles in other vertebrates, and a considerable number are actually associated with endocrine functions (Lovell et al., 2014). The evidence comes largely from the existence of mouse phenotypes caused by loss-of-function mutations that affect the endocrine system (Table 1). In many cases the knockout of a single gene is sufficient to cause the phenotype in mice, whereas for others the phenotype requires multiple gene knockouts. For yet other missing genes, the evidence for an endocrine role derives from published studies of endocrine

pathways and mechanisms. This includes cases where gene loss was previously suspected based on non-detection in gene expression analysis, or a lack of biological activity in specific molecular or biochemical assays. In such cases, the genomic finding corroborates previous tentative inferences about potential gene losses.

We discuss below some intriguing cases where missing genes seem to group into functional pathways or physiological roles, or where we believe further studies of birds may be particularly informative or insightful with regards to specific endocrine pathways or functions. For a larger number of genes, the possible pathways and mechanisms whereby their loss leads to an endocrine phenotype are presently unknown; those genes are not discussed further (but see Table 1 for list).

While the functional loss of several of these genes results in a phenotype that indicates a role in the regulation of weight, eating, and nutritional state, a subset of them are more specifically involved in various aspects of lipid metabolism and homeostasis. For instance, NR1H2 has been linked to lipid homeostasis and inflammation (Joseph et al., 2003). It encodes a protein that heterodimerizes with retinoid \times receptors (RXRs), and thus it likely acts by modulating transcription of downstream genes. Precisely how the loss of this gene would impact lipid metabolism is currently unknown. In contrast, apolipoprotein M (APOM) is an important constituent of high density lipoproteins (HDLs), whose primary functions include the liver uptake of circulating cholesterol and providing cholesterol to steroidogenic organs like adrenals and gonads (Huang et al., 2015). Indeed, there is significant evidence that APOM variants are associated with risk for atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease. Furthermore, there is growing evidence that APOM may be involved in some aspects of insulin action and susceptibility to type II diabetes, indicating broader roles in metabolic and endocrine regulation. LRP10 on the other hand, encodes one of several proteins related to the low density lipoprotein receptors (LDLR), the so-called LDLR-related proteins, or LRPs (Willnow, 1999). LDLR plays a major role in the internalization of cholesterol in most tissues by binding and taking up circulating low density lipoprotein (LDLs), the major type of cholesterol carrying serum particles in mammals (Go and Mani, 2012; Jeon and Blacklow, 2005). LRPs, on the other hand, are a heterogeneous gene family and can be considered auxiliary to LDLR, possibly playing a 'scavenger' role when circulating cholesterol levels are saturating to the main lipoprotein receptors, but they could also play other as yet undefined internalization roles (Willnow, 1999). LRP10 is thought to be particularly important in the uptake of apolipoprotein E (APOE) containing lipoprotein particles (Sugiyama et al., 2000). Intriguingly, APOE is also thought to be absent in birds, based on biochemical assays (Barakat and St Clair, 1985). Consistent with this observation, an APOE locus has not been identified in any of the bird genomes currently available. Interestingly, even though APOE is on human chr19, its apparent loss was not included among the reported avian missing gene clusters related to human chr19 (Lovell et al., 2014). APOE's syntenic region has proven particularly difficult to reconstruct in avian genomes, thus it is presently unclear whether the APOE loss was related to the other missing conserved gene blocks reported by Lovell et al., 2014. Furthermore, an APOE gene prediction is not present in lizard, which initially suggested an ancestral sauropsid loss, but an APOE ortholog has now been found in alligators, supporting an avian-specific loss. It is also noteworthy that LDLR itself, while not missing in birds,

does appear to have diverged greatly across major avian and vertebrate groups, presumably resulting in LDLRs with very different structural configurations and functional properties (Mello and Lovell, unpublished observation). Overall, the fact that major players in the internalization of cholesterol are missing or modified in birds suggests that this important aspect of lipid metabolism must differ significantly between birds and mammals, and/or mechanisms exist that compensate for such losses in birds.

