Canadian Institutes of **Health Research** Instituts de recherche en santé du Canada

**Submitted by CIHR** Déposé par les IRSC

Bioelectron Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 January 05.

Published in final edited form as: Bioelectron Med. 2016 January 1; 3: 1–6.

# **Vagus Nerve Stimulation for Treatment of Inflammation: Systematic Review of Animal Models and Clinical Studies**

**Harwood Kwan**1, **Luca Garzoni**2, **Hai Lun Liu**1, **Mingju Cao**1, **Andre Desrochers**3, **Gilles Fecteau**3, **Patrick Burns**3, and **Martin G Frasch**1,4,5

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Department of Neurosciences, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, CHU Ste-Justine Research Centre, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, University of Montreal, St-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Centre de Recherche en Reproduction Animale, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Montreal, St-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, United States of America

### **Abstract**

Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) has been used since 1997 for treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy. More recently, an off-label use of VNS has been explored in animal models and clinical trials for treatment of a number of conditions involving the innate immune system. The underlying premise has been the notion of the cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway (CAP), mediated by the vagus nerves. While the macroanatomic substrate – the vagus nerve – is understood, the physiology of the pleiotropic VNS effects and the "language" of the vagus nerve, mediated brain-body communication, remain an enigma. Tackling this kind of enigma is precisely the challenge for and promise of bioelectronic medicine. We review the state of the art of this emerging field as it pertains to developing strategies for use of the endogenous CAP to treat inflammation and infection in various animal models and human clinical trials. This is a systematic PubMed review for the MeSH terms "vagus nerve stimulation AND inflammation." We report the diverse profile of currently used VNS antiinflammatory strategies in animal studies and human clinical trials. This review provides a foundation and calls for devising systematic and comparable VNS strategies in animal and human studies for treatment of inflammation. We discuss species-specific differences in the molecular genetics of cholinergic signaling as a framework to understand the divergence in VNS effects between species. Brain-mapping initiatives are needed to decode vaguscarried brain-body communication before hypothesis-driven treatment approaches can be devised.

Address correspondence to Martin G Frasch, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Washington, 1959 NE Pacific Street, Box 356460, Seattle, WA 98195. Phone: 206-543-5892; Fax: 206-543-3915; mfrasch@uw.edu.

**DISCLOSURE**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests as defined by Bioelectronic Medicine, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and discussion reported in this paper.

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### **Rationale**

Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) has been used for treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy since 1997, when the US Food and Drug Administration approved it (1–3). More recently, an offlabel use of this well-tolerated treatment modality has been explored in multiple animal experimental models and clinical trials for treatment of a number of conditions involving the innate immune system (4,5). The underlying systemic antiinflammatory mechanism is mediated by the vagus nerves relaying onto the spleen's  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (α7nAChR) expressing macrophages as part of the cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway (CAP) (6). While the macroanatomic wiring through the vagus nerve seems clear, the physiology of the pleiotropic VNS effects and the "language" of the vagus nerve–mediated brain-body communication remain an enigma. Tackling this kind of enigma is precisely the challenge for and promise of bioelectronic medicine (7).

#### **Objectives**

We review the state of the art of this emerging field as it pertains to developing strategies to harness the endogenous CAP via VNS for treatment of inflammation and infection in various animal models and human clinical trials.

#### **METHODS**

Protocol and registration are available online at PROSPERO ([http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/](http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42016035733) [PROSPERO/display\\_record.asp?ID=CRD42016035733](http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42016035733)) under the registration number CRD42016035733.

#### **Eligibility Criteria, Information Sources and Search Strategy**

We included any studies listed on PubMed in the English language that met the search term criteria (vagus nerve stimulation [MeSH terms] AND inflammation [MeSH terms]). All years up to June 27, 2016, were considered. The results are depicted in Figure 1 (PRISMA flow diagram). One article was found through Google Scholar when searching for a full-text version of another paper, Shi et al., Effects of efferent vagus nerve excitation on inflammatory response in heart tissue in rats with endotoxemia (article in Chinese).

**Study selection—**All study designs were considered.

**Data collection process and data items—**We extracted data on animal model used, location and site of VNS, frequency, intensity, pulse and stimulation durations.

