

Erratum to comparative study of software techniques for 3D mapping of perforators in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap planning

Michael P. Chae^{1,2}, David J. Hunter-Smith^{1,2}, Warren Matthew Rozen^{1,2}

¹Department of Surgery, Monash University, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ²Monash University Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Group (Peninsula Clinical School), Peninsula Health, Frankston, Victoria, Australia

Correspondence to: Michael P. Chae, MBBS, BMedSc. Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Frankston Hospital, Peninsula Health, 2 Hastings Road, Frankston Victoria 3199, Australia. Email: mpc25@me.com.

doi: 10.21037/gs.2017.12.02

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs.2017.12.02>

Erratum to: *Gland Surg* 2016;5:99-106

In the April 2016 issue of *Gland Surgery*, the original article “Comparative study of software techniques for 3D mapping of perforators in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap planning” (1) was published with some errors.

Some data in the tables with the titles “Comparing the mean transverse distance of DIEA perforators from the midline calculated using Siemens Syngo InSpace 4D (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) to the intraoperative measurements” and “Comparing the mean transverse distance of DIEA perforators from the midline calculated using Osirix (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland) to the intraoperative measurements” of that article are incorrect, and should be corrected as follow (Tables 3,4).

Table 3 Comparing the mean transverse distance of DIEA perforators from the midline calculated using Siemens Syngo InSpace 4D (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) to the intraoperative measurements

	Siemens Syngo InSpace 4D	Operative findings	Difference	P value
Perforator location, lateral-to-midline (mean)	3.36 cm	3.43 cm	0.07 cm	<0.01

Table 4 Comparing the mean transverse distance of DIEA perforators from the midline calculated using Osirix (Pixmeo, Geneva, Switzerland) to the intraoperative measurements

	Osirix	Operative findings	Difference	P value
Perforator location, lateral-to-midline (mean)	3.36 cm	3.43 cm	0.07 cm	<0.01

The authors regret the errors.

References

1. Chae MP, Hunter-Smith DJ, Rozen WM. Comparative study of software techniques for 3D mapping of perforators in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap planning. *Gland Surg* 2016;5:99-106.

Cite this article as: Chae MP, Hunter-Smith DJ, Rozen WM. Erratum to comparative study of software techniques for 3D mapping of perforators in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap planning. *Gland Surg* 2017;6(6):754-755. doi: 10.21037/gs.2017.12.02