Several other genes have knockout phenotypes more directly associated with whole-body energy homeostasis, regulation of weight, adipose tissue function and obesity, and/or tissue responsivity to insulin. This group includes CPT1C, which has an effect on the regulation of feeding behavior (Casals et al., 2016), RETN (resistin), which is known to be secreted by adipocytes and has links to obesity and type II diabetes (Filkova et al., 2013; Sassek et al., 2013), and RLN3, a member of the relaxin family of insulin-like hormones, which is expressed mostly in the brain and is involved in stress, memory, and appetite regulation (Higgins et al., 2010; McGowan et al., 2009). Intriguingly, the absence of these genes in birds could potentially be related to the known low sensitivity to insulin and the generally high circulating glucose levels that are characteristic of many bird species (Braun and Sweazea, 2008), possibly representing a general avian trait. In this regard, it is interesting that FGF21, which stimulates the uptake of glucose in adipose tissue, is also missing in birds (Lovell et al., 2014; although preliminary searches of transcriptome data have questioned that loss, see Bornelov et al., 2017). It is also noteworthy that the insulin-regulated glucose transporter (SLC2A4, a.k.a. GLUT4) is generally thought to be missing in birds (Kono et al., 2005; Seki et al., 2003; Sweazea and Braun, 2006; Welch et al., 2013), but preliminary analysis suggests that it may be present in at least some birds, although possibly with low conservation with mammals (Mello and Lovell, unpublished). As for RLN3, a paralog is present in birds (RLN3L), which has a similar structure as RNL3 in terms of major domains, and thus may have provided compensation for the loss of the RNL3 ortholog in birds (Lovell et al., 2014). RNL3L is likely the product of an ancestral duplication of RNL3, as it is also present in reptiles, and in non-eutherian mammals. However, it is not present in humans, which could reflect a loss in the eutherian lineage. These observations suggest that a genetic compensation for a possible RNL3 loss would be immediately present for most vertebrates, but not for non-eutherian mammals. Lastly, SERPINI2, while not directly associated with a weight or insulin-related phenotype, is known to be downregulated in pancreatic carcinogenesis, suggesting at least an indirect link with pancreatic function (Chang et al., 2000; Higgins et al., 2017; Ozaki et al., 1998).

Other missing genes relate to a diverse range of endocrine-related and growth functions. In the case of PRKACA, constitutive activation by somatic mutations or genomic duplications are associated with hyperplasias and adenomas of the adrenal cortex and with corticotropinindependent Cushing's syndrome (Carney et al., 2015; Sargent, 2014). An intriguing possibility is that birds might be particularly resistant to the development of this type of adrenal cortex dysfunction and Cushing's syndrome. PRSS8 is involved in water and electrolyte balance, through proteolytic activation of the epithelial sodium channel, and may also play a role in epithelial barrier formation (Leyvraz et al., 2005). A more in-depth mechanistic understanding of the involvement of these two genes within the context of adrenal function and electrolyte balance could perhaps be obtained by gain of function

approaches involving gene overexpression in an avian transgenic context, or through tissue injections of viral vectors with overexpression constructs. The biglycan (BGN) gene has a known involvement in skeletal-muscle growth, development and regeneration, as well as in specific aspects of collagen fibril assembly (Casar et al., 2004; Corsi et al., 2002). It would be very interesting to determine whether these functions are more susceptible to disruptions in birds due to the loss of BGN, for example during the conditions of accelerated growth as seen in the poultry industry, or whether birds evolved adaptive mechanisms that allowed them to fully compensate for this gene loss. The estrogen related receptor alpha (ESRRA) encodes a nuclear protein with kinship to the estrogen receptor. It acts as a site-specific transcription regulator that interacts with the general transcription factor TFIIB, through direct protein-protein interactions. As a result, it modulates the expression of several estrogen-responsive genes, such as lactoferrin (Zhang and Teng, 2000), osteopontin (Vanacker et al., 1998b; Zirngibl et al., 2008), the medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase (MCAD; Maehara et al., 2003; Sladek et al., 1997) and the thyroid hormone receptor (Vanacker et al., 1998a). Together with estrogen receptors, ESRRA can be considered a co-regulator of estrogen target genes. Because this co-regulator of estrogen targets is missing in birds, important aspects of gene responsivity to estrogen are also likely to differ significantly between birds and mammals, but possible functional consequences of the ESRRA absence are unknown at present. An intriguing possibility is that the ESRRA loss might somehow be related to the puzzling and unique findings in the context of brain sex differences and neural differentiation in birds. For instance, female zebra finches induced to grow testes lack masculinized song neural circuits (Wade and Arnold, 1996; Wade et al., 1996), while males that possess ovaries, but lack testes develop normal circuits and even sing, demonstrating a role of sex chromosome genes in this brain sex difference. However, if female zebra finches are treated at hatch with estradiol, they develop a partially masculinized song system and are even able to sing (Wade and Arnold, 2004), pointing to complex and still unexplained interactions between sex hormones and chromosomes in determining this dimorphism. It is also worth mentioning here Kisspeptin, thought to mediate the onset of puberty in mammals by regulating the expression of hypothalamic GnRH (gonadotrophin-releasing hormone). Kisspeptin-related peptides and corresponding genes have been convincingly shown to be absent in birds, due to gene loss or pseudogenization (Pasquier et al., 2014). Of note, Kiss3 is located in a region of human chromosome 19 that contains many of the avian syntenic missing gene clusters described in Lovell et al 2014, but similarly to the APOE case discussed above, it was not included in the original set of avian missing genes because it was also missing in lizards. To date, Kiss3 has not been found in any sauropsid, and may in fact represent an ancestral loss in this entire group. This suggests that GnRH regulation in the entire sauropsid lineage, not just in birds, differs significantly from mammals.