**Risk of bias in individual studies—**Our inclusion criteria were very broad. As such, while the review has high precision, there is also potential for bias from combining studies in various animal and human trials with acute and chronic experimental designs and somewhat variable readouts. Aside from actual VNS settings, its effects on inflammation may vary by species, gender, age, anatomical site, duration of treatment application and time horizon of readouts (acute versus chronic).

**Summary measures—**We addressed the risk of bias by not only providing the VNS settings used throughout the literature, but also organizing the results by animal species, including gender whenever possible, VNS treatment duration and resulting effect on inflammation.

**Synthesis of results—**All PubMed hits were imported into EndNote software and reviewed based on the following criteria: animal model, gender, age, VNS site and duration, frequency, amplitude, intensity and duration of the impulse. If the study was conducted during a single day (<24 h), it was noted as acute; otherwise it was deemed chronic. Lastly, the outcome of the VNS was noted as decreasing or increasing inflammation. All data have been summarized in Table 1.

## **RESULTS**

Study selection and characteristics are summarized in Figure 1 (PRISMA flow diagram).

We identified 290 records, of which 36 were deemed eligible and reviewed.

All studies were conducted in adult subjects (Table 1). In total, 80% of the studies were conducted in rodents, 19 in rats and nine in mice; 69% of the studies were done in male adult subjects, and left or right cervical vagus nerve was stimulated. We found a large variance in VNS settings, with approximately one-third of the studies not reporting the intensity of the stimulus. A total of 77% of the studies were designed as acute protocols (less than 24 h VNS treatment) and observed the inflammatory profile for less than 24 h. All rodent VNS studies except for one resulted in reduction of inflammation (8). Meanwhile, two of the three human studies did not show an antiinflammatory effect (9,10), while one, a recent study by Koopman *et al.*, demonstrated *in vitro* antiinflammatory programming effects in peripheral blood monocytes due to VNS in adult human subjects with no known immune system dysfunction and in patients with diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis (42). In the latter, chronic improvement in disease severity was also observed. All studies except two used VNS treatment before inducing inflammatory response rather than after, to mimic a clinical scenario.

### **DISCUSSION**

We report the diverse VNS methodological profile reviewing the currently used VNS antiinflammatory strategies in animal studies and human clinical trials. While tolerance for VNS is good, apart from its successful use in refractory epilepsy in humans, its antiinflammatory effects are not supported by the two human studies included in this review (9,10). Notably, as of July 10, 2016, a search for "vagus nerve stimulation AND antiinflammatory effects" on clinicaltrials.gov turned up 11 registered studies, four of them actively recruiting. Seventy studies are listed for "vagus nerve stimulation," excluding epilepsy-related research. This is a testament to the high interest and hopes this nonpharmacologic treatment modality elicits among various fields of medicine and the relevance of creating and maintaining a uniform reporting standard for VNS.

The discrepancy between the promising antiinflammatory effects of VNS in animal and human studies may be due to a lack of basic physiological understanding of the "vagus code," that is, how the efferent and afferent signals are encoded in the vagus nerve and how the information about the various stimuli is represented within the efferent and afferent vagal pathways. Evidence exists from VNS studies that frequency coding may be an approach by which to discretely stimulate its antiepileptic  $(\sim 25 \text{ Hz}$  activating vagal afferents) or antiinflammatory  $\sim$  5 Hz activating vagal efferents) effects (43). Recently, as proof of principle, temporal patterning of VNS has been applied to selectively stimulate vagus nerve fibers, inducing bradycardia (44). A systematic effort to decode communication via the vagus nerve is needed to devise more hypothesis-driven VNS paradigms that are likely to lead to dedicated immune-modulatory effects in humans. This represents the promise and mission of bioelectronic medicine and the National Institutes of Health Brain Research through Advancing Innovative Neurotechnologies (BRAIN) initiative.

The first step should be a consistent reporting framework for VNS studies. We propose that such framework should include the variables presented in Table 1 of this review. The lack of consistency in reporting the VNS paradigms makes it difficult to validate and develop some of the pioneering work done in this field.

Another reason for the discouraging results in human studies is the male gender bias, which became apparent when reviewing the animal, mostly rodent, literature.

Furthermore, the myelinated part of the vagus nerve is phylogenetically more recent than the nonmyelinated; differences among species and during development exist as to the degree of myelination (45–47). Such functional anatomical differences should have an impact on VNS results.