It is also noteworthy that several other avian missing genes have been implicated in serious human disorders or syndromes (Table 2). In some specific cases the gene loss is the established genetic cause of a well-known endocrine disorder (e.g. AVPR2 loss leading to diabetes insipidus Anesi et al., 2012; Spanakis et al., 2008), or has a suspected involvement in a major endocrine function (e.g. RETN function linked to susceptibility to type II diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance (Chung et al., 2014; Hivert et al., 2009; Zaidi and Shirwany,

2015). In most other cases, the gene loss is associated with complex syndromes that involve, among many other functions, major impairments in general growth and development, including abnormalities in the formation and/or growth of brain, bone, joint, and muscle. In yet other cases the dysfunction is associated with impairments in metabolic pathways (e.g. aminoacid biosynthesis and transport). It is highly intriguing that these syndrome manifestations are not apparent in birds, in spite of the absence of these genes. This fact indicates that further studies of how the related pathways and tissues are modified in birds are likely to provide important and novel insights into naturally evolved compensatory mechanisms, and even suggest some possible therapeutic strategies to for these major syndromes and diseases. Of note, there is growing awareness that some gene 'knockout' mutations in humans can appear to be silent, with no obvious phenotypes (e.g. Narasimhan et al., 2016). Possible explanations include variable penetrance of mutations, compensatory mechanisms that attenuate the impact of a given knockout (consistent with the fact that some mice knockouts only show a phenotype when combined with other knockouts), but also incomplete or imperfect databases documenting the human knockouts. For the avian missing genes being discussed here, the 'knockout' consists of examples of gene losses, not just knockout mutations, so issues like allele penetrance seem less relevant. Furthermore, the extensive annotation/curation efforts in Lovell et al (2014) have effectively excluded the possibility that apparent gene losses simply reflect curatorial errors from automated annotation algorithms.

3. Future directions

While the findings discussed here are highly intriguing, much remains to be done to firmly establish the scope and implications of the avian losses of these important genes. Definite conclusions on the extent of the losses will require the completion of high quality assemblies that incorporate difficult to sequence/assemble regions. This includes avian microchromosomes, which have been particularly refractory to completion, likely due to a combination of particularly high GC content and the very high occurrence of repetitive elements and motifs (Gordon et al., 2007; Warren et al., 2017). It will also be important to ascertain the orthology of the genes for which transcriptome-based short read reconstructions is the sole evidence of their existence. Whenever possible, mapping of short reads to the genome assembly will be instrumental for differentiating cases of possible paralogy, and incorporation of unplaced assembled segments into larger scaffolds will facilitate syntenic assessment of orthology. Equally important will be a careful evaluation of conservation of sequence and domain organization of the genes that are eventually found, especially those placed in microchromosomes and other difficult to sequence or assemble regions. Perhaps most exciting of all, it seems likely that novel important insights into gene function could be gained by manipulations such as re-introducing these missing genes into experimental avian model organisms through transgenesis or tissue-specific overexpression with viral vectors-based methodologies (Abe et al., 2015; Agate et al., 2009; Bosselman et al., 1989; Liu et al., 2015; Poynter et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2016; Velho and Lois, 2014). Such approaches could test whether/how the respective pathways and tissues are sensitive to gene reinsertion, or alternatively whether birds have become

that led to the emergence of modern avian species.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the organizers and participants at the 2016 ISAE conference for many fruitful and insightful discussions about avian endocrine function.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [R24-GM092842; R21-DC014432]; The National Science Foundation [IOS-143602].

Literature Cited

- Abe K, Matsui S, Watanabe D. Transgenic songbirds with suppressed or enhanced activity of CREB transcription factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112:7599–7604. [PubMed: 26048905]
- Agate RJ, Scott BB, Haripal B, Lois C, Nottebohm F. Transgenic songbirds offer an opportunity to develop a genetic model for vocal learning. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:17963–17967. [PubMed: 19815496]

Anesi L, de Gemmis P, Galla D, Hladnik U. Two new large deletions of the AVPR2 gene causing nephrogenic diabetes insipidus and a review of previously published deletions. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012; 27:3705–3712. [PubMed: 22879391]

Barakat HA, St Clair RW. Characterization of plasma lipoproteins of grain- and cholesterol-fed White Carneau and Show Racer pigeons. J Lipid Res. 1985; 26:1252–1268. [PubMed: 4067419]

Bornelov S, Seroussi E, Yosefi S, Pendavis K, Burgess SC, Grabherr M, Friedman-Einat M, Andersson L. Correspondence on Lovell et al.: identification of chicken genes previously assumed to evolutionarily lost. Genome Biol. 2017 in press.

- Bosselman RA, Hsu RY, Boggs T, Hu S, Bruszewski J, Ou S, Kozar L, Martin F, Green C, Jacobsen F, et al. Germline transmission of exogenous genes in the chicken. Science. 1989; 243:533–535. [PubMed: 2536194]
- Braun EJ, Sweazea KL. Glucose regulation in birds. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2008; 151:1–9. [PubMed: 18571448]
- Carney JA, Lyssikatos C, Lodish MB, Stratakis CA. Germline PRKACA amplification leads to Cushing syndrome caused by 3 adrenocortical pathologic phenotypes. Hum Pathol. 2015; 46:40–49. [PubMed: 25449630]
- Casals N, Zammit V, Herrero L, Fado R, Rodriguez-Rodriguez R, Serra D. Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1C: From cognition to cancer. Prog Lipid Res. 2016; 61:134–148. [PubMed: 26708865]
- Casar JC, McKechnie BA, Fallon JR, Young MF, Brandan E. Transient up-regulation of biglycan during skeletal muscle regeneration: delayed fiber growth along with decorin increase in biglycandeficient mice. Dev Biol. 2004; 268:358–371. [PubMed: 15063173]
- Chang WS, Chang NT, Lin SC, Wu CW, Wu FY. Tissue-specific cancer-related serpin gene cluster at human chromosome band 3q26. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2000; 29:240–255. [PubMed: 10992299]
- Chen YC, Liu T, Yu CH, Chiang TY, Hwang CC. Effects of GC bias in next-generation-sequencing data on de novo genome assembly. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e62856. [PubMed: 23638157]
- Chung CM, Lin TH, Chen JW, Leu HB, Yin WH, Ho HY, Sheu SH, Tsai WC, Chen JH, Lin SJ, Pan WH. Common quantitative trait locus downstream of RETN gene identified by genome-wide association study is associated with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Han Chinese: a Mendelian randomization effect. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2014; 30:232–240. [PubMed: 24123702]
- Corsi A, Xu T, Chen XD, Boyde A, Liang J, Mankani M, Sommer B, Iozzo RV, Eichstetter I, Robey PG, Bianco P, Young MF. Phenotypic effects of biglycan deficiency are linked to collagen fibril abnormalities, are synergized by decorin deficiency, and mimic Ehlers-Danlos-like changes in bone and other connective tissues. J Bone Miner Res. 2002; 17:1180–1189. [PubMed: 12102052]