Recent work in molecular genetics provides another rich dimension to the complexity of the vagus code: pre- and post-transcriptional and epigenetic modifications govern the bioavailability of acetylcholine, the carrier of vagus code within the central and peripheral nervous systems, as well as in the neuroimmunological synapse, via the species-specific variants of microRNA (miRNA or miR) and alternative splicing, ultimately resulting in the complex spatiotemporal landscape of acetylcholine esterase variants (48–51).

Some of these miRNA, for example miR-608, are primate-specific. SNP variants in the miR-608 binding region modify miR-608–mediated suppression of acetylcholine esterase, and consequently activity of the autonomic and central nervous systems in humans (52–54). This explains our finding that rodent VNS models may not be good predictors of VNS treatment effects in humans.

Lastly, we found no studies on developing organisms, from perinatal to juvenile age, where putative salutary effects of VNS would be investigated, although CAP is active as early as in the late-gestation fetus (55,56). There is a continued need to provide better treatments for sepsis, severe infection and cardiovascular compromise in early life (55,57).

## **CONCLUSION**

Overall, this review reveals the nascent stage in which the field of VNS treatment of inflammation finds itself 16 years since its inception (6). The results of the animal studies are very promising and call for a theoretical modeling of vagus code accounting for all levels of organization, from systems biology to systems physiology; a more systematic approach to experimental design and reporting; consideration of the gender effect on inflammation (58) developmental stages; and more diverse animal models (to better gauge the putative species diversity in the vagus code) to ultimately harness the salutary potential of this treatment modality. Such framework has the potential to lead to the development of truly personalized VNS regimens. Lastly, concerted and well-funded efforts are required to devise noninvasive alternatives to VNS to translate this treatment approach into widely used clinical experimentation, and eventually practice, to benefit patients.

## **Acknowledgments**

Supported by grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (MGF) and Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec (MGF).

### **References**

- 1. Patel KS, et al. Efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation as a treatment for medically intractable epilepsy in brain tumor patients. A case-controlled study using the VNS therapy. Patient Outcome Registry Seizure. 2013; 22(8):627–33. [PubMed: 23768541]
- 2. Elliott RE, et al. Efficacy of vagus nerve stimulation over time: review of 65 consecutive patients with treatment-resistant epilepsy treated with VNS >10 years. Epilepsy Behav. 2011; 20(3):478–83. [PubMed: 21296622]
- 3. Uthman BM. Vagus nerve stimulation for seizures. Arch Med Res. 2000; 31(3):300–03. [PubMed: 11036181]
- 4. Bonaz B, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation in Crohn's disease: a 6-month follow-up pilot study. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016; 28(6):948–53. [PubMed: 26920654]
- 5. Lim HD, et al. Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Acupuncture Stimulation via the Vagus Nerve. PLoS One. 2016; 11(3):e0151882. [PubMed: 26991319]
- 6. Borovikova LV, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation attenuates the systemic inflammatory response to endotoxin. Nature. 2000; 405(6785):458–62. [PubMed: 10839541]
- 7. Pavlov VA, Tracey KJ. Neural circuitry and immunity. Immunol Res. 2015; 63(1–3):38–57. [PubMed: 26512000]
- 8. Kox M, et al. Effects of vagus nerve stimulation and vagotomy on systemic and pulmonary inflammation in a two-hit model in rats. PLoS One. 2012; 7(4):e34431. [PubMed: 22493690]
- 9. Barone L, et al. Effect of vagal nerve stimulation on systemic inflammation and cardiac autonomic function in patients with refractory epilepsy. Neuroimmunomodulation. 2007; 14(6):331–36. [PubMed: 18418007]
- 10. Kox M, et al. Transvenous vagus nerve stimulation does not modulate the innate immune response during experimental human endotoxemia: a randomized controlled study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2015; 17:150. [PubMed: 26049730]
- 11. Krahl SE, et al. Locus coeruleus lesions suppress the seizure-attenuating effects of vagus nerve stimulation. Epilepsia. 1998; 39(7):709–14. [PubMed: 9670898]
- 12. Huston JM, et al. Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation reduces serum high mobility group box 1 levels and improves survival in murine sepsis. Crit Care Med. 2007; 35(12):2762–68. [PubMed: 17901837]

- 13. Costantini TW, et al. Vagal nerve stimulation protects against burn-induced intestinal injury through activation of enteric glia cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2010; 299(6):G1308–18. [PubMed: 20705905]
- 14. Costantini TW, et al. Efferent vagal nerve stimulation attenuates gut barrier injury after burn: modulation of intestinal occludin expression. J Trauma. 2010; 68(6):1349–54. discussion 1354–56. [PubMed: 20539179]
- 15. Lopez NE, et al. Vagal nerve stimulation blocks peritoneal macrophage inflammatory responsiveness after severe burn injury. Shock. 2012; 38(3):294–300. [PubMed: 22683732]
- 16. Bansal V, et al. Vagal stimulation modulates inflammation through a ghrelin mediated mechanism in traumatic brain injury. Inflammation. 2012; 35(1):214–20. [PubMed: 21360048]
- 17. Vida G, et al. Alpha7-cholinergic receptor mediates vagal induction of splenic norepinephrine. J Immunol. 2011; 186(7):4340–46. [PubMed: 21339364]
- 18. Inoue T, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation mediates protection from kidney ischemia-reperfusion injury through alpha7nAChR+ splenocytes. J Clin Invest. 2016; 126(5):1939–52. [PubMed: 27088805]
- 19. Mihaylova S, et al. Effects of anti-inflammatory vagus nerve stimulation on the cerebral microcirculation in endotoxinemic rats. J Neuroinflammation. 2012; 9:183. [PubMed: 22830560]
- 20. van Westerloo DJ, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation inhibits activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis during endotoxemia in rats. J Thromb Haemost. 2006; 4(9):1997–2002. [PubMed: 16805873]
- 21. Sun P, et al. Involvement of MAPK/NF-kappaB signaling in the activation of the cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway in experimental colitis by chronic vagus nerve stimulation. PLoS One. 2013; 8(8):e69424. [PubMed: 23936328]
- 22. Chen C, et al. Vagal efferent fiber stimulation ameliorates pulmonary microvascular endothelial cell injury by downregulating inflammatory responses. Inflammation. 2013; 36(6):1567–75. [PubMed: 23912647]
- 23. Zhao M, et al. Vagal stimulation triggers peripheral vascular protection through the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway in a rat model of myocardial ischemia/reperfusion. Basic Res Cardiol. 2013; 108(3):345. [PubMed: 23519622]
- 24. Calvillo L, et al. Vagal stimulation, through its nicotinic action, limits infarct size and the inflammatory response to myocardial ischemia and reperfusion. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 2011; 58(5):500–07. [PubMed: 21765369]
- 25. Hoeger S, et al. Modulation of brain dead induced inflammation by vagus nerve stimulation. Am J Transplant. 2010; 10(3):477–89. [PubMed: 20055812]
- 26. Shi DG, et al. Effects of efferent vagus nerve excitation on inflammatory response in heart tissue in rats with endotoxemia. Zhongguo Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue. 2003; 15(1):26–28. [PubMed: 12852811]
- 27. Yamakawa K, et al. Electrical vagus nerve stimulation attenuates systemic inflammation and improves survival in a rat heatstroke model. PLoS One. 2013; 8(2):e56728. [PubMed: 23424673]
- 28. Boland C, et al. Electrical vagus nerve stimulation and nicotine effects in peritonitis-induced acute lung injury in rats. Inflammation. 2011; 34(1):29–35. [PubMed: 20336357]
- 29. Schulte A, et al. Loss of vagal tone aggravates systemic inflammation and cardiac impairment in endotoxemic rats. J Surg Res. 2014; 188(2):480–88. [PubMed: 24565505]
- 30. Garcia-Oscos F, et al. Vagal nerve stimulation blocks interleukin 6-dependent synaptic hyperexcitability induced by lipopolysaccharide-induced acute stress in the rodent prefrontal cortex. Brain Behav Immun. 2015; 43:149–58. [PubMed: 25128387]
- 31. Mihaylova S, et al. Effects of anti-inflammatory vagus nerve stimulation in endotoxemic rats on blood and spleen lymphocyte subsets. Inflamm Res. 2014; 63(8):683–90. [PubMed: 24802890]
- 32. Jiang Y, et al. PPARgamma upregulation induced by vagus nerve stimulation exerts antiinflammatory effect in cerebral ischemia/reperfusion rats. Med Sci Monit. 2015; 21:268–75. [PubMed: 25619160]
- 33. Garcia-Oscos F, et al. Activation of the anti-inflammatory reflex blocks lipopolysaccharideinduced decrease in synaptic inhibition in the temporal cortex of the rat. J Neurosci Res. 2015; 93(6):859–65. [PubMed: 25626997]