- Denton JF, Lugo-Martinez J, Tucker AE, Schrider DR, Warren WC, Hahn MW. Extensive error in the number of genes inferred from draft genome assemblies. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014; 10:e1003998. [PubMed: 25474019]
- Filkova M, Senolt L, Vencovsky J. The role of resistin in inflammatory myopathies. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2013; 15:336. [PubMed: 23591826]
- Friedman-Einat M, Cogburn LA, Yosefi S, Hen G, Shinder D, Shirak A, Seroussi E. Discovery and characterization of the first genuine avian leptin gene in the rock dove (Columba livia). Endocrinology. 2014; 155:3376–3384. [PubMed: 24758303]
- Go GW, Mani A. Low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family orchestrates cholesterol homeostasis. Yale J Biol Med. 2012; 85:19–28. [PubMed: 22461740]
- Gordon L, Yang S, Tran-Gyamfi M, Baggott D, Christensen M, Hamilton A, Crooijmans R, Groenen M, Lucas S, Ovcharenko I, Stubbs L. Comparative analysis of chicken chromosome 28 provides new clues to the evolutionary fragility of gene-rich vertebrate regions. Genome Res. 2007; 17:1603–1613. [PubMed: 17921355]
- Higgins SE, Ellestad LE, Trakooljul N, McCarthy F, Saliba J, Cogburn LA, Porter TE. Transcriptional and pathway analysis in the hypothalamus of newly hatched chicks during fasting and delayed feeding. BMC Genomics. 2010; 11:162. [PubMed: 20214824]
- Higgins WJ, Grehan GT, Wynne KJ, Worrall DM. SerpinI2 (pancpin) is an inhibitory serpin targeting pancreatic elastase and chymotrypsin. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2017; 1865:195–200. [PubMed: 27989643]
- Hillier LW, Miller W, Birney E, Warren WC, Consortium., a.t.m.o.t.C.G. Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. Nature. 2004; 432:695–716. [PubMed: 15592404]
- Hivert MF, Manning AK, McAteer JB, Dupuis J, Fox CS, Cupples LA, Meigs JB, Florez JC. Association of variants in RETN with plasma resistin levels and diabetes-related traits in the Framingham Offspring Study. Diabetes. 2009; 58:750–756. [PubMed: 19074981]
- Hong YH, Lillehoj HS, Lee SH, Park D, Lillehoj EP. Molecular cloning and characterization of chicken lipopolysaccharide-induced TNF-alpha factor (LITAF). Dev Comp Immunol. 2006; 30:919–929. [PubMed: 16466659]
- Hron T, Pajer P, Paces J, Bartunek P, Elleder D. Hidden genes in birds. Genome Biol. 2015; 16:164. [PubMed: 26283656]
- Huang G, Li J, Wang H, Lan X, Wang Y. Discovery of a novel functional leptin protein (LEP) in zebra finches: evidence for the existence of an authentic avian leptin gene predominantly expressed in the brain and pituitary. Endocrinology. 2014; 155:3385–3396. [PubMed: 24823393]
- Huang LZ, Gao JL, Pu C, Zhang PH, Wang LZ, Feng G, Zhang Y. Apolipoprotein M: Research progress, regulation and metabolic functions (Review). Mol Med Rep. 2015; 12:1617–1624. [PubMed: 25901639]
- Huang Y, Li Y, Burt DW, Chen H, Zhang Y, Qian W, Kim H, Gan S, Zhao Y, Li J, Yi K, Feng H, Zhu P, Li B, Liu Q, Fairley S, Magor KE, Du Z, Hu X, Goodman L, Tafer H, Vignal A, Lee T, Kim KW, Sheng Z, An Y, Searle S, Herrero J, Groenen MA, Crooijmans RP, Faraut T, Cai Q, Webster RG, Aldridge JR, Warren WC, Bartschat S, Kehr S, Marz M, Stadler PF, Smith J, Kraus RH, Zhao Y, Ren L, Fei J, Morisson M, Kaiser P, Griffin DK, Rao M, Pitel F, Wang J, Li N. The duck genome and transcriptome provide insight into an avian influenza virus reservoir species. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:776–783. [PubMed: 23749191]
- Hughes AL, Piontkivska H. DNA repeat arrays in chicken and human genomes and the adaptive evolution of avian genome size. BMC Evol Biol. 2005; 5:12. [PubMed: 15694002]
- Jarvis ED, Mirarab S, Aberer AJ, Li B, Houde P, Li C, Ho SY, Faircloth BC, Nabholz B, Howard JT, Suh A, Weber CC, Da Fonseca RR, Li J, Zhang F, Li H, Zhou L, Narula N, Liu L, Ganapathy G, Boussau B, Bayzid MS, Zavidovych V, Subramanian S, Gabaldon T, Capella-Gutierrez S, Huerta-Cepas J, Rekepalli B, Munch K, Schierup M, Lindow B, Warren WC, Ray D, Green RE, Bruford MW, Zhan X, Dixon A, Li S, Li N, Huang Y, Derryberry EP, Bertelsen MF, Sheldon FH, Brumfield RT, Mello CV, Lovell PV, Wirthlin M, Schneider MP, Prosdocimi F, Samaniego JA, Vargas Velazquez AM, Alfaro-Nunez A, Campos PF, Petersen B, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Pas A, Bailey T, Scofield P, Bunce M, Lambert DM, Zhou Q, Perelman P, Driskell AC, Shapiro B, Xiong Z, Zeng Y, Liu S, Li Z, Liu B, Wu K, Xiao J, Yinqi X, Zheng Q, Zhang Y, Yang H, Wang J, Smeds

L, Rheindt FE, Braun M, Fjeldsa J, Orlando L, Barker FK, Jonsson KA, Johnson W, Koepfli KP, O'Brien S, Haussler D, Ryder OA, Rahbek C, Willerslev E, Graves GR, Glenn TC, McCormack J, Burt D, Ellegren H, Alstrom P, Edwards SV, Stamatakis A, Mindell DP, Cracraft J, Braun EL, Warnow T, Jun W, Gilbert MT, Zhang G. Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science. 2014; 346:1320–1331. [PubMed: 25504713]

- Jeon H, Blacklow SC. Structure and physiologic function of the low-density lipoprotein receptor. Annu Rev Biochem. 2005; 74:535–562. [PubMed: 15952897]
- Joseph SB, Castrillo A, Laffitte BA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Tontonoz P. Reciprocal regulation of inflammation and lipid metabolism by liver × receptors. Nat Med. 2003; 9:213–219. [PubMed: 12524534]
- Khan I, Yang Z, Maldonado E, Li C, Zhang G, Gilbert MT, Jarvis ED, O'Brien SJ, Johnson WE, Antunes A. Olfactory Receptor Subgenomes Linked with Broad Ecological Adaptations in Sauropsida. Mol Biol Evol. 2015; 32:2832–2843. [PubMed: 26219582]
- Kong L, Lovell PV, Heger A, Mello CV, Ponting CP. Accelerated evolution of PAK3- and PIM1-like kinase gene families in the zebra finch, Taeniopygia guttata. Mol Biol Evol. 2010; 27:1923–1934. [PubMed: 20237222]
- Kono T, Nishida M, Nishiki Y, Seki Y, Sato K, Akiba Y. Characterisation of glucose transporter (GLUT) gene expression in broiler chickens. Br Poult Sci. 2005; 46:510–515. [PubMed: 16268111]
- Leyvraz C, Charles RP, Rubera I, Guitard M, Rotman S, Breiden B, Sandhoff K, Hummler E. The epidermal barrier function is dependent on the serine protease CAP1/Prss8. J Cell Biol. 2005; 170:487–496. [PubMed: 16061697]
- Liu WC, Kohn J, Szwed SK, Pariser E, Sepe S, Haripal B, Oshimori N, Marsala M, Miyanohara A, Lee R. Human mutant huntingtin disrupts vocal learning in transgenic songbirds. Nat Neurosci. 2015; 18:1617–1622. [PubMed: 26436900]
- Lovell PV, Kasimi B, Carleton J, Velho TA, Mello CV. Living without DAT: Loss and compensation of the dopamine transporter gene in sauropsids (birds and reptiles). Sci Rep. 2015a; 5:14093. [PubMed: 26364979]
- Lovell PV, Wirthlin M, Carbone L, Warren WC, Mello CV. Response to Hron et al. Genome Biol. 2015b; 16:165. [PubMed: 26281829]
- Lovell PV, Wirthlin M, Wilhelm L, Minx P, Lazar NH, Carbone L, Warren WC, Mello CV. Conserved syntenic clusters of protein coding genes are missing in birds. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:565. [PubMed: 25518852]
- Maehara K, Hida T, Abe Y, Koga A, Ota K, Kutoh E. Functional interference between estrogen-related receptor alpha and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha/9-cis-retinoic acid receptor alpha heterodimer complex in the nuclear receptor response element-1 of the medium chain acylcoenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. J Mol Endocrinol. 2003; 31:47–60. [PubMed: 12914524]
- McGowan BM, Stanley SA, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR. Relaxin-3 and its role in neuroendocrine function. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2009; 1160:250–255. [PubMed: 19416197]
- Millar RP. Identification of genuine/authentic avian leptin: some answers and more questions. Endocrinology. 2014; 155:3203–3205. [PubMed: 25152173]
- Narasimhan VM, Xue Y, Tyler-Smith C. Human Knockout Carriers: Dead, Diseased, Healthy, or Improved? Trends Mol Med. 2016; 22:341–351. [PubMed: 26988438]
- Organ CL, Shedlock AM, Meade A, Pagel M, Edwards SV. Origin of avian genome size and structure in non-avian dinosaurs. Nature. 2007; 446:180–184. [PubMed: 17344851]
- Ozaki K, Nagata M, Suzuki M, Fujiwara T, Miyoshi Y, Ishikawa O, Ohigashi H, Imaoka S, Takahashi E, Nakamura Y. Isolation and characterization of a novel human pancreas-specific gene, pancpin, that is down-regulated in pancreatic cancer cells. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1998; 22:179–185. [PubMed: 9624529]
- Poynter G, Huss D, Lansford R. Japanese quail: an efficient animal model for the production of transgenic avians. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2009 2009. pdb emo112.
- Rhoads A, Au KF. PacBio Sequencing and Its Applications. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics. 2015; 13:278–289. [PubMed: 26542840]