- 34. Morishita K, et al. Neuroenteric axis modulates the balance of regulatory T cells and T-helper 17 cells in the mesenteric lymph node following trauma/hemorrhagic shock. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2015; 309(3):G202–08. [PubMed: 26045612]
- 35. Li P, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation attenuates vascular endothelial impairments and reduces the inflammatory profile via inhibition of the NF-kappaB signaling pathway in ovariectomized rats. Exp Gerontol. 2016; 74:43–55. [PubMed: 26692419]
- 36. Ay I, et al. Transcutaneous Cervical Vagus Nerve Stimulation Ameliorates Acute Ischemic Injury in Rats. Brain Stimul. 9(2):166–73.
- 37. Yi C, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation attenuates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury by inhibiting the expression of interleukin-17A. Exp Ther Med. 2016; 11(1):171–76. [PubMed: 26889235]
- 38. Schneider R, et al. A new vagal anchor electrode for real-time monitoring of the recurrent laryngeal nerve. Am J Surg. 2010; 199(4):507–14. [PubMed: 20359570]
- 39. Zhang Y, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation improves autonomic control and attenuates systemic inflammation and heart failure progression in a canine high-rate pacing model. Circ Heart Fail. 2009; 2(6):692–99. [PubMed: 19919995]
- 40. Yoo PB, et al. High-resolution measurement of electrically-evoked vagus nerve activity in the anesthetized dog. J Neural Eng. 2013; 10(2):026003. [PubMed: 23370017]
- 41. Schachter SC, Saper BC. Vagus nerve stimulation. Epilepsia. 1998; 39(7):677–86. [PubMed: 9670894]
- 42. Koopman FA, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation inhibits cytokine production and attenuates disease severity in rheumatoid arthritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113(29):8284–89. [PubMed: 27382171]
- 43. Bonaz B, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation: from epilepsy to the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013; 25(3):208–21. [PubMed: 23360102]
- 44. Yoo PB, et al. Modulation of heart rate by temporally patterned vagus nerve stimulation in the anesthetized dog. Physiological Reports. 2016; 4(2):e12689. [PubMed: 26811057]
- 45. Brown, SL., Brown, RM., Penner, LA. Moving Beyond Self-Interest: Perspectives from Evolutionary Biology, Neuroscience, and the Social Sciences. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- 46. Agostoni E, et al. Functional and histological studies of the vagus nerve and its branches to the heart, lungs and abdominal viscera in the cat. J Physiol. 1957; 135(1):182. [PubMed: 13398974]
- 47. Sachis PN, et al. Myelination of the human vagus nerve from 24 weeks postconceptional age to adolescence. J Neuropath Exp Neurol. 1982; 41(4):466–72. [PubMed: 7086467]
- 48. Meydan C, Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, Soreq H. MicroRNA Regulators of Anxiety and Metabolic Disorders. Trends Mol Med. 2016; 22(9):798–812. [PubMed: 27496210]
- 49. Barbash S, Shifman S, Soreq H. Global coevolution of human microRNAs and their target genes. Mol Biol Evol. 2014; 31(5):1237–47. [PubMed: 24600049]
- 50. Soreq H. Checks and balances on cholinergic signaling in brain and body function. Trends Neurosci. 2015; 38(7):448–58. [PubMed: 26100140]
- 51. Nadorp B, Soreq H. Predicted overlapping microRNA regulators of acetylcholine packaging and degradation in neuroinflammation-related disorders. Front Mol Neurosci. 2014; 7:9. [PubMed: 24574962]
- 52. Hanin G, et al. Competing targets of microRNA-608 affect anxiety and hypertension. Hum Mol Genet. 2014; 23(17):4569–80. [PubMed: 24722204]
- 53. Lin T, et al. Intensified vmPFC surveillance over PTSS under perturbed microRNA-608/AChE interaction. Transl Psychiatry. 2016; 6(5):e801. [PubMed: 27138800]
- 54. Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, et al. Weakened cholinergic blockade of inflammation associates with diabetes-related depression. Mol Med. 2016; 22:156–161.
- 55. Garzoni L, Faure C, Frasch MG. Fetal cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway and necrotizing enterocolitis: the brain-gut connection begins in utero. Front Integr Neurosci. 2013; 7:57. [PubMed: 23964209]