- Sargent J. Neuroendocrine cancer. An activating hotspot mutation in PRKACA provides clues for adrenal Cushing syndrome therapeutics. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014; 10:311. [PubMed: 24751881]
- Sassek M, Pruszynska-Oszmalek E, Nowacka-Woszuk J, Szczerbal I, Szczepankiewicz D, Kaczmarek P, Kolodziejski PA, Switonski M, Mackowiak P. Resistin – from gene expression to development of diabetes. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2013; 27:647–654. [PubMed: 24152833]
- Scott, BB., Velho, TA., Sim, S., Lois, C. ILAR J. Oxford University Press; 2010. Applications of Avian Transgenesis; p. 353-361.
- Seki Y, Sato K, Kono T, Abe H, Akiba Y. Broiler chickens (Ross strain) lack insulin-responsive glucose transporter GLUT4 and have GLUT8 cDNA. Gen Comp Endocrinol. 2003; 133:80–87. [PubMed: 12899849]
- Seroussi E, Cinnamon Y, Yosefi S, Genin O, Smith JG, Rafati N, Bornelov S, Andersson L, Friedman-Einat M. Identification of the Long-Sought Leptin in Chicken and Duck: Expression Pattern of the Highly GC-Rich Avian leptin Fits an Autocrine/Paracrine Rather Than Endocrine Function. Endocrinology. 2016; 157:737–751. [PubMed: 26587783]
- Sladek R, Bader JA, Giguere V. The orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor alpha is a transcriptional regulator of the human medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. Mol Cell Biol. 1997; 17:5400–5409. [PubMed: 9271417]
- Spanakis E, Milord E, Gragnoli C. AVPR2 variants and mutations in nephrogenic diabetes insipidus: review and missense mutation significance. J Cell Physiol. 2008; 217:605–617. [PubMed: 18726898]
- Steiger SS, Fidler AE, Kempenaers B. Evidence for increased olfactory receptor gene repertoire size in two nocturnal bird species with well-developed olfactory ability. BMC Evol Biol. 2009a; 9:117. [PubMed: 19467156]
- Steiger SS, Kuryshev VY, Stensmyr MC, Kempenaers B, Mueller JC. A comparison of reptilian and avian olfactory receptor gene repertoires: species-specific expansion of group gamma genes in birds. BMC Genomics. 2009b; 10:446. [PubMed: 19772566]
- Sugiyama T, Kumagai H, Morikawa Y, Wada Y, Sugiyama A, Yasuda K, Yokoi N, Tamura S, Kojima T, Nosaka T, Senba E, Kimura S, Kadowaki T, Kodama T, Kitamura T. A novel low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein mediating cellular uptake of apolipoprotein E-enriched beta-VLDL in vitro. Biochemistry. 2000; 39:15817–15825. [PubMed: 11123907]
- Sweazea KL, Braun EJ. Glucose transporter expression in English sparrows (Passer domesticus). Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2006; 144:263–270. [PubMed: 16730206]
- Tanaka M, Singh Alvarado J, Murugan M, Mooney R. Focal expression of mutant huntingtin in the songbird basal ganglia disrupts cortico-basal ganglia networks and vocal sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113:E1720–1727. [PubMed: 26951661]
- Vanacker JM, Bonnelye E, Delmarre C, Laudet V. Activation of the thyroid hormone receptor alpha gene promoter by the orphan nuclear receptor ERR alpha. Oncogene. 1998a; 17:2429–2435. [PubMed: 9824153]
- Vanacker JM, Delmarre C, Guo X, Laudet V. Activation of the osteopontin promoter by the orphan nuclear receptor estrogen receptor related alpha. Cell Growth Differ. 1998b; 9:1007–1014. [PubMed: 9869301]
- Velho, TAF., Lois, C. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2014. Generation of Transgenic Zebra Finches with Replication-Deficient Lentiviruses. pdb.prot084608
- Wade J, Arnold AP. Functional testicular tissue does not masculinize development of the zebra finch song system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A. 1996; 93:5264–5268.
- Wade J, Arnold AP. Sexual differentiation of the zebra finch song system. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004; 1016:540–559. [PubMed: 15313794]
- Wade J, Springer ML, Wingfield JC, Arnold AP. Neither testicular androgens nor embryonic aromatase activity alters morphology of the neural song system in zebra finches. Biol Reprod. 1996; 55:1126–1132. [PubMed: 8902226]
- Warren WC, Clayton DF, Ellegren H, Arnold AP, Hillier LW, Kunstner A, Searle S, White S, Vilella AJ, Fairley S, Heger A, Kong L, Ponting CP, Jarvis ED, Mello CV, Minx P, Lovell P, Velho TA, Ferris M, Balakrishnan CN, Sinha S, Blatti C, London SE, Li Y, Lin YC, George J, Sweedler J, Southey B, Gunaratne P, Watson M, Nam K, Backstrom N, Smeds L, Nabholz B, Itoh Y, Whitney

O, Pfenning AR, Howard J, Volker M, Skinner BM, Griffin DK, Ye L, McLaren WM, Flicek P, Quesada V, Velasco G, Lopez-Otin C, Puente XS, Olender T, Lancet D, Smit AF, Hubley R, Konkel MK, Walker JA, Batzer MA, Gu W, Pollock DD, Chen L, Cheng Z, Eichler EE, Stapley J, Slate J, Ekblom R, Birkhead T, Burke T, Burt D, Scharff C, Adam I, Richard H, Sultan M, Soldatov A, Lehrach H, Edwards SV, Yang SP, Li X, Graves T, Fulton L, Nelson J, Chinwalla A, Hou S, Mardis ER, Wilson RK. The genome of a songbird. Nature. 2010; 464:757–762. [PubMed: 20360741]