- 56. Frasch MG, et al. Decreased neuroinflammation correlates to higher vagus nerve activity fluctuations in near-term ovine fetuses: a case for the afferent cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway? J Neuroinflammation. 2016; 13(1):103. [PubMed: 27165310]
- 57. Shane AL, Stoll BJ. Neonatal sepsis: progress towards improved outcomes. J Infect. 2014; 68(Suppl 1):S24–32. [PubMed: 24140138]
- 58. Mabley JG, et al. Gender differences in the endotoxin-induced inflammatory and vascular responses: potential role of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2005; 315(2):812–20. [PubMed: 16079296]

#### PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram



#### **Figure 1.**

Approach to systematic review of studies on vagus nerve stimulation to treat inflammation. Based on template provided by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement at [http://prisma-statement.org.](http://prisma-statement.org)



Bioelectron Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 January 05.

CIHR Author Manuscript CIHR Author Manuscript

 CIHR Author ManuscriptCIHR Author Manuscript

**Table 1**

CIHR Author Manuscript

**CIHR Author Manuscript** 



Bioelectron Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 January 05.

[32] M RCV

 $\mathbf z$ 

 $[32]$ 

[33] - LCV

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $[33]$ 

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $_{\rm LCV}$ 

 $\mathrel{\mathop{\mathsf{2}}}\nolimits$ 

 $\Delta$  0.5  $\alpha$  100 kpc  $\alpha$  with 500 μs bipolar stimul q0.3 for 10; repeat 10 a/ LPS for

 $1000\,$ 

 $0.5\,$ 

0.02 with 500 µs bipolar stimul q0.3 for 10; repeat 10  $\alpha$  LPS for 45 b/ break of 3 h

45 b/ break of 3 h

 $\triangleleft$ 

 $RCV$ 

 $20\,$ 

A  $20\qquad 0.5\qquad 0.5$  0.5 train q5 for 30

 $0.5\,$ 

 $0.5$ 

 $0.5$  train q5 for  $30\,$ 

**C**

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

CIHR Author Manuscript CIHR Author Manuscript

 CIHR Author ManuscriptCIHR Author Manuscript



Kwan et al. Page 12

 $\frac{\#}{\$$  Skin overlying the right cervical vagus nerve Skin overlying the right cervical vagus nerve

\*\*\*<br>Rheumatoid arthritis cohort Rheumatoid arthritis cohort

chronic  $>24$  h (on the experimental endpoint);  $\blacktriangledown$ , R of inflammation due to VNS; =, no effect; RCV, right cervical vagus; LCV, left cervical vagus;

 $\stackrel{*}{\text{c}}$  datheter inserted in the left internal jugular vein at spinal level 5-C7, adjacent to the vagus nerve Catheter inserted in the left internal jugular vein at spinal level 5-C7, adjacent to the vagus nerve

 $\stackrel{**}{\text{Epilepsy}}$  cohort Epilepsy cohort

 $\frac{s}{\hbar}$  improvement of renal function at three days, return to control at five days Improvement of renal function at three days, return to control at five days

 $\delta$  Reduced (except in  $\alpha7n$  AChR knockout mice) Reduced (except in α7nAChR knockout mice)

 $\frac{\delta \delta}{\delta}$  Acute design for epilepsy cohort; chronic design for rheumatoid arthritis cohort  $s_{A}^{ss}$  Acute design for epilepsy cohort; chronic design for rheumatoid arthritis cohort

 $\substack{\text{$\mathcal{S}$\mathcal{S}}$ \text{$48$ h but not 72 h}}$ 

\$\$\$<br>VNS 10 min b/ischemia resulted in no protection; protective effects for at least two days \$\$\$ VNS 10 min b/ischemia resulted in no protection; protective effects for at least two days