- Warren WC, Hillier LW, Tomlinson C, Minx P, Kremitzki M, Graves T, Markovic C, Bouk N, Pruitt KD, Thibaud-Nissen F, Schneider V, Mansour TA, Brown CT, Zimin A, Hawken R, Abrahamsen M, Pyrkosz AB, Morisson M, Fillon V, Vignal A, Chow W, Howe K, Fulton JE, Miller MM, Lovell P, Mello CV, Wirthlin M, Mason AS, Kuo R, Burt DW, Dodgson JB, Cheng HH. A New Chicken Genome Assembly Provides Insight into Avian Genome Structure. G3 (Bethesda). 2017; 7:109–117. [PubMed: 27852011]
- Welch KC Jr, Allalou A, Sehgal P, Cheng J, Ashok A. Glucose transporter expression in an avian nectarivore: the ruby-throated hummingbird (Archilochus colubris). PLoS One. 2013; 8:e77003. [PubMed: 24155916]
- Willnow TE. The low-density lipoprotein receptor gene family: multiple roles in lipid metabolism. J Mol Med (Berl). 1999; 77:306–315. [PubMed: 10090593]
- Wirthlin M, Lovell PV, Jarvis ED, Mello CV. Comparative genomics reveals molecular features unique to the songbird lineage. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15:1082. [PubMed: 25494627]
- Zaidi SI, Shirwany TA. Relationship of Serum Resistin with Insulin Resistance and Obesity. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2015; 27:552–555. [PubMed: 26721005]
- Zhang G, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP. Avian genomes. A flock of genomes. Introduction., Science. American Association for the Advancement of Science. 2014a:1308–1309.
- Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ, Meredith RW, Odeen A, Cui J, Zhou Q, Xu L, Pan H, Wang Z, Jin L, Zhang P, Hu H, Yang W, Hu J, Xiao J, Yang Z, Liu Y, Xie Q, Yu H, Lian J, Wen P, Zhang F, Li H, Zeng Y, Xiong Z, Liu S, Zhou L, Huang Z, An N, Wang J, Zheng Q, Xiong Y, Wang G, Wang B, Wang J, Fan Y, da Fonseca RR, Alfaro-Nunez A, Schubert M, Orlando L, Mourier T, Howard JT, Ganapathy G, Pfenning A, Whitney O, Rivas MV, Hara E, Smith J, Farre M, Narayan J, Slavov G, Romanov MN, Borges R, Machado JP, Khan I, Springer MS, Gatesy J, Hoffmann FG, Opazo JC, Hastad O, Sawyer RH, Kim H, Kim KW, Kim HJ, Cho S, Li N, Huang Y, Bruford MW, Zhan X, Dixon A, Bertelsen MF, Derryberry E, Warren W, Wilson RK, Li S, Ray DA, Green RE, O'Brien SJ, Griffin D, Johnson WE, Haussler D, Ryder OA, Willerslev E, Graves GR, Alstrom P, Fjeldsa J, Mindell DP, Edwards SV, Braun EL, Rahbek C, Burt DW, Houde P, Zhang Y, Yang H, Wang J, Avian Genome C, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MT, Wang J. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. Science. 2014b; 346:1311–1320. [PubMed: 25504712]
- Zhang Z, Teng CT. Estrogen receptor-related receptor alpha 1 interacts with coactivator and constitutively activates the estrogen response elements of the human lactoferrin gene. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:20837–20846. [PubMed: 10779508]
- Zirngibl RA, Chan JS, Aubin JE. Estrogen receptor-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) regulates osteopontin expression through a non-canonical ERRalpha response element in a cell contextdependent manner. J Mol Endocrinol. 2008; 40:61–73. [PubMed: 18234909]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

• Birds are missing genes that play prominent endocrine roles in mammals

- **•** Bird gene losses point to possible compensatory mechanisms
- **•** Birds are an important model for studying the genetic basis of endocrine disorders

Table 1

Missing genes in birds that affect endocrine related organ systems/functions when knocked out singly or in combinations with other genes in mice (Modified from Lovell et al., 2014; classifications based on MGI; www.informatix.jax.org)

 i
single knockout sufficient to cause endocrine phenotype;

 \ddot{i} endocrine phenotype requires knockout of this gene combined with other genes;

iii links to endocrine function based on published literature on gene function and Entrez Gene summaries

Table 2

Missing genes in birds that are associated with a human genetic syndrome or disorder (Modified from Lovell et al., 2014; Summaries are excerpts from OMIM, www.omim.org)

